Interactive comment on "Organic aerosol in the
summertime Southeastern United States:
Components and their link to volatility
distribution, oxidation state and hygroscopicity"
by Evangelia Kostenidou et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Organic aerosol (OA) is an important aerosol component in the atmosphere; One key
to fully understanding OA is to constrain the volatility and hygroscopicity of OA. This
manuscript used the measurements by a thermodenuder coupled with a HR-AMS to
analyze OA source, volatility distribution, oxidation state and hygroscopicity. This study
gives the OA community some insights on OA volatility and hygroscopicity, and pointed
out the caveat of deriving the volatility of OA only from its mass fraction remaining
(MFR). These findings are worth publishing for sure. I don't have many comments
but hope the authors can explain why they only used 3-bin C* distribution (rather than

5 bins, 10 bins, for example) to fit the measured thermograms. 3 bins cannot cover
the whole range of real OA volatilities. Also, can the authors describe more on the
approach of Karnezi et al. (2014), that was used to calculate the best fit of MFR and
the uncertainties of OA volatility distributions? I find it hard to understand this method
based on the current form.

Minor comments

Line 63. Please give the full name of PMF.

Line 87 and 88. The sentence reads odd.

Line 130. Can the authors briefly describes these two papers?

Line 265. Why no measurements above 100 celsius?

Line 367 and 368. This sentence reads odd too.

Line 380. "Evaporation coefficient", do you mean "accommodation coefficient"?

Line 399. It should be Figure S6, rather than S7.