

Interactive comment on "Stratospheric ozone measurements at Arosa (Switzerland): History and scientific relevance" by Johannes Staehelin et al.

Johannes Staehelin et al.

johannes.staehelin@env.ethz.ch

Received and published: 8 March 2018

We would like to thank Referee 1 for his/her very competent and careful review and the valuable suggestions. We first show the comments of the reviewers, following our replies.

This is the first review of the paper by Staehelin et al. titled "Stratospheric ozone measurements at Arosa (Switzerland): History and scientific relevance". The manuscript depicts the history of Arosa's longest ozone record and describes different periods in the record. It is very important to understand the circumstances that brought public interest and financial support for the ozone research at Arosa. From the very beginning, the need for the continuous surface and total column ozone measurements was

C1

publicized to benefit public health (i.e. studies of the tuberculosis, UV-exposure related cancer, pollution, etc.). The importance of continuous measurements has been recognized due to short term (meteorological) and inter-annual (Dobson-Brewer circulation) variability that impacts ozone measurements. The laboratory studies of the CFCs in 1970-1980s and their link to the destruction of stratospheric ozone required verification by observations. It was possible through analyses of very long and stable record that provided information on ozone depletion detected in the 1990s. Researchers used Arosa record for ozone trend analyses and attribution of changes to anthropogenic activities, volcanic aerosols and climate drivers. The manuscript also outlines management approach that allowed Arosa research to continue with data collection during multiple potential interruptions for funding sources. This is very important lesson to current observational programs struggling with financial support. There are lessons learned on how to create successful project through collaboration between different organizations, including perseverance of scientists reaching out to the state government programs and private sector, and using scientific publications to emphasize the importance of the continued ozone record. Acknowledgement of the importance of ozone observations by international bodies of the World Meteorological organization and the Parties of the Montreal Protocol has been indeed very important tool used by observatories to seek continuous observation funding. There is also a concern about future move of Dobson instruments to Davos. This is not the first time when the merger of the Arosa and Davos ozone observing programs was proposed. In the past, it was possible to argue about importance of the continuous record and impacts of the local meteorological and anthropogenic influences on the record that can interfere with monitoring of ozone recovery. The studies of comparability of the records between Brewer measurements at two locations has been published that argue that both locations show little difference. However, it is still important to validate the move of the historical Dobson instruments to Davos. It is very important to provide information of the move to scientific community and engage scientists in the research of the impacts of location on continuous longest ozone record in the World.

Here are my suggestion for the paper. 1) It will be nice to create the graph that would show the time line that combines information about the subject of the research at Arosa with funding information, and also includes important research publications dates in the time line. It may be possible to add this information in Figure 4 (Figure needs to be enlarged for final publication – too small font and hard to read). Our reply: We followed the idea of the referee and produced an overview Figure, Figure 9 in the revised manuscript. We took the data of Fig. 6 instead of Fig. 4 (originally submitted manuscript) as proposed by the referee. 2) In Conclusion section, it would be nice to have "Lessons Learned" for managing of the station operations: few bullets about actions that helped to organize data gathering and research. Our reply: We followed the advice of the referee summarizing the most important points to run the station in bullets points (see Section 9 (Summary and Conclusions), 4. paragraph in the revised manuscript).

Detailed comments: p. 5 line 143-144 "After the first conjectures that the amount of biologically active UV-radiation was determined by stratospheric ozone levels" - is there a reference for the paper? Is it Götz, 1926. Our reply: The title of the paper Götz 1926 shows that Götz was aware that ozone is responsible for the transparency of the atmosphere for solar UV-B radiation. This relationship was first discussed by Hartley (1871). However, we wanted to emphasize that Götz who was interested to understand UV radiation at the Earth's surface started to study stratospheric ozone because he was not satisfied by measurement of UV-climatology. In a presentation to the Royal Institute of Public Health in May 1929 he explains his scientific approach (which was obviously different to the view of Dorno from Davos): "From the beginning the measurements of the UV light in Arosa are not only designed on a statistical basis, but also driven by the desire to understand the variability. And the observed higher UV-levels in the autumn with respect to the spring observed in the series 1921 to 1924 can only be explained by the weaker ozone layer in the autumn. The variations of the ozone layer which has first been observed quantitatively by Fabry and Buisson are decisive for the comprehension of the behavior of the UV-Radiation which is essential for the life" (for more detail

C3

see Staehelin and Viatte, in prep.). We modified the manuscript, see Section 2.3, 2. paragraph. p.10, line 297, please provide the year when Payerne joined the GRUAN program. Member since 2008, (fully) certified in 2015 (see revised manuscript, Section 4.2, 1. paragraph) p.10, lines 297-298, "To apply the statistical Langley plot method a large number of ozone observations with different solar angles is required and .." - Was Langley plot method applied to other Dobson instruments outside of Arosa? What is the limitation of the Langley method and why it was not successful at other stations? Our reply: The statistical Langely plot method was used in the Halley Bay total ozone record of the British Antarctic survey, which was used to discover the ozone hole over Antarctica (Farman et al., 1985, see Section 4.2, second paragraph in the revised manuscript). The application of the statistical Langley plot method requires a large number of individual measurements covering an extended range of my-values of a large number of days and the application of the method is time consuming. I think the requirement of a large number of measurements and the rather time consuming data analysis are the reason why the method is not commonly used as well as the regular intercomparisons organized under the auspices of WMO. p. 15, line 438-440. Please provide information about Our reply: For technical information concerning new electronics and use of Brewer spectrophotometers at Arosa we refer to the report of Staehelin and Viatte, which is in prep. whereas the use of Arosa data to study temperature sensitivity is discussed in the first paragraph in 6.2.4 in the revised manuscript. p. 16, lines 452-469. This section needs to be expanded to provide more information on how Arosa data contributed to the AO, NAO and ENSO studies. It is mentioned very briefly now, but it is very important contribution. Our reply: We extended the discussion in the revised manuscript. p. 16, line 484, since this paper discusses the value of the long-term observations, it would be good to state that the observations provides reference for validation and improvement of the models. Our reply: We added Eyring et al., 2013 as reference p. 18, Summary and Conclusion: It would be nice to organize this section to more clearly emphasize benefits of Arosa record to the ozone science. You already have statements in regards to validation of satellite stability, consistency in

the merged satellite records. I wonder if it can be separated into individual paragraphs that can read as an expanded bullets. Our reply: We summarized our experience from the last decades in form of bullet points (see above) p. 18, lines 549-553. I suggest adding the years of Arosa record to each of the justifications. It will show reader how sometimes focus of the society comes back in later years when more theoretical information and observations become available to renew the subject of the early studies. Our reply: We modified the manuscript accordingly (Section 8, second paragraph). p.18, lines 553-555 – this needs to be a separate paragraph concentrating on the need for future ozone measurements. The validation of future satellite stability, resolving differences between satellite records, and help to bridge possible breaks in the satellite records can be mentioned here. As well as continuous validation of the CCM, CTM and regional model, can be added to this paragraph. Our reply: The points related to the future including validation of numerical simulation are discussed in the second paragraph of Section 8 in the revised manuscript. Mentioning of the Copernicus project is also important. Help with validation of the new ozone measuring instruments is one of the Dobson network objectives. Providing training for future scientists, including training of operators from developing countries must be mentioned in this section. Our reply: These points are addressed in the last paragraph of Section 8. p. 18 lines 555-559 - this is very important section on the management of the funding for station observations, including personal communications of scientists with funding agencies. This needs to be emphasized in the "lessons learned" . Our reply: This discussion is covered by 5. paragraph of Section 8. p. 18, line 565 - remove second "cost". Our reply: Done p18, lines 560-566, p. 19 lines 567-578. It is important to mention guiding role of the WMO ozone SAG committee and Ozone research managers' report that set goals for the WMO Dobson network. It seems that this venue should be used more often than not to support the funding needs for individual countries. You should also emphasize that Arosa record on its own would not be able to succeed or become widely used without collaborations with the WMO Dobson network and NDACC. The recent efforts in the Brewer COST action project are aimed at creating the organized

C5

collaborative network of ozone measurements in Europe and homogenization of the near-real time processing of ozone data from multiple Brewer instruments. The effort to retain all individual station data on the same scale was the most important step in creating the homogenized operations for the Dobson network in 1980s. Our reply: We tried to cover these points in the last paragraph of Section 8. We also improved several formulation in the manuscript.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2017-1079, 2017.