2	
3	
4	
5	Quasi 12 h inertia-gravity waves in the lower
6	mesosphere observed by the PANSY radar at Syowa
7	Station (39.6°E, 69.0°S)
8	
9	
10	
11	Ryosuke Shibuya ¹ , Kaoru Sato ¹ , Masaki Tsutsumi ^{2,3} ,
12	Toru Sato ⁴ , Yoshihiro Tomikawa ^{2,3} ,
13	Koji Nishimura ^{2,3} and Masashi Kohma ¹
14	
15	¹ Department of Earth and Planetary Science, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
16	² National Institute of Polar Research, Tachikawa, Japan
17	³ The Graduate University for Advanced Studies (SOKENDAI), Tokyo, Japan
18	⁴ Department of Communications and Computer Engineering, Kyoto University, Japan
19	
20	Corresponding to, Ryosuke Shibuya, The University of Tokyo, 7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku,
21	Tokyo 113-0033, Japan. E-mail: shibuya@eps.s.u-tokyo.ac.jp.
22	
23	

1 Abstract

2 The first observations made by a complete PANSY radar system (Program of the Antarctic 3 Syowa MST/IS Radar) installed at Syowa Station (39.6°E, 69.0°S) were successfully performed from March 16 – 24, 2015. Over this period, quasi-half-day period (12 h) 4 5 disturbances in the lower mesosphere at heights of 70 km to 80 km were observed. Estimated 6 vertical wavelengths, wave periods and vertical phase velocities of the disturbances were approximately 13.7 km, 12.3 h and -0.3 m s⁻¹, respectively. Under the working hypothesis that 7 8 such disturbances are attributable to inertia-gravity waves, wave parameters are estimated 9 using a hodograph analysis. The estimated horizontal wavelengths are longer than 1100 km, 10 and the wavenumber vectors tend to point northeastward or southwestward. Using the non-11 hydrostatic numerical model with a model top of 87 km, quasi 12 h disturbances in the mesosphere were successfully simulated. We show that quasi 12 h disturbances are due to 12 13 wave-like disturbances with horizontal wavelengths longer than 1400 km and are not due to semi-diurnal migrating tides. Wave parameters, such as horizontal wavelengths, vertical 14 15 wavelengths and wave periods, simulated by the model agree well with those estimated by the 16 PANSY radar observations under the above-mentioned assumption. The parameters of the 17 simulated waves are consistent with the dispersion relationship of the inertia-gravity wave. 18 These results indicate that the quasi 12 h disturbances observed by the PANSY radar are 19 attributable to large-scale inertia-gravity waves. By examining a residual of the nonlinear 20 balance equation, it is inferred that the inertia-gravity waves are likely generated by the 21 spontaneous radiation mechanism of two different jet streams. One is the mid-latitude 22 tropospheric jet around the tropopause while the other is the polar night jet. Large vertical 23 fluxes of zonal and meridional momentum associated with large-scale inertia-gravity waves 24 are distributed across a slanted region from the mid-latitude lower stratosphere to the polar 25 mesosphere in the meridional cross-section. Moreover, the vertical flux of the zonal momentum 26 has a strong negative peak in the mesosphere, suggesting that some large-scale inertia-gravity

waves originate in the upper stratosphere. [335words]

2

3 Keywords: Gravity wave, polar region, mesosphere, the PANSY radar

4

5 1. Introduction

6 Gravity waves are atmospheric waves with a restoring force of buoyancy that can transport 7 momentum upward from the troposphere to the middle atmosphere (e.g., Fritts and Alexander 8 2003). Momentum deposition by gravity waves in the mesosphere is a major driving force for 9 the summer to winter pole material circulation in the mesosphere (e.g., Plumb 2002). Adiabatic 10 heating/cooling associated with vertical flow branches of the circulation maintain the thermal 11 structure, which is considerably different from the radiative equilibrium state. Gravity waves 12 also play an essential role in driving the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO) and semi-annual 13 oscillation in the equatorial stratosphere (Sato and Dunkerton 1997; Haynes 1998; Baldwin et 14 al., 2003). In addition, it has been shown that gravity wave forcing is essential to the summer 15 hemispheric low-latitude part of winter stratospheric circulation (Okamoto et al., 2011).

16 Many observational studies have closely examined characteristics of gravity waves in the 17 troposphere, stratosphere and mesosphere (e.g., Sato 1994; Sato and Yamada, 1994; Pavelin et 18 al., 2001; Lane et al., 2004; Nastrom and Eaton 2006; Vaughan and Worthington, 2007; 19 Nakamura et al., 1993; Li et al., 2007; Lu et al., 2009; Nicolls et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2013). 20 It is well known that gravity waves have wide spectral ranges of horizontal wavelength from 21 several kilometers to several thousand kilometers and of observed period from several minutes 22 to several hours. Recently, several numerical models directly resolve large parts of gravity wave spectra (the KANTO model, Watanabe et al., 2008; WACCM, Liu et al., 2014; KMCM, 23 24 Becker, 2009). However, due to their short horizontal wavelengths, many climate models 25 utilize parameterization methods to calculate momentum deposition by unresolved gravity 26 waves (e.g., McFarlane 1987; Scinocca 2003; Richter et al., 2009). As parameterization

methods involve several tuning parameters related to characteristics of gravity waves,
 observational constraints on tuning parameters are inevitably required (e.g., Alexander et al.
 2010).

4 Geller et al. (2013) showed that parameterized gravity waves in climate models are not 5 realistic in several aspects in comparison to high-resolution observational data (satellites, 6 isopycnic balloon observations and radiosondes) and gravity wave permitting general 7 circulation models. In particular, they showed that gravity wave sources in the parameterization 8 can be poorly specified in high latitude regions. Such an improper specification of gravity wave 9 sources in southern high latitude regions is considered to lead several serious problems. One 10 of these problems is the so-called cold-pole bias, present in the most climate models in the 11 polar winter stratosphere (Eyring et al., 2010; McLandress et al. 2012). This bias is closely 12 related to significant delays in the breakdown of the stratospheric polar vortex in the Antarctic 13 (Stolarski et al., 2006). Gravity waves in the southern polar region modify formations of polar 14 stratospheric clouds (PSCs), which can enhance ozone depletion in the polar lower stratosphere 15 (Shibata et al., 2003; Watanabe et al. 2006; McDonald et al., 2009; Kohma and Sato, 2011). 16 Moreover, Chu et al. (2011) reported that inertia-gravity waves in the polar mesosphere also 17 affect the formation of polar mesospheric clouds (PMCs). Thus, observational studies of 18 gravity waves around the southern high latitude region are quite important (e.g., Hertzog et al., 2008). 19

Recently, a Mesosphere-Stratosphere-Troposphere (MST) radar (or VHF clear-air Doppler radar) system was installed in the Antarctic. The system has completed continuous observations since April 30, 2012 at Syowa Station (69.0°S, 39.6°E) (the PANSY radar; Sato et al., 2014). The radar system provides vertical profiles of three-dimensional winds at high time and height resolutions. The PANSY radar system is a powerful tool for examining gravity waves in the high latitude region and many other scientific issues related to the polar 1 atmosphere.

2 One interesting phenomenon observed in the polar mesosphere is a large-amplitude wave-3 like disturbance with near inertia-frequency (approximately 12 h) that many previous studies 4 have examined (e.g., Murphy et al., 2006; Akmaev et al., 2016). There are several explanations 5 for the existence of such oscillations; Fraser and Khan (1990) and Fisher et al. (2002) posited 6 that these oscillations are attributable to a semi-diurnal migrating tide. Waterscheid et al. (1986) 7 and Collins et al. (1992) attributed these oscillations to a "pseudo-tide" mechanism related to 8 gravity-wave momentum deposition modulated by a semi-diurnal migrating tide. Hagan and 9 Forbes (2003) investigated the atmospheric response to forcing by zonally asymmetric latent 10 heat release in the troposphere. Talaat and Mayr (2011) found that internal oscillations may be 11 caused by parameterized gravity waves in the model. Other studies (Hernandez et al., 1993; 12 Forbes et al., 1995, 1999; Fritts et al, 1998; Portnyagin et al. 1998; Yamashita et al., 2002; Wu 13 et al., 2003, Aso 2007, Murphy et al., 2009) suggest that these oscillations are due to semi-14 diurnal non-migrating tides with zonal wavenumber s = 1 generated by nonlinear interactions 15 between s = 1 stationary planetary waves and semi-diurnal migrating tides. Mayr et al. (2005a, 16 b) emphasized the importance of gravity wave filtering effects on nonlinear interactions. Riggin 17 et al. (1999) showed that the zonal wavenumber of the 12 h wave is close to two in the winter 18 and is one in the summer based on radar observations conducted at McMurdo (77.8°S) and 19 Halley (75.8°S). Wu et al. (2002) suggested that s = 1 semi-diurnal non-migrating tides are 20 significant at latitudes of higher than 78° and that a mixture of semi-diurnal migrating tides and 21 s = 1 semi-diurnal non-migrating tides appears at between 68° and 78°.

The first successful observation with a complete system of the PANSY radar was performed for March 16 – 24, 2015. In this study, we used this observational dataset. During this observation period, strong wave-like disturbances with a wave period of about 12 h were found in the lower mesosphere. Using PANSY radar data and a gravity wave resolving model, generation and propagation mechanisms of such disturbances were examined. It is suggested
 that wave-like disturbances with a wave period of about 12 h are attributable to large-scale
 inertia-gravity waves with horizontal wavelengths of larger than 1100 km.

The present article is organized as follows. The methodology used is described in Section Observational results are presented in Section 3. The results of the model simulations are given and compared with radar observations in Section 4. Propagation characteristics and the wave generation mechanism are also examined. A discussion is presented in Section 5, and Section 6 summarizes the results and provides concluding remarks.

9

10 2. Methodology

11 **2.1. The PANSY radar observations**

12 The PANSY (Program of the Antarctic Syowa MST/IS radar) radar system is the first 13 MST/IS radar system installed at Syowa Station (39.6°E, 69.0°S) for observing the Antarctic 14 atmosphere in a height region from 1.5 km to 500 km. It should be noted that an observation 15 gap exists at a height region from 30 km to 60 km, due to the lack of the atmospheric radar 16 backscattering in this height region (Sato et al., 2014). The PANSY radar system employs a 17 pulse-modulated monostatic Doppler radar system with an active phased mechanism consisting 18 of 1045 crossed-Yagi antennas. The PANSY radar system is designed to observe three-19 dimensional winds at a high time resolution and vertical resolution along beam directions of 20 $\Delta t = -1$ min and $\Delta z = 150$ m in the troposphere and lower stratosphere, respectively, and of 21 $\Delta t = -1 \text{ min and } \Delta z = 600 \text{ m in the mesosphere. The accuracy of line-of-sight wind velocity}$ is about 0.1 m s⁻¹. As the target of MST radars is atmospheric turbulence, wind measurements 22 23 can be made under all weather conditions. Continuous observations have been made by the 24 PANSY radar through a partial system since April 30, 2012. The first observation with the 25 complete system of the PANSY radar observation was successfully performed for March 16 -24, 2015. See Sato et al. (2014) for further information on the PANSY radar system and for a 26

list of future studies to be conducted based on this system. For the March 16 – 24, 2015 period,
 strong polar mesosphere winter echoes, which likely resulted from the largest magnetic storm
 event occurring during the solar cycle 24 ("St. Patrick's day storm", Kataoka et al., 2015;
 Jacobsen and Andalsvik 2016; Cherniak and Zakharenkova 2016), were observed by the
 PANSY radar system.

The PANSY radar data that we used are line-of-sight wind velocities of five vertical beams tilted east, west, north and south at a zenith angle of $\theta = 10^{\circ}$. Vertical wind components are directly estimated from the vertical beam. Zonal (meridional) wind components are obtained using a pair of line-of-sight velocities of the east and west beams (the north and south beams). For example, line-of-sight velocities of the east and west beams, $V_{\pm\theta}$, are composed of zonal and vertical components of the wind velocity vectors $(u_{\pm\theta}, w_{\pm\theta})$ in the targeted volume ranges:

19
$$V_{\pm\theta} = \pm u_{\pm\theta} \sin\theta + w_{\pm\theta} \cos\theta$$

13 By assuming that the wind field is homogeneous at each height, i.e., $u_{+\theta} = u_{-\theta} \equiv u$ and 14 $w_{+\theta} = w_{-\theta} \equiv w$, we can estimate zonal wind components as:

20
$$u = \frac{V_{+\theta} - V_{-\theta}}{2\sin\theta}.$$

15 The vertical flux of zonal momentum is directly estimated from variances of line-of-sight wind16 fluctuations (Vincent and Reid, 1983):

21
$$\overline{V_{\pm\theta}^{\prime 2}} = \overline{u_{\pm\theta}^{\prime 2}} \sin^2 \theta + \overline{w_{\pm\theta}^{\prime 2}} \cos^2 \theta \pm \overline{u_{\pm\theta}^{\prime} w_{\pm\theta}^{\prime}} \sin 2\theta$$

17 By assuming that the flux and variance fields are homogeneous $(\overline{u_{+\theta}^{\prime 2}} = \overline{u_{-\theta}^{\prime 2}} \equiv \overline{u_{+\theta}^{\prime 2}}, \ \overline{w_{+\theta}^{\prime 2}} =$ 18 $\overline{w_{-\theta}^{\prime 2}} \equiv \overline{w^{\prime 2}}$ and $\overline{u_{+\theta}^{\prime}w_{+\theta}^{\prime}} = \overline{u_{-\theta}^{\prime}w_{-\theta}^{\prime}} \equiv \overline{u^{\prime}w^{\prime}}$, we obtain:

22
$$\overline{u'w'} = \frac{V_{+\theta}'^2 - V_{-\theta}'^2}{2\sin 2\theta}$$

This assumption is less strict than that used for the u and w estimates. Thus, the method based on MST radars provides quite accurate estimates of momentum fluxes. The meridional wind component and the vertical flux of meridional momentum can be estimated in a similar manner. Reid and Vincent (1987) examined horizontal wavelengths by using the cross correlation
 techniques and the sensitivities of the estimation with several observational periods. In this
 study, wave parameters are estimated by a hodograph analysis described in Section 3.

4

5

2.2. Numerical setup for non-hydrostatic model simulation

6 The simulation was performed using the Non-hydrostatic Icosahedral Atmospheric Model 7 (NICAM), which a global cloud resolving model (Satoh et al., 2008, Satoh et al., 2014). A non-8 hydrostatic dynamical core of the NICAM was developed from icosahedral grids modified by 9 the spring dynamics method (Tomita et al., 2002). The NICAM is unique in its use of a flux-10 form non-hydrostatic equation system that assures the conservation of total mass, momentum 11 and energy over the domain.

12

13 **2.2.1. Horizontal and vertical coordinate system**

14 Resolutions of horizontal icosahedral grids are represented by glevel-*n* (grid division level 15 *n*). Glevel-0 denotes the original icosahedron. By dividing each triangle into four small 16 triangles recursively, one-higher resolution is obtained. The total number of grid points is $N_g =$ 17 $10 \cdot 4^n + 2$ for glevel-*n*. The actual resolution corresponds to the square root of the averaged 18 control volume area, $\Delta x \equiv \sqrt{4\pi R_E^2/N_g}$, where R_E is the Earth's radius. A glevel-7 grid is 19 used in this study ($\Delta x \sim 56$ km).

Recently, Shibuya et al. (2016) developed a new grid configuration for quasi-uniform and regionally fine meshes within a circular region with icosahedral grids using spring dynamics. This method clusters grid points over the sphere into the circular region (the targeted region) and realizes finer meshes than original icosahedral grids. By introducing sets of mathematical constraints, it has been shown that the minimum resolution within the targeted region is uniquely determined by the area of the targeted region alone. In this study, the targeted region for a given glevel is a region south of 30°S centered at the South Pole. Figure 1a shows an 1 illustration of the stretched grid which is roughened up to glevel-3. Figure 1b shows a 2 horizontal map of a normalized grid interval defined as $d(\lambda, \phi)/\Delta x$, where d denotes grid 3 intervals as a function of the longitude, λ , and the latitude, ϕ . In this case, the horizontal 4 resolution in the targeted region is roughly 36 km.

5 To simulate structures of disturbances from the stratosphere to the mesosphere, the vertical 6 grid spacing is 400 m at heights from 2.4 km to 80 km. It should be noted that according to 7 Watanabe et al. (2015), gravity wave momentum flux is not heavily dependent on model 8 vertical spacing in the middle atmosphere when $\Delta z < 400$ m. The number of vertical grids is 9 217. To prevent unphysical waves reflection at the top of the boundary, a 7 km thick sponge 10 layer is set above z = 80 km. The second-order Laplacian horizontal hyper-viscosity diffusion 11 and Rayleigh damping for the vertical velocity are used for the sponge layer. An *e*-folding time 12 of the ∇^2 horizontal diffusion for the $2\Delta x$ wave at the top of the model is 4 s, and an *e*-folding 13 time of the Rayleigh damping for the vertical velocity for the top of the model is 216 s. The 14 diffusivity level gradually increases from the bottom to the top of the sponge layer. We confirm 15 that little wave reflection near the sponge layer occurs under this setting (not shown).

16

17 **2.2.2. Initial condition and other physical schemes**

18 MERRA reanalysis data based on the Goddard Earth Observing System Data Analysis 19 System, Version 5 (GEOS-5 DAS; Rienecker et al. 2011) is used as the initial condition. In the 20 MERRA reanalysis data, the following two types of 3-D fields are provided: one set is produced 21 through the corrector segment of the Incremental Analysis Update (IAU, Bloom et al., 1996) cycle $(1.25^{\circ} \times 1.25^{\circ})$ and 42 vertical levels whose top is 0.1 hPa) and the other pertains to fields 22 23 resulting from Gridpoint Statistical Interpolation analyses (GSI analysis, e.g., Wu et al., 2002) 24 on the native horizontal grid and on native model vertical levels $(0.75^{\circ} \times 0.75^{\circ})$ and 72 vertical 25 levels whose top is 0.01 hPa). We use the former 3-D assimilated fields for 1000 hPa to 0.1 hPa 26 and the latter 3-D analyzed fields for 0.1 hPa to 0.01 hPa for the initial condition of the NICAM 1 simulation to prepare realistic atmospheric fields in the mesosphere for 0000 UTC on March 2 17, 2015. The latter 3-D analyzed fields were only used at above 0.1 hPa, as variables of vertical 3 pressure velocity, cloud liquid water and ice mixing ratios are not included. Vertical pressure 4 velocities, cloud liquid water and ice mixing ratios above 0.1 hPa are set to zero. A time 5 integration was performed until 0000 UTC on March 24. The time step was 15 seconds. As 6 part of the boundary layer scheme, MYNN level 2 (Nakanishi and Niino, 2004) was used. No 7 cumulous or gravity wave parameterization was employed. The model output was recorded 8 every 1 hour. It should be noted that this model does not use the nudging method as an external 9 forcing for the atmospheric component.

10

11 **3. Observational results**

12 Figure 2a shows the time-height section of the line-of-sight wind velocity observed by the 13 east beam of the PANSY radar system. In the lower stratosphere, wavy structures with short 14 vertical wavelengths are shown. Shibuya et al. (2015) showed that such a structure observed in 15 May of 2013 at Syowa Station was due to inertia-gravity waves with a vertical wavelength of 16 about 2 km. In the mesosphere, strong echoes were detected at heights of 60 km to 80 km over 17 this time period. These polar mesosphere winter echoes (PMWEs) are likely intiated by 18 increased ionization during the solar flare event occurring on March 17-18, 2015 (Kataoka et 19 al. 2015; Jacobsen and Andalsvik 2016; Cherniak and Zakharenkova 2016). In this period, the 20 polar night jet was in the phase of formation around Syowa Station at the stratopause (at the 21 height of about 55 km, not shown). As is shown in Figure 2a, strong wave-like disturbances 22 were observed in the mesosphere. Figures 2b and 2c, respectively show line-of-sight wind 23 velocities of the east and west beams at heights of 65 - 80 km for 00 UTC on March 21 to 00 24 UTC on March 24. In Figures 2b and 2c, it is clear that phases of dominant disturbances 25 propagate downward; a vertical phase velocity (broken line) and an observed period (a green arrow) are about -0.3 m s^{-1} and 12.3 h, respectively. This indicates that the vertical wavelength 26

1 is about 13.8 km.

As the zenith angle of tilted beams of the PANSY radar system is $\theta = 10^{\circ}$, locations of the observation points by opposite beams in the mesosphere are separated by approximately 25 km at a height of 70 km. Figures 3a and 3b show time-height sections of estimated zonal and meridional wind components.

Based on the working hypothesis that wind disturbances in the mesosphere are due to
inertia-gravity waves, wave parameters are estimated using a hodograph analysis. A hodograph
analysis (e.g., Hirota and Niki 1986; Sato 1994) is applied to wind fluctuations at heights of
73.2 km, 73.8 km and 74.4 km for March 22 and at the heights of 70.8 km, 71.4 km and 72.0
km for March 23. In this analysis, hodographs are made in the time direction.

First, wave zonal (u') and meridional (v') wind fluctuations were fitted to sinusoidal
functions as follows:

$$u' = \hat{u}\sin(\omega t + \theta_u) + u_0$$

$$v' = \hat{v}\sin(\omega t + \theta_v) + v_0$$
(1)

13 where \hat{u} and \hat{v} are the amplitudes of u' and v', respectively, ω is the observed wave 14 frequency, t is time, θ_u and θ_v are phases of zonal and meridional wind fluctuations, and u_0 and v_0 are offsets of zonal and meridional wind fluctuations, respectively. Parameters (\hat{u} , \hat{v} , 15 $\omega, \theta_u, \theta_v, u_o$ and v_o) are determined using a nonlinear least square method so that the 16 residual $\sqrt{(u'_{obs} - u')^2 + (v'_{obs} - u')^2}$ is smallest. Figure 4 shows a time series of observed 17 18 horizontal wind fluctuations and results of the fitting at 70.8 km and 72.0 km for March 23. It 19 is clear that the observed wind fluctuations seem to have a sinusoidal form with a period of 20 about 12 h, and the fitting is successful. Moreover, phases of zonal and meridional wind 21 fluctuations at 72.0 km seem to be advanced compared to those at 70.8 km. Using these phase 22 differences in zonal and meridional wind fluctuations, vertical wavenumbers for zonal and 23 meridional fluctuations are estimated, respectively. Table 1a summarizes parameters such as

wave frequencies, vertical wavenumbers and vertical phase velocities estimated from u' and 1 2 v'. The estimated period ranges from 11.0 h to 13.8 h, which is quasi 12 h. The estimated 3 vertical wavelengths are 12.0 km and 8.5 km for u' and v' for March 22 and are 15.4 km and 12.3 km for u' and v' for March 23, respectively. Thus, the vertical phase velocities are 4 -0.26 m s^{-1} and -0.19 m s^{-1} for u' and v' for March 22 and -0.35 m s^{-1} and -0.28 m s^{-1} for 5 u' and v' for March 23, respectively. Although the estimation based on v' for March 22 6 7 shows slightly different values, the vertical phase velocities and observed periods agree well 8 with the rough estimation denoted by broken lines and the green arrow in Figs. 2b and 2c, 9 respectively (approximately -0.3 m s^{-1} and 12.3 h).

10 The linear theory of inertia-gravity waves indicates that a hodograph is ellipse-shaped 11 (e.g., Shibuya et al., 2015). The lengths of major and minor axes of the hodograph ellipse 12 correspond to the amplitudes of horizontal wind components, which are parallel (u_{\parallel}) and 13 orthogonal (u_{\perp}) to the horizontal wavenumber vector (\vec{k}_{h}) , respectively. The components of 14 u_{\parallel} and u_{\perp} are written using the zonal (u') and meridional (v') wind fluctuations:

$$u_{\parallel} = u' \cos \alpha + v' \sin \alpha$$

$$u_{\perp} = -u' \sin \alpha + v' \cos \alpha,$$
(2)

15 where α is the angle of u_{\parallel} measured clockwise from the east. Based on the polarization 16 relation, the intrinsic frequency $\hat{\omega}$ can be determined from the ratio of the lengths of the major 17 to minor axes:

$$\widehat{\omega} = \left| \frac{u_{\parallel}}{u_{\perp}} f \right|,\tag{3}$$

18 where f denotes the inertial frequency. The intrinsic frequency is taken to be positive without 19 losing generality (e.g., Sato et al., 1997).

The direction of the vertical energy propagation can be estimated from the rotation of the hodograph in the vertical direction as follows: in the Southern Hemisphere, a counterclockwise (clockwise) rotation with increasing height denotes upward (downward) energy propagation. Hodographs in the vertical direction for 1200 UTC on March 22 and for 1200 UTC on March
 23 show counterclockwise rotation (not shown), indicating upward energy propagation as also
 shown in Fig. 4. This also means that the vertical wavenumber *m* is negative.

4 The horizontal wavenumber $|\vec{k}_h|$ can be indirectly estimated using the dispersion 5 relation of inertia-gravity waves, though an ambiguity for 180° remains in the direction of the 6 horizontal wavenumber vector. For hydrostatic inertia-gravity waves, the dispersion relation in 7 a uniform background is written as follows:

$$\left|\vec{k_h}\right| = \sqrt{\frac{(\hat{\omega}^2 - f^2)m^2}{N^2}},\tag{4}$$

8 where *N* is the Brunt-Väisälä frequency. Here, as a typical value, $N^2 = 3.0 \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-2}$ for the 9 mesosphere was used. The zonal and meridional wavenumbers (k, l) are determined as $k = \pm |\vec{k_h}| \cos \alpha$, and $l = \pm |\vec{k_h}| \sin \alpha$, respectively. The plus-minus sign here denotes the 11 ambiguity of the wavenumber vector.

12 Figure 5 shows hodographs of the fitted sinusoidal fluctuations at heights of 70.8 km and 13 72.0 km for March 23. The intrinsic frequency and zonal and meridional wavenumbers are 14 estimated from Eqs. (3) and (4), respectively. Table 1b presents the fitted amplitude of zonal 15 and meridional wind fluctuation and estimated wave parameters resulting from the hodograph analysis.Wavenumbers are directed eastward (or westward) or northeastward (or 16 17 southwestward). Parameter $f/\hat{\omega}$ ranges from 0.6 to 0.85. Horizontal wavelengths of the best 18 fitted parameters are longer than 1100 km, indicating that these fluctuations are due to relatively 19 large-scale inertia-gravity waves. Thus, the applied assumption on the homogeneity of 20 observed winds by dual beams (Section 2.1) is justified. Uncertainties of estimated wind 21 amplitude and other related wave parameters are also estimated using residuals of the nonlinear 22 least squares fitting and assuming on the assumption that the uncertainties in the estimates of 23 the zonal and meridional wind amplitude are the same. It seems that the estimated horizontal

1 wavelength at a height of 70.8 km for March 23 has a relatively large uncertainty $(|2\pi/\vec{k_h}| =$ 2 990 ~ 7778). However, it should be noted that the case for the largest horizontal wavelength 3 corresponds to a case with $\hat{\omega} \sim f$ (i.e. close to the inertial oscillation) and hence its ambiguity 4 is large for the wavelength $(|\vec{k_h}| \sim 0)$.

5

6

4. Numerical experiment results

7

4.1. Simulated wave structures

8 To examine spatial structures and generation mechanisms of the inertia-gravity waves, a 9 model simulation based on the NICAM was performed. Figure 6a shows the time-height 10 section of the simulated winds $(u \sin \theta + w \cos \theta \text{ (where } \theta = 10^{\circ}))$ for Syowa Station from 11 00 UTC on March 17 to 00 UTC on March 24, 2015, reflecting the line-of-sight velocity of the 12 east beam of the PANSY radar system. A comparison with the observations (Fig. 2) shows that 13 the model successfully simulated synoptic-scale disturbances in the troposphere, although 14 phases of these disturbances vary slightly from observations near the end of the simulation. In 15 the lower stratosphere, a wavy structure with a small vertical wavelength of less than about 2 16 km, which was observed by the radar system from 00 UTC on March 17 to 00 UTC on March 17 20, is hardly shown in Fig. 6a. This may be attributable to the large vertical spacing ($\Delta z = 400$ 18 m) of the model compared to such a short vertical wavelength. From the middle stratosphere 19 to the mesosphere, downward-propagating large-amplitude disturbances are dominant, which 20 is consistent with the observations. Figures 6b and 6c show the line-of-sight velocity of the east 21 beam and the zonal wind component for the same time and height sections as those reflected 22 in Figs. 2b and 2c, respectively. The amplitude of these disturbances is also comparable to that 23 of the observations; for example, the amplitude of the zonal wind component at a height of about 70 km for 12 UTC on March 23 is approximately 30 m s⁻¹, which agrees with the 24 25 observations (see Figs. 4a and 4c).

26

Figure 7a shows the time-height section of anomalies of zonal wind components from the

1 time average at each height. As is shown in Fig. 6b, wave-like structures for the observation 2 period of about 12 h seem dominant in the mesosphere which is consistent with the radar 3 observation (Fig. 2b). Thus, we first examined diurnal and semi-diurnal migrating tidal components, which are defined as components for wave period $\tau = 24$ h and zonal 4 5 wavenumber s = 1 and for $\tau = 12$ h and s = 2, respectively. Figure 7b shows the time-6 height section of diurnal and semi-diurnal migrating components of zonal winds. Surprisingly, 7 these components are not dominant even in the mesosphere. Figure 7c shows the time-height 8 section of zonal wind components of planetary wave components, which are defined as components with $\tau \ge 42$ h. This component does not seem to be dominant in the mesosphere. 9 10 Moreover, we examined the amplitude of small-scale gravity waves, which are defined as 11 components with horizontal wavelengths of less than 1000 km, as occasionally shown by 12 previous studies (e.g., Geller et al., 2013). In this study, a spatial filter is applied to the x-y 13 coordinate centered at the South Pole as projected by the Lambert azimuthal equal-area 14 projection. Figure 7d shows the time-height section of zonal wind components of the small-15 scale gravity waves. Although these small-scale gravity waves sometimes have amplitudes that exceed 20 m s⁻¹ in the mesosphere, the wave structures shown in Fig. 7a are not fully explained. 16 17 The remaining component is shown in Fig. 7e. This component has a quite similar 18 structure and amplitude to the unfiltered anomalies shown in Fig. 7a. These results suggest that 19 dominant wave structures in the mesosphere did not form due to migrating tides, planetary 20 waves or small-scale gravity waves, but due to the remaining component. The remaining 21 component has a horizontal wavelength of greater than 1000 km and wave periods of less than 22 42 h. We further examined characteristics of the remaining component such as horizontal and 23 vertical wavenumbers and intrinsic and observed wave frequencies.

Figure 7e shows several large-amplitude wave packets over Syowa Station. The envelope
 function of the wave packets is examined using an extended Hilbert transform method proposed

1 by Sato et al. (2013). An extended Hilbert transform H[a(x,t)] is a fluctuation field 2 composed of a Fourier component of a particular fluctuation field a(x,t) whose phase is 3 shifted by $-\pi/2$ radians. An envelope function $A_{env}(x,t)$ of a(x,t) is obtained using 4 a(x,t) as follows:

$$A_{\rm env}(x,t) = \sqrt{a(x,t)^2 + H[a(x,t)]^2}.$$
(5)

5 The extended Hilbert transform must be applied in one direction in time or space where waves 6 are fluctuating (including at least more than two wave crests). In this study, envelope functions 7 are estimated using the extended Hilbert transform of the time direction.

8 Figure 8 shows the envelope functions calculated using the extended Hilbert transform 9 applied to remaining components shown in Fig. 7e. Several large-amplitude wave packets are identified and labeled as (i) to (v) for further wave parameter estimation. The observed wave 10 11 period and the vertical wavelength of each packet are estimated directly in Fig. 7e. The zonal 12 wavelengths and phase velocities are directly estimated using Hovmöller diagrams. Figure 9 13 shows the Hovmöller diagram at a height of 70 km at 69°S. A lot of wave packets appear not 14 only over Syowa Station throughout the simulation period, except for the initial day of the time 15 integration. It is clear that most waves propagate westward. At a longitude of roughly 40°E 16 (green dashed line) where Syowa Station is located, some dominant wave packets are found as 17 is shown in Fig. 8, corresponding to packets (i) for March 19, (ii) March 20 and (v) March 22, 18 respectively.

In addition, to examine horizontal structures of the wave packets, we created composite maps of zonal wind components. The composite is calculated for the time period denoted by green rectangles in Fig. 8. For each wave packet, the locations with the local maxima of the zonal wind components near Syowa Station along a latitude of 69°S are chosen as reference points for the composite. In other words, horizontal maps of zonal wind wave components are moved in the zonal direction and are then averaged. Thus, this composite shows an averaged phase structure of zonal wind wave components near Syowa Station. The results are shown in Figs. 10a to 10e for packets (i) to (v), respectively. It seems that wave structures are evident for all packets near Syowa Station. From features observed in the time-height section, the Hovmöller diagram and the composite maps of zonal wind components, we directly estimated wave parameters of horizontal wavelengths, vertical wavelengths, observed frequencies, zonal phase speeds, vertical phase speeds and intrinsic frequencies, which are summarized in Table 2.

8 The vertical phase speeds, observed wave periods and vertical wavelengths obtained from 9 the model simulation data agree quite well with those obtained from the PANSY radar 10 observations. Moreover, directly estimated zonal and meridional wavenumbers from the 11 simulation (Table 2) also agree quite well with those indirectly estimated from PANSY radar 12 observations using polarization and dispersion relations of inertia-gravity waves (Tables 1a and 13 1b). In addition, it is important to note that wave parameters (ω , \vec{k} and m) of packets (i) to 14 (v) are consistent with the dispersion relation of the hydrostatic inertia-gravity waves:

$$\widehat{\omega}^{2} = (\omega - \vec{U} \cdot \vec{k})^{2} = f^{2} + \frac{N^{2} |\vec{k}|^{2}}{m^{2}}, \tag{6}$$

15 where ω is the ground-based frequency, $\vec{k} = (k, l)$ and m are horizontal and vertical 16 components for the wavenumber vector, respectively, and \vec{U} is the background horizontal 17 wind vector. Intrinsic frequencies $\hat{\omega}$ obtained from the model simulation using Eq. (6) also 18 agree with those obtained from the PANSY radar observation. From these results, we conclude 19 that dominant half-day wave period fluctuations observed in the mesosphere are likely 20 attributable to large-scale inertia-gravity waves. Hereafter, we refer to the remaining 21 component as "large-scale inertia-gravity waves."

22

4.2. Wave propagation and generation mechanism

In this section, we examine the origins of the large-scale inertia-gravity waves simulated near Syowa Station. In particular, we examine disturbances occurring over wave periods of close to 12 h, which were extracted by applying a bandpass filter with cutoff wave periods of
 6 h and 24 h to large-scale inertia-gravity waves. It is confirmed that this spectral range of the
 bandpass filter is narrow enough to extract the large-scale inertia-gravity waves clearly.

4 Case studies are conducted for wave packets (i) and (v), as they show clear wave structures 5 at the height of 70 km, where the PANSY radar system observed inertia-gravity waves. The 6 propagation of wave packets identified using the extended Hilbert transform method is 7 manually traced. Three dimensional locations of the wave packets are determined by the 8 maxima of the envelope function for each time. Hereafter, we refer to this method as "manual 9 wave packet tracing". The advantage of this method is that a specific location of a possible 10 wave source can be directly examined.

11 The approach of the manual wave packet tracing for packet (v) is illustrated in Figs. 11a 12 and 11b, which show horizontal maps of zonal wind components of the large-scale inertia-13 gravity waves and their envelope functions for 03 UTC on March 23 and a Hovmöller diagram at 69°S. Significant wave disturbances with large amplitudes are observed near Syowa Station 14 15 corresponding to packet (v). The location of packet (v) (green circles in Figs. 11a and 11b) is 16 estimated in the following: First, the time when the envelope function of packet (v) takes its 17 local maximum in the Hovmöller diagram (Fig. 11b) is determined. Second, the location of 18 packet (v) at that time is determined to where the envelope function has its local maximum in 19 the horizontal map (Fig. 11a). Figures 11c and 11d show the results for packet (i). By repeating 20 this procedure at an interval of about 1 km in the vertical direction, temporal and spatial 21 locations for a particular packet are manually estimated. For this tracing, the Hovmöller 22 diagram is examined at the latitude of the wave packet location in the former procedure.

Figures 12a-d show the time and the spatial locations of packet (v) at heights of 60 km, 40 km, 25 km and 23 km, respectively. It appears that the location of packet (v) is successfully traced backward from a height of 70 km to 23 km, suggesting that packet (v) observed over Syowa Station in the mesosphere propagated from the lower stratosphere at roughly (100°E,
 40°S). At heights of 25 km to 23 km, the vertical propagation of packet (v) is quite slow
 compared to that in the upper stratosphere and mesosphere.

Figures 12e to 12 g show the times and spatial locations of packet (i) at heights of 63 km,
58 km, 53 km and 48 km, respectively. At heights of 70 km, 63 km and 58 km, the green circles
seem to trace the same wave structures. However, as we cannot trace packet (i) below a height
of 53 km, the wave structure becomes obscured at heights of 53 km and 48 km.

8 To confirm the validity of the manual wave packet tracing results, we conducted a 9 backward ray tracing analysis of the large-scale inertia-gravity wave (e.g., Marks and 10 Eckermann 1995). We used the wave parameters of packets (i) and (v) shown in Table 2 as 11 initial parameters for the ray tracing analysis. The average of the model output for March 17 to 12 March 23 is used as the background wind for the ray tracing analysis. Figure 13 and Table 3 13 summarize the manual packet and ray tracing results. The times and spatial locations of packet (v) detected by the manual packet tracing agree with those obtained by idealized ray tracing, 14 15 although not for the lower stratosphere. However, the tracing of packet (i) agrees with those by 16 idealized ray tracing results at above 58 km where clear wave structures are shown in the 17 horizontal map, although the idealized ray slowly travels at right angles to the manual ray. 18 These findings support the validity of manual wave packet tracing based on the extended 19 Hilbert transform and ray tracing based on inertia-gravity wave theory.

The source of the inertia-gravity waves can be located at any altitude along the ray above the lowest traceable altitude. Thus, we further examine possible sources of packets (i) and (v) along the ray shown in Fig. 13. First, we focus on the ray of packet (v) in the lower stratosphere. Figure 14 shows the longitude-height section of background winds below z = 18 km and of disturbances above z = 19 km at 40°S for 03 UTC on March 21. At roughly 100°E longitude, wave disturbances appear to be captured over the core of the tropospheric jet stream. This feature is quite similar to the gravity waves generated by the spontaneous radiation from the
 large-scale jet in the tropopause (e.g., O'Sullivan and Dunkerton, 1995; Plougonven and
 Synder 2007; Yasuda et al., 2015a, b). The long propagation time in the lower stratosphere may
 be related to the wave capture mechanism (Bühler and McIntyre, 2005; Shibuya et al., 2015).

To explore such a possibility, a horizontal map of the residual of the nonlinear balance
equation (Δ*NBE*; Zhang et al., 2001), which is an index showing the degree of flow imbalance,
is examined. Here, Δ*NBE* is defined as follows:

$$\Delta NBE = 2J(u,v) + f\varsigma - \alpha \nabla^2 P \tag{7}$$

8 where ς , α , and P denote the relative vorticity, specific volume and pressure level, 9 respectively. The Jacobian term is $J(u, v) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \times \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \times \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$. To exclude possible contaminations of ΔNBE by small-scale gravity waves, we apply a low-pass filter in 10 11 the zonal and meridional directions with a cutoff length of 1000 km in advance. Figure 15 12 shows horizontal maps of the absolute value of the horizontal wind and ΔNBE at a height of 13 10 km for 03 UTC on March 21. The absolute value of the horizontal wind is also denoted by 14 thick contours. It is clear that large values are observed in ΔNBE around the tropospheric jet 15 meandering around ($110^{\circ}E$, $40^{\circ}S$), where packet (v) was located. This feature not only suggests 16 that the imbalance in the tropospheric jet was significant but also that packet (v) may have been 17 generated by the spontaneous radiation mechanism.

Next, we examined a possible generation mechanism for packet (i). The disappearance of the clear wave structure at a height of 53 km (Figs. 12f and 12g) may indicate that the source of packet (i) is found at this height. A plausible generation mechanism of inertia-gravity waves in the upper stratosphere is spontaneous radiation from the polar night jet (e.g., Sato and Yoshiki, 2008). It is also worth noting that observational studies show high percentages of downward gravity wave propagation in the polar stratosphere compared to those found at low and middle latitudes (e.g., Yoshiki and Sato, 2000; Guest et al., 2000; Moffat-Griffin et al., 1 2013; Murphy et al., 2014; Mihalikova et al., 2016). To confirm this possibility, we examined 2 fluctuation characteristics and background zonal winds of the upper stratosphere. Figure 16 3 shows a longitude-height cross-section of fluctuation components ($\sqrt{\rho_0}u'$) and the background 4 zonal wind at 15 UTC on March 18 at 65°S. The background zonal wind is obtained using a 5 low-pass filter with a cutoff zonal wavelength of approximately 5,000 km.

6 Interestingly, it seems that fluctuations show symmetric features above and below the core 7 of the polar night jet at a height of 50 km. The height at which packet (i) becomes obscured 8 roughly corresponds to the core of the polar night jet (Figs. 12f and 12g). These results imply 9 that fluctuations are generated at a height close to the core of the polar night jet. To confirm this, we examined the vertical profile of the energy flux $\overline{p'w'}$ (Fig. 16b). The average was 10 11 calculated for the longitudinal region spanning from -90°E to 60°E. The energy flux is upward 12 above and downward below the core of the polar night jet. This result supports the hypothesis 13 that packet (i) was generated at a height close to the core of the polar night jet.

14 This symmetric phase structure observed in Fig. 16a is similar to the structures from the 15 theoretical studies of spontaneous radiation of inertia-gravity waves from a balanced flow (e.g., 16 Yasuda et al., 2015b: their Figure 6). Yasuda et al. (2015a, b) proposed that the quasi-resonance 17 of gravity waves and a secondary circulation slaved to a balanced jet flow serves as the 18 spontaneous radiation mechanism. The study showed that the quasi-resonance occurs when 19 ground-based wave periods of radiated gravity waves are comparable to the time-scale of the 20 slaved motion due to a significant Doppler shift by the strong and balanced flow. Moreover, a 21 time-scale in which a fluid particle travels over a descent-ascent couplet structure (i.e., half of 22 an intrinsic period of a radiated gravity wave; $\hat{\tau}$) needs to be shorter than half of the inertial period. This corresponds to the condition that the Lagrangian Rossby number (R_{Lagr}) is greater 23 than unity, which was discussed in McIntyre (2008). Spontaneously radiating inertia-gravity 24 25 waves have a shorter wavelength leeward of the jet streak through the wave capture mechanism

1 (Bühler and McIntyre, 2005). Yasuda et al. (2015a, b) also showed that the source term formula 2 of radiated gravity waves by the quasi-resonance is equal to ΔNBE . However, a large ΔNBE 3 was not observed in the upper stratosphere and mesosphere for the case examined in the present 4 study. The polar night jet around an approximate height of 55 km did not have the strength 5 and/or did not meander enough to cause a large ΔNBE (not shown). Thus, a different 6 mechanism needs to be considered to explain the spontaneous radiation of gravity waves in the 7 upper stratosphere and mesosphere.

8 Taking into account the fact that the time-variation of the westerly jet around 55 km is 9 mainly caused by migrating tides, we propose a new mechanism for the spontaneous radiation 10 in the upper stratosphere and mesosphere. Figure 17 shows the schematic illustration. Figure 11 17a shows anomalies of θ from the zonal mean and vertical wind couplets associated with a 12 semi-diurnal migrating tide at the height of the core of the polar night jet in the longitudinal direction. As vertical winds are adiabatically present along the modulated θ surface, a 13 14 mountain-wave-like generation that was discussed in the previous studies may occur. In this 15 case, the vertical winds oscillate with a period of 12 h due to the time variation of the θ surface 16 associated with the semi-diurnal migrating tide. The deformation of the θ surface is not 17 caused by the slaved components of the large-scale balanced flow, and hence ΔNBE is not necessarily large. An intrinsic period $\hat{\tau}$ for this case is calculated as $\hat{\tau} = \frac{L}{U + U_{\text{tide}}}$, 18 where L is a half-length of the latitude circle, U is a speed of the zonal wind and U_{tide} is a 19 ground-based phase speed of the semi-diurnal tide. Since L/U_{tide} is 12 h, $\hat{\tau}$ is less than a half 20 21 of the inertial period in the westerly background wind (U > 0). Therefore, gravity waves with 22 a period of 12 h are likely radiated around the jet core. In addition, because of the horizontal 23 shear of the background wind including the migrating tides, the wave-capture can occur to 24 cause the horizontal wavelength of the radiated gravity waves to be less than that of the semi-25 diurnal migrating tide, which was observed.

Next, we confirm if the patterns of θ anomalies and vertical velocities associated with 1 2 the migrating tides are seen in the simulation data. Figure 18a shows the Hovmöller diagram 3 of θ anomalies and vertical velocities associated with the diurnal and semi-diurnal migrating 4 tides at a height of 55 km at 65°S. The phase relation of θ and vertical velocities are adiabatic, 5 which is consistent with the scenario shown in Fig. 17a. The θ anomalies associated with the 6 semi-diurnal tide seem modulated and partly amplified by the presence of the diurnal migrating 7 tide. Figure 18b shows the Hovmöller diagram of θ and vertical winds of the large-scale 8 gravity wave components, overlaid by the background zonal wind. Note that the background 9 zonal wind is largely modulated by the migrating tides. It is interesting that packet (i) seems to 10 be generated near 30°E at about 12 UTC when the packet is located downstream of the zonal 11 wind maxima, which is consistent with the source location and generation timing estimated by 12 the manual packet tracing method. This fact suggests that the wave capture mechanism is acting 13 in association with the migrating tides. This is the most likely mechanism of packet (i) 14 generation.

We also examined sources of other wave packets (ii), (iii) and (iv) and of other wave packets that are dominant at different longitudes (Fig. 9). The results suggest that these wave packets were also generated by spontaneous radiation from the upper tropospheric jet stream or from the polar night jet stream (not shown in detail).

19

20 **5. Discussion**

As is shown in Section 4, wave structures with large amplitudes in the lower mesosphere (below 80 km) with the ground-based period of quasi 12 h are likely attributable to large-scale inertia-gravity waves. The horizontal wavelengths of quasi 12 h inertia-gravity waves range from 1500 km to 2500 km as shown in Table 2. This conclusion diverges from suggestions made in previous studies, which posit that 12 h disturbances can be either migrating semidiurnal tides of zonal wavenumber two or non-migrating semi-diurnal tides of zonal wavenumber one. This difference can be attributed to the difference in observed height regions
as follows: height regions examined in this study include the upper stratosphere and lower
mesosphere below 80 km while those examined in previous studies include the mesosphere
located above 85 km.

5 Large-scale inertia-gravity waves with horizontal wavelengths of longer than 1000 km in 6 the mesosphere have already been reported in several studies (Li et al., 2007; Lu et al., 2009, 7 Nicolls et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2013). For example, Nicolls et al. (2010), using the Poker Flat 8 Incoherent Scatter Radar system, found large-amplitude coherent wave packets with wave 9 periods of roughly 10.5 h and horizontal wavelengths of 700 km to 1600 km in the mesosphere. 10 Nicolls et al. (2010) cited jet stream adjustment in the tropopause as a potential source. Chen 11 et al. (2013) also estimated a source for one inertia-gravity wave with a horizontal wavelength 12 of 2200 km, a period of 7.7 hour and a vertical wavelength of 22 km, which was observed in 13 the Antarctic mesopause region by combined Fe lidar/MF radar measurements. They 14 heuristically traced the inertia-gravity wave back to a region of unbalanced flow in the 15 stratosphere ($z \sim 43 - 46$ km). However, no previous studies have directly examined sources of 16 these large-scale inertia-gravity waves in the mesosphere using a numerical model. This study 17 examines the propagation of such large-scale inertia-gravity waves and generation by 18 spontaneous radiation from the polar night jet and tropospheric jet, combining the observational 19 data and numerical simulation outputs.

Recently, Sato et al. (2017) showed that zonal (meridional) momentum flux spectra at the summer mesosphere over Syowa Station are mainly positive (negative), and an isolated peak of the momentum fluxes is observed near a frequency of 12 hour, using continuous observations of polar mesosphere summer echoes at heights from 81–93 km by the PANSY radar. The signs of momentum flux suggests that gravity waves propagate from low latitude regions on the assumption of upward propagation. Yasui et al. (2016) also suggested that gravity waves in the 1 summer mesosphere may originate from the tropical convections using the MF radar 2 observation at Syowa Station. Sato et al. (1999) indicated that such meridional propagation of 3 the inertia-gravity waves from the low latitude region and the critical-level filtering mechanism can explain the isolated energy peak near the inertia-frequency (near a frequency of 12 hour at 4 Syowa Station). Moreover, the tide-induced spontaneous radiation mechanism proposed in this 5 6 study implies frequent generations of quasi 12 h inertia-gravity waves at the polar vortex. 7 Further studies are needed to clarify physical mechanisms for the existence of the isolated 8 energy peak near 12 hour in the mesosphere at Syowa Station.

9 We have further examined vertical fluxes of zonal and meridional momentum associated with large-scale inertia-gravity waves $\rho_0 \overline{u'w'}$ and $\rho_0 \overline{v'w'}$. The overbar denotes a zonal 10 averaging operation. Figures 19a and 19b show latitude-height sections of $\rho_0 \overline{u'w'}$ and $\rho_0 \overline{v'w'}$, 11 respectively, which are averaged for March 19 to March 23 2015. Large negative $\rho_0 \overline{u'w'}$ and 12 $\rho_0 \overline{v'w'}$ values are distributed approximately 40°S in the lower stratosphere and approximately 13 75°S in the mesosphere. The signs of $\rho_0 \overline{u'w'}$ and $\rho_0 \overline{v'w'}$ are consistent with parameter 14 15 estimations from PANSY radar observations and from the numerical simulation. The slanted 16 structures seem quite similar to the propagation path of packet (v) discussed in Section 4.2. Such a slanted structure is likely formed from the meridional propagation of inertia-gravity 17 18 waves, which is numerically shown and theoretically discussed by Sato et al. (2009) and Sato et al. (2012) in terms of refraction and advection by background wind. 19

Interestingly, Fig. 19a shows that the value of $\rho_0 \overline{u'w'}$ is lower in the middle stratosphere, thus showing a peak of negative $\rho_0 \overline{u'w'}$ in the mesosphere. Small-scale gravity waves do not follow such a pattern (not shown). This result suggests that parts of large-scale inertia-gravity waves are generated in the upper stratosphere as discussed in Section 4.2. In contrast, such a feature is not observed in $\rho_0 \overline{v'w'}$, although a small negative local maximum is present at heights of 50 km to 55 km at approximately 60°S. This result implies that wavenumber vectors of inertia-gravity waves in the mesosphere tend to move eastward, consistent with the
parameter estimation shown in Section 4.1.

Next, we examined the energy density of the large-scale inertia-gravity waves by dividing the total energy density into the following three components: horizontal wind kinetic energy (\overline{KE}) , vertical wind kinetic energy (\overline{VE}) and potential energy (\overline{PE}) :

$$\overline{KE} = \frac{1}{2}\rho_0 \left(\overline{u'^2} + \overline{v'^2} \right),\tag{8}$$

$$\overline{VE} = \frac{1}{2}\rho_0 \overline{w'^2},\tag{9}$$

and

$$\overline{PE} = \frac{1}{2}\rho_0 \frac{g^2}{N^2} \overline{\left(\frac{T'}{T}\right)^2}.$$
(10)

6 According to the linear theory of hydrostatic inertia-gravity waves, the ratio of \overline{VE} to \overline{PE} has 7 the following relation (from the thermodynamic equation) (e.g., Wang et al., 2005; Geller and 8 Gong 2010, Geller et al., 2013);

$$\frac{\overline{VE}}{\overline{PE}} = \frac{\widehat{\omega}^2}{N^2}.$$
(11)

9 Thus, $|f/\hat{\omega}|$ can be derived as follows:

$$\left|\frac{f}{\widehat{\omega}}\right| = \left|\frac{f}{N}\right| \sqrt{\frac{\overline{PE}}{\overline{VE}}}.$$
(12)

10 Figure 19c shows the latitude-height section of $|f/\hat{\omega}|$. In the mesosphere, $|f/\hat{\omega}|$ ranges 11 from 0.6 to 0.8 at approximately 70°S, which agrees quite well with estimates from the hodograph analysis (Tables 1 and 2). The fact that $|f/\hat{\omega}|$ has higher values than 0.6 indicates 12 that the large-scale inertia-gravity waves are almost hydrostatic. Note that the value of $|f/\hat{\omega}|$ 13 14 is larger than 1.0 in the lower stratosphere, which is inconsistent with the linear theory of 15 inertia-gravity waves. This implies that the large-scale inertia-gravity waves defined in Section 4.1 include balanced components in the lower stratosphere (e.g., baroclinic wave components) 16 17 as well as large-scale inertia-gravity waves.

18 Figures 19d and 19e show latitude-height sections of \overline{KE} and \overline{PE} for the large-scale

inertia-gravity waves. The slanted structures in Figs. 19a and 19b are also shown in Figs. 19d and 19e. It should be noted that the ratio of the Coriolis parameter to the intrinsic frequency $(f/\hat{\omega})$ can also be obtained from \overline{KE} and \overline{PE} as follows:

$$\left|\frac{f}{\widehat{\omega}}\right| = \sqrt{\frac{\frac{\overline{KE}}{\overline{PE}} - 1}{\frac{\overline{KE}}{\overline{PE}} + 1}}.$$
(13)

We confirmed that (f/ŵ) values obtained by Eq. (13) are consistent with the result obtained
from Eq. (12) (not shown).

6

7 6. Summary

8 The first observation with a complete system of the PANSY radar were successfully 9 performed for March 16 – 24, 2015. During this period, quasi 12 h disturbances in the 10 mesosphere at heights of 70 km to 80 km were detected by the PANSY radar system. Our main 11 results are summarized as follows:

12 1) The observed wave period and vertical wavelength are about 12.3 h and 13.8 13 km, respectively. The estimated horizontal wavelength is longer than 1100 km. The 14 wavenumber vectors tend to be directed northeastward or southwestward. Ratios of the 15 Coriolis parameter to the intrinsic frequency range from 0.6 to 0.85.

2) Moreover, using the non-hydrostatic numerical model with a model top of 87 km, we succeeded in simulating quasi 12 h disturbances in the mesosphere. Using time and spatial filters, we found that quasi 12 h disturbances are not attributable to semidiurnal migrating tides, but to large-scale inertia-gravity waves with horizontal wavelengths of longer than 1400 km. Wavenumber vectors simulated in the NICAM are directed northeastward. Wave parameters directly estimated using the NICAM agree quite well with those estimated by the PANSY radar observation system.

23 3) The detected inertia-gravity waves were likely generated by the spontaneous

radiation mechanism of the mid-latitude upper tropospheric jet at the tropopause or the
 polar night jet. Inertia-gravity waves generated near the mid-latitude tropopause
 propagate laterally and vertically to the polar mesosphere.

4 4) A new spontaneous radiation mechanism associated with the semi-diurnal 5 migrating tides is proposed. This mechanism explains a radiation of inertia-gravity wave 6 with a period of 12 h in the upper stratosphere and mesosphere. The wave-capture 7 mechanism is important downstream of a zonal wind maxima caused by the migrating 8 tides.

9 5) Vertical fluxes of the zonal and meridional momentum of large-scale inertia-10 gravity waves show a slanted structure from the mid-latitude lower stratosphere to the 11 polar mesosphere. Moreover, the vertical flux of the zonal momentum shows a strong 12 negative peak in the mesosphere, suggesting the generation of large-scale inertia-gravity 13 waves in the upper stratosphere.

The present study offers a quantitative discussion based on high-resolution observations and numerical models. Statistical analyses of large-scale inertia-gravity waves in the real atmosphere will be of interest as future studies using observations and numerical simulations in the different seasons.

18

19 7. Data availability

The PANSY radar observation data is available at the project website, http://pansy.eps.s.utokyo.ac.jp. Model outputs are available on request from the corresponding author.

22

23 8. Competing interest

24 The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

25

26 Acknowledgements

1 The PANSY multi-institutional project operated by the University of Tokyo and the National 2 Institute of Polar Research (NIPR), and the PANSY radar system was operated by the 3 Japanese Antarctic Research Expedition. We thank Takuji Nakamura for his many useful 4 comments and discussions. We also thank Arata Amemiya for describing the idealized ray 5 tracing model. Numerical simulations were run on the NIPR supercomputer. All figures 6 shown in this paper were created using the Dennou Club Library (DCL). This study was 7 supported by the Program for Leading Graduate Schools, MEXT, Japan. The study was also 8 supported through the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS) Grant-in-Aid 9 Scientific Research (A) 25247075 (Kaoru Sato) and Grant-in-Aid for Research Fellow (26-10 9257) (Ryosuke Shibuya) programs. This work was partly supported by CREST, JST. 11 12 **References** Akmaev, R. A., J. M. Forbes, F.-J. Lübken, D. J. Murphy, and J. Höffner, 2016: Tides in the 13 14 mesopause region over Antarctica: Comparison of whole atmosphere model simulations 15 with ground-based observations, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121, 1156–1169, doi:10.1002/ 16 2015JD023673.

17 Alexander, M. J., M. Geller, C. McLandress, S. Polavarapu, P. Preusse, F. Sassi, K. Sato, S.

18 Eckermann, M. Ern, A. Hertzog, Y. Kawatani, M. Pulido, T. Shaw, M. Sigmond, R.

19 Vincent, S. Watanabe, 2010: Recent developments in gravity wave effects in climate

20 models, and the global distribution of gravity wave momentum flux from observations

21 and models, *Q. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc.*, **136**, 1103-1124.

Aso, T., 2007: A note on the semidiurnal non-migrating tide at polar latitudes, *Earth Planets Space*, **59**, e21–e24.

Baldwin, M. P., and Coauthors, 2003: The Quasi-Biennial Oscillation, *Rev. Geophys.*, 39,
179-229.

26 Becker, E., 2009: Sensitivity of the upper mesosphere to the Lorenz energy cycle of the

1	troposphere, J. Atmos. Sci., 66, 647-666, doi: 10.1175/2008JAS2735.1.
2	Bloom, S., L. Takacs, A. DaSilva, and D. Ledvina, 1996: Data assimilation using incremental
3	analysis updates. Mon. Wea. Rev., 124, 1256–1271.
4	Bühler, O., and M. E. McIntyre, 2005: Wave capture and wave-vortex duality. J. Fluid.
5	Mech., 534, 67-95.
6	Chen, C., X. Chu, A. J. McDonald, S. L. Vadas, Z. Yu, W. Fong, and X. Lu, 2013: Inertia -
7	gravity waves in Antarctica: A case study using simultaneous lidar and radar
8	measurements at McMurdo/Scott Base (77.8° S, 166.7° E). Journal of Geophysical
9	<i>Research: Atmospheres</i> , 118 (7), 2794-2808.
10	Cherniak I and I. Zakharenkova, 2016: High-latitude ionospheric irregularities: differences
11	between ground- and space-based GPS measurements during the 2015 St. Patrick's Day
12	Storm. Earth Planets Space 68:136. doi:10.1186/s40623-016-0506-1
13	Chu, X., W. Huang, F. Fong, Z. Yu, Z. Wang, J. A. Smith, and C. S. Gardner 2011: First lidar
14	observations of polar mesospheric clouds and Fe temperatures at McMurdo (77.8°S,
15	166.7°E), Antarctica, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L16810, doi:10.1029/2011GL048373.
16	Collins, R. L., D. C. Senft, and C. S. Gardner 1992: Observations of a 12 H wave in the
17	mesopause region at the South Pole, Geophys. Res. Lett., 19(1), 57-60,
18	doi:10.1029/91GL02780.
19	Eyring, V., T. G. Shepherd, and D. W. Waugh, Eds., 2010: SPARC CCMVal report on the
20	evaluation of chemistry-climate models. SPARC Rep. 5, WCRP-132, WMO/TD-No.
21	1526, 434 pp.
22	Fisher, G. M., R. J, Niciejewski, T. L. Killeen, W. A. Gault, G. G. Shepherd, S. Brown, and
23	Q. Wu, 2002: Twelve - hour tides in the winter northern polar mesosphere and lower
24	thermosphere. Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics, 107(A8).
25	Fraser, G. J. and U. Khan, 1990: Semidiurnal variations in the time scale of irregularities near

1	the Antarctic summer mesopause. Radio science, 25(5), 997-1003.
2	Forbes, J. M., N. A. Makarov, and Y. I. Portnyagin, First results from the meteor radar at
3	south pole: A large 12-hour oscillation with zonal wavenumber one, Geophys. Res. Lett.,
4	22, 3247–3250, 1995.Forbes, J.M.,
5	Forbes, J. M., Y. I. Portnyagin, N. A. Markov, S. E. Palo, E. G. Merzlyakov and X. Zhang,
6	1999: Dynamics of the lower thermosphere over South Pole from meteor radar
7	windmeasurements. Earth Planets Space, 51, 611-620.
8	Fritts, D. C., D. M. Riggin, B. B. Balsley, and R. G. Stockwell 1998: Recent results with an
9	MF radar at McMurdo, Antarctica: Characteristics and variability of motions near 12-
10	hour period in the mesosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 25(3), 297-300,
11	doi:10.1029/97GL03702.
12	Fritts , D. C. and M. J. Alexander, 2003: Gravity wave dynamics and effects in the middle
13	atmosphere. Rev. Geophys., 41, 1003, doi:10.1029/2001RG000106.
14	Geller, M. A. and J. Gong, 2010: Gravity wave kinetic, potential, and vertical fluctuation
15	energies as indicators of different frequency gravity waves. Journal of Geophysical
16	Research: Atmospheres, 115 (D11).
17	Geller, M. A., M. Alexander, P. T. Love, J. Bacmeister, M. Ern, A. Hertzog, T. Zhou, 2013: A
18	Comparison between Gravity Wave Momentum Fluxes in Observations and Climate
19	Models. Journal of Climate, 26(17).
20	Guest, F. M., M. J. Reeder, C. J. Marks, and D. J. Karoly (2000), Inertia-gravity waves
21	observed in the lower stratosphere over Macquarie Island, J. Atmos. Sci., 57, 737–752.
22	Hagan, M. E., and J. M. Forbes, 2003: Migrating and nonmigrating semidiurnal tides in the
23	upper atmosphere excited by tropospheric latent heat release, J. Geophys. Res., 108(A2),
24	1062, doi:10.1029/2002JA009466.
25	Haynes, P. H., 1998: The latitudinal structure of the quasi-biennial oscillation. Quart. J. Roy.

|--|

- 2 Hernandez, G., G. J. Fraser, and R. W. Smith 1993: Mesospheric 12-hour oscillation near
- 3 South Pole, Antarctica, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **20**(17), 1787–1790,

4 doi:10.1029/93GL01983.

- 5 Hertzog, A., G. Boccara, R. A. Vincent, F. Vial, and P. Cocquerez, 2008: Estimation of
- 6 gravity wave momentum flux and phase speeds from quasi-Lagrangian stratospheric
- 7 balloon flights. Part II: Results from the Vorcore campaign in Antarctica. *Journal of the*

8 *Atmospheric Sciences*, **65**(10), 3056-3070.

- 9 Hirota, I. and T. Niki, 1986: Inertia-gravity waves in the troposphere and stratosphere
 10 observed by the MU radar. *J. Meteor. Soc. Japan*, 64, 995–999.
- 11 Jacobsen, K. S., and M. Dähnn (2014), Statistics of ionospheric disturbances and their
- correlation with GNSS 338 positioning errors at high latitudes, *J. Space Weather Space Clim.*, 4, A27, doi:10.1051/swsc/2014024.
- 14 Kataoka, R., D. Shiota, E. Kilpua, and K. Keika 2015: Pileup accident hypothesis of magnetic

15 storm on 17 March 2015, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **42**, 5155–5161,

- 16 doi:10.1002/2015GL064816.
- 17 Kohma, M. and K. Sato, 2011: The effects of atmospheric waves on the amounts of polar

18 stratospheric clouds. Atmos. Chem. Phys., **11**, 11535-11552, doi:10.5194/acp-11-11535-

19 2011.

- 20 Lane, T. P., J. D. Doyle, R. Plougonven, M. A. Shapiro, and R. D. Sharman, 2004:
- 21 Observations and numerical simulations of inertia-gravity waves and shearing
- instabilities in the vicinity of a jet stream. J. Atmos. Sci., 61, 2792-2706.
- 23 Li, T., C.-Y. She, H.-L. Liu, T. Leblanc, and I. S. McDermid, 2007: Sodium lidar–observed
- strong inertia-gravity wave activities in the mesopause region over Fort Collins,
- 25 Colorado (41°N, 105°W), J. Geophys. Res., **112**, D22104, doi:10.1029/2007JD008681.

1	Liu, H. L., J. M. McInerney, S. Santos, P. H. Lauritzen, M. A. Taylor, and N. M. Pedatella,
2	2014: Gravity waves simulated by high - resolution Whole Atmosphere Community
3	Climate Model. Geophysical Research Letters, 41(24), 9106-9112.
4	Lu, X., A. Z. Liu, G. R. Swenson, T. Li, T. Leblanc, and I. S. McDermid, 2009: Gravity wave
5	propagation and dissipation from the stratosphere to the lower thermosphere, J .
6	Geophys. Res., 114, D11101, doi:10.1029/2008JD010112.
7	Marks, C. J., and S. D. Eckermann, 1995: A three-dimensional nonhydrostatic ray tracing
8	model for gravity waves: Formulation and preliminary results for the middle
9	atmosphere. J. Atmos. Sci., 52(11), 1959-1984.
10	Mayr, H. G., J. G. Mengel, E. R. Talaat, H. S. Porter, and K. L. Chan, 2005a: Mesospheric
11	non-migrating tides generated with planetary waves: I. Characteristics, J. Atmos. Sol.
12	Terr. Phys., 67, 959–980.
13	Mayr, H. G., J. G. Mengel, E. R. Talaat, H. S. Porter, and K. L. Chan, 2005b: Mesospheric
14	non-migrating tides generated with planetary waves: II. Influence of gravity waves, J.
15	Atmos. Sol. Terr. Phys., 67, 981–991.
16	McDonald, A. J., S. E. George, and R. M. Woollands, 2009: Can gravity waves significantly
17	impact PSC occurrence in the Antarctic?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 8825-8840,
18	doi:10.5194/acp-9-8825-2009.
19	McLandress, C., T. G. Shepherd, S. Polavarau, S. R. Beagley, 2012: Is Missing Orographic
20	Gravity Wave Drag near 60°S the Cause of the Stratospheric Zonal Wind Biases in
21	Chemistry-Climate Models?. J. Atmos. Sci., 69, 802-818, 2012.
22	McFarlane, N. A., 1987: The effect of orographically excited wave drag on the general
23	circulation of the lower stratosphere and troposphere. J. Atmos. Sci., 44, 1775–1800.
24	Mihalikova, M., Sato, K., Tsutsumi, M., and Sato, T, 2016.: Properties of inertia-gravity
25	waves in the lowermost stratosphere as observed by the PANSY radar over Syowa

1	Station in the Antarctic, Ann. Geophys., 34, 543-555, doi:10.5194/angeo-34-543-2016.
2	Moffat-Griffin, T., M. J. Jarvis, S. R. Colwell, A. J. Kavanagh, G. L. Manney, and W. H.
3	Daffer (2013), Seasonal variations in the lower stratospheric gravity wave energy above
4	the Falkland Islands, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 10,861–10,869,
5	doi:10.1002/jgrd.50859.
6	Murphy, D. J., et al., 2006: A climatology of tides in the Antarctic mesosphere and lower
7	thermosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 111, doi:10.1029/2005JD006803.
8	Murphy, D. J., T. Aso, D. C. Fritts, R. E. Hibbins, A. J. McDonald, D. M. Riggin, M.
9	Tsutsumi, and R. A. Vincent, 2009: Source regions for Antarctic MLT non-migrating
10	semidiurnal tides, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L09805, doi:10.1029/2008GL037064.
11	Murphy, D. J., S. P. Alexander, A. R. Klekociuk, P. T. Love, and R. A. Vincent, 2014:
12	Radiosonde observations of gravity waves in the lower stratosphere over Davis,
13	Antarctica. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119, 11 973-11 996, doi:10.1002/2014JD022448.
14	Nakamura, T., T. Tsuda, M. Yamamoto, S. Fukao, and S. Kato 1993: Characteristics of
15	gravity waves in the mesosphere observed with the middle and upper atmosphere radar
16	2. Propagation direction, J. Geophys. Res., 98(D5), 8911-8923, doi:10.1029/92JD03030.
17	Nakanishi M. and H. Niino, 2004: An Improved Mellor-Yamada Level-3 Model with
18	Condensation Physics: Its Design and Verification. Boundary-Layer Meteorol. 112,1–31
19	Nastrom, G. D., and F. D. Eaton 2006: Quasi-monochromatic inertiagravity waves in the
20	lower stratosphere from MST radar observations, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D19103,
21	doi:10.1029/2006JD007335.
22	Nicolls, M. J., R. H. Varney, S. L. Vadas, P. A. Stamus, C. J. Heinselman, R. B. Cosgrove,
23	and M. C. Kelley 201:, Influence of an inertia-gravity wave on mesospheric dynamics: A
24	case study with the Poker Flat Incoherent Scatter Radar, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D00N02,
25	doi:10.1029/2010JD014042.

1	Okamoto, K., K. Sato, and H. Akiyoshi, 2011: A study on the formation and trend of the
2	Brewer-Dobson circulation. J. Geophys. Res., 116, D10117, doi:10.1029/2010JD014953.
3	O'Sullivan, D. J., and T. J. Dunkerton, 1995: Generation of inertia-gravity waves in a
4	simulated life cycle of baroclinic instability. J. Atmos. Sci., 52, 3695-3716.
5	Pavelin, E. G., J. A. Whiteway, and G. Vaughan, Observation of gravity wave generation and
6	breaking in the lowermost stratosphere, 2001: J. Geophys. Res., 106, 5173 – 5179.
7	R. Plougonven and C. Snyder, 2007: Inertia-gravity waves spontaneously generated by jets
8	and fronts. Part I: Different baroclinic life cycles. J. Atmos. Sci., 64, p2502-2520.
9	Plumb, R. A., 2002: Stratospheric transport. J. Meteor. Soc. Japan, 80, 793-809.
10	Portnyagin, Y. I., J. M. Forbes, N. A. Makarov, E. G. Merzlyakov, and S.Palo, The
11	summertime 12-h wind oscillation with zonal wavenumber $s = 1$ in the lower
12	thermosphere over the South Pole, Ann. Geophys., 16,828-837, 1998.
13	Reid, I. M., and R. A. Vincent, 1987: Measurements of mesospheric gravity wave momentum
14	fluxes and mean flow accelerations at Adelaide, Australia, J. Atmos. Terrest. Phys. 49,
15	443-460.
16	Richter, J. H., F. Sassi, and R. R. Garcia, 2009: Toward a physically based gravity wave
17	source parameterization in a general circulation model, J. Atmos. Sci., 67, 136–156.
18	Rienecker, M., and Coauthors, 2011: MERRA: NASA's ModernEra Retrospective Analysis
19	for Research and Applications. J. Climate, 24, 3648–3624.
20	Riggin, D. M., D. C. Fritts, M. J. Jarvis, G. O. L. Jones, 1999: Spatial structure of the 12-hour
21	wave in the Antarctic as observed by radar. Earth Planets and Space, 51, 621–628.
22	Sato, K., 1994: A statistical study of the structure, saturation and sources of inertio-gravity
23	waves in the lower stratosphere observed with the MU radar.
24	J. Atmos. Terr. Phys., 56, 755-774.

25 Sato, K., T. Kinoshita, and K. Okamoto, 2013: A new method to estimate three-dimensional

1	residual mean circulation in the middle atmosphere and its application to gravity-wave
2	resolving general circulation model data, J. Atmos. Sci., 70, 3756–3779.
3	doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JAS-D-12-0352.1.
4	Sato, K., D. J. O'Sullivan, and T. J. Dunkerton, 1997: Low-frequency inertia-gravity waves in
5	the stratosphere revealed by three-weak continuous observation with the MU radar.
6	Geophys. Res. Lett., 24, 1739-1742.
7	Sato, K., and T. J. Dunkerton, 1997: Estimates of momentum flux associated with equatorial
8	Kelvin and gravity waves. J. Geophys. Res., 102, 26,247-26,261.
9	Sato, K., M. Tsutsumi, T. Sato, T. Nakamura, A. Saito, Y. Tomikawa, K. Nishimura, M.
10	Kohma, H. Yamagishi, and T. Yamanouchi, 2014: Program of the Antarctic Syowa
11	MST/IS Radar (PANSY), J. Atmos. Solar-Terr. Phys., 118A, 2-15, 2014.
12	Sato, K., S. Watanabe, Y. Kawatani, Y. Tomikawa, K. Miyazaki, and M. Takahashi, 2009:
13	On the origins of mesospheric gravity waves, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L19801,
14	doi:10.1029/2009GL039908.
15	Sato, K., S. Tateno S. Watanabe, and Y. Kawatani (2012), Gravity wave characteristics in the
16	Southern Hemisphere revealed by a high-resolution middle-atmosphere general
17	circulation model. J. Atmos. Sci., 69, 1378–1396, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-11-0101.1.
18	Sato, K., and M. Yoshiki, 2008: Gravity wave generation around the polar vortex in the
19	stratosphere revealed y 3-houly radiosonde observations at Syowa Station. J. Atmos.
20	<i>Sci.</i> , 65 , 3719-3735.
21	Sato, K., and M. Yamada, 1994: Vertical structure of atmospheric gravity waves revealed by
22	the wavelet analysis. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres (1984-
23	<i>2012)</i> , 99 (D10), 20623-20631.
24	Kaoru Sato, Masashi Kohma, Masaki Tsutsumi, and Toru Sato, 2017: Frequency spectra and
25	vertical profiles of wind fluctuations in the summer Antarctic mesosphere revealed by

1	MST radar observations. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres 122:1, 3-19.
2	Satoh, M., T. Matsuno, H. Tomita, H. Miura, T. Nasuno, and S. Iga, 2008: Nonhydrostatic
3	icosahedral atmospheric model (NICAM) for global cloud resolving simulations. J.
4	Comput. Phys., the special issue of Predicting Weather, Climate and Extreme Events,
5	227, 3486-3514, doi: 10.1016/j.jcp.2007.02.006.
6	Satoh, M., Tomita, H., Yashiro, H., Miura, H., Kodama, C., Seiki, T., Noda, A. T., Yamada, Y.,
7	Goto, D., Sawada, M., Miyoshi, T., Niwa, Y., Hara, M., Ohno, T., Iga, S., Arakawa, T.,
8	Inoue, T., Kubokawa, H., 2014: The Non-hydrostatic Icosahedral Atmospheric Model:
9	Description and Development. Progress in Earth and Planetary Science, 1, 18.
10	doi:10.1186/s40645-014-0018-1
11	Scinocca, J. F., 2003: An accurate spectral nonorographic gravity wave drag parameterization
12	for general circulation models. J. Atmos. Sci., 60, 667-682.
13	Shibata, T., K. Sato, H. Kobayashi, M. Yabuki, and M. Shiobara, 2003: The Antarctic polar
14	stratospheric clouds under the temperature perturbation by nonorogaphic inertia-gravity
15	waves observed by micropulse lidar. J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4105,
16	doi:10.1029/2002JD002713.
17	Shibuya R., H. Miura and K. Sato, 2016: A grid transformation method for a quasi-uniform,
18	circular fine region using the spring dynamic, Journal of the Meteorological Society of
19	Japan, 94, doi:10.2151/jmsj.2016-022.
20	Shibuya, R., K. Sato, Y. Tomikawa, M. Tsutsumi and T. Sato, 2015: A study of multiple
21	tropopause structures caused by inertia-gravity waves in the Antarctica, J. Atmos. Sci.,
22	72 , 2109–2130.
23	Stolarski, R. S., A. R. Douglass, M. Gupta, P. A. Newman, S. Pawson, M. R. Schoeberl, and

24 J. E. Nielsen, 2006: An ozone increase in the Antarctic summer stratosphere: A

1	dynamical response to the ozone hole. Geop	hys. Res. Lett., 33, L21805,
---	--	-------------------------------------

Talaat, E. R., and H.G. Mayr, 2011: Model of semidiurnal pseudo tide in the high-latitude
upper mesosphere, *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 73, 2386–

- Tomita, H., M. Satoh, K. Goto, 2002: An optimization of icosahedral grid by using spring
 dynamics. J. Comp. Phys., 183, 307-331.
- 8 Vaughan, G., and R. M. Worthington 2007: Inertia-gravity waves observed by the UK MST
- 9 radar, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., **133**: (S2) 179–188.
- 10 Vincent, R. A., I. M. Reid, 1983: HF Doppler Measurements of Mesospheric Gravity Wave
- 11 Momentum Fluxes. J. Atmos. Sci., 40, 1321–1333. doi: 10.1175/1520-
- 12 0469(1983)040<1321:HDMOMG>2.0.CO;2
- 13 Walterscheid, R. L., G. G. Sivjee, G. Schubert and R. M. Hamwey, 1986: Large-amplitude
- 14 semidiurnal temperature variations in the polar mesopause: evidence of a
- 15 pseudotide. *Nature*, **324**(6095), 347-349.
- 16 Wang, L., M. A. Geller, and M. J. Alexander 2005: Spatial and temporal variations of gravity
- 17 wave parameters. Part I: Intrinsic frequency, wavelength, and vertical propagation
- 18 direction, J. Clim., **62**, 125–142.
- 19 Watanabe, S., K. Sato, and M. Takahashi, 2006: A general circulation model study of the
- 20 orographic gravity waves over Antarctica excited by katabatic winds.
- 21 J. Geophys. Res., 111, D18104, doi:10.1029/2005JD006851.
- 22 Watanabe, S., K. Sato, Y, Kawatani, and M. Takahashi, 2015: Vertical resolution dependence
- 23 of gravity wave momentum flux simulated by an atmospheric general circulation
- 24 model, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, **8**, 1637-1644, doi:10.5194/gmd-8-1637-2015.
- 25 Wu, W.-S., R. J. Purser, and D. F. Parrish, 2002: Three-dimensional variational analysis with
- spatially inhomogeneous covariances. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **130**, 2905–2916.

1	Wu, Q., Killeen, T. L., Nozawa, S., McEwen, D., Guo, W., & Solomon, S. C. (2003).
2	Observations of mesospheric neutral wind 12-hour wave in the Northern Polar
3	Cap. Journal of atmospheric and solar-terrestrial physics, 65(8), 971-978.
4	Yamashita, K., S. Miyahara, Y. Miyoshi, K. Kawano, and J. Ninomiya, Seasonal variation of
5	non-migrating semidiurnal tide in the polar MLT region in a general circulation model,
6	J. Atmos. Solar-Terr. Phys., 64, 1083–1094, 2002.
7	Yasuda, Y., K. Sato, and N. Sugimoto, 2015: A Theoretical Study on the Spontaneous
8	Radiation of Inertia-gravity Waves Using the Renormalization Group Method. Part I:
9	Derivation of the Renormalization Group Equations,
10	J. Atmos. Sci., 72, 957–983, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-13-0370.1
11	Yasuda, Y., K. Sato, and N. Sugimoto, 2015: A Theoretical Study on the Spontaneous
12	Radiation of Inertia-gravity Waves Using the Renormalization Group Method. Part II:
13	Verification of the Theoretical Equations by Numerical Simulation,
14	J. Atmos. Sci., 72, 984–1009, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-13-0371.1
15	Yasui, R., K. Sato and M. Tsutsumi, 2016: Seasonal and interannual variation of mesospheric
16	gravity waves based on MF radar observations over 15 years at Syowa Station in the
17	Antarctic., SOLA, 12, 46-50, doi:10.2151/sola.2016-010.
18	Yoshiki, M., and K. Sato (2000), A statistical study of gravity waves in the polar regions
19	based on operational radiosonde data, J. Geophys. Res., 105(D14), 17,995-18,011.
20	Zhang, F., S. E. Koch, C. A. Davis, and M. L. Kaplan, 2001: Wavelet analysis and the
21	governing dynamics of a large-amplitude mesoscale gravity-wave event along the East
22	Coast of the United States. Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 127, 2209-2245.
23	
24	Table and Figure captions
25	• Table 1: The wave parameters of fluctuations in the mesosphere observed over Syowa
26	Station (a) obtained by fitting to a sinusoidal function using a nonlinear least square

1	method (b) estimated on the hypothesis that fluctuations are due to inertia-gravity wa	aves,
2	where α is the angle of u_{\parallel} measured clockwise from the east.	
3	Table 2: The directly estimated wave parameters of packets simulated over Syowa	
4	Station	
5	Table 3: The results of the idealized ray tracing and the manual wave packet tracing	
6		
7	Figure 1: (a) An illustration of the stretched grid (roughened up to glevel-3). (b)	A
8	horizontal map of a normalized grid interval defined as $d/\Delta x$, where d denotes grid	d
9	intervals and Δx denotes the grid interval of the original icosahedral grid.	
10	Figure 2: Time-altitude cross sections of eastward line of sight velocity components	
11	observed by the PANSY radar at Syowa Station (a) for the period from 17 March 20	15 to
12	23 March 2015, (b) for the period from 21 March 2015 to 23 March 2015, and (c)	
13	opposite of westward line of sight velocity components observed by the PANSY rad	ar for
14	the period from 21 March 2015 to 23 March 2015. The dashed line in (b) and (c) der	otes
15	phase lines with the downward phase velocity of 0.3 m s^{-1} . The green arrows in (c)	
16	denotes the wave period of the disturbance. The contour intervals are 2 m s^{-1} .	
17	Figure 3: Time-altitude cross sections of (a) zonal wind components and (b) meridio	nal
18	wind components observed by the PANSY radar at Syowa Station for the period from	n 21
19	March 2015 to 23 March 2015. The contour intervals are 12 m s^{-1} .	
20	Figure 4: Zonal and meridional wind components observed by the PANSY radar on 2	23
21	March 2015 as a function of time at heights of (a) 70.8 km and (b) 72.0 km. Zonal as	nd
22	meridional wind components fitted sinusoidal functions using a nonlinear least squar	res
23	method at the height of (c) 70.8 km and (d) 72.0 km. The circles denote the zonal wi	nd
24	components and the star marks denote the meridional components.	

1	• Figure 5 : A hodograph of the fitted horizontal wind components in the time region from
2	00 UTC 23 to 13 UTC 23 March at the height of (a) 70.8 km and (b) 72.0 km from the
3	PANSY radar observation. Each mark is plotted at one hour interval.
4	• Figure 6: Time-altitude cross sections of eastward line of sight velocity components
5	simulated by NICAM at Syowa Station (a) for the period from 17 March 2015 to 23
6	March 2015 and (b) for the period from 21 March 2015 to 23 March 2015 (contour
7	interval 3 m s ⁻¹). (c) Zonal wind components in eastward line of sight velocity
8	components simulated by NICAM for the period from 21 March 2015 to 23 March 2015
9	(contour interval 18 m s ⁻¹).
10	• Figure 7: Time-altitude cross sections of (a) anomalies of the zonal wind components
11	from the time-mean components, (b) the diurnal and semi-diurnal migrating tidal
12	components, (c) the planetary wave components, (d) small-scale gravity waves and (e)
13	the remaining components. The contour intervals are 10 m s^{-1} . The data is from the
14	NICAM simulation.
15	• Figure 8: Time-altitude cross section of the envelope function of the zonal wind
16	components of the large-scale gravity waves simulated by NICAM (contour interval 10
17	m s^{-1}). The figure from (i) to (v) denote the labels of the wave packet examined in
18	Section 4.
19	• Figure 9: Hovmöller diagram of zonal wind components of the large-scale inertia-gravity
20	waves simulated by NICAM at the height of 70 km at 69°S (contour interval 10 m s ⁻¹).
21	The figures (i), (ii) and (v) indicate the packets labeled in Fig.5.
22	• Figure 10: Composite maps of zonal wind components of the large-scale inertia-gravity
23	waves simulated by NICAM. The height where the composites are taken is (a) 70 km, (b)
24	70 km, (c) 75 km, (d) 65 km, and (e) 72 km. The longitudinal location is depicted as the
25	relative longitude from Syowa Station. The contour intervals are 10 m s ⁻¹ .

1	•	Figure 11: Snapshots of the zonal wind components and their envelope function of the
2		large-scale inertia-gravity waves (a) at the height of 70 km at 03 UTC 23 March 2015,
3		corresponding to the packet (v), and (c) at the height of 70 km at 01 UTC 19 March
4		2015, corresponding to the packet (i). Hovmöller diagrams of the zonal wind components
5		and their envelope function of the large-scale inertia-gravity waves at the height of 70 km
6		at 69°S for the period (b) from 20 to 23 March and (d) from 17 to 20 March. The green
7		dashed curves in (a) and (c) denote the cross section taken in (b) and (d), and vice versa.
8		The green circles are locations of traced wave packets by the method discussed in the
9		text. The contour intervals are 10 m s ⁻¹ . The data is from the NICAM simulation.
10	•	Figure 12: Snapshots of the zonal wind components of the large-scale inertia-gravity
11		waves tracing the packet (v) (a) at the height of 60 km at 23 UTC 22 March (contour
12		interval 10 m s ⁻¹), (b) at the height of 40 km at 08 UTC 22 March (contour interval 5 m $$
13		s^{-1}), (c) at the height of 25 km at 16 UTC 21 March (contour interval 3 m s^{-1}) and (d) at
14		the height of 23 km at 03 UTC 21 March (contour interval 2 m s^{-1}). Snapshots for the
15		packet (i) (e) at the height of 63 km at 23 UTC 22 March, (f) at the height of 58 km at 15
16		UTC 18 March, (g) at the height of 53 km at 11 UTC 18 March (contour interval 5 m s ⁻
17		¹), (d) at the height of 48 km at 08 UTC 18 March (contour interval 3 m s ⁻¹). The green
18		circles are locations of traced wave packets by the method discussed in the text. The data
19		is from the NICAM simulation.
20	•	Figure 13: The ray path of (a, b) the packet (v) simulated by NICAM and (c, d) the
21		packet (i) using the idealized ray tracing method (black thick line, colored circles) and
22		the manual wave packet tracing method (colored star marks) in (a, c) the latitude-height
23		cross section and (b, d) the horizontal map. The contours in (a, c) denotes background
24		zonal wind components averaged in the zonal direction and for the period from 17 March

25 to 23 March.

Figure 14: A snapshots of longitude-height cross sections of zonal wind components of the
 large-scale inertia-gravity waves (above the height of 19 km, the left color bar, contour
 interval 2 m s⁻¹) and the absolute values of the horizontal wind components (below the
 height of 18 km, the right color bar, contour interval 10 m s⁻¹) at 03 UTC 21 March at
 40°S. The data is from the NICAM simulation.

Figure 15: Snapshots of horizontal maps of (a) the absolute horizontal wind velocity and
 (b) the residual of the nonlinear balance equation (Δ*NBE*) at the height of 10 km at 03
 UTC 21 March 2015. The vectors in (a) denote the directions and the magnitude of the
 horizontal winds. The contour intervals in (a) are 10 m s⁻¹. The data is from the NICAM
 simulation.

Figure 16: (a) A longitude-height cross section of zonal wind components of the largescale inertia-gravity waves √p₀u' at 65°S at 15 UTC 18 March (contour interval 0.1
Pa^{0.5}), and (b) a line plot of the energy flux p'w' averaged from the longitude of -90°E
to the longitude of 60°E denoted by black arrows. The thick black contours show
background zonal wind components extracted by a lowpass filter with a cutoff
wavelength of 4000 km. The thick contours denote 20 m s⁻¹, 30 m s⁻¹ and 40 m s⁻¹,
respectively. The data is from the NICAM simulation.

Figure 17: (a) a schematic figure of longitudinal locations of anomalies of θ (δθ) from
 the zonal mean due to the semi-diurnal tide, and associated vertical wind couplets
 denoted by large arrows at a height of the core of the polar night jet. (b) A trajectory of
 fluid parcel on a θ surface at the height of polar night jet. The thin dashed arrow
 denotes a motion of the fluid parcel, and U denotes the magnitude of the background
 zonal wind.

Figure 18: (a) A hovmöller diagram of potential temperature (shade) and the vertical
 wind components (contour) due to the diurnal and semi-diurnal migrating tides at the

1	height of 55 km at 65°S. The contour interval is 0.5×10^{-2} m s ⁻¹ . (b) A hovmöller
2	diagram of potential temperature (shade) and the vertical wind components (black
3	contour) of the large-scale inertia gravity waves, and the zonal wind component with
4	s = 1 and $s = 2$ at the height of 55 km at 65°S. The black contour interval is 2.0×
5	10^{-2} m s ⁻¹ , the red thin contour denotes 30 m s ⁻¹ and the red thick contour denotes 35
6	m s^{-1} . The data is from the NICAM simulation.
7 •	Figure 19: Latitude-height cross sections of (a) the vertical fluxes of zonal momentum
8	$\rho_0 \overline{u'w'}$, (b) the vertical fluxes of zonal momentum $\rho_0 \overline{v'w'}$, (c) the ratio of the Coriolis
9	parameter to the intrinsic frequency $f/\hat{\omega}$, (d) the kinetic energies of the horizontal wind
10	components and (e) the potential energies of the large-scale inertia-gravity waves, which
11	are averaged in the zonal direction and for the period from 19 March to 21 March 2015.
12	The contour interval is (a, b) 4.0 \times 10 ⁻⁵ [Pa] and (c) 0.1, respectively. It should be
13	noted that the color bar and the contour interval in (d) and (e) are log-scaled. The data is
14	from the NICAM simulation.

Table 1: The wave parameters of fluctuations in the mesosphere observed over Syowa Station (a) obtained by fitting to a sinusoidal function using a nonlinear least square method (b) estimated on the hypothesis that fluctuations are due to inertia-gravity waves, where α is the angle

of u_{\parallel} measured clockwise from the east. The error bar is based on the uncertainty by using a

Table 1a							
Time and height			$C_{p_z}(m s^{-1})$		$C_{p_z}(m s^{-1})$		
locations	ω (s ⁻)	$m (m^2)$ from u	from <i>u</i> ′	$m (m^2)$ from v	from v'		
2/22 72 2 km	1.42×10^{-4}						
5/22 / 5.2 KIII	(12.3 h)						
2/22 72 8 km	1.27×10^{-4}	5.24×10^{-4}	7.42×10^{-4}		0.10		
5/22 / 5.8 KIII	(13.7 h)	(12.0 km)	-0.20	(8.47 km)	-0.19		
2/22 74 4 km	1.59×10^{-4}						
5/22 74.4 KIII	(11.0 h)						
2/22 70 8 km	1.35×10^{-4}						
5/25 70.8 KIII	(12.9 h)						
2/02 71 4 1.	1.35×10^{-4}	4.08×10^{-4}	0.24	$5.11 imes 10^{-4}$	0.20		
5/25 /1.4 KIII	(12.9 h)	(15.4 km)	-0.54	(12.3 km)	-0.20		
2/22 72 0 km	1.42×10^{-4}						
5/25 72.0 KIII	(12.3 h)						

nonlinear least square method.

14010-10						
Time and height locations	<i>u</i> ′ (m s ⁻¹)	$v' ({ m m s}^{-1})$	$ 2\pi/k_h $ (km)	α (degree)	$\frac{f}{\widehat{\omega}}$	
3/22 73.2 km	28.5 ± 2.8	21.3 ± 2.8	1775 (1207 ~ 2797)	12º (7º ~ 32º)	0.72 (0.57 ~ 0.85)	
3/22 73.8 km	30.3 ± 4.5	27.0 ± 4.5	3238 (1577 ~ 3407)	18º (5º ~ 77º)	0.86 (0.63 ~ 0.87)	
3/22 74.4 km	28.3 <u>+</u> 5.9	29.3 ± 5.9	1790 (1118 ~ 1793)	48° (17° ~ 75°)	0.76 (0.59 ~ 0.76)	
3/23 70.8 km	32.2 ± 4.8	22.8 ± 4.8	1785 (990 ~ 7778)	$2^{\circ}(1^{\circ} \sim 52^{\circ})$	0.56 (0.41 ~ 0.97)	
3/23 71.4 km	28.7 ± 6.1	22.1 ± 6.1	1454 (870 ~ 1523)	14º (11º ~ 61º)	0.63 (0.41 ~ 0.65)	
3/23 72.0 km	39.0 ± 8.0	23.8 ± 8.0	1150 (570~ 1914)	14º (10º ~ 47º)	0.70 (0.32 ~ 0.74)	

Table 1b

		5	1		1		
Waya pagkata	$k ({ m m}^{-1})$	$l (m^{-1})$	$m (m^{-1})$	$(x) (s^{-1})$	C_{p_x}	C_{p_z}	f
wave packets	$ k_h $ (m ⁻¹)		m (m ²) ω (s ²)		(m s ⁻¹)	(m s ⁻¹)	ώ
(i) z = 70 km 3/18 12 UTC ~ 3/19 24 UTC	-2.48 × 10 ⁻⁶ (2530 km) 2.48 × (25	~ 0 < 10 ⁻⁶ 30 km)	3.96 × 10 ⁻⁴ (15.8 km)	1.42×10^{-4} (12.3 h)	-57.3	-0.36	0.763
(ii) z = 70 km 3/20 05 UTC ~ 3/21 01 UTC	-3.18 × 10 ⁻⁶ (1980 km) 3.33 × (1887	-0.98×10^{-6} (6440 km) $\times 10^{-6}$ 7 km)	4.10 × 10 ⁻⁴ (15.3 km)	1.47×10^{-4} (11.8 h)	-4.62	-0.36	0.704
(iii) z = 75 km 3/21 03UTC ~ 3/22 03 UTC	-2.79×10^{-6} (2250 km) $3.73 \times$ (16	-2.48×10^{-6} (2530 km) (2530 km) (2530 km)	3.92 × 10 ⁻⁴ (16.0 km)	1.99 × 10 ⁻⁴ (8.8 h)	-71.3	-0.51	0.617
(iv) z = 65 km 3/21 21UTC ~ 3/22 09 UTC	-3.35×10^{-6} (1880 km) $4.35 \times$ (14	-2.78×10^{-6} (2530 km) (2530 km) (210^{-6}) (244 km)	4.63 × 10 ⁻⁴ (13.57 km)	1.46 × 10 ⁻⁴ (11.9 h)	-43.5	-0.32	0.617
(v) z = 72 km 3/22 02 UTC ~ 3/23 02 UTC	-3.78×10^{-6} (1660 km) $3.87 \times$ (16	-0.82×10^{-6} (7660 km) $\times 10^{-6}$ 25 km)	4.52×10^{-4} (13.9 km)	1.59×10^{-4} (11.0 h)	-42.1	-0.35	0.653

 Table 2:
 The directly estimated wave parameters of simulated packets

		Manual wave packet tracing		Ray tracing			
		Longitude[°E]	Latitude [°S]	Altitude [km]	Longitude[°E]	Latitude [°S]	Altitude [km]
	3/23 03 UTC	40	67	70.0	40.0	67.0	70.0
	3/22 23 UTC	68	66	60.0	46.2	65.6	63.9
$\mathbf{\hat{v}}$	3/22 16 UTC	75	62	50.0	58.0	62.0	46.8
sket	3/22 08 UTC	63	52	40.0	71.5	57.2	33.1
Pac	3/22 03 UTC	84	53	30.0	80.8	55.4	27.0
	3/21 16 UTC	80	43	25.0	103.3	51.1	10.3
	3/21 03 UTC	95	41	23.0	-	-	-
Packet (i)	3/19 01 UTC	30	70	70.0	30.0	70.0	70.0
	3/18 19 UTC	32	67	63.0	39.7	68.5	63.1
	3/18 15 UTC	33	66	58.0	47.0	66.7	56.3
	3/18 11 UTC	30	62	53.0	53.5	63.7	49.7
	3/18 08 UTC	30	59	48.0	57.7	61.0	44.6

Table 3: The results of the manual wave packet tracing and the ray tracing



2 Figures



Figure 1: (a) An illustration of the stretched grid (roughened up to glevel-3). (b) A horizontal map of a normalized grid interval defined as $d/\Delta x$, where d denotes grid intervals and Δx denotes the grid interval of the original icosahedral grid.



Figure 2: Time-altitude cross sections of eastward line of sight velocity components observed by the PANSY radar at Syowa Station (a) for the period from 17 March 2015 to 23 March 2015, (b) for the period from 21 March 2015 to 23 March 2015, and (c) opposite of westward line of sight velocity components observed by the PANSY radar for the period from 21 March 2015 to 23 March 2015 to 23 March 2015. The dashed line in (b) and (c) denotes phase lines with the downward phase velocity of 0.3 m s⁻¹. The green arrows in (c) denotes the wave period of the disturbance. The contour intervals are 2 m s⁻¹.



Figure 3: Time-altitude cross sections of (a) zonal wind components and (b) meridional wind components observed by the PANSY radar at Syowa Station for the period from 21 March 2015 to 23 March 2015. The contour intervals are 12 m s⁻¹.



Figure 4: Zonal and meridional wind components observed by the PANSY radar on 23 March 2015 as a function of time at heights of (a) 70.8 km and (b) 72.0 km. Zonal and meridional wind components fitted sinusoidal functions using a nonlinear least squares method at the height of (c) 70.8 km and (d) 72.0 km. The circles denote the zonal wind components and the star marks denote the meridional components.



Figure 5 : A hodograph of the fitted horizontal wind components in the time region from 00 UTC 23 to 13 UTC 23 March at the height of (a) 70.8 km and (b) 72.0 km from the PANSY radar observation. Each mark is plotted at one hour interval.



Figure 6: Time-altitude cross sections of eastward line of sight velocity components simulated by NICAM at Syowa Station (a) for the period from 17 March 2015 to 23 March 2015 and (b) for the period from 21 March 2015 to 23 March 2015 (contour interval 3 m s⁻¹). (c) Zonal wind components in eastward line of sight velocity components simulated by NICAM for the period from 21 March 2015 to 23 March 2015 (contour interval 18 m s⁻¹).



Figure 7: Time-altitude cross sections of (a) anomalies of the zonal wind components from the time-mean components, (b) the diurnal and semi-diurnal migrating tidal components, (c) the planetary wave components, (d) small-scale gravity waves and (e) the remaining components. The contour intervals are 10 m s⁻¹. The data is from the NICAM simulation.



Figure 8: Time-altitude cross section of the envelope function of the zonal wind components of the large-scale gravity waves simulated by NICAM (contour interval 10 m s⁻¹) .The figure from (i) to (v) denote the labels of the wave packet examined in Section 4.



Figure 9: Hovmöller diagram of zonal wind components of the large-scale inertia-gravity waves simulated by NICAM at the height of 70 km at 69° S (contour interval 10 m s⁻¹). The figures (i), (ii) and (v) indicate the packets labeled in Fig.5.



Figure 10: Composite maps of zonal wind components of the large-scale inertia-gravity waves simulated by NICAM. The height where the composites are taken is (a) 70 km, (b) 70 km, (c) 75 km, (d) 65 km, and (e) 72 km. The longitudinal location is depicted as the relative longitude from Syowa Station. The contour intervals are 10 m s⁻¹.



Figure 11: Snapshots of the zonal wind components and their envelope function of the largescale inertia-gravity waves (a) at the height of 70 km at 03 UTC 23 March 2015, corresponding to the packet (v), and (c) at the height of 70 km at 01 UTC 19 March 2015, corresponding to the packet (i). Hovmöller diagrams of the zonal wind components and their envelope function of the large-scale inertia-gravity waves at the height of 70 km at 69°S for the period (b) from 20 to 23 March and (d) from 17 to 20 March. The green dashed curves in (a) and (c) denote the cross section taken in (b) and (d), and vice versa. The green circles are locations of traced wave packets determined by the method discussed in the text. The contour intervals are 10 m s⁻¹. The data is from the NICAM simulation.



Figure 12: Snapshots of the zonal wind components of the large-scale inertia-gravity waves tracing the packet (v) (a) at the height of 60 km at 23 UTC 22 March (contour interval 10 m s⁻¹), (b) at the height of 40 km at 08 UTC 22 March (contour interval 5 m s⁻¹), (c) at the height of 25 km at 16 UTC 21 March (contour interval 3 m s⁻¹) and (d) at the height of 23 km at 03 UTC 21 March (contour interval 2 m s⁻¹). Snapshots for the packet (i) (e) at the height of 63 km at 23 UTC 22 March, (f) at the height of 58 km at 15 UTC 18 March, (g) at the height of 53 km at 11 UTC 18 March (contour interval 3 m s⁻¹), (d) at the height of 48 km at 08 UTC 18 March (contour interval 3 m s⁻¹). The green circles are locations of traced wave packets determined by the method discussed in the text. The data is from the NICAM simulation.



Figure 13: The ray path of (a, b) the packet (v) simulated by NICAM and (c, d) the packet (i) using the idealized ray tracing method (black thick line, colored circles) and the manual wave packet tracing method (colored star marks) in (a, c) the latitude-height cross section and (b, d) the horizontal map. The contours in (a, c) denotes background zonal wind components averaged in the zonal direction and for the period from 17 March to 23 March.



Figure 14: A snapshots of longitude-height cross sections of zonal wind components of the large-scale inertia-gravity waves (above the height of 19 km, the left color bar, contour interval 2 m s⁻¹) and the absolute values of the horizontal wind components (below the height of 18 km, the right color bar, contour interval 10 m s⁻¹) at 03 UTC 21 March at 40°S. The data is from the NICAM simulation.



Figure 15: Snapshots of horizontal maps of (a) the absolute horizontal wind velocity and (b) the residual of the nonlinear balance equation (ΔNBE) at the height of 10 km at 03 UTC 21 March 2015. The vectors in (a) denote the directions and the magnitude of the horizontal winds. The contour intervals in (a) are 10 m s⁻¹. The data is from the NICAM simulation.



Figure 16: (a) A longitude-height cross section of zonal wind components of the large-scale inertia-gravity waves $\sqrt{\rho_0}u'$ at 65°S at 15 UTC 18 March (contour interval 0.1 Pa^{0.5}), and (b) a line plot of the energy flux $\overline{p'w'}$ averaged from the longitude of -90°E to the longitude of 60°E denoted by black arrows. The thick black contours show background zonal wind components extracted by a lowpass filter with a cutoff wavelength of 4000 km. The thick contours denote 20 m s⁻¹, 30 m s⁻¹ and 40 m s⁻¹, respectively. The data is from the NICAM simulation.



Figure 17: (a) a schematic figure of longitudinal locations of anomalies of θ ($\delta\theta$) from the zonal mean due to the semi-diurnal tide, and associated vertical wind couplets denoted by large arrows at a height of the core of the polar night jet. (b) A trajectory of fluid parcel on a θ surface at the height of polar night jet. The thin dashed arrow denotes a motion of the fluid parcel, and U denotes the magnitude of the background zonal wind.



Figure 18: (a) A hovmöller diagram of potential temperature (shade) and the vertical wind components (contour) due to the diurnal and semi-diurnal migrating tides at the height of 55 km at 65°S. The contour interval is 0.5×10^{-2} m s⁻¹. (b) A hovmöller diagram of potential temperature (shade) and the vertical wind components (black contour) of the large-scale inertia gravity waves, and the zonal wind component with s = 1 and s = 2 at the height of 55 km at 65°S. The black contour interval is 2.0×10^{-2} m s⁻¹, the red thin contour denotes 30 m s⁻¹ and the red thick contour denotes 35 m s⁻¹. The data is from the NICAM simulation.



Figure 19: Latitude-height cross sections of (a) the vertical fluxes of zonal momentum $\rho_0 \overline{u'w'}$, (b) the vertical fluxes of zonal momentum $\rho_0 \overline{v'w'}$, (c) the ratio of the Coriolis parameter to the intrinsic frequency $f/\hat{\omega}$, (d) the kinetic energies of the horizontal wind components and (e) the potential energies of the large-scale inertia-gravity waves, which are averaged in the zonal direction and for the period from 19 March to 21 March 2015. The contour interval is (a, b) 4.0×10^{-5} [Pa] and (c) 0.1, respectively. It should be noted that the color bar and the contour interval in (d) and (e) are log-scaled. The data is from the NICAM simulation.