1 2

HTAP2 multi-model estimates of premature human mortality due to intercontinental transport of air pollution

3

Ciao-Kai Liang¹, J. Jason West¹, Raquel A. Silva², Huisheng Bian³, Mian Chin⁴, Yanko
Davila⁵, Frank J. Dentener⁶, Louisa Emmons⁷, Johannes Flemming⁸, Gerd Folberth⁹,
Daven Henze⁶, Ulas Im¹⁰, Jan Eiof Jonson¹¹, Terry J. Keating¹², Tom Kucsera¹³, Allen

7 Lenzen¹⁴, Meiyun Lin¹⁵, Marianne Tronstad Lund¹⁶, Xiaohua Pan¹⁷, Rokjin J. Park¹⁸,

8 R. Bradley Pierce¹⁹, Takashi Sekiya²⁰, Kengo Sudo²⁰, Toshihiko Takemura²¹

9

¹Department of Environmental Sciences and Engineering, University of North Carolina

11 at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, USA

12 ²Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education at US Environmental Protection

13 Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA

³Goddard Earth Sciences and Technology Center, University of Maryland, Baltimore,

- 15 MD, USA
- ⁴Earth Sciences Division, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA
- ⁵Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Colorado, Boulder, CO, USA
- 18 ⁶European Commission, Joint Research Center, Ispra, Italy
- 19 ⁷Atmospheric Chemistry Observations and Modeling Laboratory, National Center for
- 20 Atmospheric Research (NCAR), Boulder, CO, USA
- 21 ⁸European Center for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, Reading, UK
- 22 ⁹UK Met Office Hadley Centre, Exeter, UK
- 23 ¹⁰Aarhus University, Department of Environmental Science, Frederiksborgvej, DK-
- 24 4000, Roskilde, Denmark.
- 25 ¹¹Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway
- 26 ¹²US Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA
- 27 ¹³Universities Space Research Association, Greenbelt, MD, USA
- 28 ¹⁴Space Science & Engineering Center, University of Wisconsin -Madison, WI, USA
- 29 ¹⁵Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA
- 30 ¹⁶CICERO Center for International Climate Research, Oslo, Norway
- ³¹ ¹⁷Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center, University of Maryland, College Park,
- 32 MD, USA
- 33 ¹⁸Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea
- ³⁴ ¹⁹NOAA National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service, Madison,
- 35 WI, USA
- 36 ²⁰Nagoya University, Furocho, Chigusa-ku, Nagoya, Japan
- 37 ²¹Research Institute for Applied Mechanics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka, Japan
- 38

39 Correspondence to: J. Jason West (jjwest@email.unc.edu)

40

41 Abstract

42 Ambient air pollution from ozone and fine particulate matter is associated with 43 premature mortality. As emissions from one continent influence air quality over others, 44 changes in emissions can also influence human health on other continents. We estimate 45 global air pollution-related premature mortality from exposure to PM_{2.5} and ozone, and the avoided deaths from 20% anthropogenic emission reductions from six source 46 47 regions, North America (NAM), Europe (EUR), South Asia (SAS), East Asia (EAS), Russia/Belarus/Ukraine (RBU) and the Middle East (MDE), three global emission 48 49 sectors, Power and Industry (PIN), Ground Transportation (TRN) and Residential (RES) 50 and one global domain (GLO), using an ensemble of global chemical transport model 51 simulations coordinated by the second phase of the Task Force on Hemispheric 52 Transport of Air Pollution (TF-HTAP2), and epidemiologically-derived concentration-53 response functions. We build on results from previous studies of the TF-HTAP by using 54 improved atmospheric models driven by new estimates of 2010 anthropogenic emissions (excluding methane), with more source and receptor regions, new 55 56 consideration of source sector impacts, and new epidemiological mortality functions. 57 We estimate 290,000 (95% CI: 30,000, 600,000) premature O₃-related deaths and 2.8 58 million (0.5 million, 4.6 million) PM_{2.5}-related premature deaths globally for the baseline year 2010. While 20% emission reductions from one region generally lead to 59 60 more avoided deaths within the source region than outside, reducing emissions from 61 MDE and RBU can avoid more O₃-related deaths outside of these regions than within, 62 and reducing MDE emissions also avoids more PM_{2.5}-related deaths outside of MDE 63 than within. Our findings that most avoided O₃-related deaths from emission reductions 64 in NAM and EUR occur outside of those regions contrast with those of previous studies, while estimates of PM2.5-related deaths from NAM, EUR, SAS and EAS emission 65 66 reductions agree well. In addition, EUR, MDE and RBU have more avoided O₃-related 67 deaths from reducing foreign emissions than from domestic reductions. For six regional emission reductions, the total avoided extra-regional mortality is estimated as 6,000 (-68 69 3,400, 15,500) deaths/year and 25,100 (8,200, 35,800) deaths/year through changes in O₃ and PM_{2.5}, respectively. Interregional transport of air pollutants leads to more deaths 70 71 through changes in PM2.5 than in O3, even though O3 is transported more on 72 interregional scales, since PM_{2.5} has a stronger influence on mortality. For NAM and 73 EUR, our estimates of avoided mortality from regional and extra-regional emission 74 reductions are comparable to those estimated by regional models for these same 75 experiments. In sectoral emission reductions, TRN emissions account for the greatest

fraction (26-53% of global emission reduction) of O₃-related premature deaths in most 76 77 regions, in agreement with previous studies, except for EAS (58%) and RBU (38%) 78 where PIN emissions dominate. In contrast, PIN emission reductions have the greatest 79 fraction (38-78% of global emission reduction) of PM_{2.5}-related deaths in most regions, 80 except for SAS (45%) where RES emission dominates, which differs with previous 81 studies in which RES emissions dominate global health impacts. The spread of air 82 pollutant concentration changes across models contributes most to the overall 83 uncertainty in estimated avoided deaths, highlighting the uncertainty in results based 84 on a single model. Despite uncertainties, the health benefits of reduced intercontinental 85 air pollution transport suggest that international cooperation may be desirable to mitigate pollution transported over long distances. 86

87

88 **1 Introduction**

89 Ozone (O_3) and fine particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5 90 µm (PM_{2.5}) are two common air pollutants with known adverse health effects. 91 Epidemiological studies have shown that both short-term and long-term exposures to 92 O₃ and PM_{2.5} are associated with elevated rates of premature mortality. Short-term 93 exposure to O₃ is associated with respiratory morbidity and mortality (Bell et al., 2005; 94 Bell et al., 2014; Gryparis et al., 2004; Ito et al., 2005; Levy et al., 2005; Stieb et al., 95 2009) while long-term exposure to O_3 has been associated with premature respiratory 96 mortality (Jerrett et al., 2009, Turner et al., 2016). Short-term exposure to PM2.5 has 97 been associated with increases in daily mortality rates from all natural causes, and 98 specifically from respiratory and cardiovascular causes (Bell et al., 2014; Du et al., 99 2016; Powell et al., 2015; Pope et al., 2011) while long-term exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ can 100 have detrimental chronic health effects, including premature mortality due to cardiopulmonary diseases and lung cancer (Brook et al., 2010; Burnett et al., 2014; 101 102 Hamra et al., 2014; Krewski et al., 2009; Lepeule et al., 2012; Lim et al., 2012). The 103 Global Burden of Disease Study 2015 (GBD 2015) estimated 254,000 deaths/year associated with ambient O₃ and 4.2 million associated with ambient PM_{2.5} (Cohen et al. 104 105 2017). A comparable study using output from an ensemble of global chemistry-climate 106 models estimated 470,000 deaths/year associated with O₃ and 2.1 million premature deaths/year associated with anthropogenic PM_{2.5} (Silva et al. 2013). These differences 107 108 in GBD estimates result mainly from differences in concentration response functions 109 and estimates of pollutant concentrations.

Numerous observational and modeling studies have shown that anthropogenic
emissions can affect O₃ and PM_{2.5} concentrations across continents (Dentener et al.,
2010; Heald et al., 2006; Leibensperger et al., 2011; Lin et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2017;

113 Liu et al., 2009a; West et al., 2009a; Wild and Akimoto, 2001; Yu et al., 2008). As 114 changes in emissions from one continent influence air quality over others, several 115 studies have estimated the premature mortality from intercontinental transport 116 (Anenberg et al., 2009; Anenberg et al., 2014; Bhalla et al., 2014; Duncan et al., 2008; 117 Im et al., 2018; Liu et al., 2009b; West et al., 2009b; Zhang et al., 2017). In 2005, the 118 Task Force on Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution (TF-HTAP) was launched under 119 the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) Convention on Long-120 Range Transboundary Air Pollution (LRTAP). One of its tasks is to investigate the impacts of emission reductions on the intercontinental transport of air pollution, air 121 122 quality, health, ecosystem and climate effects, using a multi-model ensemble to 123 quantify uncertainties due to differences between models (Anenberg et al., 2009; 124 Anenberg et al., 2014; Fiore et al., 2009; Fry et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2017; Stjern et 125 al., 2016; Yu et al., 2013).

126 In the TF-HTAP Phase 1 (TF-HTAP1), human premature mortality due to 20% 127 anthropogenic emission reductions in four large source regions was investigated by Anenberg et al. (2009 and 2014). They found that 20% foreign O₃ precursor emission 128 129 reductions contribute approximately 30% to >50% of the deaths avoided by reducing 130 precursor emissions in all four regions together (Anenberg et al., 2009). Similarly, 131 reducing emissions in NA and EU was found to avoid more O₃-related premature deaths 132 outside the source region than within (Anenberg et al., 2009), which agrees with other 133 studies that together show for the first time that emission reductions in NA and EU have 134 greater impacts on mortality outside the source region than within (Duncan et al., 2008; 135 West et al., 2009). In contrast, Anenberg et al. (2014) estimate that 93–97 % of PM_{2.5}-136 related avoided deaths from reducing emissions in all four regions occurs within the 137 source region while 3–7 % occur outside the source region from transport between 138 continents. Despite the longer atmospheric lifetime of O₃ and its relatively larger scale 139 of influence, PM_{2.5} was found to cause more deaths from intercontinental transport 140 (Anenberg et al., 2009; 2014). These prior studies have consistently concluded that 141 most avoided O₃-related deaths from emission reductions in NAM and EUR occur 142 outside of those regions, while most avoided $PM_{2,5}$ -related deaths occur within the 143 regions. Similarly, an ensemble of regional models in the third phase of the Air Quality 144 Modelling Evaluation International Initiative (AQMEII3) found that a 20% decrease of 145 emissions within the source region avoids 54,000 and 27,500 premature deaths in 146 Europe and the U.S. (from both O_3 and $PM_{2,5}$), while the reduction of foreign emissions 147 alone avoids ~1,000 and 2,000 premature deaths in Europe and the U.S. (Im et al., 2018). Crippa et al (2017) used the TM5-FASST reduced-form model with HTAP2 emissions 148 149 to estimate a global sensitivity to 20 % emission reductions of PM_{2.5}-related premature 150 deaths of 401,000 globally, and 42,000 and 20,000 for Europe and the US respectively.

151 In addition, several studies have evaluated the relative importance of individual 152 emissions sectors (Barrett et al., 2010; Bhalla et al., 2014; Chafe et al., 2014; Chambliss 153 et al., 2014; Corbett et al., 2007) or multiple sectors (Lelieveld et al., 2015; Silva et al., 154 2016a) to ambient air pollution-related premature mortality. Lelieveld et al. (2015) 155 estimated that residential energy use such as for heating and cooking has the largest 156 mortality impact globally (for PM_{25} and O_3 mortality combined), particularly in South and East Asia. Silva et al (2016) likewise found that residential & commercial emissions 157 158 are most important for ambient PM2.5-related mortality, but also found that land 159 transportation had the greatest impact on O₃-related mortality, particularly in North 160 America, South America, Europe, FSU and the Middle East. Understanding the impact of different sectors on the global burden and the relative importance of each sector 161 162 among regions can help stimulate international efforts and region-specific air pollution control strategies. Nevertheless, those studies were limited by using a single 163 164 atmospheric model, reflecting a need to understand whether results differ among 165 models and apportionment approaches.

In this study, we estimate the impacts of interregional transport and of source 166 167 sector emissions on human premature mortality from O₃ and PM_{2.5}, using an ensemble 168 of global chemical transport models coordinated by the Task Force on Hemispheric 169 Transport of Air Pollution Phase 2 (TF-HTAP2) (Galmarini et al., 2017; Huang et al., 170 2017; Janssens-Maenhout et al., 2015; Stjern et al., 2016). Anthropogenic emissions 171 were reduced by 20% in six source regions: North America (NAM), Europe (EUR), South Asia (SAS), East Asia (EAS), Russia/Belarus/Ukraine (RBU) and the Middle 172 173 East (MDE), three emission sectors: Power and Industry (PIN), Ground Transportation 174 (TRN) and Residential (RES), and one worldwide region (GLO). Human premature 175 mortality due to these reductions is calculated using a health impact function based on 176 a log-linear model for O₃ (Jerrett et al. 2009) and an integrated exposure-response 177 model for $PM_{2.5}$ (Burnett et al. 2014), within the six source regions and elsewhere in 178 the world. We conduct a Monte Carlo simulation to estimate the overall uncertainty due 179 to uncertainties in relative risk, air pollutant concentrations (given by the spread of 180 results among different models), and baseline mortality rates.

181

182 2 Method

183 **2.1 Modeled O3 and PM2.5 surface concentration**

184 Global numerical modelling experiments initiated by TF-HTAP2, the regional
185 experiments by the Air Quality Model Evaluation International Initiative (AQMEII)
186 over Europe and North America, and the Modelling Intercomparison Study-Asia
187 (MICS-Asia) were coordinated to perform consistent emission perturbation modelling

188 experiments across the global, hemispheric and continental/regional scales (Galmarini 189 et al., 2017). Simulation periods, meteorology, emission inventories, boundary conditions, and model output are also consistent. The Joint Research Centre's (JRC) 190 191 EDGAR (Emission Data Base for Global Research) team in collaboration with regional 192 emission experts from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (US-EPA), European 193 Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP), Centre on Emission Inventories and 194 Projections (CEIP), Netherlands Organization for Applied Research (TNO), and the 195 MICS-Asia Scientific Community and Regional Emission Activity Asia (REAS) provide a global emission inventory at 0.1°x0.1° resolution for TF-HTAP2 modeling 196 experiments (Janssens-Maenhout et al., 2015). The emissions dataset was constructed 197 for SO₂, NO_X, CO, NMVOC, NH₃, PM₁₀, PM_{2.5}, BC and OC and seven emission sectors 198 199 (shipping, aircraft, land transportation, agriculture, residential, industry and energy) for 200 the year 2010 (Fig. S1).

201 This study uses outputs from 14 global models / model versions (Table S1) 202 participating in TF-HTAP2. Overall, TF-HTAP2 model resolutions are finer than in TF-HTAP1. In TF-HTAP2, each model performed a baseline simulation and sensitivity 203 204 simulations where the anthropogenic emissions in a defined source region or sector 205 were perturbed (reduced by 20% in most cases). Based on the number of models that 206 simulated different experiments, we choose to focus on emission reductions from six 207 source regions, three emission sectors, and one global domain. More specifically, all 208 anthropogenic emissions are reduced by 20% in the North America (NAM), Europe 209 (EUR), South Asia (SAS), East Asia (EAS), Russia/Belarus/Ukraine (RBU) and the 210 Middle East (MDE) continental regions, in the Power and Industry (PIN), Ground 211 Transportation (TRN) and Residential (RES) emission sectors globally, and in one 212 global domain (GLO) (Fig. S2). Unlike TF-HTAP1 (Dentener et al., 2010) which 213 defined rectangular regions that included ocean or some sparsely inhabited regions, TF-214 HTAP2 regions are defined by geopolitical boundaries.

215 We selected output from the models that provided temporally resolved volume 216 mixing ratios of O₃ and mass mixing ratios of PM_{2.5} ("mmrpm2p5") for the baseline 217 and at least one regional or sectoral emission reduction scenario. Among the 14 models, 218 11 models reported O₃ and 8 reported PM_{2.5} for regional emission perturbation 219 scenarios, 4 models reported O₃ and 4 reported PM_{2.5} for sectoral emission perturbation 220 scenarios, and 10 models reported O3 and 8 reported PM2.5 for the global emission 221 perturbation. All models used prescribed meteorology for the year 2010, although this 222 meteorology was derived from different (re-)analysis products and not uniform across 223 models. Modeled concentrations are processed by calculating metrics consistent with 224 the underlying epidemiological studies to estimate premature mortality. For O_3 , we 225 calculate the average of daily 1-h maximum O₃ concentration for the 6 consecutive 226 months with the highest concentrations in each grid cell (Jerrett et al., 2009), for the 227 baseline and each 20% emission reduction scenario. While some models reported 228 hourly O₃ metrics, others only reported daily or monthly O₃. We include these models 229 by first calculating the ratio of the 6-month average of daily 1-h maximum O₃ to the 230 annual average of O₃ in individual grid cells, for models reporting hourly O₃, and then 231 applying that ratio to the annual average of ozone for those models that only report 232 daily or monthly O₃, following Silva et al. (2013; 2016b). For PM_{2.5}, we calculate the 233 annual average PM_{2.5} concentration in each cell using the monthly total PM_{2.5} 234 concentrations reported by each model ("mmrpm2p5"). Model results for these two 235 metrics are then regridded from each model's native grid resolution (varying from 236 $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ to $2.8^{\circ} \times 2.8^{\circ}$) to a consistent $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ resolution used in mortality estimation. 237 We estimate regional and sectoral multi-model averages for each 20% emission 238 reduction scenario in the year 2010, but for each perturbation case, we only include 239 models that report both the baseline and perturbation cases.

240

241 **2.2 Model evaluation**

242 Measurements from multiple observation networks are employed in this study to 243 evaluate the model performance around the world. We evaluate model performance for 244 the 2010 baseline simulation for 11 TF-HTAP2 models for O_3 and 8 for $PM_{2.5}$ (Table 245 S1). For O₃, we use ground level measurements from 2010 at 4,655 sites globally, 246 collected by the Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report (TOAR) (Schultz et al., 2017; 247 Young et al., 2018). The TOAR dataset identifies stations as urban, rural and 248 unclassified sites (Schultz et al., 2017). Model performance is evaluated for the average 249 of daily 1-h maximum O₃ concentrations for the 3 consecutive months (3m1hmaxO₃) 250 with the highest concentrations in each grid cell, including models that only report daily 251 or monthly O₃ as described above. This metric for O₃ differs slightly from the 6-month 252 average of daily 1-h maximum metric used for health impact assessment, and is chosen 253 because TOAR reports the 3-month metric but not the 6-month metric. For PM_{2.5}, we 254 compare the annual average PM_{2.5}, using PM_{2.5} observations from 2010 at 3,157 sites 255 globally selected for analysis by the Global Burden of Disease 2013 (GBD2013) 256 (Forouzanfar et al., 2016). Statistical parameters including the normalized mean bias 257 (NMB), normalized mean error (NME), and correlation coefficient (R) are selected to evaluate model performance. 258

Table S2 and S3 present statistical parameters of model evaluation for O_3 and PM_{2.5}, and Figures S3-S10 show the spatial O_3 and PM_{2.5} evaluation as NMB around the world, and in North America, Europe and East Asia. For 3m1hmaxO₃, the model ensemble mean shows good agreement with measurements globally with NMB of 7.3% and NME of 13.2%, but moderate correlation with R of 0.53 (Table S2). For individual

models, 8 models (CAM-chem, CHASER T42, CHASER T106, EMEPrv48, 264 GEOSCHEMADJOINT, GEOS-Chem, GFDL AM3 and HadGEM2-ES) overestimate 265 266 3m1hmaxO₃ with NMB of 9.2% to 23% while 3 models (C-IFS, OsloCTM3.v2 and 267 RAQMS) underestimate by -10.8% to -19.4% globally (Figure S3). In the 6 268 perturbation regions, the model ensemble mean is also in good agreement with the 269 measurements, with -11.2% to 25.3% for NMB, 9.8% to 25.3% for NME, and -0.09 to 0.98 for R. The ranges of NMB for individual models are -18.1% to 32.3%, -24.1% to 270 271 21.3%, -24.5% to 45.0%, -26.4% to 24.5%, -30.5% to 20.3%, -35.3% to 5.4%, in NAM, EUR, SAS, EAS, MDE, and RBU, respectively (Figure S4-S6). Note that some regions 272 273 (SAS, MDE, and RBU) have very few observations for model evaluation, making the 274 comparison less robust. The underestimated O₃ in the western US and overestimated 275 O₃ in eastern US in most models is very close to the model performance result of Huang 276 et al. (2017) who compare 8 TF-HTAP2 models with CASTNET observations (Figure 277 S4), as well as earlier studies under HTAP1 (Fiore et al. 2009). Similarly, Dong et al. 278 (2018) find that O₃ is overestimated in EUR and EAS by 6 TF-HTAP2 models, 279 consistent with our ensemble mean result in these two regions (Figure S5-S6).

280 For PM_{2.5}, the model ensemble mean agrees well with measurements globally, with NMB of -23.1%, NME of 35.4%, and R of 0.77 (Table S3). For individual models, 281 282 only 1 model (GEOSCHEMADJOINT) overpredicts PM2.5 by 20.3%, while the other 283 7 models underpredict PM_{2.5} by -60.9% to -7.4% around the world (Figure S7). In 6 284 perturbation regions, the model ensemble mean is also in good agreement with 285 measurements, with ranges of NMB of -49.7% to 19.4%, 21.2% to 49.7% for NME, 286 and 0.50 to 1.00 for R. The range of NMB for individual models are -46.6% to 13.9%, 287 -76.0% to 31.9%, -35.0% to 49.7%, -50.4% to 29.5%, -52.6% to 31.5%, and -74.1% to -19.8%, in NAM, EUR, SAS, EAS, MDE, and RBU, respectively (Figure S8-S10). 288 289 Dong et al. (2018) shows that PM_{2.5} is underestimated in EUR and EAS by 6 TF-HTAP2 290 models, consistent with our ensemble mean result in these two regions (Figure S9-S10). 291 Note that many observations used are located in urban areas, and models with coarse 292 resolution may not be expected to have good model performance. Also several models neglect some PM_{2.5} species, which may explain the tendency of models to 293 294 underestimate.

295

296 2.3 Health impact assessment

We use output from the TF-THAP2 model ensemble to estimate annual O_3 - and PM_{2.5}-related global cause-specific premature mortality and avoided mortality from the 20% regional and sectoral emission reductions, following the same methods used by Silva et al. (2016a; 2016b). The annual O₃- and PM_{2.5}-related premature mortality is calculated using a health impact function based on epidemiological relationships between ambient air pollution concentration and mortality in each grid cell: $\Delta M = y_0 \times AF \times Pop$, where ΔM is premature mortality, y_0 is the baseline mortality rate (for the exposed population), AF=1 - 1/RR is the attributable fraction, where *RR* is relative risk of death attributable to the change in air pollutant concentration (*RR=1* when there is no increased risk of death associated with a change in pollutant concentration), and *Pop* is the exposed population (adults aged 25 and older).

For O_3 mortality, we use a log-linear model for chronic respiratory mortality (RESP) from the American Cancer Society (ACS) study (Jerrett et al 2009), following recent studies including the GBD (Cohen et al., 2017), but Turner et al. (2016) recently published new results for chronic ozone mortality, and adoption of these results would lead to more ozone-related deaths overall (Malley et al., 2017). RR is calculated as:

313 $RR = e^{\beta \Delta x}$ (1)

where β is the concentration-response factor, and Δx corresponds to the change in 314 315 pollutant concentrations between simulations with perturbed emissions and the baseline 316 simulation. For O₃, RR = 1.040 (95% Confidence Interval, CI: 1.013-1.067) for a 10 317 ppb increase in O₃ concentrations (Jerrett et al., 2009), which from eq. 1 gives values for β of 0.00392 (0.00129-0.00649). We estimate O₃-related premature deaths due to 318 319 respiratory disease (RESP) based on decreases or increases in O₃ concentration (i.e. Δx) 320 due to 20% regional and sectoral emission reduction scenarios relative to the baseline. 321 For regional and sectoral reductions, we do not assume a low-concentration threshold 322 below which changes in O₃ have no mortality effects, as there is no clear evidence for 323 such a threshold, following Anenberg et al (2009; 2010) and Silva et al. (2013; 2016a, 324 b). However, we evaluate global O₃ premature mortality for the baseline 2010 325 simulation, relative to a counterfactual concentration of 37.6 ppb (Lim et al. 2012), for 326 consistency with GBD estimates (Cohen et al., 2017).

For $PM_{2.5}$ mortality, we apply the Integrated Exposure–Response (IER) model, which is intended to better represent the risk of exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ at locations with high ambient concentrations (Burnett et al., 2014). RR is calculated as:

330331

For
$$z \leq z_{cf}$$
, $RR_{IER}(z) = 1$ (2)

For $z \ge z_{cf}$, $RR_{IER}(z) = 1 + \alpha \{1 - exp[-\gamma (z - z_{cf})^{\delta}]\}$ (3)

where z is the PM_{2.5} concentration in $\mu g/m^3$ and z_{cf} is the counterfactual concentration 332 333 below which no additional risk is assumed, and the parameters α , γ , and δ are used to fit the function for cause-specific RR (Burnett et al., 2014). The overall PM_{2.5}-related 334 335 cause-specific premature deaths related to ischemic heart disease (IHD), 336 cerebrovascular disease (STROKE), chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and lung cancer (LC) are estimated using RRs per age group for IHD and STROKE and 337 RRs for all ages for COPD and LC. A uniform distribution from 5.8 μ g/m³ to 8.8 μ g/m³ 338 339 is used for z_{cf} as suggested by Burnett et al. (2014), which does not vary in space nor 340 time. For uncertainty analysis, we use results from 1,000 Monte Carlo simulations of 341 Burnett et al. (2014) to calculate RR in each grid cell by eq.2 or eq. 3. We estimate 342 avoided premature mortality in 20% emission perturbation experiments by taking the 343 difference in premature mortality estimates with the 2010 baseline. However, in the IER 344 model, the concentration-response function flattens off at higher PM_{2.5} concentrations, vielding different estimates of avoided premature mortality for identical changes in air 345 346 pollutant concentrations from less-polluted vs. highly-polluted regions. That is, one unit 347 reduction of air pollution may have a stronger effect on avoided mortality in regions where pollution levels are lower (e.g., Europe, North America) compared with highly 348 349 polluted regions (e.g., East Asia, India), which would not be the case for a log-linear function (Jerrett et al., 2009; Krewski et al., 2009). Therefore, using the IER model in 350 351 this study may result in smaller changes in avoided mortality in highly polluted areas 352 than using the linear model.

353 For the exposed population, we use the Oak Ridge National Laboratory's Landscan 354 2011 Global Population Dataset at approximately 1 km resolution (30"x30") (Bright et 355 al., 2012). For the population of adults aged 25 and older, we use ArcGIS 10.2 356 geoprocessing tools to estimate the population per 5-year age group in each cell by 357 multiplying the country level percentage in each age group by the population in each 358 cell. We obtained cause-specific baseline mortality rates for 187 countries from the 359 GBD 2010 mortality dataset (IHME, 2013). The population and baseline mortality per age group were regridded to the 0.5°×0.5° grid (Table S4 and Fig. S11). Cause-specific 360 baseline mortality rates vary geographically, e.g. RESP and COPD are relatively more 361 362 dominant in South Asia, IHD in Europe, STROKE in Russia, and LC in North America.

363 Finally, we conduct 1,000 Monte Carlo simulations to propagate uncertainty from 364 baseline mortality rates, modeled air pollutant concentrations, and the RRs in health 365 impact functions. We use the reported 95% CIs for cause-specific baseline mortality 366 rates, assuming lognormal distributions. For modeled O₃ and PM_{2.5} concentrations we 367 use the absolute value of the coefficient of variation among models in each grid cell, 368 for each 20% emission perturbation case minus the baseline, assuming a normal 369 distribution. For O₃ RRs, we use the reported 95% confidence intervals (CIs), assuming 370 a normal distribution. For PM_{2.5} RRs, we use the parameter values (i.e. α , γ , δ and z_{cf}) 371 of Burnett et al. (2014) for 1,000 simulations. One should acknowledge that the range 372 of modeled air pollution concentrations in an ensemble is not a true reflection of the 373 uncertainty in emissions to concentration relationships. The mean health outcome of 374 the 1,000 Monte Carlo simulations (the "empirical mean") may differ from the mean 375 when using the mean RR.

We also quantify the uncertainties in mortality due to the spread of air pollutant concentrations across models, RRs, and baseline mortality rates, as contributors to the

overall uncertainty, expressed as a coefficient, of variation and compare the result with 378 379 the Monte-Carlo analysis estimate. To do so, we hold two variables at their mean values 380 and change the variable of interest within its uncertainty range; for example, using mean 381 RRs and baseline mortality rates, we analyze the spread of the model ensemble to calculate the coefficient of variation caused by model uncertainty. Given that our 382 $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ grid cell resolution can capture most of the population well in a given region. 383 384 uncertainty associated with population was assumed to be negligible. We estimate the 385 impacts of extra-regional emission reductions on mortality by using the Response to Extra-Regional Emission Reduction (RERER) metric defined by TF-HTAP (Galmarini 386 387 et al., 2017):

388
$$RERER_i = \frac{R_{global} - R_{region,i}}{R_{global}}$$
(4)

where for a given region *i*, R_{global} is the change in mortality in the global 20% 389 reduction simulation (GLO) relative to the base simulation, and $R_{region,i}$ is the change 390 391 in mortality in response to the 20% emission reduction from that same region *i*. A RERER value near 1 indicates a strong relative influence of foreign emissions on 392 393 mortality within a region, while a value near 0 indicates a weak foreign influence. We 394 also estimate the total avoided extra-regional mortality from a source perspective as the sum of avoided deaths outside of each of the 6 source regions, and from a receptor 395 perspective by summing $R_{alobal} - R_{region,i}$ for all 6 regions. 396

397

398 3 Results

399 3.1 Response of O₃ and PM_{2.5} concentrations to 20% regional and sectoral

400 emission reductions

401 Previous TF-HTAP studies reported area-averaged concentrations to quantify 402 source-receptor relationships averaging concentrations over a region (Doherty et al., 2013; Fiore et al., 2009; Fry et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2017; Stjern et al., 2016; Yu et 403 al., 2013). Here, we present the population-weighted concentration over a region, which 404 405 is more relevant for health. Among six receptor regions, the population-weighted multimodel mean O₃ concentrations range from 48.38±8.05 ppb in EUR to 65.72±10.08 ppb 406 407 in SAS with a global average of 53.74±8.03 ppb, while the annual population-weighted multi-model mean PM_{2.5} concentrations range from $9.36\pm2.62 \text{ }\mu\text{g/m}^3$ in NAM to 39.27408 $\pm 13.50 \ \mu g/m^3$ in EAS with a global average of $25.98\pm 5.05 \ \mu g/m^3$ (Table 1 and S5-S6 409 410 and Figs.S12-S13).

411 For 20% perturbation scenarios, in general the impact on the multi-model mean 412 change in surface O_3 and $PM_{2.5}$ concentration is greater within the source region (i.e., 413 domestic region) than outside of it (i.e., foreign region) (Figs. 1-2). This is also true for 414 individual model results (Figs. S14-S16). Among six source regions, the emission 415 reduction from SAS has the greatest impact on global population-weighted O₃ 416 concentration (Tables 2 and S5), while that from EAS has greatest impact on PM_{2.5} 417 (Tables 3 and S6). The source-receptor pairs with the greatest changes in O₃ and PM_{2.5} 418 concentration reflect the geographical proximity between regions and the magnitude of 419 emissions (Table 2-3) - e.g., EUR→MDE (0.34±0.08 ppb), EUR→RBU (0.34 420 ppb \pm 0.09), EAS \rightarrow NAM (0.29 \pm 0.14 ppb), EAS \rightarrow RBU (0.27 \pm 0.12 ppb), and NAM \rightarrow EUR (0.26±0.55 ppb) for O₃, and EUR \rightarrow RBU (0.26±0.19 µg/m³), EUR \rightarrow MDE 421 422 $(0.18\pm0.08 \ \mu g/m^3)$, MDE \rightarrow SAS $(0.12\pm0.06 \ \mu g/m^3)$, SAS \rightarrow EAS $(0.08\pm0.08 \ \mu g/m^3)$, and EAS \rightarrow SAS (0.08±0.07 µg/m³) for PM_{2.5}. Our ensemble shows similar ozone 423 424 responses in the western US to emission reductions from EAS (Figs. 1c) as those 425 modeled by Lin et al. (2012 and 2017), who show that a model can capture the measured 426 western US ozone increases due to rising Asian emissions.

427 For each receptor region, reducing foreign anthropogenic emissions by 20% 428 (estimated by global minus within-region reductions) can decrease population-429 weighted O₃ concentrations by 29-74% of the change in O₃ concentration and 8-41 % 430 of the change in PM_{2.5} concentration (Tables 2-3). In some cases, regional emission 431 reductions cause small O₃ concentration increases within the source region or in foreign 432 receptors, reflecting O₃ nonlinear responses (Figs. S14). For instance, C-IFS v2 433 predicts O₃ concentration increases in EUR by 0.04 ppb from domestic emission 434 reductions, which is in agreement with results from TF-HTAP1 (Anenberg et al. 2009). 435 Similarly, CMAchem shows more local O₃ increases, particularly in SAS, than other 436 models (Figs. S14). The change in O₃ concentration in foreign receptors is broader than 437 for $PM_{2.5}$, reflecting that O_3 has a longer atmospheric lifetime than $PM_{2.5}$.

438 For sectors, TRN emission reductions cause the greatest decrease in global 439 population-weighted O₃ by 1.13±0.19 ppb, while PIN emission reductions cause the 440 greatest decrease in surface PM_{2.5} by 1.46 ± 0.56 µg/m³ globally (Tables 2-3 and Figs. 1-441 2). The 20% emission reductions from individual sectors also have different effects in 442 different regions. Of the three sectors, emission reductions from TRN have the greatest 443 effect on population-weighted O₃ in NAM, EUR, SAS, MDE and MDE (40-50% of the 444 global emission reduction) while PIN emission reductions dominate in EAS (57%). 445 Emission reductions from PIN have the greatest effect on population-weighted PM_{2.5} 446 in NAM, EUR, EAS, MDE and MDE (41-84%) while RES emission reductions 447 dominate in SAS (43%). The response of PM_{2.5} concentration to sectoral emission reductions differs significantly across models, which reflects in part the PM_{2.5} species 448 simulated by each model (Table S1 and Figs. S15-S17). For instance, we found that 449 450 models that simulate PM_{2.5} nitrate (i.e. CHASER_t42 and GEOSCHEMADJOIN)

predict a greater impact on PM_{2.5} concentration from TRN emission reduction than
 those without nitrate (i.e. GOCARTv5 and SPRINTARS) (Fig. S17).

453

454 **3.2** Global mortality burden associated with anthropogenic air pollution

455 Table 4 shows the annual multi-model mean O₃- and PM_{2.5}-related premature deaths on 6 regions and globally for year 2010 baseline with 95% confidence intervals 456 (CI) based on Monte Carlo sampling. Tables S7-S8 show estimates of premature deaths 457 458 due to anthropogenic O₃ and PM_{2.5} from individual models. For the ensemble model mean, we estimate 290,000 (30,000, 600,000) premature O₃-related deaths globally 459 460 using a 37.6 ppb counterfactual concentration, and 2.8 million (0.5 million, 4.6 million) PM_{2.5}-related premature deaths using a uniform distribution of counterfactual 461 concentration from 5.8 μ g/m³ to 8.8 μ g/m³. Highly populated areas of India and East 462 Asia have the greatest O₃- and PM_{2.5}-related deaths, and those regions together account 463 464 for 82% and 66% of the global total O₃- and PM_{2.5}-related deaths. Compared with the 465 GBD 2015 (Cohen et al 2017), our global burden estimates are greater than the 254,000 466 (97,000, 422,000) premature deaths/year for O₃ from GBD, while less than 4.2 million 467 (3.7 million, 4.8 million) premature deaths for PM_{2.5}. Lelieveld et al (2015) estimate 468 142,000 (CI: 90,000, 208,000) O₃-related deaths and 3.2 million (1.5 million, 4.6 469 million) PM_{2.5}-related premature deaths for 2015. These differences can be explained 470 mainly by exposure estimates. Here we used a multi-model ensemble, whereas 471 Lelieveld et al. (2015) used a single model, and Cohen et al (2017) used a single model 472 for O₃ and a single model combined with surface and satellite observations for PM_{2.5}. 473 In addition, Cohen et al. (2017) use RRs for particulate matter for IHD and stroke 474 mortality that are modified from those used by Burnett et al (2014) and applied age 475 modification to the RRs, fitting the IER model for each age group separately. The 476 updated IER with estimated higher relative risks, together with greater global pollution 477 and baseline mortality rates in the low-income and middle-income countries in east and 478 south Asia leads to the higher absolute numbers of attributable deaths and disability-479 adjusted life-years in GBD 2015 than estimated in GBD 2013 (Forouzanfar et al., 2016). 480 Also, GBD 2015 includes child lower respiratory infections estimate whereas we do 481 not. Our wider range of uncertainty for the global mortality reflects the uncertainty in 482 baseline rates, RRs and spread of air pollutant concentration across models whereas 483 Cohen et al (2017) consider national-level population-weighted mean concentrations 484 and uncertainty of IER function predictions at each concentration and Lelieveld et al. 485 (2015) only account for the statistical uncertainty of the parameters used in the IER functions. 486

488 **3.3 Effect of regional reductions on mortality**

489 Reducing global anthropogenic emissions of air pollutant by 20% avoids 47,400 (11,300, 99,000) O₃-related deaths and 290,000 (67,100, 405,000) PM_{2.5}-related 490 491 premature deaths (Tables 5-6 and S9-S10). Most avoided air pollution-related deaths 492 were found within or close to the source region (Figs.3-76). Reducing anthropogenic 493 emissions by 20% from NAM, EUR, SAS, EAS, MDE and RBU can avoid 54%, 54%, 494 95%, 85%, 21%, and 22% of the global change in O₃-related deaths within the source region (The number of avoided deaths within source region is divided by the number 495 of avoided deaths globally), and 93%, 81%, 93%, 94%, 32%, and 82% of the global 496 497 change in PM_{2.5}-related deaths, respectively (Table 5-6). Whereas the most O₃-related 498 premature deaths can be avoided by reducing SAS emissions (20,000 (3,600, 42,200) 499 deaths/year), reducing EAS emissions avoids more O_3 -related premature deaths (1,700 (-1,300, 5,400)) outside of the source region than for any other region (500 (180, 870)) 500 501 deaths/year to 1,300 (-1,200, 4,400) deaths/year (Table 5). Similarly, while reducing 502 EAS emissions avoids the most PM_{2.5}-related premature deaths (96,600 (3,500, 136,000) 503 deaths/year), reducing EUR emissions avoids more PM_{2.5}-related premature deaths 504 (7,400 (930, 9,500) deaths/year) outside of the source region than for any other region 505 (1,400 (-320, 2,300) deaths/year to 5,500 (3,000, 7,800) deaths/year) (Table 6). While 506 emission reductions from one region generally lead to more avoided deaths within the 507 source region than outside, 20% anthropogenic emission reductions from MDE (i.e. 508 79% and 68% of global avoided deaths outside of source region for O₃ and PM_{2.5}, 509 respectively) and RBU (78% for O₃) can avoid more premature deaths outside of the 510 source region than within (Table 5-6). This result for RBU is in agreement with West et 511 al (2009). However, the results for NAM and EUR do not agree with previous studies 512 that found that emission reductions in these regions cause more O₃-related avoided 513 premature deaths outside of the source region than within (Anenberg et al., 2009; Duncan et al., 2008; West et al., 2009). For PM_{2.5}, our results are comparable with 514 515 Anenberg et al. (2014) and Crippa et al. (2017) who found that for most regions, PM_{2.5}-516 related avoided premature deaths are higher within the source region than outside. The 517 above difference in results with TF-HTAP1 may be in part because of the definition of 518 regions. Whereas the TF-HTAP2 regions are defined by geopolitical boundaries, the 519 TF-HTAP1 regions are defined by square domains which are larger and include more 520 ocean areas (Anenberg et al., 2009). In addition, updated atmospheric models and 521 emissions inputs, as well as different atmospheric dynamics in the single years chosen 522 in TF-HTAP1 vs. TF-HTAP2 may contribute to the differences.

523 Using individual models, different conclusions may result for the relative 524 importance of inter-regional transport. For example, for O₃, 8 models predict that NAM 525 emission reductions cause more O₃-related premature deaths within NAM (i.e CAM-

Chem, CHASER T42, CHASER T106, C-IFS, GEOSCHEMADJOINT, GEOS-526 527 Chem, GFDL AM3 and HadGEM2-ES), whereas 2 models predict more deaths outside 528 (i.e. EMEPrv48 and OsloCTM3.v2). 5 models suggest that EUR emission reductions 529 cause more O₃-related premature deaths within EUR (i.e. CAM-chem, CHASER T42, 530 CHASER T106, GFDL AM3 and HadGEM2-ES), whereas 4 show more deaths 531 outside (i.e. C-IFS, GEOSCHEMADJOINT, EMEPrv48 and OsloCTM3.v2). Each 532 individual model shows that emission reductions from SAS and EAS avoid more O₃-533 related premature deaths within than outside, and that those from MDE and RBU avoid more O₃-related premature deaths outside than within (Fig. S18). For PM_{2.5}, each 534 535 individual model shows that emission reductions from NAM, EUR, SAS, EAS and RBU avoid more PM2.5-related premature deaths within than outside, while for 536 537 emission reductions from MDE, 3 models (EMEPrv48, GEOSCHEMADJOINT and SPRINARS) show more PM_{2.5}-related premature deaths within, while 3 538 539 (CHASER T42 GEOS5 and GOCART) show more PM2.5-related premature deaths 540 outside (Fig. S19). The variation of health effect reflects the differences in processing 541 of natural emissions, atmospheric physical and chemical mechanisms, numerics etc 542 across models.

543 For each receptor region, reducing domestic anthropogenic emissions by 20% contributes about 66%, 39%, 84%, 72%, 45% and 25% of the total O₃-related avoided 544 545 premature mortality (from the global reduction), and 90%, 78%, 87%, 87%, 58% and 546 66% of the total PM_{2.5}-related avoided premature mortality (from the global reduction) 547 in NAM, EUR, SAS, EAS, MDE and RBU, respectively (Table 5-6). Therefore, 548 reducing emissions from foreign regions avoids more O₃ premature deaths in EUR 549 (foreign emission account for 61% of total avoided deaths from the global reduction), 550 MDE (55%) and RBU (75%) than reducing domestic emissions (Table 5-6), in 551 agreement with the results for EUR from Anenberg et al (2009). Whereas EAS has the 552 greatest number of avoided O₃-related premature deaths due to foreign emission 553 reduction (3,800 (3,600, 3,900) deaths/year), RBU has the greatest fraction of O₃ mortality from foreign emission reductions (75%) (Table 5). Similarly, for PM_{2.5}, while 554 555 EAS has greatest number of avoided PM_{2.5}-related premature deaths due to foreign 556 emission reductions (13,600 (3,500, 18,800) deaths/year), MDE has the greatest 557 fraction of PM_{2.5} mortality from foreign emission reduction (42%) (Table 6).

558 Overall, adding results from all 6 regional reductions, interregional transport of air 559 pollution from extra-regional contributions is estimated to lead to more avoided deaths 560 through changes in $PM_{2.5}$ (25,100 (8,200, 35,800) deaths/year) than in O₃ (6,000 (-3,400, 561 15,500) deaths/year), consistent with Anenberg et al. (2009; 2014). This result is due to 562 the greater influence of $PM_{2.5}$ on mortality, despite the shorter atmospheric lifetime of 563 $PM_{2.5}$ relative to O₃.

The contributions of different factors to the overall uncertainties in mortality are 564 565 shown in Tables S11-S12, considering uncertainties due to the spread of air pollutant 566 concentrations across models, RRs, and baseline mortality rates, expressed as 567 coefficients of variation. For both O₃ and PM_{2.5} mortality, the spread of model results 568 generally contributes most to the overall uncertainty, followed by uncertainty in RRs 569 and in baseline mortality rates, for most source-receptor pairs. The spread of model 570 results is generally wider for PM_{2.5} (14% to 3974% among source-receptor pairs) than 571 for O_3 (13% to 1065%). The uncertainty in RRs for O_3 mortality has constant value 572 (33% to 34%) due to the fixed uncertainty range of RRs from Jerrett et al. (2009), 573 whereas PM_{2.5} mortality leads to a wider range of uncertainty (1% to 247%) in RRs 574 because the uncertainty differs at different PM_{2.5} concentrations (Burnett et al., 2014). 575 Low uncertainty in baseline mortality rate was found for most source-receptor pairs 576 (<20%) except for the response of PM_{2.5} mortality in SAS to 20% reduction from RBU 577 (66%).

578

579 **3.4 Effect of sectoral reductions on mortality**

580 Reducing global anthropogenic emissions by 20% in 3 sectors (i.e. PIN, TRN and RES) together avoids 48,500 (7,100, 108,000) O₃-related premature deaths and 243,000 581 582 (66,800, 357,000) PM_{2.5}-related premature deaths globally (Tables 5-6), with the 583 greatest avoided air pollution-related premature deaths located in highly populated 584 areas (e.g., North America, Europe, India, China, etc.) (Figs.3-6). For instance, reducing 585 anthropogenic emissions by 20% in 3 sectors together avoids the highest number of O₃-586 related deaths in SAS (24,000 (6,000, 49,600) deaths/year) and PM_{2.5}-related deaths in EAS (83,400 (29,400, 135,000) deaths/year). We compare our estimates of O₃ and 587 588 PM_{2.5}-related premature deaths attributable to PIN, TRN and RES emissions with 589 previous studies, by multiplying our results for 20% emission reductions by 5, and by 590 combining their sectors to nearly match each of the three sectors in this study (Table 7). 591 Compared with Silva et al (2016a), our estimate of O_3 and $PM_{2.5}$ -related premature 592 deaths attributable to PIN and TRN are very comparable, but that to RES is lower here. 593 In comparison with Lelieveld et al (2015), we estimate greater O₃ and PM_{2.5}-related 594 premature deaths attributable to PIN and TRN, but less for RES.

Like Silva et al. (2016a) and Lelieveld et al. (2015), different locations show relatively different mortality responses to changes in sectoral emissions. Whereas PIN emission reductions cause the greatest number of avoided O_3 -related premature deaths globally (19,300 (1,400, 45,000) deaths/year), TRN emission reductions cause the greatest fraction of avoided deaths in most of the six regions (26-53% of the global emission reduction), except for EAS (58%) and RBU (38%) where the effect of reducing PIN emissions dominates. In comparison with other studies (Table 7), our 602 conclusion that PIN emissions cause the most O₃-related deaths and TRN emissions 603 cause the greatest fraction of avoided deaths in most regions agrees well with Silva et 604 al (2016a). For PM_{2.5}, reducing PIN emissions avoids the most PM_{2.5}-related premature 605 deaths globally (128,000 (41,600, 179,000) deaths/year) and in most regions (38-78%) 606 of the global emission reduction), except for SAS (45%) where the RES emission dominates. Although these findings differ from those of Lelieveld et al (2015) and Silva 607 608 et al (2016), who find that Residential emissions have the greatest of impact on PM_{2.5} 609 mortality globally and in most regions, all studies agree that PIN emissions have the greatest impact in NAM. Our result is also comparable with Crippa et al (2017) who 610 611 find that PIN emissions have the greatest health impact in most countries. Although comparable emission inventories are used (i.e. Lelieveld et al (2015) and this study use 612 613 EDGAR emissions while Silva et al (2016) use RCP8.5 emissions), our lower mortality 614 estimate for RES emissions may be explained by our 20% reductions relative to the 615 zero-out method, and the different years simulated.

616 Considering results from individual models, we found that mortality from TRN 617 emission reductions show greater relative uncertainty than from PIN or RES (Table 5-618 6 and Table S9-S10), reflecting a greater spread of results across models. Regional 619 impacts from individual model also differ from the ensemble mean result - e.g., for O₃, 620 GEOSCHEMADJOINT and OsloCTM3.v2 show that reducing PIN emissions causes 621 the greatest fraction of avoided O₃-related deaths in EUR. while 622 GEOSCHEMADJOINT, HadGM2-ES and OsloCTM3.v2 show that TRN emissions 623 have the greatest fraction of avoided O₃-related deaths in RBU (Figs. S20). For PM_{2.5}, 624 CHASER t42 and GEOSCHEMADJOINT show that reducing PIN emissions causes 625 the greatest fraction of avoided PM_{2.5}-related deaths in SAS (Figs. S21).

626

627 4 Discussion

628 We aggregate the avoided deaths attributable to 20% reductions from four 629 corresponding source regions (i.e. NAM, EUR, SAS and EAS), and compare with the 630 findings from TF-HTAP1. We estimate that these regional emission reductions are 631 associated with 36,000 (-1,500, 90,300) avoided deaths globally through the change in 632 O₃ and 207,000 (41,500, 304,000) avoided deaths through the change in PM_{2.5}, more than those estimated by Anenberg et al. (2009 and 2014) - 21,800 (10,600, 33,400)633 634 deaths for O₃ and 192,000 (146,000, 230,000) deaths for PM_{2.5}. This discrepancy might 635 be attributed to different health impact function, emissions data sets, region definitions, updated population or baseline mortality rates. In particular, for O₃ respiratory mortality, 636 637 we use a log-linear model for chronic mortality (Jerrett et al 2009), instead of the short-638 term O₃ mortality estimate based on a daily time-series study (Bell et al., 2004) used by

Anenberg et al., (2009). For $PM_{2.5}$ mortality, Anenberg et al., (2014) only included the simulated changes in BC, particulate organic matter (POM=primary organic aerosol+secondary organic aerosol), and sulfate for $PM_{2.5}$ concentration, while we use the total model reported $PM_{2.5}$ concentration which includes more species for some models. We also apply the Integrated Exposure–Response (IER) model (Burnett et al. 2014) for $PM_{2.5}$, as opposed to the log-linear model of Krewski et al. (2009) used by Anenberg et al., (2014).

646 For regional reductions, our multi-model average results suggest that NAM and EUR emissions cause more deaths inside of those regions than outside, which disagrees 647 with previous studies (Anenberg et al., 2009; Duncan et al., 2008; West et al., 2009) 648 649 whereas similar regional impacts are found for EAS and SAS. Also, total avoided 650 deaths through interregional air pollution transport are estimated as 6,000 (-3,400, 15,500) deaths/year for O₃ and 25,100 (8,200, 35,800) deaths/year for PM_{2.5} in this 651 652 study, in contrast with 7,300 (3,600, 11,200) deaths/year for O₃ and 11,500 (8,800, 653 14,200) deaths/year for PM_{2.5} in Anenberg et al. (2009; 2014). These differences likely result from different concentration-response functions and the use of 6 regions here vs. 654 655 4 by Anenberg et al. (2009; 2014). In addition, updated atmospheric models and 656 emissions inputs, as well as different atmospheric dynamics in the single years chosen 657 in TF-HTAP1 vs. TF-HTAP2 may contribute to the differences. In addition, updated 658 atmospheric models and emissions inputs, as well as different atmospheric dynamics in the single years chosen in HTAP vs. HTAP2 may contribute to the differences. Overall, 659 whereas O₃ accounts for a higher percentage of the total deaths in foreign regions than 660 661 PM_{2.5}, PM_{2.5} leads to more deaths in general, which agrees well with the results of 662 Anenberg et al. (2009; 2014).

Using regional models in AQMEII3, driven by a single global model (C-IFS v2), 663 664 Im et al. (2018) estimated that 20% domestic emission reductions would avoid 54,000 665 and 27,500 premature deaths (for O₃ and PM_{2.5} combined) in Europe and the U.S., respectively, as opposed to ~1,000 and 2,000 premature deaths due to foreign emission 666 667 reductions. These results are comparable to our estimates that 32,900 and 19,500 668 premature deaths result from 20% domestic emission reductions in Europe and the U.S., 669 while 670 and 570 premature deaths result from foreign emission reductions. Although 670 our defined U.S. region is slightly bigger than Im et al. (2018), the majority of U.S. 671 emission sources and population are located within the region defined by Im et al. 672 (2018). This comparison shows that regional and global models show similar impacts 673 on mortality from air pollution transport.

Differences in our estimates of premature mortality attributable to air pollution from three emission sectors (multiplied by 5) may be explained by methodological differences relative to previous studies (Silva et al., 2016; Lelieveld et al., 2015), 677 including our use of 20% emission reductions versus the zero-out method in those studies, different emission inventories, a multi-model ensemble versus single models, 678 679 and differences in baseline mortality rates, population, and concentration response 680 functions. Our finding that TRN emissions contribute the most avoided deaths for O₃ 681 in most regions agrees well with the result by Silva et al (2016a), but differs for PM_{2.5} mortality for which we find that PIN emissions cause the most deaths, while both Silva 682 683 et al (2016a) and Lelieveld et al (2015) find that RES emissions are responsible for the 684 most deaths. This discrepancy may be explained by different PM_{2.5} species included in individual models, as we showed that changes in PM2.5 concentration to TRN emission 685 686 differ across models.

687 By using an ensemble of multi-model results here, we highlight the relative 688 importance of difference source-receptor pairs for mortality in a way that is more robust 689 than using a single model, particularly since some individual models yielded different 690 conclusions than the ensemble mean. The air pollutant concentration changes reported 691 by the HTAP2 models may be different among models, it may result from variety of processes, e.g. atmospheric physical and chemical mechanisms, processing of natural 692 693 emissions, and transport time step, etc. (Table S1), but not anthropogenic emissions 694 since those were nearly identical among models. In addition, the coarse model 695 resolution used by global models may underestimate health effects by misaligning peak 696 concentration and population, particularly in urban areas and for PM_{2.5} (Punger and 697 West, 2013), but it is not known how model resolution would affect the relative 698 contributions of extra-regional and intraregional health benefits. Future research should 699 explore the possible bias from using coarse global models for extra-regional and 700 intraregional mortality estimates in metropolitan regions by comparing with finer-701 resolution chemical transport models.

702 Another uncertainty in this paper (and other global studies) lies in applying the 703 same RRs worldwide, because of lack of long-term records of the chronic influences of 704 ambient air pollution on mortality outside of North America and Europe. We consider 705 only the population of adults ≥ 25 years old, ignoring possible mortality effects on the 706 younger population, and consequently we may underestimate premature mortality 707 overall. Likewise, the effects of air pollution on several morbidity endpoints are omitted. 708 We assume that all PM_{2.5} is equally toxic, for lack of clear evidence for greater toxicity 709 of some species. Inter-regional transport may also change the toxicity of PM2.5 by 710 changing the size distribution or chemical composition, where transport likely causes 711 particles to become more oxidized (West et al., 2016). Future research on PM_{2.5}-related 712 mortality should include estimating health effects for different PM2.5 chemical 713 components.

715 **5** Conclusions

716 We estimate O_3 - and PM_2 5-related premature mortality from simulations with 14 717 global CTMs participating in the TF-HTAP2 multi-model exercise for the year 2010. 718 An estimate of 290,000 (30,000, 600,000) global premature O₃-related deaths and 2.8 719 million (0.5 million, 4.6 million) global PM_{2.5}-related premature deaths is obtained 720 from the ensemble for the year 2010 in the baseline case. We focus on model 721 experiments simulating 20% regional air pollutant emission reductions (excluding methane) in 6 regions, 3 sectors and 1 global domain. For regional scenarios, 6 source 722 723 emission reductions altogether can cause 84% of the global avoided O₃-related 724 premature deaths within the source region, ranging from 21 to 95% among 6 regions, and 16% (5 to 79%) outside of the source region. For PM_{2.5}, 89% of global avoided 725 726 $PM_{2.5}$ -related premature deaths are within the source region, ranging from 32 to 94% 727 among 6 regions, and 11% (6 to 68%) outside of the source region. While most avoided 728 mortality generally occurs within the source region, we find that emission reductions 729 from RBU (only for O₃) and MDE (for both O₃ and PM_{2.5}) can avoid more premature 730 deaths outside of these regions than within. Considering the effects of foreign emissions 731 on receptor regions, 20% foreign emission reductions lead to more avoided O₃-related 732 premature deaths in EUR, MDE and RBU than domestic reductions. Reductions from 733 all six regions in the transport of air pollution between regions are estimated to lead to 734 more avoided deaths through changes in PM_{2.5} (25,100 (8,200, 35,800) deaths/year) 735 than for O₃ (6,000 (-3,400, 15,500) deaths/year). For NAM and EUR, our estimates of 736 avoided mortality from regional and extra-regional emission reductions are comparable 737 to those estimated by regional models in AQMEII3 (Im et al., 2018) for these same 738 emission reduction experiments. Overall, the spread of modeled air pollutant 739 concentrations contributes most to the uncertainty in mortality estimates, highlighting 740 that using a single model may lead to erroneous conclusions and may underestimate 741 uncertainty in mortality estimates.

For sectoral emission reductions, reducing anthropogenic emissions by 20% in 3
sectors together avoids 48,500 (7,100, 108,000) O₃-related premature deaths and
243,000 (66,800, 357,000) PM_{2.5}-related premature deaths globally. Of the 3 sectors,
TRN had the greatest fraction (26-53%) of O₃-related premature deaths globally and in
most regions, except for EAS (58%) and RBU (38%) where PIN emissions dominate.
For PM_{2.5} mortality, PIN emissions cause the most deaths in most regions (38-78%),
except for SAS (45%) where the TRN emissions dominate.

In this study, we have gone beyond previous TF-HTAP1 studies that quantified premature mortality from interregional air pollution transport, by using more source regions, analyzing source emission sectors, and using updated atmospheric models and 752 health impact functions. The estimate of air transport premature mortality could vary 753 due to differences in exposure estimate (single model vs ensemble model), health 754 impact function, regional definitions, and grid resolutions. These discrepancies 755 highlight uncertainty estimated by different methods in previous studies. Despite 756 uncertainties, our results suggest that reducing pollution transported over a long distance would be beneficial for health, with impacts from all foreign emission 757 758 reductions combined that may be comparable to or even exceed the impacts of emission 759 reductions within a region. Additionally, actions to reduce emissions should target specific sectors within world regions, as different sectors dominate the health effects in 760 761 different regions. This work highlights the importance of long-range air pollution 762 transport, and suggests that estimates of the health benefits of emission reductions on 763 local, national, or continental scales may underestimate the overall health benefits 764 globally, when interregional transport is accounted for. International cooperation to 765 reduce air pollution transported over long distances may therefore be desirable.

766

767 Acknowledgments We sincerely acknowledge the contribution of modeling 768 groups from the second phase of Task Force on Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution 769 (TF-HTAP2). This work was supported by a scholarship from the Taiwan Ministry of 770 Education, grants from NIEHS (1 R21 ES022600-01), and NASA (NNX16AQ30G and 771 NNX16AQ26G), funding from BEIS under the Hadley Centre Climate Programme 772 contract (GA01101) and from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and 773 innovation programme under grant agreement no. 641816 (CRESCENDO). The 774 National Center for Atmospheric Research is sponsored by the National Science 775 Foundation. We thank Dr. Owen Cooper who provided the TOAR ground level O₃ 776 observation dataset, and Michael Brauer for the GBD2013 ground level PM_{2.5} 777 observation dataset.

778

Supporting information A detailed description of the models participating in the
ensemble, a map of six priority regions used in this analysis, and additional results can
be found in the Supporting Information.

782 **References**

Anenberg, S.C., West, J.J., Fiore, A.M., Jaffe, D.A., Prather, M.J., Bergmann, D.,
Cuvelier, K., Dentener, F.J., Duncan, B.N., Gauss, M., Hess, P., Jonson, J.E., Lupu, A.,
MacKenzie, I.A., Marmer, E., Park, R.J., Sanderson, M.G., Schultz, M., Shindell, D.T.,
Szopa, S., Vivanco, M.G., Wild, O., Zeng, G.: Intercontinental Impacts of Ozone
Pollution on Human Mortality. Environmental Science & Technology 43, 6482-6487,
doi: 10.1021/es900518z, 2009.

- 789 Anenberg, S.C., West, J.J., Yu, H., Chin, M., Schulz, M., Bergmann, D., Bey, I., 790 Bian, H., Diehl, T., Fiore, A., Hess, P., Marmer, E., Montanaro, V., Park, R., Shindell, 791 D., Takemura, T., Dentener, F.: Impacts of intercontinental transport of anthropogenic 792 fine particulate matter on human mortality. Air Quality, Atmosphere & Health 7, 369-793 379, https://doi.org/10.1007/s11869-014-0248-9, 2014 794 Barrett, S.R., Britter, R.E., Waitz, I.A.: Global mortality attributable to aircraft 795 cruise emissions. Environ. Sci. Technol 44, 7736-7742, doi: 10.1021/es101325, 2010 796 Bell, M. L., Ebisu, K., Leaderer, B. P., Gent, J. F., Lee, H. J., Koutrakis, P. et al.: Associations of PM2.5 constituents and sources with hospital admissions: analysis of 797 798 four counties in Connecticut and Massachusetts (USA) for persons≥ 65 years of age. 799 Environmental health perspectives, 122, 138. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1306656, 2014. 800 Bell, M.L., Dominici, F., Samet, J.M.: A meta-analysis of time-series studies of 801 ozone and mortality with comparison to the national morbidity, mortality, and air 802 pollution study. Epidemiology 16, 436-445, 2005. 803 Bell, M.L., Zanobetti, A.F., Dominici, F.: Who is more affected by ozone pollution? 804 A systematic review and meta-analysis. Am J Epidemiol 180, 15-28, doi: 805 10.1093/aje/kwu115, 2014 Bhalla, K., Shotten, M., Cohen, A., Brauer, M., Shahraz, S., Burnett, R. et al.: 806 807 Transport for health: the global burden of disease from motorized road transport., 2014 808 Bright, E. A., Coleman, P. R., Rose, A. N., and Urban, M. L.: Land-Scan 2011, 809 Oak Ridge National Laboratory SE, Oak Ridge, TN, 2012. Brook, R.D., Rajagopalan, S., Pope, C.A., 3rd, Brook, J.R., Bhatnagar, A., Diez-810 811 Roux, A.V., Holguin, F., Hong, Y., Luepker, R.V., Mittleman, M.A., Peters, A., 812 Siscovick, D., Smith, S.C., Jr., Whitsel, L., Kaufman, J.D., American Heart Association Council on, E., Prevention, C.o.t.K.i.C.D., Council on Nutrition, P.A., Metabolism: 813 814 Particulate matter air pollution and cardiovascular disease: An update to the scientific 815 statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 121, 2331-2378, doi: 816 10.1161/CIR.0b013e3181dbece1, 2010. 817 Burnett, R. T., Pope III, C. A., Ezzati, M., Olives, C., Lim, S. S., Mehta, S. et al.: 818 An integrated risk function for estimating the global burden of disease attributable to 819 ambient fine particulate matter exposure. Environmental health perspectives, 122, 397, 820 doi: 10.1289/ehp.1307049, 2014. 821 Cohen, Aaron J., et al.: "Estimates and 25-year trends of the global burden of 822 disease attributable to ambient air pollution: an analysis of data from the Global Burden 823 of Diseases Study 2015." The Lancet 389.10082: 1907-1918, DOI: 824 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(17)30505-6, 2017. 825 Chafe, Z.A., Brauer, M., Klimont, Z., Van Dingenen, R., Mehta, S., Rao, S., Riahi, 826 K., Dentener, F., Smith, K.R.: Household cooking with solid fuels contributes to
 - 22

ambient PM_{2.5} air pollution and the burden of disease. Environmental health
perspectives 122, 1314, doi:10.1289/ehp.1206340, 2014.

Chambliss, S., Silva, R., West, J., Zeinali, M., Minjares, R.: Estimating sourceattributable health impacts of ambient fine particulate matter exposure: global
premature mortality from surface transportation emissions in 2005. Environmental
Research Letters 9, 104009, 2014.

Corbett, J.J., Winebrake, J.J., Green, E.H., Kasibhatla, P., Eyring, V., Lauer, A.:
Mortality from ship emissions: a global assessment. Environ. Sci. Technol 41, 85128518, 2007.

Crippa, M., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Guizzardi, D., Van Dingenen, R., Dentener,
F.: Sectorial and regional uncertainty analysis of the contribution of anthropogenic
emissions to regional and global PM2.5 health impacts. Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.,
https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2017-779, in review, 2017.

Dong, X., Fu, J. S., Zhu, Q., Sun, J., Tan, J., Keating, T., Sekiya, T., Sudo, K.,
Emmons, L., Tilmes, S., Jonson, J. E., Schulz, M., Bian, H., Chin, M., Davila, Y., Henze,
D., Takemura, T., Benedictow, A. M. K., and Huang, K.: Long-range Transport Impacts
on Surface Aerosol Concentrations and the Contributions to Haze Events in China: an
HTAP2 Multi-Model Study, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp2018-91, in review, 2018.

B46 Dentener, F., Keating, T., and Akimoto, H. (Eds.): HTAP Hemispheric Transport
of Air Pollution, Part A: Ozone and particulate matter, United Nations Publications,
B48 Geneva, Switzerland, 2010.

Du, Y., Xu, X., Chu, M., Guo, Y., Wang, J.: Air particulate matter and
cardiovascular disease: the epidemiological, biomedical and clinical evidence. Journal
of thoracic disease, 8, E8. doi: 10.3978/j.issn.2072-1439.2015.11.37, 2016.

Doherty, R.M., Wild, O., Shindell, D.T., Zeng, G., MacKenzie, I.A., Collins, W.J.,
Fiore, A.M., Stevenson, D.S., Dentener, F.J., Schultz, M.G., Hess, P., Derwent, R.G.,
Keating, T.J.: Impacts of climate change on surface ozone and intercontinental ozone
pollution: A multi-model study. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 3744–3763,
doi:10.1002/jgrd.50266, 2013.

B.N., West, J.J., Yoshida, Y., Fiore, A.M., Ziemke, J.R.: The influence of
European pollution on ozone in the Near East and northern Africa. Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
8, 2267-2283, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-8-2267-2008, 2008.

Fiore, A., Dentener, F.J., Wild, O., Cuvelier, C., Schultz, M.G., Hess, P., Textor,
C., Schulz, M., Doherty, R.M., Horowitz, L.W.: Multimodel estimates of
intercontinental source-receptor relationships for ozone pollution. J. Geophys. Res.,
114, D04301, doi:10.1029/2008JD010816m, 2009.

864 Fry, M.M., Naik, V., West, J.J., Schwarzkopf, M.D., Fiore, A.M., Collins, W.J.,

Dentener, F.J., Shindell, D.T., Atherton, C., Bergmann, D.: The influence of ozone
precursor emissions from four world regions on tropospheric composition and radiative
climate forcing. J. Geophys. Res., 117, D07306, doi:10.1029/2011JD017134, 2012.

Forouzanfar, Mohammad H et al.: Global, regional, and national comparative risk
assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or
clusters of risks, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease
Study 2015. Lancet2016; 388: 1659–724, DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S01406736(16)31679-8, 2016.

Galmarini, S., Koffi, B., Solazzo, E., Keating, T., Hogrefe, C., Schulz, M.,
Benedictow, A., Griesfeller, J. J., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Carmichael, G., Fu, J., and
Dentener, F.: Technical note: Coordination and harmonization of the multi-scale, multimodel activities HTAP2, AQMEII3, and MICS-Asia3: simulations, emission
inventories, boundary conditions, and model output formats, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17,
1543-1555, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-1543-2017, 2017.

Gryparis, A., Forsberg, B., Katsouyanni, K., Analitis, A., Touloumi, G., Schwartz,
J., Samoli, E., Medina, S., Anderson, H.R., Niciu, E.M., Wichmann, H.E., Kriz, B.,
Kosnik, M., Skorkovsky, J., Vonk, J.M., Dortbudak, Z.: Acute effects of ozone on
mortality from the "air pollution and health: a European approach" project. Am J Respir
Crit Care Med 170, 1080-1087, 2004.

Huang, M., Carmichael, G. R., Pierce, R. B., Jo, D. S., Park, R. J., Flemming, J.,
Emmons, L. K., Bowman, K. W., Henze, D. K., Davila, Y., Sudo, K., Jonson, J. E.,
Tronstad Lund, M., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Dentener, F. J., Keating, T. J., Oetjen, H.,
and Payne, V. H.: Impact of intercontinental pollution transport on North American
ozone air pollution: an HTAP phase 2 multi-model study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17,
5721-5750, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-5721-2017, 2017.

Hamra, G.B., Guha, N., Cohen, A., Laden, F., Raaschou-Nielsen, O., Samet, J.M.,
Vineis, P., Forastiere, F., Saldiva, P., Yorifuji, T., Loomis, D.: Outdoor particulate matter
exposure and lung cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Environ Health
Perspect 122, 906-911, doi:10.1289/ehp.1408092, 2014.

Heald, C.L., Jacob, D.J., Park, R.J., Alexander, B., Fairlie, T.D., Yantosca, R.M.,
Chu, D.A.: Transpacific transport of Asian anthropogenic aerosols and its impact on
surface air quality in the United States. J. Geophys. Res., 111, D14310,
doi:10.1029/2005JD006847, 2006.

Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME): Global Burden of Disease
Study 2010 (GBD 2010) Results by Cause 1990-2010 - Country Level. Seattle, United
States, 2013.

Huang, M., Carmichael, G.R., Pierce, R.B., Jo, D.S., Park, R.J., Flemming, J.,
Emmons, L.K., Bowman, K.W., Henze, D.K., Davila, Y., Sudo, K., Jonson, J.E., Lund,

M.T., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Dentener, F.J., Keating, T.J., Oetjen, H., Payne, V.H.:
Impact of Intercontinental Pollution Transport on North American Ozone Air Pollution:
An HTAP Phase II Multi-model Study. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 5721-5750,
https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-5721-2017, 2017.

907 Im, U., Brandt, J., Geels, C., Hansen, K. M., Christensen, J. H., Andersen, M. S., Solazzo, E., Kioutsioukis, I., Alvuz, U., Balzarini, A., Baro, R., Bellasio, R., Bianconi, 908 909 R., Bieser, J., Colette, A., Curci, G., Farrow, A., Flemming, J., Fraser, A., Jimenez-910 Guerrero, P., Kitwiroon, N., Liang, C.-K., Nopmongcol, U., Pirovano, G., Pozzoli, L., Prank, M., Rose, R., Sokhi, R., Tuccella, P., Unal, A., Vivanco, M. G., West, J., Yarwood, 911 912 G., Hogrefe, C., and Galmarini, S.: Assessment and economic valuation of air pollution 913 impacts on human health over Europe and the United States as calculated by a multi-914 model ensemble in the framework of AQMEII3, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 18, 5967-5989,

- 915 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-18-5967-2018, 2018.
- Ito, K., De Leon, S.F., Lippmann, M.: Associations between Ozone and Daily
 Mortality: Analysis and Meta-Analysis. Epidemiology 16, 446-457, 2005.
- Janssens-Maenhout, G., Crippa, M., Guizzardi, D., Dentener, F., Muntean, M.,
 Pouliot, G., Keating, T., Zhang, Q., Kurokawa, J., Wankmüller, R., Denier van der Gon,
 H., Kuenen, J.J.P., Klimont, Z., Frost, G., Darras, S., Koffi, B., Li, M.: HTAP_v2.2: a
 mosaic of regional and global emission grid maps for 2008 and 2010 to study
 hemispheric transport of air pollution. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 11411-11432,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-11411-2015, 2015.
- Jerrett, M., Burnett, R.T., Pope, C.A., 3rd, Ito, K., Thurston, G., Krewski, D., Shi,
 Y., Calle, E., Thun, M.: Long-term ozone exposure and mortality. N Engl J Med 360,
 1085-1095, doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0803894, 2009.

Krewski, D., Jerrett, M., Burnett, R.T., Ma, R., Hughes, E., Shi, Y., Turner, M.C.,
Pope, C.A., 3rd, Thurston, G., Calle, E.E., Thun, M.J., Beckerman, B., DeLuca, P.,
Finkelstein, N., Ito, K., Moore, D.K., Newbold, K.B., Ramsay, T., Ross, Z., Shin, H.,
Tempalski, B.: Extended follow-up and spatial analysis of the American Cancer Society
study linking particulate air pollution and mortality. Res Rep Health Eff Inst, 5-114;
discussion 115-136, 2009.

Lelieveld, J., Evans, J. S., Fnais, M., Giannadaki, D., Pozzer, A.: The contribution
of outdoor air pollution sources to premature mortality on a global scale. Nature, 525,
367-371, doi:10.1038/nature15371, 2015.

Leibensperger, E.M., Mickley, L.J., Jacob, D.J., Barrett, S.R.H.: Intercontinental
influence of NOx and CO emissions on particulate matter air quality. Atmospheric
Environment 45, 3318-3324, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.02.023, 2011.

Lepeule, J., Laden, F., Dockery, D., Schwartz, J.: Chronic exposure to fineparticles and mortality: an extended follow-up of the Harvard Six Cities study from

941 1974 to 2009. Environ Health Perspect 120, 965-970, doi: 10.1289/ehp.1104660, 2012.

Levy, J.I., Chemerynski, S.M., Sarnat, J.A.: Ozone exposure and mortality: an
empiric bayes metaregression analysis. Epidemiology 16, 458-468, 2005.

Lim, S.S., Vos, T., Flaxman, A.D., Danaei, G., Shibuya, K., Adair-Rohani, H.,
Amann, M., Anderson, H.R. et al.: A comparative risk assessment of burden of disease
and injury attributable to 67 risk factors and risk factor clusters in 21 regions, 19902010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 380,
2224-2260. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(12)61766-8, 2012.

Lin, M., Fiore, A.M., Horowitz, L.W., Cooper, O.R., Naik, V., Holloway, J.,
Johnson, B.J., Middlebrook, A.M., Oltmans, S.J., Pollack, I.B.: Transport of Asian
ozone pollution into surface air over the western United States in spring. J. Geophys.
Res., 117, D00V07, doi:10.1029/2011JD016961, 2012.

Lin, M., Horowitz, L.W., Payton, R., Fiore, A.M., Tonnesen, G.: US surface ozone
trends and extremes from 1980 to 2014: quantifying the roles of rising Asian emissions,
domestic controls, wildfires, and climate. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 17, 2943-2970,
https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-17-2943-2017, 2017.

Liu, J., Mauzerall, D.L., Horowitz, L.W.: Evaluating inter-continental transport of
fine aerosols:(2) Global health impact. Atmospheric Environment 43, 4339-4347, doi:
10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.05.032, 2009a.

Liu, J., Mauzerall, D.L., Horowitz, L.W., Ginoux, P., Fiore, A.M.: Evaluating intercontinental transport of fine aerosols: (1) Methodology, global aerosol distribution and
optical depth. Atmospheric Environment 43, 4327-4338,
https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.03.054, 2009b.

Malley, C., Vallack, H. W., Ashmore, M. R., Kuylenstierna, J. C. I., Henze, D.,
Davila, Y., et al: Updated Global Estimates of Respiratory Mortality in Adults ≥30 Years
of Age Attributable to Long-Term Ozone Exposure. Environmental health perspectives,
125, 1390, doi: 10.1289/ehp.0901220, 2017.

Powell, H., Krall, J. R., Wang, Y., Bell, M. L., and Peng, R. D.: Ambient coarse
particulate matter and hospital admissions in the Medicare Cohort Air Pollution Study,
1999–2010. Environmental health perspectives, 123, 1152. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1408720,
2015.

Pope III, C. A., Burnett, R. T., Turner, M. C., Cohen, A., Krewski, D., Jerrett, M.
et al.: Lung cancer and cardiovascular disease mortality associated with ambient air
pollution and cigarette smoke: shape of the exposure–response relationships.
Environmental health perspectives, 119, 1616. doi: 10.1289/ehp.1103639, 2011.

Punger, E.M., West, J.J.: The effect of grid resolution on estimates of the burden
of ozone and fine particulate matter on premature mortality in the USA. Air Quality,
Atmosphere & Health 6, 563-573, doi:10.1007/s11869-013-0197-8, 2013.Sanderson,

M.G., Dentener, F.J., Fiore, A.M., Cuvelier, C., Keating, T.J., Zuber, A., Atherton, C.S.,
Bergmann, D.J., Diehl, T., Doherty, R.M., Duncan, B.N., Hess, P., Horowitz, L.W.,
Jacob, D.J., Jonson, J.E., Kaminski, J.W., Lupu, A., MacKenzie, I.A., Mancini, E.,
Marmer, E., Park, R., Pitari, G., Prather, M.J., Pringle, K.J., Schroeder, S., Schultz,
M.G., Shindell, D.T., Szopa, S., Wild, O., Wind, P.: A multi-model study of the
hemispheric transport and deposition of oxidised nitrogen. Geophysical Research
Letters 35, L17815, doi:10.1029/2008GL035389, 2008.

986 Silva, R.A., West, J.J., Zhang, Y., Anenberg, S.C., Lamarque, J.-F., Shindell, D.T., Collins, W.J., Dalsoren, S., Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G., Horowitz, L.W., Nagashima, T., 987 988 Naik, V., Rumbold, S., Skeie, R., Sudo, K., Takemura, T., Bergmann, D., Cameron-989 Smith, P., Cionni, I., Doherty, R.M., Eyring, V., Josse, B., MacKenzie, I.A., Plummer, 990 D., Righi, M., Stevenson, D.S., Strode, S., Szopa, S., Zeng, G.: Global premature 991 mortality due to anthropogenic outdoor air pollution and the contribution of past climate 992 change. Environmental Research Letters 8, doi: 10.1088/1748-9326/8/3/034005, 2013. 993 Silva, R.A., Adelman, Z., Fry, M.M., West, J.J.: The Impact of Individual

Anthropogenic Emissions Sectors on the Global Burden of Human Mortality due to
Ambient Air Pollution. Environ. Health Perspect., Environ. Health Perspect. 124:1776–
1784, 2016a.

Silva, R. A., West, J. J., Lamarque, J.-F., Shindell, D.T., et al.: The effect of future
ambient air pollution on human premature mortality to 2100 using output from the
ACCMIP model ensemble, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 9847-9862,
https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-9847-2016, 2016b.

1001 Stieb, D.M., Szyszkowicz, M., Rowe, B.H., Leech, J.A.: Air pollution and 1002 emergency department visits for cardiac and respiratory conditions: a multi-city time-1003 series analysis. Environmental Health 8, 25, doi: 10.1186/1476-069X-8-25, 2009.

Stjern, C.W., Samset, B.H., Myhre, G., Bian, H., Chin, M., Davila, Y., Dentener,
F., Emmons, L., Flemming, J., Haslerud, A.S., Henze, D., Jonson, J.E., Kucsera, T.,
Lund, M.T., Schulz, M., Sudo, K., Takemura, T., Tilmes, S.: Global and regional
radiative forcing from 20 % reductions in BC, OC and SO4 – an HTAP2 multi-model
study. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 13579-13599, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-135792016, 2016.

Schultz MG, Schröder S, Lyapina O, Cooper O, Galbally I, Petropavlovskikh I, et
al.: Tropospheric Ozone Assessment Report: Database and Metrics Data of Global
Surface Ozone Observations. Elem Sci Anth.,5:58,
DOI:http://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.244, 2017

1014 Turner, M. C., Jerrett, M., Pope, C. A., Krewski, D., Gapstur, S. M., Diver, W. R.,
1015 et al. : Long-Term Ozone Exposure and Mortality in a Large Prospective Study.
1016 American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, 193, 1134–1142.

1017 http://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201508-1633OC, 2016.

- West, J. J., Naik, V., Horowitz, L. W., and Fiore, A. M.: Effect of regional precursor
 emission controls on long-range ozone transport Part 1: Short-term changes in ozone
 air quality, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 6077-6093, https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-60772009, 2009a.
- West, J. J., Naik, V., Horowitz, L. W., and Fiore, A. M.: Effect of regional precursor
 emission controls on long-range ozone transport Part 2: Steady-state changes in ozone
 air quality and impacts on human mortality, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 6095-6107,
 https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-6095-2009, 2009b.

West, J. J., A. Cohen, F. Dentener, B. Burnekreef, T. Zhu, B. Armstrong, M. L. et
al.: What we breathe impacts our health: improving understanding of the link between
air pollution and health, Environmental Science & Technology, 50: 4895-4904, doi:
1029 10.1021/acs.est.5b03827, 2016.

Wild, O., Akimoto, H.: Intercontinental transport of ozone and its precursors in a
three-dimensional global CTM. J. Geophys. Res., 106, 27729–27744,
doi:10.1029/2000JD000123, 2001.

Yu, H., Chin, M., West, J.J., Atherton, C.S., Bellouin, N., Bergmann, D., Bey, I.,
Bian, H., Diehl, T., Forberth, G.: A multimodel assessment of the influence of regional
anthropogenic emission reductions on aerosol direct radiative forcing and the role of
intercontinental transport. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 118, 700-720,
doi: 10.1029/2012JD018148, 2013.

Yu, H., L. A. Remer, M. Chin, H. Bian, R. G. et al.: A satellite-based assessment
of transpacific transport of pollution aerosol, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D14S12,
doi:10.1029/2007JD009349, 2008.

Young PJ, Naik V, Fiore AM, Gaudel A, Guo J, Lin MY, et al.: Tropospheric Ozone
Assessment Report: Assessment of global-scale model performance for global and
regional ozone distributions, variability, and trends. Elem Sci Anth. 6(1):10, DOI:
<u>http://doi.org/10.1525/elementa.265</u>, 2018.

Zhang, Q., Jiang, X., Tong, D., Davis, S.J., Zhao, H., Geng, G., Feng, T., Zheng,
B., Lu, Z., Streets, D.G.: Transboundary health impacts of transported global air
pollution and international trade. Nature 543, 705-709, doi:10.1038/nature21712, 2017.

1048	Table 1. Population-weighted multi-model mean O ₃ (ppb) and PM _{2.5} concentration (µg/m ³) for the 2010 baseline, for the 6-month O ₃ season
1049	average of 1-hr. daily maximum O ₃ and annual average PM _{2.5} , shown with the standard deviation among models.

Sconarios			Receptor regions						
	Scenarios	NAM	EUR	SAS	EAS	MDE	RBU	World	
	O ₃	56 51 + 0 40	18 38+8 05	65 72+10 08	50 10+10 46	61 11+0 70	16 70+7 53	53 74+8 03	
	(11 models)	50.5129.40	40.30±0.03	05.72±10.08	J9.10±10.40	01.11±9.79	40.79±7.33	<i>33.14</i> <u>1</u> 0.05	
	PM _{2.5}	9 36+2 62	10 75+3 87	37 05+8 74	39 27+13 50	34 49+17 64	11 61+3 52	25 98+5 05	
	(8 models)	9.30±2.02	10.75±5.87	37.0 <u>3</u> ±0.74	59.27±15.50	54.49±17.04	11.01±3.32	23.98-3.03	

1060Table 2. Population-weighted multi-model mean change in O_3 (ppb) in receptor regions due to 20% regional (NAM, EUR, SAS, MDE and RBU),1061sectoral (PIN, TRN and RES) and global (GLO) anthropogenic emission reductions, for the 6-month O_3 season average of 1-hr. daily maximum.1062The diagonal, showing the effect of each region on itself, is underlined. All numbers are rounded to the nearest hundredth, and are shown with

1063 standard deviations among models.

Source							
regions/sectors	NAM	EUR	SAS	EAS	MDE	RBU	World
NAM	<u>-1.88±0.06</u>	-0.26±0.55	-0.04±0.14	-0.11±0.06	-0.23±0.12	-0.21±0.09	-0.19±0.07
EUR	-0.08 ± 0.04	<u>-0.80±0.55</u>	0.01 ± 0.14	-0.10±0.06	-0.34±0.08	-0.34±0.09	-0.14 ± 0.07
SAS	-0.05 ± 0.02	-0.04 ± 0.02	<u>-3.65±0.94</u>	-0.08±0.04	-0.11±0.04	-0.04±0.03	-0.90±0.22
EAS	-0.29±0.14	-0.25±0.13	-0.09±0.22	<u>-1.96±1.10</u>	-0.23±0.12	-0.27±0.12	-0.58±0.25
MDE	-0.04 ± 0.02	-0.05±0.01	-0.07±0.15	-0.03±0.01	<u>-1.23±0.66</u>	-0.11±0.01	-0.09 ± 0.04
RBU	-0.05 ± 0.04	-0.13±0.05	0.03±0.16	-0.08±0.06	-0.10±0.07	<u>-0.45±0.38</u>	-0.05±0.06
PIN	-1.13±0.28	-0.70±0.19	-1.43±0.18	-1.58±0.88	-1.09±0.45	-0.69±0.31	-1.11±0.25
TRN	-1.26±0.42	-0.81±0.34	-2.05±0.32	-0.73±0.32	-1.40±0.17	-0.71±0.19	-1.13±0.19
RES	-0.24±0.09	-0.21±0.04	-1.19±0.44	-0.62±0.10	-0.23±0.06	-0.18±0.03	-0.57±0.14
GLO	-2.86±0.77	-1.98±0.66	-4.40±1.04	-2.77±1.21	-2.84±0.70	-1.76±0.52	-2.82±0.53

1066 Table 3. Population-weighted multi-model annual average change in $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations ($\mu g/m^3$) in receptor regions due to 20% regional (NAM, 1067 EUR, SAS, MDE and RBU), sectoral (PIN, TRN and RES) and global (GLO) anthropogenic emission reductions. The diagonal, showing the effect 1068 of each region on itself, is underlined. All numbers are rounded to the nearest hundredth, and are shown with standard deviations among models.

Source				Receptor region			
regions/sectors	NAM	EUR	SAS	EAS	MDE	RBU	World
NAM	<u>-1.33±0.66</u>	-0.03±0.02	0.00 ± 0.01	-0.02 ± 0.02	-0.01±0.01	-0.01±0.01	-0.08 ± 0.04
EUR	-0.01±0.00	<u>-1.17±0.87</u>	-0.01±0.01	-0.02±0.01	-0.18±0.08	-0.26±0.19	-0.13±0.09
SAS	<-0.01	<-0.01	<u>-4.86±2.17</u>	-0.08±0.08	-0.03±0.02	<-0.01	-1.16±0.51
EAS	-0.03±0.01	-0.02±0.01	-0.08±0.07	<u>-6.19±3.08</u>	<-0.01	-0.04±0.02	-1.45±0.71
MDE	<-0.01	-0.03±0.01	-0.12±0.06	-0.01±0.02	<u>-0.91±0.38</u>	-0.05±0.03	-0.08±0.03
RBU	<-0.01	-0.07±0.05	-0.01±0.02	-0.04 ± 0.02	-0.03±0.02	<u>-0.78±0.50</u>	-0.05±0.03
PIN	-0.61±0.18	-0.57±0.26	-1.73±0.71	-2.75±0.99	-0.92±0.14	-0.58±0.19	-1.46±0.56
TRN	-0.27±0.20	-0.38±0.41	-0.82±0.88	-0.54±0.43	-0.09±0.06	-0.15±0.16	-0.40±0.37
RES	-0.20±0.05	-0.27±0.12	-1.93±0.40	-1.70±0.28	-0.08±0.02	-0.20±0.05	-1.17±0.31
GLO	-1.47±0.72	-1.52±1.04	-5.40±2.31	-6.76±3.29	-1.55±0.75	-1.19±0.73	<u>-3.49±1.51</u>

1069 1070

1072Table 4. Annual multi-model empirical mean O_3 - and $PM_{2.5}$ -related premature deaths with 95% CI from Monte-Carlo simulations in parenthesis1073(including uncertainty in baseline mortality rates, RRs and air pollutant concentration across models) in year 2010 baseline. All numbers are

1074 rounded to three significant figures or the nearest 100 deaths. Empirical mean is the mean of 1,000 Monte Carlo simulations.

	Receptor region								
	NAM	EUR	SAS	EAS	MDE	RBU	World		
O ₃	15,000	13,000	136,000	100,000	3,200	2,900	291,000		
(11 models)	(900-30,000)	(600-28,000)	(23,000 - 277,000)	(3,900-213,000)	(300-7,000)	(100-6,600)	(30,000 - 596,000)		
PM _{2.5}	72,000	203,000	732,000	1,120,000	79,000	177,000	2,770,000		
(8 models)	(1,500-158,000)	(2,700-463,000)	(328,000-1,110,000)	(159,000 - 1,720,000)	(600–133,000)	(2,700 – 358,000)	(514,000-4,640,000)		

Table 5. Annual avoided multi-model empirical mean O_3 -related premature respiratory deaths with 95% CI from Monte-Carlo simulations in parenthesis due to 20 % regional (NAM, EUR, SAS, MDE and RBU), sectoral (PIN, TRN and RES) and global (GLO) anthropogenic emission reductions in each region and worldwide. The diagonal, showing the effect of each region on itself, is underlined. For regional reductions, we also the RERER (eq. 4) as the percent of total avoided deaths in each receptor region that result from foreign emission reductions, as well as the percent of global avoided deaths from emission reductions in each source region. All numbers are rounded to three significant figures or the nearest 10 deaths.

Source				Receptor region				Impact on foreign
regions/sectors	NAM	EUR	SAS	EAS	MDE	RBU	World	receptor regions
NAM	<u>1,500</u>	330	170	500	30	70	2,800	46%
INAM	(-170 - 4,000)	(10 - 780)	(-250-690)	(-910-2,200)	(0 - 80)	(0 - 170)	(-1,300-8,400)	4070
EUR	60	<u>930</u>	-80	490	50	110	1,700	4504
	(-80-240)	(-70-2,400)	(-880-670)	(-1,100-2,300)	(10 - 110)	(10 - 250)	(-490-4,900)	4,570
242	40	50	<u>19,000</u>	420	20	10	20,000	5%
542	(-40-130)	(-30-160)	(4,000-42,000)	(-340 - 1,400)	(0 - 40)	(-10 - 40)	(3,600 - 42,200)	570
ΕΛS	230	310	450	<u>9,700</u>	30	80	11,400	15%
EAS	(-50-630)	(-50-850)	(-1,300-2,400)	(-2,000-26,400)	(0 - 100)	(-10-230)	(-3,300-31,800)	1.5 /0
MDE	30	60	310	160	<u>180</u>	30	870	70%
MDL	(-30-120)	(-50-190)	(-90-910)	(-120-520)	<u>(-10-480)</u>	(0 - 70)	(-330-2,600)	1970
DDI	40	150	-200	420	20	<u>140</u>	640	78%
KDU	(-60-170)	(-50 - 440)	(-1,700-1,200)	(-620 - 1,700)	(-10-60)	(-60 - 420)	(120 - 1,300)	70/0
DIN	900	850	7,400	7,800	140	210	19,300	
1 110	(100 - 2, 100)	(40 - 2, 100)	(1,800 - 15,400)	(3,100-20,900)	(30-330)	(-100-650)	(1,400-45,000)	-
TDN	1,000	970	10,600	3,500	210	200	18,800	
IKIV	(-20-2,600)	(-270-2,800)	(2,600 - 22,000)	(-420-9,300)	(50 - 440)	(20 - 490)	(3,000 - 41,600)	-
RES	200	250	6,000	3,000	30	60	10,400	
	(-20-510)	(40 - 550)	(1,600 - 12,200)	(670 - 6,300)	(0 - 80)	(10 - 120)	(2,700 - 21,100)	-
CLO	2,300	2,400	22,600	13,500	400	550	47,400	
ULU	(80-5,600)	(250-5,400)	(6,200-46,000)	(1,500 - 30,300)	(80-940)	(80-1,210)	<u>(11,300-99,000)</u>	<u>-</u>
RERER	34%	61%	16%	28%	55%	75%	<u>-</u>	

Table 6. Annual avoided multi-model empirical mean $PM_{2.5}$ -related premature deaths (IHD+STROKE+COPD+LC) with 95% CI from Monte-Carlo simulations in parenthesis due to 20 % regional (NAM, EUR, SAS, MDE and RBU), sectoral (PIN, TRN and RES) and global (GLO) anthropogenic emission reductions in each region and worldwide. The diagonal, showing the effect of each region on itself, is underlined. For regional reductions, we also the RERER (eq. 4) as the percent of total avoided deaths in each receptor region that result from foreign emission reductions, as well as the percent of global avoided deaths from emission reductions in each source region. All numbers are rounded to three significant figures or the nearest 10 deaths.

Source	Receptor region Impa							Impact on foreign
regions/sectors	NAM	EUR	SAS	EAS	MDE	RBU	World	receptor regions
NAM	<u>18,000</u>	640	10	200	10	250	19,400	70/
INAM	(630 - 28,300)	(80 - 1, 100)	(-210-80)	(-300-370)	(0 - 30)	(90 - 420)	(310-30,600)	1 %0
FUR	60	<u>31,900</u>	120	390	400	2,700	39,400	10%
LUK	(20 - 110)	(4,500-53,900)	(-60-190)	(-20-550)	(30 - 1,400)	(680-8,000)	(5,500-63,400)	1 7 /0
545	50	110	<u>47,900</u>	1,400	40	40	51,300	7%
JAJ	(-10-90)	(0 - 200)	(30,000-68,500)	(-70-2,100)	(0 - 150)	(10 - 110)	(32,300-73,300)	170
EAS	340	400	900	<u>91,100</u>	10	800	96,600	6%
	(40 - 510)	(20 - 690)	(590 - 1,400)	<u>(440-128,700)</u>	(0 - 30)	(0-1,300)	(3,500-136,000)	070
MDF	30	420	1,400	180	<u>1,600</u>	640	5,000	68%
MDL	(0-60)	(90-850)	(740 - 2,400)	(-610-460)	<u>(240-4,500)</u>	(30 - 1,600)	(1,900 - 11,100)	0070
RBU	40	2,200	90	810	80	<u>17,600</u>	21,500	18%
KD U	(10-60)	(300 - 3,700)	(-220-190)	(330 - 1, 100)	(10 - 220)	(390 - 25,700)	(900 - 31,000)	1070
PIN	9,300	15,700	21,000	47,310	2,200	14,300	128,000	_
1 11 ((940-13,000)	(1,900 - 24,700)	(8,400-30,700)	(22,600-69,700)	(200-6,100)	(0-24,100)	(41,600-179,000)	_
TRN	3,600	8,900	6,200	6,800	230	3,100	31,900	_
IIII	(-320-7,000)	(130 - 17,400)	(-12,800 - 14,400)	(-6,400-12,200)	(10 - 770)	(0-5,400)	(-16,500-58,300)	_
DEC	2,900	6,900	25,000	29,300	200	4,600	83,400	_
KL5	(110 - 4,400)	(210 - 11, 300)	(15,100-40,700)	(13,200-52,900)	(10-520)	(0-8,100)	(41,700 - 120,000)	_
GLO	19,900	40,900	55,300	105,000	2,800	26,700	<u>290,000</u>	_
OLO	(710 - 31, 300)	(4,900-68,100)	(36,500-78,300)	(4,000 - 147,000)	(330 - 8,400)	(2,300 - 36,000)	(67,100-405,000)	<u> </u>
RERER	10%	22%	13%	13%	42%	34%	=	

1089Table 7. Comparison of O_3 and $PM_{2.5}$ -related premature deaths attributable to PIN,1090TRN and RES emissions with previous studies. Results from this study (for 20%1091reductions) are multiplied by 5. For Silva et al. (2016), we combine results for "Energy"1092and "Industry" to represent PIN, and use "Land transportation" to represent TRN and1093"Residential & Commercial" to represent RES. For Lelieveld et al. (2015), we combine1094the "Power generation" and "Industry" sectors to represent PIN, and use "Land Traffic"1095to represent TRN, and "Residential Energy" to represent RES.

Emission source sector	This study	Silva et al. (2016)	Lelieveld et al. (2015)
DIN	O ₃ : 96,500 (7,000, 225,000)	O ₃ : 111,000 (23,200, 240,000)	$O_3 + PM_{2.5}$
L IIN	PM _{2.5} : 640,000 (208,000, 895,000)	PM _{2.5} :613,000 (422,000, 816,000)	(692,000)
TDN	O ₃ : 94,000 (15,000, 208,000)	O ₃ : 80,900 (17,400, 180,000)	$O_3 + PM_{2.5}$
IKIN	PM _{2.5} : 160,000 (-82,500, 292,000)	PM _{2.5} : 212,000 (114,000, 292,000)	(165,000)
DES	O ₃ : 52,000 (13,500, 106,000)	O ₃ : 53,700(12,300, 116,000)	$O_3 + PM_{2.5}$
NE S	PM _{2.5} :417,000 (209,000, 600,000)	PM _{2.5} :675,000 (428,000, 899,000)	(1,020,000)



Figure 1– Global difference in multi-model mean O₃ concentrations (ppb) in 20%
emission reduction scenarios relative to the baseline for the year 2010 in a) North
America (NAM), b) Europe (EUR), c) East Asia (EAS), d) South Asia (SAS), e) Middle
East (MDE), f) Russia/Belarus/Ukraine (RBU), g) Power and Industry (PIN), h)
Transportation (TRN), i) Residential (RES) and j) Global (GLO), shown for the 6-mo.
O₃ season average of 1-hr. daily maximum health relevant metric.





Figure 2– Global difference in multi-model annual mean PM_{2.5} concentrations (µg/m³)
in 20% emission reduction scenarios relative to the baseline for the year 2010 in a)
North America (NAM), b) Europe (EUR), c) East Asia (EAS), d) South Asia (SAS), e)
Middle East (MDE), f) Russia/Belarus/Ukraine (RBU), g) Power and Industry (PIN),
h) Transportation (TRN), Residential (RES) and j) Global (GLO).



1110

1111 Figure 3. Annual avoided O₃-related premature deaths in 2010 per 1,000 km² due to 20

% emission reduction scenarios relative to the base case in a) North America (NAM),
b) Europe (EUR), c) East Asia (EAS), d) South Asia (SAS), e) Middle East (MDE), f)

1114 Russia/Belarus/Ukraine (RBU), g) Power and Industry (PIN), h) Transportation (TRN),

i) Residential (RES) and j) Global (GLO).



Figure 4. Annual avoided O₃-related premature deaths in 2010 per million people due
to 20 % emission reduction scenarios relative to the base case in a) North America
(NAM), b) Europe (EUR), c) East Asia (EAS), d) South Asia (SAS), e) Middle East
(MDE), f) Russia/Belarus/Ukraine (RBU), g) Power and Industry (PIN), h)
Transportation (TRN), i) Residential (RES) and j) Global (GLO)



1122

Figure 5. Annual avoided PM_{2.5}-related premature deaths in 2010 per 1,000 km² due to
20 % emission reduction scenarios relative to the base case in a) North America (NAM),
b) Europe (EUR), c) East Asia (EAS), d) South Asia (SAS), e) Middle East (MDE), f)

1126 Russia/Belarus/Ukraine (RBU), g) Power and Industry (PIN), h) Transportation (TRN),

i) Residential (RES) and j) Global (GLO).



Figure 6. Annual avoided $PM_{2.5}$ -related premature deaths in 2010 per million people due to 20 % emission reduction scenarios) relative to the base case in a) North America

(NAM), b) Europe (EUR), c) East Asia (EAS), d) South Asia (SAS), e) Middle East
(MDE), f) Russia/Belarus/Ukraine (RBU), g) Power and Industry (PIN), h)
Transportation (TRN), i) Residential (RES) and j) Global (GLO).