

Anonymous Referee #2 - Suggestions for revision or reasons for rejection (will be published if the paper is accepted for final publication)

We would like to thank the referee for their thorough review of the revised manuscript. We believe these minor changes improve the manuscript further. All are addressed in the following, in a point-by-point manner, with the referee's comment reproduced in blue first.

1) p5, L20: homogenize --> become homogenized

The word "homogenize" was modified to "become homogenized". (p.5, line 20).

2) p5, L24-34: I have some concerns about the discussion that has been added in these lines. First, I do not believe that it is true that "ozone concentrations return rapidly to usual values almost as soon as PSCs disappear". PSCs formation typically ceases in mid-September, but ozone values remain low well past that date, even in the collar region (and certainly in the vortex core, where the ozone "hole" is in full force through October).

We agree with the referee that the word "rapidly" might be misleading as O_3 concentrations stay low in October after the disappearance of PSCs. Our intent was to oppose the relatively rapid increase of O_3 columns (which starts mid-October and for which concentrations are back to normal in December) compared to the much slower recovery of HNO_3 (which starts only in December and increases more gradually than O_3). The sentence in the manuscript was adapted to avoid any confusion: "*However, the recovery of the HNO_3 total columns is very slow compared to other species, namely O_3 , for which concentrations return to usual values within 2 months (i.e. in December) after PSCs have disappeared. In fact, the HNO_3 columns stay low well after the September equinox and are only subject to a slow increase starting 2 months later (in early December) and bringing the concentrations back to pre-denitrification levels by May.*" (p. 5 lines 23-27).

Second, it is not clear what the word "it" is referring to in L29. It may be that the authors mean that only a few PSCs have not sedimented out of the lower stratosphere and thus remain available, in which case "such that only a few PSCs remain available in the lower stratosphere" would be better than "yielding only small amounts of it".

We thank the referee for bringing up this confusing wording. The sentence has been changed and now reads: "*(1) a significant sedimentation of PSCs towards the lower atmosphere during the winter, such that few PSCs remain available to release HNO_3 under warmer temperatures (Lowe and MacKenzie, 2008; Kirner et al., 2011; Khosrawi et al., 2016), ...*" (p.5 lines 28-30).

Finally, the action of confined diabatic descent as the vortex spins up at the start of the winter also plays a major role in the increase of HNO_3 in March.

We thank the referee for this remark. Mention of the diabatic descent was added: "*The increase observed in March, at the start of the winter, is in turn explainable by a much reduced number of hours of sunlight, implying less photodissociation, as well as by the diabatic descent bringing HNO_3 -rich air to lower altitudes.*" (p.5 lines 34-35).

- 3) p6, L17: I think it would be fairer to say that Arctic lower stratospheric temperatures "frequently" dip below 195 K, rather than that they "sometimes" do. Also, "on broad areas" --> "over broad areas".

The word "sometimes" was modified to "frequently", and the word "on" was replaced by "over". (p.6 line 18).

- 4) p7, L10: Contrary to --> In contrast to

The word "Contrary to" was modified to "In contrast to". (p.7 line 11).

- 5) p13, L5-6: I had missed the significance of these lines in reading the previous manuscript. Just to make sure that the point is totally clear to all readers, the authors might change the wording here to "Regions of low clouds or those characterized by emissivity features that are sharp (e.g., deserts) or seasonally varying (e.g., ice shelves) are known to cause problems for the retrieval of HNO₃ using the IASI spectra". Then on p14, L10, they could add "the ice shelves of" between "above" and "western Antarctica".

We thank the referee for this comment. We agree that this wording makes things clearer for all readers, and the sentence was thus changed accordingly. (p. 13 lines 5-7).

Mention of the ice shelves was also added in the following section when describing the distribution of the fitted proxies. (p. 14 line 9).

- 6) p14, L5-7: I found the text added here confusing. First the influence of SF on HNO₃ is described. Then it is stated that previous studies have shown that SF contributions to O₃ variability are largest in the lower stratosphere. This is followed by the sentence: "Our results for the mid to high latitudes suggest opposite behaviour for HNO₃ (as was also reported for O₃ by Wespes et al. (2017))." It is not clear what "opposite" means here; since the immediately preceding sentence talks about the O₃ response being largest in the lower stratosphere, as written this seems to imply that that is not the case for HNO₃, but I think the authors meant instead that the geographic distribution of sensitivity to SF of HNO₃ is not the same as that of O₃. Moreover, the parenthetical saying that similar results were reported for O₃ by Wespes et al. (2017) appears to contradict the first part of the sentence mentioning "opposite" behavior. This may be because the latter paper focuses on tropospheric, not stratospheric, ozone. In any case, this part of the discussion needs to be clarified.

We would like to thank the referee for pointing out this section. Indeed it needs to be clarified. What we meant is that we obtain different results than in other studies. The reference to Wespes et al. (2017) was initially meant to show that different results have also been obtained in other studies, but since the pattern is not that similar to ours and it is a study of the tropospheric O₃, it was chosen to remove that reference altogether. The sentence was changed in order to make things clearer: *"The influence of the solar flux is positive in the northern polar latitudes and in the tropical and southern mid-latitudes. It is close to zero or negative elsewhere. While previous studies showed a positive signal globally in the low stratosphere for the response of O₃ to the solar cycle (Hood, 1997; Soukharev and Hood, 2006), our results for the mid to high northern latitudes suggest opposite behaviour (negative signal) for HNO₃. However, the positive contribution of the solar cycle on the HNO₃ variation in the tropical and southern mid-latitude stratosphere is in line with the O₃ response previously reported (Soukharev and Hood, 2006; McCormack et al., 2007; Frossard et al., 2013; Maycock et al., 2016)."* (p. 14 lines 2-9).

7) p15, L2: It would be clearer to say "Arctic" instead of "polar" here.

The word "polar" was replaced by "Arctic". (p. 15 line 1).

8) Figure 2: I do think that it was a good idea to remove from this plot the period in September to December 2010 when the amount of data distributed by EUMETSAT was abnormally small. However, I noticed that the results in all four EqL bands changed substantially from those in the original manuscript throughout all of 2010 (even in the January to April 2010 timeframe, well before the data gap), particularly in the south. I was not expecting such a large change to the curves outside of the interval directed affected by the data shortage when those dates were removed from the analysis.

We would like to thank the referee for this remark. This is a mistake that escaped our attention, and this is obviously wrong. We updated the figure and it now shows no difference with the previous version, except for the removed data from September to December (Figure 2, page 28).

Spatio-temporal variations of HNO₃ total columns from 9 years of IASI measurements - A driver study

Gaétane Ronsmans¹, Catherine Wespes¹, Daniel Hurtmans¹, Cathy Clerbaux^{1,2}, and Pierre-François Coheur¹

¹Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Faculté des Sciences, Chimie Quantique et Photophysique, Brussels, Belgium

²LATMOS/IPSL, UPMC Univ. Paris 06 Sorbonne Universités, UVSQ, CNRS, Paris, France

Correspondence to: Gaétane Ronsmans (gronsman@ulb.ac.be)

Abstract. This study aims at understanding the spatial and temporal variability of HNO₃ total columns in terms of explanatory variables. To achieve this, multiple linear regressions are used to fit satellite-derived time series of HNO₃ daily averaged total columns. First, an analysis of the IASI 9-year time series (2008-2016) is conducted based on various equivalent latitude bands. The strong and systematic denitrification of the southern polar stratosphere is observed very clearly. It is also possible to distinguish, within the polar vortex, three regions which are differently affected by the denitrification. Three exceptional denitrification episodes in 2011, 2014 and 2016 are also observed in the northern hemisphere, due to unusually low arctic temperatures. The time series are then fitted by multivariate regressions to identify what variables are responsible for HNO₃ variability in global distributions and time series, and to quantify their respective influence. Out of an ensemble of proxies (annual cycle, solar flux, quasi-biennial oscillation, multivariate ENSO index, Arctic and Antarctic oscillations and volume of polar stratospheric clouds), only the ones defined as significant (p-value < 0.05) by a selection algorithm are retained for each equivalent latitude band. Overall, the regression gives a good representation of HNO₃ variability, with especially good results at high latitudes (60-80% of the observed variability explained by the model). The regressions show everywhere the dominance of the annual variability, which is related to specific chemistry and dynamic depending on the latitudes. We find that the polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs) also have a major influence in the polar regions, and that their inclusion in the model improves the correlation coefficients and the residuals. However, there is still a relatively large part of the HNO₃ variability that remains unexplained by the model, especially in the intertropical regions, where factors not included in the regression model (such as vegetation fires or lightning) may be at play.

1 Introduction

Nitric acid (HNO₃) is known to influence the ozone (O₃) concentrations in the polar regions, because of its role of NO_x (≡ NO+NO₂) reservoir and its ability to form polar stratospheric clouds (PSCs) inside the vortex (e.g. Solomon (1999); Urban et al. (2009); Popp et al. (2009)). In the stratosphere, HNO₃ forms from the reaction between OH and NO₂ (produced by the reaction N₂O+O¹D) and is destroyed by its reaction with OH or its photodissociation, both of these reactions being slow in daytime and virtually non-existent during nighttime (McDonald et al., 2000; Santee et al., 2004). This leads to photochemical

lifetimes between 1 and 3 months up to 30 km altitude and around 10 days above (Austin et al., 1986), inducing similar transport pathways for O₃ and NO_y (the sum of all reactive nitrogen species, i.e. including HNO₃) in general (Fischer et al., 1997). During the polar winter, with the arrival of low temperatures, PSCs, composed of HNO₃, sulphuric acid (H₂SO₄) and water ice (H₂O), form within the vortex (e.g. Voigt et al. (2000); von König et al. (2002)). They act as sites for heterogeneous reactions, turning inactive forms of chlorine and bromine into active radicals, and leading to the depletion of O₃ in the polar regions (e.g. Solomon (1999); Wang and Michelangeli (2006); Harris et al. (2010); Wegner et al. (2012)). Furthermore, the formation of these PSCs, and particularly of the nitric acid trihydrates (NAT), leads to a denitrification of the stratosphere (condensation of HNO₃ followed by sedimentation towards the lower stratosphere), which prevents ClONO₂ to reform (e.g. Gobbi et al. (1991); Solomon (1999); Ronsmans et al. (2016)) and enhances further the depletion of ozone.

HNO₃ was measured by a variety of instruments in the last decades, amongst which the MLS (on the UARS, then the Aura satellite) provided the most complete data set. Its measurements started in 1991 and allowed extensive analyses on the seasonal and interannual variability, as well as on the vertical distribution of HNO₃ (Santee et al., 1999, 2004), with however a coarse horizontal resolution. Other instruments have also measured HNO₃ in the atmosphere, such as MIPAS (ENVISAT, Piccolo and Dudhia (2007)), ACE-FTS (SCISAT, Wang et al. (2007)) and SMR¹ (Odin, Urban et al. (2009)), but few of these data have been used for geophysical analyses in terms of chemical and physical processes influencing HNO₃, mostly because of the limited horizontal sampling of these instruments.

On the other hand, O₃ has been extensively analysed, and numerous studies have been conducted to provide a better understanding of the factors influencing the stratospheric O₃ depletion processes and to assess the efficiency of the international treaties that were put in place to reduce its extent (e.g. Lary (1997); Solomon (1999); Morgenstern et al. (2008); Mäder et al. (2010); Knibbe et al. (2014); Wespes et al. (2016)). Most recent studies have used multivariate regression analyses in order to identify and quantify the main contributors to the O₃ spatial and seasonal variations. The variables included in such regression models depend on the atmospheric layer investigated (troposphere or stratosphere) and most often include the solar cycle, the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO), the aerosol loading and the equivalent effective stratospheric chlorine (EESC) (e.g. Wohltmann et al. (2007); Sioris et al. (2014); de Laat et al. (2015)). They often also include climate-related proxies for specific dynamical patterns such as El Niño southern oscillation (ENSO), the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) or the Antarctic Oscillation (AAO) (Frossard et al., 2013; Rieder et al., 2013). In various multivariate regression studies, an iterative selection procedure is used to isolate the relevant variables for the concerned species (Steinbrecht, 2004; Mäder et al., 2007; Knibbe et al., 2014; Wespes et al., 2016, 2017).

Despite the fact that it is one of the main species influencing stratospheric O₃, HNO₃ has much less been studied in terms of explanatory variables, in part because of the lack of global, consistent and sustained measurements. Identifying the factors driving its spatial and temporal variability could help to characterize its behaviour in the stratospheric chemistry, and hence its interactions with O₃.

The Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI) onboard the Metop satellites has been and still is providing global

¹in the order of the instruments cited above: Microwave Limb Sounder, Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding, Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment-Fourier Transform Spectrometer, Sub-Millimetre Radiometer

measurements of the HNO₃ total column, which are used here to investigate the HNO₃ spatial and temporal variability. The data set used (Section 2) consists of time series of HNO₃ columns retrieved from IASI/Metop A measurements over the period 2008-2016, twice daily and with global coverage. The unprecedented spatial and temporal sampling of the high latitudes allows for an in-depth monitoring of the atmospheric state in particular during the polar winter (Wespes et al., 2009; Ronsmans et al., 2016). We make use of equivalent latitudes in order to isolate polar air masses with specific polar vortex characteristics, and hence to better understand the role of HNO₃ in the polar chemistry, with regard to geophysical features such as the extent of the polar vortex and polar temperatures (Section 3). We next apply multivariate regressions to the IASI-derived HNO₃ time series to statistically characterize their global distributions and seasonal variability for the first time, and this at different latitudes. The global coverage and the sampling of observations also allow retrieving global patterns of the main HNO₃ drivers (Section 4).

10 2 IASI HNO₃ data

The HNO₃ columns used here were retrieved from the measurements of the IASI instrument onboard the Metop A satellite. IASI measures the upwelling infrared radiation from the Earth's surface and the atmosphere in the 645-2760 cm⁻¹ spectral range at nadir and off nadir along a broad swath (2200 km). The level 1C data set used for the retrieval consists of measurements taken twice a day (at 9:30 AM and PM, equator crossing time) at a 0.5 cm⁻¹ apodized spectral resolution and with a low radiometric noise (0.2 K in the HNO₃ atmospheric window) (Clerbaux et al., 2009; Hilton et al., 2012). The ground field of view of the instrument consists of 4 elliptical pixels (2 by 2) yielding a horizontal footprint (single pixel) that varies from 113 km² (12 km diameter) at nadir to 400 km² at the end of the swath.

To retrieve HNO₃ atmospheric concentrations, we use the level 1C measurements available in near-real time at Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB) and retrieved by the Fast Optimal Retrievals on Layers for IASI (FORLI) software, which uses the optimal estimation method (Rodgers, 2000). A complete description of the FORLI method can be found in Hurtmans et al. (2012) and a summary of the retrieval parameters specific to HNO₃ in Ronsmans et al. (2016). The retrieval initially yields HNO₃ vertical profiles on 41 levels (from 0 to 40 km altitude) but with limited vertical sensitivity. The characterization of the retrieved profiles conducted by Ronsmans et al. (2016) showed indeed that the degrees of freedom for signal (DOFS) range from 0.9 to 1.2 at all latitudes. Because of this lack of vertical sensitivity, the HNO₃ total column is the most representative quantity for the IASI measurements and it is exploited here for the investigation of the HNO₃ time evolution. It is important to note, however, and as thoroughly discussed in Ronsmans et al. (2016), that the information on the HNO₃ profile comes mostly from the lower stratosphere (15-20 km) and that the profile is therefore mainly indicative of the stratospheric abundance. In order to compute the total column, the retrieved vertical profiles are integrated over the whole altitude range. Our previous study showed that the resulting total columns yield a mean error of 10% and a low bias (10.5%) when compared to ground-based FTIR measurements (Ronsmans et al., 2016). The data set used spans from January 2008 to December 2016 with daily median HNO₃ columns averaged on a 2.5° × 2.5° grid, for which both day and night measurements were used. Based on cloud information from the EUMETSAT operational processing, the cloud-contaminated scenes are filtered out, i.e. all scenes with a fractional cloud cover higher than 25% are not taken into account. It should be noted that there was an abnormally small

amount of IASI L2 data distributed by EUMETSAT between the 14th of September and the 2nd of December 2010 (Van Damme et al., 2017), and that these data have been removed from the figures and analyses in the following of the paper. For the present study, the data are divided into several time series according to equivalent latitudes (sometimes here referred to as “eqlat”) which allow to consider dynamically consistent regions of the atmosphere throughout the globe and to better preserve the sharp gradients across the edge of the polar vortex. The potential vorticity data are daily fields obtained from ECMWF ERA Interim reanalyses, taken at the potential temperature of 530 K. Following the analysis of the potential vorticity contours, we consider 5 equivalent latitude bands in each hemisphere (30°-40°, 40°-55°, 55°-65°, 65°-70°, 70°-90°), plus the intertropical band (30°N - 30°S), with the corresponding potential vorticity contours, in units of $\times 10^{-6} \text{ K.m}^2.\text{kg}^{-1}.\text{s}^{-1}$, being at 2.5 (30°), 3 (40°), 5 (55°), 8 (65°) and 10 (70°) (Figure 1).

10 3 HNO₃ time series

The HNO₃ time series for the mid to high latitudes are displayed in Figure 2 for the years 2008-2016. Total columns are represented for both north (green) and south (blue curves) hemispheres, for equivalent latitudes bands 40-55°, 55-65°, 65-70° and 70-90°. Also highlighted by shaded areas are the periods during which the southern and northern polar temperatures, taken at 50 hPa (light blue and light green for the 70-90 eqlat band, S and N respectively, and purple for the 65-70 S eqlat band), were equal to or below the polar stratospheric clouds formation threshold (195 K, based on ECMWF temperatures). It should be noted that while this temperature is a widely accepted approximation for the formation threshold for NAT (type I), its actual value can be different depending on the local conditions (Lowe and MacKenzie, 2008; Drdla and Müller, 2010; Hoyle et al., 2013). Also, other forms of PSCs, particularly the type II PSCs (ice clouds) form at a lower temperature of 188 K, corresponding to the frostpoint of water, or 2-3 K below that (e.g. Toon et al. (1989); Peter (1997); Tabazadeh et al. (1997); Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts (2000)).

As a general rule, we find larger concentrations in the northern hemisphere, for the entire latitude range shown here (40-90 eqlat). The hemispheric difference in HNO₃ maximum concentrations can be partly attributed to the hemispheric asymmetry of the Brewer-Dobson circulation associated with the many topographical features in the northern hemisphere compared to the southern hemisphere. As a result, the northern hemisphere has a more intense planetary wave activity, which strengthens the deep branch of the Brewer-Dobson circulation. This has also a direct effect on the latitudinal mixing processes, which usually extend into the Arctic polar region, but less into the Antarctic due to a stronger polar vortex (Mohanakumar, 2008; Butchart, 2014).

Beyond the hemispheric asymmetry, we also find that the HNO₃ columns are generally larger at higher latitudes, with total column maxima between 3.0×10^{16} and 3.7×10^{16} molec.cm⁻² in the equivalent latitudes bands 70-90°, 65-70° and 55-65°, and lower at around 2.2×10^{16} molec.cm⁻² in the 40-55° band, especially for the southern hemisphere. This latitudinal gradient of HNO₃ has been previously documented (e.g. Santee et al. (2004); Urban et al. (2009); Wespes et al. (2009); Ronsmans et al. (2016)) and can be explained mainly by the larger amounts of NO_y at high latitudes due to a larger age of air and by the NO_y partitioning favoring HNO₃. Another interesting feature observable in Figure 2 is the different behaviour of the three

highest latitude regions with regard to the polar stratospheric clouds formation threshold in the southern hemisphere. The denitrification process that occurs with the condensation and sedimentation of PSCs (see e.g. Wespes et al. (2009); Manney et al. (2011) and Ronsmans et al. (2016) for further details) is obvious in the 70-90 S region (top panel, blue curve in Figure 2), with a systematic and strong decrease in HNO_3 total columns (from 3.3×10^{16} to 1.5×10^{16} molec.cm⁻²) starting within 12 to 25 days after the stratospheric temperature reaches the threshold of 195 K (start of the blue shaded areas). The loss of HNO_3 thus usually starts around the beginning of June in the Antarctic and the concentrations reach their minimum value within one month. They stay low at 1.4×10^{16} molec.cm⁻² until mid-November (with quite often a slight gradual increase to 1.7×10^{16} molec.cm⁻² during the two following months), and start to increase again during January, i.e. between 2.5 and 3 months after the polar stratospheric temperatures are back above the NAT formation threshold. The same pattern can be observed in the 65-70 S equivalent latitude region, with however, a delay of approximately 1 month for the start of the steepest decrease in HNO_3 columns, which appears to be more gradual than in the 70-90 S regions (3 months to reach the minimum values, starting in July). The minimum and plateau column values are thus reached by the end of September; they remain higher than at the highest latitudes, with values staying at around 1.7×10^{16} molec.cm⁻². The delayed and less severe loss of HNO_3 in the 65-70 S band confirms that the denitrification process spreads from the center of the polar vortex, where the lowest temperatures are reached first (McDonald et al., 2000; Santee et al., 2004; Lambert et al., 2016). This spreading from the center also leads to slightly higher concentrations for the maxima in the 65-70 S eqlat band (mean of maxima of 3.26×10^{16} , versus 3.11×10^{16} molec.cm⁻² in the 70-90 S eqlat band). The delayed decrease in HNO_3 in the outer parts of the vortex (i.e. in the 65-70 S eqlat band) can thus be attributed to the later appearance of PSCs in this region (see Figure 2 purple shaded areas in second panel). By the end of December, i.e. when the vortex has started breaking down (e.g. Schoeberl and Hartmann (1991); Manney et al. (1999); Mohanakumar (2008)), the total columns in both eqlat bands ~~homogenize~~ become homogenized and reach the same range of values (1.7×10^{16} molec.cm⁻²). If the decrease is slower at 65-70 eqlat, this is not the case for the recovery, with the build-up of concentrations that starts roughly at the same time as for the 70-90 S eqlat band, hence resulting in a shorter period of denitrified atmosphere in the 65-70 S band. These results agree well with previous studies by McDonald et al. (2000) and Santee et al. (2004) for earlier years. However, the recovery of the HNO_3 total columns is very slow compared to other species, namely O_3 , for which concentrations return ~~rapidly~~ almost as soon as PSCs disappear within 2 months (i.e. in December) after PSCs have disappeared. In fact, the HNO_3 columns stay low well after the September equinox and are only subject to a slow increase starting 2 months later (in early December) and bringing the concentrations back to pre-denitrification levels by May. While more persistent local temperature minima staying below 195 K could explain part of this late recovery, we make the hypothesis that it is due mainly to a combination of two factors: (1) a significant sedimentation of PSCs towards the lower atmosphere during the winter, ~~yielding only small amounts of it such that few PSCs remain available~~ to release HNO_3 under warmer temperatures (Lowe and MacKenzie, 2008; Kirner et al., 2011; Khosrawi et al., 2016), and (2) the effective photolysis of HNO_3 and NO_3 in spring and summer under prolonged sunlight conditions, i.e. mainly at the highest latitudes, which respectively increase the HNO_3 sink and reduce the chemical source (because NO_3 cannot react with NO_2 to produce N_2O_5 , Solomon (1999); Jacob (2000); McDonald et al. (2000)). The increase observed in March, at the start of the winter, is in turn explainable by a much reduced number of hours of sunlight, implying less photodissociation, as well as by

the diabatic descent bringing HNO₃-rich air to lower altitudes.

It is worth noting that the two regions previously mentioned (inner and outer vortex) have been observed to behave differently; the inner vortex (70-90 S) undergoes strong internal mixing whereas the outer vortex (65-70 S), isolated from the vortex core, experiences little mixing of air. This, combined to a cooling of the stratosphere, could lead to increased PSC formation and
5 further ozone depletion (Lee et al., 2001; Roscoe et al., 2012).

Regarding the HNO₃ columns in the 55-65 S eqlat band, which comprises the vortex rim, or “collar” (Toon et al., 1989), it is evident from Figure 2, third panel, that it is not affected by denitrification, in agreement with previous observations (e.g. Santee et al. (1999); Wespes et al. (2009); Ronsmans et al. (2016)). In fact, we show that the columns in that band keep increasing when the temperatures at higher latitudes start decreasing, to reach maximum values of about 3.4×10^{16} molec.cm⁻²
10 in June-July; this is due to a change in the NO_y partitioning towards HNO₃, itself due to less sunlight compared to the summer. Also inducing increased concentrations during the winter at high latitudes is the diabatic descent occurring inside the vortex when the temperatures decrease. This downward motion of air enriches the lower stratosphere in HNO₃ coming from higher altitude (Manney et al., 1994; Santee et al., 1999), yielding higher column values which are, in this eqlat band, not affected by denitrification. The slow decrease starting in August and leading to minimum values in January is related to the combined
15 effect of increased photodissociation and mixing with the denitrified polar air masses which are no longer confined to the polar regions. Finally, as previously mentioned, the 40-55 S eqlat band records lower column values throughout the year (generally below 2×10^{16} molec.cm⁻²) and a much less pronounced seasonal cycle.

The northern hemisphere high latitudes usually do not experience denitrification, mostly because the temperatures, while ~~sometimes~~ frequently showing local minima below 195 K, rarely reach the PSC formation threshold ~~on~~ over broad areas
20 and for long time spans (see Figure 2 for average temperatures, light green vertical areas). A few years stand out, however, with exceptionally low stratospheric temperatures. This is especially the case of the 2011 (Manney et al., 2011), 2016 and, to some extent, 2014 Arctic winters. During these three winters, temperatures reached below the 195 K threshold over a broader area and stayed low during a longer period than usual. Lower concentrations of HNO₃ have been recorded in consequence, especially in the northernmost equivalent latitude band (see Figure 2). The winter 2016 recorded in particular exceptionally
25 low temperatures and led to large denitrification and significant ozone depletion (Manney and Lawrence, 2016; Matthias et al., 2016). The denitrification that occurred in the northern polar regions affected a smaller area than what generally happens in the southern hemisphere; in particular the columns in the 65-70 N eqlat band do not show a significant decrease.

Figure 3, which consists in the time series of the zonally averaged distribution of the HNO₃ retrieved total columns, illustrates all these features particularly well: it highlights the low and constant columns between -40 and 40 degrees of latitude, the
30 marked annual cycle at mid to high latitudes and the systematic and the occasional (2011, 2014, 2016) loss of HNO₃ during the denitrification periods in the high latitudes of the Southern and Northern hemispheres respectively, which are highlighted by the iso-contours of potential vorticity at $\pm 10 \times 10^{-6}$ K.m².kg⁻¹.s⁻¹ (dark blue).

In order to give further insights into the interannual variability in polar regions, Figure 4 shows the seasonal cycle for each individual year from 2008 to 2016 for eqlat 70-90 in the northern (top) and the southern (bottom) hemispheres. July and August
35 of 2010 stand out in the Antarctic, with high and variable columns recorded by IASI. This is a consequence of a mid-winter

(mid-July) minor sudden stratospheric warming (SSW) event that induced a downward motion of air masses and modified the chemical composition of the atmosphere between 10 and 50 hPa and until at least September (de Laat and van Weele, 2011; Klekociuk et al., 2011). The principal effect of this sudden stratospheric warming was to reduce the formation of PSCs (which stayed well below the 1979-2012 average (WMO, 2014)) and hence reduce denitrification. This is shown by an initial drop in June, as is usually observed in other years but then by an increase in HNO₃ columns when the SSW occurs. These results confirm those previously obtained by the Aura MLS during that winter and reported in the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) Ozone Assessment of 2014 (see Figure 6-3, WMO (2014)). Apart from these peculiarities for the year 2010, all years seem to coincide quite well in terms of seasonality in the southern hemisphere (bottom panel). The timing of the HNO₃ steep decrease in particular is consistent from one year to another.

The northern hemisphere high latitudes (top panel) show more interannual variability than in the south, especially during the winter because of the unusual denitrification periods observed in 2011 (purple), 2014 (blue) and 2016 (black) in January (concentrations as low as 2.2×10^{16} molec.cm⁻² in 2016). Contrary-In contrast to the winter, the summer columns are more uniform from one year to another with values around 2.1×10^{16} to 2.8×10^{16} molec.cm⁻².

4 Fitting the observations with a regression model

4.1 Multi-variable linear regression

In order to identify the processes responsible for the HNO₃ variability observed in the IASI measurements, we use a multivariate linear regression model featuring various dynamical and chemical processes known to affect HNO₃ distributions. We follow strictly the methodology set-up by Wespes et al. (2016) for similarly investigating the O₃ variability. In particular, as in Wespes et al. (2016), we use daily median HNO₃ total columns. These are fitted with the following model:

$$\text{HNO}_3(t) = cst + y_1.trend + [a_1.cos(\omega t) + b_1.sin(\omega t)] + \sum_{i=2}^m [y_i.Y_{\text{Norm},i}(t)] + \epsilon(t) \quad (1)$$

where t is the day in the time series, cst is a constant term, the y terms are the regression coefficients for each variable, $\omega = 2\pi/365.25$, and $Y_{\text{Norm},i}(t)$ refer to the chosen explanatory variables Y , which are normalized over the period of IASI observations (2008-2016) following:

$$Y_{\text{Norm},i}(t) = 2(Y(t) - Y_{\text{median}})/(Y_{\text{max}} - Y_{\text{min}}) \quad (2)$$

with Y_{max} and Y_{min} the maximum and minimum values of the variable time series (before subtraction of the median, Y_{median}). The terms a_1 and b_1 in Eq.(1) are the coefficients accounting for the annual variability in the atmosphere. They account mainly for the seasonality of the solar insolation and of the meridional Brewer-Dobson circulation, which is a slow stratospheric circulation redistributing the tropical air masses to extra-tropical regions (Mohanakumar, 2008; Butchart, 2014; Konopka et al., 2015).

The regression coefficients are estimated by the least squares method. The standard error (σ_e) of each proxy is calculated based on the regression coefficients and is corrected in order to take the autocorrelation uncertainty into account (Knibbe et al., 2014; Wespes et al., 2016):

$$\sigma_e^2 = (\mathbf{Y}^T \mathbf{Y})^{-1} \cdot \frac{\sum [\text{HNO}_3 - \mathbf{Y}y]^2}{n - m} \cdot \frac{1 + \varphi}{1 - \varphi} \quad (3)$$

- 5 where \mathbf{Y} is the matrix of explanatory variables of size $n \times m$, n is the number of daily measurements and m the number of fitted parameters. HNO_3 is the nitric acid column, y the vector of regression coefficients and φ is lag-1 autocorrelation of the residuals.

4.2 Iterative selection of explanatory variables

- 10 The choice of variables included in the model is made using an iterative elimination procedure; all variables are tested based on their importance for the regression (Mäder et al., 2010). At each iteration, the variable with the largest p-value (and outside the confidence interval of 95%) is removed, until there remain only the variables relevant for the regression, i.e. the ones with a p-value smaller than 0.05. This selection algorithm is applied on each band of equivalent latitude (or grid cell, for the global distributions shown below) and thus yields a different combination of variables, depending on the equivalent latitude region considered.

15 4.3 Variables used for the regression

- Given the strong relationship between the O_3 and the HNO_3 chemistry and variability (Solomon, 1999; Neuman et al., 2001; Santee et al., 2005; Popp et al., 2009) and the novelty in applying such a regression study in an HNO_3 dataset, we consider here the major and well known drivers of the total O_3 variability, namely: a linear trend, harmonic terms for the annual variability and geophysical proxies for the solar cycle, the QBO, the ENSO phenomenon and for the Arctic (AO) and Antarctic Oscillations (AAO) for the northern and southern hemispheres, respectively. Considering the short length of the time series, however, the linear trend did not yield any significant result and, recalling that the aim of the paper is not to derive long term trends, this aspect will not be discussed further. In addition, a proxy for the volume of polar stratospheric clouds is included to account for the effect of the strong denitrification process during the polar night (cf. Section 3). All the proxies are shown in Figure 5 and described with more details hereafter. The source for each proxy is also provided in Table 1.

25 4.3.1 Solar flux (SF)

As a proxy for the solar activity, we use the 10.7 cm solar flux ($F_{10.7}$). It is a radio flux that varies daily, and correlates to the number of sunspots on the solar disk (Covington, 1948; Tapping and DeTracey, 1990; Tapping, 2013). The data set used here is the adjusted flux that takes the changing earth-sun distance into account. The solar cycle influences directly the partitioning between NO_y (produced by the $\text{N}_2\text{O} + \text{O}^1\text{D}$ reaction) and HNO_3 through the quantity of sunlight available, and has been known

to affect the dynamics and to influence the O₃ response in the lower stratosphere (e.g. Hood (1997); Kodera and Kuroda (2002); Hood and Soukharev (2003); Austin et al. (2007)).

4.3.2 Quasi-Biennial Oscillation (QBO)

The QBO is one of the main process regulating the dynamics of the tropical atmosphere (e.g. Baldwin et al. (2001); Sioris et al. (2014)). It is driven by vertically propagating gravity waves, which lead to an oscillation between stratospheric winds blowing from east (easterlies) and from west (westerlies), with a period of about 28-29 months (e.g. Hauchecorne et al. (2010); Schirber (2015)). Its effect on the distribution of chemical species is significant, especially in equatorial regions where both a direct effect due to the changing winds and an indirect effect via its influence on the Brewer-Dobson circulation, affect, for example, the distribution of ozone (e.g. Lee and Smith (2003); Mohanakumar (2008); Frossard et al. (2013); Knibbe et al. (2014)).

Two monthly time series of QBO at two different pressure levels (30 hPa and 10 hPa) from ground-based measurements in Singapore have been considered for the present study, in order to take into account the differences in phase and shape of the QBO signal in the upper and lower stratosphere.

4.3.3 Multivariate ENSO Index (MEI)

The Multivariate ENSO Index is a metric that quantifies the strength of the El Niño-Southern Oscillation; it is computed based on the measurement of six variables over the tropical Pacific: sea-level pressure, zonal and meridional winds, sea surface temperature, surface air temperature and cloudiness fraction (Wolter and Timlin, 1993, 1998). The ENSO phenomenon, even though it is a tropospheric process (mainly sea surface temperature contrasts), also affects stratospheric circulation. Previous studies have shown the impact of El Niño/La Niña oscillation on the stratospheric transport processes and the generation of Rossby waves, in turn modulating the strength of the polar vortex (e.g. Trenberth et al. (1998); Newman et al. (2001); Garfinkel et al. (2015)) and affecting O₃ in the stratosphere (e.g. Randel et al. (2009); Lee et al. (2010); Randel and Thompson (2011)).

4.3.4 Arctic Oscillation and Antarctic Oscillation

The AO and AAO are included in the regression in order to represent the atmospheric variability observed in the northern and southern hemispheres, respectively (Gong and Wang, 1999; Kodera and Kuroda, 2000; Thompson and Wallace, 2000). They are constructed from the daily geopotential height anomalies in the 20-90° region, at 1000 mb (for the northern hemisphere) and 700 mb (for the southern hemisphere). Each index (AO or AAO) is considered only in the hemisphere it is related to, while both indices are included for equatorial latitudes. The impact of these oscillations on O₃ distributions has been demonstrated in several studies (e.g. Rieder et al. (2013); Wespes et al. (2016)). We may expect similar influence on the HNO₃ distributions, particularly because, even though they are tropospheric features, their phase and intensity affect the atmospheric circulation, and in particular the Brewer-Dobson Circulation, up to the stratosphere (Miller et al., 2006; Chegade et al., 2014).

4.3.5 Volume of Polar Stratospheric Clouds (VPSC)

The very low temperatures recorded during the winter in the polar stratosphere inside the vortex lead to the formation of PSCs, which are composed of nitric acid di- or trihydrates (NAD or NAT), supercooled ternary $\text{HNO}_3/\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ solutions (STS) or water ice (H_2O) (e.g Wang and Michelangeli (2006); Drdla and Müller (2010)). Here, we consider for the PSCs only the NAT particles ($\text{HNO}_3 \cdot (\text{H}_2\text{O})_3$), which are ubiquitous (and often mixed with STS) (Voigt et al., 2000; Pitts et al., 2009; Lambert et al., 2016). The other forms of PSCs are expected to influence the variability in gas-phase HNO_3 to a much lesser extent (von König et al., 2002).

The proxy we use here for the NAT is the volume of air below T_{NAT} (195 K), which depends on nitric acid concentrations, water vapor and pressure (Hanson and Mauersberger, 1988; Wohltmann et al., 2007). The temperatures needed to compute that quantity are based on ERA-Interim reanalyses and the HNO_3 and H_2O profiles are taken north and south of 70° from an MLS climatology. The proxy is calculated with a supersaturation of HNO_3 over NAT of 10, roughly corresponding to 3K supercooling (Hoyle et al., 2013; Lambert et al., 2016; Wohltmann et al., 2017). It should be noted that this proxy was not included in the regression outside of the polar regions. Inside the polar regions (eqlat bands 70-90 north and south), it was included and subject to the selection algorithm.

Finally, it is worth to note that, for the sake of completeness, proxies accounting for the potential vorticity (PV) and for the Eliassen-Palm flux (EPflux) were also tested in order to take into account more precise patterns of the stratospheric dynamics and the Brewer-Dobson circulation. Also, various levels for the QBO were tested. However, none of these proxies lead to a significant improvement of the residuals or the correlation coefficients, and their signal is therefore embedded here in the harmonic terms. For these reasons, they will not be discussed further.

4.4 Results

The results are presented in two ways: first, latitudinally averaged time series (eqlat bands) are used to analyze the performances of the fit in terms of correlation coefficients and residuals, with a focus on polar regions. The performance of the model is then analysed in terms of global distributions (with the regression applied to every $2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ grid cell) and the spatial distribution of the fitted proxies is detailed.

4.4.1 HNO_3 fits for equivalent latitudes bands

For each eqlat band, the variables retained by the selection procedure (see Section 4.2) are listed in Table 2. Most variables are retained everywhere, except for the solar flux which is rejected in the polar latitudes (70-90 N and S). The QBO30 is also excluded in the southern polar regions (65-90 S) and the MEI in the northern polar regions (65-90 N). Finally, the AO and AAO are excluded in the 65-70 N and in the 70-90 S bands, respectively.

The results from the multivariate regression are presented in Figure 6 for each band of equivalent latitude. The model reproduces well the measurements, with correlation coefficients between 0.81 (in the 30-40 N eqlat band) and 0.94 (in the 70-90 S eqlat

bands). Most major features (seasonal and interannual variabilities) are reproduced by the regression model. The residuals range between 1.74×10^{10} and 9.44×10^{15} molec.cm⁻², with better results for the 30N-30S equivalent latitude band (Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of 2.39×10^{14} molec.cm⁻²) and worse fits for the 65-70 S band (RMSE of 2.41×10^{15} molec.cm⁻²). Following the comparison between the fits and the observational data, some features can be highlighted:

- 5 – The high daily variability recorded in the data during the winter for both polar regions is not captured very well by the regression fit. Indeed, we find that the residuals are largest in this period, especially in the southern hemisphere during the denitrification period of each year (from June until September approximately), mostly because of the high variability of the vortex itself. There, we find an average standard deviation of 1.44×10^{16} molec.cm⁻² (average of the standard deviation during the denitrification periods over the 8 years of observation), as opposed to a mean standard deviation of 8.30×10^{15} molec.cm⁻² for the periods between the denitrification seasons. In the northern hemisphere, the day-to-day variability is largest during winter as well, when the vortex builds up, and this causes larger residuals for the corresponding months (see December through March of each year, top left panel of Figure 6, with an average standard deviation of 7.97×10^{15} molec.cm⁻² to be compared to 7.26×10^{15} molec.cm⁻² for the other months). It is important to stress that these larger residuals are obtained in the polar regions despite the fact that a VPSC proxy was used. In Figure 7 we show, however, that the regression model would perform worse in polar regions if that proxy is neglected, as also discussed below.
- 10 – Even though the high variability during the denitrification periods is not reproduced exactly, the amplitude of the decrease in HNO₃ occurring in the Southern polar region is captured accurately by the regression model. Figure 7 shows a zoom of Figure 6 to better highlight the model performance during the denitrification periods; the regression was tested without (top panels) and with (middle panels) the VPSC proxy, for the 70-90 N (left panels) and the 70-90 S (right panels) eqlat bands. The steep slope observed at the start of the low temperatures is captured by the model when the proxy for the VPSC is included (Figure 7) and the correlation coefficients are improved for both hemispheres (from 0.83 to 0.86 in the 70-90 N and from 0.84 to 0.94 in the 70-90 S eqlat band). In the 65-70 S eqlat band however, as previously described in Section 3, the HNO₃ columns continue to increase after the formation of PSCs has started in the 70-90 S eqlat band. This translates to a lag between the observations in the 65-70 S eqlat band and the fit in which the drop of HNO₃ concentrations happens earlier than in the IASI observations. This is explained by the fact that the VPSC proxy is based on temperatures and composition poleward of 70°. It induces a lower correlation coefficient (0.87) and higher RMSE (2.41×10^{15} molec.cm⁻²). A proxy adapted to this eqlat band should be used in further studies in order to represent the conditions in that particular region of the vortex.
- 15 – The high maxima seen in the IASI time series, mostly from mid-April through the end of May in the Southern hemisphere, and from mid-December through early February in the Northern hemisphere, are not that well reproduced by the regression model. In fact, the model fails to capture the highest columns during the winters of each hemisphere. In the same way, a few pronounced lows recorded by IASI, especially those in the Northern polar regions (mid-June to early October 2014 and 2016, for instance) are not captured by the model.
- 20
- 25
- 30

Figure 8 shows the regression coefficients of each variable in each equivalent latitude band (top panel). The two bottom panels show the signal of the fitted proxies, calculated by multiplying the proxy by its regression coefficient. Only the variables retained by the selection algorithm are shown and discussed. From the top panel of Figure 8, it can be seen that all proxies are significant, with errors smaller than the coefficients for all eqlat bands. It is clear that the annual variability is predominant at all latitudes. From the two bottom panels, we also see the large influence of the VPSC in the regression for the polar regions. Their signal is, as expected, larger in the southern hemisphere where it reaches -1.3×10^{16} molec.cm⁻², which is to be compared to maximum values around -0.4×10^{16} molec.cm⁻² in the northern hemisphere. A noteworthy difference is found for the year 2016 where the VPSC signal reached -0.7×10^{16} molec.cm⁻² during the exceptionally cold Arctic winter. While the PSCs have significantly affected the HNO₃ distributions in the winters 2011, 2014 and 2016 in the Arctic, their influence during other years may contribute to the high variability recorded in the observations (see first highlighted feature above). Other proxies show relatively large signals and their global distribution will be discussed further in Section 4.4.3.

4.4.2 Global model assessment with regard to the HNO₃ variability

To assess the model ability to reproduce the measurements, the top panel of Figure 9 shows the percentage of the HNO₃ variability seen by IASI that is explained by the regression model. The fraction is calculated as the difference between the standard deviation of the fit and of the observations $[\sigma(HNO_3^{fit}(t))/\sigma(HNO_3^{IASI}(t)) \times 100]$ and is expressed as a percentage. We find that much of the observed variability can be explained by the model in the southern hemisphere (generally between 50 and 80 %). The southern mid-latitudes and the polar regions are in particular well modeled (70-80 %), except in Antarctica above the ice shelves. The northern hemisphere HNO₃ variability is reasonably well explained by the model, particularly above 40° of latitude, with percentages ranging between 50 and 80 %, although some continental areas (Northern part of inner Eurasia above Kazakhstan and the west Siberian plains) stand out with percentages below 40 %. The region with the largest unexplained fraction of variability is the intertropical band extending as far as 40° north. There, the fraction of HNO₃ variability explained by the model reaches values as low as 20 %. These regions of low explained variability coincide quite well with the regions where a high lightning activity is found, which produces large amount of NO_x in the troposphere (Labrador et al., 2004; Sauvage et al., 2007; Cooper et al., 2014). While the IASI instrument is usually not sensitive to tropospheric HNO₃, it was found that large amounts of tropospheric HNO₃ in the tropics could be detected, mainly because of the lower contribution of the stratosphere in that region, and because the NO_x produced by lightning are released in the high troposphere, where IASI has still reasonable sensitivity. This could thus explain why the model is missing some of the variability recorded in the observational data. Another cause for the discrepancies between the observations and the model could be unaccounted sinks of HNO₃, such as deposition in the liquid or solid phase and scavenging by rain. It should be noted that a small area of high explained variability is observed in Africa, just south of the equator. The variability in this region is unexpectedly high in the IASI time series (Figure 10) and we suggest that it could be influenced by biomass burning emissions of NO₂, and subsequent oxidation to HNO₃ with a delay of about 2 months (Figure 10) (Scholes et al., 1996; Barbosa et al., 1999; Schreier et al., 2014). Indeed, the large vegetation fires of Africa every year around July emit the largest amounts of NO_x (compared to large fires of South America, Australia and southeast Asia). Their influence translates to an overrepresentation of the annual term

(up to -2×10^{15} molec.cm⁻²) in the fitted model (although not clearly visible in Figure 11 because of the color scale chosen). This larger contribution of the annual variability thus yields a better agreement between the observations and the model in the tropical band, however missing some of the interannual variability due to these fires.

The bottom panel of Figure 9 depicts the global distribution of the RMSE of the regression expressed as a percentage. The errors are small everywhere (between 10 and 20 %) except in the southern hemisphere above Antarctica, and particularly above the ice shelves (mainly the Ross and Ronne ice shelves). We also find higher values above the large desert areas (the Sahara, the Arabian, the Turkestan and the Australian deserts) as well as off the west coasts of south Africa and South America where persistent low clouds occur. ~~These regions~~ Regions of low clouds or ~~characterized by sharp~~ those characterized by emissivity features that are sharp (e.g. deserts) or seasonally varying ~~emissivity features (e.g. ice shelves)~~ are known to cause problems for the retrieval of HNO₃ using the IASI spectra (Hurtmans et al., 2012; Ronsmans et al., 2016).

4.4.3 Global patterns of fitted parameters

Figure 11 shows the global distributions of the regression coefficients obtained after the multivariate regression, expressed in molec.cm⁻². All the variables are shown, with the areas where the proxy was not retained left blank. The contribution of each proxy to the HNO₃ variability was also calculated for each grid cell as $[\sigma(X_i)/\sigma(\text{HNO}_3^{\text{IASI}}) \times 100]$ with X_i referring to each of the i explanatory variables X , and expressed in %. Note that, although the distributions of the contribution of each proxy are not shown as a Figure, the calculated percentage values are used in the following discussion (next 3 subsections) to quantify the influence of the fitted parameters.

The annual cycle

The annual cycle, represented by the terms a_1 and b_1 , shows large regression coefficients (Figure 11) and holds the largest part of the variability globally (up to 70% in the northern and southern mid to high latitudes), as was previously evidenced in Figure 8 (top panel). While the Brewer-Dobson circulation, which is embedded in these harmonic terms, influences to some extent the HNO₃ variability (through its influence on the conversion of N₂O to NO_y in the tropics and through the transport of NO_y-rich air masses towards the polar regions and subsequent transformation into HNO₃), the influence of the seasonality of the solar insolation is also likely to largely influence the annual seasonality, especially in the mid- to high latitudes. The increasing columns recorded during the winter in both polar regions can be explained by the combination of three processes: first, at low temperatures, HNO₃ is formed by heterogeneous reactions between N₂O₅ and H₂O_{aerosol} and between ClONO₂ and H₂O_{aerosol} or HCl_{aerosol}, which add to the main source gas-phase reaction OH+NO₂+M→HNO₃. Second, while the source reactions of HNO₃ are still active, the loss reactions (HNO₃ photolysis and its reaction with OH) are significantly slowed down during the winter (Austin et al., 1986; McDonald et al., 2000; Santee et al., 2004). And third, as is mentioned in Section 3, with the decrease of the temperatures in the polar stratosphere, the winds inside the polar vortex gain intensity and induce a strong diabatic downward motion of air with little latitudinal mixing across the vortex boundary. This descending air from the upper stratosphere enriches the lower stratosphere in HNO₃ (Schoeberl and Hartmann, 1991; Manney et al., 1994; Santee et al., 1999).

The solar cycle, MEI, AO/AAO and QBO

The solar flux, ENSO index and Arctic and Antarctic Oscillation (Figure 11) all have a similar influence in terms of magnitude (between -2.5×10^{15} and 2.5×10^{15} molec.cm⁻²), although with different spatial patterns. The influence of the solar flux is positive in the northern polar latitudes and ~~at the edge of eastern Antarctica, as well as above the Indian Ocean and~~
5 ~~Australian the tropical and southern mid-latitudes~~. It is close to zero or negative elsewhere. ~~Previous studies showed that ozone changes due to the solar cycle are largest~~ While previous studies showed a positive signal globally in the low stratosphere (Hood, 1997; Soukharev and Hood, 2006), ~~which corresponds to the altitude of maximum sensitivity for HNO₃~~. Our
for the response of O₃ to the solar cycle (Hood, 1997; Soukharev and Hood, 2006), our results for the mid to high northern
latitudes suggest opposite behaviour ~~for HNO₃ (as was also reported for O₃ by Wespes et al. (2017))~~ (negative signal) for
10 HNO₃. However, the positive contribution of the solar cycle on the HNO₃ variation in the tropical and southern mid-latitude
stratosphere is in line with the ~~low-latitude~~ O₃ response previously reported (Soukharev and Hood, 2006; McCormack et al.,
2007; Frossard et al., 2013; Maycock et al., 2016). Note also that the strong negative signal observed above the ice shelves of
western Antarctica is most probably due to the drawback of using for all seasons a constant emissivity for ocean surfaces (e.g.
even when the ocean becomes frozen). For this reason, the regression coefficients in this area will not be discussed further.

15 The MEI shows a negative signal above the northern polar regions and in the eastern parts of the Pacific and Atlantic (especially west of South Africa) Oceans. A positive signal is observed above Australia and above the southern polar regions. Overall, the MEI influence is quite small, which is not surprising considering that it affects mostly the tropospheric circulation, where IASI is less sensitive. Its signature is nonetheless visible and significant in the eastern Pacific, where it contributes to up to 30% of the HNO₃ variability, and in the mid-latitudes of the northern hemisphere. The east-west gradient is in good agreement with
20 chemical and dynamical effects of El Niño on O₃, and with previous studies that showed the same patterns for the influence of the MEI on O₃ (Hood et al., 2010; Rieder et al., 2013; Wespes et al., 2017).

The arctic oscillation (AO) signal is stronger, especially above the Atlantic Ocean, with a positive signal above eastern Canada and Greenland and between the north of eastern Africa and Florida. Except for those two regions, the AO contributes at mid to high latitudes of the northern hemisphere with a negative signal, which contributes for 10 – 20% to the HNO₃ variability. The
25 corresponding proxy for the southern hemisphere (AAO) is also significant, with a strong positive signal above the vortex rim and a negative signal above Antarctica. These results are in agreement with previous studies that showed that, for O₃, both the arctic and antarctic oscillations (also called “annular modes”) are leading modes of variation in the extratropical atmosphere (Weiss et al., 2001; Frossard et al., 2013; de Laat et al., 2015; Wespes et al., 2017). They strongly influence the circulation up to the lower stratosphere and represent, particularly in the southern hemisphere, the fluctuations in the strength of the polar
30 vortex (Thompson and Wallace, 2000; Jones and Widmann, 2004; van den Broeke and van Lipzig, 2004). This further shows the similarity in the behaviour of O₃ and HNO₃.

The QBO has a generally small influence on the distributions with, however, some contribution (up to 30%) in the equatorial band as expected (Baldwin et al., 2001; Solomon et al., 2014). As previously mentioned, several tests have been performed (not shown here) with the QBO taken at other pressure levels in the atmosphere (namely 20 and 50 hPa), and similar results

have been obtained. Even though the QBO is a tropical phenomenon, its effects extend as far as the polar latitudes, through the modulation of the planetary Rossby waves (e.g. Holton and Tan (1980); Baldwin et al. (2001)). Because there are more topographical features in the northern hemisphere than in the southern hemisphere, these waves have a larger amplitude and can influence the polar-Arctic stratospheric temperatures and hence the vortex formation. While the exact mechanism for the extratropical influence of the QBO is not exactly understood (Garfinkel et al., 2012; Solomon et al., 2014), it seems the large positive and negative signals observed in the northern high latitudes in Figure 11 can indeed be attributed to this modulation of the Rossby waves by the oscillation in the meridional circulation. This was also observed for O₃ by e.g. Wespes et al. (2017).

VPSC

The annual cycle, which is the dominant factor for HNO₃ variability at all latitudes, leading to the build-up of concentrations during the winter, is interrupted in the southern polar regions, particularly in the 70-90 S eqlat band (see also Figure 8), by the condensation and subsequent sedimentation of PSCs. The VPSC proxy, reflecting the volume of air below T_{NAT} , has a strong anti-correlated effect on HNO₃ columns, which decrease (negative values) with increasing VPSC (e.g. Wang and Michelangeli (2006); Lowe and MacKenzie (2008); Kirner et al. (2015)). The signal of the VPSC proxy is thus, as expected, negative everywhere (in the polar regions considered), with values around -6×10^{15} molec.cm⁻². When looking at their contribution, we find that the PSCs account for a larger part of the HNO₃ variability (40 – 60%) in the southern hemisphere, where the influence of denitrification is indeed expected to be more important, compared to the northern hemisphere (maxima of 40%), as discussed in Section 3 with the analysis of Figure 2. The small areas with a positive signal appear to be non significant (see grey crosses).

5 Conclusions

Time series of HNO₃ total columns retrieved from IASI/Metop between 2008 and 2016 have been presented and analyzed in terms of seasonal cycle and global variability. The analysis was conducted in terms of equivalent latitudes (here calculated on the basis of potential vorticity) and focused mainly on high latitude regions. We have shown that the IASI instrument captures the broad patterns of the seasonal cycles at all latitudes but also year-to-year specific behaviours. The systematic denitrification process occurring every winter-spring in the southern hemisphere shows up unambiguously in the time evolutions and the use of equivalent latitudes has enabled to isolate the regions affected based on the dominating stratospheric dynamical regimes. Three distinct zones within the polar regions were in particular separated; 1) the inner polar region (70-90 S), where the denitrification starts the earliest and where the HNO₃ columns reach their lowest values for the longest period; 2) the outer part of the polar vortex (65-70 S), where the HNO₃ columns drop occurs 1 month later and the minimum concentrations do not reach such low levels; 3) the polar vortex edges (55-65 S), where the columns follow a more normal annual cycle, with maxima around July, forming a collar of high columns around the denitrified vortex. The IASI-derived HNO₃ distributions also reflect the denitrification periods in the northern hemisphere, during the exceptionally cold winters of 2011, 2014 and 2016. The HNO₃ time series have been successfully fitted with multivariate regressions in order to identify the various factors re-

sponsible for the variability in the observations. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first time that such regression models are applied to the HNO_3 time evolution. A specific set of explanatory variables was retained for each equivalent latitude band following an iterative procedure, according to the influence of each of these variables in the regression. The regression model allowed good representation of the IASI observations in most cases (correlation coefficients between 0.81 and 0.94). However, 5 the variability recorded in the tropics could not be reproduced that well, with only about 20 to 40 % correctly accounted for. The regression for other parts of the globe yielded better results, especially in the southern polar regions, where a high percentage (60-80 %) of the observed variability is reproduced by the regression. Generally, it was found that the annual cycle is the factor responsible for the largest part of the variability, showing a hemispheric pattern. The Brewer-Dobson circulation, and also the solar insolation seasonality, which are embedded in the harmonic terms, seem to be the main drivers of variability, 10 with the Brewer-Dobson circulation carrying NO_y towards the poles and both processes bringing the HNO_3 concentrations to their maxima during the local winter when production is enhanced and destruction inhibited. We show interestingly that the polar stratospheric clouds contribute as the second most important driver of the variability of HNO_3 in the southern polar latitudes (65-90 S). The influence of PSCs is as expected less marked in the northern hemisphere, but accounting for PSCs still significantly improves the model-to-observation agreement especially during the colder northern winters (R from 0.83 to 0.86). 15 While we feel that the VPSC proxy used here for the PSCs (including only the NAT) is generally good, it is not excluded that adding other forms of PSCs would further improve the model. In any case, the present work shows the potential of using the IASI measurements to study in depth the polar denitrification processes.

Going towards to the mid- and tropical latitudes, the annual cycle is still prominent, but the relative influence of the QBO increases. Most of the weak seasonality revealed by IASI in the tropical regions is explained by the annual cycle (as well as a 20 potential contribution of African fires and of lightning for additional NO_x sources), the QBO and the MEI.

More generally, this study shows that the IASI data allow a good analysis and understanding of the HNO_3 variability in the atmosphere. The measurements are made with exceptional spatial and temporal sampling, which allows a detailed analysis of the polar regions throughout the entire year. The amount of data allows for a thorough monitoring of the processes regulating the HNO_3 variability, such as the denitrification processes in the southern polar regions, or the seasonal variability in the trop- 25 ical regions. The IASI HNO_3 time series will soon be extended with the launch of Metop C in September 2018, which should further improve the regression model. As shown here by the still significant residuals at some periods and locations, other factors could also probably be included to acquire a full and coherent representation of the HNO_3 total columns variability.

Acknowledgements. IASI has been developed and built under the responsibility of the “Centre National d’Etudes Spatiales” (CNES, France). It is flown on board the Metop satellites as part of the EUMETSAT Polar System. The IASI L1 data are received through the EUMETCast 30 near-real-time data distribution service. The research was funded by the F.R.S.-FNRS, the Belgian State Federal Office for Scientific, Technical and Cultural Affairs (Prodex arrangement 4000111403 IASI.FLOW) and EUMETSAT through the Satellite Application Facility on Atmospheric Composition Monitoring (ACSAT). The authors would like to thank Ingo Wohltmann for the VPSC proxy and for useful dis-

cussions. G. Ronsmans is grateful to the “Fonds pour la Formation à la Recherche dans l’Industrie et dans l’Agriculture” of Belgium for a PhD grant (Boursier FRIA). Cathy Clerbaux is grateful to CNES for financial support.

References

- Austin, J., Garcia, R. R., Russell, J. M., Solomon, S., and Tuck, A. F.: On the Atmospheric Photochemistry of Nitric Acid, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 91, 5477–5485, <https://doi.org/10.1029/JD091iD05p05477>, 1986.
- Austin, J., Hood, L. L., and Soukharev, B. E.: Solar cycle variations of stratospheric ozone and temperature in simulations of a coupled
5 chemistry-climate model, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 7, 1693–1706, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-1693-2007>, 2007.
- Baldwin, M. P., Gray, L. J., Dunkerton, T. J., Hamilton, K., Haynes, P. H., Holton, J. R., Alexander, M. J., Hirota, I., Horinouchi, T., Jones, D. B. A., Marquardt, C., Sato, K., and Takahashi, M.: The quasi-biennial oscillation, *Reviews of Geophysics*, 39, 179–229, <http://dx.doi.org/10.1029/1999RG000073>, 2001.
- Barbosa, P. M., Stroppiana, D., Grégoire, J., and Pereira, J. M. C.: An assessment of vegetation fire in Africa (1981–1991): Burned areas,
10 burned biomass, and atmospheric emissions, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 13, 933–950, <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GB900042>, 1999.
- Butchart, N.: The Brewer-Dobson circulation, *Rev. Geophys*, 52, 157–184, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2013RG000448>, 2014.
- Chehade, W., Weber, M., and Burrows, J. P.: Total ozone trends and variability during 1979–2012 from merged data sets of various satellites, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 14, 7059–7074, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-7059-2014>, 2014.
- Clerbaux, C., Boynard, A., Clarisse, L., George, M., Hadji-Lazaro, J., Herbin, H., Hurtmans, D., Pommier, M., Razavi, A., Turquety, S.,
15 Wespes, C., and Coheur, P.-F.: Monitoring of atmospheric composition using the thermal infrared IASI/MetOp sounder, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 9, 6041–6054, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-6041-2009>, 2009.
- Cooper, M., Martin, R. V., Wespes, C., Coheur, P.-F., Clerbaux, C., and Murray, L. T.: Tropospheric nitric acid columns from the IASI satellite instrument interpreted with a chemical transport model: Implications for parametrizations of nitric oxide production by lightning, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 119, 68–79, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD021907>, 2014.
- 20 Covington, A. E.: Solar Noise Observations on 10.7 Centimeters, *Proceedings of the IRE*, 36, 454–457, <https://doi.org/10.1109/JRPROC.1948.234598>, 1948.
- de Laat, A. T. J. and van Weele, M.: The 2010 Antarctic ozone hole: Observed reduction in ozone destruction by minor sudden stratospheric warmings, *Scientific Reports*, 1, 38, <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep00038>, 2011.
- de Laat, A. T. J., van der A, R. J., and van Weele, M.: Tracing the second stage of ozone recovery in the Antarctic ozone-hole with a "big data"
25 approach to multivariate regressions, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 15, 79–97, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-79-2015>, 2015.
- Drdla, K. and Müller, R.: Temperature thresholds for polar stratospheric ozone loss, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*, 10, 28 687–28 720, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acpd-10-28687-2010>, 2010.
- Finlayson-Pitts, B. J. and Pitts, J. N.: *Chemistry of the Upper and Lower Atmosphere*, Elsevier, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012257060-5/50025-3>, 2000.
- 30 Fischer, H., Waibel, A. E., Welling, M., Wienhold, F. G., Zenker, T., Crutzen, P. J., Arnold, F., Bürger, V., Schneider, J., Bregman, A., Lelieveld, J., and Siegmund, P. C.: Observations of high concentration of total reactive nitrogen (NO_y) and nitric acid (HNO₃) in the lower Arctic stratosphere during the Stratosphere-Troposphere Experiment by Aircraft Measurements (STREAM) II campaign in February 1995, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 102, 23 559, <https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD02012>, 1997.
- Frossard, L., Rieder, H. E., Ribatet, M., Staehelin, J., Maeder, J. A., Di Rocco, S., Davison, A. C., and Peter, T.: On the relationship between
35 total ozone and atmospheric dynamics and chemistry at mid-latitudes – Part 1: Statistical models and spatial fingerprints of atmospheric dynamics and chemistry, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*, 12, 13 161–13 199, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-147-2013>, 2013.

- Garfinkel, C. I., Shaw, T. A., Hartmann, D. L., and Waugh, D. W.: Does the Holton–Tan Mechanism Explain How the Quasi-Biennial Oscillation Modulates the Arctic Polar Vortex?, *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 69, 1713–1733, <https://doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-11-0209.1>, 2012.
- Garfinkel, C. I., Hurwitz, M. M., and Oman, L. D.: Effect of recent sea surface temperature trends on the Arctic stratospheric vortex, *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, 120, 5404–5416, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2015JD023284>, 2015.
- Gobbi, G. P., Deshler, T., Adriani, A., and Hofmann, D. J.: Evidence for denitrification in the 1990 Antarctic spring stratosphere: I, Lidar and temperature measurements, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 18, 1995–1998, <https://doi.org/10.1029/91GL02310>, 1991.
- Gong, D. and Wang, S.: Definition of Antarctic Oscillation index, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 26, 459–462, <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999GL900003>, 1999.
- 10 Hanson, D. and Mauersberger, K.: Laboratory studies of the nitric acid trihydrate: Implications for the south polar stratosphere, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 15, 855–858, <https://doi.org/10.1029/GL015i008p00855>, 1988.
- Harris, N. R. P., Lehmann, R., Rex, M., and von der Gathen, P.: A closer look at Arctic ozone loss and polar stratospheric clouds, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10, 8499–8510, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-8499-2010>, 2010.
- Hauchecorne, A., Bertaux, J. L., Dalaudier, F., Keckhut, P., Lemennais, P., Bekki, S., Marchand, M., Lebrun, J. C., Kyrölä, E., Tamminen, J., Sofieva, V., Fussen, D., Vanhellefont, F., Fanton Dandon, O., Barrot, G., Blanot, L., Fehr, T., and Saavedra De Miguel, L.: Response of tropical stratospheric O₃, NO₂ and NO₃ to the equatorial Quasi-Biennial Oscillation and to temperature as seen from GOMOS/ENVISAT, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10, 8873–8879, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-8873-2010>, 2010.
- 15 Hilton, F., Armante, R., August, T., Barnet, C., Bouchard, A., Camy-Peyret, C., Capelle, V., Clarisse, L., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P.-F., Collard, A., Crevoisier, C., Dufour, G., Edwards, D., Faijan, F., Fourrié, N., Gambacorta, A., Goldberg, M., Guidard, V., Hurtmans, D., Illingworth, S., Jacquinet-Husson, N., Kerzenmacher, T., Klaes, D., Lavanant, L., Masiello, G., Matricardi, M., McNally, A., Newman, S., Pavelin, E., Payan, S., Péquignot, E., Peyridieu, S., Phulpin, T., Remedios, J., Schlüssel, P., Serio, C., Strow, L., Stubenrauch, C., Taylor, J., Tobin, D., Wolf, W., and Zhou, D.: Hyperspectral Earth Observation from IASI: Five Years of Accomplishments, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 93, 347–370, <https://doi.org/10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00027.1>, 2012.
- 20 Holton, J. R. and Tan, H.-C.: The Influence of the Equatorial Quasi-Biennial Oscillation on the Global Circulation at 50 mb, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(1980\)037<2200:TIOTEQ>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1980)037<2200:TIOTEQ>2.0.CO;2), 1980.
- 25 Hood, L. L.: The solar cycle variation of total ozone: Dynamical forcing in the lower stratosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 102, 1355–1370, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2007JD009391>, 1997.
- Hood, L. L. and Soukharev, B. E.: Quasi-Decadal Variability of the Tropical Lower Stratosphere: The Role of Extratropical Wave Forcing, *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 60, 2389–2403, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(2003\)060<2389:QVOTTL>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(2003)060<2389:QVOTTL>2.0.CO;2), 2003.
- 30 Hood, L. L., Soukharev, B. E., and McCormack, J. P.: Decadal variability of the tropical stratosphere: Secondary influence of the El Niño/Southern Oscillation, *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, 115, 1–16, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD012291>, 2010.
- Hoyle, C. R., Engel, I., Luo, B. P., Pitts, M. C., Poole, L. R., Groöf, J. U., and Peter, T.: Heterogeneous formation of polar stratospheric clouds- Part 1: Nucleation of nitric acid trihydrate (NAT), *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 13, 9577–9595, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-9577-2013>, 2013.
- 35 Hurtmans, D., Coheur, P.-F., Wespes, C., Clarisse, L., Scharf, O., Clerbaux, C., Hadji-Lazaro, J., George, M., and Turquety, S.: FORLI radiative transfer and retrieval code for IASI, *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, 113, 1391–1408, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2012.02.036>, 2012.

- Jacob, D. J.: Heterogeneous chemistry and tropospheric ozone, *Atmospheric Environment*, 34, 2131–2159, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310\(99\)00462-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00462-8), 2000.
- Jones, J. M. and Widmann, M.: Early peak in Antarctic oscillation index, *Nature*, 432, 290–291, <https://doi.org/10.1038/432290b>, 2004.
- Khosrawi, F., Urban, J., Lossow, S., Stiller, G., Weigel, K., Braesicke, P., Pitts, M. C., Rozanov, A., Burrows, J. P., and Murtagh, D.: Sensitivity of polar stratospheric cloud formation to changes in water vapour and temperature, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 16, 101–121, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-101-2016>, 2016.
- Kirner, O., Ruhnke, R., Buchholz-Dietsch, J., Jöckel, P., Brühl, C., and Steil, B.: Simulation of polar stratospheric clouds in the chemistry-climate-model EMAC via the submodel PSC, *Geoscientific Model Development*, 4, 169–182, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-4-169-2011>, 2011.
- 10 Kirner, O., Müller, R., Ruhnke, R., and Fischer, H.: Contribution of liquid, NAT and ice particles to chlorine activation and ozone depletion during Antarctic winter and spring, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 15, 2019–2030, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-2019-2015>, 2015.
- Klekociuk, A., Tully, M., Alexander, S., Dargaville, R., Deschamps, L., Fraser, P., Gies, H., Henderson, S., Javorniczky, J., Krummel, P., Petelina, S., Shanklin, J., Siddaway, J., and Stone, K.: The Antarctic ozone hole during 2010, *Australian Meteorological and Oceanographic Journal*, 61, 253–267, <https://doi.org/10.22499/2.6104.006>, 2011.
- 15 Knibbe, J. S., van der A, R. J., and de Laat, A. T. J.: Spatial regression analysis on 32 years of total column ozone data, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 14, 8461–8482, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-8461-2014>, 2014.
- Kodera, K. and Kuroda, Y.: Tropospheric and stratospheric aspects of the Arctic Oscillation, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 27, 3349–3352, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000GL012017>, 2000.
- Kodera, K. and Kuroda, Y.: Dynamical response to the solar cycle, *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, 107, 1–12, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2002JD002224>, 2002.
- Konopka, P., Ploeger, F., Tao, M., Birner, T., and Riese, M.: Hemispheric asymmetries and seasonality of mean age of air in the lower stratosphere: Deep versus shallow branch of the Brewer-Dobson circulation, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 120, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022429>.Received, 2015.
- Labrador, L. J., Von Kuhlmann, R., and Lawrence, M. G.: Strong sensitivity of the global mean OH concentration and the tropospheric oxidizing efficiency to the source of NO_x from lightning, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31, L06 102, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003GL019229>, 2004.
- Lambert, A., Santee, M. L., and Livesey, N. J.: Interannual variations of early winter Antarctic polar stratospheric cloud formation and nitric acid observed by CALIOP and MLS, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 16, 15 219–15 246, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-15219-2016>, 2016.
- 30 Lary, D. J.: Catalytic destruction of stratospheric ozone, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 102, 21 515–21 526, <https://doi.org/10.1029/97JD00912>, 1997.
- Lee, A. M., Roscoe, H. K., Jones, A. E., Haynes, P. H., Shuckburgh, E. F., Morrey, M. W., and Pumphrey, H. C.: The impact of the mixing properties within the Antarctic stratospheric vortex on ozone loss in spring, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 106, 3203–3211, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900398>, 2001.
- 35 Lee, H. and Smith, A. K.: Simulation of the combined effects of solar cycle, quasi-biennial oscillation, and volcanic forcing on stratospheric ozone changes in recent decades, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 108, 1–16, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001503>, 2003.
- Lee, S., Shelow, D. M., Thompson, A. M., and Miller, S. K.: QBO and ENSO variability in temperature and ozone from SHADOZ, 1998–2005, *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, 115, 1998–2005, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009JD013320>, 2010.

- Lowe, D. and MacKenzie, A. R.: Polar stratospheric cloud microphysics and chemistry, *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 70, 13–40, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2007.09.011>, 2008.
- Mäder, J. A., Staehelin, J., Brunner, D., Stahel, W. A., Wohltmann, I., and Peter, T.: Statistical modeling of total ozone: Selection of appropriate explanatory variables, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 112, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007694>, 2007.
- 5 Mäder, J. A., Staehelin, J., Peter, T., Brunner, D., Rieder, H. E., and Stahel, W. A.: Evidence for the effectiveness of the Montreal Protocol to protect the ozone layer, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 10, 12 161–12 171, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-10-12161-2010>, 2010.
- Manney, G. L. and Lawrence, Z. D.: The major stratospheric final warming in 2016: Dispersal of vortex air and termination of Arctic chemical ozone loss, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 16, 15 371–15 396, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-16-15371-2016>, 2016.
- Manney, G. L., Zurek, R., O'Neill, A., and Swinbank, R.: On the motion of air through the stratospheric polar vortex, *Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 51, 2973–2994, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469\(1994\)051<2973:OTMOAT>2.0.CO;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0469(1994)051<2973:OTMOAT>2.0.CO;2), 1994.
- 10 Manney, G. L., Michelsen, H. A., Santee, M. L., Gunson, M. R., Irion, F. W., Roche, A. E., and Livesey, N. J.: Polar vortex dynamics during spring and fall diagnosed using trace gas observations from the Atmospheric Trace Molecule Spectroscopy instrument, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 104, 18 841–18 866, <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999JD900317>, 1999.
- Manney, G. L., Santee, M. L., Rex, M., Livesey, N. J., Pitts, M. C., Veeffkind, P., Nash, E. R., Wohltmann, I., Lehmann, R., Froidevaux, L., 15 Poole, L. R., Schoeberl, M. R., Haffner, D. P., Davies, J., Dorokhov, V., Gernandt, H., Johnson, B., Kivi, R., Kyrö, E., Larsen, N., Levelt, P. F., Makshtas, A., McElroy, C. T., Nakajima, H., Parrondo, M. C., Tarasick, D. W., von der Gathen, P., Walker, K. A., and Zinoviev, N. S.: Unprecedented Arctic ozone loss in 2011, *Nature*, 478, 469–475, <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10556>, 2011.
- Matthias, V., Dörnbrack, A., and Stober, G.: The extraordinarily strong and cold polar vortex in the early northern winter 2015/2016, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 43, 12,287–12,294, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016GL071676>, 2016.
- 20 Maycock, A., Matthes, K., Tegtmeier, S., Thiéblemont, R., and Hood, L.: The representation of solar cycle signals in stratospheric ozone - Part 1: A comparison of satellite observations, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*, 16, 10 021–10 043, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-2015-882>, 2016.
- McCormack, J. P., Siskind, D. E., and Hood, L. L.: Solar-QBO interaction and its impact on stratospheric ozone in a zonally averaged photochemical transport model of the middle atmosphere, *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, 112, 25 <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD008369>, 2007.
- McDonald, M., de Zafra, R., and Muscari, G.: Millimeter wave spectroscopic measurements over the South Pole 5 . Morphology and evolution of HNO₃ vertical distribution, 1993 versus 1995, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 105, 17 739–17 750, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD900120>, 2000.
- Miller, A. J., Cai, A., Tiao, G., Wuebbles, D. J., Flynn, L. E., Yang, S. K., Weatherhead, E. C., Fioletov, V., Petropavlovskikh, I., Meng, 30 X. L., Guillas, S., Nagatani, R. M., and Reinsel, G. C.: Examination of ozonesonde data for trends and trend changes incorporating solar and Arctic oscillation signals, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 111, 1–10, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005JD006684>, 2006.
- Mohanakumar, K.: Stratosphere Troposphere Interactions - An Introduction, <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-8217-7>, 2008.
- Morgenstern, O., Braesicke, P., Hurwitz, M. M., O'Connor, F. M., Bushell, A. C., Johnson, C. E., and Pyle, J. A.: The world avoided by the Montreal Protocol, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35, 1–5, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008GL034590>, 2008.
- 35 Neuman, J. A., Gao, R. S., Fahey, D. W., Holecek, J. C., Ridley, B. A., Walega, J. G., Grahek, F. E., Richard, E. C., McElroy, C. T., Thompson, T. L., Elkins, J. W., Moore, F. L., and Ray, E. A.: In situ measurements of HNO₃, NO_y, NO, and O₃ in the lower stratosphere and upper troposphere, *Atmospheric Environment*, 35, 5789–5797, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310\(01\)00354-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00354-5), 2001.

- Newman, P. A., Nash, E. R., and Rosenfield, J. E.: What controls the temperature of the Arctic stratosphere during the spring?, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 106, 19 999–20 010, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000jd000061>, 2001.
- Peter, T.: Microphysics and heterogeneous chemistry of polar stratospheric clouds, *Annual Review of Physical Chemistry*, 48, 785–822, <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.physchem.48.1.785>, 1997.
- 5 Piccolo, C. and Dudhia, A.: Precision validation of MIPAS-Envisat products, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 7, 1915–1923, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-1915-2007>, 2007.
- Pitts, M. C., Poole, L. R., and Thomason, L. W.: CALIPSO polar stratospheric cloud observations: second-generation detection algorithm and composition discrimination, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 9, 7577–7589, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-7577-2009>, 2009.
- Popp, P. J., Marcy, T. P., Gao, R. S., Watts, L. A., Fahey, D. W., Richard, E. C., Oltmans, S. J., Santee, M. L., Livesey, N. J., Froidevaux, L.,
10 Sen, B., Toon, G. C., Walker, K. A., Boone, C. D., and Bernath, P. F.: Stratospheric correlation between nitric acid and ozone, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 114, D03 305, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2008JD010875>, 2009.
- Randel, W. J. and Thompson, A. M.: Interannual variability and trends in tropical ozone derived from SAGE II satellite data and SHADOZ ozonesondes, *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, 116, 1–9, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2010JD015195>, 2011.
- Randel, W. J., Garcia, R. R., Calvo, N., and Marsh, D.: ENSO influence on zonal mean temperature and ozone in the tropical lower strato-
15 sphere, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36, 1–5, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2009GL039343>, 2009.
- Rieder, H. E., Frossard, L., Ribatet, M., Staehelin, J., Maeder, J. A., Di Rocco, S., Davison, A. C., Peter, T., Weihs, P., and Holawe, F.: On the relationship between total ozone and atmospheric dynamics and chemistry at mid-latitudes – Part 2: The effects of the El Niño/Southern Oscillation, volcanic eruptions and contributions of atmospheric dynamics and chemistry to long-term total ozone, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12, 13 201–13 236, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-165-2013>, 2013.
- 20 Rodgers, C. D.: *Inverse Methods for Atmospheric Sounding - Theory and Practice*, vol. 2 of *Series on Atmospheric Oceanic and Planetary Physics*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., <https://doi.org/10.1142/9789812813718>, 2000.
- Ronsmans, G., Langerock, B., Wespes, C., Hannigan, J. W., Hase, F., Kerzenmacher, T., Mahieu, E., Schneider, M., Smale, D., Hurtmans, D., De Mazière, M., Clerbaux, C., and Coheur, P.-F.: First characterization and validation of FORLI-HNO₃ vertical profiles retrieved from IASI/Metop, *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, 9, 4783–4801, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-9-4783-2016>, 2016.
- 25 Roscoe, H. K., Feng, W., Chipperfield, M. P., Trainic, M., and Shuckburgh, E. F.: The existence of the edge region of the Antarctic stratospheric vortex, *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, 117, 1–12, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2011JD015940>, 2012.
- Santee, M. L., Manney, G. L., Froidevaux, L., Read, W. G., and Waters, J. W.: Six years of UARS Microwave Limb Sounder HNO₃ observations : Seasonal, interhemispheric, and interannual variations in the lower stratosphere, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 104, 8225–8246, <https://doi.org/10.1029/1998JD100089>, 1999.
- 30 Santee, M. L., Manney, G. L., Livesey, N. J., and Read, W. G.: Three-dimensional structure and evolution of stratospheric HNO₃ based on UARS microwave limb sounder measurements, *Journal of Geophysical Research D: Atmospheres*, 109, 1–19, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2004JD004578>, 2004.
- Santee, M. L., Manney, G. L., Livesey, N. J., Froidevaux, L., MacKenzie, I. A., Pumphrey, H. C., Read, W. G., Schwartz, M. J., Waters, J. W., and Harwood, R. S.: Polar processing and development of the 2004 Antarctic ozone hole: First results from MLS on Aura, *Geophysical
35 Research Letters*, 32, 1–4, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2005GL022582>, 2005.
- Sauvage, B., Martin, R. V., van Donkelaar, A., and Ziemke, J. R.: Quantification of the factors controlling tropical tropospheric ozone and the South Atlantic maximum, *Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres*, 112, 1–14, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD008008>, 2007.

- Schirber, S.: Influence of ENSO on the QBO: Results from an ensemble of idealized simulations, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 120, 1109–1122, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014JD022460>, 2015.
- Schoeberl, M. R. and Hartmann, D. L.: The Dynamics of the Stratospheric Polar Vortex and Its Relation to Springtime Ozone Depletions, *Science*, 251, 46–52, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.251.4989.46>, 1991.
- 5 Scholes, R., Ward, D., and Justice, C.: Emissions of trace gases and aerosol particles due to vegetation burning in southern hemisphere Africa, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 101, 23 677–23 682, <https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD02049>, 1996.
- Schreier, S. F., Richter, A., Kaiser, J. W., and Burrows, J. P.: The empirical relationship between satellite-derived tropospheric NO₂ and fire radiative power and possible implications for fire emission rates of NO_x, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 14, 2447–2466, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-2447-2014>, 2014.
- 10 Sioris, C. E., McLinden, C. A., Fioletov, V. E., Adams, C., Zawodny, J. M., Bourassa, A. E., Roth, C. Z., and Degenstein, D. A.: Trend and variability in ozone in the tropical lower stratosphere over 2.5 solar cycles observed by SAGE II and OSIRIS, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 14, 3479–3496, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-14-3479-2014>, 2014.
- Solomon, A., Richter, J. H., and Bacmeister, J. T.: An objective analysis of the QBO in ERA-Interim and the Community Atmosphere Model, version 5, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41, 7791–7798, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2014GL061801>, 2014.
- 15 Solomon, S.: Stratospheric ozone depletion: A review of concepts and history, *Reviews of Geophysics*, 37, 275–316, <https://doi.org/10.1029/1999RG900008>, 1999.
- Soukharev, B. E. and Hood, L. L.: Solar cycle variation of stratospheric ozone: Multiple regression analysis of long-term satellite data sets and comparisons with models, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 111, 1–18, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007107>, 2006.
- Steinbrecht, W.: Enhanced upper stratospheric ozone: Sign of recovery or solar cycle effect?, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 109, 1–6, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2003JD004284>, 2004.
- 20 Tabazadeh, A., Toon, O. B., and Jensen, E. J.: Formation and implications of ice particle nucleation in the stratosphere, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 24, 2007–2010, <https://doi.org/10.1029/97GL01883>, 1997.
- Tapping, K. F.: The 10.7 cm solar radio flux (F10.7), *Space Weather*, 11, 394–406, <https://doi.org/10.1002/swe.20064>, 2013.
- Tapping, K. F. and DeTracey, B.: The origin of the 10.7 cm flux, *Solar Physics*, 127, 321–332, <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00152171>, 1990.
- 25 Thompson, D. W. J. and Wallace, J. M.: Annular Modes in the Extratropical Circulation. Part I : Month-to-Month Variability, *Journal of Climate*, 13, 1000–1016, [https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442\(2000\)01360:1000:amitec62;2.0.co;2](https://doi.org/10.1175/1520-0442(2000)01360:1000:amitec62;2.0.co;2), 2000.
- Toon, G. C., Farmer, C. B., Lowes, L. L., Schaper, P. W., Blavier, J., and Norton, R. H.: Infrared Aircraft Measurements of Stratospheric Composition Over Antarctica During September 1987, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 94, 16 571–16 596, <https://doi.org/10.1029/JD094iD14p16571>, 1989.
- 30 Trenberth, K. E., Branstator, G. W., Karoly, D., Kumar, A., Lau, N.-C., and Ropelewski, C.: Progress during TOGA in understanding and modeling global teleconnections associated with tropical sea surface temperatures, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 103, 14 291–14 324, <https://doi.org/10.1029/97JC01444>, 1998.
- Urban, J., Pommier, M., Murtagh, D. P., Santee, M. L., and Orsolini, Y. J.: Nitric acid in the stratosphere based on Odin observations from 2001 to 2009 – Part 1 : A global climatology, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 9, 7031–7044, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-9-7031-2009>, 2009.
- 35 Valks, P., Pinardi, G., Richter, A., Lambert, J. C., Hao, N., Loyola, D., Van Roozendaal, M., and Emmadi, S.: Operational total and tropospheric NO₂ column retrieval for GOME-2, *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, 4, 1491–1514, <https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-4-1491-2011>, 2011.

- Van Damme, M., Whitburn, S., Clarisse, L., Clerbaux, C., Hurtmans, D., and Coheur, P.-F.: Version 2 of the IASI NH₃ neural network retrieval algorithm; near-real time and reanalysed datasets, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*, pp. 1–14, <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5194/amt-2017-239>, 2017.
- van den Broeke, M. R. and van Lipzig, N. P. M.: Changes in Antarctic temperature, wind and precipitation in response to the Antarctic Oscillation, *Annals of Glaciology*, 39, 119–126, <https://doi.org/10.3189/172756404781814654>, 2004.
- Voigt, C., Schreiner, J., Kohlmann, A., Zink, P., Mauersberger, K., Larsen, N., Deshler, T., Kro, C., Rosen, J., Adriani, A., Cairo, F., Donfrancesco, G. D., Viterbini, M., Ovarlez, J., Ovarlez, H., and David, C.: Nitric Acid Trihydrate (NAT) in Polar Stratospheric Clouds, *Science*, 290, 1756–1758, <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.290.5497.1756>, 2000.
- von König, M., Bremer, H., Kleinböhl, A., Küllmann, H., Künzi, K. F., Goede, A. P. H., Browell, E. V., Grant, W. B., Burris, J. F., McGee, T. J., and Twigg, L.: Using gas-phase nitric acid as an indicator of PSC composition, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 107, SOL 8–1–SOL 8–10, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2001JD001041>, 2002.
- Wang, D. Y., Blom, C. E., Ward, W. E., Fischer, H., Blumenstock, T., Hase, F., Keim, C., Liu, G. Y., Mikuteit, S., Oelhaf, H., Wetzell, G., Cortesi, U., Mencaraglia, F., Bianchini, G., Redaelli, G., Pirre, M., Catoire, V., Huret, N., Vigouroux, C., Mahieu, E., Demoulin, P., Wood, S., Smale, D., Jones, N., Nakajima, H., Sugita, T., Urban, J., Murtagh, D., Boone, C. D., Bernath, P. F., Walker, K. a., Kuttippurath, J., Toon, G., Piccolo, C., Brunswick, N., Zealand, N., Science, S., and Cedex, P.: Validation of MIPAS HNO₃ operational data, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 7, 4905–4934, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-4905-2007>, 2007.
- Wang, X. and Michelangeli, D. V.: A review of polar stratospheric cloud formation, *China Particology*, 4, 261–271, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1672-2515\(07\)60275-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1672-2515(07)60275-9), 2006.
- Wegner, T., Grooß, J.-U., von Hobe, M., Strohm, F., Sumińska-Ebersoldt, O., Volk, C. M., Hösen, E., Mitev, V., Shur, G., and Müller, R.: Heterogeneous chlorine activation on stratospheric aerosols and clouds in the Arctic polar vortex, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 12, 11 095–11 106, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-11095-2012>, 2012.
- Weiss, A. K., Staehelin, J., Appenzeller, C., and Harris, N. R. P.: Chemical and dynamical contributions to ozone profile trends of the Payerne (Switzerland) balloon soundings, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 106, 22 685–22 694, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2000JD000106>, 2001.
- Wespes, C., Hurtmans, D., Clerbaux, C., Santee, M. L., Martin, R. V., and Coheur, P. F.: Global distributions of nitric acid from IASI/MetOP measurements, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 9, 8035–8069, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acpd-9-8035-2009>, 2009.
- Wespes, C., Coheur, P.-F., Emmons, L. K., Hurtmans, D., Safieddine, S., Clerbaux, C., and Edwards, D. P.: Ozone variability in the troposphere and the stratosphere from the first six years of IASI observations (2008–2013), *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*, 15, 27 575–27 625, <https://doi.org/10.5194/acpd-15-27575-2015>, 2016.
- Wespes, C., Hurtmans, D., Clerbaux, C., and Coheur, P.-F.: O₃ variability in the troposphere as observed by IASI over 2008–2016: Contribution of atmospheric chemistry and dynamics, *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, 122, 2429–2451, <https://doi.org/10.1002/2016JD025875>, <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/2016JD025875>, 2017.
- WMO: Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2014, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project – Report No. 55, World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2014.
- Wohlmann, I., Lehmann, R., Rex, M., Brunner, D., and Mäder, J. A.: A process-oriented regression model for column ozone, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 112, 1–18, <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006JD007573>, 2007.
- Wohlmann, I., Lehmann, R., and Rex, M.: Update of the Polar SWIFT model for polar stratospheric ozone loss (Polar SWIFT version 2), *Geoscientific Model Development*, 10, 2671–2689, <https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-10-2671-2017>, 2017.

Wolter, K. and Timlin, M. S.: Monitoring ENSO in COADS with a seasonally adjusted principal component index, in: Proceedings of the 17th Climate Diagnostics Workshop, edited by NOAA/NMC/CAC, pp. 52–57, CNSSSL, IMMS and the School of Meteor., Univ. of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, 1993.

Wolter, K. and Timlin, M. S.: Measuring the strength of ENSO events - how does 1997/98 rank?, *Weather*, 53, 315–324,
5 <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1477-8696.1998.tb06408.x>, 1998.

Table 1. Proxies used for the regressions and their source

Proxy	Description	Source
SF	Solar Flux at 10.7 cm	NOAA National Center for Environmental Information https://www.ngdc.noaa.gov/stp/solar/flux.html
QBO	Quasi Biennial Oscillation index at 10 and 30hPa	Free University of Berlin http://www.geo.fu-berlin.de/en/met/ag/strat/produkte/qbo/index.html
MEI	Multivariate ENSO Index	NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/climateindices/
VPSC	Volume of Nitric Acid Trihydrates formed in the stratosphere	Dr. Ingo Wohltmann at AWI (personal communication)
AO & AAO	Arctic & Antarctic oscillation indices	NOAA Earth System Research Laboratory http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/climateindices/

Table 2. Set of variables retained by the selection algorithm for each equivalent latitude band.

70-90S	65-70S	55-65S	40-55S	30-40S	30-30	30-40N	40-55N	55-65N	65-70N	70-90N
QBO10	SF QBO10	SF QBO10 QBO30	SF QBO10 QBO30	SF QBO10 QBO30	SF QBO10 QBO30	SF QBO10 QBO30	SF QBO10 QBO30	SF QBO10 QBO30	SF QBO10 QBO30	QBO10 QBO30
COS1	COS1	COS1	COS1	COS1	COS1	COS1	COS1	COS1	COS1	COS1
SIN1	SIN1	SIN1	SIN1	SIN1	SIN1	SIN1	SIN1	SIN1	SIN1	SIN1
MEI	MEI	MEI	MEI	MEI	MEI	MEI	MEI	MEI	MEI	MEI
VPSC	VPSC AAO	AAO	AAO	AAO	AAO	AO/AAO	AO	AO	AO	VPSC AO




Figure 1. Example of equivalent latitude contours for -70 (blue), -65 (light blue), -55 (red) and -40 (green) equivalent latitudes. The background colors are HNO₃ total columns (daily mean for 21.07.2011, in molec.cm⁻²).




Figure 2. Four top panels: HNO₃ total columns time series for the years 2008-2016, for equivalent latitude bands 70-90, 65-70, 55-65 and 40-55, north (green) and south (blue). Vertical shaded areas are the periods during which the average temperatures are below T_{NAT} in the north (green) and south (blue) 70-90° band, and in the south (purple) 65-70° band. Note that the large period without data in 2010 is when there was a low amount of data distributed by EUMETSAT (see Section 2). Bottom panel: Daily average temperatures time series (in K) taken at the altitude of 50 hPa for the equivalent latitude bands 70-90° North (green) and South (blue) and 65-70° South (purple). The horizontal black line represents T_{NAT} , i.e. the 195 K line.




Figure 3. Zonally averaged daily HNO_3 total columns distribution over 2008-2016, expressed in molec.cm^{-2} . The lines represent potential vorticity contours at a potential temperature of 530 K (5 (black), 8 (cyan) and 10 (blue) $\times 10^{-6} \text{ K.m}^2.\text{kg}^{-1}.\text{s}^{-1}$) which correspond to the equivalent latitudes contours illustrated in Fig 1.




Figure 4. For northern (top) and southern (bottom) 70-90 equivalent latitude bands: HNO_3 total columns time series for the years 2008 to 2016 in molec.cm^{-2} . Note that the y-axis limits differ between the two plots.




Figure 5. Normalized proxies over the IASI observations period (2008-2016). Top panel: Solar flux (yellow), QBO at 10 hPa (green) and QBO at 30 hPa (orange). Middle panel: Antarctic Oscillation (light blue), Arctic oscillation (dark blue) and Multivariate ENSO Index (MEI, pink). Bottom panel: VPSC proxy in the northern hemisphere (light grey) and in the southern hemisphere (dark grey).




Figure 6. IASI HNO₃ total columns (red dots) for each equivalent latitude bands and the associated fitted model (black curves). The residuals are in blue, and the horizontal black line represents the zero residual line. For each equivalent latitude band, the correlation coefficient (R) between the observations and the model fit is given in the top left corner, and the root mean square error (RMSE) in the top right corner.




Figure 7. For north (left) and south (right) equivalent latitude bands 70-90°: Top: Total columns (in 10^{16} molec.cm⁻²) of IASI observations (red) and the regression fit without the VPSC proxy (black), for a subset of the time series, zooming on denitrification periods. The correlation coefficients between the fit and the IASI data (R) are displayed, as well as the root mean square error (RMSE). Middle: Same as top panels but for the fit with the VPSC proxy. Bottom: Normalized VPSC proxy. Note the different time and value ranges between the two hemispheres.




Figure 8. Top panel: Regression coefficients (x_i) and their standard error (σ_e , error bars, calculated by Eq. 3) for the selected variables in each equivalent latitude band (each data point is located in the middle of its corresponding eqlat band). Bottom panels: Fitted signal of the proxies in the eqlat bands 70-90 north (middle) and south (bottom) for the variables selected. They are calculated as $[x_i \cdot X_i]$ with X_i the normalized proxy and x_i the regression coefficient calculated by the regression model.




Figure 9. Top: Fraction (%) of the HNO_3 variability in the IASI observations explained by the regression model, and calculated as $[\sigma(\text{HNO}_3^{\text{fit}})/\sigma(\text{HNO}_3^{\text{IASI}}) \times 100]$. Bottom: Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) calculated for each grid cell as $[\sqrt{\frac{\sum(\text{fit}-\text{IASI})^2}{n}}]$ and expressed in %.




Figure 10. Time evolution of IASI HNO₃ (red) and GOME-2 NO₂ (green) from 2008 to 2015 for Africa south of the equator (5-20°S, 10-40°E). Both HNO₃ and NO₂ columns are expressed in molec.cm⁻². The NO₂ data are tropospheric columns (Valks et al., 2011) and are obtained from <ftp://atmos.caf.dlr.de/>. Note that the ranges differ between the two y-axes.




Figure 11. Global distributions ($2.5^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$ grid) of the regression coefficients expressed in 10^{15} molec. cm^{-2} . The gray crosses are the cells where the proxy is not significant when accounting for autocorrelation (see Eq.3). The white cells are where the proxy was not retained and the black cells represent a coefficient of 0. Note the different scales. The X axes are latitudes.