Response to the Referees (ACP-2016-842)

"The life cycle of nocturnal low-level clouds over southern West Africa analysed using high-resolution simulations" by Bianca Adler, Norbert Kalthoff and Leonhard Gantner

January 2, 2017

We thank the two anonymous reviewers for their clear and helpful comments. We respond to all comments of the reviewer in this document and we prepared a revised manuscripts where major changes are marked in red. In the following, comments of the reviewers are given in italic style, while our responses are given in normal font style.

1 Anonymous Referee #1

This paper analyses the formation and evolution of low-level clouds which form in monsoon season in southern West Africa. From high resolution NWP simulations, the authors identify a front of high relative humidity which propagates inland overnight and drives the cloud formation, with uplift triggered by the orography and gravity waves. The paper is well written and interesting, and I believe suitable for publication subject to some minor amendments and further analysis.

Comments:

1. *P3, L9-12 - these two sentences seem contradictory. You first state that a sub-grid cloud scheme is not applicable, and then go on to say that using one delays the transition of stratiform to convective clouds. Without any observations to compare against you cannot say whether this delay in convective initiation is a good or bad thing. Obviously a detailed discussion of the use of cloud schemes at 500 m resolution is beyond the scope of this paper, but the authors should make it clear that the use of cloud schemes at this resolution is highly uncertain and its not clear whether they are applicable or not (see, e.g. the discussion in Boutle et al. 2016). The authors can also use their observation of the difference in convective initiation as motivation for further study - DACCIWA presumably took the observations that will allow someone to analyse the timing of the stratiform to convective transition, and how important the cloud scheme is in this.*

Response: The phrasing in the manuscript was misleading. Indeed, we do not know if the sub-grid scale cloud scheme applies for the used resolution of 500 m. We can only speculate that the criteria developed for simulations with coarser grid spacing do not apply for simulations with higher horizontal resolutions. Boutle et al. 2016 investigated the potential of a very high resolution model for forecasting fog over the south-eastern UK and found a very strong dependency of the results on the critical relative humidity used for the cloud parametrisation. They also state that the necessity of using sub-grid scale cloud schemes crucially depends on the regime. As we cannot be sure if we should use the sub-grid scale cloud schemes for the conditions in West Africa we performed several simulations using a relative humidity criterion and a statistical criterion for sub-grid scale clouds and also using no sub-grid scale cloud scheme. We found a strong impact on the transition of stratiform to convective clouds while the impact on the nocturnal stratus was small. We decided to use no sub-grid scale cloud scheme, keeping in mind that we do not know if this leads to more realistic results or not. We agree with the reviewer that the observations during DACCIWA could be valuable to investigate the impact of a sub-grid scale cloud scheme on stratiform and convective clouds in high-resolution models and we added this to the manuscript. To clarify we rewrote this part of the manuscript:

"However, the consideration of sub-grid scale clouds is highly uncertain at such high horizontal resolution as chosen in this study and it is not clear whether the criteria are applicable or not (Boutle et al., 2016). We performed sensitivity tests for the region in West Africa and found that the consideration of sub-grid-scale clouds in the radiation scheme delays the transition from stratiform clouds to convective clouds by several hours, while the impact on the qualitative characteristics of the nocturnal low-level clouds is small. For the simulation analysed in this study, we decided to consider only grid-scale clouds in the radiation scheme, which is called every 15 min. Whether this choice leads to more realistic results or not, could be investigated in upcoming studies using observations from the DACCIWA field campaign."

2. *P3, L27 - is hourly really frequent enough to update the LBCs? I would have thought 15 mins would be more appropriate. Have you tested the sensitivity of the simulations to this choice?*

   Response: As the output of the 2.8 km simulation which we used for initialisation and boundary conditions was hourly, we could not investigate the sensitivity of the 500 m simulations to the frequency of the boundary conditions updates. However, the large-scale conditions were rather homogeneous during the simulated period (no MCS or other disturbances) and we do not expect major changes in horizontal advection at the boundaries throughout the night, as the front did not enter through the boundaries but existed within the model domain.

3. *P4, L2 - why have you chosen to compute LWP below 1000m, when Figs 2 & 3 clearly show that the cloud extends above this height. Where are the clouds tops? Why not compute LWP up to the cloud top?*

   Response: Our decision to average the liquid water content below 1000 m, was based on averaged profiles of liquid water content for SAVE. In this area there is clear minimum in liquid water content at 1000 m MST, which indicates the top of the low-level clouds. As the

Figure 1: Hourly profiles of liquid water content averaged between 2° and 3.3° E and 7.5° and 8.8° N.

values of averaged liquid water content are not zero, this minimum is hard to distinguish in Fig. 2c due to the colour scale. Because of the reviewer's comment we looked again at the data and plotted profiles averaged for the whole area of interest (area shown in Figs. 2a, b) and found that the minimum of mean liquid water content for this area was rather at 1200 m than at 1000 m (Fig. 1). Thus, we replaced Figs. 2a, b with the liquid water content accumulated below 1200 m MSL and added the information to the text why we chose this layer for the liquid water content accumulation.

"To obtain information about the spatial distribution and temporal evolution of low-level clouds, we accumulate the liquid water content up to 1200 m MSL, i.e. up to the top of the domain-averaged low-level clouds, (Figs. 2a, b) and average profiles of the liquid water content for both areas SAVE (Fig. 2c) and HILLS (Fig. 2d)."

4. *P6, L10 - a bit more discussion on why a phase lag of 90 degrees implies gravity waves, or a citation, would help the reader understand better what is going on here.*

Response: The phase shift in the fluctuations of potential temperature and vertical wind speed is 90° for gravity waves. This is for example stated in Durran (1990). For convection these fluctuations are in phase. This phase shift in gravity waves can be explained as follows: when the fluctuations of potential temperature are largest, i.e. at the air parcels maximum positive or negative displacement, the vertical wind speed is zero. Between these locations the air parcels are either gaining or loosing buoyancy, reaching their maximum vertical wind speed when variations of potential temperature are smallest. We added the reference to Durran (1990) to the manuscript.

"Fast Fourier Transformation reveals a phase lag of roughly 90° between the waves of potential temperature and vertical wind speed, which is a characteristic of gravity waves (Durran,

1990),..."

5. *P9, L20-24 - given part of the motivation for the paper is informing the measurement strategy for DACCIWA, it would be good if you can give a bit more detail here on how these results have/did influence the measurements. What observations will be taken where, how will you track the relative humidity front, how will you observe the gravity waves, etc etc*

Response: We added details about specific measurements taken during the field campaign to the text:

"For example, wind profiles were measured with high temporal and vertical resolution using radiosondes, sodars and a UHF profiler. Research aircrafts were flying inland from the coast detecting horizontal gradients in e.g. temperature and moisture. A combination of vertical stare and scanning mode was chosen for a doppler lidar system to allow for detection of inhomogeneities in wind and backscatter in an area of up to 20 km x 20 km, which could provide evidence for the front, as well as for vertical velocity, which can be used as an indicator for gravity waves and to derive turbulence profiles."

Typos:

1. *P1, L22 - I think it should just say MSL in brackets*

   We changed the text accordingly.

2. *P2, L21 - should say "These results" instead of "This results"*

   We changed the text accordingly.

3. *P4, L4 - should say "allowing us to distinguish"*

   We changed the text accordingly.

4. *P9, L19 - should say "The simulations reveal"*

   We changed the text to "The simulation reveals" as we mainly analysed one simulation.

2 Anonymous Referee #2

This is a very well written study using high-resolution simulations with the COSMO model to investigate the processes that govern the evolution of low-level clouds in southern West Africa. The authors identify three processes, namely cooling of the atmosphere due to horizontal advection, gravity waves inducing vertical cold air advection, and enhanced convergence upstream of cloud formation triggering new clouds. The authors are careful to stress that these results consider a

single case study and do not all agree with previous studies for the same region, but use a thorough discussion of previous work in other regions to illustrate that these processes may well be relevant for low-level cloud formation in southern West Africa.

I have no concerns with the scientific quality of this paper and believe the arguments and results are well presented. I have a list of comments that mostly regard confusing turns of phrases and a few points where the authors can add further clarification. I therefore recommend this paper be accepted with (very) minor corrections.

Specific comments

1. *Title: To me, "life cycle" suggests the study of individual cloud elements from their origin to their decay, which is not what is covered in this paper. I would prefer "evolution", as it is more appropriate for a population of clouds. (Also title for section 3).*

   Response: We agree with the reviewer that life-cycle suggests the study of individual clouds. To solve this problem, we decided to remove life-cycle from the title, modified the title of Section 3 and changed the text accordingly by replacing "life cycle" with "evolution/development".

   "Nocturnal low-level clouds over southern West Africa analysed using high-resolution simulations"

   "Evolution of low-level clouds"

2. *p.2 line 11: "a lot of effort is still needed" – At this point, the authors have not yet indicated why so much effort has gone into studying nocturnal low-level clouds over southern West Africa. One or two sentences regarding the motivation (weather? Climate?) should be introduced here.*

   Response: We added a sentence at the beginning of the introduction to explain how the clouds affect the atmospheric conditions and why they are important:

   "As these clouds persist into the late morning or early afternoon, they reduce surface solar radiation and could strongly affect the regional heat and moisture budgets and, thus, the West African climate (Knippertz et al., 2011)."

3. *p.2 line 20: "This results could then be used to optimise the measurement strategy for the field campaign" – Since the field campaign has now taken place, the authors should rephrase this (assuming that their results have helped inform the strategy).*

   Response: We rephrased the sentence:

   "These results were then used to optimise the measurement strategy for the field campaign."

4. *p.2 line 22-25: This is a nice way to distinguish this paper from previous studies.*

   Response: Thank you.

5. *p.2 line 32: "horizontal grid spacing of around 500 m" – has the COSMO model been verified and evaluated at this resolution? Perhaps not for southern West Africa, but one or two references covering COSMO at 500m would be useful here.*

   Response: We added references to the text:

   "COSMO model simulations with similar high horizontal resolution have been performed by Fiori et al. (2010) for studying deep moist convection and by Gantner et al. (2016) to investigate the cloud-topped boundary layer."

6. *p.3 line 10: "sensitivity tests show" – Do you have a reference for this?*

   Response: The phrasing in the manuscript was misleading. As we do not know if the sub-grid scale cloud scheme applies for the used high horizontal resolution, we performed several simulations using a relative humidity criterion and a statistical criterion for sub-grid scale clouds and also using no sub-grid scale cloud scheme. We rephrased this part of the manuscript (also according to Comment 1 of Reviewer #1) and it now reads as follows:

   "However, the consideration of sub-grid scale clouds is highly uncertain at such high horizontal resolution as chosen in this study and it is not clear whether the criteria are applicable or not (Boutle et al., 2016). We performed sensitivity tests for the region in West Africa and found that the consideration of sub-grid-scale clouds in the radiation scheme delays the transition from stratiform clouds to convective clouds by several hours, while the impact on the qualitative characteristics of the nocturnal low-level clouds is small. For the simulation analysed in this study, we decided to consider only grid-scale clouds in the radiation scheme, which is called every 15 min. Whether this choice leads to more realistic results or not, could be investigated in future studies using observations from the DACCIWA field campaign."

7. *p.3 line 13-14: "online trajectory module" – At this point, could you add an additional sentence stating the purpose of this module for your work. What are you hoping to learn from these trajectories?*

   Response: We added the information about the purpose of this module to the text:

   "Using an online trajectory module implemented in COSMO (Miltenberger et al., 2013), trajectories are started hourly at 7.5° N between 1.5° and 2.5° E at various levels below 2000 m MSL in order to obtain information on the origin of air parcels which are involved in the evolution of the low-level clouds."

8. *p.3 line 15-21: This is a useful paragraph building the readers confidence in the simulation.*

   Response: Thank you.

9. *p.3 line 26: "we choose the night from 3 to 4 August for analysis" This is slightly confusing, as in line 19 it says "the simulated conditions on a specific day do not necessarily agree with the observations". So are these dates relevant? Perhaps the authors could clarify for the reader again that these dates refer to the model simulation (unless the authors can confirm that also based on observations, these are appropriate days for their study).*

   Response: As the exact dates are not relevant we removed them from the manuscript in order to avoid confusion. Instead we added the information that the simulation was done for a night at the beginning of August and run for 30 hours.

   " Of these periods, we choose a night at the beginning of August for analysis. The simulation is initialised at 1200 UTC and runs for 30 h with the boundary conditions being updated every hour. "

10. *p.4 line 3: "we accumulate the liquid water content" so this is liquid water path?*

    Response: Liquid water path is a measure of the total amount of liquid water in a vertical column of the atmosphere extending from the surface to the top of the atmosphere. Because we only accumulate up to a certain height and because liquid clouds are present above this certain height, the quantity shown in Fig. 2a, b is not the liquid water path.

11. *p.4 line 3-4: Is 15-minute output sufficient to study the temporal evolution of low-level clouds, when individual cloud elements likely dont last more than 30 minutes? This is more a comment on using "life cycle".*

    Response: We agree that 15-min output would not be sufficient to study the life-cycle of individual clouds. For our purpose, however, namely to study the evolution of the low-level clouds the 15-min output is sufficient. Please also see response to comment 1.

12. *p.4 line 6: "cloud-free" – Can the authors confirm there is no ice cloud aloft?*

    Response: We checked profiles of liquid water content and ice content up to higher levels and found liquid water clouds at around 5 km and ice clouds at around 10 km height at 220 UTC. We rephrased the sentence in the manuscript:

    "Before about 2200 UTC, the analysed area is free of low-level clouds (Phase 0)."

13. *p.4 line 11: "clouds. . . are more intense" – what does this mean? That the clouds have higher LWC?*

    Response: With intense clouds, we intend to say that the clouds have a higher liquid water content and a larger vertical extent. We understand that this expression is not clear and modified it in the text.

    "Throughout the night, the horizontal and vertical extent as well as the liquid water content of the low-level clouds vary, allowing us to distinguish different phases."

7

"During this phase, the clouds in HILLS have a higher density and a larger vertical extent than during Phase 1 "

14. *p.4 line 12: "very similar" – in this sentence structure, I would suggest remarkably similar.*

    Response: We changed the text accordingly.

15. *p.4 line 17, 19: "the processes", "the different budget terms" – Could you please be more specific. Which budgets matter for your study? Which processes can be expected to affect the atmospheric conditions?*

    Response: We added more details to the text:

    "To understand the evolution of low-level clouds, we analyse in detail the atmospheric conditions and the processes such as advection, which affect these conditions during the different phases."

    "To study contributions to the tendencies by advection, we determine horizontal and vertical advection for each grid point and each level and average over the area."

16. *Title of section 4.1 "Before the onset. . ."*

    Response: We changed the text accordingly.

17. *p.5 line 6: "meridional averaging" – is this not domain averaging, i.e. over the SAVE box?*

    Response: We averaged over the box, but because the front is west-east oriented the meridional averaging is mostly responsible for the smoothing. To avoid confusion we rephrased the sentence.

    "Due to box averaging, cooling and moistening appears to be rather smooth."

18. *p.5 line 11-23: Does the front differ much in structure in the 500m simulation for the single case study?*

    Response: The structure of the front in the 500 m simulation is very similar with respect to timing, orientation and absolute values to the averaged one. On p.5 l. 22 we state that the front reaches Save at around 2100 UTC on the average, which agrees well with the conditions of the case study .

19. *p.5 line 30: "once the front has passed SAVE" - please specify at what time, especially referring to figure 3.*

    Response: We added the information that front has passed SAVE at around 2300 UTC to the text.

20. *p.5 line 32: "weak horizontal dry air advection" – how significant (important) is this? It seems rather patchy in figure 3.*

Response: The horizontal dry air advection below the cloud layer is indeed quite weak but existent. Values are between -0.05 g kg^{-1} h^{-1} and -0.15 g kg^{-1} h^{-1}. In Fig. 3 values above -0.1 g kg^{-1} h^{-1} are plotted white for better clarity. This is why the dry air advection below the cloud layer appears patchy in the figure. Even if the dry air advection is weak in this case we cannot exclude that it might play an important role in other cases and might even inhibit the evolution of low-level clouds.

21. *p.6 line 4-5: "gravity waves. . . Fig(6)" – Please clarify in the main text how the gravity waves can be identified in figure 6. Presumably its the wavelike pattern of the trajectories?*

    Response: We added the information to the text that the gravity waves are indicated by the wavelike structure of the trajectories.

    "Besides orographically induced lifting, which is less strong in SAVE than in HILLS due to different terrain gradients, gravity waves indicated by the wavelike structure of the trajectories contribute to the upward motion in the nocturnal atmosphere (Fig. 6)."

22. *p.6 line 5: "broken band-like structures" – this is not obvious from the figures referenced.*

    Response: In Fig. 6c black markers indicate bands of cloud aligned perpendicular to the trajectories. While we think that this is obvious in this figure, we agree with the reviewer that the bands of clouds in Fig. 2a are a little harder to see, as they appear broken and patchy. We added the information that the black markers indicate the clouds in Fig. 6c.

    "During Phase 1, saturation is reached in wave crests and clouds form in broken band-like structures perpendicular to the mean flow in some regions in the south-western part of the analysed area (Fig. 2a and black markers in Fig. 6c)."

23. *Title of section 4.3 "Intensification" – in what sense? Thicker clouds? Higher LWC? I dont know how to interpret an "intense cloud".*

    Response: This comment relates to comment 13. As stated there we replaced intense with higher liquid water content and larger vertical extent. We now use the terms high density and low density to describe clouds with high and low liquid water content. To clarify this we added a sentence to the beginning of Section 3. We also replaced intensification in the title of 4.3 with "Increase of density".

    "In the following, we use the term density to distinguish between clouds with low and high liquid water content."

    "Increase of density and spatial expansion of clouds"

24. *p.6 line 17: "thick" – geometrically? Optically? Occurs also on p.7 lines 5 and 6.*

    Response: See responses to comments 13 and 23. We now use dense for clouds with a high liquid water content.

25. *p.6 line 19: "respectively" – this could refer to "phases 0 and 1" in this sentence structure. Perhaps best to disentangle the sentence to say "in the clouds is continuously cooled by vertical advection and below the clouds by horizontal advection" (or something similar/better).*

   Response: We rephrased the sentence:

   "We assume that two processes are mainly responsible for this: (i) during Phases 0 and 1, the atmosphere below the clouds is continuously cooled by horizontal advection while vertical cold air advection mainly affects the layer where clouds form (Figs. 3a, b, c)."

26. *p.6 line 22-23: "mean upward motion" – (perhaps obvious) what causes the mean upward motion?*

   Response: The reason for the mean upward motion is the shift of the wind speed maximum to the cloud top, which causes horizontal convergence upstream of the clouds. This process is explained in detail in the paragraph from p.6 l.26 to p.7 l.5.

27. *p. 6 line 26: "intensity" – see earlier comment.*

   Response: Here intensity refers to the gravity waves. To clarify this we replaced intensity with amplitude and frequency:

   "The sudden stronger upward motion cannot be caused by gravity waves, because their amplitude and frequency remain about the same."

28. *p.7 line 6 and line 11: "spread2, "retreat" – how does this happen? Do new clouds form? Do clouds propagate or advect with the flow? Do clouds grow?*

   Response: The clouds spread to the upstream side, i.e. new clouds form. The process responsible for this is the shift of the wind speed maximum to the cloud top, which causes horizontal convergence upstream of the clouds. This is explained in detail in the text (p.6 l.26 to p.7 l.5). As stated at p.7 l.7-8 relative humidity is several % lower southwest of SAVE. When the upstream border of low-level cloud deck reaches this region the process triggering new clouds upstream of existing ones is not able to produce new clouds. The cloud deck slowly dissolves from the southwest. To clarify what we mean with retreat we added a sentence to the text:

   "Once the south- western border of the low-level cloud deck reaches the area with lower relative humidity at around 0500 UTC, no new clouds are able to form and the clouds slowly dissolve from the south-west and gradually retreat to the north-east."

29. *p.7 line 27-28: "this simulation" which simulation? The COSMO 500m simulation of the current paper, or the Schuster et al. (2013) simulation?*

   Response: To clarify this we changed "this simulation" to "our simulation/this present simulation":

"The strength and height of the LLJ are comparable to the LLJ characteristics during cloudy nights reported by Schrage and Fink (2012) and Schuster et al. (2013) considering that the LLJ and cloud base in our simulation are roughly constant in height above sea level. The low-level clouds in the present simulation first form directly upstream of the Oshogbo Hills due to orographically induced lifting and then spread to the south-west, i.e. to the upstream side."

30. *p.7 line 32: "this process has not been reported before" – Anywhere? Or just for this particular region in southern West Africa?*

    Response: As far as we know, this process has not been reported anywhere before:

    "According to our knowledge, this process has not been reported in any region before."

31. *p.8 line 7: Specify that Schuster et al. (2013) used simulations and Van der Linden et al. (2015) used observations.*

    Response: We added this to the text:

    "Besides the formation of low-level clouds over land upstream of orography, it is found in simulations (Schuster et al., 2013) and satellite observations (van der Linden et al., 2015) that low-level clouds form early along the Guinea Coast and then spread inland."

32. *p.8 line 9: "latter" – I'm not sure which process is referred to here, perhaps rephrase the sentence so that "latter" does not need to be used.*

    Response: We rephrased the sentence:

    " The model-domain size and location in this study do not allow to study cloud formation along the coast in detail."

33. *p.8 line 32-33: "In the opinion of the authors" – Who? Grams et al.? Please rephrase.*

    Response: We rephrased the sentence:

    "They investigated a sea-breeze front on the coast of Mauretania, which is stationary during the day and moves several hundred kilometres inland in the evening and state that the stationarity results from the balance between horizontal advection of cool maritime air and turbulent mixing in the convective boundary layer."

References

Boutle, I., Finnenkoetter, A., Lock, A., and Wells, H.: The London Model: forecasting fog at 333 m resolution, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 142, 360–371, 2016.

Durran, D. R.: Mountain waves and downslope winds, in: Atmospheric processes over complex

terrain, edited by Blumen, W., vol. 23 of Meteorol. Monographs*, pp. 59–81, Amer. Meteorol. Soc., Boston, 1990.*

Nocturnal low-level clouds over southern West Africa analysed using high-resolution simulations

Bianca Adler[1], Norbert Kalthoff[1], and Leonhard Gantner[1]

[1]Institute of Meteorology and Climate Research, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT), Germany

Correspondence to: Bianca Adler (bianca.adler@kit.edu)

Abstract. We performed a high-resolution numerical simulation to study the development of extensive low-level clouds which frequently form over southern West Africa during the monsoon season. This study was made in preparation for a field campaign in 2016 within the Dynamics-aerosol-chemistry-cloud interactions in West Africa (DACCIWA) project and focuses on an area around the city of Savè in southern Benin. Nocturnal low-level clouds evolve a few hundred metres above the ground around the same level as a distinct low-level jet. Several processes are found to determine the spatio-temporal evolution of these clouds including (i) significant cooling of the nocturnal atmosphere caused by horizontal advection with the south-westerly monsoon flow during the first half of the night, (ii) vertical cold air advection due to gravity waves leading to clouds in the wave crests and (iii) enhanced convergence and upward motion upstream of existing clouds that trigger new clouds. The latter is caused by an upward shift of the low-level jet in cloudy areas leading to horizontal convergence in the lower part and to horizontal divergence in the upper part of the cloud layer. Although this single case study hardly allows for a generalisation of the processes found, the results added to the optimisation of the measurements strategy for the field campaign and the observations will be used to the test the hypotheses for cloud formation resulting from this study.

1 Introduction

During the West African monsoon season, nocturnal low-level stratiform clouds frequently form over southern West Africa with a cloud base of only few hundred metres above ground (Schrage and Fink, 2012; Schuster et al., 2013; van der Linden et al., 2015). From synoptic observations and various satellite products van der Linden et al. (2015) derived a climatology of low-level clouds over southern West Africa for the monsoon seasons from 2006 to 2011. The affected area covers approximately 800,000 km^2. Low-level clouds frequently form shortly after sunset along the coast and upstream of the Mampong Range in Ghana and the Oshogbo Hills in Nigeria and spread during the night (Schuster et al., 2013; van der Linden et al., 2015). As these clouds persist into the late morning or early afternoon, they reduce surface solar radiation and could strongly affect the regional heat and moisture budgets and, thus, the West African climate (Knippertz et al., 2011).

The mechanisms controlling the formation and maintenance of the low-level clouds were investigated by Schrage and Fink (2012) using observations at Nangatchori (Benin, 9.70° N, 1.68° E, 434 m above mean sea level (MSL)) and by Schuster et al. (2013) who simulated the 2006 monsoon season and analysed atmospheric conditions averaged for clear and cloudy nights. Based on these few studies, current theories relating to low-level cloud formation suggest that these clouds evolve in connection

with a nocturnal south-westerly low-level jet (LLJ), which forms regularly in this region and is linked to the Saharan heat low (e.g. Parker et al., 2005; Lothon et al., 2008; Abdou et al., 2010). The jet axis is several hundred metres above ground, i.e. generally around the same level as the low-level clouds. In the simulations of Schuster et al. (2013), the LLJ is on average several m s^{-1} stronger during cloudy nights than during clear nights. They propose that the relevance of different processes to low-level cloud formation varies depending on the region. While shear-generated turbulent vertical mixing of moisture underneath the LLJ is a main process close to the coast, orographically induced lifting upstream of higher terrain is more important farther inland. In both regions, horizontal cold air advection is strong in and underneath the LLJ layer and radiative cooling at the cloud top helps to maintain the low-level clouds once they have formed. Schrage and Fink (2012) propose that vertical mixing of moisture due to shear-generated turbulence underneath the LLJ is the major process for cloud formation far inland at Nangatchori. However, no answers were given to the questions why many nights with an LLJ remain clear and why the LLJ does not form during some nights with low-level clouds.

The existing studies suggest that a lot of effort is still needed to identify controls of the development of the low-level clouds and to improve the understanding of the involved physical processes. In particular, there is a large demand for high-quality observations in this region for process studies and model validation. To meet this demand, a field campaign was conducted in the 2016 monsoon season in southern West Africa within the framework of the Dynamics-aerosol-chemistry-cloud interactions in West Africa (DACCIWA) project (Knippertz et al., 2015a). In the regions favourable for low-level clouds (van der Linden et al., 2015), three supersites were installed at Kumasi (Ghana), Savè (Benin) and Ile-Ife (Nigeria).

In preparation for this field campaign, we performed high-resolution simulations with the COSMO model for the area around the supersite at Savè (8.00° N, 2.43° E, 166 m MSL), i.e. about 200 km inland from the Guinea Coast (Fig. 1). At this supersite, various remote-sensing and in-situ systems, including the KITcube mobile observation platform (Kalthoff et al., 2013), were operated during the field campaign. This study aims at identifying possible controls of the development of the nocturnal low-level clouds in the simulation. These results were then used to optimise the measurement strategy for the field campaign. Contrary to Schuster et al. (2013) who simulated a large part of southern West Africa for the whole monsoon season of 2006, we performed a case study for a smaller domain around the supersite at Savè with a significantly higher horizontal and vertical resolution. This set-up was chosen to resolve the vertical structure of the nocturnal boundary layer and the LLJ as well as small-scale processes possibly relevant to the low-level clouds.

The paper is structured as follows: the next section covers the model set-up, followed by a description of the simulated evolution of low-level clouds in Section 3. In Section 4, we analyse the atmospheric conditions and processes relevant to low-level clouds for different phases of the night. Section 5 presents a discussion and Section 6 provides a summary and conclusions.

2 Model set-up

For this study, we use the Consortium for Small Scale Modeling (COSMO) model, version 5.1, which is a fully compressible non-hydrostatic regional weather forecast model (Schättler et al., 2014) used for operational weather forecasting as well as

for scientific applications down to high resolutions. The model run was performed with a horizontal grid spacing of around 500 m (0.005°) and a hybrid system with 80 layers up to 22 km with 33 layers below 1.8 km. COSMO model simulations with similar high horizontal resolution have been performed by Fiori et al. (2010) to study deep moist convection and by Gantner et al. (2016) to investigate the cloud-topped boundary layer. For comparison, Schuster et al. (2013) used a horizontal grid spacing of 3 km and 70 vertical layers, of which 20 were below 1.8 km. The horizontal differencing in the COSMO model is done on a latitude/longitude grid using an Arakawa C-grid and a generalised terrain-following height coordinate is implemented in vertical direction. For turbulent diffusion, we use a 3-D turbulence parametrisation based on the extended Smagorinsky model according to Herzog et al. (2002) and also applied by Fiori et al. (2010) and Gantner et al. (2016). In this parametrisation turbulence diffusion coefficients depend on horizontal and vertical grid size, stability and turbulent kinetic energy, which is retrieved from a prognostic equation. Due to the high horizontal resolution, the model is convection-resolving and therefore convection parametrisation is turned off in this simulation. At lower horizontal resolutions, sub-grid-scale clouds are considered in the radiation scheme after Ritter and Geleyn (1992) by applying either a relative humidity criterion or a statistical criterion. However, the consideration of sub-grid scale clouds is highly uncertain at such high horizontal resolution as chosen in this study and it is not clear whether the criteria are applicable or not (Boutle et al., 2016). We performed sensitivity tests for the region in West Africa and found that the consideration of sub-grid-scale clouds in the radiation scheme delays the transition from stratiform clouds to convective clouds by several hours, while the impact on the qualitative characteristics of the nocturnal low-level clouds is small. For the simulation analysed in this study, we decided to consider only grid-scale clouds in the radiation scheme, which is called every 15 min. Whether this choice leads to more realistic results or not, could be investigated in upcoming studies using observations from the DACCIWA field campaign. Using an online trajectory module implemented in COSMO (Miltenberger et al., 2013), trajectories are started hourly at 7.5° N between 1.5° and 2.5° E at various levels below 2000 m MSL in order to obtain information on the origin of air parcels which are involved in the evolution of the low-level clouds.

For initialisation and boundary conditions of the atmospheric part of the model, a convection-resolving simulation with 2.8 km horizontal grid spacing performed with the COSMO model for the northern half of Africa for the whole year of 2006 (Maurer et al., 2016) is used. An advantage of using this simulation is that the lower boundary, particularly the soil moisture, is tuned and long spin-up times are unnecessary. As the 2.8-km simulation is initialised on 1 January 2006 and the model domain is very large (about 2500 x 1800 grid points), the simulated atmospheric conditions on a specific day do not necessarily agree with the observations. However, the overall characteristics of the simulated data are realistic and precipitation statistics match well with Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) data (Maurer et al., 2016).

We examine the 2.8-km simulation for periods during the monsoon season when the conditions in the area of interest are characterised by conditions assumed to be favourable for the evolution of low-level clouds (Schrage and Fink, 2012). This means we are looking for periods with a south-westerly monsoon flow, a strong nocturnal LLJ and high relative humidity at low levels, which are free of disturbances by large-scale events such as mesoscale convective systems and free of precipitation. Of these periods, we choose a night at the beginning of August for analysis. The simulation is initialised at 1200 UTC and runs for 30 h with the boundary conditions being updated every hour. For the analysis, we use 15-min model output on z-levels.

3

Times are given in UTC, the local standard time in Benin being UTC plus 1 h. The model domain ranges from 6.5° to 9° N and 1° to 3.5° E and contains 501 x 511 grid points (Fig. 1). To prevent boundary effects, the analysis is confined to a smaller area with about 100 km distance to the upstream (southern and western) boundaries. In the area used for the analysis, the terrain gradually increases from south to north, with Savè being about 100 km south-west, i.e. upstream, of the Oshogbo Hills, which rise up to 500 m MSL. To assess the impact of different terrain heights on the low-level clouds, we investigate two areas of 40 km x 40 km in detail: the SAVE area, which is centred on the location of the supersite at Savè, is characterised by a mean terrain height of 156 m MSL and the HILLS area is directly upstream of the peaks of the Oshogbo Hills with a mean terrain height of 322 m MSL.

3 Evolution of low-level clouds

To obtain information about the spatial distribution and temporal evolution of low-level clouds, we accumulate the liquid water content up to 1200 m MSL, i.e. up to the top of the domain-averaged low-level clouds, (Figs. 2a, b) and average profiles of the liquid water content for both areas SAVE (Fig. 2c) and HILLS (Fig. 2d). Throughout the night, the horizontal and vertical extent as well as the liquid water content of the low-level clouds vary, allowing us to distinguish different phases. In the following, we use the term density to distinguish between clouds with low and high liquid water content.

Before about 2200 UTC, the analysed area is free of low-level clouds (Phase 0). First low-level clouds form after around 2200 UTC in HILLS and after midnight in SAVE (Phase 1, Figs. 2c, d). During this phase, the low-level clouds are denser and more extended over the higher terrain in the north-eastern part of the analysed area (Fig. 2a). In the other parts of the area, clouds remain rather patchy and less dense. After 0300 UTC, dense low-level clouds spread towards the south-west, thus, increasing the liquid water content in SAVE (Phase 2, Figs. 2b, c). After around 0500 UTC, the dense clouds withdraw somewhat to the north-east, causing the averaged liquid water content in SAVE to decrease (Fig. 2c). During this phase, the clouds in HILLS have a higher density and a larger vertical extent than during Phase 1 (Fig. 2d). Considering the different terrain heights, cloud-base heights above sea level are remarkably similar in both areas (Figs. 2c, d). With the onset of surface heating, the cloud base rises after 0800 UTC and convective clouds form.

4 Atmospheric conditions and processes relevant to low-level clouds

The differences in the temporal and spatial evolution of clouds in SAVE and HILLS suggest that different processes are relevant in the two areas. To understand the evolution of low-level clouds, we analyse in detail the atmospheric conditions and the processes such as advection, which affect these conditions during the different phases. For this purpose, we calculate tendencies of potential temperature and moisture from the 15-min model output and average them for the SAVE (Fig. 3) and HILLS areas (not shown). When using the term moisture, we are referring to specific humidity. To study contributions to the tendencies by advection, we determine horizontal and vertical advection for each grid point and each level and average over the area. Note that parts of the contributions by advection result from inclined isentropes and isohumes over the smoothly sloping

4

terrain. As contributions of sub-grid-scale mixing, divergence of net radiation or phase changes to the tendencies, cannot be quantified in the simulation due to unavailable output, residuals result. The residuals are determined by simply subtracting the advection terms from the tendencies (Figs. 3d, h). Although the residuals are influenced by subtracting the instantaneous advection terms from the tendencies calculated from the 15-min output, the magnitude and sign of the residuals provide some qualitative information: for example, the residual for the heat budget is found to be very large near the surface during Phase 0 when a nocturnal inversion evolves due to surface cooling (negative sensible surface heat flux) and in the morning when the convective boundary layer forms due to heating of the surface (positive sensible surface heat flux). During Phases 1 and 2, the budgets are dominated by the contributions of the advection terms.

4.1   Before the onset of low-level clouds (Phase 0)

The evolution of the stably stratified nocturnal boundary layer is significantly influenced by strong cooling and moistening in the first half of the night (shown for SAVE in Figs. 3a, e), leading to a rapid increase of relative humidity throughout the lower atmosphere (shown for SAVE in Fig. 4).

Most of the cooling and moistening occurs between 2030 and 2300 UTC in SAVE (Figs. 3a, e) and between 2100 and 2300 UTC in HILLS (not shown). Due to box averaging, cooling and moistening appears to be rather smooth. The analysis of spatial distributions of atmospheric variables (not shown), however, reveals that the temperature and humidity changes are associated with a cool and moist air mass which propagates northwards showing a front-like, sharp, roughly west-east oriented boundary on its leading edge. Behind the front, relative humidity increases to more than 90 % (Fig. 4), making the atmosphere favourable for the formation of clouds.

As the front seems to play an important role for the formation of the low-level clouds, we investigate the 2.8-km simulation during the months of July and August 2006 for the occurrence of this front. In particular, we inspect relative humidity at 950 hPa (around 500 m MSL). The front occurs regularly when an undisturbed south-westerly monsoon flow prevails along the coast and further inland. Figure 5 shows the relative humidity at 950 hPa averaged for the two months. The front evolves along the coast after noon, which is reflected by a strong gradient in relative humidity between the relatively cool maritime air mass over the Gulf of Guinea and warmer air over land (Fig. 5a). In general, moisture increases from south to north, which is related to strong evaporation over land. Within this large-scale moisture difference a local maximum exists along the front. This is caused by moisture convergence and upward transport of moisture from close to the surface when the monsoon flow decelerates due to surface friction when reaching the coast. During the afternoon, the front is rather stationary located about 30 km inland along the coast of eastern Ghana, Togo and western Benin. Further to the east, the front is more diffuse and locates farther away from the coast. After 1600 UTC, the front starts penetrating inland and reaches SAVE at around 2100 UTC on the average (Fig. 5b), which agrees well with the conditions of the case study (Figs. 3a, e and 4). During the subsequent hours, the front becomes more diffuse on the average, but continues to propagate inland.

5

4.2 First clouds (Phase 1)

During the passage of the front, clouds with low density already form in HILLS (Fig. 2d). Trajectories reveal that air parcels rise by up to 200 m when following the smoothly rising terrain to the north-east, leading to continuous cooling, an increase of relative humidity and the formation of clouds (Figs. 6a, b). This is in agreement with heat-budget calculations, which reveal that horizontal and vertical cold air advection is responsible for the maintenance of the clouds in HILLS (not shown). This suggests that orographically induced lifting is most important for cloud formation in the north-eastern part of the analysed area.

Once the front has passed SAVE at around 2300 UTC, it takes another hour of additional cooling and moistening, mainly between about 550 and 800 m MSL and primarily due to vertical advection, before first clouds with low density and small vertical extent evolve (Fig. 3). Below this layer, cooling due to horizontal advection persists and weak horizontal dry air advection occurs, which agrees with the general large-scale south-north increase of moisture. Horizontal advection after the passage of the front is largely related to the LLJ, which evolves after 2200 UTC in SAVE and reaches up to 12 m s^{-1} at around 700 m MSL (contours in Fig. 2c). Vertical advection is caused by mean upward motion in SAVE, which transports moist and cool air from below. Besides orographically induced lifting, which is less strong in SAVE than in HILLS due to different terrain gradients, gravity waves indicated by the wavelike structure of the trajectories contribute to the upward motion in the nocturnal atmosphere (Fig. 6). Lifting and cooling cause the highest relative humidity in the wave crests. During Phase 1, saturation is reached in wave crests and clouds form in broken band-like structures perpendicular to the mean flow in some regions in the south-western part of the analysed area (Fig. 2a and black markers in Fig. 6c). To further investigate the gravity waves, we produced cross sections through the centre of SAVE of horizontal wind, vertical wind and potential temperature aligned along the mean wind direction (Fig. 7). Gravity waves evolve between about 400 and 1000 m MSL with vertical motion being strongest in the stably stratified layer between around 600 and 800 m MSL. Fast Fourier Transformation reveals a phase lag of roughly 90° between the waves of potential temperature and vertical wind speed, which is a characteristic of gravity waves (Durran, 1990), and dominant wave lengths for both variables ranging between 5 and 10 km (not shown). Due to low static stability and/or high wind shear, the Richardson number is smaller than the critical value of 0.25 below and above the height of the LLJ maximum (not shown) and sub-grid-scale turbulence (horizontal lines in Fig. 7b) could contribute to an upward transport of moist and cool air. However, the small residuals of heat and moisture budgets during this phase (Figs. 3d, h) suggest that this process is not very effective.

4.3 Increase of density and spatial expansion of clouds (Phase 2)

As described in Section 3, dense extended low-level clouds are confined to the north-eastern part of the analysed area, i.e. downstream of SAVE, during Phase 1. After 0300 UTC, dense clouds suddenly start to form in the south-western part as well and affect SAVE (Figs. 2b, c). We assume that two processes are mainly responsible for this: (i) during Phases 0 and 1, the atmosphere below the clouds is continuously cooled by horizontal advection while vertical cold air advection mainly affects the layer where clouds form (Figs. 3a, b, c). Changes in moisture are small (Figs. 3e, f, g). Consequently, relative humidity

increases continuously in the respective layer (Fig. 4). (ii) In this environment, enhanced vertical cold air advection leads to the evolution of dense clouds in SAVE, initiating Phase 2 (Figs. 3a, c). The enhanced vertical cold air advection is mainly caused by an increase of the mean upward motion (Fig. 8a). This process is also reflected by the trajectories of air parcels started at 0200 UTC (Figs. 6c, d): air parcels rise by about 100 m in SAVE (between around 60 and 80 km from starting point in Fig. 6d), leading to saturation (Fig. 4) and clouds (Figs. 2b, c and 6c, d). This strong rise of trajectories in SAVE does not occur before (Figs. 6a, b).

The sudden stronger upward motion cannot be caused by gravity waves, because their amplitude and frequency remain about the same. Instead, it is related to a significant increase in horizontal convergence below about 800 m MSL, i.e. in the lower part of the clouds and below them (Fig. 8b). Horizontal convergence in SAVE is related to a modification in stratification and horizontal wind profiles in areas with dense clouds compared to cloud-free areas: static stability is significantly lower within clouds than at the same level outside the clouds, as is visible along the cross section through the centre of SAVE (Fig. 8c). At the same time, static stability at the cloud top is higher compared to the same level in cloud-free areas. This shift in stratification within the clouds is probably caused by enhanced turbulent kinetic energy (white lines in Fig. 8d), latent heat release due to condensation (positive residual of the temperature budget in the lower part of the cloud layer in Fig. 3d), radiative cooling at cloud top (negative residual of the temperature budget in the upper part of the cloud layer in Fig. 3d) and upward motion in the stably stratified atmosphere (Fig. 8a). The height of the LLJ maximum shifts towards the layer of maximum static stability (Fig. 8d). Consequently, horizontal wind speed increases near cloud top, while it decreases within the clouds. This results in horizontal convergence upstream of the clouds in the layers in the lower part and below the clouds and horizontal divergence in the layer near cloud top (Fig. 8b). When relative humidity has sufficiently increased due to process (i), process (ii) becomes active and triggers new dense clouds upstream of existing ones.

The dense clouds spread to the south-west and at 0500 UTC, the whole area of SAVE is covered by dense clouds (Figs. 2b, c). This also marks the maximum south-west extension of dense clouds. The relative humidity is generally lower by several % south-west of SAVE, which is likely related to the large-scale decrease of moisture from north to south. With an increasing coverage of dense clouds in SAVE – reflected by an increasing averaged liquid water content (Fig. 2c) – horizontal convergence, upward motion and, consequently, vertical cold air advection decrease again in this area (Figs. 3c and 8a, b). Once the south-western border of the low-level cloud deck reaches the area with lower relative humidity at around 0500 UTC, no new clouds are able to form and the clouds slowly dissolve from the south-west and gradually retreat to the north-east. When parts of SAVE become cloud-free at around 0630 UTC, process (ii) becomes active again with horizontal convergence and upward motion increasing (Figs. 8a, b) and some dense clouds forming. However, vertical cold air advection is much weaker than before (Fig. 3c), as the lapse rate in the former cloud layer is reduced due to turbulent mixing, latent heat release, radiative cooling and upward motion. As a consequence, horizontal warm air advection due to inclined isentropes is dominant and causes net warming and a reduction of relative humidity.

A convective boundary layer evolves after sunrise at 0600 UTC (Figs. 3a, d). When it reaches the bottom of the cloud layer in SAVE at around 0800 UTC, the cloud base starts to rise and eventually a transition to convective clouds occurs (Fig. 2c).

7

5   Discussion

The processes relevant to cloud formation take place on different scales: the increase of relative humidity due to horizontal cold air advection from the coast is related to the monsoon flow and the LLJ, i.e. to large-scale processes. Clouds are triggered by orographically induced lifting, gravity waves and horizontal convergence upstream of existing clouds, which occur on a scale of a few hundred to a few tenths of kilometres. In addition, turbulent sub-grid-scale mixing is important for the upward shift of the LLJ in cloudy areas. The capability of models to resolve any of these processes, of course, depends on the model-grid spacing. This has to be kept in mind when comparing these results to other studies.

The characteristics of the LLJ as well as the temporal evolution and spatial distribution of the low-level clouds are in general agreement with previous studies: the strength and height of the LLJ are comparable to the LLJ characteristics during cloudy nights reported by Schrage and Fink (2012) and Schuster et al. (2013) considering that the LLJ and cloud base in our simulation are roughly constant in height above sea level. The low-level clouds in the present simulation first form directly upstream of the Oshogbo Hills due to orographically induced lifting and then spread to the south-west, i.e. to the upstream side. The feature is also visible in satellite images (van der Linden et al., 2015), which supports our hypothesis that enhanced upward motion upstream of existing dense clouds triggers new clouds. We find that this process is most relevant to the evolution of dense extended low-level clouds in SAVE. According to our knowledge, this process has not been reported in any region before. In the simulations of Schuster et al. (2013) clouds form upstream of the Oshogbo Hills due to orgraphically induced lifting and intensify during the night. However, no clear extent to the south-west upstream side can be distinguished. This could be related to the coarser grid spacing of the model or to different parameterisations. On the one hand, the modification of the horizontal wind field due to the grid-scale clouds is related to model-grid spacing. On the other hand, the upward shift of the layer with maximum static stability and, hence, of the LLJ is at least partly caused by sub-grid-scale turbulent mixing, which depends on the parameterisation in the model. The analysis of the sensitivity of this trigger mechanism to different turbulence paramterisations and grid spacing is beyond the scope of this study, but could be addressed in future studies.

Besides the formation of low-level clouds over land upstream of orography, it is found in simulations (Schuster et al., 2013) and satellite observations (van der Linden et al., 2015) that low-level clouds form early along the Guinea Coast and then spread inland. The model-domain size and location in this study do not allow to study cloud formation along the coast in detail. Nevertheless, we hypothesise that conditions at the coast and upstream of orography are generally earlier favourable for cloud formation than in other areas over land. In the course of the night, conditions become more favourable in the other areas as well – likely due to horizontal cold air advection and radiative cooling – leading to an extent of low-level clouds from the coast to the north as well as to the south-west upstream of orography.

In this simulation, gravity waves in the LLJ layer generate clouds. To exclude that the gravity waves are caused by numerical effects and enter the domain through the boundaries, we performed another model run with a doubled domain size. The southern boundary for this run is located over the Gulf of Guinea. It is evident that the gravity waves are generated over land within the domain and do not enter through the domain borders and travel through the domain. The gravity waves evolve under stable stratification and significant vertical wind shear, i.e. under conditions in which gravity waves are common features (e.g. Fritts

8

et al., 2003; Newsom and Banta, 2003; Wang et al., 2013). Besides vertical wind shear, some of the gravity waves seem to be linked to orographic features, although obstacle heights upstream of SAVE are on the order of 50 m only.

The front which exists along the coast of southern West Africa and moves inland in the evening is a regular feature in the 2.8-km simulation. As far as we know, it has not been reported before in this region, which is maybe due to a lack of observations or to lacking analysis of high-resolution simulations. On the other hand, the formation of a sea breeze in this region was documented by satellite images (e.g. Cautenet and Rosset, 1989) and investigated using observations in Cotonou (Bajamgnigni and Steyn, 2013) and at several stations along the coast of Nigeria (Abayomi et al., 2007). The south-westerly monsoon flow during the wet season often prevents a reversal to offshore flow along the coast of southern West Africa in the evening (Bajamgnigni and Steyn, 2013) and may make the sea breeze indistinguishable from the large-scale flow as known from other regions (overview by Crosman and Horel, 2010). For this reason, we use the term "front" rather than "sea-breeze front" to describe the boundary between the cool maritime and the warm continental air masses, which evolves along the coast during the day. The temporal characteristics of the front, i.e. its stationarity during the day and its inland penetration in the late afternoon and evening, are highly similar to a study by Grams et al. (2010). They investigated a sea-breeze front on the coast of Mauretania, which is stationary during the day and moves several hundred kilometres inland in the evening and state that the stationarity results from the balance between horizontal advection of cool maritime air and turbulent mixing in the convective boundary layer. When turbulence decays in the evening, the sea-breeze front moves inland. Other authors report cool sea-breeze surges in northern (Garratt and Physick, 1985) and south-eastern Australia (Taylor et al., 2005) which penetrate up to 500 km inland at night with moderate onshore flow.

6   Summary and Conclusions

A high-resolution COSMO simulation was performed for southern West Africa during the monsoon season in order to identify possible controls of the development of nocturnal low-level clouds. The analysis was made in preparation for the DACCIWA field campaign and focuses on the area around the city of Savè (SAVE area), the location of one of the three supersites. The conditions during the case study are typical of nights with an undisturbed south-westerly monsoon flow prevailing in the area of interest.

Based on the simulation data we hypothesise that several processes are relevant to cloud formation; some of these are illustrated in Fig. 9. A sudden increase of relative humidity occurs in the first half of the night. This is related to a front which forms along the Guinea Coast during the day between the cool maritime and the warm continental air masses and moves inland in the late afternoon and evening. Subsequent cooling mainly due to horizontal advection with the LLJ and vertical advection results in the formation of clouds. Vertical cold air advection is related to orographically induced lifting (process 1 in Fig. 9) as well as to gravity waves which form in the stably stratified atmosphere around the level of the LLJ (process 2 in Fig. 9). In areas covered by clouds, the height of the LLJ maximum shifts to the top of the clouds, resulting in low-level horizontal convergence and upward motion upstream of the clouds. This triggers new clouds upstream of the existing ones, when saturation is reached

9

(process 3 in Fig. 9). Latent heat release due to condensation and radiative cooling at the cloud top likely contribute to cloud evolution as well, but are not the main mechanisms according to the heat and moisture budgets.

The simulation reveals some interesting processes contributing to the evolution of low-level clouds over southern West Africa, which have not been reported before. The results contributed to an optimised measurement strategy for the DACCIWA field campaign, as they emphasise the importance of measuring advection of heat and moisture, turbulence profiles, profiles of horizontal wind speed with high vertical and temporal resolution to resolve the structure and evolution of the LLJ and vertical motion in the LLJ layer to detect gravity waves. For example, wind profiles were measured with high temporal and vertical resolution using radiosondes, sodars and a UHF profiler. Research aircrafts were flying inland from the coast detecting horizontal gradients in e.g. temperature and moisture. A combination of vertical stare and scanning mode was chosen for a doppler lidar system to allow for detection of inhomogeneities in wind and backscatter in an area of up to 20 km x 20 km, which could provide evidence for the front, as well as for vertical velocity, which can be used as an indicator for gravity waves and to derive turbulence profiles. The observations will now be used to verify and test the hypotheses for cloud formation gained from this study.

Of course, it is difficult to draw any general conclusions with respect to the representativeness of the processes from this case study. Nevertheless, we think that the processes most likely are also effective in other regions and during other periods, as we chose a night with conditions typical of the monsoon season and two of the relevant processes – gravity waves and triggering of new clouds upstream of existing clouds – are rather independent of local orography. Nevertheless, the sensitivity of cloud formation to factors, such as orography, geographic location, variations of the LLJ and humidity distribution, model-grid spacing, model-domain size, turbulence parameterisation and aerosol-cloud interactions, which are believed to have a noticeable impact on cloud formation (Knippertz et al., 2015b), was not considered and could be investigated in the framework of the DACCIWA project.

References

Abayomi, A. A., Abiodun, B. J., and Omotosho, B. J.: An observational study of sea breeze over Nigerian coastal region, Res. J. Appl. Sci., 2, 745–751, 2007.

Abdou, K., Parker, D. J., Brooks, B., Kalthoff, N., and Lebel, T.: The diurnal cycle of lower boundary-layer wind in the West African monsoon, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 136, 66–76, 2010.

Bajamgnigni, A. G. and Steyn, D.: Sea breezes at Cotonou and their interaction with the West African monsoon, Int. J. Climatol., 33, 2889–2899, 2013.

Boutle, I., Finnenkoetter, A., Lock, A., and Wells, H.: The London Model: forecasting fog at 333 m resolution, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 142, 360–371, 2016.

Cautenet, S. and Rosset, R.: Numerical simulation of sea breezes with vertical wind shear during dry season at Cape of Three Points, West Africa, Mon. Wea. Rev., 117, 329–339, 1989.

Crosman, E. T. and Horel, J. D.: Sea and lake breezes: a review of numerical studies, Boundary-Layer Meteorol., 137, 1–29, doi:10.1007/s10546-010-9517-9, 2010.

Durran, D. R.: Mountain waves and downslope winds, in: Atmospheric processes over complex terrain, edited by Blumen, W., vol. 23 of *Meteorol. Monographs*, pp. 59–81, Amer. Meteorol. Soc., Boston, 1990.

Fiori, E., Parodi, A., and Siccardi, F.: Turbulence closure parameterization and grid spacing effects in simulated supercell storms, J. Atmos. Sci., 67, 3870–3890, 2010.

Fritts, D. C., Nappo, C., Riggin, D. M., Balsley, B. B., Eichinger, W. E., and Newsom, R. K.: Analysis of ducted motions in the stable nocturnal boundary layer during CASES-99, J. Atmos. Sci., 60, 2450–2472, 2003.

Gantner, L., Maurer, V., Kalthoff, N., and Kiseleva, O.: The impact of land-surface parameter conditions and resolution on simulated cloud-topped atmospheric boundary layers, Boundary-Layer Meteorol., submitted, 2016.

Garratt, J. R. and Physick, W. L.: The inland boundary layer at low latitudes: II sea-breeze influences, Boundary-Layer Meteorol., 33, 209–231, 1985.

Grams, C., Jones, S., Marsham, J., Parker, D., Haywood, J., and Heuveline, V.: The Atlantic inflow to the Saharan heat low: observations and modelling, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 136, 125–140, 2010.

Herzog, H.-J., Vogel, G., and Schubert, U.: LLM–a nonhydrostatic model applied to high-resolving simulations of turbulent fluxes over heterogeneous terrain, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 73, 67–86, 2002.

Kalthoff, N., Träumner, K., Adler, B., Späth, S., Behrendt, A., Wieser, A., Handwerker, J., Madonna, F., and Wulfmeyer, V.: Dry and moist convection in the boundary layer over the Black Forest - a combined analysis of in situ and remote sensing data, Meteorol. Z., 22, 445–461, doi:10.1127/0941-2948/2013/04 17, 2013.

Knippertz, P., Fink, A. H., Schuster, R., Trentmann, J., Schrage, J. M., and Yorke, C.: Ultra-low clouds over the southern West African monsoon region, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, 2011.

Knippertz, P., Coe, H., Chiu, J. C., Evans, M. J., Fink, A. H., Kalthoff, N., Liousse, C., Mari, C., Allan, R. P., Brooks, B., , Danour, S., Flamant, C., Oluwagbemiga, O. J., Lohou, F., and Marsham, J. H.: The DACCIWA project: Dynamics-aerosol-chemistry-cloud interactions in West Africa, Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 96, 1451–1460, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00108.1, 2015a.

Knippertz, P., Evans, M. J., Field, P. R., Fink, A. H., Liousse, C., and Marsham, J. H.: The possible role of local air pollution in climate change in West Africa, Nature Climate Change, 5, 815–822, 2015b.

Lothon, M., Saïd, F., Lohou, F., and Campistron, B.: Observation of the diurnal cycle in the low troposphere of West Africa, Mon. Wea. Rev., 136, 3477–3500, 2008.

Maurer, V., Bischoff-Gauß, I., Kalthoff, N., Gantner, L., Roca, R., and Panitz, H.-J.: Initiation of deep convection in the Sahel in a convection-permitting climate simulation for northern Africa, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., accepted, doi:10.1002/qj.2966, 2016.

5 Miltenberger, A., Pfahl, S., and Wernli, H.: An online trajectory module (version 1.0) for the nonhydrostatic numerical weather prediction model COSMO, Geoscientific Model Development, 6, 1989–2004, 2013.

Newsom, R. K. and Banta, R. M.: Shear-flow instability in the stable nocturnal boundary layer as observed by Doppler lidar during CASES-99, J. Atmos. Sci., 60, 16–33, 2003.

Parker, D., Burton, R., Diongue-Niang, A., Ellis, R., Felton, M., Taylor, C., Thorncroft, C., Bessemoulin, P., and Tompkins, A.: The diurnal
10 cycle of the West African monsoon circulation, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 131, 2839–2860, 2005.

Ritter, B. and Geleyn, J.-F.: A comprehensive radiation scheme for numerical weather prediction models with potential applications in climate simulations, Mon. Wea. Rev., 120, 303–325, 1992.

Schättler, U., Doms, G., and Schraff, C.: A Description of the Nonhydrostatic Regional COSMO-Model Part VII : User's Guide, Tech. rep., Deutscher Wetterdienst, P.O. Box 100465, 63004 Offenbach, Germany, http://www.cosmo-model.org, 2014.

15 Schrage, J. M. and Fink, A. H.: Nocturnal continental low-level stratus over tropical West Africa: observations and possible mechanisms controlling its onset, Mon. Wea. Rev., 140, 1794–1809, 2012.

Schuster, R., Fink, A. H., and Knippertz, P.: Formation and maintenance of nocturnal low-level stratus over the southern West African monsoon region during AMMA 2006, J. Atmos. Sci., 70, 2337–2355, 2013.

Taylor, J. R., Kossmann, M., Low, D. J., and Zawar-Reza, P.: Summertime easterly surges in southeastern Australia: a case study of thermally
20 forced flow, Australian Meteorol. Magazine, 54, 213–223, 2005.

van der Linden, R., Fink, A. H., and Redl, R.: Satellite-based climatology of low-level continental clouds in southern West Africa during the summer monsoon season, J. Geophys. Res., 120, 1186–1201, 2015.

Wang, Y., Creegan, E., Felton, M., Ligon, D., and Huynh, G.: Investigation of nocturnal low-level jet–generated gravity waves over Oklahoma City during morning boundary layer transition period using Doppler wind lidar data, J. Appl. Remote Sensing, 7, 073 487, 2013.

Figure 1. Location of the area of interest (red box) in West Africa (a) and orography of the area of interest in southern West Africa (b). The model domain and the area used for the analysis are indicated by the boxes with the solid grey and dashed grey lines, respectively, and the SAVE and HILLS boxes show the areas used for detailed investigation. The city of Savè is located in the centre of SAVE.

Figure 2. Spatial distribution of the liquid water content accumulated below 1200 m MSL averaged between 2200 and 0300 UTC (a; Phase 1) and between 0300 and 0800 UTC (b; Phase 2) and temporal evolution of the liquid water content (colour-coded) and horizontal wind in m s^{-1} (black contours) profiles averaged for SAVE (c) and HILLS (d). Grey shaded areas in (c) and (d) mark the mean terrain height.

Figure 3. Temporal evolution of tendencies and of contributions to tendencies by horizontal advection and vertical advection for potential temperature (a-c) and moisture (specific humidity; e-g) averaged for SAVE. The residuals are determined by subtracting the contributions by advection from the tendencies (d, h). Liquid water content in g kg^{-1} is indicated by the black contours. Grey shaded areas mark the mean terrain height.

15

Figure 4. Temporal evolution of relative humidity (colour-coded) and liquid water content in g kg^{-1} (black contours) averaged for SAVE. The grey shaded area marks the mean terrain height.

Figure 5. Spatial distribution of relative humidity (colour-coded) and horizontal wind (arrows) at 950 hPa at 1400 UTC (a) and 2100 UTC (b) averaged for the months of July and August 2006. Data are from the 2.8-km simulation.

Figure 6. Relative humidity along trajectories of air parcels started at 7.5° N and between 1.9 and 2.2° E between 500 and 700 m MSL at 0000 UTC (a, b) and at 0200 UTC (c, d). Black markers indicate non-zero liquid water content and grey triangles indicate the beginning of Phase 2 for the respective air parcels. In (a) and (c), the boxes mark SAVE and HILLS, respectively, and terrain height is shown as grey shading with darker colour indicating higher terrain. In (b) and (d), grey lines indicate terrain height along the trajectories.

18

Figure 7. Vertical cross sections of wind speed (colour-coded) and flow components (horizontal and vertical (multiplied by 10); vectors) (a) and vertical wind speed (colour-coded) and potential temperature in K (black contours) (b) along a cross section through the centre of SAVE aligned along the mean wind direction of $207°$ at 0000 UTC. The mean wind direction was calculated for the layer below 1000 m MSL. In (b), black horizontal lines show areas with a turbulent kinetic energy higher than 0.5 m^2 s^{-2}. The grey shaded areas mark the terrain height.

Figure 8. Profiles of vertical wind speed averaged for SAVE (a) and of horizontal wind divergence calculated for SAVE (b) at different times. Horizontal dashed lines enclose the layer where the liquid water content averaged for the shown period is larger than 0.01 g kg^{-1}. Vertical cross section of Brunt-Väisälä frequency (c) and horizontal wind speed (d) along a cross section through the centre of SAVE aligned along the mean wind direction of $223°$ at 0345 UTC. In (c) and (d), the mean wind direction is calculated for the layer below 1000 m MSL and black contours indicate liquid water content in g kg^{-1}. In (d), white horizontal lines show grid points with the turbulent kinetic energy higher than 0.5 m^2 s^{-2}. Grey shaded areas mark the terrain height.

Figure 9. Schematic illustration of the processes contributing to cloud formation, i.e. orographically induced lifting (1), gravity waves (2) and horizontal convergence and upward motion upstream of existing clouds (3). Grey shading marks the terrain, arrows indicate the horizontal flow and the black line illustrates the height of the LLJ axis. C and D mark areas with horizontal convergence and divergence, respectively, related to process 3.