

Interactive comment on “The atmospheric impacts of monoterpene ozonolysis on global stabilised Criegee intermediate budgets and SO₂ oxidation: experiment, theory and modelling” by Mike J. Newland et al.

R. Chhantyal-Pun

rc13564@bristol.ac.uk

Received and published: 19 January 2018

Please could the authors clarify why a value of 819 (± 190) s^{-1} is being used for $(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{COO}$ unimolecular reaction rate coefficient? The recent IUPAC task group on atmospheric chemical kinetic data evaluation's preferred value is 397 s^{-1} at 298 K. Is the authors' global modelling study affected if more accurate rate coefficients are used?

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2017-1095>,
2017.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)

