Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2016-832-RC1, 2016 © Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Regional responses of surface ozone in Europe to the location of high-latitude blocks and subtropical ridges" by Carlos Ordóñez et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 26 November 2016

Ordonez et al. present a comprehensive analysis of the influence of the location of high-latitude blocks and subtropical ridges on European near surface ozone concentrations. The catalogue for blocks/ridges based on geopotential height at 500 hPa from the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis and a novel gridded dataset for maximum daily 8-hr average ozone utilized by the authors have been well-documented in the recent literature. The manuscript is clearly structured, well prepared and provides interesting insights in European surface ozone variability. I recommend publication in ACP after some minor clarifications/changes described below.

Specific comments:

1) The authors are using the NCEP/NCAR reanalysis at $2.5^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$ horizontal resolu-

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



tion to detect high-latitude blocks and subtropical ridges. In Sect. 2.2 we learn that the same catalogue as in Sousa et al. (2016a,b) is used. I wonder if the frequency of blocks and/or ridges identified would significantly differ if another reanalysis (ERA-Interim or MERRA) at higher horizontal resolution would be used?

2) Do the grey bars in Figure 5 really show the seasonal climatology (all data) or do they show surface MDA8-O3 during times when no block/ridge is present in the domain? If all data is shown I suggest adding a PDF curve also for the no block/ridge case.

3) Sections 3 and 4 provide a very comprehensive overview about the effects of blocks and ridges on seasonal MDA8-O3. To allow easier comparison among individual cases I suggest combining Figures 7 and 13 in one 4-panel illustration.

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2016-832, 2016.