The G4Foam Experiment: Global Climate Impacts of Regional Ocean Albedo Modification

Corey J. Gabriel¹*, Alan Robock¹, Lili Xia¹, Brian Zambri¹, and Ben Kravitz²

¹Department of Environmental Sciences, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA ²Atmospheric Sciences and Global Change Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington, USA

> Submitted to *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics* Special Issue: The Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project

> > September, 2016

Revised December, 2016

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Corey J. Gabriel, Department of Environmental Sciences, Rutgers University, 14 College Farm Road, New Brunswick, NJ 08901-8551. E-mail: corey@envsci.rutgers.edu.

4 Abstract. Reducing insolation has been proposed as a geoengineering response to global 5 warming. Here we present the results of climate model simulations of a unique Geoengineering 6 Model Intercomparison Project Testbed experiment to investigate the benefits and risks of a 7 scheme that would brighten certain oceanic regions. The National Center for Atmospheric 8 Research CESM-CAM4-CHEM global climate model was modified to simulate a scheme in 9 which the albedo of the ocean surface is increased over the subtropical ocean gyres in the 10 Southern Hemisphere. In theory, this could be accomplished using a stable, nondispersive foam, 11 comprised of tiny, highly reflective microbubbles. Such a foam has been developed under 12 idealized conditions, although deployment at a large scale is presently infeasible. We conducted 13 three ensemble members of a simulation (G4Foam) from 2020 through 2069 in which the albedo 14 of the ocean surface is set to 0.15 (an increase of 150%) over the three subtropical ocean gyres in the Southern Hemisphere, against a background of the RCP6.0 (representative concentration 15 pathway resulting in +6 W m⁻² radiative forcing by 2100) scenario. After 2069, geoengineering 16 17 is ceased, and the simulation is run for an additional 20 years. Global mean surface temperature 18 in G4Foam is 0.6 K lower than RCP6.0, with statistically significant cooling relative to RCP6.0 19 south of 30°N. There is an increase in rainfall over land, most pronouncedly in the tropics during 20 the June-July-August season, relative to both G4SSA (specified stratospheric aerosols) and 21 RCP6.0. Heavily populated and highly cultivated regions throughout the tropics, including the 22 Sahel, Southern Asia, the Maritime Continent, Central America and much of the Amazon, 23 experience a statistically significant increase in precipitation minus evaporation. The temperature response to the relatively modest global average forcing of -1.5 W m⁻² is amplified 24 25 through a series of positive cloud feedbacks, in which more shortwave radiation is reflected. The 26 precipitation response is primarily the result of the intensification of the southern Hadley cell, as 27 its mean position migrates northward and away from the Equator in response to the asymmetric 28 cooling.

30 1 Introduction

31 1.1 Background

32 The current rate of increase in global mean surface temperature is unprecedented in the 33 last 1,000 years (Marcott et al., 2013). The atmospheric concentration of CO_2 is higher now than 34 at any time in the last 650,000 years (Siegenthaler et al., 2005). It is extremely likely that the 35 warming since 1950 is primarily the result of anthropogenic emission of heat-trapping gases 36 rather than natural climate variability (IPCC, 2013). Motivated by insufficient progress in 37 setting and achieving mitigation targets, solar radiation management (SRM) has been proposed 38 as a method of reducing global mean temperature, thereby ameliorating many of the negative 39 effects of global warming (Crutzen, 2006). The most discussed SRM approach involves 40 injection of sulfur dioxide (SO₂) into the tropical stratosphere. Other suggested SRM 41 geoengineering methods include marine cloud brightening (Jones et al., 2009; Rasch et al., 2009; 42 Latham et al., 2010) and surface albedo modification (Irvine et al., 2010; Cvijanovic et al., 43 2015). Each of these methods has the potential to cool Earth's surface, but each comes with 44 known potential side effects. For example, Robock (2008, 2014, 2016) enumerated and 45 described specific risks and benefits of stratospheric geoengineering.

46 Here we present a Geoengineering Model intercomparison Project (GeoMIP) testbed 47 experiment (Kravitz et al., 2011, 2016), consisting of the novel implementation of an ocean surface albedo modification scheme in a climate model, which simulates the placement of a 48 49 reflective foam, consisting of microbubbles, on the ocean surface. RCP6.0 and G4SSA are run 50 with an ocean surface albedo with a very small diurnal cycle, and the daily average albedo is 51 very close to 0.06. In our experiment, the albedo of the ocean surface is raised from this daily 52 mean of 0.06 to a constant value of 0.15, with no daily cycle, over the subtropical ocean gyres in 53 the Southern Hemisphere, specifically 20°N-20°S, 90°W-170°W (South Pacific), 20°N-20°S, 54 30°W-0°E (South Atlantic) and 20°N-20°S, 55°E-105°E (South Indian) (Fig. 1). Everywhere 55 else, ocean surface albedo in G4Foam is calculated in the same way as in RCP6.0 and G4SSA. 56 It is possible that the absence of a small daily cycle in albedo would result in a slightly different 57 surface energy budget than would occur if the foamed regions exhibited variations in albedo. 58 However, the foamed regions' albedos would likely fluctuate as a function of many things, 59 including some movement of the foam itself, foam interaction with precipitation or aerosols, 60 wind speed, and sun angle. Further study of the properties of the foam, including in ocean water 61 with some turbulence, could provide information that would allow future modeling of the foam 62 to include albedo fluctuations. This is the G4Foam experiment, which simulates a particular 63 implementation of an idealized form of the technology described by Aziz et al. (2014), where 64 stable, reflective foam suitable for use as SRM in ocean regions with limited nutrients that 65 support little marine life is made in the laboratory.

The broad idea of microbubble deployment as a form of SRM is explored by Seitz (2010). Here we only examine the potential benefits and risks of such a scheme, and do not advocate deployment of any form of geoengineering regardless of its present feasibility. Robock (2011) has cautioned against the potential implications of ocean albedo modification as presented by Seitz (2010).

Stratospheric sulfate injection (SSI) is the most discussed form of geoengineering and,
given the current state of research, the most feasible (Dykema et al., 2014, Keith et al., 2014).
Implementation of the G4Foam regional ocean albedo modification scheme could be considered
with or without concurrent SSI. G4Foam could be used as a potential SSI concurrent scheme
aimed at correcting possible adverse impacts on the hydrological cycle brought about by ongoing
SSI. G4Foam is also a potential alternative to SSI with a far different latitudinal distribution of

benefits. The focus here is solely on the second scenario, as it allows for the elucidation of the

78 impacts of the G4Foam experiment forcing alone.

79 **1.2 Motivation and Research Question**

80 Is it possible to cool the planet while concurrently maintaining or increasing precipitation in highly populated and heavily cultivated regions, particularly in regions dependent on monsoon 81 82 precipitation? We begin by determining whether a forcing can be applied in a global climate 83 model (GCM) that will result in the model responding with a northward and landward shift of 84 tropical precipitation needed to achieve our objective. To that end we conducted simulations 85 with The Community Earth System Model 1/Community Atmospheric Model 4 fully coupled to 86 tropospheric and stratospheric chemistry (CESM1 CAM4-Chem) model (Lamarque et al., 2012; Tilmes et al., 2015, 2016). We ran the model with horizontal resolution of 0.9° x 1.25° lat-lon 87 88 and 26 levels from the surface to about 40 km (3.5 mb), as was done for G4SSA (specified 89 stratospheric aerosol) by Xia et al. (2016).

90 The experiments consisted of three ensemble members of a simulation from 2020-2089 in 91 which the ocean surface albedo is raised as described above from an average of 0.06, which 92 includes a small diurnal cycle of albedo, to a daytime constant 0.15 on the SH subtropical ocean 93 gyres for 50 years, 2020-2069, and then returned to unforced values from 2070-2089 to assess 94 termination. Our hypothesis is that the tropical rain belts will move northward largely as a result 95 of increased moisture convergence over land regions, particularly during Northern Hemisphere 96 (NH) summer (June-July-August, JJA) in NH monsoon regions. Enhanced divergence over the 97 already strong subtropical highs, due to increased subsidence over the increased albedo ocean 98 regions in the subtropical Southern Hemisphere (SH), would help the cooler air from the forced 99 subtropical regions advect throughout the SH troposphere.

100 The asymmetric cooling would force changes in the Hadley Cell, enhancing cross-101 equatorial flow, which would cool the surface in the NH tropics, especially during JJA, when 102 heat mortality and morbidity is highest. However, despite a reduction in the JJA mean 103 temperature in the tropics, extreme events are responsible for most heat-related mortality and 104 morbidity, and the reduction in the mean temperature does not necessarily mean that there will 105 be a reduction in the type of extreme heat events that cause human tragedy. While Kharin et al. 106 (2007) showed that, in general, temperature extremes track with the mean temperature, this is not 107 always the case. The changes in extreme events may, for example, be greater at high latitudes 108 and the variability of temperatures over land may increase in a warmer climate.

Specific to geoengineering, Aswathy et al. (2015) showed that different climate engineering methods produce spatially heterogeneous changes in extreme precipitation and temperature events. They showed that one SRM scheme may be more effective than another in reducing different types of extreme events despite relatively similar global and regional mean responses. In particular, a marine cloud brightening scheme that brightens ocean areas between 30°N and 30°S is shown to be less effective in reducing extreme precipitation and temperature events over land than the G3 experiment is.

Finally, the resulting cooling of low latitude NH land areas would not dampen the monsoon. The wet season monsoon circulation is initiated and maintained by the moist static energy gradient, not the surface temperature gradient. A wetter, more cloudy land mass will strengthen, not dampen the circulation relative to a warmer, drier continent (Hurley and Boos, 2014), especially with a cooler, lower specific humidity environment under the descending

121 branch of the meridional circulation.

122 The strength of this response will be very sensitive to any cloud feedbacks that result 123 from the surface albedo forcing. The basis of this comprehensive hypothesis is described in 124 detail, below, specifically in sections 1.3 and 1.4. The details of the experiment are discussed in 125 detail in section 2.

126 **1.3** Stratospheric geoengineering weakens the hydrological cycle

With global warming, low-level specific humidity will increase by about 7% K⁻¹ within 127 128 the tropical planetary boundary layer. This response will be spatially homogeneous throughout

129 the tropics. However, the precipitation response will be different. Increased moisture

- 130 convergence in areas that already get a lot of precipitation will result in the "wet getting wetter,"
- 131 while increased moisture divergence in dry areas will result in the "dry getting drier" (Held and 132
- Soden, 2006).

133 The "rich get richer, poor get poorer" paradigm does not hold up in an SRM world, where 134 the response is very different from that under global warming. Based on the results of an 135 observational study, Trenberth and Dai (2007) pointed out the possibility that drought, 136 particularly in the tropics, could result from geoengineering. Tilmes et al. (2013) analyzed the 137 hydrological cycle in most of the GeoMIP participating Coupled Model Intercomparison Project 138 5 (CMIP5) (Taylor et al., 2012) models by comparing abrupt 4xCO2, piControl, and G1. They 139 found a robust reduction in global monsoon rainfall, including in the Asian and West African 140 monsoon regions in G1 relative to both abrupt 4xCO2 and piControl. Haywood et al. (2013) 141 explored the impact of SSI in one hemisphere only and found a movement of the ITCZ away

142 from the hemisphere that was cooler as a result of the asymmetric SSI. 143 This consensus about the potential for less tropical rainfall under a regime of

144 stratospheric SRM motivates us to identify an alternative or SSI-adjunctive geoengineering 145 approach that could cool the planet, without reducing monsoon precipitation in highly cultivated 146 areas.

147 1.4 Extratropical forcing impacts the position of the ITCZ

148 Under global warming tropical rainbelts will move toward the hemisphere that warms 149 more (Chiang and Bitz, 2005, Frierson and Hwang, 2012). This ITCZ migration was first seen in 150 early atmosphere-ocean coupled models. Clouds were prescribed in those models, and when 151 clouds were changed in such a way to preferentially cool one hemisphere, the ITCZ responded to 152 changes by moving toward the warmer hemisphere. Increasing low cloud cover, and thereby 153 inducing cooling, in one hemisphere relative to the other caused the tropical rainbelts over the 154 Pacific Ocean to move toward the other hemisphere (Manabe and Stouffer, 1980). The impacts 155 of asymmetric heating of the hemispheres became highly relevant during the Sahel drought. 156 Much of the rainfall deficit during the devastating 20-30 year drought can be attributed to 157 cooling initiated by increased tropospheric sulfate emissions in the NH (Hwang et al., 2013). 158 The forced cooling over the NH was enhanced by a positive dynamical feedback in the North 159 Atlantic Ocean. (Broccoli et al. 2006; Kang et al. 2008) and the ITCZ and associated tropical 160 rainbelts migrated south. Since the Sahel is at the northern margin of the ITCZs annual 161 migration, or at the northern terminus of the West African monsoon, southward displacement of 162 the ITCZ led to a devastating drought (Folland, 1986). 163 Broccoli et al. (2006) diagnosed the energy balance mechanism that causes the ITCZ to 164 shift in response to asymmetric heating of the extratropics. Using models of varying complexity,

165 Broccoli et al. (2006) imposed an anomalous cooling of the NH, either via a last glacial

166 maximum simulation, or via hosing of the North Atlantic. The heating asymmetry causes the

167 extratropics in the NH to demand more heat and the extratropics in the SH to demand less heat.

168 Since cross equatorial heat transport is achieved principally via the Hadley Cell, the SH Hadley

169 Cell strengthens, particularly in austral summer, in response to the NH cooling, and net energy 170 flow in the upper branch intensifies, redistributing energy into the NH from the relatively warm

171 SH. 172 Net flow of energy in the Hadley cell can be described in terms of the flow of moist static 173 energy, which flows in the direction of the upper troposphere branch of the Hadley Cell. This is 174 because moist static energy is higher at higher altitudes in the troposphere due to the increased 175 contribution of the geopotential energy term overwhelming the moisture and internal energy 176 terms in the moist static energy equation for the high altitude air. Net transport of energy, 177 occurring in the upper branch of the Hadley cell from the SH to the NH, leads to increased 178 moisture advection to the SH in the lower branch of the Hadley Cell. This redistribution of 179 energy causes the ascending branch of the Hadley cell to migrate to the warmer SH where 180 moisture convergence is increased and convective quasi-equilibrium is achieved under the 181 relatively narrow poleward shifted ascending branch of the stronger SH winter Hadley Cell. 182 This mechanism leads to the southward-displaced tropical rain belts (Broccoli et al., 2006).

This result is consistent with Lindzen and Hou (1988), who used a relatively simple model to show that even a small movement of maximum heating poleward into one hemisphere causes great asymmetry in the Hadley Cell, with the winter cell intensifying tremendously and the summer cell becoming rather modest. More recent work continues to elucidate the mechanism of extratropical forcing of the ITCZ (Kang et al. 2008). The ocean also plays a vital role in pushing the ITCZ into the warmer hemisphere (Xie and Philander, 1994).

189 GCM results confirm this mechanism and connect the changes due to northward 190 displacement of the ITCZ with the onset of active periods in the Asian summer monsoon (Chao 191 and Chen 2001). It is evident that a geoengineering technique that could preferentially cool the 192 SH could shift the tropical rain bands northward. However, in a GCM there are clouds. How 193 would clouds respond in the hemisphere cooled by geoengineering? Would clouds change in the 194 area being directly cooled? Would a cooling of the subtropics either directly, or indirectly via 195 eddy flux from the artificially cool high latitudes, cause an increase in subtropical subsidence? 196 Would this increase in the sinking of air above the intensified subtropical highs cause water 197 vapor to be trapped in the lower troposphere, forming low clouds and suppressing water vapor 198 mixing into the free troposphere, where the water vapor may instead be used up in formation of 199 high clouds, which tend to reduce outgoing longwave radiation? Informed by these established 200 diagnostic mechanisms associated with the impacts of asymmetric heating of the hemispheres, 201 we seek to concurrently cool the entire SH and the NH tropics, modestly cool the NH 202 extratropics and, most importantly, induce an anomalous overturning circulation and redistribute 203 rainfall from ocean to land and from south to north across the tropics.

203 1aimai nom occan t 204 **2. Methods**

205 **2.1 Design of experiment and model configuration**

Figure 1 shows the regions selected for albedo enhancement. These regions were chosen because of their low cloud fraction, low wind speeds, weak currents, and lack of biological productivity.

209 We used the Community Land Model (CLM) version 4.0 with prescribed satellite

210 phenology (CLM4SP) instead of the version of CLM with a carbon–nitrogen cycle, coupled with

211 CAM4–chem. Vegetation photosynthesis is calculated under the assumption of prescribed

212 phenology and no explicit nutrient limitations (Bonan et al., 2011, Xia et al., 2016). Dynamic

vegetation is not turned on in this study. The ocean model does not include any biogeochemicalresponses.

The fundamental question we wish to answer concerns representation of the physical processes that lead to realistic simulation tropical precipitation. The Asian monsoon is of great importance in that investigation. Fortunately, monsoon processes and regimes are depicted well in our atmospheric component, CAM4 (Meehl et al., 2012). Some important features of CAM4

that illustrate its very good monsoon representation include the amount and location of

220 precipitation over the southern Tibetan Plateau and over the Western Ghats (a mountain range 221 near the west coast of south India). This is improved when compared to earlier versions of the 222 model. The rain shadow leeward of this range is often not resolved by GCMs, however CAM4 223 shows some evidence of this rain shadow. These changes related to orography and horizontal 224 resolution are important and likely generalize to similar land surface features outside of India. 225 where model biases have not been as carefully studied as they have been in heavily populated 226 southern India. This improvement can be attributed to the CCSM4 finite-volume dynamical 227 core, which replaces the spectral version of the CCSM3 and the interconnected higher horizontal 228 resolution (Neale et al., 2013). Additionally, large-scale features are improved. For example, 229 the representation of the ITCZ during NH winter southward migration over the maritime 230 continent is improved (Meehl et al., 2011).

231 There is an important process associated with monsoon precipitation, however, that may 232 be imperfectly simulated across many CMIP5 GCMs. Zonal mean absorbed shortwave radiation 233 is too high over the southern ocean (Kay et al., 2016). This cloud problem leads to a warmer 234 Southern Ocean, which leads to anomalous SH atmospheric eddy flux to the subtropics from the 235 extratropics, potentially damping the cooling response of our negative surface radiative forcing 236 in the subtropical oceans. The effect of a transfer of heat from the SH extratropics into the 237 Hadley Cell already causes a relatively weak negative bias in the amount of interhemispheric 238 heat transport from the south to north. Therefore, the manifestation of this bias in G4Foam 239 would be to partially offset our imposed cooling, lessening the need for interhemispheric energy 240 transport to the SH and suppressing the surface return flow of moisture advection into the NH. 241 Lower than observed interhemispheric energy transport would be associated with a weaker Asian 242 monsoon. However, this feature is equally present in our G4Foam experiment and the 243 comparison experiments G4SSA and RCP6.0, so is unlikely to appreciably affect the differences.

244 We compare G4Foam to two experiments. First is a specific sulfate injection scenario, 245 G4 Specified Stratospheric Aerosol (G4SSA; Xia et al., 2016). They used a prescribed 246 stratospheric aerosol distribution roughly analogous to annual tropical emission into the stratosphere (at 60 mb) of 8 Tg SO₂ yr⁻¹ from 2020 to 2070. This produces a radiative forcing of 247 about -2.5 W m^{-2} . The G4SSA forcing ramps down from 2069-2071 and then continues without 248 249 additional forcing from 2072-2089. In G4SSA tropospheric aerosols are not affected by the 250 prescribed stratospheric aerosols. Therefore we cannot evaluate how stratospheric aerosols 251 would actually fall out and impact the chemistry, dynamics and thermodynamics of the 252 troposphere from this experiment. Neely et al. (2015) offers more detail on the prescription of 253 stratospheric aerosols in CAM4-Chem. The second simulation for comparison, which serves as 254 the reference simulation, for both G4Foam and G4SSA is the Representative Concentration 255 Pathway 6.0 (RCP6.0) (Meinshausen et al., 2011) from 2004 to 2089. We have run three 256 ensemble members each for G4Foam, G4SSA, and RCP6.0.

257 **2.2 Ocean albedo enhancement approach**

258 A plausible technology now exists to make quantities of long lasting foam, or engineered 259 microbubbles to enhance ocean albedo. . Ocean albedo modification gained attention when 260 Seitz (2010) suggested that since air-water and air-sea interfaces are similarly refractive, 261 dispersing microbubbles onto the surface of the ocean would reflect sunlight in much the same 262 way as cloud droplets do. While engineering refractive or stable foams is commonly done and 263 applied in both food science and firefighting, engineering a stable and refractive foam 264 appropriate for a geoengineering scheme appeared fanciful until Aziz et al. (2014) produced a 265 long lasting refractive foam made with biodegradable and non-toxic additives. Aziz et al. 266 identified foam lifetime of three months or more per microbubble as lasting long enough that the 267 input of energy to create the microbubbles would not be prohibitive. After experimenting with

268 protein-only solutions, Aziz et al. (2014) added high methyl ester pectin to type A gelatin and

- created a foam in salt water, which was still intact and stable at the cessation of the experiment
- after 3 months. The reflectance of the foam was about 50%, which is comparable to that of
- whitecaps. The creation of these stable microbubbles makes enhancing ocean albedo in this manner "feasible" (Aziz et al. 2014). However, there are a number of other potential risks
- associated with microbubble deployment, even if the feasibility issues are set aside. Robock
- 274 (2011) pointed out that vertical mixing in the ocean, changes in ocean circulation, impacts on
- photosynthesis, and risks to the biosphere could all impair the efficacy of this geoengineering
- approach. Robock (2011) also pointed out that a cooler ocean would serve as a more effective
- 277 CO_2 sink, helping to offset the CO_2 increase that comes about as a feedback of warming. Other 278 potentially attractive attributes of this technique include the possibility that it could be deployed 279 exclusively in the 20% of the world's oceans that are not biologically active (Aziz et al. 2014) 280 and therefore have little impact on the biosphere, and that there would be no risk to ozone in the
- 281 stratosphere.

282 3 Results

The following results compare the G4Foam climate with the climates in G4SSA and RCP6.0 averaged over the period 2030-2069. While G4Foam and G4SSA forcing commences in 2020, the first ten years of both experiments are a period of transition. For that reason 2020-2029 is discarded from our comparisons. We analyze mainly annual average and JJA results, since JJA is meteorological summer in the NH and using JJA facilitates comparison with G4SSA, which reports results in terms of JJA (Xia et al., 2016).

289 **3.1 Temperature and cloud response**

The primary purpose of G4Foam is to assess the possibility of reducing global mean surface temperature without reducing monsoon precipitation. The G4Foam simulations reduce global mean surface temperature relative to RCP6.0 by 0.60 K and global mean land surface temperature by 0.51 K relative to RCP6.0. In JJA, G4Foam is 0.70 K cooler than RCP6.0 over land in the tropics, 20°S-20°N, during JJA (Table 1).

These temperature changes in G4Foam, relative to RCP6.0, result from an all-sky top-ofatmosphere forcing of -1.5 W m⁻² (global, year-round), and -1.9 W m⁻² in the tropics during JJA only (Figure 2). This JJA cooling in the tropics is of particular importance due to the dense population and heavy agricultural demand in the tropics, particularly north of the equator.

G4Foam does not achieve the same amount of cooling as G4SSA, which would reduce global mean surface temperature by 0.92 K. All-sky top-of-atmosphere shortwave flux in G4SSA is reduced by 2.7 W m⁻² as compared to RCP6.0. In terms of global mean clear-sky top-ofatmosphere shortwave flux, relative to RCP6.0, G4Foam applies only 38% of the forcing that is applied in G4SSA (Figure 3). The G4Foam forcing is more efficient in reducing temperature than G4SSA largely because there is an additional 1.1 W m⁻² of net cloud forcing in G4Foam relative to G4SSA (Figure 2b).

- Figure 4 shows a comparison of the spatial distribution of surface temperature changes between G4Foam and G4SSA and between G4Foam and RCP6.0 between 2030-2069. Over the SH ocean gyres that were brightened (Fig. 1), we see a very robust cooling, reaching 2 K at the center of the South Pacific foamed region. However, the cooling mixes rather well throughout the SH. Cross equatorial flow and changes in the Hadley Cell transmit this cooling into the NH tropics through the mechanisms described in section 1.4, above. Some of this cooling in the NH tropics is then transmitted to the NH extratropics.
- 313 G4Foam is significantly cooler (p < 0.05) than RCP6.0 in almost all locations south of 314 30°N, in mid latitude NH continental regions windward of the Atlantic and Pacific, and at very 315 high latitudes. Figure 4d shows that G4Foam is less effective in cooling extratropical NH land

regions during JJA. This is reasonable, since continental heating in the NH JJA season is more
dominated by local heating than the other seasons, in which meridional energy transport plays a
larger role. Figures 4a and 4c show that G4SSA is more effective over NH continents than
G4Foam. A key weakness of G4Foam, if implemented alone, would be its failure to adequately
reduce human suffering induced by heat stress in NH mid-latitudes during the summer as a result
of ongoing global warming.

Since the G4Foam forcing alone, with the amplitude of the current experiments, would be insufficient to achieve any of the objectives of the G4Foam experiment, positive feedbacks that enhance cooling and circulation responses must be triggered by the G4Foam forcing to enhance a resulting cooler, wetter climate. Figure 5 shows change in low cloud fraction both year-round and in the JJA season. The largest change is in the northern half of the regions where foam is applied, and the area to the north of those foamed regions. The changes in low clouds in these regions are both large and statistically significant.

329 The low cloud fraction increase in the three areas to the north and northeast of the 330 G4Foam-forced subtropical surface regions is likely due to a stronger than normal trade wind 331 inversion (TWI). The inversion develops when warm air is trapped above the atmospheric 332 mixed layer due to large-scale subsidence and surface mixing of cooler air above these relatively 333 low SST regions. The increase in low cloud fraction does not occur over the entire downwind 334 area because SSTs increase from east to west, causing a change in the lower troposphere from 335 east to west. Moving west, the stratocumulus layer, which is trapped under the inversion base, 336 decouples from the mixed layer in the lower troposphere. The surface warming triggers more 337 turbulence within the planetary boundary layer, which allows for enhanced cumulus mixing in the cloud layer, which entrains dry air, and the marine stratocumulus layer evaporates. 338

339 The subtropical high-pressure systems are stronger in G4Foam, due to the stronger than 340 normal Hadley Cell, which enhances subsidence throughout the subtropics. Typically, a subsidence inversion is strongest over the center of the subtropical anticyclones, over cold 341 342 currents (particularly the Peru Current), and over cooler than normal waters, which are subjected 343 to enhanced upwelling in large part by trade winds on the periphery of the subtropical highs 344 (DeSzoeke et al., 2016). The TWI becomes weaker and its base increases in height with distance 345 towards the west and towards the equator as SSTs increase. This pattern is particularly evident 346 in the Pacific, due to the larger geographical extent of the forced area.

Specifically, under G4Foam conditions, the increased low cloud fraction areas are the result of the combination of enhanced large-scale subsidence (stronger Hadley cell) and a cooler than normal ocean surface. The cooler than normal surface waters are due to general cooling throughout the SH, as well as an increase in wind-driven upwelling over these areas of increased low cloud fraction, which are already prone to upwelling, large fraction of low clouds and high relative humidity.

In these areas north of the foamed areas, the subsidence inversion is not quite as strong as it is right under the subtropical high. However, SSTs are artificially low, due to general cooling of the hemisphere and enhanced upwelling, driven by anomalously strong winds, and mixing of this anomalously cool surface air within the planetary boundary layer keeps the lowest levels of the atmosphere cool, keeping the marine air inversion base above the lifting condensation level, allowing stratocumulus clouds to form at low altitude, below the base of the inversion.

Additionally, since SST is lower than air temperature in the areas of enhanced low clouds, the

surface inversion is further maintained as a result of sensible heat flux from the atmosphere tothe ocean. Ultimately, the strong inversion often results in more marine layer cloud formation

362 and longer times for the clouds to dissipate. This response is consistent through the 2030-2069

363 period. This enhanced low-cloud fraction response is similar to the seasonal cycle of marine low

clouds around the periphery of the subtropical highs (Wood and Bretherton, 2004; Chiang and
Bitz, 2005; Wood and Bretherton, 2006; George and Wood, 2010; Mechoso et al., 2014).

366 The relationship between the strength of the subtropical high, inversion strength and 367 marine cloud prevalence can be elucidated by analogy to the behavior of the very well-observed 368 marine low clouds off of the California coast. The strength of the inversion, and the prevalence 369 of marine low clouds are modulated by the annual cycle with annual maximum low cloud extent 370 in the summer, when the subtropical high is at its strongest. The increased low cloud fraction 371 response is not seen above the actual G4Foam forced regions despite the cooler SST. The 372 subsidence is so strong in these areas that the base of the inversion falls below the lifting 373 condensation level, and few clouds form (Fig. 5).

374 Another striking G4Foam feature is the large and statistically significant increase in low 375 clouds over land across central Africa, the Middle East and Southeast Asia. These low clouds 376 are coincident with the large cooling in Africa and the Middle East, particularly during the JJA 377 season relative to both G4SSA and RCP6.0 (Figs. 5c, 5d). These are very hot areas and heat 378 related mortality and morbidity are of great concern. A similar increase in low clouds is evident 379 in the tropical eastern Pacific. This is coincident with the mean northward displacement of the 380 ITCZ in G4Foam with respect to G4SSA and RCP6.0, not with any changes in the El Niño-381 Southern Oscillation (ENSO).

382 In G4Foam, clouds are the key to changing the radiation budget in the tropics. In 383 G4Foam there is a change in shortwave cloud forcing of -2.32 W m⁻² annually and -2.59 W m⁻² during JJA, relative to G4SSA. Only very small increases in longwave cloud forcing of 0.42 W 384 m^{-2} annually, and 0.07 W m^{-2} in JJA counter this negative forcing. The overall change in cloud 385 radiative forcing in the tropics is -1.90 Wm^{-2} annually and -2.52 Wm^{-2} during JJA. Relative to 386 RCP6.0. in G4Foam there is a change in shortwave cloud forcing of -0.68 W m^{-2} annually 387 and -0.89 W m⁻² during JJA, relative to RCP6.0. Small increases in longwave cloud forcing of 388 389 0.40 W m⁻² annually, and 0.28 W m⁻² in JJA counter part of this negative forcing. The overall change in cloud radiative forcing in G4Foam in the tropics is -0.49 W m⁻² annually and -0.61 W 390 391 m^{-2} during JJA when compared to RCP6.0

Total cloud fraction is shown in Fig. 6. Figs. 6c and 6d are particularly striking in showing the increase in clouds over Africa and Southeast Asia during the JJA wet monsoon season in those regions. Under G4Foam, these regions generally experience cloudier and cooler summers relative to RCP6.0 and are cloudier and only very slightly warmer on average compared to G4SSA. Some parts of the Sahel and the Middle East are actually slightly cooler in G4Foam than RCP6.0. These changes in temperature and cloudiness play a key role in the changes in the hydrological cycle under G4Foam, which we discuss next.

399 **3.2 Hydrological Cycle Response**

400 Relative to G4SSA, precipitation in G4Foam over land in the tropics increases by 3.2% 401 on an annual mean basis and by 3.9% during JJA (Table 1). Tropical precipitation in G4Foam 402 over land in the tropics increases by 1.4% on an annual mean basis and by 2.02% during JJA, 403 when compared to RCP6.0. Each of these changes is statistically significant (p < 0.05). 404 Regarding the temperature change relative to G4SSA, G4Foam is only about 0.3 K warmer in the tropics. Precipitation is expected to increase by between 1.5% K^{-1} and 3.0% K^{-1} as global 405 406 mean temperature increases (Emori and Brown, 2005). The temperature difference between 407 G4Foam and G4SSA can explain only a fraction of the precipitation increase. The statistically 408 significant increase in land-only precipitation in the tropics in G4Foam relative to RCP6.0 occurs 409 in a climate in which RCP6.0 is between 0.6 K and 0.7 K warmer than G4Foam, depending on 410 the season. Over the tropical oceans, in G4Foam, precipitation is reduced by 0.4% on an annual

411 mean basis and reduced by 0.3% during JJA relative to G4SSA. There is a decrease of 2.6% on
412 an annual mean basis and a decrease of 2.5% during JJA relative to RCP6.0.

Globally, over land, the precipitation response is similar to that in the tropics during JJA, but the magnitude of precipitation change is a bit less. Precipitation is statistically significantly increased over land in G4Foam relative to RCP6.0 by about 0.5%, despite G4Foam being cooler than RCP6.0. Precipitation is statistically significantly increased in G4Foam relative to G4SSA over land by 3.5%, despite G4Foam only being 0.3K warmer than G4SSA.

The overall global precipitation difference between G4Foam and G4SSA or RCP6.0 when land and ocean are combined and all seasons and all latitudes are included is relatively small, and close to the 1.5% K⁻¹ to 3% K⁻¹ range of precipitation increase with temperature identified by Emori and Brown (2005). Globally, G4Foam is warmer than G4SSA by 0.3 K and there is 0.61% (2.1% K⁻¹) more precipitation. G4Foam is cooler than RCP6.0 by 0.6 K and drier by 1.9% (3.1% K⁻¹).

The spatial pattern of precipitation changes is shown in Fig. 7. Precipitation is greatly reduced over the ocean, particularly in the SH, relative to both G4SSA and RCP6.0. Changes in precipitation poleward of 40° latitude in either hemisphere are largely due to the temperature dependence of precipitation. The changes in the SH subtropics are dominated by the shortwave forcing applied over the ocean gyres, which reduces both evaporation and precipitation in those areas.

430 The changes in precipitation in the tropics are driven by a northward shift in the ITCZ. 431 Large precipitation anomalies occur in a narrow band north of the equator and smaller positive 432 anomalies occur in broader regions, primarily over NH monsoon regions. Importantly, we see a 433 statistically significant increase in monsoon precipitation over the Sahel, the Middle East, the 434 Indian subcontinent as well as southwest Asia and the maritime continent on an annual mean 435 basis in G4Foam relative to G4SSA (Figure 7a). Relative to RCP6.0, these changes are not 436 statistically significant over the Indian subcontinent or southwest Asia, but there are only very 437 isolated and small areas in these regions in which there is any precipitation reduction, either on 438 the annual mean or during JJA. Therefore, over much of heavily populated southern Asia, east 439 of the Arabian Sea, G4Foam will be cooler than RCP6.0 without any notable mean precipitation 440 differences. Most of these areas are expected to receive more rainfall as the planet warms. If 441 this excess rainfall is not desirable in areas that are already wet, these results suggest that 442 weakening the hydrological cycle would require that G4Foam would have to be combined with 443 an additional geoengineering technique, such as stratospheric SRM.

Relative to both G4SSA and RCP6.0, there is a great deal more precipitation all year and particularly during JJA over central America, the northern Amazon, much of Africa, parts of the Arabian peninsula and the maritime continent. This response is more robust than the response over Southeast Asia due to the more direct dependence of rainfall in these regions on ITCZ position than in Southeast Asia, where the monsoon is also driven by numerous local and remote factors, including ENSO and the Indian Ocean Dipole.

Although these G4Foam simulations are enhance rainfall over many heavily populated
and highly cultivated regions, particularly in the tropics, there are regions that would receive less
precipitation and experience a decrease in P-E under this regime. Precipitation patterns for
islands in the South Pacific are largely governed by the position and strength of the South Pacific
Convergence Zone (SPCZ), which changes substantially under G4Foam due in part to the
cooling and to the movement of gradients of temperature and pressure. Precipitation deficits
over Madagascar and some regions in Africa and South America exceed 10%.

457 While the changes in precipitation are important and useful in describing the climate 458 response in G4Foam, the change in precipitation minus evaporation between G4Foam and 459 G4SSA or RCP6.0 is more relevant to total available moisture. Figure 8 shows precipitation 460 minus evaporation. Specifically Fig. 8a shows that precipitation minus evaporation in G4Foam 461 is increased, and this increase is significant relative to G4SSA, across the Sahel, all of South 462 Asia, the Maritime Continent, Central America and the northern Amazon. These are all heavily 463 populated regions that are heavily cultivated. Figure 8b shows a similar pattern, albeit with the 464 regions with significantly higher P-E is slightly suppressed in coverage, when G4Foam is 465 compared to the warmer RCP6.0 rather than G4SSA. Figures 8c and 8d show changes in P-E 466 during JJA, the NH wet monsoon season, when water is likely needed the most. Due to 467 variability in the monsoon, there is more heterogeneity in the JJA response than the annual 468 response, particularly across Southeast Asia. The P-E gain, driven by a combination of increased 469 precipitation, lower temperature and increased cloudiness in these heavily cultivated regions, 470 could be an important benefit of G4Foam. However, G4Foam increased precipitation to levels 471 that exceed that simulated in RCP6.0.

472 Figure 9 shows the differences of annual cycles from 2030-2069 for zonal mean 473 precipitation, zonal mean precipitation minus evaporation, and zonal mean precipitable water 474 between G4Foam and G4SSA and between G4Foam and RCP6.0. They illustrate the northward 475 displacement of the ITCZ, with positive precipitation anomalies progressing poleward as the 476 boreal summer monsoon progresses. Figure 9f shows the difference in the zonal mean annual 477 cycle for column integrated precipitable water between G4Foam and RCP6.0. The striking 478 feature here is that zonal mean precipitation is higher at key latitudes in the tropics, despite zonal 479 mean column integrated precipitable water being much lower at the same latitude.

In Fig. 10, we quantify the impacts on agriculture by looking at the photosynthesis rate
anomalies between G4Foam and RCP6.0. There are small, but statistically significant increases,
in photosynthesis rate in G4Foam relative to RCP6.0 in much of Southeast Asia. The most
dramatic changes occur in Central America and parts of the northern Amazon, where the high
CO₂, relatively cool and very wet conditions promote agriculture.

485 **4 Discussion**

This paper is an analysis of a geoengineering climate model experiment. Although for
this experiment, global warming is reduced without seriously affecting precipitation, as was
found in previous stratospheric aerosol implementations, this does not argue for the
implementation of climate engineering. Any such decisions will need to balance all the risks and
benefits of such implementation, and compare them to those from other possible responses to
global warming.

492 **4.1** Summary

493 G4Foam would reduce global mean surface temperature relative to RCP6.0 by 0.6 K for 494 the 40-year period starting 10 years after the implementation of geoengineering. Clear sky top of atmosphere net shortwave flux is reduced by 1.5 W m⁻² in G4Foam relative to RCP6.0. This is 495 496 achieved primarily by the shortwave forcing over the subtropical SH ocean gyres. Before 497 accounting for feedbacks, temperature is more sensitive to the forcing applied in G4Foam than 498 G4SSA. However, global mean surface temperature in G4SSA 0.3 K lower than G4Foam 499 because of a larger change in all-sky top of atmosphere net shortwave flux (Fig. 3). 500 Additionally, the latitudinal distribution of temperature reduction is different in G4Foam than in G4SSA. G4SSA is most effective in cooling the NH continents, while G4Foam most effectively

501 G4SSA. G4SSA is most effective in cooling the NH continents, while G4Foam most effective is cools the surface south of around 30°N (Fig. 4).

Precipitation over land globally, in the tropics, during JJA globally, and during JJA in the tropics is statistically significantly increased in G4Foam relative to both G4SSA and RCP6.0 (Fig. 7). The increase in precipitation in G4Foam relative to RCP6.0 is very likely undesirable in areas that already receive a lot of rainfall. The combination of cooling and increased 507 precipitation over land in the tropics results in a statistically significant increase in precipitation

- 508 minus evaporation on an annual mean basis over Central America, the Northern Amazon, the
- 509 Sahel, the Indian Subcontinent, the Maritime Continent and Southeast Asia in G4Foam relative
- 510 to G4SSA (Fig. 8). All of these areas are very densely populated and heavily cultivated. Water
- 511 scarcity is a major issue in many of these areas and G4Foam describes a climate model response
- 512 in which there is global cooling, but higher P-E is modeled for many regions, some of which are 513 in need of greater water supply. However, in order to assess actual changes in water supply, it
- would be necessary to analyze extreme events, as well as the economic and policy issues that
- 515 ultimately determine the allocation of water resources in a given region.

516 Finally, both the changes in the spatial pattern and magnitude of changes in temperature 517 and precipitation are far too large to be explained by the forcing alone. Instead, much of the 518 temperature and hydrological response is the result of powerful cloud feedbacks and changes in 519 the tropical meridional overturning circulation induced by the placement of the ocean albedo 520 forcing.

521 4.2 The hydrological response

522 The dominant cause of the G4Foam hydrological response is the intensification of the 523 southern Hadley Cell and the northward migration of the ITCZ in response to the asymmetric 524 forcing. However, the precipitation response is not zonally homogeneous, as the regional and 525 local mechanisms are also important to the distribution of precipitation.

526 First, we address the increase in precipitation over Central America. For this, we turn to 527 literature concerning the decline of Mayan civilization in Central America. Summer insolation 528 in the NH began to decrease about 5,000 years ago. The ITCZ migrated southward. This 529 southward shift caused rainfall to decrease in the crucial summer growing season. Long 530 droughts and eventually water shortages contributed to the civilization's decline (Poore et al., 531 2004). In G4Foam, the ITCZ moves northward and the areas in which Mayan civilization 532 flourished, including Belize, Guatemala and parts of Mexico, once again receive a great deal 533 more precipitation. This response is strong and consistent in each ensemble member (Figs. 6-8).

The long mid-to-late 20th century Sahel drought was primarily caused by the ITCZ being pushed southward by preferential cooling of the NH (Folland, 1986). In G4Foam, the reverse is true. SH cooling pushes the ITCZ north, which generally explains the G4Foam precipitation increase in the Sahel.

A surprising finding is that portions of the Arabian Peninsula equatorward of 20°S experience precipitation increases of up to 1 mm day⁻¹ during the JJA season. However, this northward migration of boreal summer precipitation is evident in the paleoclimate record. Evidence of such precipitation is found in Fleitmann et al. (2003), who showed changes in δ^{18} O in cave stalagmites in Oman, which indicate increased rainfall in Oman under the influence of northward movement of the ITCZ over the Indian Ocean in periods of relative warmth in the NH relative to the SH.

545 Changes in precipitation over the Maritime Continent are partially attributable to large-546 scale convergence and rising air in those regions, as they lie longitudinally between G4Foam 547 forcing zones where subsidence is enhanced. However, the Indian Ocean Dipole (IOD) (Cai et 548 al., 2012; Chowadry et al., 2012) and Subtropical Indian Ocean Dipole (SIOD) phenomena 549 discussed below are more likely the key drivers of the precipitation response over the Maritime 550 continent.

In its positive phase, the SIOD features anomalously warm SSTs in the southwestern
Indian Ocean, east and southeast of Madagascar, and cold anomalies of SST west of Australia.
Stronger winds prevail along the eastern edge of the SH subtropical high over the Indian Ocean,
which becomes intensified and shifted slightly to the south during positive SIOD events. This

results in more evaporation over the eastern Indian Ocean, which cools SSTs in the Indian Ocean
east of Australia (Suzuki et al., 2004). In the SIOD negative phase, the opposite is true. There is
cooler water in the southwest Indian Ocean, near Madagascar and warmer waters to the east,
near Australia (Behera et al., 2001; Reason, 2001).

The negative phase of the SIOD features more precipitation in western Australia and the Maritime Continent. This negative SIOD phase is consistent with the SST pattern in the Indian Ocean forced by G4Foam. Therefore, the negative SIOD like mean state in G4Foam appears to play a role in the enhanced rainfall in Northwestern Australia and the Maritime Continent.

563 Based on both local and global changes in circulation, we expected a very large increase 564 in the strength of the Indian Monsoon. In addition to the planetary scale changes associated with 565 the ITCZ and the Hadley cell, the position of the semi-permanent high in the subtropical 566 Southern Indian Ocean also plays a large role in modulating the Indian summer monsoon. 567 Negative SIOD events during boreal winter are often followed by strong Indian summer 568 monsoons. During a negative SIOD event, the subtropical high in the Indian Ocean shifts 569 northeastward as the season shifts from December, January, and February to JJA. This causes a 570 strengthening of the monsoon circulation, intensifying the Hadley Cell locally during the JJA 571 monsoon.

572 A negative IOD is associated with a weakened Asian monsoon and an increase in 573 precipitation over Australia and the Maritime Continent. In G4Foam, advection of cold water in 574 the Somali current into the equatorial western Indian Ocean creates a negative IOD-like response 575 that partially counters the combination of the global scale Hadley cell response and the forced 576 SIOD, dampening the overall increase in the Indian monsoon. This warm west, cold east mean 577 state in the equatorial Indian Ocean resembles a negative IOD mean state and it helps to explain 578 the enhanced precipitation response in the Maritime Continent and the lower than expected 579 increase in precipitation over the Indian subcontinent. The Asian monsoon and precipitation 580 over the Maritime Continent are also governed in part by ENSO. However, no changes in ENSO 581 were evident in G4Foam relative to G4SSA or RCP6.0. There is also no evident response of 582 ENSO amplitude or frequency to any of several different regimes of stratospheric

583 geoengineering (Gabriel and Robock, 2015).

584 **4.3 Caveats**

585 The technology does not presently exist to actually deploy a stable, highly reflective layer 586 of microbubbles on the actual ocean surface. While a stable, highly reflective, nondispersive 587 foam has been developed in a saltwater solution, appropriate for climate engineering, this foam 588 has not been tested outside the laboratory, much less on the surface of a large area of rarely 589 quiescent ocean. The foam has not been immersed in a medium in which bacteria are present, 590 and the interaction between the bacteria and the protein surfactant could damage the layer of 591 microbubbles. Also, even though the diameter of these microbubbles is on the order of 10^{-6} m, 592 the demand for surfactant would likely overwhelm our current production capacity of whatever 593 surfactant is chosen. The research on the engineering required to perform stratospheric 594 geoengineering by sulfate injection is much further along than research of microbubble 595 deployment, which is still in its earliest stages.

However, since development of microbubble technology is underway, it is worthwhile to
determine how such a technology could be applied in a manner that would address serious
climate issues. The progress being made in research associated with stratospheric
geoengineering actually enhances the relevance of researching the climate impact of this
particular ocean surface geoengineering approach as G4Foam was designed with an eye toward
concurrent deployment with stratospheric geoengineering in the event the stratospheric

602 geoengineering were to cause the precipitation deficits that many model studies have shown that603 it might.

604 More fundamentally, the propriety of any attempt to impose a the G4Foam forcing in an 605 attempt to achieve the modeled G4Foam climate is premised on a value judgment that it is 606 desirable to develop a technology that could redistribute essential resources between nations in 607 an attempt to achieve a net benefit to humanity as a collective when it unknowingly creates a 608 local scarcity of these essential resources. To some extent, making this value judgment is 609 germane and is a prerequisite to the discussion of any form of geoengineering. Even though 610 G4Foam would be successful in increasing P-E in more heavily populated areas, P-E will almost 611 certainly be reduced in remote regions, such as South Pacific islands. Is it ethical to pick 612 winners and losers when the selection process is aimed at increasing the number of winners and 613 decreasing the number of losers? Hypothetically, if G4Foam worked as described in this paper, 614 from a purely consequentialist perspective, and with the sole objective being increased utility for 615 the human collective, G4Foam could be considered beneficial.

616 Finally, this paper is concerned with the climate response to surface albedo changes. We 617 do not examine how placing an actual layer of microbubbles in the ocean would change ocean 618 circulation or impact chemistry and biology in the ocean. Evaluating the changes in the ocean, 619 especially changes in its circulation that are caused by the surface albedo modification, is one of 620 the next issues to explore. The ocean regions we propose to brighten have low biological 621 productivity and weak currents, but the possibility of remote impacts, due to changes in 622 circulation having negative impacts on important ocean regions, is worth considering.

623 4.4 Future research

Whether or not a concurrent deployment of stratospheric geoengineering and ocean albedo modification could cool the entire planet while maintaining or enhancing the hydrological cycle, particularly in the tropics, is the next natural step in this research. Such research is motivated by the need to determine whether some combination of geoengineering techniques can be used to offset regional climate disparities that using one method of geoengineering alone could induce.

629 could indu

631

Acknowledgments. We thank two anonymous referees for their valuable comments, which
 improved this manuscript. This work is supported by U.S. National Science Foundation (NSF)

634 grants AGS-1157525, GEO-1240507, and AGS-1617844. Computer simulations were

635 conducted on the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) Yellowstone

636 supercomputer. NCAR is funded by NSF. The CESM project is supported by NSF and the

637 Office of Science (BER) of the U.S. Department of Energy. The Pacific Northwest National

638 Laboratory is operated for the U.S. Department of Energy by Battelle Memorial Institute under

- 639 contract DE-AC05-76RL01830.
- 640

641	References			
642				
643 644 645 646 647	Aswathy, V. N., Boucher, O., Quaas, M., Niemeier, U., Muri, H., Mülmenstädt, J., and Quaas, Climate extremes in multi-model simulations of stratospheric aerosol and marine cloud brightening climate engineering, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 9593-9610, doi:10.5194/acp-15- 9593-2015, 2015.			
648 649 650	 Aziz, A., Hailes, H.C., Ward, J.M. and Evans, J.R.G.: Long-term stabilization reflective foar seawater. Royal Society of Chemistry. 95, 53028–53036. 2014. 			
651 652 653	 Behera, S. K. and Yamagata, T.: Subtropical SST dipole events in the southern Indian Ocean, Geophysical Research Letters 28: 327–330, 2001 			
654 655 656 657	 Bonan, G. B., Lawrence, P. J., Oleson, K. W., Levis, S., Jung, M., Reichstein, M., Lawrence, D. M., and Swenson, S. C.: Improving canopy processes in the Community Land Model version 4 (CLM4) using global flux fields empirically inferred from FLUXNET data, J. Geophys. Res., 116, G02014, doi:10.1029/2010JG001593, 2011. 			
658 659 660 661	Broccoli, A. J., Dahl, K. A. and Stouffer, R.J.: The response of the ITCZ to Northern Hemisphere cooling. Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L01702, doi:10.1029/2005GL024546, 2006.			
662 663 664	Cai W., Van Rensch P., Cowan T. and Hendon H.H.: Teleconnection pathways for ENSO and the IOD and the mechanism for impacts on Australian rainfall, J. Climate, 24:3910–3923, doi:10.1175/2011JCLI4129.1, 2011.			
665 666 667	Chao, W.C. and Chen, B.: The origin of the monsoons, J. Atmos. Sci., 58, 3497–3507. 2001.			
668 669 670	Chiang, J. C. H. and Bitz, C. M. Influence of high latitude ice cover on the marine Intertropical Convergence Zone. Climate Dynamics 25, 477–496, 2005.			
671 672 673 674	Chowdary J.S., Xie, S-P, Tokinaga, H., Okumura, Y.M., Kubota H., Johnson N. and Zheng X- T: Interdecadal variations in ENSO teleconnection to the Indo–western Pacific for 1870– 2007, J. Climate, 25:1722–1744. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00070.1, 2012.			
675 676 677	Crutzen, P.: Albedo enhancement by stratospheric sulfur injections: A contribution to solve a policy dilemma?, Climatic Change, 77, 211–219, 2006.			
678 679 680 681	Cvijanovic, I., Caldeira, K., and MacMartin, D.G.: Impacts of ocean albedo alteration on Arctic sea ice restoration and Northern Hemisphere climate, Environmental Research Letters, 10, 044020, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/10/4/044020, 2015.			
682 683 684 685	DeSzoeke, S.P., Verlinden, K.L., Yuter, S.E. and Mechem, D.B.: The Time Scales of Variability of Marine Low Clouds, J. Climate., published online, http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0460.1, 2016.			

686 Dykema J.A., Keith D.W., Anderson J.G., Weisenstein, D.: Stratospheric controlled perturbation experiment: a small-scale experiment to improve understanding of the risks of solar 687 geoengineering, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A 372, 20140059, doi:10.1098/rsta.2014.0059, 2014. 688 689 690 Emori, S. and Brown, S.J.: Dynamic and thermodynamic changes in mean and extreme 691 precipitation under changed climate, Geophysical Research Letters, 321,17, 692 doi:10.1029/2005GL023272, 2005. 693 694 Fleitmann, D., Burns, S.J., Mudelsee, M., Neff, U., Kramers, J., Mangini, A., Matter, A., 2003a. 695 Holocene forcing of the Indian monsoon recorded in a stalagmite from Southern Oman, 696 Science, 300, 1737–1739. 697 698 Folland, C. K., Parker, D. E and Palmer, T. N.: Sahel rainfall and worldwide sea temperatures 699 1901-85, Nature, 320, 602-607, 1986. 700 701 Frierson, D. M. W. and Hwang, Y-T. Extratropical influence on ITCZ shifts in slab ocean 702 simulation of global warming, J. Clim., 25, 720–733, 2012. 703 704 Gabriel, C. J. and Robock, A.: Stratospheric geoengineering impacts on El Niño/Southern 705 Oscillation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 11949-11966, doi:10.5194/acp-15-11949-2015, 2015. 706 707 George, R. C. and Wood, R.: Subseasonal variability of low cloud radiative properties over the 708 southeast Pacific Ocean, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 4047-4063, doi:10.5194/acp-10-4047-709 2010, 2010. 710 711 Haywood, J. M., Jones, A., Bellouin, N. and Stephenson, D.: Asymmetric forcing from 712 stratospheric aerosols impacts Sahelian rainfall, Nat. Clim. Change, 3(7), 660–665, 713 doi:10.1038/nclimate1857, 2013. 714 715 Held, I. M. and Soden, B. J.: Robust responses of the hydrological cycle to global warming, J. 716 Climate, 19, 5686–5699, 2006. 717 718 Hurley, J. V. and Boos, W. R.: Interannual variability of monsoon precipitation and local 719 subcloud equivalent potential temperature. J. Climate, 26, 9507–9527, 2013. 720 721 Hwang, Y.-T., Frierson, D. M. W. and Kang, S. M.: Anthropogenic sulfate aerosol and the 722 southward shift of tropical precipitation in the late 20th century, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 723 doi:10.1002/grl.50502, 2013. 724 725 IPCC: Summary for Policymakers, in: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. 726 Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental 727 Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., 728 Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M., Cambridge 729 University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 2013. 730 731 Irvine, P. J., Ridgwell, A. and Lunt, D. J.: Climatic effects of surface albedo geoengineering, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D24112, doi:10.1029/2011JD016281, 2011. 732 733

- Kang, S. M., Held, I. M., Frierson, D. M. W and Zhao, M.: The response of the ITCZ to
 extratropical thermal forcing: Idealized slab-ocean experiments with a GCM, J.
 Climate, 21, 3521–3532, 2008.
- Keith, D. W., Duren, R. and MacMartin, D.G.: Field experiments on solar geoengineering: report
 of a workshop exploring a representative research portfolio. Philosophical Transactions of
 the Royal Society A., 372-20140175, 2014.
- Kravitz, B., Robock, A., Boucher, O., Schmidt, H., Taylor, K., Stenchikov, G. and Schulz, M.:
 The geoengineering model intercomparison project (GeoMIP), Atm. Sci. Lett., 12, 162-167,
 doi: 10.1002/asl.316. 201, 2011.
- 745

768

774

- Kravitz, B., Robock, A., Tilmes, S., Boucher, O., English, J. M., Irvine, P. J., Jones, A.,
 Lawrence, M. G., MacCracken, M., Muri, H., Moore, J. C., Niemeier, U., Phipps, S. J.,
 Sillmann, J., Storelvmo, T., Wang, H., and Watanabe, S.: The Geoengineering Model
 Intercomparison Project Phase 6 (GeoMIP6): simulation design and preliminary results,
 Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss., 8, 4697–4736, doi:10.5194/gmdd-8-4697-2015, 2015.
- Jones A., Haywood, J. and Boucher, O.: Climate impacts of geoengineering marine
 stratocumulus clouds, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D10106, doi:10.1029/2008JD011450, 2009.
- Kay J. E., Wall C., Yettella V., Medeiros B., Hannay C., Caldwell P. and Bitz C.: Global
 climate impacts of fixing the Southern Ocean shortwave radiation bias in the community
 earth system model (CESM), J. Climate, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-15-0358, 2016.
- Kharin, V. V., Zwiers, F. W., Zhang, X., and Hegerl, G. C.: Changes in temperature and
 precipitation extremes in the IPCC ensemble of Global Coupled Model Simulations, J.
 Climate, 20, 1419–1444, doi:10.1175/JCLI4066.1, 2007.
- Lamarque, J.-F., Emmons, L. K., Hess, P. G., Kinnison, D. E., Tilmes, S., Vitt, F., Heald, C. L.,
 Holland, E. A., Lauritzen, P. H., Neu, J., Orlando, J. J., Rasch, P. J., and Tyndall, G. K.:
 CAM-chem: description and evaluation of interactive atmospheric chemistry in the
 Community Earth System Model, Geosci. Model Dev., 5, 369–411, doi:10.5194/gmd-5-3692012, 2012.
- Latham, J., Bower, K., Choularton, T., Coe, H., Connoly, P., Cooper, G., Craft, T., Foster, J.,
 Gadian, A., Galbraith, L., Iacovides, H., Johnston, D., Launder, B., Leslie, B., Meyer, J.,
 Neukermans, A., Ormond, B., Parkes, B., Rasch, P., Rush, J., Salter, S., Stevenson, T.,
 Wang, H., Wang, Q., and Wood, R.: Marine cloud brightening, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. A, 370,
 4217–4262, doi:10.1098/rsta.2012.0086, 2012.
- Manabe, S. and Stouffer, R. J.: Sensitivity of a global climate model to an increase of CO₂
 concentration in the atmosphere. J. Geophys. Res. 85, 5529–5554, 1980.
- Mechoso, C., Wood, R., Weller, R., Bretherton, C. S., Clarke, A., Coe, H., Fairall, C., Farrar, J.
 T., Feingold, G. and Garreaud, R.: Ocean-cloud-atmosphere-land interactions in the
 southeastern Pacific: The VOCALS Program, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 95, 357-375, 2014.

- Meehl, G. A., Arblaster, J. M., Caron, J. M., Annamalai, H., Jochum, M., Chakraborty, A., and
 Murtugudde, R.: Monsoon regimes and processes in CCSM4. Part I: The Asian-Australian
 Monsoon, J. Climate, 25, 2583–2608, 2012.
- Meinshausen, M., Smith, S. J., Calvin, K., Daniel, J. S., Kainuma, M. L. T., Lamarque, J.-F.,
 Matsumoto, K., Montzka, S. A., Raper, S. C. B., Riahi, K., Thomason, A., Velders, G. J. M.,
 and van Vuuren, D. P. P.: The RCP greenhouse gas concentrations and their extension from
 1765 to 2300, Climatic Change, 109, 213–241, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0156-z, 2011.
- 790

785

- Neale, R., Richter, J., Park, S., Lauritzen, P., Vavrus, S., Rasch, P. and Zhang, M.: The mean
 climate of the Community Atmosphere Model (CAM4) in forced SST and fully coupled
 experiments, J. Climate, 26, 5150–5168, 2013.
- Neely III, R. R., Conley, A. J., Vitt, F., and Lamarque, J.-F.: A consistent prescription of
 stratospheric aerosol for both radiation and chemistry in the Community Earth System Model
 (CESM1), Geosci. Model Dev., 9, 2459-2470, doi:10.5194/gmd-9-2459, 2016.
- Poore, R. Z., Quinn, T.M. and Verardo, S.: Century-scale movement of the Atlantic Intertropical
 Convergence Zone linked to solar variability, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, L12214, doi:
 10.1029/2004GL019940, 2004.
- Rasch P. J., Latham, J. and Chen, C.C.: Geoengineering by cloud seeding: influence on sea ice
 and climate system, Environmental Research Letters, 4, 45-112. doi:10.1088/17489326/4/4/045112, 2009.
- Reason, C. J. C.: Subtropical Indian Ocean SST dipole events and southern African rainfall,
 Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 2225-2228, 10.1029/2000GL012735, 2001.
- Robock, A.: 20 reasons why geoengineering may be a bad idea, Bull. Atomic Sci., 64, 14–18,
 doi:10.2968/064002006, 2008.
- Robock, A.: Bubble, bubble, toil and trouble. An editorial comment. Climatic Change, 105, 383385, doi:10.1007/s10584-010-0017-1, 2011.
- Robock, A.: Stratospheric aerosol geoengineering, Issues Env. Sci. Tech. (special issue
 "Geoengineering of the Climate System"), 38, 162-185, 2014.
- 819 Robock, A.: Albedo enhancement by stratospheric sulfur injection: More research needed.
 820 *Earth's Future*, doi:10.1002/2016EF000407, 2016.
 821
- Sanderson B.M., O'Neill B., Tebaldi C.: What would it take to achieve the Paris temperature
 targets? Geophys Res Lett, 1, 10., doi:10.1002/2016GL069563, 2016.
- 825 Seitz, R.: Bright water: hydrosols, water conservation and climate change. Climatic Change,
 826 105, 365–381, 2010.

827

824

828	Siegenthaler, U., Stocker, T. F., Monnin, E., Luthi, D., Schwander J., Stauffer, B., Raynaud, D.,
829	Barnola, J. M., Fischer, H., Masson, Delmotte, V., and Jouzel, J.: Stable carbon cycle-climate
830	relationship during the late Pleistocene, Science, 310, 1313–1317, 2005.
831	
832	Suzuki, R., Behera, S.K., Iizuka, S. and Yamagata, T.: The Indian Ocean subtropical dipole
833	simulated using a CGCM, J. Geo. Res. 109, doi:10.1029/2003JC001974, 2004.
834	
835	Taylor, K. E., Stouffer, R. J., and Meehl, G. A.: An overview of CMIP5 and the experiment
836	design, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 93, 485–498, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00094.1, 2012.
837	
838	Tilmes, S., Fasullo, J., Lamarque, JF., Marsh, D. R., Mills, M., Alterskjaer, K., Muri, H.,
839	Kristiánsson, J. E., Boucher, O., Schulz, M., Cole, J. N. S., Curry, C. L., Jones, A., Haywood,
840	J., Irvine, P. J., Ji, D., Moore, J. C., Karam, D. B., Kravitz, B., Rasch, P. J., Singh, B., Yoon,
841	JH., Niemeier, U., Schmidt, H., Robock, A., Yang, S., and Watanabe, S.: The hydrological
842	impact of geoengineering in the Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project (GeoMIP).
843	J. Geophys. ResAtmos. 118, 11036–11058. doi:10.1002/jgrd.50868. 2013.
844	······································
845	Tilmes, S., Mills, M. J., Niemeier, U., Schmidt, H., Robock, A., Kravitz, B., Lamarque, JF.,
846	Pitari G and English J M · A new Geoengineering Model Intercomparison Project
847	(GeoMIP) experiment designed for climate and chemistry models. Geosci. Model Dev., 8.
848	43-49. doi:10.5194/gmd-8-43-2015. 2015.
849	
850	Tilmes S Lamarque J-F Emmons L K Kinnison D E Marsh D Garcia R R Smith A
851	K Neelv R R Conlev A Vitt F Val Martin M Tanimoto H Simpson I Blake D
852	R and Blake N · Representation of the Community Earth System Model (CESM1) CAM4-
853	chem within the Chemistry-Climate Model Initiative (CCMI), Geosci, Model Dev., 9, 1853-
854	1890. doi:10.5194/gmd-9-1853-2016. 2016.
855	
856	Trenberth, K. E., and Dai, A.: Effects of Mount Pinatubo volcanic eruption on the hydrological
857	cycle as an analog of geoengineering. Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L15702.
858	doi:10.1029/2007GL030524, 2007.
859	,
860	Wood, R. and Bretherton, C. S.: Boundary layer depth, entrainment, and decoupling in the cloud-
861	capped subtropical and tropical marine boundary layer, J. Climate, 17, 3576–3588, 2004.
862	
863	Wood, R. and Bretherton, C. S.: On the relationship between stratiform low cloud cover and
864	lower-tropospheric stability, J. Climate, 19, 6425–6432, 2006.
865	
866	Xia, L., Robock, A., Tilmes, S., and Neelv III, R. R.; Stratospheric sulfate geoengineering could
867	enhance the terrestrial photosynthesis rate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 1479-1489,
868	doi:10.5194/acp-16-1479-2016, 2016.
869	1 /
870	Xie, S-P. and Philander, S. G. H., A coupled ocean-atmosphere model of relevance to the ITCZ
871	in the eastern Pacific, Tellus, 46A, 340–350, 1994.
872	

Table 1. Changes in temperature and precipitation in G4Foam relative to both G4SSA and

874 RCP6.0, for the entire globe and for the Tropics (20°S-20°N) annually and in Northern

Hemisphere summer, for the 40-year period beginning 10 years after the start of climateengineering.

877

	G4Foam – G4SSA	G4Foam – RCP6.0			
Global, 2030-2069	(% change)	(% change)			
Precipitation (mm/day)	+0.02 (+0.61)	-0.06 (-1.98)			
Land precipitation (mm/day)	+0.07 (+3.19)	+0.01 (+0.32)			
Ocean precipitation (mm/day)	-0.01 (-0.36)	-0.08 (-2.57)			
Temperature (K)	+0.27	-0.53			
Land temperature (K)	+0.63	-0.44			
Global, 2030-2069, June-July-August					
Precipitation (mm/day)	+0.02(+0.70)	-0.05 (-1.85)			
Land precipitation (mm/day)	+0.08(+3.35)	+0.02(+0.70)			
Ocean precipitation (mm/day)	+0.01 (-0.29)	-0.08 (-2.51)			
Temperature (K)	+0.32	-0.60			
Land temperature (K)	+0.71	-0.53			
Tropical, 2030-2069					
Precipitation (mm/day)	+0.06 (+1.59)	-0.03 (-1.06)			
Land precipitation (mm/day)	+0.16 (+3.93)	+0.07 (+1.43)			
Ocean precipitation (mm/day)	+0.03 (+0.77)	-0.07 (-1.92)			
Temperature (K)	+0.21	-0.60			
Land temperature (K)	+0.43	-0.61			
Tropical, 2030-2069, June-July-August					
Precipitation (mm/day)	+0.06 (+1.52)	-0.03 (-0.84)			
Land precipitation (mm/day)	+0.16 (+4.66)	+0.07 (+2.02)			
Ocean precipitation (mm/day)	+0.03(+0.67)	-0.06 (-1.61)			
Temperature (K)	+0.18	-0.61			
Land temperature (K)	+0.37	-0.70			



CESM-CAM4-CHEM Control 10m wind (m/s) shaded, sea level pressure (hPa) contours 2005-2019. Boxes bound foamed regions.

879

Figure 1. Applied forcing and global mean temperature response. Ocean albedo changed from
a daily average of 0.06, which includes a very small daily cycle, to a fixed value of 0.15 with no
daily cycle, over "foam regions," 20°N-20°S, 90°W-170°W (South Pacific), 20°N-20°S, 30°W0°E (South Atlantic) and 20°N-20°S, 55°E-105°E (South Indian). Each foamed region is
outlined in black. Control run sea level pressure (mb) is shown with contours and 10-m winds
(m/s) are shaded.



Figure 2. a) Net all-sky SW flux at top-of-atmosphere and (b) Time series of global mean net
cloud forcing. Each ensemble member and the ensemble mean are shown for each forcing.





090

Figure 3. (a) Net clear sky SW flux at top of atmosphere, which includes the effects of changes
in radiation caused by changes in ocean surface albedo or land albedo (ice and snow), as well as
stratospheric aerosols (stratospheric geoengineering) and (b) Time series of global mean
temperature. In G4Foam, temperature is more than twice as sensitive to ocean albedo forcing as
it is to stratospheric geoengineering, as applied in G4SSA, albeit with very different latitudinal

- 904 distributions of temperature changes. Each ensemble member and the ensemble mean are shown
- 905 for each forcing.



906 907 908 Figure 4. 2030-2069 surface temperature differences (K) between G4Foam and (a) G4SSA, (b) 909 RCP6.0, (c) G4SSA during JJA, and (d) RCP6.0 during JJA. Hatched regions are areas with p >910 0.05 (where changes are not statistically significant based on a paired *t*-test). Black boxes

911 enclose foamed regions.



Figure 5. 2030-2069 low cloud fraction difference (unitless) between G4Foam and (a) G4SSA, (b) RCP6.0, (c) G4SSA during JJA, and (d) RCP6.0 during JJA. Hatched regions are areas with p > 0.05 (where changes are not statistically significant based on a paired *t*-test). Black boxes enclose foamed regions.



Figure 6. 2030-2069 total cloud fraction difference (unitless) between G4Foam and (a) G4SSA, (b) RCP6.0, (c) G4SSA during JJA and (d) RCP6.0 during JJA. Hatched regions are areas with p > 0.05 (where changes are not statistically significant based on a paired *t*-test). Black boxes enclose foamed regions.



931 Figure 7. 2030-2069 precipitation difference (%) between G4Foam and (a) G4SSA, (b)

RCP6.0, (c) G4SSA during JJA and (d) RCP6.0 during JJA. Hatched regions are areas with p >0.05 (where changes are not statistically significant based on a paired *t*-test). Black boxes

enclose foamed regions.



Figure 8. 2030-2069 precipitation minus evaporation difference (mm/day) between G4Foam and (a) G4SSA, (b) RCP6.0, (c) G4SSA during JJA and (d) RCP6.0 during JJA. Hatched regions are areas with p > 0.05 (where changes are not statistically significant based on a paired *t*-test). Black boxes enclose foamed regions.





Figure 9. 2030-2069 monthly mean annual cycle of zonal mean precipitation (mm/day) for (a)
G4Foam minus G4SSA and (b) G4Foam minus RCP6.0, precipitation minus evaporation
(mm/day) for (c) G4Foam minus G4SSA and (d) G4Foam minus RCP6.0, and total precipitable
water (mm) for (e) G4Foam minus G4SSA and (f) G4Foam minus RCP6.0.



951 952 Figure 10. (a) Photosynthesis rate differences between G4SSA and RCP6.0 during years 2030-953 2069 (sulfate injection period, excluding the first 10 years) (Fig. 4a from Xia et al., 2016). (b) 954 Photosynthesis rate anomaly between G4Foam and RCP6.0 during years 2030–2069 of solar 955 reduction. Hatched regions are areas with p > 0.05 (where changes are not statistically

956 significant based on a paired t test).