# **1** Biomass burning at Cape Grim: exploring photochemistry

# 2 using multi-scale modelling

3 S. J. Lawson<sup>1</sup>, M. Cope<sup>1</sup>, S. Lee<sup>1</sup>, I.E. Galbally<sup>1</sup>, Z. Ristovski<sup>2</sup> and M.D. Keywood<sup>1</sup>

4 [1] Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Climate Science Centre,
5 Aspendale, Australia

[2] International Laboratory for Air Quality & Health, Queensland University of Technology,
Brisbane, Australia

8 Correspondence to: S. J. Lawson (sarah.lawson@csiro.au)

9

## 10 Abstract

11 We have tested the ability of a high resolution chemical transport model (CTM) to reproduce 12 biomass burning (BB) plume strikes and ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) enhancements observed at Cape Grim in 13 Tasmania Australia from the Robbins Island fire. The CTM has also been used to explore the 14 contribution of near-field BB emissions and background sources to O<sub>3</sub> observations under 15 conditions of complex meteorology. Using atmospheric observations, we have tested model 16 sensitivity to meteorology, BB emission factors (EF) corresponding to low, medium and high 17 modified combustion efficiency (MCE) and spatial variability. The use of two different meteorological models (TAPM-CTM and CCAM-CTM) varied the first (BB1) plume strike 18 19 time by up to 15 hours, and duration of impact between 12 and 36 hours, and varied the second 20 (BB2) plume duration between 50 and 57 hours. Meteorology also had a large impact on 21 simulated O<sub>3</sub>, with one model (TAPM-CTM) simulating 4 periods of O<sub>3</sub> enhancement, while 22 the other model (CCAM) simulating only one period. Varying the BB EFs, which in turn 23 varied the non-methane organic compound (NMOC) / oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) ratio, had a 24 strongly non-linear impact on simulated  $O_3$  concentration, with either destruction or production 25 of O<sub>3</sub> predicted in different simulations. As shown in previous work (Lawson et al., 2015), 26 minor rainfall events have the potential to significantly alter EF due to changes in combustion 27 processes. Models which assume fixed EF for O<sub>3</sub> precursor species in an environment with 28 temporally or spatially variable EF may be unable to simulate the behaviour of important 29 species such as O<sub>3</sub>.

30 TAPM-CTM is used to further explore the contribution of the Robbins Island fire to the 31 observed  $O_3$  enhancements during BB1 and BB2. Overall, TAPM-CTM suggests the dominant 32 source of  $O_3$  observed at Cape Grim was aged urban air (age = 2 days), with a contribution of 33  $O_3$  formed from local BB emissions.

This work shows the importance of assessing model sensitivity to meteorology and EF, and the large impact these variables can have in particular on simulated destruction or production of O<sub>3</sub> in regional atmospheric chemistry simulations.

37

## 38 **1** Introduction

39 Biomass burning (BB) makes a major global contribution to atmospheric trace gases and 40 particles with ramifications for human health, air quality and climate. Directly emitted species include carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>), primary 41 42 organic aerosol (POA), non-methane organic compounds (NMOC) and black carbon (BC), 43 while chemical transformations occurring in the plume over time lead to formation of 44 secondary species such as O<sub>3</sub>, oxygenated NMOC and secondary aerosol. Depending on a 45 number of factors, including magnitude and duration of fire, plume rise and meteorology, the 46 impact of BB plumes on human health, air quality and climate may be local, regional or global.

47 BB plumes from wildfires, prescribed burning, agricultural and trash burning can have a major 48 impact on air quality in both urban and rural centres (Keywood et al., 2015; Luhar et al., 2008; 49 Reisen et al., 2011; Emmons et al., 2010; Yokelson et al., 2011) and regional scale climate 50 impacts (Andreae et al., 2002; Keywood et al., 2011b; Artaxo et al., 2013; Anderson et al., 51 2016). In Australia, BB from wild and prescibed fires impacts air quality in both rural and 52 urban areas (Keywood et al., 2015; Reisen et al., 2011; Luhar et al., 2008; Keywood et al., 53 2011a) as well as indoor air quality (Reisen et al., 2011). More generally, as human population 54 density increases, and as wildfires become more frequent (Flannigan et al., 2009; Keywood et 55 al., 2011b), assessing the impact of BB on air quality and human health becomes more urgent 56 (Keywood et al., 2011b; Reisen et al., 2015). In particular, particles emitted from BB frequently 57 lead to exceedances of air quality standards, and exposure to BB particles has been linked to 58 poor health outcomes including respiratory effects, cardiovascular disease and mortality 59 (Reisen et al., 2015; Reid et al., 2016; Dennekamp et al., 2015). There is also increasing 60 evidence that mixing of BB emissions with urban emissions results in enhanced 61 photochemistry and production of secondary pollutants such as secondary aerosol and O<sub>3</sub> (Jaffe and Wigder, 2012; Akagi et al., 2013; Hecobian et al., 2012), which may result in more
significant health impacts than exposure to unmixed BB or urban emissions.

64 To be able to accurately predict and assess the impact of BB on human health, air quality and 65 climate, models must be able to realistically simulate the chemical and microphysical processes 66 that occur in a plume as well as plume transport and dispersion. In the case of BB plumes close 67 to an urban centre or other sensitive receptor, models can be used to mitigate risks on 68 community by forecasting where and when a BB plume will impact, the concentrations of toxic 69 trace gases and particles in the plume, and potential impact of the BB plume mixing with other 70 sources. Models also allow investigation of the contributions from BB and other sources on 71 observed air quality when multiple sources are contributing. Understanding the relative 72 importance of different sources is required when formulating policy decisions to improve air 73 quality.

74 Lagrangian parcel models are often used to investigate photochemical transformations in BB 75 plumes as they are transported and diluted downwind (Jost et al., 2003; Trentmann et al., 2005; 76 Mason et al., 2006; Alvarado and Prinn, 2009; Alvarado et al., 2015) while three-dimensional 77 (3D) Eulerian grid models have been used to investigate transport and dispersion of plumes, plume age, as well as contributions from different sources. 3D Eulerian grid models vary from 78 79 fine spatial resolution on order of a few kilometers (Luhar et al., 2008; Keywood et al., 2015; 80 Alvarado et al., 2009; Lei et al., 2013) to a resolution of up to hundreds of kilometers in global 81 models (Arnold et al., 2015; Parrington et al., 2012).

82 Sensitivity studies have allowed the influence of different model components (emissions, 83 plume rise, transport, chemistry) on model output to be investigated. Such studies are 84 particularly important in formation of secondary species such as O<sub>3</sub> which have a non-linear 85 relationship with emissions. Studies have found that modelled O<sub>3</sub> concentration from BB emissions is highly dependant on a range of factors including a) meteorology (plume transport 86 87 and dispersion) in global (Arnold et al., 2015) and high resolution (Lei et al., 2013) Eulerian 88 grid models, b) absolute emissions/biomass burned (Pacifico et al., 2015; Parrington et al., 89 2012), c) model grid size resulting in different degrees of plume dilution (Alvarado et al., 90 2009), and oxidative photochemical reaction mechanisms in Lagrangian parcel models (Mason 91 et al., 2006).

92 Broadly speaking, models used for simulating BB plumes comprise a) description of the 93 emissions source b) a determination of plume rise c) treatment of the vertical transport and

94 dispersion and d) a mechanism for simulating chemical transformations in the plume (Goodrick 95 et al., 2013). There are challenges associated with accurately representing each of these 96 components in BB modelling. The description of emissions source includes a spatial and 97 temporal description of the area burnt, the fuel load, combustion completeness, and trace gas 98 and aerosol emission factors (mass of species emitted per mass of fuel burned).. The area 99 burned is often determined by a combination of hotspot and fire scar data, determined from 100 retrievals from satellite (Kaiser et al., 2012; Reid et al., 2009(Giglio et al., 2013)). Cloud cover 101 may lead to difficulties in obtaining area burnt data, while scars from small fires may be 102 difficult to discern against complex terrain, and low intensity fires may not correspond with a 103 detectable hotspot (Meyer et al., 2008). Emission factors are determined experimentally either 104 by field or laboratory measurements, and are typically grouped by biome type. In some regions, 105 such as SE Australia, biomes have been sparsely characterised (Lawson et al., 2015). 106 Furthermore, models use biome-averaged EF which do not account for complex intra-biome 107 variation in EF as a result of temporal and spatial differences in environmental variables. This 108 includes factors such as impact of vegetation structure, monthly average rainfall (van Leeuwen 109 and van der Werf, 2011) and the influence of short term rainfall events (Lawson et al., 2015). 110 For example, EFs have been shown to vary significantly with fuel moisture which can vary 111 seasonally (Korontzi et al., 2003; Urbanski, 2013). There may be significant spatial variability 112 in emission factors within a biome (Castellanos et al., 2014); taken along with temporal 113 variability, this has been shown to have a large impact on simulated concentrations of BB 114 species in global-scale modelling (van Leeuwen et al., 2013).

Finally, the very complex mixture of trace gases and aerosols in BB plumes creates analytical challenges in quantifying EF, especially for semi and low volatility organics which are challenging to measure and identify but contribute significantly to secondary aerosol formation and photochemistry within the plume (Alvarado and Prinn, 2009; Alvarado et al., 2015; Ortega et al., 2013).

- Plume rise is a description of how high the buoyant smoke plume rises above the fire, and consequently the initial vertical distribution of trace gases and aerosols in the plume (Freitas et al., 2007). This is still a large area of uncertainty in BB models, with a generalised plume rise approach typically used which may include either homogenous mixing, prescribed
- 124 fractions of emissions distributed according to mixing height, use of parametisations, and
- 125 finally plume rise calculated according to atmospheric dynamics. A key driver of this
- 126 uncertainty is the complexity of fire behaviour resulting in high spatial and temporal

127 variability of pollutant and heat release, which drives variability in plume rise behaviour,

128 such as multiple updraft cores (Goodrick et al., 2013).

129 Transport and dilution in models is driven by meteorology, particularly wind speed and 130 direction, wind shear and atmospheric stability. Meteorology has a large impact on the ability 131 of models to simulate the timing and magnitude and even composition of BB plume impacts in 132 both local and regional scale models (Lei et al., 2013; Luhar et al., 2008; Arnold et al., 2015). 133 For example, too-high wind speeds can lead to modelled pollutant levels which are lower than 134 observed (e.g. Lei et al., 2013) while small deviations in wind direction lead to large 135 concentration differences between modelled and observed, particularly when modelling 136 emissions of multiple spatially diverse fires (Luhar et al., 2008). Dilution of BB emissions in 137 large grid boxes in global models may also lead to discrepancies between modelled and 138 observed NO<sub>x</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> and aerosols (Alvarado et al., 2009).

139 Finally, models use a variety of gas-phase and aerosol-phase physical and chemical schemes, 140 which vary in their ability to accurately represent chemical transformations, including 141 formation of O<sub>3</sub> and organic aerosol (Alvarado and Prinn, 2009; Alvarado et al., 2015). 142 Validating and constraining chemical transformations in models requires high quality, high 143 time resolution BB observations of a wide range of trace gas and aerosol species, including 144 important but infrequently measured species such as OH and semi volatile and low volatility 145 NMOC. Field observations, whilst often temporally and spatially scarce, are particularly 146 valuable because the processes and products of BB plume processing are dependent on long range transport, cloud processing, varying meteorological conditions and heterogeneous 147 148 reactions.

149 In this work we test the ability of CSIRO's high resolution 3D Eulerian grid chemical transport 150 model (CTM) to reproduce BB plume observations of the Robbins Island fire reported in Lawson et al., (2015) with a focus on CO, BC and O<sub>3</sub>. We undertake sensitivity studies using 151 152 varying emission factors associated with a low, medium and high Modified Combustion 153 Efficiency (MCE), which in turn changes the NMOC / NO<sub>x</sub> ratio, in contrast to other sensitivity 154 studies which typically vary emissions linearly. We also test sensitivity to meteorology by 155 coupling the CTM with two different meteorological models, TAPM and CCAM. The fire and 156 fixed observation site (Cape Grim) were only 20 km apart, and so simulation of the plume 157 strikes is a stringent test of TAPM and CCAM's ability to reproduce windspeed and direction. 158 Plume rise and chemical mechanism are held constant. Finally, we use TAPM-CTM to separate 159 the contribution of the Robbins Island fire emissions and urban emissions to the observed O<sub>3</sub> 160 enhancements at Cape Grim reported in Lawson et al., (2015), and to determine the age of the

161 O<sub>3</sub>-enhanced air parcels.

## 162 **2 Methods**

## 163 **2.1** Fire and measurement details

164 Details of the fire and measurements are given in Lawson et al (2015). Briefly, biomass burning (BB) plumes were measured at the Cape Grim Baseline Air Pollution Station during the 2006 165 Precursors to Particles campaign, when emissions from a fire on nearby Robbins Island 166 167 impacted the station. Fire burned through native heathland and pasture grass on Robbins Island 168 some 20 km to the east of Cape Grim for two weeks in February 2006. On two occasions an 169 easterly wind advected the BB plume directly to the Cape Grim Station. The first plume strike 170 (BB1) occured from 02:00 - 06:00 (Australian Eastern Standard Time - AEST) on the 16th February, with light easterly winds of 3 m s<sup>-1</sup> and temperature of 13 °C and RH of 96 %. The 171 172 second, more prolonged plume strike (BB2) occurred from 23:00 on 23rd February to 05:00 on the 25th February, with strong easterly winds ranging from 10-16 m s<sup>-1</sup>, temperatures of 16-173 174 22 °C and RH in the range of 75-95 %. Under a northerly wind direction, urban air from the city of Melbourne (population 4.2 million) some 300 km away is transported across the ocean 175 176 (Bass Strait) to Cape Grim.

A wide variety of trace gas and aerosol measurements were made during the fire event (Lawson et al., 2015). In this work, measurements of black carbon (BC), carbon monoxide (CO) and ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) are compared with model output. BC measurements were made using an aethelometer (Gras, 2007), CO measurements were made using an AGAGE gas chromatography system with a multi-detector (Krummel et al., 2007) and O<sub>3</sub> measurements were made using a TECO analyser (Galbally et al., 2007). For further details see Lawson et al., (2015).

## 184 **2.2 Chemical transport models**

Simulations were undertaken with CSIRO's chemical transport model (CTM), coupled offline with two meteorological models (see below). The CSIRO CTM is a three-dimensional Eulerian chemical transport model with the capability of modelling the emission, transport, chemical transformation, wet and dry deposition of a coupled gas and aerosol phase atmospheric system. The CTM was initially developed for air quality forecasting (Cope et al., 2004) and has had extensive use with shipping emission simulations (Broome et al., 2016), urban air quality (Cope
et al., 2014; Galbally et al., 2008), biogenic (Emmerson et al., 2016) and biomass burning
studies (Keywood et al., 2015; Meyer et al., 2008; Luhar et al., 2008).

193 The chemical transformation of gas-phase species was modelled using an extended version of 194 the Carbon Bond 5 mechanism (Sarwar et al., 2008) with updated toluene chemistry (Sarwar 195 et al., 2011). The mechanism was also extended to include the gas phase precursors for 196 secondary (gas and aqueous phase) inorganic and organic aerosols. Secondary inorganic 197 aerosols were assumed to exist in thermodynamic equilibrium with gas phase precursors and 198 were modelled using the ISORROPIA-II model (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007). Secondary 199 organic aerosol (SOA) was modelled using the Volatility Basis Set (VBS) approach (Donahue 200 et al., 2006). The VBS configuration is similar to that described in Tsimpidi et al., (2010). The 201 production of S-VI in cloud water was modelled using the approach described in Seinfeld and 202 Pandis (1998). The boundary concentrations in the models for different wind directions were 203 informed by Cape Grim observations of atmospheric constituents during non BB periods 204 (Lawson et al., 2015). In this work the modelled elemental carbon (EC) output was considered 205 equivalent to the BC measured with aethalometer at Cape Grim.

Horizontal diffusion is simulated according to equations detailed in Cope et al (2009) according
to principles of Smagorinsky et al., (1963) and Hess (1989). Vertical diffusion is simulated
according to equations detailed in Cope et al., (2009) according to principles of Draxler and
Hess (1997). Horizontal and vertical advection uses the approach of Walcek et al., (2000).

## 210 2.2.1 Meteorological models

Prognostic meteorological modelling was used for the prediction of meteorological fields 211 212 including wind velocity, temperature, water vapour mixing ratio and clouds, radiation and 213 turbulence. The meteorological fields force key components of the emissions and the chemical 214 transport model. Two meteorological models were used in this work. CSIRO's (The) Air 215 Pollution Model (TAPM) (Hurley, 2008b), a limited area, nest-able, three-dimensional 216 Eulerian numerical weather and air quality prediction system, and CSIRO's Conformal Cubic 217 Atmospheric Model (CCAM) a global stretched grid atmospheric simulation model 218 (McGregor, (2015) and references therein). The models represent two unique (and 219 independent) approaches for generating the meteorological fields required by the chemical 220 transport model.

221 For CCAM, 20 km spaced simulations over Australia were used by the CTM (with the same 222 grid spacing) to model large scale processes on the continent including the emission and 223 transport of windblown dust, sea salt aerosol and smoke from wildfires. Note that the governing 224 equations for TAPM do not enable this model to simulate spatial scales greater than 1000 km 225 in the horizontal and thus only the CCAM meteorology was available for the continental-scale 226 simulations. TAPM and CCAM 12 km spaced simulations were then used to model the 227 transport of the Melbourne plume to Cape Grim by the CTM (at 12 km grid spacing) with 228 boundary conditions provided by the continental simulation. Nested grid simulations by the 229 CTM at 3 km and 1 km grid spacing utilised TAPM and CCAM meteorology simulated at 230 matching grid spacing. The 1 km spaced meteorological fields were also used to drive a 400 m 231 spaced CTM domain which encompassed Robbin's Island and Cape Grim. This domain was 232 included in the nested grid system because we wanted to better numerically resolve the spatial 233 extent of the fire and the process of plume advection between Robbin's Island and Cape Grim. 234 In this work the CTM coupled with CCAM meteorological model is referred to as CTM-

235 CCAM, while the CTM coupled with the TAPM meteorological model is referred to as TAPM236 CTM.

#### 237 2.2.2 Emission inventories

## 238 Anthropogenic emissions

Anthropogenic emissions for Victoria were based on the work of Delaney et al., (2011). No anthropogenic emissions were included for Tasmania. The north-west section of Tasmania has limited habitation and is mainly farmland, and so the influence of Tasmanian anthropogenic emissions on Cape Grim are expected to be negligible.

## 243 Natural and Biogenic emissions

The modelling framework includes methodologies for estimating emissions of sea salt aerosol (Gong, 2003) emissions of windblown dust (Lu and Shao, 1999); gaseous and aerosol emissions from managed and unmanaged wild fires (Meyer et al., 2008); emissions of NMOC from vegetation (Azzi et al., 2012) and emissions of nitric oxide and ammonia from vegetation and soils. Emissions from all but the wildfires are calculated inline in the CTM at each time step using the current meteorological fields. There were no other major fires burning in Victoria and Tasmania during the study period.

## 251 Emissions – Robbins Island fire

252 The area burnt by the fire was determined from hotspots from the Sentinel product (Geosciences Australia) which were derived from MODIS imagery. The hotspots were 253 buffered to give polygon spots at a resolution of 400ha spot<sup>-1</sup>, then merged into a single 254 polgygon for each fire day (Meyer et al., 2008). T The fire burnt 2000 ha over the two week 255 256 period, and the direction of fire spread was unknown. As such, the fire scar was divided up 257 into 250m grids and the hourly areas burnt calculated using a normalised version of the 258 Macarthur Fire Danger Index (FDI) (Meyer et al., 2008). The models assumed that an equal 259 proportion of each grid burned simultaneously over the two week period. The fuel density used 260 was estimated to be 18.7 t C ha<sup>-1</sup>, based on mean mass loads of coarse and fine fuels taken from the biogeochemical production model (VAST 1.2, Barrett 2002) and converted into carbon 261 262 mass (Meyer et al., 2008).

263 The hourly diurnal emissions of all gases and particles from the fire were calculated using the 264 FDI in which the presence of strong winds will result in faster fire spread and enhanced emissions, compared to periods of lower wind speeds. The effect of wind speed on the fire 265 behaviour and emissions is particularly important during the second BB event in which the 266 winds ranged from 10 to 15 m s<sup>-1</sup>. This is evident from Figure 2 where hourly emission profiles 267 268 based on an average diurnal FDI calculated by Meyer et al., (2008) (which peaks early 269 afternoon) is compared with profiles based on hourly FDI generated by TAPM and CCAM 270 meteorology. It can be seen that the use of the dynamic FDI approach during the BB2 period 271 increases the Base emissions by 70% for TAPM meteorology and by 45% for the CCAM 272 meteorology. It is also notable that the use of the dynamic approach with TAPM meteorology leads to the peak emissions occurring overnight on the 24<sup>th</sup> Feb which is when the Base 273 274 emissions are at a minimum.

275 Savanna category EF were used as base case EFs in this work from Andreae and Merlet (2001). 276 Three different sets of fire emission factors, corresponding to low, medium and high MCE 277 were used to test the sensitivity of the models, where MCE =  $\Delta CO_2 / \Delta CO + \Delta CO_2$  (Ferek et 278 al., 1998). We usedpublished EF of CO and CO<sub>2</sub> from temperate forests (Akagi et al., 2011), 279 to calculate a typical range of MCEs for temperate fires, including an average (best estimate) 280 of 0.92, a lower (0.89) and upper estimate (0.95). Fires with MCEs of approximately 0.90 281 consume biomass with approximately equal amounts of smouldering and flaming, while MCEs of 0.99 indicate complete flaming combustion (Akagi et al., 2011). Therefore the calculated 282 283 range of MCEs (0.89 - 0.95) correspond to fires in which both smouldering and flaming is

occurring, with a tendency for more flaming combustion in the upper estimate (0.95) compared
to a tendency of more smouldering in the lower estimate (0.89).

286 In previous smoke modelling work, CCAM-CTM and TAPM-CTM used savannah EF from 287 Andreae and Merlet (2001). However, as Robbins Island is in a temperate region, the Andreae 288 and Merlet (2001) savannah EF used in the models were adjusted to reflect temperate EF based 289 on the following methodology. Minimum, mean and maximum CO EF for temperate forests 290 from Agaki et al., (2011) were used for lower (0.89), best estimate (0.92) and upper MCE 291 (0.95). For all other species, savannah EF (corresponding to MCE 0.94) were adjusted to EF 292 for MCE=0.89, 0.92 and 0.95 using published relationships between MCE and EF (Meyer et 293 al., 2012; Yokelson et al., 2007; Yokelson et al., 2003; Yokelson et al., 2011).

294 For example to adjust the Andreae and Merlet (2001) savannah EF (corresponding to an MCE 295 of 0.94) to our temperate 'best estimate' EF (corresponding to MCE of 0.92) the Andreae and 296 Merlet (2001) NO EF was reduced by 30%, the NMOC EFs were increased by 30%, the BC 297 EF was reduced by 30% and the OC EF was increased by 20%. Table 1 gives emission factors 298 for the original savannah EF (Andreae and Merlet, 2001) and the adjusted EF used in this work. 299 The NO<sub>x</sub>/NMOC ratios used are also shown, and vary by a factor of 3 between the low and 300 high MCE scenarios, mainly driven by the variability in NO emissions with MCE. The EF 301 calculated from observations for this fire are shown for comparison (Lawson et al., 2015).

302 We recognise calculating EF in this way is approximate, however the purpose of including a range of EF was to explore the model's sensitivity to EF. While EFs were calculated for the 303 304 Robbins Island fire for several species (Lawson et al., 2015), these are only available for a 305 subset of species required by the CB05 chemical mechanism. The adjustment of the Andreae 306 and Merlet (2001) Savannah EF to a lower MCE (0.89) resulted in good ( $\pm$  20%) agreement 307 with the calculated EF for CO, BC and several NMOC from Lawson et al., (2015), in which 308 the MCE was calculated as 0.88. This provides confidence in using published relationships 309 between MCE and EF to estimate EF in this work.

With respect to plume rise, the Robbin's Island fire was a relatively low energy burn (Lawson et al., 2015), and as noted by Paugam et al., (2016) the smoke from such fires is largely contained within the planetary boundary layer (PBL). Given that ground-based images of the Robbin's Island smoke plume support this hypothesis, in this work we adopted a simple approach of mixing the emitted smoke uniformly into the model's layers contained within the PBL. The plume was well mixed between the maximum of the PBL height and 200 m above the ground, with the latter included to account for some vertical mixing of the buoyant smoke plume even under conditions of very low PBL height. The high wind speeds particularly during the second BB event, also suggest that the plume was not likely to be sufficiently buoyant to penetrate the PBL.

320

## 321 **3 Results and Discussion**

## 322 3.1 Modelling Sensitivity Study

The ability of the models to reproduce the two plume strikes (BB1 and BB2, described in Lawson et al (2015)) was tested. The period examined was the 13 February 2006 to the 28 February 2006. The sensitivity of the models to meteorology, emission factors and spatial variability was also investigated and is discussed below. Observation and model data shown are hourly averages. Table 2 summarizes the main findings of the model sensitivity study. A MODIS Truecolour Aqua image of the Robbins Island fire plume is shown in Figure 3 from 23 February 2006, with the modelled plume during the same period.

#### 330 3.1.1 Sensitivity of modelled BB species to meteorology

Qualitative and quantitative assessment of model performance for meteorological parameters were undertaken for both TAPM and CCAM. Hourly observed and modelled winds, temperature, humidity and PBL are compared and discussed in the Supplementary section (Figures S2-S8). Briefly, both TAPM and CCAM demonstrated reasonable skill in modelling the meteorological conditions, with the TAPM simulations slightly better than the CCAM with respect to the low level wind, temperatures and relative humidity and CCAM simulations slightly better in terms of PBL height.

#### 338 **Primary species- CO and BC**

339 Figure 4 and Figure 5 shows concentration isopleths generated by TAPM-CTM and CCAM-

340 CTM respectively for BB1 with the models output every 12 hours shown. The narrow BB

- 341 plume is simulated intermittently striking Cape Grim, and then the plume is swept away from
- 342 Cape Grim after a wind direction change.
- The simulated and observed time series concentrations of CO and BC for the two different models (TAPM-CTM and CCAM-CTM) and for 3 different sets of EF (discussed in Section
- 345 3.1.2) are shown in Figure 6. TAPM-CTM and CCAM-CTM both reproduce the observed

plume strikes (BB1 and BB2). The impact of meteorology on the plume strike timing andduration is discussed below.

Both models overestimate the duration of BB1and are a few hours out in the timing of the plume strike. TAPM-CTM predicts the timing of BB1 is 3 hours later than occurred (BC data) and predicts that BB1persists for 12 hours (observed duration 5 hours) (Figure 4). CCAM-CTM predicts that BB1 occurs 12 hours prior to the observed plume strike and predicts that the plume intermittently sweeps across Cape Grim for up to 36 hours (Figure 5). Both models indicate that the plume is narrow and meandering.

Both models overestimate the duration of BB2 and simulate the plume strike occurring earlier than observed. TAPM-CTM predicts BB2 is 26 hours earlier than observed and that BB2 persists for 50 hours (observed duration 29 hours). CCAM-CTM predicts BB2 is 26 hours earlier than observed and that BB2 persists for 57 hours. It should be noted that there is a brief observed enhancement of BB species which correspond with the beginning of the modelled BB2 plume strike, some 24 hours prior to the prolonged observed event. This was likely due to the edge of the plume impacting the station briefly.

361

362 In both observed BB1 and BB2 the plume strike at Cape Grim occurred just prior to a wind 363 direction change from easterly (fire direction), to south-westerly. The timing of the wind 364 direction change in the models is therefore crucial to correctly predicting plume strike time and 365 duration. In BB1 CCAM predicts an earlier wind direction change with higher windspeeds 366 which advects the plume directly over Cape Grim while TAPM predicts a later wind change, 367 lower windspeeds and advection of only the edge of the plume over Cape Grim. The higher 368 concentrations CO and BC in BB1 by CCAM-CTM is are likely due to the direct advection of 369 the plume over the site compared to only the plume edge in TAPM-CTM.

In BB2, both TAPM-CTM and CCAM-CTM predict direct strikes of the Robbin's Island smoke plume on Cape Grim, because the wind direction is modelled to be predominantly easterly for the duration of the event (Fig S18). Both models simulate some backing and veering of the wind direction for the duration of BB2 due to gravity waves processes which lead to intermittent strikes on Cape Grim as the Robbin's Island smoke plume sweeps to the north and south of Cape Grim. The gravity wave oscillations are more pronounced in CCAM-CTM than TAPM-CTM (and thus the plume strikes are more pronounced from the former) due to differences in how the models are coupled to large scale synoptic forcing. The event iseventually curtailed by the passage of a south-westerly change.

Fig. S18 shows that TAPM-CTM predicts the onset of the change to occur about six hours ahead of the observed change and thus the BB2 event ends too early for this meteorological simulation. CCAM-CTM models the south-westerly change to occur one hour after the observed, leading to the modelled BB2 event extending beyond the observed duration for this meteorological simulation.

384 Differences in the magnitude of the modelled CO and BC peaks for TAPM-CTM and CCAM-385 CTM have two principal cause: a), the coupling of the smoke emissions to the TAPM and 386 CCAM meteorology via the FDI scaling leads to approximately 20% higher emissions in the 387 case of the TAPM-CTM simulations; b), the CCAM wind speeds are 20-50% higher than the 388 TAPM wind speeds during BB2, which in combination with the emission differences, leads to 389 TAPM-CTM generating near-surface smoke concentrations which are up to 80% higher than 390 CCAM-CTM. Mixing depth can also play an important role in plume dispersion, however the 391 PBL heights generated by both models are similar and generally low during BB2 due to the 392 easterly wind direction and the mainly maritime upwind fetch.

## 393 Secondary species – O<sub>3</sub>

Figure 6 e-f shows the simulated and actual  $O_3$  concentration time series for TAPM-CTM and CCAM-CTM for 3 different sets of EF (discussed in Section 3.1.2). The two observed  $O_3$  peaks which followed BB1 and BB2 can clearly be seen in the time series of observations. Figure 7 shows the TAPM-CTM and CCAM-CTM concentration isopleths of  $O_3$  enhancement downwind of the fire during BB1 at 11:00 and 13:00 on the 16 February.

399 Again the simulated meteorology has a major impact on the ability of the models to reproduce 400 the magnitude and timing of the observed O<sub>3</sub> peaks. TAPM-CTM reproduces the major O<sub>3</sub> peak 401 observed following BB2, and captures part of the O<sub>3</sub> peak following BB1. For the peak 402 following BB1 it under predicts the peak duration and fails to capture the subsequent observed 403 peaks on the 19th and 19th February. TAPM-CTM also shows 2 additional O<sub>3</sub> peaks about 404 24 hours prior to the BB1 and BB2 peaks respectively, which were not observed. The 405 magnitude of these additional peaks shows a strong dependency on the EF suggesting an 406 influence of fire emissions. This is discussed further below and in Section 3.2.1.Compared to 407 TAPM-CTM, CCAM-CTM predicts fewer distinct peaks of ozone above the background 408 (where background is 15-17 ppb) throughout the entire period. Both TAPM-CTM and CCAM-

409 CTM show depletion of  $O_3$  below background levels which was not observed, and this is 410 discussed further in Section 3.1.2.

411 Figure 7 shows that there are differences in wind fields between TAPM-CTM and CCAM-412 CTM as well as different simulated concentrations of O<sub>3</sub> generated from the fire. This is 413 discussed further in Section 3.1.2. To summarise, the impact of using two different 414 meteorological models for a primary species such as BC was to vary the modelled time of 415 impact of the BB1 plume strike by up to 15 hours (CCAM-CTM -12 and TAPM-CTM +3 416 hours, where actual plume strike time = 0 hours) and to vary the plume duration between 12417 and 36 hours (actual duration 5 hours). For BB2, different meteorological models predicted the 418 same impact time (TAPM-CTM and CCAM-CTM both -26 hours where actual plume strike 419 time = 0 hours and to vary the plume duration between 47 and 60 hours (actual duration 29) 420 hours).

For O<sub>3</sub>, the use of different meteorological models lead to one model (TAPM-CTM)
reproducing both observed peaks plus two additional peaks, while the other model (CCAMCTM) captured only one defined O<sub>3</sub> peak over the time series of 2 weeks.

424 3.1.2 Sensitivity of modelled BB species to Emission Factors

#### 425 **Primary species – CO and BC**

Figure 6 a-d shows the simulated and observed concentrations of BC and CO for MCE=0.89,
MCE=0.92 and MCE=0.95 (see Section 2.2.2). Because CO has a negative relationship with
MCE, and BC has a positive relationship with MCE, the modelled BC concentrations are
highest for model runs using the highest MCE, while the modelled CO concentrations are
highest for model runs using the lowest MCE (Figure 6).

- 431 Changing the EF from low to high MCE varies the modelled BC concentrations during BB1
  432 and BB2 by a factor of ~3 for BC and a factor of ~2 for CO, and increases the EF ratio of
  433 BC/CO by a factor of ~6, in proportion to the difference in EF input to the models.
- 434 Quantile-quantile plots of observed and modelled ratios of BC/CO during BB1 and BB2 for 435 the different EF scenarios are shown in Fig S11. The use of BC/CO ratios were used to 436 minimise uncertainty resulting from errors in modelling transport, dilution (and mixing height), 437 thus enabling a focus on the impact of EF variability. A period incorporating both the modelled 438 and observed BB1 and BB2 was used for the analysis. The TAPM-CTM simulation with 439 MCE=0.89 performed best with greater than 60% of the model percentiles falling within a

factor of two of the observed. The CCAM-CTM simulation with MCE = 0.89 was the second 440 best performer with 50% of the modelled percentiles falling within a factor of two of the 441 442 observed. Overestimates of the EC/CO ratio by up to a factor of 8 occur for some percentiles 443 for the MCE=0.95 scenarios, while the scenarios with no fire significantly underestimated the 444 observed ratio. Plots of mean fractional bias and mean fractional error (Fig. S12 and S13) show 445 that TAPM-CTM simulation with MCE=0.89 has the smallest bias and error, followed by the CCAM-CTM simulation with MCE=0.89. As discussed previously there is uncertainty in the 446 447 derivation of EF as a function of MCE, as these were based on relationships from a small 448 number of studies. Nevertheless, the percentile, bias and error analysis indicates that using 449 emission factors corresponding to an MCE of 0.89 gives the best agreement with the 450 observations for the BC/CO ratio. This is in agreement with the calculated MCE of 0.88 for 451 this fire (Lawson et al., 2015)

452

453

#### 454 Secondary species - O<sub>3</sub>

For secondary species such as  $O_3$  (Figure 6e-f), the relationship between EF precursor gases and model output is more complex than for primary species such as CO and BC, because the balance between  $O_3$  formation and destruction is dependent on the degree of dilution of the BB emissions and also factors such as the NMOC composition and the NMOC/NO<sub>x</sub> ratio.

459 TAPM-CTM (Figure 6e) reproduces the magnitude of both observed peaks following BB1 460 and BB2 (BB1 max observed = 33 ppb, modelled = 31 ppb, BB2 max observed = 34 ppb, 461 modelled = 30ppb). Interestingly the magnitude of  $O_3$  for these two peaks is the same for 462 different EF inputs of O<sub>3</sub> precursors from the Robbins Island fire, suggesting that the BB 463 emissions are not responsible for these enhancements as demonstrated in Section 3.2. In contrast, the two additional peaks modelled but not seen in the observations are heavily 464 465 dependent on the input EF. For the first additional modelled peak which was predicted at the time of BB1observations on the 16<sup>th</sup> February, all EF scenarios result in an O<sub>3</sub> peak, with the 466 MCE=0.92 model scenario resulting in highest predicted O<sub>3</sub>. For the second additional 467 modelled peak just prior to the BB2 observations on the 23<sup>rd</sup> February, only the MCE=0.89 468 469 scenario results in a net O<sub>3</sub> production, while MCE=0.92 and MCE=0.95 scenarios lead to net 470 O<sub>3</sub> destruction.

471 This differing response to EF for the TAPM-CTM runs suggests the importance of the NO EF 472 on O<sub>3</sub> production in BB plumes. Unfortunately there were no oxides of nitrogen measurements 473 made during the fire to test the models. For the first simulated additional peak prior to BB1, 474 while the medium NO EF (MCE=0.92) resulted in the highest O<sub>3</sub> peak (with corresponding 475 NO of 3.7 ppb, NO<sub>2</sub> 4.5 ppb) the lower NO EF in the 0.89 MCE run perhaps indicates 476 insufficient NO was present to drive O<sub>3</sub> production (corresponding NO 0.5 ppb, NO<sub>2</sub> 1.5 ppb), 477 which is in line with studies which have shown that BB plumes are generally NO<sub>x</sub> limited 478 (Akagi et al., 2013; Jaffe and Wigder, 2012; Wigder et al., 2013). Conversely the highest input 479 NO EF (MCE=0.95) lead to net destruction of O<sub>3</sub> (NO 9 ppb, NO<sub>2</sub> 7 ppb), which is due to 480 titration of O<sub>3</sub> with the larger amounts of NO emitted from the fire in these runs as indicated 481 by excess NO (NO/NO<sub>2</sub> ratio > 1) at Cape Grim (where NO has a positive relationship with 482 MCE). For the second additional peak prior to BB2, only the lowest NO EF run (MCE=0.89) 483 resulted in net production of O<sub>3</sub> (NO 1.5 ppb NO<sub>2</sub> 2.6 ppb) in the medium and high MCE runs 484 the background O<sub>3</sub> concentration is completely titrated (0 ppb) with NO concentrations of 10 485 and 20 ppb and NO/NO<sub>2</sub> ratios of 1.3 and 2.6 respectively.

In contrast, the CCAM-CTM model (Figure 6f) simulations reproduce only the first observed O<sub>3</sub> peak associated with BB1 (modelled = 27 ppb, measured = 34 ppb). This modelled O<sub>3</sub> peak does not show an influence of MCE on O<sub>3</sub> concentration, in agreement with TAPM, again suggesting no influence from fire emissions as later demonstrated in Section 3.2. The CCAM model runs also show significant titration of O<sub>3</sub> during BB1 and BB2 for the medium and high MCE model runs, with ~24 and ~48 hours of significant O<sub>3</sub> depletion below background concentrations being modelled for each event, which was not observed

493 Quantile-quantile plots of modelled and observed concentrations of O<sub>3</sub> for all EF scenarios are 494 shown in Fig. S14 and S15. Model performance was assessed for both the BB and the 495 background periods in order to test the ability of the models to reproduce O<sub>3</sub> from both the fire 496 and other sources, including urban sources. The modelled O<sub>3</sub> concentrations from the TAPM-497 CTM simulation with MCE=0.89 are close to the 1:1 line with observations for all of the 498 sampled percentiles, and demonstrates that this scenario is in best agreement with observations, 499 and as stated previously, in agreement with the calculated MCE of 0.88 for BB2 (Lawson et 500 al., 2015). Ozone titration in the MCE=0.92 and MCE=0.95 scenarios, which was not observed, 501 is visible as a significant deviation from the 1:1 line in Fig S14. With the exception of these 502 titration events, all of the sampled model concentration percentiles fall well within a factor of 503 two of the observations. Plots of mean fractional error and mean fractional bias (Figs S16 and

504 S17) show that the error and bias are very low for all runs and fall within performance 505 guidelines.

The different EF scenarios presented here suggest that varying model EF has a major impact on whether the models simulate production or destruction of O<sub>3</sub>, particularly important at a receptor site in close proximity to the BB emissions. In the previous work (Lawson et al., 2015), the MCE for the first 10 hours of BB2 was calculated as 0.88, however later in BB2, a rainfall event led to changes in the NMOC/CO and BC/CO ratios. This suggests that during the course of BB2 the MCE decreased and thus EFs changed. As such, the used of fixed BB EF in this

512 work and in other models, may lead to incorrect prediction of important species such as O<sub>3</sub>.".

## 513 3.1.3 Sensitivity of modelled concentrations to spatial variability

The near-field proximity of the Robbins Island fire (20 km) to Cape Grim, the narrowness of the BB plume and the spatial complexity of the modelled wind fields around north Tasmania are likely to result in strong heterogeneity in the modelled concentrations surrounding Cape Grim. We investigated how much model spatial gradients vary by sampling TAPM-CTM output with MCE=0.89 at 4 grid points sited 1 km to the north, east, south and west of Cape Grim.

#### 520 **Primary species - CO**

a shows a time series of the modelled CO output of the difference between Cape Grim andeach grid point 1km either side.

523 Where plotted CO concentration is other location [CO] (N,S,E,W) –Cape Grim [CO].

The figure clearly shows that there are some large differences in the modelled concentrations of CO between grid points for both BB1 and BB2. Particularly large differences were seen for BB2 with the north gridpoint modelled concentrations in BB2 over 500 ppb lower than at Cape Grim grid point, while at the Southerly grid point the modelled CO was up to 350 ppb higher. Smaller differences of up to 250 ppb between the east and Cape Grim grid points were observed for BB1. This indicates the plume from the fire was narrow and had a highly variably impact on the area immediately surrounding Cape Grim.

- 531 Figure 8b shows the observed cumulative concentration of CO over the 29 hour duration of
- 532 BB2 at Cape Grim, as well as the modelled cumulative concentration at Cape Grim and at the
- 533 four gridpoints either side. This figure shows both the variability in concentration with location,
- 534 but also with time. TAPM-CTM's underestimation of the observed CO by is visible by hour

535 20. TAPM-CTM begins to show differences in modelled cumulative CO concentrations 536 between the 5 gridpoints (including Cape Grim) by hour 10. At the end of BB2 TAPM-CTM predicts that there are differences of 5 - 30% between the cumulative modelled CO 537 538 concentration at Cape Grim and the gridpoints to the north, east, south and west. his variability 539 modelled between sites which are closely located highlights the challenges with modelling the 540 impact of a near field fire at a fixed single point location. This also highlights the high spatial 541 variability which may be missed in similar situations by using a coarser resolution model which 542 would dilute emissions in a larger gridbox.

## 543 **Ozone** (O<sub>3</sub>)

Figure 8c shows a time series of the modelled  $O_3$  output of the difference between Cape Grim and each gridpoint 1km either side, where plotted  $O_3$  concentration is other location  $[O_3]$ (N,S,E,W) – Cape Grim  $[O_3]$ .

The modelled TAPM-CTM concentrations are very similar at all grid points when BB emissions are not impacting. The variability increases at the time of BB1 and BB2, with differences mostly within 2-3 ppb, but up to 15 and 10 ppb at east and west sites for BB1. This largest difference corresponds to the additional modelled  $O_3$  peak which was not observed which showed strong dependency on EF (see Section 3.1.2), and provides further evidence that local BB emissions are driving this enhancement.

553 The TAPM-CTM output for  $O_3$  for BB1(Figure 7) shows  $O_3$  enhancement downwind of the 554 fire at 11:00 and 13:00 on the 16 February. The very localised and narrow  $O_3$  plume is dispersed 555 by the light (2 m s<sup>-1</sup>) and variable winds, and Cape Grim is on the edge of the  $O_3$  plume for 556 much of this period, explaining the high variability seen in Figure 6c.

557 In summary there is a large amount of spatial variability in TAPM-CTM for primary species 558 such as CO during the BB events, with differences of > 500 ppb in grid points 1 km apart. This 559 is due to the close proximity of the fire to the observation site and narrow plume non-stationary 560 meteorology. For O<sub>3</sub>, there is up to 15 ppb difference between grid points for a narrow O<sub>3</sub> 561 plume which is formed downwind of the fire.

562 The highly localised nature of the primary and in some cases secondary species seen here

highlights the benefits of assessing spatial variability in situations with a close proximity point

source and a fixed receptor (measurement) site.

#### 565 **3.2** Exploring plume chemistry and contribution from different sources

#### 566 3.2.1 Drivers of $O_3$ production

567 In previous work on the Robbins Island fire, it was noted that the increases in  $O_3$  observed after 568 both BB1 and BB2 were correlated with increased concentration of HFC134a (Lawson et al., 569 2015). This indicated that transport of photochemically processed air from urban areas to Cape 570 Grim was likely the main driver of the O<sub>3</sub> observed, rather than BB emissions (Lawson et al., 571 2015). However, during BB1 in a calm sunny period with minimal urban influence, an increase 572 in O<sub>3</sub> was observed alongside a period of particle growth and elevated BC, suggesting possible 573 biomass burning influnce. Normalised Excess Mixing Ratios (NEMR) observed during BB2 574 were also in the range of those observed elsewhere in young BB plumes (Lawson et al., 2015) 575 (where NEMR is an excess mixing ratio normalised to a non-reactive co-emitted tracer, in this 576 case CO, see Akagi et al., 2011).

577 To explore this further, TAPM-CTM was used to determine the degree to which the local fire 578 emissions, and urban emissions from mainland Australia, were driving the observed  $O_3$ 579 enhancements. The scenario with EF corresponding to MCE=0.89 was used, as discussed 580 previously

Figure 9 shows the simulated ozone for all sources (With BB) and all sources excluding the Robbins Island fire (No BB). There are two additional distinct ozone peaks in the 'With BB' simulation (Figure 9). These occuredduring, or close to the plume strikes, and are short lived (3 and 5 hour) events. These same two peaks showed a strong dependance on model EF in Section 3.1.2. In contrast, the two peaks attributed to transport of air from mainland Australia are of longer duration, and occur after the plume strikes.

587 Of the 2 modelled fire-derived  $O_3$  peaks, the first modelled peak (33 ppb) corresponds with a 588 small (21 ppb) observed peak during BB1 (Period B in Lawson et al., 2015), but the second 589 modelled fire-derived  $O_3$  peak is not observed. As shown in Figure 7 and discussed in Section 590 3.1.3, according to TAPM-CTM the O<sub>3</sub> plumes generated from fire emissions were narrow and showed a strong spatial variability. Given this, it is challenging for TAPM-CTMto predict the 591 592 exact timing and magnitude of these highly variable BB generated O<sub>3</sub> peaks impacting Cape 593 Grim. This is likely why there is good agreement in timing and magnitude between model and 594 observations for the large scale, spatially homogeneous O<sub>3</sub> plumes transported from mainland 595 Australia, but a lesser agreement for the locally formed, spatially variable O<sub>3</sub> formed from local

596 fire emissions.

597 In summary, TAPM-CTM suggests that the the two largest observed  $O_3$  peaks following BB1 598 and BB2 were urban air transported from mainland Australia, and suggests some  $O_3$  formation 599 was driven by emissions from the local fire event. TAPM-CTM captures the magnitude and 600 timing of the larger scale urban-derived peaks well, but is challenged by the timing and 601 magnitude of  $O_3$  from local BB emissions.

602

#### 603 3.2.2 Plume age

604 TAPM-CTM was used to estimate the physical age of air parcels reaching Cape Grim over the 605 two week period of the Robbins Island fire. The method is similar to the Eulerian effective 606 physical age of emissions metric, accounting for mixing and chemical decay from Finch et al 607 (2014) and has been described previously in Keywood et al., (2015). Briefly, two model 608 simulations were run for scenarios which included all sources of nitric oxide (NO) in Australia 609 ; the first treated NO as an unreactive tracer, the second with NO decaying at a constant first 610 order rate. The relative fraction of the emitted NO molecules remaining after 96 hours was then 611 inverted to give a molar-weighted plume age. As urban emissions are a larger NO source than 612 BB, this approach would weight the age in the favour of the urban emissions if air masses from 613 these two sources were mixed. However as shown in Figure 9, there are distinct periods where 614 BB or urban sources dominate. As there is little mixing of air from the two sources, there are 615 unlikely to be issues with the calculated age being weighted towards one source. Figure 10 616 shows a time series of the modelled NO tracer (decayed version), modelled plume age (hours) 617 and the observed O<sub>3</sub>. Direct BB1 and BB2 plume strikes can be clearly seen with increases in 618 NO corresponding with a plume age of 0-2 hours. The plume age then gradually increases over 619 24 hours in both cases, peaking at 15:00 on the 17th February during BB1 (aged of plume 40 620 hours) and peaking at 17:00 on the 25th February during BB2 (age of plume 49 hours). The 621 peak observed O<sub>3</sub> enhancements correspond with the simulated plume age in both BB1 and 622 BB2 (with an offset of 2 hours for BB1), and the observed HFC-134a, suggesting that the plume 623 which transported  $O_3$  from Mebourne to Cape Grim was approximately 2 days old. TAPM-624 CTM also simulates a smaller NO peak alongside the maximum plume age, indicating transport 625 of decayed NO from the mainland to Cape Grim.

As reported in Lawson et al., (2015), during BB2 NEMRs of  $\Delta O_3/\Delta CO$  ranged from 0.001-0.074, in agreement with  $O_3$  enhancements observed in young BB plumes elsewhere (Yokelson et al., 2003; Yokelson et al., 2009). However, the modelling reported here suggests that almost all of the  $O_3$  observed during BB2 was of urban, not BB origin. This suggests NEMRs should not be used in isolation to identify the source of observed  $O_3$  enhancements, and highlights the value of utilising air mass back trajectories and modelling to interpret the source of  $O_3$ enhancements where there are multiple emission sources.

#### 633 4 Summary and conclusions

In this work we have used a unique set of opportunistic BB observations at Cape Grim Baseline Air Pollution Station to test the ability of CSIRO's high resolution (400m grid cell) CTM to reproduce primary (CO, BC) and secondary (O<sub>3</sub>) BB species in challenging non-stationary, inhomogeneous, and near field conditions. We tested the sensitivity of the CTM to three different parameters (meteorology, MCE and spatial variability) while holding the plume rise and the chemical mechanisms constant.

640 We found meteorology, EF and spatial variability have a large influence on the modelled output 641 mainly due to the close proximity of the fire to the receptor site (Cape Grim). The lower MCE 642 (MCE=0.89) TAPM-CTM model simulation provided the best agreement with the observed 643 concentrations, in agreement with the MCE calculated from observations of 0.88 (Lawson et 644 al., 2015). The changing EFs, in particular NO dependency on MCE, had a major influence on 645 the simulated O<sub>3</sub> concentrations, with a tendency of the models in some configurations to both 646 fail to simulate observed O<sub>3</sub> peaks, and to simulate complete titration of O<sub>3</sub> which was not 647 observed. As shown in the previous work (Lawson et al., 2015), minor rainfall events have the 648 potential to significantly alter EF due to changes in combustion processes. This work suggests 649 that varying model EF has a major impact on whether the models predict production or 650 destruction of O<sub>3</sub>, particularly important at a receptor site in close proximity to the BB 651 emissions. Models which assume a fixed EF for O<sub>3</sub> precursor species in an environment with 652 temporally and spatially variable EF may therefore be challenged to correctly predict the 653 behaviour of important species such as O<sub>3</sub>.

There were significant differences in model output between Cape Grim and grid points 1 km away highlighting the narrowness of the plume and the challenge of predicting when the plume would impact the station. This also highlights the high spatial variability which may be missed in similar situations by using a coarser resolution model which would dilute emissions in a larger gridbox.

659 TAPM-CTM was used to distinguish the influence of the two sources on the observed  $O_3$ 660 enhancements which followed BB1 and BB2. Transport of a 2 day old urban plume some 300km away from Melbourne was the main source of the  $O_3$  enhancement observed at Cape Grim over the two week period of the fire. Despite NEMRs of  $\Delta O_3/\Delta CO$  during BB2 being similar to that observed in young BB plumes elsewhere, this work suggests NEMRs should not be used in isolation to identify the source of observed  $O_3$  enhancements, and highlights the value of utilising air mass back trajectories and modelling to interpret the source of  $O_3$ enhancements where there are multiple emission sources.

667

## 668 Acknowledgements

669 The Cape Grim program, established by the Australian Government to monitor and study

670 global atmospheric composition, is a joint responsibility of the Bureau of Meteorology

671 (BOM) and the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO).

672 We thank the staff at Cape Grim and staff at CSIRO Oceans and Atmosphere for providing

observation data for this work. Thank you to Nada Derek for producing figures, Mick Meyer

674 for providing fire scar information, and Suzie Molloy for providing advice on ozone

observation data. Finally we thank the three anonymous reviewers for their helpful

- 676 suggestions and comments
- 677

## 678 **References**

Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Wiedinmyer, C., Alvarado, M. J., Reid, J. S., Karl, T.,
Crounse, J. D., and Wennberg, P. O.: Emission factors for open and domestic biomass
burning for use in atmospheric models, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 40394072, 10.5194/acp-11-4039-2011, 2011.

Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Burling, I. R., Meinardi, S., Simpson, I., Blake, D. R.,
McMeeking, G. R., Sullivan, A., Lee, T., Kreidenweis, S., Urbanski, S., Reardon, J.,
Griffith, D. W. T., Johnson, T. J., and Weise, D. R.: Measurements of reactive trace gases
and variable O3 formation rates in some South Carolina biomass burning plumes, Atmos.
Chem. Phys., 13, 1141-1165, 10.5194/acp-13-1141-2013, 2013.

Alvarado, M. J., and Prinn, R. G.: Formation of ozone and growth of aerosols in young
 smoke plumes from biomass burning: 1. Lagrangian parcel studies, Journal of
 Geophysical Research, 114, 10.1029/2008jd011144, 2009.

691 Alvarado, M. J., Wang, C., and Prinn, R. G.: Formation of ozone and growth of aerosols

692 in young smoke plumes from biomass burning: 2. Three-dimensional Eulerian studies,

- 693 Journal of Geophysical Research, 114, 10.1029/2008jd011186, 2009.
- 694 Alvarado, M. J., Lonsdale, C. R., Yokelson, R. J., Akagi, S. K., Coe, H., Craven, J. S.,
- 695 Fischer, E. V., McMeeking, G. R., Seinfeld, J. H., Soni, T., Taylor, J. W., Weise, D. R.,
- and Wold, C. E.: Investigating the links between ozone and organic aerosol chemistry in

- a biomass burning plume from a prescribed fire in California chaparral, Atmos. Chem.
  Phys., 15, 6667-6688, 10.5194/acp-15-6667-2015, 2015.
- 699 Anderson, D. C., Nicely, J. M., Salawitch, R. J., Canty, T. P., Dickerson, R. R., Hanisco,
- 700 T. F., Wolfe, G. M., Apel, E. C., Atlas, E., Bannan, T., Bauguitte, S., Blake, N. J., Bresch,
- 701 J. F., Campos, T. L., Carpenter, L. J., Cohen, M. D., Evans, M., Fernandez, R. P., Kahn,
- 702 B. H., Kinnison, D. E., Hall, S. R., Harris, N. R., Hornbrook, R. S., Lamarque, J. F., Le
- 703 Breton, M., Lee, J. D., Percival, C., Pfister, L., Pierce, R. B., Riemer, D. D., Saiz-Lopez,
- A., Stunder, B. J., Thompson, A. M., Ullmann, K., Vaughan, A., and Weinheimer, A. J.:
   A pervasive role for biomass burning in tropical high ozone/low water structures, Nature
- 705 A pervasive role for biomass burning in tropical lingh ozone/low water structures, Nature
- 706 communications, 7, 10267, 10.1038/ncomms10267, 2016.
- Andreae, M. O., and Merlet, P.: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass
   burning, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 15, 955-966, 10.1029/2000gb001382, 2001.
- 709 Andreae, M. O., Artaxo, P., Brandao, C., Carswell, F. E., Ciccioli, P., da Costa, A. L.,
- 710 Culf, A. D., Esteves, J. L., Gash, J. H. C., Grace, J., Kabat, P., Lelieveld, J., Malhi, Y.,
- 711 Manzi, A. O., Meixner, F. X., Nobre, A. D., Nobre, C., Ruivo, M., Silva-Dias, M. A.,
- 712 Stefani, P., Valentini, R., von Jouanne, J., and Waterloo, M. J.: Biogeochemical cycling
- of carbon, water, energy, trace gases, and aerosols in Amazonia: The LBA-EUSTACH
- experiments, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 107, 8066
  10.1029/2001jd000524, 2002.
- 716 Arnold, S. R., Emmons, L. K., Monks, S. A., Law, K. S., Ridley, D. A., Turquety, S.,
- 717 Tilmes, S., Thomas, J. L., Bouarar, I., Flemming, J., Huijnen, V., Mao, J., Duncan, B. N.,
- 718 Steenrod, S., Yoshida, Y., Langner, J., and Long, Y.: Biomass burning influence on high-
- 719 latitude tropospheric ozone and reactive nitrogen in summer 2008: a multi-model
- analysis based on POLMIP simulations, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15, 6047-
- 721 **6068**, **10.5194**/acp-**15-6047-2015**, **2015**.
- 722 Artaxo, P., Rizzo, L. V., Brito, J. F., Barbosa, H. M. J., Arana, A., Sena, E. T., Cirino, G.
- 723 G., Bastos, W., Martin, S. T., and Andreae, M. O.: Atmospheric aerosols in Amazonia
- and land use change: from natural biogenic to biomass burning conditions, Faraday
- 725 **Discuss.**, **165**, **203-235**, **10.1039/c3fd00052d**, **2013**.
- Azzi, M., Cope, M., and Rae, M.: Sustainable Energy Deployment within the Greater
   Metropolitan Region. NSW- Environmental Trust 2012.
- Barrett, D. J.: Steady state turnover time of carbon in the Australian terrestrial
  biosphere, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 16, 55-51-55-21, 10.1029/2002gb001860, 2002.
- 730 Broome, R. A., Cope, M. E., Goldsworthy, B., Goldsworthy, L., Emmerson, K., Jegasothy,
- 731 E., and Morgan, G. G.: The mortality effect of ship-related fine particulate matter in the
- 732 Sydney greater metropolitan region of NSW, Australia, Environment International, 87,
- 733 **85-93, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2015.11.012, 2016.**
- 734 Castellanos, P., Boersma, K. F., and van der Werf, G. R.: Satellite observations indicate
- substantial spatiotemporal variability in biomass burning NOx emission factors for South
   America, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 3929-3943, 10.5194/acp-14-3929-2014,
   2014.
- Cope, M., Lee, S., Noonan, J., Lilley, B., Hess, G. D., and Azzi, M.: Chemical Transport
   Model Technical Description, 2009.
- 740 Cope, M., Keywood, M., Emmerson, K., Galbally, I. E., Boast, K., Chambers, S., Cheng,
- 741 M., Crumeyrolle, S., Dunne, E., Fedele, R., Gillett, R., Griffiths, A., Harnwell, J., Katzfey,

- 742 J., Hess, D., Lawson, S. J., Miljevic, B., Molloy, S., Powell, J., Reisen, F., Ristovski, Z.,
- 743 Selleck, P., Ward, J., Zhang, C., and Zeng, J.: Sydney Particle Study Stage II., 2014.
- 744 http://141.243.32.146/resources/aqms/SydParticleStudy10-13.pdf
- 745 Cope, M. E., Hess, G. D., Lee, S., Tory, K., Azzi, M., Carras, J., Lilley, W., Manins, P. C.,
- 746 Nelson, P., Ng, L., Puri, K., Wong, N., Walsh, S., and Young, M.: The Australian Air
- 747 Quality Forecasting System. Part I: Project Description and Early Outcomes, Journal of
- 748 Applied Meteorology, 43, 649-662, doi:10.1175/2093.1, 2004.
- Delaney, W., and Marshall, A. G.: Victorian Air Emissions Inventory for 2006, 20th
   International Clean Air and Environment Conference, Auckland,, 2011.
- 751 Dennekamp, M., Straney, L. D., Erbas, B., Abramson, M. J., Keywood, M., Smith, K.,
- 752 Sim, M. R., Glass, D. C., Del Monaco, A., Haikerwal, A., and Tonkin, A. M.: Forest Fire
- 753 Smoke Exposures and Out-of-Hospital Cardiac Arrests in Melbourne, Australia: A Case-
- 754 Crossover Study, Environmental health perspectives, 123, 959-964, 10.1289/ehp.1408436,
   755 2015.
- 756 Donahue, N. M., Robinson, A. L., Stanier, C. O., and Pandis, S. N.: Coupled Partitioning,
- Dilution, and Chemical Aging of Semivolatile Organics, Environmental Science &
   Technology, 40, 2635-2643, 10.1021/es052297c, 2006.
- 759 Draxler, R.R and Hess, G.D. .: Description of the HYSPLIT\_4 modeling system. NOAA
- 760 Technical Memorandum ERL ARL-224, Air Resources Laboratory Silver Spring,
- 761 **Maryland, USA, 1997.**
- 762 Emmerson, K. M., Galbally, I. E., Guenther, A. B., Paton-Walsh, C., Guerette, E. A.,
- 763 Cope, M. E., Keywood, M. D., Lawson, S. J., Molloy, S. B., Dunne, E., Thatcher, M., Karl,
- 764 **T., and Maleknia, S. D.: Current estimates of biogenic emissions from eucalypts uncertain**
- 765 for southeast Australia, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 6997-7011, 10.5194/acp-16-6997-2016,
- 766 **2016.**
- 767 Emmons, L. K., Apel, E. C., Lamarque, J. F., Hess, P. G., Avery, M., Blake, D., Brune,
- W., Campos, T., Crawford, J., DeCarlo, P. F., Hall, S., Heikes, B., Holloway, J., Jimenez,
  J. L., Knapp, D. J., Kok, G., Mena-Carrasco, M., Olson, J., O'Sullivan, D., Sachse, G.,
- 770 Walega, J., Weibring, P., Weinheimer, A., and Wiedinmyer, C.: Impact of Mexico City
- emissions on regional air quality from MOZART-4 simulations, Atmospheric Chemistry
- 772 and Physics, 10, 6195-6212, 10.5194/acp-10-6195-2010, 2010.
- 773 Finch, D. P., Palmer, P. I., and Parrington, M.: Origin, variability and age of biomass
- burning plumes intercepted during BORTAS-B, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 13789-13800,
  10 5104/com 14 13780 2014 2014
- 775 **10.5194/acp-14-13789-2014, 2014.**
- Ferek, R. J., Reid, J. S., Hobbs, P. V., Blake, D. R., and Liousse, C.: Emission factors of
  hydrocarbons, halocarbons, trace gases and particles from biomass burning in Brazil,
  Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 103, 32107-32118, 10.1029/98JD00692,
- 779 **1998.**
- 780 Flannigan, M. D., Krawchuk, M. A., de Groot, W. J., Wotton, B. M., and Gowman, L.
- 781 M.: Implications of changing climate for global wildland fire, International Journal of
- 782 Wildland Fire, 18, 483-507, http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/WF08187, 2009.
- 783 Fountoukis, C., and Nenes, A.: ISORROPIA II: a computationally efficient
- 784 thermodynamic equilibrium model for K+-Ca2+-Mg2+-Nh(4)(+)-Na+-SO42--NO3--Cl--
- 785 H2O aerosols, Atmos Chem Phys, 7, 4639-4659, 2007.

- 786 Freitas, S. R., Longo, K. M., Chatfield, R., Latham, D., Silva Dias, M. A. F., Andreae, M.
- O., Prins, E., Santos, J. C., Gielow, R., and Carvalho Jr, J. A.: Including the sub-grid
  scale plume rise of vegetation fires in low resolution atmospheric transport models,
  Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 3385-3398, 10.5194/acp-7-3385-2007, 2007.
- 790 Galbally, I. E., Cope, M., Lawson, S. J., Bentley, S. T., Cheng, M., Gillet, R. W., Selleck,
- 791 P., Petraitis, B., Dunne, E., and Lee, S.: Sources of Ozone Precursors and Atmospheric
- 792 Chemistry in a Typical Australian City, 2008.
- 793 http://olr.npi.gov.au/atmosphere/airquality/publications/pubs/ozone-precursors.pdf
- 794 Galbally, I. E., Meyer, C. P., Bentley, S. T., Lawson, S. J., and Baly, S. B.: Reactive gases
- in near surface air at Cape Grim, 2005-2006 I E Galbally, C P Meyer, S T Bentley,
- 796 Baseline Atmospheric Program Australia 2005-2006, 77-79, 2007.
- Giglio, L., Randerson, J. T., and van der Werf, G. R.: Analysis of daily, monthly, and
   annual burned area using the fourth-generation global fire emissions database (GFED4),
- Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 118, 317-328, 10.1002/jgrg.20042, 2013.
- Gong, S. L.: A parameterization of sea-salt aerosol source function for sub- and super micron particles, Global Biogeochem Cy, 17, Artn 1097 Doi 10.1029/2003gb002079, 2003.
- 802 Gras, J. L.: Particles Program Report, Baseline Atmospheric Program Australia 2005803 2006, 85-86, 2007.
- Goodrick, S. L., Achtemeier, G. L., Larkin, N. K., Liu, Y., and Strand, T. M.: Modelling
   smoke transport from wildland fires: a review, International Journal of Wildland Fire,
- 806 **22, 83, 10.1071/wf11116, 2013.**
- 807 Hecobian, A., Liu, Z., Hennigan, C. J., Huey, L. G., Jimenez, J. L., Cubison, M. J., Vay,
- 808 S., Diskin, G. S., Sachse, G. W., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Weinheimer, A. J., Liao, J.,
- 809 Knapp, D. J., Wennberg, P. O., Kurten, A., Crounse, J. D., St Clair, J., Wang, Y., and
- 810 Weber, R. J.: Comparison of chemical characteristics of 495 biomass burning plumes
- 811 intercepted by the NASA DC-8 aircraft during the ARCTAS/CARB-2008 field campaign,
- 812 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 13325-13337, 10.5194/acp-11-13325-2011, 2012.
- 813Hess, G. D.: A photochemical model for air quality assessment: Model description and814verification, Atmospheric Environment (1967), 23, 643-660,815http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0004-6981(89)90013-9, 1989.
- 816 Hurley, P.: Development and Verification of TAPM, in: Air Pollution Modeling and Its
- 817 Application XIX, edited by: Borrego, C., and Miranda, A. I., Springer Netherlands,
- 818 **Dordrecht, 208-216, 2008a.**
- Hurley, P. J.: TAPM V4. Part 1. Technical description, CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric
   Research Internal Report, 2008b.
- Jaffe, D. A., and Wigder, N. L.: Ozone production from wildfires: A critical review,
  Atmospheric Environment, 51, 1-10, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.11.063, 2012.
- 823 Jost, C., Trentmann, J., Sprung, D., Andreae, M. O., McQuaid, J. B., and Barjat, H.:
- 824 Trace gas chemistry in a young biomass burning plume over Namibia: Observations and
- 825 model simulations, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 108, 13, 8482
- 826 **10.1029/2002jd002431, 2003**.
- Kaiser, J. W., Heil, A., Andreae, M. O., Benedetti, A., Chubarova, N., Jones, L.,
  Morcrette, J. J., Razinger, M., Schultz, M. G., Suttie, M., and van der Werf, G. R.:

- 829 Biomass burning emissions estimated with a global fire assimilation system based on 830 observed fire radiative power, Biogeosciences, 9, 527-554, 10.5194/bg-9-527-2012, 2012.
- Keywood, M., Guyes, H., Selleck, P., and Gillett, R.: Quantification of secondary organic
  aerosol in an Australian urban location, Environmental Chemistry, 8, 115-126,
  10.1071/en10100, 2011a.
- 834 Keywood, M., Kanakidou, M., Stohl, A., Dentener, F., Grassi, G., Meyer, C. P., Torseth,
- 835 K., Edwards, D., Thompson, A., Lohmann, U., and Burrows, J. P.: Fire in the Air-
- 836 Biomass burning impacts in a changing climate, Critical Reviews in Environmental
- 837 Science and Technology, DOI:10.1080/10643389.2011.6042482011b.
- Keywood, M., Cope, M., Meyer, C. P. M., Iinuma, Y., and Emmerson, K.: When smoke
  comes to town: The impact of biomass burning smoke on air quality, Atmospheric
  Environment, 121, 13-21, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.03.050, 2015.
- 841 Korontzi, S., Ward, D. E., Susott, R. A., Yokelson, R. J., Justice, C. O., Hobbs, P. V.,
- 842 Smithwick, E. A. H., and Hao, W. M.: Seasonal variation and ecosystem dependence of
- 843 emission factors for selected trace gases and PM2.5 for southern African savanna fires,
- Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 108, n/a-n/a, 10.1029/2003JD003730,
- 845 **2003.**
- 846 Krummel, P. B., Fraser, P., Steele, L. P., Porter, L. W., Derek, N., Rickard, C., Dunse, B.
- 847 L., Langenfelds, R. L., Miller, B. R., Baly, S. B., and McEwan, S.: The AGAGE in situ
- 848 program for non-CO2 greenhouse gases at Cape Grim, 2005-2006: methane, nitrous
- oxide, carbon monoxide, hydrogen, CFCs, HCFCs, HFCs, PFCs, halons, chlorocarbons,
   hydrocarbons and sulphur hexafluoride, Baseline Atmospheric Program Australia 2005-
- 851 **2006, 2007.**
- 852 Lawson, S. J., Keywood, M. D., Galbally, I. E., Gras, J. L., Cainey, J. M., Cope, M. E.,
- 853 Krummel, P. B., Fraser, P. J., Steele, L. P., Bentley, S. T., Meyer, C. P., Ristovski, Z., and
- 854 Goldstein, A. H.: Biomass burning emissions of trace gases and particles in marine air at
- 855 Cape Grim, Tasmania, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 13393-13411, 10.5194/acp-15-13393-
- **2015, 2015.**
- 857 Lei, W., Li, G., and Molina, L. T.: Modeling the impacts of biomass burning on air quality
- in and around Mexico City, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 2299-2319,
   10.5194/acp-13-2299-2013, 2013.
- Lu, H., and Shao, Y. P.: A new model for dust emission by saltation bombardment, J 61 Geophys Res-Atmos, 104, 16827-16841, Doi 10.1029/1999jd900169, 1999.
- 862 Luhar, A. K., Mitchell, R. M., Meyer, C. P., Qin, Y., Campbell, S., Gras, J. L., and Parry,
- 863 D.: Biomass burning emissions over northern Australia constrained by aerosol 864 measurements: II—Model validation, and impacts on air quality and radiative forcing,
- 865AtmosphericEnvironment,42,1647-1664,
- 866 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.12.040, 2008.
- 867 Mason, S. A., Trentmann, J., Winterrath, T., Yokelson, R. J., Christian, T. J., Carlson,
- 868 L. J., Warner, T. R., Wolfe, L. C., and Andreae, M. O.: Intercomparison of Two Box
- 869 Models of the Chemical Evolution in Biomass-Burning Smoke Plumes, Journal of
- 870 Atmospheric Chemistry, 55, 273-297, 10.1007/s10874-006-9039-5, 2006.
- 871 McGregor, J. L.: Recent developments in variable-resolution global climate modelling,
- 872 Climatic Change, 129, 369-380, 10.1007/s10584-013-0866-5, 2015.

- 873 Meyer, C. P., Luhar, A. K., and Mitchell, R. M.: Biomass burning emissions over
- 874 northern Australia constrained by aerosol measurements: I—Modelling the distribution
  875 of hourly emissions, Atmospheric Environment, 42, 1629-1646,
  876 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.10.089, 2008.
- 877 Ortega, A. M., Day, D. A., Cubison, M. J., Brune, W. H., Bon, D., de Gouw, J. A., and
- 878 Jimenez, J. L.: Secondary organic aerosol formation and primary organic aerosol
- 879 oxidation from biomass-burning smoke in a flow reactor during FLAME-3, Atmospheric
- 880 Chemistry and Physics, 13, 11551-11571, 10.5194/acp-13-11551-2013, 2013.
- 881 Pacifico, F., Folberth, G. A., Sitch, S., Haywood, J. M., Rizzo, L. V., Malavelle, F. F., and
- 882 Artaxo, P.: Biomass burning related ozone damage on vegetation over the Amazon forest:
- 883 a model sensitivity study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 2791-2804, 10.5194/acp-15-2791-2015,
- **884 2015.**
- 885 Parrington, M., Palmer, P. I., Henze, D. K., Tarasick, D. W., Hyer, E. J., Owen, R. C.,
- Helmig, D., Clerbaux, C., Bowman, K. W., Deeter, M. N., Barratt, E. M., Coheur, P. F.,
- 887 Hurtmans, D., Jiang, Z., George, M., and Worden, J. R.: The influence of boreal biomass
- 888 burning emissions on the distribution of tropospheric ozone over North America and the
- 889 North Atlantic during 2010, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 2077-2098,
- 890 **10.5194/acp-12-2077-2012, 2012.**
- Paugam, R., Wooster, M., Freitas, S., and Val Martin, M.: A review of approaches to
  estimate wildfire plume injection height within large-scale atmospheric chemical
- 893 transport models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 907-925, 10.5194/acp-16-907-2016, 2016.
- Reid, C. E., Brauer, M., Johnston, F. H., Jerrett, M., Balmes, J. R., and Elliott, C. T.:
- 895 Critical Review of Health Impacts of Wildfire Smoke Exposure, Environmental health
  896 perspectives, 124, 1334-1343, 10.1289/ehp.1409277, 2016.
- 897 Reid, J. S., Hyer, E. J., Prins, E. M., Westphal, D. L., Zhang, J., Wang, J., Christopher,
- 898 S. A., Curtis, C. A., Schmidt, C. C., Eleuterio, D. P., Richardson, K. A., and Hoffman, J.
- 899 P.: Global Monitoring and Forecasting of Biomass-Burning Smoke: Description of and
- 900 Lessons From the Fire Locating and Modeling of Burning Emissions (FLAMBE)
- 901 Program, IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote
- 902 Sensing, 2, 144-162, 10.1109/JSTARS.2009.2027443, 2009.
- 903 Reisen, F., Meyer, C. P., McCaw, L., Powell, J. C., Tolhurst, K., Keywood, M. D., and
- Gras, J. L.: Impact of smoke from biomass burning on air quality in rural communities
  in southern Australia, Atmospheric Environment, 45, 3944-3953,
  10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.04.060, 2011.
- 907 Reisen, F., Duran, S. M., Flannigan, M., Elliott, C., and Rideout, K.: Wildfire smoke and 908 public health risk, International Journal of Wildland Fire, 24, 1029, 10.1071/wf15034,
- 909 **2015.**
- 910 Sarwar, G., Luecken, D., Yarwood, G., Whitten, G. Z., and Carter, W. P. L.: Impact of
- an updated carbon bond mechanism on predictions from the CMAQ modeling system:
- 912 Preliminary assessment, J Appl Meteorol Clim, 47, 3-14, Doi 10.1175/2007jamc1393.1,
- 913 **2008.**
- 914 Sarwar, G., Appel, K. W., Carlton, A. G., Mathur, R., Schere, K., Zhang, R., and Majeed,
- 915 M. A.: Impact of a new condensed toluene mechanism on air quality model predictions
- 916 in the US, Geosci Model Dev, 4, 183-193, DOI 10.5194/gmd-4-183-2011, 2011.

- Seinfeld, J. H., and Pandis, S. N.: Atmospheric chemistry and physics : from air pollution
  to climate change, Wiley, New York, xxvii, 1326 p. pp., 1998.
- 919 Smagorinsky, J.: General circulation experiments with the primitive equations Monthly
- 920 Weather Review, 91, 99-164, doi:10.1175/1520-
- 921 0493(1963)091<0099:GCEWTP>2.3.CO;2, 1963.
- 922 Trentmann, J., Yokelson, R. J., Hobbs, P. V., Winterrath, T., Christian, T. J., Andreae,
  923 M. O., and Mason, S. A.: An analysis of the chemical processes in the smoke plume from
- a savanna fire, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 110, 20, D12301
- 925 **10.1029/2004jd005628, 2005.**
- 926 Tsimpidi, A. P., Karydis, V. A., Zavala, M., Lei, W., Molina, L., Ulbrich, I. M., Jimenez,
- J. L., and Pandis, S. N.: Evaluation of the volatility basis-set approach for the simulation
  of organic aerosol formation in the Mexico City metropolitan area, Atmos Chem Phys,
  10, 525-546, 2010.
- 930 Urbanski, S. P.: Combustion efficiency and emission factors for wildfire-season fires in
- 931 mixed conifer forests of the northern Rocky Mountains, US, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13,
- 932 **7241-7262**, **10.5194/acp-13-7241-2013**, **2013**.
- van Leeuwen, T. T., and van der Werf, G. R.: Spatial and temporal variability in the ratio
  of trace gases emitted from biomass burning, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11,
  3611-3629, 10.5194/acp-11-3611-2011, 2011.
- 936 van Leeuwen, T. T., Peters, W., Krol, M. C., and van der Werf, G. R.: Dynamic biomass
- 937 burning emission factors and their impact on atmospheric CO mixing ratios, Journal of
- 938 Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 118, 6797-6815, 10.1002/jgrd.50478, 2013.
- 939 Walcek, C. J.: Minor flux adjustment near mixing ratio extremes for simplified yet highly
- 940 accurate monotonic calculation of tracer advection, Journal of Geophysical Research:
- 941 Atmospheres, 105, 9335-9348, 10.1029/1999JD901142, 2000.
- Wigder, N. L., Jaffe, D. A., and Saketa, F. A.: Ozone and particulate matter
  enhancements from regional wildfires observed at Mount Bachelor during 2004-2011,
  Atmospheric Environment, 75, 24-31, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.04.026, 2013.
- 945 Yokelson, R. J., Bertschi, I. T., Christian, T. J., Hobbs, P. V., Ward, D. E., and Hao, W.
- 946 M.: Trace gas measurements in nascent, aged, and cloud-processed smoke from African
- 947 savanna fires by airborne Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (AFTIR), Journal of
- 948 Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 108, 8478 10.1029/2002jd002322, 2003.
- 949 Yokelson, R. J., Karl, T., Artaxo, P., Blake, D. R., Christian, T. J., Griffith, D. W. T.,
- 950 Guenther, A., and Hao, W. M.: The Tropical Forest and Fire Emissions Experiment:
- 951 overview and airborne fire emission factor measurements, Atmospheric Chemistry and
- 952 **Physics**, 7, 5175-5196, 2007.
- Yokelson, R. J., Crounse, J. D., DeCarlo, P. F., Karl, T., Urbanski, S., Atlas, E., Campos,
  T., Shinozuka, Y., Kapustin, V., Clarke, A. D., Weinheimer, A., Knapp, D. J., Montzka,
- 955 D. D., Holloway, J., Weibring, P., Flocke, F., Zheng, W., Toohey, D., Wennberg, P. O.,
- 956 Wiedinmyer, C., Mauldin, L., Fried, A., Richter, D., Walega, J., Jimenez, J. L., Adachi,
- 957 K., Buseck, P. R., Hall, S. R., and Shetter, R.: Emissions from biomass burning in the
- 958 Yucatan, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 5785-5812, 2009.
- Yokelson, R. J., Burling, I. R., Urbanski, S. P., Atlas, E. L., Adachi, K., Buseck, P. R.,
  Wiedinmyer, C., Akagi, S. K., Toohey, D. W., and Wold, C. E.: Trace gas and particle

- 962 963 emissions from open biomass burning in Mexico, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 6787-6808, 10.5194/acp-11-6787-2011, 2011.

965	Table 1. EF used in model sensitivity studies, corresponding to low (MCE=0.89), medium (MCE=0.92) and
966	high (MCE = 0.95) MCEs. A subset of the total species included in the CB05 lumped chemical mechanism
967	are shown. Also shown are savannah EF from Andreae and Merlet (2001) (A&M) and EF calculated from
968	BB2 in previous work (Lawson et al., 2015). NO = nitric oxide, CO =carbon monoxide, PAR=paraffin
969	carbon bond, OLE= terminal olefin carbon bond, TOL=toluene and other monoalkyl aromatics,
970	XYL=xylene and other polyalkyl aromatics, BNZ =benzene, FORM=formaldehyde, ALD2=acetaldehyde,
971	EC=elemental carbon <10 μm, OC=primary organic carbon < 10 μm

	EF g kg <sup>-1</sup>				
	A&M (2001)	Lawson et al., (2015)	Used in this work		
	MCE 0.94	MCE 0.88	MCE 0.89	MCE 0.92	MCE 0.95
NO	3.9	n/a	0.8	2.7	4.7
CO	65	127	121	89	57
PAR	1.55	n/a	2.33	2.02	1.40
OLE	0.54	n/a	0.81	0.7	0.49
TOL	0.2	0.30	0.3	0.26	0.18
XYL	0.045	0.26	0.07	0.06	0.04
BNZ	0.23	0.69	0.35	0.3	0.21
FORM	0.42	1.64	0.63	0.55	0.38
ALD2	0.5	0.92	0.75	0.65	0.45
EC	0.48	0.16	0.19	0.34	0.53
OC	3.40	n/a	5.10	4.08	3.06
NMOC/NO <sub>x</sub>	1.60	n/a	11.99	2.97	1.20

1 Table 2. Summary of sensitivity study results, including Meteorology, Emission Factors and Spatial

# 2 Variability.

Sensitivity	Species	TAPM-CTM	CCAM-CTM	Comments/drivers of model outputs
study		simulation	simulation	
Meteorology	BC and CO	BB1 plume strike +3 hr	BB1 plume strike -12 hr	Narrow BB plume. Differences in plume strike due
(Section 3.1.1)		Duration 12 hr (actual 5 hr)	Duration 36 hr intermittent (actual 5 hr)	to timing of wind direction change; windspeeds; direct or indirect advection of plume over Cape Grim
		BB2 plume strike -26 hr Duration 50 hr (actual 29 hr)	BB2 plume strike -26 hr Duration 57 hr (actual 29 hr)	Wind direction differences driven by gravity wave oscillations;timing of wind direction change; different wind speeds driving absolute BB emissions
	O <sub>3</sub>	4 O₃ peaks simulated (2 observed, 2 not)	1 O₃ peak simulated (observed)	Differences in simulated wind speed and direction (and EF – see below)
Emission Factors (Section 3.1.2)	BC and CO	BC peak magnitude varies by factor 3, CO factor 2 with different EF runs	As for TAPM -CTM	Concentrations vary according to EF input ratios.
	O <sub>3</sub>	2 peaks with high EF sensitivity, 2 peaks with no EF sensitivity	1 peak with no EF sensitivity	Different NMOC/NO <sub>x</sub> emission ratios (varies with MCE) drives destruction or production of O <sub>3</sub> in fire related peaks. MCE 0.89 TAPM-CTM simulation gives best agreement with observations
Spatial Variability (Section 3.1.3)	СО	Differences of up to > 500 ppb in grid points 1 km apart (BB2)	n/a	Narrow BB plume
. ,	<b>O</b> <sub>3</sub>	Differences of up to 15 ppb in grid points 1 km apart (BB1)	n/a	Narrow ozone plume generated downwind of fire





Figure 1. The five nested computational domains used in TAPM-CTM and CCAM-CTM, showing cell
spacings of 20 km, 12 km, 3 km, 1 km and 400 m.



10 Figure 2 Base hourly diurnal emissions and revised Macarthur Fire Danger Index (FDI)-scale emissions

11 generated using TAPM and CCAM meteorology.



Figure 3. Model output of BC (left) on the 23rd February, with a MODIS Truecolour image of the same

period.







19 Island BB plume intermittently striking Cape Grim, and then the change in plume direction with wind

20 direction change. Arrows are wind vectors.







Island BB plume intermittently striking Cape Grim, and then the change in plume direction with wind

25 direction change. Arrows are wind vectors.



28 Figure 6. Simulated CO using a) TAPM-CTM and b) CCAM-CTM, simulated BC using c) TAPM-CTM

and d) CCAM-CTM, and simulated O<sub>3</sub> using e) TAPM-CTM and f) CCAM-CTM. Coloured lines represent
 different MCE EF simulations, black symbols are observations



Figure 7 Model output showing O<sub>3</sub> enhancement downwind of the fire during BB1 at 11:00 and 13:00 on the 16 February for TAPM-CTM (top) and CCAM-CTM (bottom). The spatially variable plume and complex wind fields are shown. Arrows are wind vectors.



Figure 8 Simulated spatial variability using TAPM-CTM with MCE=0.89 showing a) time series of CO over two weeks of fire (BB1 and BB2 shown), b) the observed and modelled cumulative concentration of CO over the 29 hour duration of BB2 and c) time series of O<sub>3</sub> over the two weeks of fire. The four modelled O<sub>3</sub> peaks in the Cape Grim gridpoint are shaded. Figs a and c show the difference between simulated

44 concentrations at Cape Grim and at 4 surrounding grid points 1km north, south, east and west of Cape

45 Grim.Fig b shows simulated cumulative CO at Cape Grim and at 4 surrounding grid points. . Observations

46 are black symbols.

47

48





without the fire emissions (green line). Observations are black symbols. Model used was TAPM-CTM with
 EF corresponding to MCE=0.89. The periods corresponding to observed BB1 and BB2 are shaded.



Figure 10 Simulated plume age (green line), simulated combustion tracer (NO) (red line), observed O<sub>3</sub>
(black symbols) and observed HFC-134a (orange symbols) over 2 week duration of the fire. The modelled
BB periods (red peaks) and impact of urban air from mainland Australia (green peaks) are labelled. The
periods corresponding to observed BB1 and BB2 are shaded.

- - -

62 End