

ANSWERS TO REVIEWERS

Impact of biogenic very short-lived bromine on the Antarctic ozone hole during the 21st century

R. P. Fernandez^{1,2}, D. E. Kinnison³, J-F. Lamarque³, S. Tilmes³ and A. Saiz-Lopez¹

General Answer

We are very grateful to anonymous Reviewer_ #1 and Bjoern-Martin Sinnhuber for their constructive comments and suggestions, which helped us to improve the manuscript. In the present revised version we have fully addressed all the reviewer's comments, including updates on references, clarifying descriptions of model configurations, validation of model performance, rephrasing of misleading implications and introducing corrections on tables and figures. We've also prepared a supporting document to be included as Supplementary Material, which summarizes the main responses given to the reviewers and complement the results presented in the main text.

To facilitate the reading, the original comments made by the reviewers have been copy-pasted here using **bold font**, while our answers are given in regular font. Additionally, we have copied into this response letter the current changes made to the original manuscript, using a *blue (corrected text)* and/or *italic (original text)* font type.

1 Anonymous Reviewer #1

1.1 General Remarks

This study examines the impact of VSL Br on stratospheric ozone depletion in the CAMChem model using multiple ensemble members including a coupled ocean. Finding better agreement with observations when the impact is included in the model but not finding any significant delay in the Antarctic ozone return date. Also, this work finds an increasingly important effect of biogenic bromine on the future Antarctic ozone layer. Overall I find the paper clear and well written and of interest to the ACP community, however, I do have strong concerns about the coarseness of the representation of the stratosphere in the model used and would appreciate the authors addressing these concerns or clearly stating the uncertainties that this may cause in their conclusions. I do appreciate the explicit representation of the bromocarbons, interactive ocean, and multiple ensembles used in this study but they still all rely on confidence in the representation of the stratosphere and its response to the forcing applied.

We thank Reviewer_#1 for his/her support and interest on the results shown in our work, and for recognising the goodness of the explicit representation of VSL chemistry in the model. We do understand his/her concerns about the capability of CAM-Chem in representing properly the stratosphere and how it responds to the different halogen forcings. We present below a detailed point-by-point answer to each of the specific questions raised by the reviewer. We have also modified the MS accordingly, and included a CAM-Chem vs.

WACCM comparison in the Supplement. In addition to the specific answers, we accepted the reviewer's suggestion and included the following explicit sentence in the conclusions highlighting this issue:

"Note, however, that free-running ocean interactive simulations as the ones performed in this work possess a very large model internal variability (~10 years difference between the shortest and largest return date for run^{LL+VSL}), so more ensemble members might be required to better address the important issue of the return date. Additional simulations including the explicit representation of VSL bromocarbons into Chemistry-Climate models representing the whole stratosphere would help to further reduce model uncertainties."

1.2 Specific Comments

The CAM-Chem model used in this study has 26 vertical levels and a model top around ~40km and in fig 1 state the top model level is around 5 hPa. Please add to the model description how many levels are above the tropopause. Typically models of this coarse vertical resolution have less than a dozen or so levels above the tropopause.

We have modified the description of the model configuration (Section 2, Methods) to include the information required by the reviewer:

"CAM-Chem was configured with a horizontal resolution of 1.9° latitude by 2.5° longitude and 26 vertical levels, from the surface up to ~40 km (~3.5 hPa). The number of stratospheric levels changes depending on the location of the tropopause: within the tropics, there are 8 levels above the tropopause (~100 hPa), with a mean thickness of 1.25 km (15.5 hPa) for the lower stratospheric levels and 5.2 km (3.8 hPa) between the two highest levels. Within the Polar Regions, the tropopause is located approximately at ~300 hPa and up to 15 model levels belong to the stratosphere."

Have you done any comparisons to a model with a well resolved stratosphere like WACCM with respect to circulation, mean age, PSC area, or ClOx, BrOx, NOx, HOx concentrations? That might help to quantify uncertainties or to understand the extent that a model with so few stratospheric levels can simulate or properly represent these important quantities.

CAM-Chem, as well as WACCM, were part of CCMVal-2 and so were included in many of the papers comparing the evolution of stratospheric ozone (Eyring et al., 2010a) as well as the model sensitivity to different greenhouse scenarios (Eyring et al., 2010b). More recently, both CAM-Chem and WACCM participated in the CMIP5 inter-comparison project, computing stratospheric ozone interactively (Eyring et al., 2013a). Note that for those studies an identical geographical and altitude configuration as the one described here was used, and CAM-Chem return dates estimations is behaving very much in the middle of the simulated return periods of the multi-model range (see Fig.1 in Eyring et al., (2010a)).

Lamarque et al. (2008) showed that even when CAM has a relatively low model top (~40 km), the model shows good ability at reproducing a variety of large- scale changes in climate and chemical composition in the stratosphere when forced with the observed sea-surface temperatures and surface concentrations of long-lived trace gases and ozone-depleting substances (more details are given in the answer to the Lamarque et al., (2012) comment below). Additionally, (Lamarque and Solomon, 2010) analysed the role of long-term increases in CO₂, SST and halocarbons in explaining the observed trend of ozone in the tropical lower stratosphere using CAM-Chem (v3), and compared the model performance against WACCM (see their Fig. 1, vertical distributions of the tropical vertical velocity).

Lamarque, J.-F., Kinnison, D. E., Hess, P. G. and Vitt, F. M.: Simulated lower stratospheric trends between 1970 and 2005: Identifying the role of climate and composition changes, J. Geophys. Res., 113(D12), D12301, doi:10.1029/2007JD009277, 2008.

Lamarque, J. F. and Solomon, S.: Impact of changes in climate and halocarbons on recent lower stratosphere ozone and temperature trends, J. Clim., 23(10), 2599–2611, doi:10.1175/2010JCLI3179.1, 2010.

CAM-Chem updates since WMO-2010 helped to improve the model performance. The implementation of a non-orographic gravity wave (GW) scheme for convection and fronts (originally developed for WACCM), as well as an inertia-gravity wave (IGW) parameterization, reduced stratospheric polar temperatures (which were biased warm) and increased chlorine activation and vortex size. As the limited vertical resolution (compared to WACCM) does not allow the internal computation of the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO), the QBO is imposed by relaxing equatorial zonal winds to the observed inter-annual variability. Additionally, stratospheric aerosol and surface area density data has been updated to the common observation-derived dataset for the CCMI project (Eyring et al., 2013b; Hegglin et al., 2014). A complete validation of current CAM-Chem version, focused on tropospheric issues but including total ozone column as well as stratospheric dynamics, is given in (Tilmes et al., 2016; see Figs. 2, 5 and 8).

We have updated the Methods section in the MS as follows:

“The current CAM-Chem version includes a non-orographic gravity wave scheme based on the inertia-gravity wave (IGW) parameterization, an internal computation of the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO) dependent on the observed inter-annual variability of equatorial zonal winds, and a CCMI-based implementation of stratospheric aerosol and surface area density (see Tilmes et al.(2016) for details).”

Finally, we added in the supplement a couple of figures comparing CAM-Chem and WACCM performance for equivalent REFC2 simulations including the additional 5 pptv VSL^{Br} contribution. The overall representation of the Total Ozone Column within the Southern Polar Cap, as well as the Age of Air at 50 hPa validates the correct performance of CAM-Chem in the stratosphere. We added the following lines into the MS:

“This model configuration uses a fully-coupled Earth System Model approach, i.e. the ocean and sea-ice are explicitly computed. More details of CAM-Chem performance at reproducing changes in dynamics and chemical composition of the stratosphere are given in the Supplementary Material.”

Recovery of Antarctic October ozone to 1980 levels occurs in the mid 2050s in the CAM-Chem simulations this is significantly earlier than the 4 models used in the WMO 2014 assessment which returned in the 2070s - 2080s (fig 3-15). These models had well resolved stratospheres and were evaluated in CCMVal-2 to have the best representation of stratospheric transport and chemistry. Why should we have confidence in the earlier recovery estimate from CAM-Chem or can you appropriately caveat the conclusions made with this uncertainty?

(2nd additional related comment by Reviewer_#1)

Page 2 lines 3-5 when discussing the Antarctic ozone return dates you reference the older CCMVal-2 and WMO 2010 results and not the more recent WMO 2014 which had a significantly later recovery estimate, please add mention of the WMO 2014 result here.

We thank Reviewer_#1 for highlighting the importance of comparing our results with the last WMO 2014 report, which present an update with respect to CCMVal-2 and WMO 2010. But

we could not find any recommendation in WMO 2014 suggesting the Antarctic return date lying between 2070-2080. Indeed, the first bullet within the WMO Scientific Summary respect to Future Changes on Polar Ozone states that (WMO, 2014; Chapter 3, p3.2):

...“Arctic and Antarctic ozone abundances are predicted to increase as a result of the expected reduction of ODSs. A return to values of ozone in high latitudes similar to those of the 1980s is likely during this century, with polar ozone predicted by CCMs to recover about 20 years earlier in the Arctic (2025–2035) than in the Antarctic (2045–2060). Updated ODS lifetimes have no significant effect on these estimated return dates to 1980 values.”...

Thus, there is no apparent difference on the 1980 return date recommendation between WMO 2010 and WMO 2014. Note that the estimated return dates obtained with CAM-Chem lie exactly on the (2045-2060) range given in both reports. Later, on page 3.31 and 3.32 of WMO 2014, it is made clear that the intention of Fig. 3-15 is to highlight that the SPARC 2013 updates on CFCs lifetimes do not possess a large impact on the future recovery of polar ozone. Literally:

...“Note that the differences are small and that they lie largely within the one standard deviation range, thus suggesting that the ODS lifetime change had no significant impact on the polar ozone recovery in either the Northern or Southern Hemisphere. However it should be noted that this “by chance ensemble” provides a MMM that is returning late to 1980s ozone values in the Southern Hemisphere, compared to the full WMO (2011) MMM.”...

We agree with Reviewer_#1 that the 4 selected models shown in Fig. 3-15 (one of them being WACCM) are showing a delayed return date to 1980 levels for the Southern Polar Cap. Within those 4 models, WACCM (red line) return date occurs at 2060, while the 1-sigma shaded area expands all the way down to 2050. However, the 1980 baseline ozone column on Fig. 3-15 is at ~340 DU, while Fig. 2A in the MS shows a TOZ^{SP} value of ~300 DU for year 1980. Evidently, the absolute return date depends on the defined ozone level prevailing at 1980, which rapidly varies between the mid-seventies and mid-nineties. Fig. S1 in the Supplementary Material show the evolution of TOZ^{SP} for equivalent REFC2-CCMI simulations computed with both CAM-Chem and WACCM, which show an excellent agreement for the whole modelled period. The excellent WACCM vs. CAM-Chem comparison in the stratosphere gives confidence on the validity of the results presented in this work.

In order to explicit include the WMO 2014 recommendations in the validation of our estimated return dates, we have modified the MS as follows:

“The multi-model CCMVal-2 ozone assessment (Eyring et al., 2010a) determined that the Antarctic ozone return date to 1980 values is expected to occur around years 2045–2060, while the impact of halogenated ozone depleting substances (ODS, such as LL^{Cl} and LL^{Br}) on stratospheric ozone photochemistry will persist until the end of 21st century. Even when the 2045-2060 Antarctic return date is currently the recommended projection within the latest Ozone Assessment Reports (WMO, 2011, 2014), enhancements of stratospheric sulfuric aerosols and/or the uncertainties on greenhouse gas loadings will be especially important for stratospheric ozone recovery during the 2nd half of the century.”

Page 3 lines 22-24 I couldn't find a figure in Lamarque et al. 2012 that shows reasonable overall stratospheric circulation from including the integrated momentum flux that needs to be in a model with such a low upper boundary. Can you cite or include figures that compare these simulation to observations of mean age or other measures of stratospheric circulation or transport? How is this handled in the future is it interactive or fixed. Is the circulation change over time comparable to models with a well resolved stratosphere.

We apologise to the reviewer for citing an incorrect reference, and appreciate his/her commitment to follow the cited article to check our model validation. The correct reference, which is now properly cited in the MS is (Lamarque et al., 2008). This work was aimed at understanding the mechanisms that drive observed trends in the lower stratosphere between 1970 and 2005, based on CAM v3 model simulations.

Fig. 18b on Lamarque et al., (2008) shows the zonal mean linear trend of the January-March zonal wind tendency due to gravity wave breaking, which has the effect of increasing momentum deposition where the gravity waves break. Additionally, the latitudinal variation of the mean age of air between 100 and 3.5 hPa is also shown in Fig. 17.

The gravity wave impact on stratospheric circulation is computed interactively in the model, obtaining an overall consistent agreement with WACCM. Please, also refer to the 1st answer given above and to the new figures in the Supplementary Material supporting CAM-Chem performance in the stratosphere.

Lamarque, J.-F., Kinnison, D. E., Hess, P. G. and Vitt, F. M.: Simulated lower stratospheric trends between 1970 and 2005: Identifying the role of climate and composition changes, J. Geophys. Res., 113(D12), D12301, doi:10.1029/2007JD009277, 2008.

Could you explain in the paper with a model top at around 5 hPa (from figure 1) how do you represent the 5-8% of total column ozone above the model top?

Section 2, Methods, has been modified as follows:

“To have a reasonable representation of the overall stratospheric circulation, the integrated momentum that would have been deposited above the model top is specified by an upper boundary condition (Lamarque et al., 2008). A similar procedure is applied to the altitude-dependent photolysis rate computations, which include an upper boundary condition that considers the ozone column fraction prevailing above the model top.”

Can you show or discuss how much Br goes through the tropical tropopause in these two sets of simulations are they consistent with published aircraft and satellite estimates when VSL Br is accounted for. How well is polar BrO columns modeled compared to observations in CAM-Chem.

Figure 1 of the original MS shows the stratospheric bromine loading due to LL and VSL sources, as well as for LL chlorine. In order to explicitly validate the halogen burden in the text, we have modified the 1st paragraph of the result Section 3.1 as follows:

“The dominant anthropogenic LL^{Cl} and LL^{Br} scenarios included in our REFC2 simulations (Tilmes et al., 2016) show a pronounced peak at the end of the 20th century and beginning of 21st century, respectively, after which both their abundances decline. The respective stratospheric abundances for LL^{Cl} and LL^{Br} for year 2012 are approximately 3260 ppbv and 15.4 pptv, in excellent agreement with the last (WMO, 2014) report. In comparison, the evolution of VSL^{Br} sources remains constant in time, with a present-day fixed contribution of ~5 pptv (Ordóñez et al., 2012). Added together, $LL^{Br} + VSL^{Br}$ show a stratospheric abundance of ~20.4 pptv at present time, in line with Fernandez et al. (2014) who validated CAM-Chem bromine abundances and stratospheric injection for year 2000 based on a multiple set of Specified Dynamics (SD) simulations.”

Please refer to the answer given to Reviewer_#2 (p4,128; p11,118) to complement our response here.

Figure 3 Why is Spring Aug.-Oct. rather than SON

We understand the reviewer finding out this spring definition quite un-common. The Antarctic hole formation is controlled by two different process: The chemical reactions and the physical-dynamical processes controlling the vortex formation and breakage as well as the stratospheric temperatures. As current work is mainly focused on the chemical perturbations of VSL^{Br} on the ozone hole, we rather focused on the initial spring-months where the ozone hole depth is mainly controlled by the chemical component. From November on, the independent evolution of the polar vortex (which is dynamically driven) within each ensemble run is very variable and affects the ozone hole evolution very differently, with a very small dependence on the VSL^{Br} loading existent at that time. Also, as the Southern Polar Cap area extends up to 63°S, the photochemical ozone destruction begins during August, peaks during September and maximizes its overall depth in October. Thus, we used this un-common definition with the aim of highlighting the VSL^{Br} contribution during the specific months when its impact is maximized. A complementary answer to this issue is given in the response to the 2nd reviewer below.

On Figure 5 there appears to be a significant difference in the early 1980s in ozone hole area between the observations and CAM-Chem simulations but I didn't see this mentioned in the text. Would you expect an underestimation of ozone hole area to be significant to the earlier return date found in CAM-Chem. How does this impact your conclusions?


We thank a lot reviewer_#1 for detecting the difference in Ozone Hole Area (OHA) for the early years. We had a bug in the post-processing code that unintentionally imposed NaNs (Not a defined Number) values for the date and OHA arrays before year 1990 for each of the independent simulations, which affected the ensemble mean value. We have now fixed the bug in the code and found an even better reproduction of satellite-derived OHA for the early years. Additionally, we have included in the Supplementary Material a new figure showing the OHA and OMD (Ozone Mass Deficit) validation for each of the ensemble members, including both the smoothed and non-smoothed data (see answer to large-scale oscillations below).

Figure 6 There appears to be large 30-year time scale variability in the polar cap ozone in the ensemble average is this coming from the ocean, can you explain. The panels with the time axis show dotted lines at 2000 and 2050 but if the label is correct on the other panels you are meaning to highlight 2030 instead. Same on figure 4 and fig 10.

We really thank the reviewer_#1 for highlighting the inconsistency between the vertical line for year 2050 and the zonal mean vertical distributions for year 2030. We have now corrected it on Figures 4, 6 and 10.

With regards to the large-scale oscillations observed for the ozone time series, they appear randomly in the smoothed fit of each of the independent simulations at different years. Even when the oscillations are reduced when the ensemble mean is computed, they still appear when the difference between sim^{LL+VSL} and sim^{LL} are computed (as well as when the difference between any couple of independent simulations is computed). We've tried to address this unexpected behaviour by performing different type of smoothing (moving average, hamming filter, etc.) and/or the average window considered (between 5 and 20 years) and found no dependence on the filter nor the smoothing window used. Thus, we understand these random oscillations are due of the different model variability between individual ensemble members. We also performed a power spectrum analysis to recognise the existence of a continuous wavelet oscillation on the output data, but could not assign the

existence of neither a 30-year nor a 11-year signal (as suggested by Reviewer_#2).



Note that many other papers showing the evolution of stratospheric ozone levels (Eyring et al., 2010a; Sinnhuber and Meul, 2015; Oman et al., 2016) show an oscillative behaviour as the one observed for our absolute ozone trends, but none of them show any panel with the differences between a couple of independent simulations. We wonder whether this is an issue also existent in the output of other climate simulations performed with other type of models.

In order to make this point clear, we added a 9-panel figure in the supplement showing the TOZ^{SP} evolution for each pair of the individual run^{LL} and run^{LL+VSL} simulations, including both smoothed and non-smoothed results. We also modified the text as follows:

“The 1960-2100 evolution of the total ozone column within the southern polar cap (TOZ^{SP} , between $63^{\circ}S-90^{\circ}S$) during October is illustrated in Fig. 2. Biogenic VSL^{Br} introduce a continuous reduction in TOZ^{SP} that exceeds the model ensemble variability between run^{LL} and run^{LL+VSL} experiments, and improves the overall model-satellite agreement (Fig. 2a). An individual panel for each independent simulation is shown in the Supplementary Material.”

“Our CAM-Chem results show that the range in the return dates for the different ensemble members of run^{LL+VSL} can be of almost 10 years (i.e., of the same magnitude as the VSL^{Br} enlargement suggested by previous studies), highlighting the importance of considering a multi-member ensemble mean when performing a future return date computation. Note that the return date shift for each individual simulation varies randomly independently of considering or not the smoothing filter (see Figs. S2 and S3 in the supplement).”

“The agreement to the monthly mean ozone mass deficit (OMD) and OHA values obtained from the NIWA-BS database (Bodeker et al., 2005) is largely improved when VSL^{Br} are considered (non-smoothed output for each independent simulation is shown in the Supplementary Material).”

Page 3 lines 13-15 For readers unfamiliar with CCMI-REFC2 can you state the GHG and ODS scenario used in this study.

We have explicitly included in the Methods section the specific GHG and ODS scenarios as follows:

“At the model surface boundary, zonally averaged distributions of long-lived halocarbons ($LL^{Cl} = CH_3Cl, CH_3CCl_3, CCl_4, CFC-11, CFC-12, CFC-113, HCFC-22, CFC-114, CFC-115, HCFC-141b, HCFC-142b$ and $LL^{Br} = CH_3Br, H-1301, H-1211, H-1202$ and $H-2402$) based

on the A1 halogen scenario from WMO, (2011) are considered, while surface concentrations of CO₂, CH₄, H₂, N₂O are specified following the moderate Representation Concentration Pathway 6.0 (RCP6.0) scenario (see Eyring et al. (2013) for a complete description of REFC2-CCMI setup).”

Page 4 lines 13-15 for the total column ozone database please state which version used (is it the latest) and what years it covers. If it continues through 2015 can figures 2 and 3 be extended to include more recent years.

We used version 2.8 of the Bodeker Scientific (NIWA) database for comparison of the Ozone Hole Area (OHA) computations. Even when there is an updated version (3.0) including data until 2015, the new version provides only unpatched daily data (without spatial or temporal interpolation). Using v3.0 would have implied to perform a “user defined” long-patch procedure, which would have made very difficult for other groups to reproduce results exactly as performed for this study. In order to compare our modelling results against the direct available data existent at present time, we decided to use the monthly mean patched data available for version 2.8 until equivalent data is available for the newest version. See comment below related to the new v3.0 database at:

<http://www.bodekerscientific.com/data/total-column-ozone:>

..“At this time only daily 'unpatched' data are available. We are working on generating monthly mean and patched data files as had been available in previous versions of the database. This is now a little more challenging as we intend to capitalize on the uncertainty estimates being available to calculate monthly means and patched data that incorporate realistic uncertainties. If you need the monthly mean or patched data, please continue to use version 2.8 of the database for now (see below).”...

We have modified the MS to describe the NIWA-BS database version used for comparison, as well as to include an additional comparison with non-smoothed data:

“Model results have been compared to the National Institute for Water and Atmospheric research – Bodeker Scientific (NIWA-BS) total column ozone database (version 2.8), which combines measurements from a number of different satellite-based instruments between 1978 and 2012 (Bodeker et al., 2005).”

...

“The agreement to the monthly mean ozone mass deficit (OMD) and OHA values obtained from the NIWA-BS database (Bodeker et al., 2005) is largely improved when VSL^{Br} are considered (non-smoothed output for each independent simulation is shown in the Supplementary Material).”

Page 8 line 19-20 4 years doesn't agree with difference 2047 and 2054 in the text. I think you meant to write 2051 instead of 2054.

You are correct. Thanks a lot for spotting this un-intentional error.

Given that the largest differences were found in the periphery of the ozone hole does the definition used 63-90 vs 60-90 make any difference in your dates. I have seen both regions used so either is fine, I would just suggest checking that it doesn't make a difference.

We appreciate this suggestion on the TOZ^{SP} definition. We performed the geographical integration of the total ozone column within the Southern Polar cap (TOZ^{SP}) for different

1 peripheral limits, including 60°S and 63°S, and found no differences on the return date nor the
2 ozone depth computed. We further performed a variable latitudinal-dependent TOZ^{SP}
3 computation, with the intention of determining the ideal outer limit definition, but no
4 interesting results were obtained from such analysis. We then decided to use the outer limit at
5 lat = 63°S as other works used that definition, including the Solomon et al. (2016) healing
6 paper to which we compare our results.

7
8 **Page 9 line 2 change deepest to deep Page 9 line 17 change “respect to” to “with respect**
9 **to” Page 10 line 5 same as above.**

10 Thanks a lot for these corrections, which have now been included in the MS.

2 Reviewer #2 BMS

The study by Fernandez et al. applies the CAM-Chem chemistry climate model to investigate the impact of oceanic emissions of very short-lived brominated source gases (VSL_S_Br) on the Antarctic ozone hole during the 21st century. This is a very thorough and well performed study and the paper is well written. Its analyses help to further understand results of previous studies and demonstrate the importance of oceanic VSL_S_Br emissions for stratospheric ozone. I suggest publication in Atmos. Chem. Phys. after consideration of the following comments.

We would like to thank Bjoern-Martin for his very constructive comments.

2.1 Specific Comments

For the comparison to the results of the recent study by Oman et al., Table 1 is revealing, showing a difference of almost 10 years in return dates for different ensemble members using the same boundary conditions. (Hope I understood this correctly.) I suggest to make this point even clearer when discussing the differences to Oman et al.

We find your appreciation very pertinent and have included a sentence highlighting this issue both in Section 3.2 and the Conclusions:

“Thus, the Antarctic ozone hole return date, determined following the standard computation relative to the ozone column existent in October 1980 (Eyring et al., 2010a, 2010b), is not significantly affected by the inclusion of natural VSL^{Br} sources. This result contradicts the recent findings from Yang et al. (2014) and Oman et al. (2016), who estimated an increase between 7 to 10 years on the ozone hole return date. Note, however, that the former study performed non-coupled (without an interactive ocean) timeslice simulations including a speculative doubling of VSL^{Br} sources on top of background LL^{Cl} and LL^{Br} levels representative of years 2000 and 2050, while Oman et al. (2016) considered a single member climatic simulation for each type of experiment and thus lacks an assessment of the internal model variability. Our CAM-Chem results show that the range in the return dates for the different ensemble members of run^{LL+VSL} can be of almost 10 years (i.e., of the same magnitude as the VSL^{Br} enlargement suggested by previous studies), highlighting the importance of considering a multi-member ensemble mean when performing a future return date computation. Note that the return date shift for each individual simulation varies randomly independently of considering or not the smoothing filter (see Figs. S2 and S3 in the supplement).”

...

“Note, however, that free-running ocean interactive simulations as the ones performed in this work possess a very large model internal variability (~10 years difference between the shortest and largest returned date for run^{LL+VSL}), so more ensemble members might be required to better address the important issue of the return date.”

The effect of VSL_S_Br maximizes in the late 1990s (e.g., Figs 4c and 6c), but there is a secondary maximum around 2030 (and following minor maxima around 2060 and 2090). Why is that? Is this an artifact from the 11-year smoothing?

Reviewer_#1 also noticed this 30-years oscillation on the ozone differences. Please refer to the answer given above.

p2,l20: Reference to Sinnhuber and Meul might be slightly misleading: They showed, that indeed the highest impact is during periods of high aerosol loading, but the strongest impact on ozone depletion is not at mid-latitudes, but at the Antarctic ozone hole.

True, and in order to avoid misleading interpretations, we have replaced the text as follows:

“The additional stratospheric contribution of biogenic VSL^{Br} improves the model/observations agreement with respect to stratospheric ozone trends between 1980 and present time (Sinnhuber et al., 2009), with large ozone depleting impacts during periods of high aerosol loading within mid-latitudes (Feng et al., 2007; Sinnhuber and Meul, 2015).”

...

“More recently, Sinnhuber and Meul, (2015) found that the impact of VSL^{Br} maximize in the Antarctic Ozone hole (~20% greater ozone depletion), while Oman et al., (2016) determined that the addition of 5 pptv VSL^{Br} to the stratosphere could delay the ozone return date to 1980 levels by as much as one decade.”

p4,l28: “...the increase in SST and atmospheric temperature ... is expected to ... additionally enhance the stratospheric injection of VSL_{Br}”: This effect should already be included in the current simulations, so would not be additional, as I understand?

p11,l18: “... or even more if the oceanic VSL_{Br} source strength and deep convection increases ...”: For the deep convection, I assume this is already considered here (see my comment above), while it should be acknowledged that the increase in oceanic source strength is largely speculative at this point.

As current work is focused on Antarctic Ozone, our original draft does not include an in-depth analysis of the evolution of VSL species on the tropical regions where most of the stratospheric injection occurs. Both reviewers have simultaneously addressed the importance of understanding the extent at which this “additional” VSL enhancement through changes in deep convection is occurring, something that we are describing in detail in another forthcoming paper. As the additional impact of VSL^{Br} on Antarctic Ozone depends on the total amount of biogenic bromine injected, we prefer to avoid discerning between source gas (SG^{VSL}) and product gas (PG^{VSL}) partitioning in this work, because a complete treatment of stratospheric injection must include additional factors (SST, emissions variability, age-of-air, convection, etc.). The additional enhancement of VSL^{Br} stratospheric injection, as we conceive, must include a detailed analysis of the inorganic fraction of VSL bromine (PG^{VSL}) being injected.

Preliminary results indicate that even when there is a gradual change in the bromine partitioning between carbon-bonded (SG^{VSL}) and inorganic (PG^{VSL}) species as we move into the 21st century, the total bromine injection of VSL^{Br} occurring at the tropical tropopause remain practically constant with time. Thus, the faster transport of air masses from the ocean surface to the tropical tropopause layer, seems to reduce the photo-degradation of the dominant VSL^{Br} organic sources, increasing the less reactive carbon-bonded fraction. To make this issue clear in the text, we have removed the term additionally and modified it as follows.

“Knowledge of the extent at which the inorganic fraction of VSL^{Br} is being injected to the stratosphere is of great importance as it strongly affect the ozone levels mostly in the lowermost stratosphere (Salawitch et al., 2005; Fernandez et al., 2014), which has implications at the altitudes where the strongest O₃-mediated radiative forcing changes due to greenhouse gases are expected to occur (Bekki et al., 2013). Note that the atmospheric

burden of the inorganic bromine portion in the tropical tropopause layer is highly dependent on the competition between heterogeneous recycling reactions, evaporation and washout processes occurring on the surface of ice-crystals (Aschmann et al., 2011; Fernandez et al., 2014)."

As for the speculative future evolution of VSL emissions, we have included it in the Methods sections when describing the scenarios used for the study.

"In order to avoid unnecessary uncertainties associated to the speculative evolution of VSL^{Br} oceanic emissions, we used a constant annual source strength for the whole modelled period."

p5, ozone hole evolution: Do the model simulations include volcanic eruptions or not? Would be good to mention during the discussion of Fig.2, as Pinatubo may have played a role.

Our REFC2 simulations follow the CCMI guidelines described in detail in Eyring et al., (2013), thus they include implicit representation of volcanic eruptions in the past but not for the future. We find not necessary to distract the attention into the specific impact of Pinatubo eruption on the Antarctic Ozone Hole, but we will do on a forthcoming work on the impact of VSL on the global stratosphere. To avoid any misinterpretation on this topic, we have modified the text within the Methods section as follows:

"Note that our REFC2 setup includes volcanic eruptions in the past, but possible volcanic eruptions in the future are not considered, as they cannot be known in advance (Eyring et al., 2013b)."

Fig. 3: The separation into different seasons is very helpful, but why is spring defined as AUG-SEP-OCT, instead of SEP-OCT-NOV, and why not include winter (JUN-JUL-AUG) for completeness?

In order to highlight the seasonal impact of VSL^{Br} on Antarctic ozone we focused on those months where the chemical component of ozone destruction dominates respect the dynamical component controlling the vortex formation/breakage (please, refer also to the answer given to Reviewer_#1 above). Thus, we decided to compute the seasonal average considering those months where the chemical impact is not strongly affected by the physical changes produced by a different dynamical evolution of the polar vortex within each ensemble run. In this way, we did not include August during Winter, as the CAM-Chem monthly output includes the initial springtime ozone depletion occurring at low latitudes (the polar cap definition extends up to 63°S). Similarly, November and December are not considered in Spring and Summer, respectively, as during those months usually occurs the vortex breakage. Even when for the Fall there are not any dynamical factor of interest to consider, we decided to compute a bi-monthly average in concordance with the remaining panels. We accepted the suggestion and added the JUN-JUL panel for completeness, although the Bodeker database has NaNs values for the Southern Polar Cap region during those months.

In order to make these points clear, we have modified the MS and figure caption as follows:

... "Agreement between model and observations for TOZ^{SP} and Δ TOZ^{SP}₁₉₈₀ improves for all seasons when VSL^{Br} are considered (Fig. 3). To highlight the additional chemical destruction of Antarctic ozone due to biogenic bromine, the monthly output where for those months where ozone depletion is dynamically controlled by the polar vortex formation and breakage (i.e., August and November/December, respectively) had been discarded." ...

...

1 *“Figure 3: Idem to Fig. 2, but computing the average for A,E) Spring (defined as SEP-OCT);*
2 *B,F) Summer (JAN-FEB); C,G) Fall (MAR-APR); and D,H) Winter (JUN-JUL). The monthly*
3 *output for the periods where a strong dynamical transition between seasons exists has not*
4 *been considered (see text for details)”.*

6 **2.2 Technical Corrections**

7 **Sometimes reference is to Carpenter et al., 2014, sometimes to WMO, 2014 (e.g., p2,l10)**
8 **with no obvious reason for the distinction.**

9 Chapter 1 in (WMO, 2014) summarizes the current Updates on Ozone-Depleting Substances
10 (ODSs) and Other Gases of Interest to the Montreal Protocol (Carpenter et al., 2014). In the
11 original MS, whenever we referred to this chapter, we pointed out to (Carpenter et al., 2014),
12 while when we were pointing at the ozone impact of VSL chemistry and/or the future
13 evolution of the ozone layer under different emission scenarios, we cited the whole report
14 (WMO, 2014). As we would need to cite more than 3 chapters from the report if we were to
15 make the same distinction as for Chapter 1, we accepted the reviewer suggestion and we now
16 only cite the whole (WMO, 2014) report at all times.

18 **p2,l13: Saiz-lopez -> Saiz-Lopez**

19 **p3,l31: "on 1950" -> "in 1950"**

20 **p7,l15: "at the lowermost" -> "in the lowermost" (?)**

21 All three corrections have been included in the revised MS.

Impact of biogenic very short-lived bromine on the Antarctic ozone hole during the 21st century

Rafael P. Fernandez^{1,2}, Douglas E. Kinnison³, Jean-Francois Lamarque³, Simone Tilmes³ and Alfonso Saiz-Lopez¹

5 ¹Department of Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate, Institute of Physical Chemistry Rocasolano, CSIC, Madrid, 28006, Spain.

²National Research Council (CONICET), FCEN-UNCuyo, UNT-FRM, Mendoza, 5500, Argentina.

³Atmospheric Chemistry, Observations & Modelling Laboratory, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO 80301, USA.

10 *Correspondence to:* Alfonso Saiz-Lopez (a.saiz@csic.es)

Abstract. Active bromine released from the photochemical decomposition of biogenic very short-lived bromocarbons (VSL^{Br}) enhances stratospheric ozone depletion. Based on a dual set of 1960-2100 coupled chemistry-climate simulations (i.e. with and without VSL^{Br}), we show that the maximum Antarctic ozone hole depletion increases by up to 14% when natural VSL^{Br} are considered, in better agreement with ozone observations. The impact of the additional 5 pptv VSL^{Br} on Antarctic ozone is most evident in the periphery of the ozone hole, producing an expansion of the ozone hole area of ~5 million km², which is equivalent in magnitude to the recently estimated Antarctic ozone healing due to the implementation of the Montreal Protocol. We find that the inclusion of VSL^{Br} in CAM-Chem does not introduce a significant delay of the modelled ozone return date to 1980 October levels, but instead affect the depth and duration of the simulated ozone hole. Our analysis further shows that total bromine-catalysed ozone destruction in the lower stratosphere surpasses that of chlorine by year 2070, and indicates that natural VSL^{Br} chemistry would dominate Antarctic ozone seasonality before the end of the 21st century. This work suggests a large influence of biogenic bromine on the future Antarctic ozone layer.

1 Introduction

The detection of the springtime Antarctic ozone hole (Farman et al., 1985) has been one of the great geophysical discoveries of the 20th century. The unambiguous scientific reports describing the active role of halogen atoms (i.e. chlorine and bromine), released from anthropogenic chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) and halons, in depleting stratospheric ozone (Molina and Rowland, 1974; McElroy et al., 1986; Daniel et al., 1999) led to the rapid and efficient implementation of the Montreal protocol in 1989 (Solomon, 1999). Since then, the consequent turnover on the anthropogenic emissions of long-lived chlorine (LL^{Cl}) and bromine (LL^{Br}) sources (WMO, 2014) has controlled the evolution of the strong springtime ozone depletion within the Antarctic vortex, and the first signs of recovery of the ozone hole became evident at the beginning of the 21st century (WMO, 2014; Chipperfield et al., 2015; Solomon et al., 2016).

Several coordinated initiatives have been conducted by the scientific community to predict the future evolution of the stratospheric ozone layer and its impact on climate change (Eyring et al., 2007, 2010b; Austin et al., 2010; WMO, 2014). The multi-model CCMVal-2 ozone assessment (Eyring et al., 2010a) determined that the Antarctic ozone return date to 1980 values is expected to occur around years 2045–2060, while the impact of halogenated ozone depleting substances (ODS, such as LL^{Cl} and LL^{Br}) on stratospheric ozone photochemistry will persist until the end of 21st century. Even when the 2045-2060 Antarctic return date is currently the recommended projection within the latest Ozone Assessment Reports (WMO, 2011, 2014), enhancements of stratospheric sulfuric aerosols and/or the uncertainties on greenhouse gas loadings will be especially important for stratospheric ozone recovery during the 2nd half of the century. Many studies show that dynamical and chemical processes affect the size, strength and depth of the ozone hole formation (see Solomon et al., (2015) and references therein). Ongoing research within the Chemistry-Climate Model Initiative (CCMI) (Eyring et al., 2013; Hegglin et al., 2014) includes model experiments that consider, along with the dominant LL^{Cl} and LL^{Br} anthropogenic emissions, an additional contribution from biogenic very short-lived bromocarbons (VSL^{Br}). This additional input of bromine is required to reconcile current stratospheric bromine trends (Salawitch et al., 2010; WMO, 2014).

VSL^{Br} are naturally released from biologically productive waters mainly within the tropical oceans (Warwick et al., 2006; Butler et al., 2007; Kerkweg et al., 2008), where strong convective uplifts efficiently entrain near surface air into the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (Aschmann and Sinnhuber, 2013; Liang et al., 2014; Saiz-Lopez and Fernandez, 2016). The current contribution of VSL^{Br} to total stratospheric inorganic bromine is estimated to be in the range of 3–8 pptv (Montzka et al., 2011; WMO, 2014; Navarro et al., 2015; Hossaini et al., 2016). The most accepted value for stratospheric injection is VSL^{Br} \approx 5 pptv, which currently represents approximately 30% of the total contribution from LL^{Br} substances arising from both anthropogenic and natural origins (\sim 7.8 pptv Halons + \sim 7.2 pptv CH₃Br \approx 15–16 pptv LL^{Br}). The additional stratospheric contribution of biogenic VSL^{Br} improves the model/observations agreement with respect to stratospheric ozone trends between 1980 and present time (Sinnhuber et al., 2009), with large ozone depleting impacts during periods of high aerosol loading within mid-latitudes (Feng et al., 2007; Sinnhuber and Meul, 2015). Although we still lack a scientific consensus with respect to the future evolution of VSL^{Br} ocean source strength and stratospheric injection (WMO, 2014), it will probably increase in the future following the increase on sea surface temperature (SST) and oceanic nutrient supply, as well as due to the enhancement of the troposphere-to-stratosphere exchange (Hossaini et al., 2012; Leedham et al., 2013).

Previous chemistry-climate modelling studies considering VSL^{Br} chemistry have mainly focused on improving the model vs. observed ozone trends at mid-latitudes with respect to equivalent setups considering only the dominant anthropogenic LL^{Cl} and LL^{Br} sources (Feng et al., 2007; Sinnhuber et al., 2009). However, those previous studies lack an in-depth timeline analysis of the VSL^{Br} impact on the ozone hole evolution during the current century. More recently, Sinnhuber and Meul, (2015) found that the impact of VSL^{Br} maximize in the Antarctic Ozone hole (\sim 20% greater ozone depletion), while Oman et al., (2016) determined that the addition of 5 pptv VSL^{Br} to the stratosphere could delay the ozone return date to 1980 levels by as much as one decade. Their result is in agreement with that of Yang et al., (2014), who

performed present-day timeslice simulations to address the sensitivity of stratospheric ozone to a speculative doubling of VSL^{Br} sources under different LL^{Cl} scenarios. Even when those works addressed the important question of the return date, conclusions were obtained considering a unique simulation member for each case and an approximate approach of VSL^{Br} ocean emissions. Here, using the CAM-Chem model (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2012; Fernandez et al., 2014; Tilmes et al., 2015, 2016), we present a coherent ensemble of coupled (with an interactive ocean) chemistry-climate simulations from 1960 to 2100 with and without the contribution of oceanic VSL^{Br} sources. We focus on natural VSL^{Br}-driven changes in the chemical composition and evolution of the Antarctic ozone hole during the 21st century, particularly on their influence on the seasonality and enlargement of the ozone hole area, ozone hole depth and return date to 1980s levels. The analysis shown here describes the ozone hole progress distinguishing the monthly seasonality from the long-term evolution. Additionally, we present a timeline assessment of individual contribution of anthropogenic and natural chlorine and bromine species to Antarctic ozone loss during the 21st century, recognizing the independent impact arising from LL^{Br} and VSL^{Br} sources to the overall halogen-catalysed O₃ destruction.

2 Methods

The 3-D chemistry climate model CAM-Chem (Community Atmospheric Model with Chemistry, version 4.0)(Lamarque et al., 2012), included into the CESM framework (Community Earth System Model, version 1.1.1) has been used for this study. The model setup is identical to the CCMI-REFC2 experiment described in detail by Tilmes et al. (2016), with the exception that the current setup includes a full halogen chemistry mechanism from the earth surface to the lower stratosphere (Fernandez et al., 2014): i.e., instead of considering a constant lower boundary condition of 1.2 pptv for bromoform (CHBr₃) and dibromomethane (CH₂Br₂) or increasing CH₃Br by 5 pptv, our model setup includes geographically-distributed and seasonal-dependent oceanic emissions of six bromocarbons (VSL^{Br} = CHBr₃, CH₂Br₂, CH₂BrCl, CHBrCl₂, CHBr₂Cl and CH₂IBr) (Ordóñez et al., 2012). At the model surface boundary, zonally averaged distributions of long-lived halocarbons (LL^{Cl} = CH₃Cl, CH₃CCl₃, CCl₄, CFC-11, CFC-12, CFC-113, HCFC-22, CFC-114, CFC-115, HCFC-141b, HCFC-142b and LL^{Br} = CH₃Br, H-1301, H-1211, H-1202 and H-2402) based on the A1 halogen scenario from WMO, (2011) are considered, while surface concentrations of CO₂, CH₄, H₂, N₂O are specified following the moderate Representation Concentration Pathway 6.0 (RCP6.0) scenario (see Eyring et al. (2013) for a complete description of REFC2-CCMI setup). In order to avoid unnecessary uncertainties associated to the speculative evolution of VSL^{Br} oceanic emissions, we used a constant annual source strength for the whole modelled period.

CAM-Chem was configured with a horizontal resolution of 1.9° latitude by 2.5° longitude and 26 vertical levels, from the surface up to ~40 km (~3.5 hPa). The number of stratospheric levels changes depending on the location of the tropopause: within the tropics, there are 8 levels above the tropopause (~100 hPa), with a mean thickness of 1.25 km (15.5 hPa) for the lower stratospheric levels and 5.2 km (3.8 hPa) between the two highest levels. Within the Polar Regions, the tropopause is located approximately at ~300 hPa and up to 15 model levels belong to the stratosphere. To have a reasonable representation

of the overall stratospheric circulation, the integrated momentum that would have been deposited above the model top is specified by an upper boundary condition (Lamarque et al., 2008). A similar procedure is applied to the altitude-dependent photolysis rate computations, which include an upper boundary condition that considers the ozone column fraction prevailing above the model top. The current CAM-Chem version includes a non-orographic gravity wave scheme based on the inertia-gravity wave (IGW) parameterization, an internal computation of the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO) dependent on the observed inter-annual variability of equatorial zonal winds, and a CCMI-based implementation of stratospheric aerosol and surface area density (see Tilmes et al. (2016) for details). The model includes heterogeneous processes for active halogen species in polar stratospheric clouds from MOZART-3 (Kinnison et al., 2007; Wegner et al., 2013). A full description of the CAM-Chem VSL configuration, detailing both natural and anthropogenic sources, heterogeneous recycling reactions, dry and wet deposition, convective uplift and large-scale transport has been given elsewhere (Ordóñez et al., 2012; Fernandez et al., 2014). This model configuration uses a fully-coupled Earth System Model approach, i.e. the ocean and sea-ice are explicitly computed. More details of CAM-Chem performance at reproducing changes in dynamics and chemical composition of the stratosphere are given in the Supplementary Material.

Two ensembles of independent experiments (each of them with 3 individual ensemble members only differing in their 1950 initial atmospheric conditions) were performed from 1960 to 2100 considering a 10 years spin-up to allow for stratospheric circulation stabilization (i.e., each simulation started in 1950). Note that our REFC2 setup includes volcanic eruptions in the past, but possible volcanic eruptions in the future are not considered, as they cannot be known in advance (Eyring et al., 2013). The baseline setup (run^{LL}) considered only the halogen LL^{Cl} and LL^{Br} contribution from anthropogenic CFCs, halons and methyl chloride/bromide; while the second set of simulations included, in addition to the run^{LL} sources, the background biogenic contribution from VSL^{Br} oceanic sources (run^{LL+VSL}). Differences between these two types of experiments allow quantifying the overall impact of natural VSL^{Br} sources on stratospheric ozone. Please note that whenever we refer to “natural” contribution, we are pointing out to the contribution of biogenic VSL^{Br} under a background stratospheric environment due to the dominant anthropogenic LL^{Cl} and LL^{Br} sources (i.e., the natural fraction of long-lived chlorine and bromine are minor).

Unless stated otherwise, all figures were generated considering the ensemble average (sim^{ens}) of each independent experiment (run^{LL} and run^{LL+VSL}), which in turn were computed considering the mean of the 3 independent simulations (sim^{004} , sim^{005} and sim^{006}). For the case of vertical profiles and latitudinal variations, the zonal mean of each ensemble was computed to the monthly output before processing the data, while a Hamming filter with an 11 years window was applied to all long-term time-series to smooth the data. Most of the figures and values within the text include geographically averaged quantities within the Southern Polar Cap (SP), defined as the region poleward of 63° S. For the case of the ozone hole area, we use the definition from the NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC), defined as the region with ozone columns below 220 DU located south of 40° S. Model results have been compared to the National Institute for Water and

Atmospheric research – Bodeker Scientific (NIWA-BS) total column ozone database [\(version 2.8\)](#), which combines measurements from a number of different satellite-based instruments [between 1978 and 2012](#) (Bodeker et al., 2005).

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Contribution of LL^{Br} and VSL^{Br} to stratospheric bromine

5 The 1960-2100 evolution of the stratospheric chlorine and bromine loading is shown in Fig. 1. The dominant anthropogenic LL^{Cl} and LL^{Br} scenarios included in our REFC2 simulations (Tilmes et al., 2016) show a pronounced peak at the end of the 20th century and beginning of 21st century, respectively, after which both their abundances decline. [The respective stratospheric abundances for \$LL^{Cl}\$ and \$LL^{Br}\$ for year 2012 are approximately 3260 ppbv and 15.4 pptv, in excellent agreement with the last WMO \(2014\) report.](#) In comparison, the evolution of VSL^{Br} sources remains constant in time, with a
10 present-day fixed contribution of ~5 pptv (Ordóñez et al., 2012). [Added together, \$LL^{Br}\$ + \$VSL^{Br}\$ show a stratospheric abundance of ~20.4 pptv at present time, in line with Fernandez et al. \(2014\) who validated CAM-Chem bromine abundances and stratospheric injection for year 2000 based on a multiple set of Specified Dynamics \(SD\) simulations..](#) Note that stratospheric LL^{Cl} returns to its past 1980 levels before 2060, while the 1980 loading of LL^{Br} is not recovered even by the end of the 21st century. Even when biogenic VSL^{Br} sources remain constant, their relative contribution to the total
15 bromine stratospheric loading changes with time: while for year 2000 VSL^{Br} represents ~24% of total bromine, by the end of the 21st century it reaches 40% of stratospheric bromine [within our current emission scenario](#). These values are likely lower limits of the percentage contribution of biogenic sources to stratospheric bromine, as predicted increases on SST and oceanic nutrient supply are expected to enhance the biological activity and VSL^{Br} production within the tropical oceans (Hossaini et al., 2012; Leedham et al., 2013). Furthermore, the increase in SST and atmospheric temperature projected for the 21st
20 century, is expected to produce a strengthening of the convective transport within the tropics (Hossaini et al., 2012; Braesicke et al., 2013; Leedham et al., 2013), which could enhance the stratospheric injection of VSL^{Br} . [Knowledge of the extent at which the inorganic fraction of \$VSL^{Br}\$ is being](#) injected to the stratosphere [is](#) of great importance as [it](#) strongly affect the ozone levels mostly in the lowermost stratosphere (Salawitch et al., 2005; Fernandez et al., 2014), which has implications at the altitudes where the strongest O_3 -mediated radiative forcing changes due to greenhouse gases are expected to occur
25 (Bekki et al., 2013). [Note that the atmospheric burden of the inorganic bromine portion in the tropical tropopause layer is highly dependent on the competition between heterogeneous recycling reactions, evaporation and washout processes occurring on the surface of ice-crystals.](#) (Aschmann et al., 2011; Fernandez et al., 2014).

3.2 Impact of VSL^{Br} on the ozone hole evolution and its return date

The 1960-2100 evolution of the total ozone column within the southern polar cap (TOZ^{SP} , between 63°S–90°S) during
30 October is illustrated in Fig. 2. Biogenic VSL^{Br} introduce a continuous reduction in TOZ^{SP} that exceeds the model ensemble variability between run^{LL} and run^{LL+VSL} experiments, and improves the overall model-satellite agreement (Fig. 2a). [An](#)

individual panel for each independent simulation is shown in the Supplementary Material. The temporal location of the minimum TOZ^{SP} occurs simultaneously at the beginning of the 21st century in both experiments, with a minimum October mean TOZ^{SP} column of 205 DU and 235 DU for $\text{run}^{\text{LL+VSL}}$ and run^{LL} , respectively. This leads to a maximum October TOZ^{SP} difference of -30 DU or ~14% of the overall TOZ^{SP} during year 2003, while before 1970 the ozone differences remain practically constant and smaller than -14 DU, which represents only ~3.5% of the TOZ^{SP} . Analysis of the global annual column (TOZ^{GB}) between model experiments during the 1960-2100 interval shows approximately -3.6 DU difference, with maximum changes reaching -5.2 DU by year 1995. This represents < 2% of the annual TOZ^{GB} observed for present time conditions and lies within the lower range of previous modelling studies for tropical and mid-latitudes over the 1960-2005 period (Sinnhuber and Meul, 2015). These calculations reveal a much larger ozone loss efficiency of VSL^{Br} on the Antarctic ozone layer than on global or tropical ozone stratospheric trends.

The different stratospheric bromine loading between $\text{run}^{\text{LL+VSL}}$ and run^{LL} produces a different ozone column since the very beginning of the modelled period. The $\Delta\text{TOZ}^{\text{SP}}_{1980}$ (i.e. the difference with respect to 1980 baseline levels) during October shows a minimum for year 2003 of -92 DU and -77 DU for $\text{run}^{\text{LL+VSL}}$ and run^{LL} , respectively (Fig. 2b). Hence, from the 30 DU absolute difference shown in Fig. 2a, approximately half of the ozone offset is introduced by the background contribution of VSL^{Br} on the global pre-ozone hole stratosphere. The additional ozone hole depletion (~15 DU by year 2000) induced by VSL^{Br} is more noticeable between 1990 and 2010, i.e., when the stratospheric LL^{Cl} loading also maximizes (see Fig. 1). This result is in agreement to Sinnhuber and Meul (2015), who reported a faster initial decrease and an overall better agreement between past mid-latitude O_3 trends and a model simulation forced with the additional contribution from VSL^{Br} sources. Much smaller impacts are modelled on the 2nd quarter of the century when LL^{Cl} constantly decreases and other ODS (such as CH_4 and N_2O) increase.

The vertical lines in Fig.2b indicate that the expected TOZ^{SP} return date to October 1980 is approximately the same for both experiments: individual computations of the return date considering each of the independent ensemble members, show that the expected return date shift due to VSL^{Br} lies within model uncertainties (Table 1), with mean ensemble values of $\sim(2052.7 \pm 0.7)$ for run^{LL} and $\sim(2053.9 \pm 4.8)$ for $\text{run}^{\text{LL+VSL}}$. In contrast, the maximum TOZ^{SP} depletion observed for year 2000 increases by (-15.4 ± 12.4) DU when ~5 pptv of natural bromine are included, which exceeds the model internal variability. Thus, the Antarctic ozone hole return date, determined following the standard computation relative to the ozone column existent in October 1980 (Eyring et al., 2010a, 2010b), is not significantly affected by the inclusion of natural VSL^{Br} sources. This result contradicts the recent findings from Yang et al. (2014) and Oman et al. (2016), who estimated an increase between 7 to 10 years on the ozone hole return date. Note, however, that the former study performed non-coupled (without an interactive ocean) timeslice simulations including a speculative doubling of VSL^{Br} sources on top of background LL^{Cl} and LL^{Br} levels representative of years 2000 and 2050, while Oman et al. (2016) considered a single member climatic simulation for each type of experiment and thus lacks an assessment of the internal model variability. Our CAM-Chem results show that the range in the return dates for the different ensemble members of $\text{run}^{\text{LL+VSL}}$ can be of almost 10 years (i.e., of the same

magnitude as the VSL^{Br} enlargement suggested by previous studies), highlighting the importance of considering a multi-member ensemble mean when performing a future return date computation. Note that the return date shift for each individual simulation varies randomly independently of considering or not the smoothing filter (see Figs. S2 and S3 in the supplement). Moreover, the inclusion of ~5 pptv of biogenic bromine does not only affect the future evolution of the ozone layer, but it reduces the overall background stratospheric ozone column prevailing in 1980. Hence, the additional depletion of VSL^{Br} on ozone hole columns at their maximum depth shown in Fig. 2b considers the background impact of VSL^{Br} chemistry on polar stratospheric ozone throughout the 20th century, before and after the Antarctic ozone hole formed.

Agreement between model and observations for TOZ^{SP} and ΔTOZ^{SP}_{1980} improves for all seasons when VSL^{Br} are considered (Fig. 3). To highlight the additional chemical destruction of Antarctic ozone due to biogenic bromine, the monthly output where for those months where ozone depletion is dynamically controlled by the polar vortex formation and breakage (i.e., August and November/December, respectively) had been discarded. The maximum ozone difference between run^{LL} and run^{LL+VSL} is smaller than 10 and 5 DU for summer and fall, respectively, highlighting the much larger ozone depleting efficiency of the additional bromine from VSL^{Br} sources during spring, when halogen chemistry dominates Antarctic ozone depletion. In all cases, the ozone return dates to 1980 seasonal TOZ^{SP} columns lay within the model uncertainties, with shorter return dates observed for the summer (~2045) and fall (<2040). Note also that the predicted springtime ΔTOZ^{SP}_{1980} will not return to their 1960 values by the end of the 21st century for neither run^{LL} nor run^{LL+VSL} simulations (Fig. 2b and Fig. 3). However, during fall positive ΔTOZ^{SP}_{1980} values are reached already by 2060, highlighting the different future seasonal behaviour of the Antarctic stratosphere (see Sect. 3.3).

3.2.1 Influence on the ozone hole area

We now turn to the effect of biogenic bromine on the Antarctic ozone hole area (OHA). Figure 4 indicates that the inclusion of VSL^{Br} produces total ozone reductions larger than 10 DU from 1970 to 2070. This enhanced depletion extends well outside the limits of the southern polar cap (63°S) and into the mid-latitudes (see grey line on Fig. 4). Most notably, the maximum ozone depletion driven by biogenic bromine is not located at the centre of the ozone hole but on the ozone hole periphery, close to the outer limit of the polar vortex (see polar views on Fig. 4). This result has implications for assessments of geographical regions exposed to UV-B radiation: natural VSL^{Br} leads to a total column ozone reduction between 20 and 40 DU over wide regions of the Southern Ocean near the bottom corner of South America and New Zealand.

Figure 5 indicates that the inclusion of VSL^{Br} produces an extension of the maximum OHA of ~40% by the time where the maximum ozone hole is formed (2000th decade, 1995-2005), and it almost doubles the ozone hole extension during the 2030th decade (2025-2035). However, the inclusion of VSL^{Br} drives a significantly lower impact on OHA by the time when the ozone return date to October 1980 is expected to occur (2050th decade: 2045-2055). The agreement to the monthly mean ozone mass deficit (OMD) and OHA values obtained from the NIWA-BS database (Bodeker et al., 2005) is largely improved when VSL^{Br} are considered (non-smoothed output for each independent simulation is shown in the Supplementary

Material). Most notably, the inclusion of VSL^{Br} produces a maximum enlargement of the daily OHA larger than 5 Million km^2 , with a consequent enhancement of ~ 8 Million Tons on the OMD. Thus, the biogenic bromine-driven OHA enlargement is of equivalent magnitude, but opposite sign, to the chemical healing shrinkage estimated due to the current phase out of LL^{Cl} and LL^{Br} emissions imposed by the Montreal Protocol (Solomon et al., 2016).

- 5 Unlike the 1980-baseline ozone return date definition (which is normalized to a preceding but independent ozone column for each ensemble), the OHA and OMD definitions are computed relative to a fixed value of 220 DU. Consequently, the run^{LL+VSL} experiment shows larger ozone hole areas (white line on Fig. 4) and ozone mass deficits, but does not represent any significant extension on the size of the ozone hole by the time when the 1980-return date occurs. This supports the fact that the 1980-return date is controlled by the future evolution of the dominant LL^{Cl} and LL^{Br} sources. Note, however, that
- 10 significant ozone depletion as large as -20 DU, and for latitudes as low as $60^\circ S$, is still observed during 2060, i.e., after the standard 1980-return date has been reached. This indicates that the contribution from VSL^{Br} has significant implications on the baseline polar stratospheric ozone chemistry besides the above-mentioned impacts on ozone hole size, depth and return date.

3.2.2 Vertical distribution of the ozone hole depth

- 15 Timeline analysis of the mean October ozone vertical profile within the southern polar cap [$O_3(z)^{SP}$] is presented in Fig. 6. Typically, the deepest $O_3(z)^{SP}$ reduction occurs **in** the lowermost stratosphere, i.e., between 200 and 100 hPa (~ 12 and 16 km), while during the pre- and post-ozone hole era, $O_3(z)^{SP}$ number densities peak between 100 and 50 hPa (~ 16 and 20 km). The additional $O_3(z)^{SP}$ depletion due to VSL^{Br} sources is maximized precisely at the same altitudes where the minimum O_3 number densities are found: during the 2000th decade $O_3(z)^{SP}$ densities at 100 hPa for run^{LL+VSL} and run^{LL} are, respectively,
- 20 < 1.5 and $< 2.5 \times 10^{12}$ molecule cm^{-3} , which represents $\sim 40\%$ enhancement on the local ozone loss. This is in agreement to the recent findings reporting that near-zero ozone concentrations in the deep Antarctic lower stratospheric polar vortex are only simulated when the VSL bromine sources are included (Oman et al., 2016). Interestingly, greater ozone loss is found in the periphery of the polar vortex, and below 25 hPa, due to the larger ozone number densities prevailing at those locations (see zonal panel on Fig. 6c). Above 25 hPa, $O_3(z)^{SP}$ is not significantly modified, with an overall VSL^{Br} impact on ozone
- 25 abundances smaller than 5%. This can be explained by the varying importance of bromine and chlorine chemistry at different altitudes (see Sect. 3.4). Further analysis of Fig. 6d reveals that differences larger than 25% at ~ 100 hPa are only found between 1990 and 2010, confirming that the strongest impact of VSL^{Br} sources occurs coincidentally with maximum LL^{Cl} loadings (Fig. 1).

- During the simulation period (i.e., 1960-2100), $O_3(z)^{SP}$ densities below 100 hPa are at least 10% lower for run^{LL+VSL} than for
- 30 run^{LL} . By year 2050, when the 1980 October return date is approximately expected to occur, the uppermost portion of the O_3 layer (above 50 hPa) shows strong signals of recovery and drives the overall TOZ^{SP} return date, but the O_3 abundance below 50 hPa is still depleted relative to their pre-ozone hole era, mostly at high latitudes (Fig. 6d). It is only after year 2080 that

the $O_3(z)^{SP}$ vertical profile is consistent with the pre-ozone hole period, although O_3 densities above 6×10^{12} molec. cm^{-3} are still not recovered even by the end of the century (Fig. 6a,b). Between 2080 and 2100, inclusion of VSL^{Br} still represents a 10% additional O_3 reduction at 100 hPa, which can be explained considering a shift from the predominant ozone destruction from chlorine to a bromine-driven depletion (whose efficiency is increased by the additional VSL^{Br}).

5 3.3 Seasonal evolution of stratospheric Antarctic ozone

Figures 7 show how the seasonal cycle of TOZ^{SP} has changed during the modelled period, expanding from the typical solar-driven natural annual cycle prevailing in 1960 (Fig. 7a) to the strongly perturbed anthropogenic-induced cycle consistent with the formation of the Antarctic ozone hole (Fig. 7c, year 2000). TOZ^{SP}_{July} normalizations on Figs. 7 and 8 have been computed respect to the TOZ^{SP} value on July of each year, so the aperture, closure and depth of the ozone hole at each time is computed relative to the total ozone column prevailing during the preceding winter. Figure 8 shows the evolution of the annual cycle of TOZ^{SP} as a function of simulated year for run^{LL+VSL} and run^{LL} . During the pre-ozone hole era, the typical southern hemisphere natural seasonality is observed, with maximum October ozone columns for run^{LL} that exceeds the values from run^{LL+VSL} by ~ 5 DU. Starting on the seventies, the natural seasonal cycle is disrupted and the natural springtime maximum is replaced by a deep ozone reduction due to the ozone hole formation (Fig. 7b). The maximum TOZ^{SP}_{July} difference respect to the preceding winter reach -95 DU for run^{LL+VSL} (-75 DU for run^{LL}) during October 2000 (1995-2005 average), showing springtime differences greater than -30 DU (-20 DU) between September and December all the way from 1980 to 2050. The solid lines on Fig. 8 represent the temporal location of the monthly TOZ^{SP}_{July} minimum for each simulation (white for run^{LL+VSL} and black for run^{LL}). Starting on ~ 1981 the position of the TOZ^{SP}_{July} annual minimum shifts from April (the radiatively driven fall minimum) to October (the springtime ozone hole minimum) for run^{LL+VSL} (~ 1984 for run^{LL}). Accordingly, the returning of the TOZ^{SP} annual minimum from October to April is delayed by ~ 4 years when VSL^{Br} are considered (from 2047 for run^{LL} to [2051](#) for run^{LL+VSL}). Table 2 shows the independent values for each of the independent ensemble members. Only if the baseline seasonal cycle is superposed below the long-term evolution of the polar stratospheric ozone layer (instead of considering the fixed normalization to October 1980), the inclusion of biogenic VSL^{Br} introduces an extension on the ozone return date of $\sim (6.3 \pm 12.2)$ years. Even though this value agrees with the estimations from Yang et al. (2014), it most probably represents a mere coincidence, as their timeslice computations only considered the changes in the maximum ozone hole depletion under different VSL^{Br} loadings, while our analysis highlights the seasonal TOZ^{SP} changes within a fully coupled climatic-simulation. Note, however, that in agreement to Table 1, the modelled delay on the return date computed considering the changes in the ozone seasonal cycle also lies within the internal model variability.

The dotted lines on Fig. 8 indicates the location of the double local TOZ^{SP}_{July} maximums observed in Fig. 7b,d-e and allows determining how the timespan between the ozone hole formation and breaking for each year changes due to VSL^{Br} chemistry. Between mid-1970s and mid-1980s, the seasonal development of the ozone hole for each year rapidly expanded

shifting from a starting point as early as July through a closing date during the summer (December and January). Most notably, the seasonal ozone hole extension during the 1st half of the century is enlarged as much as 1 month (from January to February) for run^{LL+VSL} between 2020 and 2040. This occurs because the additional source of VSL^{Br} produces a deep October ozone minimum on top of the annual seasonal cycle, displacing the 2nd local maximum in between the minima to later dates (see Fig. 7D). During the 2000th decade, the location of the 2nd maxima, representing the closing end of the ozone hole, expands all the way to June of the following year because the ozone hole depletion during October is so large that its impacts persist until the following winter is reached: the year-round depletion of TOZ_{July}^{SP} expands from 1990 to 2010 for run^{LL} , persisting ~7 years longer, from 1990 to 2017 for the run^{LL+VSL} case. It is worth noting that because the ozone hole seasonal extension is not tied to a fixed TOZ value (as for example 220 DU) the ozone hole seasonal duration can be computed all the way to year 2100, even after the 1980-October standard ozone return date has already been achieved. These results indicate that even when LL^{Cl} and LL^{Br} will control the return date of the deepest ozone levels to the 1980-baseline value, the future evolution of VSL^{Br} sources are of major importance to determine the future influence of halogen chemistry on the stratospheric Antarctic ozone seasonal cycle.

3.4 The role of chlorine and bromine ozone loss cycles ($ClOx^{LL}$ vs. $BrOx^{LL+VSL}$)

Bromine chemical cycles play a well-known role in the halogen-mediated springtime ozone hole formation (McElroy et al., 1986; Lee and Jones, 2002; Salawitch et al., 2005). Here we have used the same definition of odd-oxygen depleting families as in Table 5 from (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2014), with the exception of the iodine family which is not considered in this work. Figure 9 shows the temporal evolution of the percentage loss due to each cycle with respect to the total odd-oxygen loss rate as well as the partitioning between the chlorine and bromine components within the halogen family. In the following, note that crossed $ClOx$ - $BrOx$ cycles have been included into $BrOx^{LL+VSL}$ losses because both simulations include identical stratospheric LL^{Cl} loading but a ~5 pptv difference in total bromine (see Fig. 1).

Between approximately 1980 and 2060 the dominant ozone depleting family within the springtime Antarctic ozone hole is halogens: $ClOx^{LL} + BrOx^{LL+VSL}$ surpass the otherwise dominant contribution from NOx and HOx cycles (Fig. 9A): e.g., during the year of largest ozone depletion (i.e. October 2003), halogens represent more than 90% of the total odd oxygen loss at 100 hPa, while NOx and HOx cycles contribute ~5% and less than 2%, respectively. By year 2050, when the 1980-October baseline ozone return date is expected to occur, the overall $BrOx^{LL+VSL}$ cycles represent ~45% of the total ozone loss by halogens occurring at 100 hPa (Fig. 9B) and ~35% when integrated in the stratosphere (Fig. 9C). Even though $ClOx^{LL}$ losses represent as much as 80 % of the halogen-mediated ozone loss during the 2000th decade, the additional contribution from VSL^{Br} drives bromine chemistry ($BrOx^{LL+VSL}$) to dominate ozone loss by halogens approximately by year 2070. The contribution of $BrOx^{LL+VSL}$ cycles to ozone loss was higher than $ClOx^{LL}$ also before 1975, i.e. before and during the fast increase in anthropogenic CFCs occurred (Fig. 9B). This implies that, although anthropogenic chlorine has controlled and will control the long-term evolution of springtime stratospheric ozone hole, its overall depleting potential in the lowermost

stratosphere is strongly influenced by the total (natural + anthropogenic) stratospheric inorganic bromine, with a non-negligible contribution (up to ~30%) from the biogenic VSL^{Br} oceanic sources. Within the run^{LL} experiment, $BrOx^{LL}$ cycles never surpass the contribution of $ClOx^{LL}$ losses, revealing the significant enhancement of inter-halogen $ClOx^{LL}-BrOx^{LL+VSL}$ depletion due to the additional source of natural VSL^{Br} .

- 5 There is a clear variation on the height at which $ClOx$ and $BrOx^{LL+VSL}$ cycles produces its maximum destruction, as well as the period of time when the losses by each family dominate with respect to the others. For example, pure $ClOx^{LL}$ cycles account for more than 80% of the total halogen losses above 10 hPa during the whole 21st century, while $BrOx^{LL+VSL}$ cycles maximize close to the tropopause. Figure 10 shows that during the Antarctic spring, stratospheric bromine chemistry below 50 hPa has been at least as important as chlorine before and after the ozone hole era. Thus, the future evolution of stratospheric LL^{Cl} levels will control the ozone hole return date, but the role played by VSL^{Br} by that time will be as large as the one arising from LL^{Br} . This effect will be most evident within the lower stratospheric levels: bromine is globally ~60 times more efficient than chlorine in depleting ozone (Daniel et al., 1999; Sinnhuber et al., 2009), but its efficacy relies mostly on the background levels of stratospheric chlorine and the prevailing temperature affecting the rate of the inter-halogen crossed reactions (Saiz-Lopez and Fernandez, 2016). Additionally, the extent of $ClOx^{LL}$ depletion within the Antarctic vortex relies on the occurrence of heterogeneous activation of chlorine reservoir species on polar stratospheric clouds, which in turn depend on ambient temperature. Then, the efficiency of $BrOx^{LL+VSL}$ depleting cycles relative to chlorine is reduced in the colder lower stratosphere at high latitudes during the 2000th decade (see lower panels on Fig. 10), while the $BrOx^{LL+VSL}$ contribution is larger at mid latitudes and increase in importance as we move forward into the future.

- The representation of the $ClOx^{LL}$ and $BrOx^{LL+VSL}$ contributions shown in Fig. 11 allows addressing two interesting features related to the seasonal and long-term evolution of lower stratospheric Antarctic ozone. For any fixed year during the ozone hole era, bromine chemistry reaches a minimum during austral spring, while it increases during the summer and fall months. For example, the $BrOx^{LL}$ contribution to total halogen loss at 100 hPa for year 2000 is 25% during October, 65% in December and greater than 80% by March. Thus, if the Antarctic return date delay is computed considering the baseline 1980 value for the fall months, a greater impact from VSL^{Br} is observed (see Fig. 3c). Accordingly, the evaluation of the long-term impact of $ClOx^{LL}$ and $BrOx^{LL+VSL}$ cycles on the evolution of Antarctic ozone changes abruptly if we focused on the fall months instead of considering the October mean. In the lower stratosphere, chlorine chemistry is dramatically enhanced during October due to the formation of the Antarctic ozone hole, but during summer and fall $ClOx^{LL}$ losses decrease, representing less than 20% of the total halogen loss (March mean) during the 21st century.

4 Discussion and Concluding Remarks

- 30 We have shown that biogenic VSL^{Br} have a profound impact on the depth, size and vertical distribution of the springtime Antarctic ozone hole. The inclusion of VSL^{Br} improves the quantitative 1980-2010 model/satellite agreement of TOZ^{SP} , and

it is necessary to capture the lowest October mean ozone hole values. Our model results also show that, even when the maximum springtime depletion is increased by the inclusion of VSL^{Br} , the future recovery of Antarctic ozone to the prevailing levels before 1980 is primarily driven by the evolution of the dominant LL^{Cl} and LL^{Br} sources: i.e. VSL^{Br} sources does not change significantly the estimated return date. This can be explained considering the larger impact of bromine chemistry during periods of high inorganic chlorine loading, as well as due to the background impact of the additional bromine on the past global stratosphere. Other chemistry climate modelling studies estimated a decade enlargement of the expected return date based on a single member simulation (Oman et al., 2016), but those studies considered an approximate VSL^{Br} approach increasing the CH_3Br lower boundary condition by ~ 5 pptv, while here we performed 6 independent simulations including geographically-distributed time-dependent VSL^{Br} oceanic sources. Note, however, that free-running ocean interactive simulations as the ones performed in this work possess a very large model internal variability (~ 10 years difference between the shortest and largest return date for run^{LL+VSL}), so more ensemble members might be required to better address the important issue of the return date. Additional simulations including the explicit representation of VSL bromocarbons into Chemistry-Climate models representing the whole stratosphere would help to further reduce model uncertainties. The TOZ^{SP} minimum and the ozone hole depth in the lower stratosphere are both increased by 14% and 40%, respectively, when the additional source of biogenic bromine is considered. This effect is more pronounced in the periphery of the ozone hole and within the heights of smaller ozone densities. Interestingly, biogenic bromine produces an enlargement of the OHA of 5 million km^2 , equivalent to that of the recently estimated Antarctic ozone healing due to the implementation of the Montreal Protocol. This large effect of oceanic VSL^{Br} on the OHA highlights the importance of including biogenic bromine in climate assessments of the future Antarctic ozone layer. As the anthropogenic emissions of LL^{Cl} and LL^{Br} are projected to decrease in the future following the Montreal protocol, the natural VSL^{Br} relative contribution will represent as much as 40% of stratospheric bromine throughout the 21st century, or even more if the oceanic VSL^{Br} source strength and deep convection tropical injection increase in the near future (Hossaini et al., 2012; Leedham et al., 2013). Indeed, enhanced bromine $BrOx^{LL+VSL}$ cycles will dominate the chemistry of the lowermost stratosphere over Antarctica before a complete recovery of the global ozone layer from LL^{Br} and LL^{Cl} has occurred. Hence, oceanic VSL^{Br} possess leverage to significantly influence the future evolution of the Antarctic ozone layer.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Greg Bodeker of Bodeker Scientific, funded by the New Zealand Deep South National Science Challenge, for providing the combined total column ozone database. This work was supported by the Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Spain. The National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) is funded by the National Science Foundation NSF. Computing resources (ark:/85065/d7wd3xhc) were provided by the Climate Simulation Laboratory at NCAR's Computational and Information Systems Laboratory (CISL), sponsored by the NSF. The CESM

project (which includes CAM-Chem) is supported by the NSF and the Office of Science (BER) of the U. S. Department of Energy. R.P.F. would like to thanks Pablo Cremades for his technical help on post-processing the output data, and to CONICET, FCEN-UNCuyo and UTN-FRMendoza for financial support.

References

- 5 Aschmann, J. and Sinnhuber, B.-M.: Contribution of very short-lived substances to stratospheric bromine loading: uncertainties and constraints, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13(3), 1203–1219, doi:10.5194/acp-13-1203-2013, 2013.
Aschmann, J., Sinnhuber, B.-M., Chipperfield, M. P. and Hossaini, R.: Impact of deep convection and dehydration on bromine loading in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11(6), 2671–2687, doi:10.5194/acp-11-2671-2011, 2011.
- 10 Austin, J., Struthers, H., Scinocca, J., Plummer, D. A., Akiyoshi, H., Baumgaertner, A. J. G., Bekki, S., Bodeker, G. E., Braesicke, P., Brühl, C., Butchart, N., Chipperfield, M. P., Cugnet, D., Dameris, M., Dhomse, S., Frith, S., Lamarque, J. F., Langematz, U., Mancini, E., Marchand, M. and Michou, M.: Chemistry-climate model simulations of spring Antarctic ozone, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115(D00M11), 1–21, doi:10.1029/2009JD013577, 2010.
Bekki, S., Rap, A., Poulain, V., Dhomse, S., Marchand, M., Lefevre, F., Forster, P. M., Szopa, S. and Chipperfield, M. P.: Climate impact of stratospheric ozone recovery, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40(11), 2796–2800, doi:10.1002/grl.50358, 2013.
Bodeker, G. E., Shiona, H. and Eskes, H.: Indicators of Antarctic ozone depletion, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 5(2004), 2603–2615, doi:10.5194/acpd-5-3811-2005, 2005.
Braesicke, P., Keeble, J., Yang, X., Stiller, G., Kellmann, S., Abraham, N. L., Archibald, A. T., Telford, P. and Pyle, J. A.: Consistent circulation differences in the Southern Hemisphere caused by ozone changes: a chemistry-climate model and observational study, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 13(3), 8455–8487, doi:10.5194/acpd-13-8455-2013, 2013.
- 20 Butler, J. H., King, D. B., Lobert, J. M., Montzka, S. a., Yvon-Lewis, S. a., Hall, B. D., Warwick, N. J., Mondeel, D. J., Aydin, M. and Elkins, J. W.: Oceanic distributions and emissions of short-lived halocarbons, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, 21(1), n/a–n/a, doi:10.1029/2006GB002732, 2007.
Chipperfield, M. P., Dhomse, S. S., Feng, W., McKenzie, R. L., Velders, G. J. M. and Pyle, J. a: Quantifying the ozone and ultraviolet benefits already achieved by the Montreal Protocol., *Nat. Commun.*, 6(May), 7233, doi:10.1038/ncomms8233, 2015.
- 25 Daniel, J. S., Solomon, S., Portmann, R. W. and Garcia, R. R.: Stratospheric ozone destruction: The importance of bromine relative to chlorine, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104(D19), 23871, doi:10.1029/1999JD900381, 1999.
Eyring, V., Cionni, I., Bodeker, G. E., Charlton-Perez, a. J., Kinnison, D. E., Scinocca, J. F., Waugh, D. W., Akiyoshi, H., Bekki, S., Chipperfield, M. P., Dameris, M., Dhomse, S., Frith, S. M., Garny, H., Gettelman, A., Kubin, A., Langematz, U., Mancini, E., Marchand, M., Nakamura, T., Oman, L. D., Pawson, S., Pitari, G., Plummer, D. a., Rozanov, E., Shepherd, T. G., Shibata, K., Tian, W., Braesicke, P., Hardiman, S. C., Lamarque, J. F., Morgenstern, O., Pyle, J. a., Smale, D. and Yamashita, Y.: Multi-model assessment of stratospheric ozone return dates and ozone recovery in CCMVal-2 models, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10(19), 9451–9472, doi:10.5194/acp-10-9451-2010, 2010a.
- 30 Eyring, V., Cionni, I., Lamarque, J. F., Akiyoshi, H., Bodeker, G. E., Charlton-Perez, a. J., Frith, S. M., Gettelman, a., Kinnison, D. E., Nakamura, T., Oman, L. D., Pawson, S. and Yamashita, Y.: Sensitivity of 21st century stratospheric ozone to greenhouse gas scenarios, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37(16), n/a–n/a, doi:10.1029/2010GL044443, 2010b.
Eyring, V., Lamarque, J.-F., Hess, P., Arfeuille, F., Bowman, K., Chipperfield, M. P., Duncan, B., Fiore, A., Gettelman, A., Giorgetta, M. A., Granier, C., Hegglin, M., Kinnison, D., Kunze, M., Langematz, U., Luo, B., Martin, R., Matthes, K., Newman, P. A., Peter, T., Robock, A., Ryerson, T., Saiz-Lopez, A., Salawitch, R., Schultz, M., Shepherd, T. G., Shindell, D., Stähelin, J., Tegtmeier, S., Thomason, L., Tilmes, S., Vernier, J.-P., Waugh, D. W. and Young, P. J.: Overview of
- 40

IGAC/SPARC Chemistry-Climate Model Initiative (CCMI) Community Simulations in Support of Upcoming Ozone and Climate Assessments, SPARC Newsl., 40(January), 48–66, 2013.

- 5 Eyring, V., Waugh, D. W., Bodeker, G. E., Cordero, E., Akiyoshi, H., Austin, J., Beagley, S. R., Boville, B. a., Braesicke, P., Brühl, C., Butchart, N., Chipperfield, M. P., Dameris, M., Deckert, R., Deushi, M., Frith, S. M., Garcia, R. R., Gettelman, a., Giorgetta, M. a., Kinnison, D. E., Mancini, E., Manzini, E., Marsh, D. R., Matthes, S., Nagashima, T., Newman, P. a., Nielsen, J. E., Pawson, S., Pitari, G., Plummer, D. a., Rozanov, E., Schraner, M., Scinocca, J. F., Semeniuk, K., Shepherd, T. G., Shibata, K., Steil, B., Stolarski, R. S., Tian, W. and Yoshiki, M.: Multimodel projections of stratospheric ozone in the 21st century, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112(D16), D16303, doi:10.1029/2006JD008332, 2007.
- 10 Farman, J. C., Gardiner, B. G. and Shanklin, J. D.: Large losses of total ozone in Antarctica reveal seasonal ClO_x/NO_x interaction, *Nature*, 315(6016), 207–210, doi:10.1038/315207a0, 1985.
- Feng, W., Chipperfield, M. P. M. P., Dorf, M., Pfeilsticker, K. and Ricaud, P.: Mid-latitude ozone changes: studies with a 3-D CTM forced by ERA-40 analyses, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7(9), 2357–2369, doi:10.5194/acp-7-2357-2007, 2007.
- 15 Fernandez, R. P., Salawitch, R. J., Kinnison, D. E., Lamarque, J.-F. and Saiz-Lopez, A.: Bromine partitioning in the tropical tropopause layer: implications for stratospheric injection, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14(24), 13391–13410, doi:10.5194/acp-14-13391-2014, 2014.
- 20 Hegglin, M. I., Lamarque, J.-F., Eyring, V., Hess, P., Young, P. J., Fiore, A. M., Myhre, G., Nagashima, T., Ryerson, T., Shepherd, T. G. and Waugh, D. W.: IGAC/SPARC Chemistry-Climate Model Initiative (CCMI) 2014 Science Workshop, SPARC Newsl., 43(July), 32–35, 2014.
- Hossaini, R., Chipperfield, M. P., Dhomse, S., Ordóñez, C., Saiz-Lopez, A., Abraham, N. L., Archibald, A., Braesicke, P., Telford, P., Warwick, N., Yang, X. and Pyle, J.: Modelling future changes to the stratospheric source gas injection of biogenic bromocarbons, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39(20), L20813, doi:10.1029/2012GL053401, 2012.
- 25 Hossaini, R., Patra, P. K., Leeson, A. A., Krysztofiak, G., Abraham, N. L., Andrews, S. J., Archibald, A. T., Aschmann, J., Atlas, E. L., Belikov, D. A., Bönisch, H., Butler, R., Carpenter, L. J., Dhomse, S., Dorf, M., Engel, A., Feng, L., Feng, W., Fuhlbrügge, S., Griffiths, P. T., Harris, N. R. P., Hommel, R., Keber, T., Krüger, K., Lennartz, S. T., Maksyutov, S., Mantle, H., Mills, G. P., Miller, B., Montzka, S. A., Moore, F., Navarro, M. A., Oram, D. E., Palmer, P. I., Pfeilsticker, K., Pyle, J. A., Quack, B., Robinson, A. D., Saikawa, E., Saiz-Lopez, A., Sala, S., Sinnhuber, B.-M., Taguchi, S., Tegtmeier, S., Lidster, R. T., Wilson, C. and Ziska, F.: A multi-model intercomparison of halogenated very short-lived substances (TransCom-VSLS): linking oceanic emissions and tropospheric transport for a reconciled estimate of the stratospheric source gas injection of bromine, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, (January), 1–49, doi:10.5194/acp-2015-822, 2016.
- 30 Kerkweg, A., Jöckel, P., Warwick, N., Gebhardt, S., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M. and Lelieveld, J.: Consistent simulation of bromine chemistry from the marine boundary layer to the stratosphere – Part 2: Bromocarbons, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8(3), 5919–5939, doi:doi:10.5194/acp-8-5919-2008, 2008.
- 35 Kinnison, D. E., Brasseur, G. P., Walters, S., Garcia, R. R., Marsh, D. R., Sassi, F., Harvey, V. L., Randall, C. E., Emmons, L., Lamarque, J. F., Hess, P., Orlando, J. J., Tie, X. X., Randel, W., Pan, L. L., Gettelman, A., Granier, C., Diehl, T., Niemeier, U. and Simmons, A. J.: Sensitivity of chemical tracers to meteorological parameters in the MOZART-3 chemical transport model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112(D20), D20302, doi:10.1029/2006JD007879, 2007.
- Lamarque, J.-F., Emmons, L. K., Hess, P. G., Kinnison, D. E., Tilmes, S., Vitt, F., Heald, C. L., Holland, E. A., Lauritzen, P. H., Neu, J., Orlando, J. J., Rasch, P. J. and Tyndall, G. K.: CAM-chem: description and evaluation of interactive atmospheric chemistry in the Community Earth System Model, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 5(2), 369–411, doi:10.5194/gmd-5-369-2012, 2012.
- 40 Lamarque, J.-F., Kinnison, D. E., Hess, P. G. and Vitt, F. M.: Simulated lower stratospheric trends between 1970 and 2005: Identifying the role of climate and composition changes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113(D12), D12301, doi:10.1029/2007JD009277, 2008.
- Lee, A. A. M. and Jones, R.: Diagnosing ozone loss in the extratropical lower stratosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(D11), 4110, doi:10.1029/2001JD000538, 2002.
- 45 Leedham, E. C., Hughes, C., Keng, F. S. L., Phang, S.-M., Malin, G. and Sturges, W. T.: Emission of atmospherically

- significant halocarbons by naturally occurring and farmed tropical macroalgae, *Biogeosciences*, 10(6), 3615–3633, doi:10.5194/bg-10-3615-2013, 2013.
- Liang, Q., Atlas, E., Blake, D., Dorf, M., Pfeilsticker, K. and Schauffler, S.: Convective transport of very short lived bromocarbons to the stratosphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14(11), 5781–5792, doi:10.5194/acp-14-5781-2014, 2014.
- 5 McElroy, M. B., Salawitch, R. J., Wofsy, S. C. and Logan, J. A.: Reductions of Antarctic ozone due to synergistic interactions of chlorine and bromine, *Nature*, 321(6072), 759–762, 1986.
- Molina, M. J. and Rowland, F. S.: Stratospheric sink for chlorofluoromethanes: chlorine atom-catalysed destruction of ozone, *Nature*, 249(5460), 810–812, doi:10.1038/249810a0, 1974.
- Montzka, S. A., Reimann, S., Engel, A., Krüger, K., O'Doherty, S. and Sturges, W. T.: Ozone-Depleting Substances (ODSs) and Related Chemicals, Chapter 1 in *Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2010*, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project-Report No. 52, Geneva, Switzerland., 2011.
- 10 Navarro, M. A., Atlas, E. L., Saiz-lopez, A., Rodriguez-Iloveras, X., Kinnison, D. E., Lamarque, J., Tilmes, S., Filus, M., Harris, N. R. P., Meneguz, E., Ashfold, M. J., Manning, A. J., Cuevas, C. A., Schauffler, S. M. and Valeria Donets: Airborne measurements of organic bromine compounds in the Pacific tropical tropopause layer, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 112(51), 13789–13793, doi:10.1073/pnas.1522889113, 2015.
- 15 Oman, L. D., Douglass, A. R., Salawitch, R. J., Canty, T. P., Ziemke, J. R. and Manyin, M.: The effect of representing bromine from VLS on the simulation and evolution of Antarctic ozone, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, accepted, doi:10.1002/2016GL070471, 2016.
- Ordóñez, C., Lamarque, J.-F., Tilmes, S., Kinnison, D. E., Atlas, E. L., Blake, D. R., Sousa Santos, G., Brasseur, G. and Saiz-Lopez, A.: Bromine and iodine chemistry in a global chemistry-climate model: description and evaluation of very short-lived oceanic sources, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12(3), 1423–1447, doi:10.5194/acp-12-1423-2012, 2012.
- 20 Saiz-Lopez, A. and Fernandez, R. P.: On the formation of tropical rings of atomic halogens: Causes and implications, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 43, 1–8, doi:10.1002/2015GL067608. Received, 2016.
- Saiz-Lopez, A., Fernandez, R. P., Ordóñez, C., Kinnison, D. E., Gómez Martín, J. C., Lamarque, J.-F. and Tilmes, S.: Iodine chemistry in the troposphere and its effect on ozone, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14(23), 13119–13143, doi:10.5194/acp-14-13119-2014, 2014.
- 25 Saiz-Lopez, A., Lamarque, J.-F., Kinnison, D. E., Tilmes, S., Ordóñez, C., Orlando, J. J., Conley, A. J., Plane, J. M. C., Mahajan, A. S., Sousa Santos, G., Atlas, E. L., Blake, D. R., Sander, S. P., Schauffler, S., Thompson, A. M. and Brasseur, G.: Estimating the climate significance of halogen-driven ozone loss in the tropical marine troposphere, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12(9), 3939–3949, doi:10.5194/acp-12-3939-2012, 2012.
- 30 Salawitch, R. J., Canty, T., Kurosu, T., Chance, K., Liang, Q., da Silva, A., Pawson, S., Nielsen, J. E., Rodriguez, J. M., Bhartia, P. K., Liu, X., Huey, L. G., Liao, J., Stickel, R. E., Tanner, D. J., Dibb, J. E., Simpson, W. R., Donohoue, D., Weinheimer, A., Flocke, F., Knapp, D., Montzka, D., Neuman, J. A., Nowak, J. B., Ryerson, T. B., Oltmans, S., Blake, D. R., Atlas, E. L., Kinnison, D. E., Tilmes, S., Pan, L. L., Hendrick, F., Van Roozendaal, M., Kreher, K., Johnston, P. V., Gao, R. S., Johnson, B., Bui, T. P., Chen, G., Pierce, R. B., Crawford, J. H. and Jacob, D. J.: A new interpretation of total column BrO during Arctic spring, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37(21), L21805, doi:10.1029/2010GL043798, 2010.
- 35 Salawitch, R. J., Weisenstein, D. K., Kovalenko, L. J., Sioris, C. E., Wennberg, P. O., Chance, K., Ko, M. K. W. and McLinden, C. A.: Sensitivity of ozone to bromine in the lower stratosphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32(5), L05811, doi:10.1029/2004GL021504, 2005.
- 40 Sinnhuber, B.-M. and Meul, S.: Simulating the impact of emissions of brominated very short lived substances on past stratospheric ozone trends, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 2449–2456, doi:10.1002/2014GL062975, 2015.
- Sinnhuber, B.-M., Sheode, N., Sinnhuber, M., Chipperfield, M. P. and Feng, W.: The contribution of anthropogenic bromine emissions to past stratospheric ozone trends: a modelling study, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9(8), 2863–2871, doi:10.5194/acp-9-2863-2009, 2009.

- Solomon, S.: Stratospheric ozone depletion: A review of concepts and history, *Rev. Geophys.*, 37(3), 275, doi:10.1029/1999RG900008, 1999.
- Solomon, S., Ivy, D. J., Kinnison, D., Mills, M. J., Neely, R. R. and Schmidt, A.: Emergence of healing in the Antarctic ozone layer, *Science* (80-.), 0061, doi:10.1126/science.aae0061, 2016.
- 5 Solomon, S., Kinnison, D., Bandoro, J. and Garcia, R.: Simulation of polar ozone depletion : An update, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 120(15), 7958–7974, doi:10.1002/2015JD023365.Received, 2015.
- Tilmes, S., Lamarque, J., Emmons, L. K., Kinnison, D. E., Ma, P., Liu, X., Ghan, S., Bardeen, C. and Arnold, S.: Description and evaluation of tropospheric chemistry and aerosols in the Community Earth System Model (CESM1 . 2), *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 8, 1395–1426, doi:10.5194/gmd-8-1395-2015, 2015.
- 10 Tilmes, S., Lamarque, J., Emmons, L. K., Kinnison, D. E., Marsh, D., Garcia, R. R., Smith, A. K., Neely, R. R., Conley, A., Vitt, F., Martin, M. V., Tanimoto, H., Simpson, I., Blake, D. R. and Blake, N.: Representation of the Community Earth System Model (CESM1) CAM4-chem within the Chemistry-Climate Model Initiative (CCMI), *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 9, 1853–1890, doi:10.5194/gmd-9-1853-2016, 2016.
- Warwick, N. J., Pyle, J. a. and Shallcross, D. E.: Global Modelling of the Atmospheric Methyl Bromide Budget, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 54(2), 133–159, doi:10.1007/s10874-006-9020-3, 2006.
- 15 Wegner, T., Kinnison, D. E., Garcia, R. R. and Solomon, S.: Simulation of polar stratospheric clouds in the specified dynamics version of the whole atmosphere community climate model, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 118(10), 4991–5002, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50415, 2013.
- WMO: Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2010, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project-Report No. 52, Geneva, Switzerland., 2011.
- 20 WMO: Scientific Assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2010, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project-Report No. 55, World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland., 2014.
- Yang, X., Abraham, N. L., Archibald, a. T., Braesicke, P., Keeble, J., Telford, P., Warwick, N. J. and Pyle, J. a.: How sensitive is the recovery of stratospheric ozone to changes in concentrations of very short lived bromocarbons?, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 14, 9729–9745, doi:10.5194/acpd-14-9729-2014, 2014.
- 25




Figure 1: Temporal evolution of the annual mean global stratospheric halogen loading at the top of the model (i.e., 3.5 hPa) for long-lived chlorine (LL^{Cl}) and bromine (LL^{Br}), as well as very short-lived bromine (VSL^{Br}). The horizontal lines indicate the LL^{Cl} and LL^{Br} mixing ratio for year 1980. LL^{Cl} mixing ratios have been divided by 100.




Figure 2: Temporal evolution of the total ozone column averaged within the southern polar cap (TOZ^{SP}) during October. CAM-Chem results are shown in blue for *run*^{LL+VSL} and black for *run*^{LL}. A) Absolute TOZ^{SP} values for the ensemble mean (thin lines) and the 11-years smooth timeseries (thick lines). Red lines and symbols show merged satellite and ground base measurements from the Bodeker database averaged within the same spatial and temporal mask as the model output. B) Total ozone column adjusted respect to October 1980 ($\Delta\text{TOZ}_{1980}^{\text{SP}} = \text{TOZ}_{\text{year}}^{\text{SP}} - \text{TOZ}_{1980}^{\text{SP}}$). The zero horizontal line indicates the October $\Delta\text{TOZ}_{1980}^{\text{SP}}$ column for each experiment, while their respective return dates to 1980 are shown by the vertical lines. The upper horizontal lines represent the TOZ^{SP} column during October 1960 for *run*^{LL+VSL} and *run*^{LL}. Equivalent figures for each independent simulation are shown in the Supplementary Material.




Figure 3: Idem to Fig. 2, but computing the average for A,E Spring (defined as SEP-OCT); B,F Summer (JAN-FEB); C,G Fall (MAR-APR); and D,H Winter (JUN-JUL). The monthly output for the periods where a strong dynamical transition between seasons exists has not been considered (see text for details).




Figure 4: Ensemble mean of temporal evolution of Southern Hemisphere October TOZ as a function of latitude for A) run^{LL+VSL} ; B) run^{LL} ; C) absolute difference between run^{LL+VSL} and run^{LL} ; and D) percentage difference between experiments. The double inset on the bottom of each panel shows the October TOZ mean polar view during the 2000 (1995-2005 mean, left) and 2030 (2025-2035 mean, right) decade. The solid lines on each panel show the $O_3 = 220$ DU limit defining the ozone hole area (GSFC, NASA) for each simulation (white for run^{LL+VSL} and black for run^{LL}), while the solid grey line show the 63°S parallel defining the Southern Polar cap (SP) over which TOZ^{SP} is computed.




Figure 5: Temporal evolution of the ozone hole area (A) and ozone mass deficit (B) for both experiments (black for run^{LL} and blue for run^{LL+VSL}) on the left axis, as well as the difference between runs (red) on the right axis. Solid thick lines show the ensemble mean for each experiment; while the dashed, dotted and dashed-dotted thin lines correspond to each of the 3 independent simulations (sim^{004} , sim^{005} and sim^{006}) for each run.




Figure 6: Temporal evolution of the ozone vertical profile averaged within the South Polar Cap ($O_3(z)^{SP}$) for the month of October for run^{LL+VSL} (panel A); run^{LL} (panel B); the absolute difference between experiments (panel C); and the percentage difference (panel D). The double inset on the bottom of each panel shows the October zonal mean vertical distributions during the 2000 (1995-2005 mean, left) and 2030 (2025-2035 mean, right) decades. All panels show ozone number densities (i.e., molec cm^{-3}) to highlight its contribution to the overall TOZ column. The lower solid line (white for run^{LL+VSL} and black for run^{LL}) indicates the location of the tropopause, while the higher solid line indicates the height where O_3 number density equals its value at the tropopause.




Figure 7: Seasonal variation of $\Delta\text{TOZ}^{\text{SP}}_{\text{July}}$ for $\text{run}^{\text{LL}+\text{VSL}}$ (blue) and run^{LL} (black) ensemble means at different years: A) 1960, before the ozone first appeared; B) 1980, where the appearance of the ozone hole produces a small TOZ^{SP} local minimum during spring; C) 2000, when the ozone hole depth in October maximizes; D) 2040, when TOZ^{SP} minimum still appears in spring during the ozone hole recovery timeline; E) 2080, after the TOZ^{SP} global minimum has already returned to fall into its natural seasonal cycle. The solid and dashed horizontal lines highlight the local and global TOZ^{SP} minimum for each experiment. $\Delta\text{TOZ}^{\text{SP}}_{\text{July}}$ baseline adjustment have been computed relative to the modelled TOZ^{SP} in July of the preceding winter for each year ($\Delta\text{TOZ}^{\text{SP}}_{\text{July}} = \text{TOZ}^{\text{SP}}_{\text{Time}} - \text{TOZ}^{\text{SP}}_{\text{July}}$).




Figure 8: Evolution of $\Delta\text{TOZ}^{\text{SP}}_{\text{July}}$ as a function of the year and month. A) $\text{run}^{\text{LL}+\text{VSL}}$ ensemble mean; B) run^{LL} ensemble mean; and C) Absolute difference between the simulations. $\Delta\text{TOZ}^{\text{SP}}_{\text{July}}$ baseline adjustment have been computed relative to the modelled TOZ^{SP} in July of the preceding winter for each year ($\Delta\text{TOZ}^{\text{SP}}_{\text{July}} = \text{TOZ}^{\text{SP}}_{\text{Time}} - \text{TOZ}^{\text{SP}}_{\text{July}}$). The solid line indicates the location of the TOZ^{SP} annual minimum for each ensemble (white for run^{VSL} and black for $\text{run}^{\text{noVSL}}$), while the dashed lines indicate the shifts on the TOZ^{SP} local maximums arising on each side of the springtime minimum (see Fig. 7).




Figure 9: Temporal evolution of the October mean odd-oxygen loss rates within the Southern Polar cap. A) Percentage contribution of each ozone depleting family (HOx, NOx, Ox and Halogens) respect to the total loss rate at 100 hPa (~15 km); B) percentage contribution of each halogen family (ClOx, BrOx^{LL}, BrOx^{VSL}, and BrOx^{LL+VSL}) respect to the whole halogen loss rate at 100 hPa; and C) Idem to panel B) but vertically integrated within the lower stratosphere (i.e., in-between the white lines shown in Fig. 6). Ensemble mean values are shown.




Figure 10: Evolution of the odd-oxygen loss rate vertical profiles (VP) within the South Polar Cap. The percentage contribution of each family respect to the whole halogen loss during October is shown for A) the ClOx^{LL} family; and B) the $\text{BrOx}^{\text{LL+VSL}}$ family. The inset below each VP shows the October zonal mean vertical distributions of odd-oxygen losses during the 2000 (1995-2005 mean, left) and 2030 (2025-2035 mean, right) decades. All results are for the $\text{run}^{\text{LL+VSL}}$ ensemble. The lower solid white line indicates the location of the tropopause, while the higher solid line indicates the height where O_3 number density equals its value at the tropopause.




Figure 11: Evolution of the halogen-catalysed Odd-Oxygen loss rates as a function of the year and month for the ClO_x^{LL} family (top row) and the BrO_x^{LL+VSL} family (bottom row). The left column shows loss rate values at 100 hPa (~15 km), while in the right column the loss rates have been vertically integrated within the lower stratosphere (i.e., in-between the white lines shown in Fig. 10). Results are for the run^{LL+VSL} ensemble.

Table 1: Estimation of the ozone return date, minimum ozone column within the Southern Polar Cap ($\text{TOZ}_{\min}^{\text{SP}}$) and the maximum ozone hole area (OHA_{\max}) modelled with CAM-Chem for different simulations and ensemble members.

	Return date ¹⁹⁸⁰ (years)		$\text{TOZ}_{\min}^{\text{SP}}$ (DU)		OHA_{\max} (Million km ²)	
	run ^{LL+VSL}	run ^{LL}	run ^{LL+VSL}	run ^{LL}	run ^{LL+VSL}	run ^{LL}
sim ⁰⁰⁴	2058.9	2053.4	-88.9	-72.8	19	14.2
sim ⁰⁰⁵	2053.4	2052.2	-98.1	-72.8	20.8	13.8
sim ⁰⁰⁶	2049.3	2052.3	-90.7	-85.8	20.3	15
ensemble	2053.9 ± 4.8	2052.7 ± 0.7	-92.6 ± 4.9	-77.2 ± 7.5	20.0 ± 0.9	14.3 ± 0.6
Shift	(1.2 ± 5.5)		(-15.4 ± 12.4)		(5.7 ± 1.5)	

5

Table 2: Estimation of the ozone return date based on the period of time where the annual minimum $\Delta\text{TOZ}_{\text{July}}^{\text{SP}}$ is observed during Spring for different simulations and ensemble members.

	run ^{LL+VSL}		run ^{LL}	
	Start date (year)	Return date (year)	Start date (year)	Return date (year)
sim ⁰⁰⁴	1982	2057	1983	2053
sim ⁰⁰⁵	1982	2049	1984	2049
sim ⁰⁰⁶	1981	2048	1985	2040
ensemble	1981.6 ± 0.6	2051.3 ± 4.8	1984.0 ± 1.0	2047.3 ± 6.6
Period (years)	(69.6 ± 4.6)		(63.3 ± 7.6)	
Shift	(6.3 ± 12.2)			

10