

We thank the reviewer for taking the time to re-read the manuscript a second time. We have easily made all of the suggested edits, as they were all quite minor. Our responses are in italics below each specific comment.

The title and abstract of this manuscript suggest that one is able to identify and quantify the influence of biomass burning emissions on PAN distributions using TES v7 retrievals. The body of the text did not convince me that this is the case. The abstract and the title need to better reflect the findings of the paper.

Please consider changing the title to something more along the lines of “Are PAN enhancements in NA biomass plumes detectable in TES retrievals?”

If it is not too late in the process, we agree that adding TES to the title is an excellent suggestion, since the focus is on TES data rather than aircraft observations. We support the following shorter title, rather than a question:

Using TES retrievals to investigate PAN in North American Biomass Burning Plumes

Abstract:

“We find that 15 – 32 % of cases where elevated PAN is identified in TES observations (retrievals with $\text{DOF} > 0.6$) overlap smoke plumes” – Please add text defining what the range represents, and add the finding that 18% of all retrievals overlap HMS smoke extent.

We have added this information. These sentences in the abstract now read:

“Depending on the year, 15 – 32 % of cases where elevated PAN is identified in TES observations (retrievals with $\text{DOF} > 0.6$) overlap smoke plumes during July. Of all the retrievals attempted in July 2006 to July 2009, the percent associated with smoke is 18%.”

“Using aircraft observations from the Colorado Front Range, we demonstrate that TES can be sensitive to elevated PAN in the boundary layer even in the presence of clouds.” – indicate that the in-situ observations were located at ~750 hPa.

We have added this to the abstract. “Using aircraft observations from the Colorado Front Range, we demonstrate that TES can be sensitive to elevated PAN in the boundary layer (~750 hPa) even in the presence of clouds.”

Main text:

Note: The line numbers that the reviewer is citing refer to the version of the manuscript that was submitted with track changes noted.

L290 – “Of all the retrievals attempted in July 2006 to July 2009, the percent associated

with smoke is 18%. We expect a higher fraction of overlap in the subset of data with $DOF > 0.6$. (XX%)” – Please add your quantitative findings here.

As the sentence originally indicated, there is a higher fraction of overlap in this subset of data, and we have added the percentage to the sentence. It now reads:

“We expect a higher fraction of overlap in the subset of data with $DOF > 0.6$ (28%).”

Minor comments:

L137 TES is capable of measuring elevated PAN (detection limit ~ 0.2 ppbv) in the free troposphere, with uncertainty of 30-50 %. Citation? Validation?

This sentence refers to Payne et al. (2014), similar to the sentences above. We have added a reference to this sentence.

“On a single footprint basis, TES is capable of measuring elevated PAN (detection limit ~ 0.2 ppbv) in the free troposphere, with uncertainty of 30-50 % (Payne et al., 2014).”

L170-189 – are these findings broadly consistent with what we already know? please include citations

We have added the following sentences to the paper:

“The increase with latitude has also been observed by TES over the eastern Pacific Ocean (Zhu et al., 2017), and GEOS-Chem also produces a similar pattern in the mid-troposphere (Fischer et al., 2014). We are unaware of other work that has examined a longitudinal gradient in PAN over North America. PAN has recently measured by in situ instruments in the Colorado Front Range, and mixing ratios exceeding 1 ppbv do occur in this region (Zaragoza et al., 2017).”

L204 - In the case study presented, the PAN enhancement is located at ~ 750 hPa. I presume the author's comment "below 800 hPa" refers to larger pressures, or lower altitudes.

Yes, this is what we intend here. We have made a small edit to the sentence to make that clear. This now reads: “The red and blue lines show that TES has some sensitivity to PAN located at altitudes below 800 hPa, but the retrieval places the additional PAN higher up in the atmosphere.”

L318 – “We show the PAN distribution for in-smoke cases that also coincide with TES 510hPa $CO > 120$ ppbv and TES 510hPa $CO > 150$ ppbv. There are differences between these subsets of data and the not-in smoke cases.” To make the above claim that PAN retrievals are enhanced in smoke, and not just enhanced where CO is enhanced, you should control for CO retrievals in both in-smoke and not-in-smoke categories.

We removed the sentence that the reviewer is referring to in this comment. We also agree

that this is a great idea to pursue, so we tried! However, there are very few (17) TES CO retrievals with 510hPa CO > 150 ppbv that are not located within smoke polygons. So doing a quantitative comparison of this subset, which we believe is the most conservative indication of the presence of smoke where TES is sensitive to PAN, is not possible because of the low number. TES CO retrievals with 510hPa CO > 150 ppbv are almost always associated with smoke. Thus we have done a similar CO sub-setting to the “Not In Smoke” data for Figure 5a. We have also added the N to the figure because the caption was confusing as to which numbers applied to which subset. The updated text is included here.

“We have done a similar CO-based sub-setting for the retrievals that are not located within HMS smoke polygons. However, there are only 17 retrievals located outside HMS smoke polygons that have TES 510hPa CO > 150 ppbv. Fires primarily drive CO enhancements of this magnitude. While there is a suggestion that PAN is higher in smoke-impacted plumes in Figure 5a, this is not significant and the suggested differences are likely an underestimate. The in-smoke cases are a conservative estimate of smoke in the atmospheric column. The largest uncertainty in the HMS-based smoke designation is at the edges of the HMS plumes. As discussed in Brey et al. (2018), as smoke plumes dilute with age they become more difficult to visibly identify and to distinguish from anthropogenic pollution. This means that there are likely smoke-impacted retrievals that we have mis-classified as smoke-free.”

Using TES Retrievals to Investigate PAN in North American Biomass Burning Plumes

5 Emily V. Fischer¹, Liye Zhu¹, Vivienne H. Payne², John R. Worden², Zhe Jiang⁴, Susan S. Kulawik³, Steven Brey¹, Arsineh Hecobian¹, Daniel Gombos⁷, Karen Cady-Pereira⁵, and Frank Flocke⁶

¹Department of Atmospheric Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA

²Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA

³Bay Area Environmental Research Institute Moffett Field, Moffett Field, CA, USA

⁴National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA

⁵Atmospheric and Environmental Research (AER), Lexington, MA, USA

⁶National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR), Boulder, CO, USA

⁷MORSE Corp, Cambridge, MA, USA

15 *Correspondence to:* Emily V. Fischer (evf@atmos.colostate.edu)

20 **Abstract.** Peroxyacyl nitrate (PAN) is a critical atmospheric reservoir for nitrogen oxide radicals, and it plays a lead role in their redistribution in the troposphere. We analyze new Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer (TES) PAN observations over North America during July 2006 to 2009. Using aircraft observations from the Colorado Front Range, we demonstrate that TES can be sensitive to elevated PAN in the boundary layer (~750 hPa) even in the presence of clouds. In situ observations have shown that wildfire emissions can rapidly produce PAN, and PAN decomposition is an important component of ozone production in smoke plumes. We identify smoke-impacted TES PAN retrievals by co-location with NOAA Hazard Mapping System (HMS) smoke plumes. Depending on the year, 15 – 32 % of cases where elevated PAN is identified in TES observations (retrievals with DOF > 0.6) overlap smoke plumes during July. Of all the retrievals attempted in July 2006 to July 2009, the percent associated with smoke is 18%. A case study of smoke transport in July 2007 illustrates that PAN enhancements associated with HMS smoke plumes can be connected to fire complexes, providing evidence that TES is sufficiently sensitive to measure elevated PAN several days downwind of major fires. Using a subset of retrievals with TES 510 hPa carbon monoxide (CO) > 150 ppbv, and multiple estimates of background PAN, we calculate enhancement ratios for tropospheric average PAN relative to CO in smoke-impacted retrievals. Most of the TES-based enhancement ratios fall within the range calculated from in situ measurements.

Emily Fischer 3/1/2018 11:23 AM

Deleted: The Contribution of Fires to TES Observations of Free Tropospheric PAN over North America in July .

Emily Fischer 2/27/2018 2:40 PM

Deleted: We find that

35

1 Introduction

45 PAN is considered to be the largest reservoir for nitrogen oxide radicals ($\text{NO}_x = \text{NO} + \text{NO}_2$) in the troposphere, and it plays a major role in the redistribution of NO_x from sources to remote regions (Singh, 1987; Singh and Hanst, 1981). The balance between ozone (O_3) production and destruction is dictated by the abundance of NO_x (Monks et al., 2015), and thus the distribution of O_3 is a function of PAN production, transport, and decomposition rates (Kasibhatla et al., 1993; Moxim et al., 1996; Wang et al., 1998). However due to the complexity of its formation chemistry and its sensitivity to vertical transport (Fischer et al., 2014), PAN is difficult to represent in global chemical transport models (CTMs) (Emmons et al., 2015), and in plume scale models (Alvarado et al., 2015).

55 In situ observations from aircraft show rapid conversion of NO_x to PAN in smoke plumes (Alvarado et al., 2010; Müller et al., 2016) seemingly due to the oxidation of relatively short-lived non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs), particularly oxygenated species emitted in higher quantities. Elevated PAN in smoke plumes can travel significant distances (Lindaas et al., 2017), the NO_x that is eventually released can contribute to O_3 production (Bein et al., 2008; Brey and Fischer, 2016; Jaffe et al., 2013; Lindaas et al., 2017; Morris et al., 2006; Pfister et al., 2008; Singh et al., 2012), but models are unlikely to accurately predict fire-related O_3 without better incorporating the evolution of PAN in the smoke (Jaffe et al., 2013). Efforts to understand the abundance and distribution of PAN related to smoke 60 over North America are timely because the area burned by wildfires in the western U.S. has increased in recent decades (Westerling, 2016; Westerling et al., 2006), and though there is spread in the predictions, fire activity is expected to continue to increase over the coming decades (Hurteau et al., 2014; Keywood et al., 2013; Moritz et al., 2012; Scholze et al., 2006; Yue et al., 2013). In addition, anthropogenic NO_x emissions are declining over most of North America (Pinder et al., 2008), implying that wildfires could 65 have a greater relative impact on U.S. air quality in the future (Val Martin et al., 2015).

Aside from a handful of long term observational datasets (e.g. Brice et al. (1988); Pandey Deolal et al. (2014); Fischer et al. (2011); Tanimoto et al. (2002); Mills et al. (2007)), much of our understanding of the distribution of PAN outside urban areas rests on data from aircraft missions interpreted with global chemical transport models (Alvarado et al., 2010; Fadnavis et al., 2014; Fischer et al., 2014; Pope et al., 70 2016). Given the limited set of long-term in situ measurements, satellite measurements are a potential tool that can be used to investigate the seasonal cycle and interannual variability of PAN in the troposphere along with which processes contribute to these features. Limb-sounding satellite instruments have provided global distributions of PAN in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (Glatthor et al., 2007; Moore and Remedios, 2010; Ungermann et al., 2016; Wiegeler et al., 2012). Analysis of new observations of PAN 75 from the Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer (TES) can be used to look lower in the troposphere (Payne et al., 2014). TES PAN observations confirm the important role that high latitude fires play in the interannual variability of PAN during spring at high latitudes (Zhu et al., 2015), support estimates of the role of PAN in the transpacific transport of O_3 (Jiang et al., 2016), establish strong intercontinental transport of PAN in both spring and summer (Zhu et al., 2017), and provide confirmation of PAN features

80 in the tropics predicted by CTMs (Payne et al., 2016). TES retrievals have also shown elevated PAN in
smoke plumes over North America (Alvarado et al., 2011).

Here we present an analysis of TES PAN observations over North America during the month of
July between 2006 and 2009. We focus on understanding the contribution of smoke to enhanced PAN by
segregating TES PAN retrievals based on smoke-impact through comparisons to NOAA Hazard Mapping
85 System (HMS) smoke plumes.

2 Methods

2.1 TES PAN observations

TES is a nadir-viewing Fourier transform spectrometer that measures thermal infrared radiances at
a high spectral resolution (0.1 cm^{-1} apodized), and it is one of four instruments on the NASA Aura satellite,
90 which flies in a sun-synchronous orbit with local equator crossing times of 1:30 and 13:30. TES has a
number of observational modes (global survey, and special observation modes such as step-and-stare and
transect). In global survey mode TES makes measurements along the satellite track for 16 orbits with a
spacing of $\sim 200 \text{ km}$; in step-and-stare mode nadir measurements are made every 40 km along the track for
approximately 50 degrees of latitude; in transect mode observations consist of series of 40 consecutive
95 scans spaced 12 km apart.

Specific details of the TES PAN retrieval algorithm are provided in Payne et al. (2014). TES PAN
retrievals are being processed routinely for the whole TES dataset and are publicly available in the TES v7
Level 2 product. The retrievals use an optimal estimation approach (Bowman et al., 2006; Rodgers, 2000).
An important diagnostic output of the optimal estimation retrieval is the averaging kernel (\mathbf{A}) which
100 describes the sensitivity of the retrieval to the true state:

$$(1) \mathbf{A} = \frac{\partial \hat{x}}{\partial x} = (\mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{S}_n^{-1} \mathbf{K} + \mathbf{R})^{-1} \mathbf{K}^T \mathbf{S}_n^{-1} \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{GK}$$

The Jacobian (\mathbf{K}) is the sensitivity of the forward modeled radiances to the state vector, calculated as:

$$(2) \mathbf{K} = \partial L / \partial \hat{x}$$

The noise covariance matrix, \mathbf{S}_n , represents the noise in the measured radiances. \mathbf{R} is the constraint matrix
105 for the retrieval. The averaging kernel matrix is supplied for each individual TES measurement. The
retrieved state is related to the true state by the following equation:

$$(3) \hat{x} = x_a + \mathbf{A}(x - x_a) + G_\epsilon$$

This allows us to apply the averaging kernel to a reference profile, such as an aircraft profile measurement,
to evaluate what the TES retrieval would show if the reference profile represents the true atmospheric state
110 viewed from the satellite.

At the time of this work, the v7 product was not yet available. The TES PAN retrievals shown
here were processed using a prototype algorithm for the area and time periods of interest. The v7 PAN
algorithm was built from this prototype, using the same state vector representation, microwindows and
prior constraints. The *a priori* profiles are based on GEOS-Chem simulations for the year 2008, with 6
115 possible prior profiles for any given month, as described in Payne et al. (2014). We have verified, using a
subset of v7 data processed so far, that v7 retrievals are consistent with those from the prototype. On a

single footprint basis, TES is capable of measuring elevated PAN (detection limit ~ 0.2 ppbv) in the free troposphere, with uncertainty of 30-50 % (Payne et al., 2014). In order to illustrate the characteristics of the retrievals, the four panels in Figure 1 show simulated retrievals for different combinations of conditions.

120 The true profiles in Figure 1 are the profiles that were used to generate radiances and Jacobians for the purposes of the simulated retrievals shown in the Figure. The true profile exhibits a maximum in the PAN mixing ratio close to the surface in the upper panels (a and b), while the true profile peaks in the mid-troposphere in the lower panels (c and d). In each of the profile plots, the black dashed line shows the prior, the two red lines show two different true profiles, and the two blue lines show the retrieved profiles. In
125 order to demonstrate the reduction in lower tropospheric sensitivity associated with cloudy cases, panels on the right (b and d) show retrievals where a cloud with effective optical depth of 0.7 is placed at 600 hPa (dotted line). These can be directly compared with panels on the left (a and c), which show equivalent condition clear-sky retrievals. As discussed in Payne et al. (2014), the TES PAN retrievals do not provide information on the vertical variation of PAN. In all cases, the degrees of freedom for signal, or number of
130 independent pieces of vertical information in the retrieval, is less than 1.0. This means that the shape of the retrieved result is always influenced by the shape of the prior (black dashed line), as can be seen in this Figure, and the vertical distribution of PAN in each retrieval is uncertain. Figure 1 demonstrates the limitations in sensitivity of TES PAN measurements, which provide broader spatial and temporal coverage than in situ measurements, but with a compromise on sensitivity. However, the measurements can be used
135 to validate models, provided the averaging kernel and prior are applied to model fields before comparison with the retrievals. The averaging kernels associated with the panels presented in Figure 1 are provided in the Supplemental Information (Figure S1).

Figure 2 shows the July 2006 – 2009 tropospheric average PAN. Because of the lack of vertical information, we define the tropospheric average for a given retrieval as the average retrieved PAN between
140 800 hPa and the tropopause. The PAN spectral feature at $1140\text{-}1180\text{ cm}^{-1}$ used for the TES retrievals coincides with the location of a silicate feature in surface emissivity spectra. For footprints where the spectra show strong evidence of this silicate feature in the surface emissivity (this can occur over rocky or sandy surfaces), TES PAN retrievals are not attempted. Of the 28149 TES footprints processed for this work that fell over land, 3608 of them failed quality control. Spatially coherent regions of failed quality
145 control show up as white patches in Figure 2(b). These regions are largely desert or mountainous regions. The same silicate feature is present in the presence of dust aerosol (*e.g.*, DeSouza-Machado et al. (2006); Klüser et al. (2011); Capelle et al. (2014)). The presence of dust aerosol could therefore also cause the retrieval to fail quality control or, for more subtle cases, could lead to low-biased PAN retrievals. Other reasons that may cause the TES PAN retrieval to fail quality control include poor fits to interferents, such
150 as water vapor, within the PAN spectral range.

When all the existing TES data is gridded (Figure 2b), there are several large patterns that emerge.
1) Average tropospheric PAN mixing ratios in the TES observations generally increase with latitude during the month of July over North America. 2) Average tropospheric PAN mixing ratios generally decrease

155 from west to east. 3) As can be seen in later figures, there are relatively few retrievals per grid box over the southwestern U.S. Though there are relatively few samples (~5-20 per $2 \times 2^\circ$ grid box), relatively high mixing ratios (0.6 ppbv) are observed over the Colorado Front Range. [The increase with latitude has also been observed by TES over the eastern Pacific Ocean \(Zhu et al., 2017\), and GEOS-Chem also produces a similar pattern in the mid-troposphere \(Fischer et al., 2014\). We are unaware of other work that has examined a longitudinal gradient in PAN over North America. PAN has recently been measured by *in situ* instruments in the Colorado Front Range, and mixing ratios exceeding 1 ppbv do occur in this region \(Zaragoza et al., 2017\).](#)

160
165
170
175
The peak sensitivity for PAN is generally between 400 – 800 hPa (Payne et al., 2014), but a comparison between TES PAN transect observations coincident with Front Range Air Pollution and Photochemistry Experiment (FRAPPÉ) observations (Figure 3) show that TES can have some degree of sensitivity to PAN in the boundary layer when boundary layer PAN is elevated. As an example, Figure 3 presents in situ observations from a flight during FRAPPÉ made with a thermal dissociation chemical ionization mass spectrometer (TD-CIMS) (Zheng et al., 2011). Mean PAN observed by the C-130 below 3 km during the field campaign was 481 pptv (Zaragoza et al., 2017). This particular day (29 July) was one of the four days identified by Zaragoza et al. (2017) with the highest surface PAN mixing ratios observed at the Boulder Atmospheric Observatory. The overlaid TES data in Figure 3a (parallelograms) show an enhancement in the TES PAN (as shown by the TES observation highlighted by a black square) in the vicinity of aircraft measurements of highly elevated PAN values in the boundary layer indicating that in this case TES is weakly sensitive to the elevated boundary layer values despite the presence of high clouds (dashed line Figure 3c). Figure 3 also shows red and blue lines corresponding to application of the averaging kernel for this case to hypothetical “true” profiles with and without the enhancement in the boundary layer. The red and blue lines show that TES has some sensitivity to PAN [located at altitudes](#) below 800 hPa, but the retrieval places the additional PAN higher up in the atmosphere. While the difference between the red and the blue solid lines in Figure 3d is small, it is non-zero indicating that TES has some sensitivity to the boundary layer enhancement in this case.

180
185
For the analysis presented below, we use PAN observations from TES over North America in July, from 2006 to 2009. We only include data with DOFS > 0.6. More specifically, this threshold value of DOFS > 0.6 was chosen to be consistent with a signal to noise ratio (SNR) greater than 1 (Payne et al., 2014), and this criteria has been used in all the papers that have presented TES PAN data thus far (Jiang et al., 2016; Payne et al., 2016; Zhu et al., 2017; Zhu et al., 2015). This conservative choice means that we are primarily basing our analysis on retrievals with high PAN. The mean (standard deviation) of the retrieved tropospheric average PAN mixing ratios for DOFS > 0.6 for the region shown in the figures presented here (125° W - 70° W, 30° N – 50° N and 130° W - 65° W, 50° N – 70° N) is 0.55 (0.93) ppbv. The impact of this choice can be seen when we compare the PAN distribution observed by TES under different conditions later in Section 3.2

190 2.2 NOAA Hazard Mapping System (HMS) Smoke Plume Extent

Emily Fischer 3/1/2018 11:44 AM

Formatted: Font:Italic

We segregate the TES PAN retrievals by whether or not the TES footprint coincides with a smoke plume identified by the NOAA Hazard Mapping System (HMS). NOAA HMS is an interactive satellite image and graphics system developed by the National Environmental Satellite, Data, and Information Service (NESDIS). Using satellite imagery, trained analysts identify the geographic extent of smoke-plumes in the atmospheric column over North America (Rolph et al., 2009; Ruminski et al., 2006). Visible-band geostationary (~15 minute refresh rate) imagery, occasionally assisted by infrared imagery, is used to detect smoke plumes in the atmospheric column (Ruminski et al., 2006); because smoke plumes are primarily identified with visible imagery, the analyzed smoke plume extent is only representative of local daylight hours.

Plumes are analyzed multiple times on a given day and can be nested. For this work all overlapping plumes (either nested or analyzed at different times) are merged into a single plume. This dataset does not contain information about the vertical location or depth of smoke in the atmospheric column. As discussed in Brey et al. (2017), the number and extent of smoke plumes in this HMS dataset is a conservative estimate. In particular, it becomes challenging to identify smoke as it dilutes during transport or mixes with anthropogenic haze. Thus our estimate of the number of PAN retrievals impacted by smoke may be a lower bound. For this work, we follow the overlap methods described in Brey et al. (2017). We matched all TES PAN retrievals based on UTC day. This means that overnight retrievals are paired with the plume from the prior day. As discussed in Brey et al. (2017), most of the large wildfire plumes occurring in July over the western U.S. are very large and last several days. So we would expect that pairing the overnight retrievals with the plume from the prior day (i.e. matching based only on UTC day) is not likely to change our results, and that is the case. We have repeated all our calculations using only the daytime retrievals, and the choice to use all the retrievals does not change the results.

2.3 HYSPLIT trajectories

As part of a case study presented in Section 3.3, we use the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model (Draxler, 1998) (<http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php>) to simulate the air mass history of a subset of TES PAN retrievals associated with relatively fresh (0 – 2 days of atmospheric processing) smoke. HYSPLIT has been used extensively to model the transport of smoke (e.g., Stein et al. (2015) and Brey et al. (2017)). For this application, the HYSPLIT model is driven by global meteorological data from the Global Data Assimilation System (GDAS) archive (<ftp://arlftp.arlhq.noaa.gov/pub/archives/gdas1>). GDAS has a time step of 3-hours, horizontal grid spacing of 1° latitude by 1° longitude (~120 km), and 23 pressure surfaces between 1000 and 20 hPa (Kanamitsu, 1989). We initialized 5-day backward trajectories for set of single TES retrievals at the retrieval times and locations. In the case study in Section 3.3 we used trajectories initialized at 2, 4 and 6 km above ground level (agl). As the vertical distribution of PAN in each retrieval is uncertain (Section 2.1), we calculated backward trajectories using these three altitudes to test the sensitivity of our results to the choice of initialization altitude.

3 Results

3.1 North American TES PAN Retrievals Associated with Smoke

230 The first four panels of Figure 4 show the spatial distribution of TES PAN retrievals over the U.S.
and southern Canada for the month of July 2006 to 2009. All retrievals plotted in this figure have $\text{DOF} >$
0.6. The retrievals are colored red when they fall within a NOAA HMA smoke plume. A large fraction of
the TES retrievals (15-32%) during this month overlap smoke plumes; the largest percentage of retrievals
associated with smoke occurred in July 2008 (32%), though this year does not display a high percentage of
235 detection compared to other years and the average tropospheric PAN measured by TES is not larger than
other years (Supplemental Figure 2). Of all the retrievals attempted in July 2006 to July 2009, the percent
associated with smoke is 18%. We expect a higher fraction of overlap in the subset of data with $\text{DOF} > 0.6$
(28%). This threshold value of $\text{DOF} > 0.6$ is consistent with a signal to noise ratio (SNR) greater than 1
(Payne et al. 2014), and this subset of data only reflects conditions with elevated PAN in the atmospheric
column. The number of major wildfires over the U.S. has large seasonal and interannual variability (Brey et
240 al., 2017). Wildfires in summer 2008 were particularly intense over California associated with record-
breaking lightning and aggravated drought. Figure 4c shows a cluster of TES PAN retrievals over
California associated with this smoke. The dense smoke, which spread substantially downwind, was
sampled from the NASA DC-8 aircraft as part of the Arctic Research of the Composition of the
Troposphere from Aircraft and Satellites (ARCTAS-CARB) campaign (Hecobian et al., 2011; Singh et al.,
245 2010; Singh et al., 2012), and we show this data in Section 3.3. Elevated smoke was also observed at
surface sites downwind throughout the month of July (Gyawali et al., 2009). As part of ARCTAS-B,
Alvarado et al. (2010) also documented major PAN enhancements in fresh wildfire plumes sampled over
Canada during July 2008. July 2008 was also associated with special observations from TES, providing a
relatively high number of attempted retrievals this month (red line in Supplemental Figure 2). Figure 4f
250 presents the seasonal transition for 2006 in smoke-plume polygon overlap from late spring (May) to early
autumn (September). During this example year, the percentage of TES PAN retrievals with $\text{DOF} > 0.6$
associated with smoke peaked in July (20%), but Figure 4e suggests that this was not a notably high
percentage of smoke-impacted retrievals. A much higher percentage of $\text{DOF} > 0.6$ retrievals were smoke-
impacted in July 2008.

255 Panels a and b of Figure 5 show the distribution of tropospheric average TES PAN in the subset of
retrievals overlapping HMS smoke plume polygons in July 2006-2009. The distributions of tropospheric
PAN in the subset of retrievals with $\text{DOF} > 0.6$ is not different between the in-smoke cases (leftmost red
box plot in Figure 5a) and the not-in-smoke cases (Blue-Grey box plot in Figure 5a). The choice to only
include data with $\text{DOFS} > 0.6$, pushes the median tropospheric average PAN substantially higher than
260 using all the available TES data. Thus the percent of retrievals impacted by smoke shown in Figure 4
reflects only situations with substantially elevated PAN in the atmospheric column. Imposing an additional
cloud optical depth filter does not substantially change the distribution of tropospheric average PAN (see
Supplemental Figure 4). The other two red distributions in Figure 5a reflect additional criteria designed to
ensure that the PAN associated with smoke in the atmospheric column exists in the free troposphere where

265 we expect TES to be most sensitive. We show the PAN distribution for in-smoke cases that also coincide
with TES 510hPa CO > 120 ppbv and TES 510hPa CO > 150 ppbv. As discussed further in Section 3.3,
background CO in July in the northern mid-latitudes is expected to be ~85 ppbv. Both criteria (510 hPa CO
> 120 ppbv or 510 hPa CO > 150 ppbv) represent conservative indicators of smoke in the free troposphere.
270 The latter subset is shown because this designation has been used previously (Alvarado et al., 2011), and
we use this subset in our calculation of enhancement ratios in Section 3.3. We have done a similar CO-
based sub-setting for the retrievals that are not located within HMS smoke polygons. However, there are
only 17 retrievals located outside HMS smoke polygons that have TES 510hPa CO > 150 ppbv. Fires
primarily drive CO enhancements of this magnitude. While there is a suggestion that PAN is higher in
275 smoke-impacted plumes in Figure 5a, this is not significant and the suggested differences are likely an
underestimate. The in-smoke cases are a conservative estimate of smoke in the atmospheric column. The
largest uncertainty in the HMS-based smoke designation is at the edges of the HMS plumes. As discussed
in Brey et al. (2018), as smoke plumes dilute with age they become more difficult to visibly identify and to
distinguish from anthropogenic pollution. This means that there are likely smoke-impacted retrievals that
we have mis-classified as smoke-free.

Emily Fischer 3/1/2018 3:15 PM
Moved (insertion) [1]

Emily Fischer 3/1/2018 3:16 PM
Deleted: -

280 Figure 5c and 5d present the distribution of tropospheric mean CO associated with the successful
PAN measurements. There is higher CO associated with TES retrievals that overlap HMS smoke polygons
(median = 100 ppbv versus 92 ppbv for both day and night retrievals), and the upper tail of the CO
distribution includes retrievals with tropospheric average CO above 200 ppbv. The difference in CO
distributions in and out of smoke provides confidence in the use of the HMS smoke product as a smoke-
285 impact filter. The tropospheric average CO distributions are shown for reference because we combine
tropospheric average CO with tropospheric average PAN to calculate PAN enhancement ratios in Section
3.3. There are several other factors that may also contribute to the patterns shown in Figure 5 that are
worth noting. In general, TES is more sensitive to CO than PAN in the lowermost atmosphere, and the
HMS smoke product, which contains no vertical information, includes smoke plumes near the surface and
290 higher in the column. Though the sensitivity to clouds appears to be modest in our data, the TES CO
retrievals are even less sensitive overall to the presence of cloud than the TES PAN retrievals. Third, many
of the smoke-impacted TES retrievals are located substantially downwind of the source fires. PAN has a
substantially shorter lifetime than CO in the warm lower atmosphere in summer.

Emily Fischer 3/1/2018 3:15 PM
Moved up [1]: As discussed further in Section 3.3, background CO in July in the northern mid-latitudes is expected to be ~85 ppbv. Both criteria (510 hPa CO > 120 ppbv or 510 hPa CO > 150 ppbv) represent conservative indicators of smoke in the free troposphere. The latter subset is shown because this designation has been used previously (Alvarado et al., 2011), and we use this subset in our calculation of enhancement ratios in Section 3.3.

Emily Fischer 3/1/2018 12:23 PM
Deleted: There are differences between these subsets of data and the not-in smoke cases.

3.2 July 2007 Case Study

295 TES observations allow measurements of smoke plumes over North America at various ages, even
in the same day. Figure 6 shows the spatial distribution of TES retrievals with DOF > 0.6 over the U.S. and
southern Canada for the month of July 2006 to 2009 that overlapped HMS smoke plume polygons. These
points are the red colored retrieval locations in Figure 4, but here they have been colored by the day of the
month. The filled dots represent points where TES 510 hPa CO > 150 ppbv, and these are the points used to
300 calculate PAN enhancement ratios in Section 3.3. The presence of same colored dots demonstrate that wide
swaths of North America can have smoke located somewhere in the atmospheric column on a given day,

and that the smoke is associated with elevated PAN (> 200 pptv) in the atmospheric column. As discussed in Brey et al. (2017), smoke plumes vary in size substantially. Small plumes cover < 100 km² and smoke plumes from major fire complexes can spread over several Western States or entire Canadian Provinces. For example, Figure 6 shows elevated PAN both directly over and east of Hudson Bay in late July 2008 associated with fires in northern Saskatchewan.

Next we present a case study of fires in Idaho and Montana during July 2007 that connects PAN enhancements associated with HMS smoke plumes to regions impacted by fires, indicating that the TES sensitivity is often sufficient to measure elevated PAN several days downwind of a fire. Figure 7 presents the locations of TES retrievals with elevated (DOF > 0.6) PAN on 22 and 23 July 2007, red and purple dots respectively, along with FIRMS MODIS hotspots (Giglio et al., 2006; Giglio et al., 2003) on those two dates. The TES PAN retrievals are located almost directly over active fires in Idaho on 22 July, but this does not absolutely ensure that the PAN is from fresh smoke. As discussed in Payne et al. (2014), TES is most sensitive to PAN in the mid-troposphere, and we do not have injection height information for these specific fires. The TES PAN retrievals on 23 July (located over rural areas in North and South Dakota) are not located directly over active fires, but they do overlap HMS smoke polygons. The purple lines show HYSPLIT backward trajectories initialized from 4 km at the locations of the retrievals on 23 July. The trajectories show that the major fire complexes in Idaho and Montana likely contributed to the smoke observed by TES on 23 July (purple dots). If so, this smoke was approximately 1-2 days old at the time of the retrieval. The trajectories show that the smoke observed over South Dakota is likely older (2-3 days of atmospheric aging). We initialize the trajectories from various heights (2, 4 and 6 km) because the TES PAN retrievals offer no vertical information, and all these trajectories are plotted in Supplemental Figure S3. The smoke filled a relatively thick layer based on available CALIPSO data. A CALIPSO overpass on 23 July 2007 (lower panel of Figure 7) shows an aerosol layer identified largely as *elevated smoke* extending from the surface to ~5 km over this region.

3.3 PAN Enhancements in North American Biomass Burning Plumes

Enhancement ratios relative to CO or another tracer (e.g. acetonitrile for biomass burning specifically) are a common way to characterize the composition of pollution plumes (Yokelson et al., 2013). Enhancement ratios are calculated from samples made from within and outside a given plume (i.e. background air). This section presents enhancement ratios calculated from TES PAN retrievals located within smoke plumes. We show that the tropospheric PAN enhancement ratios from TES fall within the range of relevant aircraft measurements over North America. We also show that there are many pitfalls associated with using enhancement ratios as observed from TES to study the evolution of PAN in the smoke plumes we have identified here.

Equation 4 indicates how the enhancement ratio of PAN relative to CO is calculated here.

$$(4) PAN_{ER} = \frac{(PAN_{plume} - PAN_{background})}{(CO_{plume} - CO_{background})}$$

Figure 8 presents a histogram of PAN enhancement ratios in the subset of retrievals that overlap HMS smoke polygons and also are likely to have elevated PAN and CO in the free troposphere (TES CO > 150

hPa). The purple dots designate the two retrievals shown in Figure 7 that meet these strict criteria. PAN enhancement ratios were estimated using tropospheric average PAN and tropospheric average CO. We performed this calculation using Equation 4 and a CO background of 80 and 90 ppbv. Background CO in the Northern Hemisphere is generally between 80 and 90 ppbv (e.g. Parrish et al. (1991)) with significant year-to-year variability largely driven by boreal forest fire emissions (Wotawa et al., 2001). Thus the lower mixing ratio (80 ppbv) is closer to estimates of background CO in the Northern Hemisphere. The upper mixing ratio (90 ppbv) reflects the median tropospheric average CO (91 ppbv) in the PAN TES retrievals not overlapping HMS Smoke Polygons (blue-grey points in Figure 4). Though we repeated this calculation with various assumptions of background CO mixing ratios, this choice does not impact the major key point we draw from Figure 8. Even with our conservative CO criteria applied, the TES PAN data offer the opportunity to calculate tropospheric average PAN enhancements relative to CO for a large number of smoke samples (N =159) over a variety of regions and distances downwind from fires. The median PAN enhancement ratio relative to CO calculated using a background PAN mixing ratio of 0.1 ppbv and a background CO mixing ratios of 90 ppbv is 0.43 %. When we assume a higher PAN background mixing ratio of 0.2 ppbv with this background CO mixing ratio, the median PAN enhancement ratio from the TES data is 0.29 %. As we show next, these values are similar to that reported from in situ measurements.

We have not been able to identify a case study where the TES data can be used to examine the evolution of the enhancement ratio of PAN relative to CO in a plume. Restricting ourselves to the conservative criteria of 510 hPa CO > 150 ppbv severely reduces the sample size (from 1151 to 159). In addition, the 5 km x 8 km footprint of TES combined with the lack of vertical sensitivity makes it difficult to establish the age of the smoke contributing to the enhanced PAN and CO. There could be multiple layers of smoke in the column, of various ages. Tracking plumes with aircraft allows for a more precise determination of plume age. In addition, PAN does not simply dilute proportionally to CO because its dissociation is also a function of temperature, which also depends on altitude.

We compare the TES column PAN enhancement ratios to enhancement ratios of PAN relative to CO observed during July 2008 during the ARCTAS/CARB field campaign (Hecobian et al., 2011). Smoke identification within the aircraft dataset is discussed in detail in Hecobian et al. (2011) and not repeated here. Alvarado et al. (2010) report mean PAN enhancement ratios for boreal plumes using this same dataset. They report enhancement ratios of 0.34 ± 0.35 % (range = 0.09 % to 1.43 %) for fresh plumes and 0.28 ± 0.36 % (range = 0.16 % to 0.68 %) for old plumes. In Alvarado et al. (2010), fresh plumes were designated as those where propene was correlated with CO, and aged plumes were designated as plumes where CO was correlated with more long-lived species, like butane, benzene and propane. The enhancement ratios were calculated using aircraft data from plume crossings using the average within-plume PAN and CO mixing ratios and assuming background mixing ratios equal to the 25th percentile of all measurements in the boundary layer (140 ppbv for CO and 180 pptv for PAN). To calculate enhancement ratios presented in Figure 9, we used the 25th percentile for each trace gas for each day. For simplicity, we used observations at all altitudes, not just boundary layer points. Figure 9 shows that there is a range of in

390 situ enhancement ratios. Similar to the tropospheric average enhancement ratio from TES, the majority of
these enhancement ratios fall below 1%. There are retrievals with PAN enhancement ratios greater than
1%, but the number of these depends on the assumed background PAN used in the calculation. The
appropriate value to use is difficult to determine from the TES data alone, which is why a range of
estimates is presented in Figure 8. Figure 9 presents enhancement ratios calculated from in situ
395 measurements. This data shows that there is a higher median enhancement for plumes from fires in the
northwestern U.S., than the boreal plumes, though there are vastly different numbers of samples.

A second chance for a qualitative comparison of PAN enhancement ratios in smoke plumes is
presented in Briggs et al. (2016); summertime observations of 23 different plumes from the Mount
Bachelor Observatory indicate PAN enhancement ratios of 1.46 – 6.25 pptv ppbv⁻¹ (0.146 – 0.625 %). This
400 range overlaps with the majority of the column average enhancement ratios from TES. All of the plumes
identified in Briggs et al. (2016) were from fires in northern California or southeastern and central Oregon,
so they differ from the fires intercepted during ARCTAS.

4.0 Conclusions

We present the first detailed analysis of TES PAN measurements over North America. Recent aircraft
405 observations over Colorado offer the most direct overlap of the TES PAN product with in situ aircraft
observations to date. This comparison indicates that TES can be sensitive to PAN in the boundary layer
when PAN in the boundary layer is elevated, though peak sensitivity is in the free troposphere. We use a
period with a large number of TES PAN observations (2006 – 2009) to investigate the contribution of fire
smoke to elevated PAN over North America in July. This type of multi-year synthesis is not possible with
410 any other observational dataset, and demonstrates how satellite measurements of PAN can be used to frame
new questions that cannot be answered with existing in situ measurements.

1. We segregate and examine the abundance of tropospheric average PAN relative to CO in TES retrievals
located within smoke plumes identified by the NOAA Hazard Mapping System (HMS). We find that a
large fraction of the TES retrievals (15-32%) during the month of July overlap smoke plumes during the
415 period 2006 – 2009, while the largest percentage of retrievals associated with smoke occurred in July 2008
(32%). Tropospheric average CO is clearly enhanced in retrievals impacted by smoke, but a difference in
PAN between smoke-free and smoke-impacted retrievals is insignificant.

2. We compare the tropospheric average PAN enhancement relative to CO in smoke-impacted samples and
find that our satellite-based estimates largely fall within the range of enhancement ratios that have been
420 observed from recent aircraft and surface campaigns over western North America. While in situ
measurements represent samples from a select number of plumes, the satellite measurements offer more
samples of different plumes and observations over regions and time periods that have not been sampled by
aircraft.

3. We use a case study to illustrate that PAN enhancements associated with HMS smoke plumes can be
425 connected to regions impacted by fires, indicating that the TES sensitivity is often sufficient to measure
elevated PAN several days downwind of a fire.

4. Case studies of specific smoke events do not show a systematic pattern in PAN enhancements relative to CO as a function of distance downwind from presumed source fires. We also do not observe any consistent evolution in the PAN enhancement ratio when this calculation is done using the tropospheric maximum PAN and CO from the TES retrievals, rather than the tropospheric averages. The TES PAN data are not useful in this context because of large limitations associated with evaluating smoke age within the TES data.

PAN is considered to be the most important reservoir for NO_x in the troposphere, and it plays a critical role in the redistribution of NO_x to remote regions. The work presented here highlights the importance of fires as a source of PAN over North America in summer. It also shows that TES measurements of PAN can be used to complement limited in situ measurements of PAN. The apparent significant contribution of fires to elevated PAN plumes over North America underscores the importance of investigating PAN production in smoke to ultimately determine the best way to incorporate the rapid chemistry that produces PAN into chemical transport models that are used to predict background O₃ and exceptional O₃ events.

Data Availability: TES PAN retrievals are being processed routinely for the whole TES dataset and will be publicly available in the TES v7 Level 2 product. However, at the time of submission, the v7 processing is still underway. For netCDF files containing TES PAN data used in this study, please contact Dr. Vivienne H. Payne at Vivienne.H.Payne@jpl.nasa.gov. When the paper is accepted for final publication, we will add a text file containing the latitude, longitude, time, HMS smoke overlap status, and tropospheric average PAN and CO to the CSU digital repository (<http://hdl.handle.net/10217/180136>) we have already established.

Appendices: N/A

Supplemental Information: Uploaded as a separate PDF.

Team List and Author Contributions:

Emily V. Fischer led the majority of the analysis and writing associated with this manuscript.

Liye Zhu provided basic statistical analyses of the TES data for the region of interest.

Vivienne H. Payne led the processing and development of the TES PAN data.

John R. Worden provided guidance on the use of the TES PAN data.

Zhe Jiang provided guidance on the use of the TES PAN data.

Susan S. Kulawik supported the algorithm development for the TES PAN retrieval.

Steven Brey led the overlap analysis of the TES retrievals with HMS smoke plumes.

Arsineh Hecobian provided the smoke designation associated with the ARCTAS aircraft data.

Dan Gombos and Karen Cady-Pereira performed data analysis and visualization of TES PAN distributions, concentrations, and averaging kernels from the FRAPPE aircraft and satellite data

Frank Flocke was responsible for the FRAPPE aircraft PAN measurements.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Disclaimer: N/A

465 **Special issue statement:** N/A

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by NASA Award Number NNX14AF14G. Part of this work was carried out at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with NASA. PAN data from ARCTAS was provided by Greg Huey supported by NASA Award Number NNX08AR67G. We thank Glenn Diskin for the use of the ARCTAS CO data.

470

References

- Alvarado, M. J., Logan, J. A., Mao, J., Apel, E., Riemer, D., Blake, D., Cohen, R. C., Min, K. E., Perring, A. E., Browne, E. C., Wooldridge, P. J., Diskin, G. S., Sachse, G. W., Fuelberg, H., Sessions, W. R., Harrigan, D. L., Huey, G., Liao, J., Case-Hanks, A., Jimenez, J. L., Cubison, M. J., Vay, S. A., Weinheimer, A. J., Knapp, D. J., Montzka, D. D., Flocke, F. M., Pollack, I. B., Wennberg, P. O., Kurten, A., Crouse, J., Clair, J. M. S., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Yantosca, R. M., Carouge, C. C., and Le Sager, P.: Nitrogen oxides and PAN in plumes from boreal fires during ARCTAS-B and their impact on ozone: an integrated analysis of aircraft and satellite observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 9739-9760, 10.5194/acp-10-9739-2010, 2010.
- 475
- Alvarado, M. J., Cady-Pereira, K. E., Xiao, Y., Millet, D. B., and Payne, V. H.: Emission Ratios for Ammonia and Formic Acid and Observations of Peroxy Acetyl Nitrate (PAN) and Ethylene in Biomass Burning Smoke as Seen by the Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer (TES), *Atmosphere*, 2, 10.3390/atmos2040633, 2011.
- 485
- Alvarado, M. J., Lonsdale, C. R., Yokelson, R. J., Akagi, S. K., Coe, H., Craven, J. S., Fischer, E. V., McMeeking, G. R., Seinfeld, J. H., Soni, T., Taylor, J. W., Weise, D. R., and Wold, C. E.: Investigating the links between ozone and organic aerosol chemistry in a biomass burning plume from a prescribed fire in California chaparral, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 6667-6688, 10.5194/acp-15-6667-2015, 2015.
- 490
- Bein, K. J., Zhao, Y., Johnston, M. V., and Wexler, A. S.: Interactions between boreal wildfire and urban emissions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, n/a-n/a, 10.1029/2007JD008910, 2008.
- Bowman, K. W., Rodgers, C. D., Sund-Kulawik, S., Worden, J. R., Sarkissian, E., Osterman, G., Steck, T., Luo, M., Eldering, A., Shephard, M. W., Worden, H., Clough, S. A., Brown, P. D., Rinsland, C. P., Lampel, M., Gunson, M., and Beer, R.: Tropospheric emission spectrometer: retrieval method and error analysis, *IEEE Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 44, 1297-1307, 2006.
- 495
- Brey, S. J., and Fischer, E. V.: Smoke in the City: How Often and Where Does Smoke Impact Summertime Ozone in the United States?, *Environ. Sci. Tech.*, 50, 1288-1294, 10.1021/acs.est.5b05218, 2016.
- Brey, S. J., Ruminski, M., Atwood, S., and Fischer, E. V.: Connecting smoke plumes to sources using Hazard Mapping System (HMS) smoke and fire location data over North America, submitted to *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, acp-2017-245, 2017.
- 500

- Brice, K. A., Bottenheim, J. W., Anlauf, K. G., and Wiebe, H. A.: Long-term measurements of atmospheric peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) at rural sites in Ontario and Nova Scotia; seasonal variations and long-range transport, *Tellus B*, 40B, 408-425, 10.1111/j.1600-0889.1988.tb00113.x, 1988.
- 505 Briggs, N. L., Jaffe, D. A., Gao, H., Hee, J. R., Baylon, P. M., Zhang, Q., Zhou, S., Collier, S. C., Sampson, P. D., and Cary, R. A.: Particulate Matter, Ozone, and Nitrogen Species in Aged Wildfire Plumes Observed at the Mount Bachelor Observatory, *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.*, 16, 3075-3087, 2016.
- Capelle, V., Chédin, A., Siméon, M., Tsamalis, C., Pierangelo, C., Pondrom, M., Crevoisier, C., Crepeau, L., and Scott, N. A.: Evaluation of IASI-derived dust aerosol characteristics over the tropical belt, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 9343-9362, 10.5194/acp-14-9343-2014, 2014.
- 510 DeSouza-Machado, S. G., Strow, L. L., Hannon, S. E., and Motteler, H. E.: Infrared dust spectral signatures from AIRS, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, 10.1029/2005GL024364, 2006.
- Draxler, R. R., and G.D. Hess: An overview of the HYSPLIT_4 modeling system of trajectories, dispersion, and deposition., *Aust. Meteor. Mag.*, 47, 295-308, 1998.
- Emmons, L. K., Arnold, S. R., Monks, S. A., Huijnen, V., Tilmes, S., Law, K. S., Thomas, J. L., Raut, J., 515 C., Bouarar, I., Turquety, S., Long, Y., Duncan, B., Steenrod, S., Strode, S., Flemming, J., Mao, J., Langner, J., Thompson, A. M., Tarasick, D., Apel, E. C., Blake, D. R., Cohen, R. C., Dibb, J., Diskin, G. S., Fried, A., Hall, S. R., Huey, L. G., Weinheimer, A. J., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Nowak, J., Peischl, J., Roberts, J. M., Ryerson, T., Warneke, C., and Helmig, D.: The POLARCAT Model Intercomparison Project (POLMIP): overview and evaluation with 520 observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 6721-6744, 10.5194/acp-15-6721-2015, 2015.
- Fadnavis, S., Schultz, M. G., Semeniuk, K., Mahajan, A. S., Pozzoli, L., Sonbawne, S., Ghude, S. D., Kiefer, M., and Eckert, E.: Trends in peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere over southern Asia during the summer monsoon season: regional impacts, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 12725-12743, 10.5194/acp-14-12725-2014, 2014.
- 525 Fischer, E. V., Jaffe, D. A., and Weatherhead, E. C.: Free tropospheric peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) and ozone at Mount Bachelor: potential causes of variability and timescale for trend detection, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 5641-5654, 10.5194/acp-11-5641-2011, 2011.
- Fischer, E. V., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Sulprizio, M. P., Millet, D. B., Mao, J., Paulot, F., Singh, H. B., Roiger, A., Ries, L., Talbot, R. W., Dzepina, K., and Pandey Deolal, S.: Atmospheric 530 peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN): a global budget and source attribution, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 2679-2698, 10.5194/acp-14-2679-2014, 2014.
- Giglio, L., Descloitres, J., Justice, C. O., and Kaufman, Y. J.: An Enhanced Contextual Fire Detection Algorithm for MODIS, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 87, 273-282, 10.1016/S0034-4257(03)00184-6, 2003.
- 535 Giglio, L., Csiszar, I., and Justice, C. O.: Global distribution and seasonality of active fires as observed with the Terra and Aqua Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) sensors, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, n/a-n/a, 10.1029/2005JG000142, 2006.

- 540 Glatthor, N., von Clarmann, T., Fischer, H., Funke, B., Grabowski, U., Höpfner, M., Kellmann, S., Kiefer, M., Linden, A., Milz, M., Steck, T., and Stiller, G. P.: Global peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) retrieval in the upper troposphere from limb emission spectra of the Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (MIPAS), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 2775-2787, 10.5194/acp-7-2775-2007, 2007.
- 545 Gyawali, M., Arnott, W. P., Lewis, K., and Moosmüller, H.: In situ aerosol optics in Reno, NV, USA during and after the summer 2008 California wildfires and the influence of absorbing and non-absorbing organic coatings on spectral light absorption, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 8007-8015, 10.5194/acp-9-8007-2009, 2009.
- 550 Hecobian, A., Liu, Z., Hennigan, C. J., Huey, L. G., Jimenez, J. L., Cubison, M. J., Vay, S., Diskin, G. S., Sachse, G. W., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Weinheimer, A. J., Liao, J., Knapp, D. J., Wennberg, P. O., Kürten, A., Crounse, J. D., Clair, J. S., Wang, Y., and Weber, R. J.: Comparison of chemical characteristics of 495 biomass burning plumes intercepted by the NASA DC-8 aircraft during the ARCTAS/CARB-2008 field campaign, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 13325-13337, 10.5194/acp-11-13325-2011, 2011.
- 555 Hurteau, M. D., Westerling, A. L., Wiedinmyer, C., and Bryant, B. P.: Projected Effects of Climate and Development on California Wildfire Emissions through 2100, *Environ. Sci. Tech.*, 48, 2298-2304, 10.1021/es4050133, 2014.
- Jaffe, D. A., Wigder, N., Downey, N., Pfister, G., Boynard, A., and Reid, S. B.: Impact of Wildfires on Ozone Exceptional Events in the Western U.S., *Environ. Sci. Tech.*, 47, 11065-11072, 10.1021/es402164f, 2013.
- 560 Jiang, Z., Worden, J. R., Payne, V. H., Zhu, L., Fischer, E., Walker, T., and Jones, D. B. A.: Ozone export from East Asia: The role of PAN, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 121, 6555-6563, 10.1002/2016JD024952, 2016.
- Kanamitsu, M.: Description of the NMC Global Data Assimilation and Forecast System, *Wea. Forecasting*, 4, 335-342, 10.1175/1520-0434(1989)004<0335:DOTNGD>2.0.CO;2, 1989.
- 565 Kasibhatla, P. S., Levy, H., and Moxim, W. J.: Global NO_x, HNO₃, PAN, and NO_y distributions from fossil fuel combustion emissions: A model study, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 7165-7180, 10.1029/92JD02845, 1993.
- Keywood, M., Kanakidou, M., Stohl, A., Dentener, F., Grassi, G., Meyer, C. P., Torseth, K., Edwards, D., Thompson, A. M., Lohmann, U., and Burrows, J.: Fire in the Air: Biomass Burning Impacts in a Changing Climate, *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 43, 40-83, 10.1080/10643389.2011.604248, 2013.
- 570 Klüser, L., Martynenko, D., and Holzer-Popp, T.: Thermal infrared remote sensing of mineral dust over land and ocean: a spectral SVD based retrieval approach for IASI, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 4, 757-773, 10.5194/amt-4-757-2011, 2011.


- 575 Lindaas, J., Farmer, D. K., Pollack, I. B., Abeleira, A., Zaragoza, J., Flocke, F. M., Roscioli, R., Herndon, S., and Fischer, E. V.: The impact of aged wildfire smoke on ozone photochemistry in the Colorado Front Range, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, in review, doi:10.5194/acp-2017-171, 2017.
- Mills, G. P., Sturges, W. T., Salmon, R. A., Bauguutte, S. J. B., Read, K. A., and Bandy, B. J.: Seasonal variation of peroxyacetylnitrate (PAN) in coastal Antarctica measured with a new instrument for the detection of sub-part per trillion mixing ratios of PAN, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 4589-4599, 10.5194/acp-7-4589-2007, 2007.
- 580 Monks, P. S., Archibald, A. T., Colette, A., Cooper, O., Coyle, M., Derwent, R., Fowler, D., Granier, C., Law, K. S., Mills, G. E., Stevenson, D. S., Tarasova, O., Thouret, V., von Schneidmesser, E., Sommariva, R., Wild, O., and Williams, M. L.: Tropospheric ozone and its precursors from the urban to the global scale from air quality to short-lived climate forcer, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 8889-8973, 10.5194/acp-15-8889-2015, 2015.
- 585 Moore, D. P., and Remedios, J. J.: Seasonality of Peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere using the MIPAS-E instrument, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 6117-6128, 10.5194/acp-10-6117-2010, 2010.
- Moritz, M. A., Parisien, M.-A., BatlORI, E., Krawchuk, M. A., Van Dorn, J., Ganz, D. J., and Hayhoe, K.: Climate change and disruptions to global fire activity, *Ecosphere*, 3, 10.1890/ES11-00345.1, 2012.
- 590 Morris, G. A., Hersey, S., Thompson, A. M., Pawson, S., Nielsen, J. E., Colarco, P. R., McMillan, W. W., Stohl, A., Turquety, S., Warner, J., Johnson, B. J., Kucsera, T. L., Larko, D. E., Oltmans, S. J., and Witte, J. C.: Alaskan and Canadian forest fires exacerbate ozone pollution over Houston, Texas, on 19 and 20 July 2004, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, n/a-n/a, 10.1029/2006JD007090, 2006.
- 595 Moxim, W. J., Levy, H., and Kasibhatla, P. S.: Simulated global tropospheric PAN: Its transport and impact on NO_x, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 12621-12638, 10.1029/96JD00338, 1996.
- Müller, M., Anderson, B. E., Beyersdorf, A. J., Crawford, J. H., Diskin, G. S., Eichler, P., Fried, A., Keutsch, F. N., Mikoviny, T., Thornhill, K. L., Walega, J. G., Weinheimer, A. J., Yang, M., Yokelson, R. J., and Wisthaler, A.: In situ measurements and modeling of reactive trace gases in a small biomass burning plume, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 3813-3824, 10.5194/acp-16-3813-2016, 2016.
- 600 Pandey Deolal, S., Henne, S., Ries, L., Gilge, S., Weers, U., Steinbacher, M., Staehelin, J., and Peter, T.: Analysis of elevated springtime levels of Peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) at the high Alpine research sites Jungfraujoch and Zugspitze, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 12553-12571, 10.5194/acp-14-12553-2014, 2014.
- 605 Parrish, D. D., Trainer, M., Buhr, M. P., Watkins, B. A., and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: Carbon monoxide concentrations and their relation to concentrations of total reactive oxidized nitrogen at two rural U.S. sites, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96, 9309-9320, 10.1029/91JD00047, 1991.

- 610 Payne, V. H., Alvarado, M. J., Cady-Pereira, K. E., Worden, J. R., Kulawik, S. S., and Fischer, E. V.:
Satellite observations of peroxyacetyl nitrate from the Aura Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer,
Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 3737-3749, 10.5194/amt-7-3737-2014, 2014.
- Payne, V. H., Fischer, E. V., Worden, J. R., Jiang, Z., Zhu, L., Kurosu, T. P., and Kulawik, S. S.: Spatial
variability in tropospheric peroxyacetyl nitrate in the tropics from infrared satellite observations in
2005 and 2006, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 2016, 1-21, 10.5194/acp-2016-1047, 2016.
- 615 Pfister, G. G., Wiedinmyer, C., and Emmons, L. K.: Impacts of the fall 2007 California wildfires on surface
ozone: Integrating local observations with global model simulations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, n/a-
n/a, 10.1029/2008GL034747, 2008.
- Pinder, R. W., Gilliland, A. B., and Dennis, R. L.: Environmental impact of atmospheric NH₃ emissions
under present and future conditions in the eastern United States, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, n/a-n/a,
620 10.1029/2008GL033732, 2008.
- Pope, R. J., Richards, N. A. D., Chipperfield, M. P., Moore, D. P., Monks, S. A., Arnold, S. R., Glatthor,
N., Kiefer, M., Breider, T. J., Harrison, J. J., Remedios, J. J., Warneke, C., Roberts, J. M., Diskin,
G. S., Huey, L. G., Wisthaler, A., Apel, E. C., Bernath, P. F., and Feng, W.: Intercomparison and
evaluation of satellite peroxyacetyl nitrate observations in the upper troposphere–lower
625 stratosphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 13541-13559, 10.5194/acp-16-13541-2016, 2016.
- Rodgers, C. D.: Inverse methods for atmospheric sounding: the theory and practice, World Sci.,
Hackensack, N. J., 2000.
- Rolph, G. D., Draxler, R. R., Stein, A. F., Taylor, A., Ruminski, M. G., Kondragunta, S., Zeng, J., Huang,
H.-C., Manikin, G., McQueen, J. T., and Davidson, P. M.: Description and Verification of the
630 NOAA Smoke Forecasting System: The 2007 Fire Season, *Wea. Forecasting*, 24, 361-378,
10.1175/2008WAF2222165.1, 2009.
- Ruminski, M., Kondragunta, S., Draxler, R. R., and Zheng, W.: Recent changes to the Hazard mapping
System, 15th International Emission Inventory Conference: Reinventing Inventories, New Ideas in
New Orleans, New Orleans, LA, 2006.
- 635 Scholze, M., Knorr, W., Arnell, N. W., and Prentice, I. C.: A climate-change risk analysis for world
ecosystems, *PNAS*, 103, 13116-13120, 2006.
- Singh, H. B., and Hanst, P. L.: Peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) in the unpolluted atmosphere: An important
reservoir for nitrogen oxides, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 8, 941-944, 10.1029/GL008i008p00941, 1981.
- Singh, H. B.: Reactive nitrogen in the troposphere, *Environ. Sci. Tech.*, 21, 320-327, 10.1021/es00158a001,
640 1987.
- Singh, H. B., Anderson, B. E., Brune, W. H., Cai, C., Cohen, R. C., Crawford, J. H., Cubison, M. J., Czech,
E. P., Emmons, L., Fuelberg, H. E., Huey, G., Jacob, D. J., Jimenez, J. L., Kaduwela, A., Kondo,
Y., Mao, J., Olson, J. R., Sachse, G. W., Vay, S. A., Weinheimer, A., Wennberg, P. O., and
Wisthaler, A.: Pollution influences on atmospheric composition and chemistry at high northern


- 645 latitudes: Boreal and California forest fire emissions, *Atmos. Environ.*, 44, 4553-4564, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.08.026, 2010.
- Singh, H. B., Cai, C., Kaduwela, A., Weinheimer, A., and Wisthaler, A.: Interactions of fire emissions and urban pollution over California: Ozone formation and air quality simulations, *Atmos. Environ.*, 56, 45-51, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.03.046, 2012.
- 650 Stein, A. F., Draxler, R. R., Rolph, G. D., Stunder, B. J. B., Cohen, M. D., and Ngan, F.: NOAA's HYSPLIT Atmospheric Transport and Dispersion Modeling System, *B. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 96, 2059-2077, 10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00110.1, 2015.
- Tanimoto, H., Furutani, H., Kato, S., Matsumoto, J., Makide, Y., and Akimoto, H.: Seasonal cycles of ozone and oxidized nitrogen species in northeast Asia I. Impact of regional climatology and photochemistry observed during RISOTTO 1999–2000, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, ACH 6-1-ACH 6-20, 10.1029/2001JD001496, 2002.
- 655 Ungermann, J., Ern, M., Kaufmann, M., Müller, R., Spang, R., Ploeger, F., Vogel, B., and Riese, M.: Observations of PAN and its confinement in the Asian summer monsoon anticyclone in high spatial resolution, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 8389-8403, 10.5194/acp-16-8389-2016, 2016.
- 660 Val Martin, M., Heald, C. L., Lamarque, J. F., Tilmes, S., Emmons, L. K., and Schichtel, B. A.: How emissions, climate, and land use change will impact mid-century air quality over the United States: a focus on effects at national parks, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 2805-2823, 10.5194/acp-15-2805-2015, 2015.
- Wang, Y., Jacob, D. J., and Logan, J. A.: Global simulation of tropospheric O₃-NO_x-hydrocarbon chemistry: 3. Origin of tropospheric ozone and effects of nonmethane hydrocarbons, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 10757-10767, 10.1029/98JD00156, 1998.
- 665 Westerling, A. L., Hidalgo, H. G., Cayan, D. R., and Swetnam, T. W.: Warming and Earlier Spring Increase Western U.S. Forest Wildfire Activity, *Science*, 313, 940-943, 2006.
- Westerling, A. L.: Increasing western US forest wildfire activity: sensitivity to changes in the timing of spring, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B*, 371, 2016.
- 670 Wiegele, A., Glatthor, N., Höpfner, M., Grabowski, U., Kellmann, S., Linden, A., Stiller, G., and von Clarmann, T.: Global distributions of C₂H₆, C₂H₂, HCN, and PAN retrieved from MIPAS reduced spectral resolution measurements, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 5, 723-734, 10.5194/amt-5-723-2012, 2012.
- 675 Wotawa, G., Novelli, P. C., Trainer, M., and Granier, C.: Inter-annual variability of summertime CO concentrations in the Northern Hemisphere explained by boreal forest fires in North America and Russia, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28, 4575-4578, 10.1029/2001GL013686, 2001.
- Yokelson, R. J., Andreae, M. O., and Akagi, S. K.: Pitfalls with the use of enhancement ratios or normalized excess mixing ratios measured in plumes to characterize pollution sources and aging, 680 *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 6, 2155-2158, 10.5194/amt-6-2155-2013, 2013.

- Yue, X., Mickley, L. J., Logan, J. A., and Kaplan, J. O.: Ensemble projections of wildfire activity and carbonaceous aerosol concentrations over the western United States in the mid-21st century, *Atmos. Environ.*, 77, 767-780, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.06.003, 2013.
- 685 Zheng, W., Flocke, F. M., Tyndall, G. S., Swanson, A., Orlando, J. J., Roberts, J. M., Huey, L. G., and Tanner, D. J.: Characterization of a thermal decomposition chemical ionization mass spectrometer for the measurement of peroxy acyl nitrates (PANs) in the atmosphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 6529-6547, 10.5194/acp-11-6529-2011, 2011.
- 690 Zhu, L., Fischer, E. V., Payne, V. H., Worden, J. R., and Jiang, Z.: TES observations of the interannual variability of PAN over Northern Eurasia and the relationship to springtime fires, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 7230-7237, 10.1002/2015GL065328, 2015.
- Zhu, L., Fischer, E. V., Payne, V. H., Walker, T., Worden, J. R., Jiang, Z., and Kulawik, S. S.: PAN in the Eastern Pacific Free Troposphere: A Satellite View of the Sources, Seasonality, Interannual Variability and Timeline for Trend Detection, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 122, 10.1002/2016JD025868, 2017.
- 695


Figures




700 **Figure 1:** Simulated TES PAN retrievals for four different hypothetical conditions where the black dashed
line shows the prior, the two red lines show two different true profiles, and the two blue lines show the
retrieved profiles. The true profile exhibits a maximum in the vmr close to the surface in the upper panels
(a and b), while the true profile peaks in the mid-troposphere in the lower panels (c and d). Panels on the
left (a and c) show clear-sky retrievals while panels on the right (b and d) show retrievals where a cloud
705 with effective optical depth of 0.7 is placed at 600 hPa (dotted line). Corresponding averaging kernels are
provided in the Supplementary Information.



710 **Figure 2:** Average tropospheric PAN in retrievals (DOF > 0.6) during July 2006 – July 2009 (top), and those retrievals averaged in a 2°x2° grid (bottom). The white areas designate locations with less than 5 measurements during this period. The blue lines surround the regions included in the calculations in Figures 4 and 5: 125° W - 70° W, 30° N – 50° N and 130° W - 65° W, 50° N – 70° N.



715 **Figure 3:** a) Map showing FRAPPÉ aircraft and TES tropospheric average satellite observations of PAN
 over the Colorado Front Range on 29 July 2014. We define the tropospheric average for a given retrieval as
 the average retrieved PAN between 800 hPa and the tropopause. TES data show elevated PAN near the
 location where the aircraft data show highest values for that day. b) All aircraft observations for 29 July
 720 2014 are shown in grey. Blue points show aircraft data within 0.1° longitude and 0.2° latitude of the most
 elevated TES PAN observation. TES retrieved PAN profiles for 29 July 2014 are also shown. The elevated
 case is shown by the solid black line, while other cases are shown in purple solid lines. The black dashed
 line shows the TES a priori profile used in these retrievals. c) TES averaging kernels for this case. The
 retrieval indicates that a high cloud is present, with optical depth 1.3, leading to reduced sensitivity below
 the cloud. d) The blue dotted line shows a profile constructed to approximate the aircraft measurements,
 725 where PAN is highly elevated in the lower atmosphere. The blue solid line shows this same profile after
 smoothing with the TES prior and averaging kernel matrix for this scene. The red dotted line shows a
 hypothetical profile with no enhancement below 680 hPa, while the red solid line shows that same profile
 smoothed with the TES prior and averaging kernel.




730

735

740

Figure 4: Panels a) through d): PAN TES retrievals with $\text{DOF} > 0.6$ co-located with NOAA Hazard Mapping System smoke polygons (red), and PAN TES retrievals with $\text{DOF} > 0.6$ not co-located with NOAA Hazard Mapping System smoke polygons (grey). The black dots indicate PAN TES retrievals with $\text{DOF} > 0.6$ during times with no NOAA HMS data. The blue lines surround the regions included in the distributions shown in Figure 5: $125^\circ \text{W} - 70^\circ \text{W}$, $30^\circ \text{N} - 50^\circ \text{N}$ and $130^\circ \text{W} - 65^\circ \text{W}$, $50^\circ \text{N} - 70^\circ \text{N}$. e) Percent of TES PAN retrievals overlapping HMS smoke plume polygons for July 2006 – 2009. f) Percent of TES PAN retrievals overlapping HMS smoke plume polygons for May – September 2006. In panels e) and f) the red bars indicate the percentage of all retrievals overlapping smoke plumes, and the striped bars indicate the percentage of daytime retrievals overlapping smoke plumes. Pairing was done using the matching UTC day.




745

Figure 5: a) Box plots of July 2006-2009 North American TES PAN retrievals colored by whether they overlap HMS smoke plume polygons. Red (“In Smoke”) designates overlap; Blue Grey designates non-overlapping retrievals. The leftmost box plots include all the retrievals with DOF > 0.6. The center set of box plots only include retrievals with 510 hPa TES CO > 120 ppbv, and the left set of box plots only include retrievals with 510 hPa TES CO > 150 ppbv. b) Histograms of July 2006 – 2009 TES PAN retrievals. c) Box plots of July 2006 – 2009 TES CO retrievals coincident with the TES PAN retrievals subset by 510 hPa TES CO. d) Histograms of July 2006 – 2009 TES CO retrievals coincident with the TES PAN retrievals. The box plots display the interquartile range for each subset and the dots represent outliers.

750

755



Emily Fischer 3/1/2018 3:24 PM

Deleted: Box plots of July 2006 – 2009 North American TES PAN retrievals overlapping HMS smoke plume polygons (“In Smoke”; red; N=1151), TES PAN retrievals not overlapping HMS smoke plume polygons (“Not In Smoke”; blue-grey; N = 2917), and TES PAN retrievals that overlap HMS smoke plumes and coincide with 510 hPa CO greater than either 120 ppbv (N = 255) or 150 ppbv (N = 139).

Emily Fischer 3/1/2018 4:57 PM


Deleted: segregated as in a)

Emily Fischer 3/1/2018 4:57 PM


Deleted: segregated as in a)

Emily Fischer 3/1/2018 4:57 PM

Deleted: segregated as in a)



775 **Figure 6:** Successful TES PAN retrievals overlapping NOAA HMS smoke polygons for July 2006 to July 2009 colored by the day of the month. Filled circles denote the set of retrievals that also coincide with 510 hPa CO greater than 150 ppbv. This set of point is used to calculated PAN enhancement ratios relative to CO in Figure 8.



785

790

Figure 7: Top panel: Case study of TES PAN retrievals overlapping HMS smoke polygons 22 – 23 July 2007. Orange triangles represent FIRMS MODIS Hotspots for 22 July (Product MCD14ML; <https://firms.modaps.eosdis.nasa.gov/download/request.php>). Blue triangles represent FIRMS MODIS Hotspots for 23 July. Red circles indicate TES PAN retrievals on 22 July, and purple circles represent TES PAN retrievals on 23 July. We have circled the two retrievals in this set with 510 hPa CO greater than 150 ppbv. The PAN enhancement ratios for these points are noted in Figure 7. The purple lines signify 5 day HYSPLIT backward trajectories initialized at each TES retrieval at 4 km. The purple '+' signifies 24 hours of transport time on the 4 km trajectories. The black dashed line shows the location of the CALIPSO swath shown in the lower panel. Lower panel: CALIPSO aerosol subtype observed on 23 July 2007. CALIPSO Science Team (2016), CALIPSO/CALIOP Level 2, Vertical Feature Mask Data, version 4.10, Hampton,

VA, USA: NASA Atmospheric Science Data Center (ASDC), Accessed by Emily V. Fischer at doi:
10.5067/CALIOP/CALIPSO/LID_L2_VFM-Standard-V4-10





Figure 8: Histogram of estimated PAN enhancement ratios based on tropospheric mean PAN and CO from July 2006 – 2009 North American TES PAN retrievals overlapping HMS smoke plume polygons. The solid black line represents enhancement ratios calculated using an assumed PAN background of 0.1 ppbv with an assumed CO background of 80 ppbv. The dotted black line represents enhancement ratios calculated using an assumed PAN background of 0.1 ppbv with an assumed CO background of 90 ppbv. These specific enhancement ratios were calculated using an assumed CO background of 80 ppbv, similar to the solid black line. The dashed line represents enhancement ratios calculated using a significantly higher assumed PAN background of 0.2 ppbv with an assumed CO background of 90 ppbv. In all cases, negative values are not shown. The purple dots are the enhancement ratios for the two circled retrievals on 23 July 2007 plotted in Figure 7 associated with transported smoke.



810 **Figure 9:** Histogram of estimated PAN enhancement ratios based on in situ measurements of fire plumes described in Hecobian et al. (2011) from the ARCTAS campaign. Enhancement ratios were calculated using the 25th percentile for each trace gas during the corresponding flight day. These ratios were calculated using the 1-minute merged data.