

## Interactive comment on "Consistent regional fluxes of CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> inferred from GOSAT proxy XCH<sub>4</sub>:XCO<sub>2</sub> retrievals, 2010–2014" by Liang Feng et al.

## **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 21 November 2016

## General comments

The paper provides another valuable development in the direction of using  $XCH_4/XCO_2$  ratio in the inversion of both the  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  fluxes. This paper is one the first few papers on the subject, another one was published by Pandey et al, (2016). In this paper a longer analysis period is used, allowing for more extensive validation. Ability of the  $XCH_4/XCO_2$  ratio to constrain fluxes of  $CO_2$  and  $CH_4$  and improve match with independent observations constitute most appealing and encouraging result of this study. The paper is well written and deserves publication with only minor corrections.

Specific comments

C1

Larger tropical  $CH_4$  fluxes are inferred with GOSAT ratio as compared to surface data inversion. How to prove that the result is robust with respect to biases in retrieval and even retrieval prior concentration profiles? Another possible suspect could be the transport model bias in the stratosphere for either  $CO_2$  or  $CH_4$  or both. Can authors add more discussion on this issue?

L178 The benefit of dividing Transcom regions into 4 relatively equal ones was extensively explored by Patra et al (2005).

Suggestions for technical corrections

L058 Better tell which fluxes are being discussed, suggest to change "fluxes" to "Amazonian fluxes", the context is ambiguous here.

L094 Suggest correcting "sufficient" to "sufficiently"

L100 Houweling et al 2015 is referred to, but not found in references.

L121 Suggest correcting Pandy to Pandey

L149 When introducing "prior covariance" need to tell which covariance - fluxes or concentrations?

L396 Text "GOSAT data significantly changed the a priori spatial distribution" should be modified towards saying that posterior changes significantly with respect to prior.

L462 Wording "XCH $_4$  in . . . lower stratosphere" doesn't sound right.

## References

Patra, P.K., M. Ishizawa, S. Maksyutov, T. Nakazawa, and G. Inoue, Role of biomass burning and climate anomalies on land-atmosphere carbon fluxes based on inverse modelling of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 19, GB3005, doi:10.1029/2004GB002258, 2005

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2016-868, 2016.