Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2017-1076-AC1, 2018 © Author(s) 2018. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License.





Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Spatial and Temporal Variability of Interhemispheric Transport Times" *by* Xiaokang Wu et al.

Xiaokang Wu et al.

waugh@jhu.edu

Received and published: 4 April 2018

We thank the reviewers for their helpful comments. Our responses to specific reviewer's comments are given below.

ANONYMOUS REFEREE 1

The authors have done several new things in this study, and the paper would be improved by highlighting the novel aspects (interannual variability, robust seasonal analysis, comparison with data) of the work. Generally, more discussion of mechanisms, why the results matter, and improved framing would take this paper from being a simple advance in the examination of inter-hemispheric transport to being a more interesting and useful contribution.

Printer-friendly version



Response: We thank the reviewer for their suggestions, and as described below we have revised the manuscript to provide improved justification and more discussion of mechanisms and links to meteorology.

Introduction: The previous literature on interhemispheric transport is treated well. It is not clear from the discussion why neglecting the seasonal and interannual variability in inter-hemispheric transport matters, and why it is important to consider both shorter lived and longer lived trace gases. ... A stronger connection to the chemistry would go a long way for motivating the rest of the study.

Response: We have including the following paragraph in the Introduction that follows the reviewers comments: "However, understanding the temporal variability of the transport is important for understanding and interpreting the observed temporal variations in tracer concentrations, and determining the relative role of changes in transport, emissions, sinks, and chemistry for different species. For example, observations of methyl chloroform, or other species with reaction with OH as their primary sink, can be used to infer the abundance of OH (Krol and Lelieveld 2003, Prinn et al 2005, Montzka et al 2011, Liang et al 2017), and knowledge of the seasonal and interannual variability of the transport is required to isolate similar variability in the OH abundance. Similarly, knowledge of the interannual variability of transport from the NH is required for estimates of the variability in emissions or sinks (ocean uptake) of CO2 from measurements of CO2 in the SH (e.g., Francey and Frederiksen 2016)."

In each section, explain what we expect to see. The ITCZ is known to drive interhemispheric transport and ENSO is known to change tropical variability in DJF, so the results are not surprising. Unfortunately, the paper currently reads as a methodical discussion of plots. In my opinion, the story of this paper would be more compelling if it were presented as "this is what we expect to see", "let's check-this is what we see", and "these are interesting details".

Response: We have revised the discussion to address the reviewers concerns. In



Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



some places we can start with "this is what we expect", but in other sections we feel it is better to look at general features before focusing on a particular aspect (e.g. while ENSO is a major contributor to interannual variability it is not the only issue and we prefer not to start with a discussion of ENSO).

For the ENSO discussion, an explanation of why the weaker Walker circulation would lead to the pattern of age difference would be nice. The comparison with the wind anomalies in Wang and Fiedler (2006) in Fig. 2c definitely makes Fig. 9a seem reasonable. The current discussion based on the case study comparison of one El Nino and one La Nina year is less convincing than a more general discussion would be. For example, if the variations in deep convection in the SPCZ region are the norm for La Nina years, a citation here would be helpful. For JJA interannual variability, it is not as obvious what mechanisms are at play.

Response: We have replaced the case study of ENSO with composites, including those from two other simulations. The discussion now focuses on the general features rather individual features that may be only in a single event.

Perhaps the variability is related to changes in the monsoon and therefore the phasing of the MJO (the strong variability in age and the mean age contours are both apparently coincident with the Somali jet, and the authors discuss the ascent in this region). Since ENSO is the primary signal of interannual climate variability, I would also think that checking whether JJA age is correlated with the ONI would be worthwhile, just in case. I do not expect the authors to do any extensive calculations for these dynamical connections rather, I ask for a discussion of the transport in the context of the tropical dynamics. I think a different, longer model run would be necessary to get at the details here, and that is beyond the scope of this paper.

Response: We agree that phasing of the MJO may be playing a role, but we only have monthly-mean output which limits our ability to analyze the MJO. We have however, include a figure and discussion of the ENSO influence during JJA.

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



I find the comparison to observations makes this paper more valuable; this should be emphasized in the introduction, and the discussion should be expanded (p. 7).

Response: We have expanded the discussion on page 7 a little, but there is not a lot more we can say given the limited data, and have included the following in the Conclusions: "Trace gas observations from surface stations provide support for these model results: The SF6 age derived from tropical measurements varies seasonally with the latitude of the ITCZ in a similar manner to the simulated ideal age, and lower concentrations of tracers with NH sources are observed at the America Samoa station during El Nino years (consistent with slower transport)."

The point that is made in this conclusion is that by examining a bunch of CCMI models, the robustness of these relationships could be tested. I would contend that we fully expect the gradients of age to be tightly coupled to convection and that Orbe's work has shown as much-these relationships don't really need to be tested. However, differences in these relationships between models might have interesting implications for the impacts of dynamics and convective parameterization on model transport and chemistry and how they differ in the CCMI models.

Response: We have removed this paragraph as this was not central to our conclusions, and we agree with the reviewer that robustness doesn't need to be tested.

*P4L16: Why do you use CAM SD? What advantages (and disadvantages) does using the nudged run have for this study?

Response: We have included the following in Section 2. "As the CAM-C1SD simulation uses meteorology from reanalyses it has the advantage over free-running simulations (in which the meteorology is generated internally) in that the tracer distributions can then be directly compared with observations for the same period. However, Orbe et al (2017a) have recently shown there is large uncertainty in specified dynamics simulations due to the transport by parameterized convection."



Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



*P5L35: Can you discuss the cause of the zonal differences? It looks to me like the monsoon pattern of southerly winds. Also, this discussion seems better suited to the next section on the seasonal variability.

Response: We have included the following discussion of the cause of zonal variations: "These variations in the tracers can again be related to variations in meteorology. In particular, the large seasonal variation over the tropical Indian Oceans is related to seasonal changes in convection and wind direction associated with the South Asian monsoon, i.e., there is deep convection over the equatorial Indian ocean and northerly surface winds in DJF, whereas the deep convection is over the northern subtropics and there are southerly winds in JJA."

*P8L25-. . .: Why is the analysis of one El Nino and one La Nina event preferable to examining composites of high/low ONI? It seems that with a composite you could be more clear about mechanisms.

Response: We have replaced the single event analysis with a composite analysis.

Specific edits (content ones have a *, otherwise these are mostly grammar):

Response: All grammatical errors corrected.

ANONYMOUS REFEREE 2

In this study, the authors analyze the seasonal and interannual variability of transport times from northern hemisphere midlatitudes to the southern hemisphere for 3 different idealized age tracers emitted over North Hemisphere midlatitudes (one for mean age, and two decay tracers with 5- and 50-day decay times). ... Overall, the results of the study are broadly consistent with findings of previous work regarding seasonal and interannual variability of tracer transport, and the explanations are qualitatively plausible. I do think, however, that the study could benefit from more detailed discussion of the interplay of tracer transport and dynamics and convection.

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



Response: We have increased the discussion of transport and dynamics in each of the results sections.

For the discussion of interannual variability (ENSO) in particular, the authors could do much more. For example, prior work on the SPCZ-ENSO relationship points to the axis of the SPCZ "diagonal" shifting generally northeastward during El Nino and south-westward during La Nina (see, for example, Vincent et al. 2011; reference appended below). The authors may want to consider placing their results in the context of such spatial displacements of the SPCZ.

Response: This is a good suggestion and we have included the following in the text: "The reverse age-ENSO correlation occurs in the southern tropical Pacific because of interannual variations in the SPCZ. During most winters the SPCZ is orientated diagonally in the north-west to south-east direction, but during some strong El Nino events the SPCZ is shifted north and is more zonally orientated (Vincent et al 2011). During these El Nino years there is less rapid transport of younger air from the NH and older air from the SH high latitudes, and hence older tracer ages, in the south-western tropical Pacific."

More generally, I wonder about the relative role of changes in intensity of convection are relative to changes in its location (as discussed in a two-box model interhemispheric exchange time in Lintner et al. 2004)?

Response: We have not done a formal analysis of intensity relative to location (this is we think beyond the scope of this study), but have included mention of this in the revised manuscript.

It may also be worth noting that the 1997-1998 El NinÌČo event represented what Cai et al. (2012) have described as a "zonal SPCZ" event, with the SPCZ and eastern Pacific ITCZ effectively merging into a single convection zone near the equator. During other El NinÌČo years, the SPCZ does not experience such an extreme response to ENSO forcing. (Whether zonal SPCZs occur appears to be tied to the flavor of ENSO

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



forcing, as these events are more common during so-called "eastern Pacific El Ninos" relative to "central Pacific El Ninos".)

Response: We have replaced the single event analysis with a composite analysis, and included the following in the text: "These changes are connected to changes in location of ITCZ over eastern Pacific, the SPCZ, and convection over northern Indian Ocean / south Asia. For example, the region of convection over southern Asia is displaced to the north during El Nino, reducing transport of young northern hemisphere air into the region."

Given the consideration of 5-day and 50-day loss tracers, it also seems that performing some analysis with respect to intraseasonal variability, especially the Madden Julian Oscillation (MJO), could be of value.

Response: Unfortunately, we only have monthly mean data which prevents any detailed analysis of the MJO or intraseasonal variations.

P5, Last Paragraph: I think it would be worthwhile to develop a bit more in the way of mechanistic explanation for the zonal variations of age in the tropics. For example, for the relatively high values over the northern Indian Ocean in summer, presumably this is related to the South Asian monsoon, which (relative to winter) has the "ITCZ" located far to the north and relatively strong cross-equatorial flow, particularly over the western portion of the Indian Ocean (with the Findlater/Somali jet). This does seem to be touched on later.

Response: We have included following discussion at the end of the Section: "These variations in the tracers can again be related to variations in meteorology. In particular, the large seasonal variation over the tropical Indian Oceans is related to seasonal changes in convection and wind direction associated with the South Asian monsoon, i.e., there is deep convection over the equatorial Indian ocean and northerly surface winds in DJF, whereas the deep convection is over the northern subtropics and there are southerly winds in JJA."

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version



Figure 4 also seems ripe for further discussion. For example, the structure of the standard deviation for the 5-day loss tracer in subtropical to mid-latitudes of the South Hemisphere exhibits relatively high variability co-located with not only the SPCZ but also the South Atlantic Convergence Zone and the South Indian Convergence Zone. While I realize that this might be beyond the scope of the present study, I'd be curious to see how the tracers reflect observed synoptic-scale interactions in these convection zones (see, e.g., Matthews 2012 or Niznik and Lintner 2013).

Response: We have included more discussion of tracers and SPCZ variability (see below), but, as with the MJO, we cannot examine synoptic-scale interactions with only monthly-mean fields. "Seasonality in surface convergence also contributed to the region of enhanced seasonal variability in the subtropical western south Pacific. The South Pacific Convergence Zone (SPCZ) lies within this region, and the orientation and intensity of the SPCZ varies on synoptic through to interannual time scales (e.g., Matthews 2012, Niznik and Lintner 2013). This variability in the SPCZ then results in variability in tracer ages, e.g., when the SPCZ is shifted to the north-east from its climatological there is less rapid transport from the NH and more from SH middle-latitudes, resulting in older ages."

Other Comments

Response: All other comments (e.g. grammatical errors) corrected.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2017-1076, 2017.

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

