Impact of crop field burning and mountains on heavy haze in the North China Plain: A case study

- 3 X. Long^{1,2}, X. X. Tie^{1,3,4,*}, J. J. Cao^{1,5}, R. J. Huang^{1,6,*}, T. Feng¹, N. Li^{1,7}, S. Y. Zhao¹,
- 4 J. Tian¹, G. H. Li¹, Q. Zhang⁸
- 5 (1) Key Lab of Aerosol Chemistry & Physics, SKLLQG, Institute of Earth Environment, Chinese
- 6 Academy of Sciences, Xi'an, 710061, China
- 7 (2) University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China
- 8 (3) CAS Center for Excellence in Urban Atmospheric Environment (CEUAE), Xiamen, 361021, China
- 9 (4) National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, 80303, USA
- 10 (5) Institute of Global Environmental Change, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, 710049, China
- 11 (6) Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI), 5232 Villigen, Switzerland.
- 12 (7) Department of Atmospheric Science, National Taiwan University, Taipei, 10617, Taiwan
- 13 (8) Center for Earth System Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, China
- 14 Correspondence to: X. X. Tie (xxtie@urcar.edu) R. J. Huang (rujin.huang@ieecas.cn)

| 15 | Abstract. With the provincial statistical data and CFB activities captured by MODIS, |
|----|---|
| 16 | we extracted a detailed CFB emission inventory in the North China Plain (NCP). The |
| 17 | WRF-CHEM model is applied to investigate the impact of CFB on air pollution |
| 18 | during the period from October 6 to 12, 2014, corresponding to a heavy haze incident |
| 19 | with high concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$ (particulate matter with aerodynamic diameter less |
| 20 | than 2.5 μ m). The WRF-CHEM model generally performs well in simulating the |
| 21 | surface species concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$, O_3 and NO_2 compared to the observations. |
| 22 | And reasonably reproduced the observed temporal variations of wind speed, wind |
| 23 | direction and planetary boundary layer height (PBLH). It is found that the CFB |
| 24 | occurred in southern NCP (SNCP) have significant effects on PM _{2.5} concentrations |
| 25 | locally, causing a maximum of 34% PM _{2.5} increase. Under the continuously southerly |
| 26 | wind condition, the CFB pollution plume go through a long-range transport to |
| 27 | northern NCP (NNCP-with several mega cities, including Beijing, the capital city of |
| 28 | China), where few CFB occurred, resulting in a maximum of 32% $PM_{2.5}$ increase. As |
| 29 | a result, the heavy haze in Beijing is enhanced by the CFB occurred in SNCP. |
| 30 | Mountains also play significant roles in enhancing the PM _{2.5} pollution in NNCP |
| 31 | through the blocking effect. The mountains block and redirect the airflows, causing |
| 32 | the pollutant accumulations along the foothill of mountains. This study suggests that |
| 33 | the prohibition of CFB should be strict not just in or around Beijing, but also on the |
| 34 | ulterior crop growth areas of SNCP. PM _{2.5} emissions in SNCP should be significantly |
| 35 | limited in order to reduce the occurrences of heavy haze events in NNCP region. |
| 36 | Key words: crop field burning; mountain effect; PM _{2.5} ; WRF-CHEM |
| | |

37 **1 Introduction**

Crop residue burning is important for global biomass burning (Yevich and Logan, 38 2003;Shon, 2015), especially in agricultural countries such as China. Crop residue 39 40 resources in China rank the first in the world, accounting for 17.3% of the global production (Bi et al., 2010), and increasing with the average annual proportion of 4% 41 (Hong et al., 2015;Zhao et al., 2010). Compared with other approaches, crop field 42 burning (CFB) is the most effective and less expensive to remove residues. The 43 44 national annual average proportion of CFB to total residues is about 11-25%(Cao et 45 al., 2008; Hao and Liu, 1994; Streets et al., 2003; Wang and Zhang, 2008; Zhao et al., 2010). Large numbers of annual CFB occur in China (Zhang et al., 2015; Yan et al., 46 2006), especially during the post-harvest seasons (Zhang et al., 2016;Shi et al., 47 2014;Cao et al., 2008). And most of the CFB occur on crop growth areas, such as the 48 North China Plain (NCP) (Huang et al., 2012;Li et al., 2008), where have been 49 50 frequently suffering haze events in recent years (Yang et al., 2015; Jiang et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2012). 51

However, CFB have adverse impacts on traffic conditions and ecology environments (Shi et al., 2014;Zhang, 2009), and release plenty of pollutants, such as CO, SO₂, VOC, NOx and PM_{2.5} (Koppmann et al., 2005;Li et al., 2008). According to Guan et al. (2014) and Lu et al. (2011), annual CFB contribute about 13% of the total particulate matter (PM) emissions in China (Zhang et al., 2016). And it is more prominent during the harvest periods due to its strong seasonal dependence. Numerous studies have quantified the contribution of biomass burning and CFB to

| 59 | PM pollution in China. According to Yao et al. (2016), Cheng et al. (2013), Wang et |
|----|--|
| 60 | al. (2009; 2007) and Song et al. (2007), biomass burning has important impacts on the |
| 61 | ambient $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations (15-24% in Beijing and 4-19% in Guangzhou). Yan et |
| 62 | al. (2010) captured a heavy pollution with PM_{10} concentrations higher than 350 μg |
| 63 | m ⁻³ in some CFB locations. It is reported that CFB may contribute more than 30% of |
| 64 | the PM_{10} increase during CFB incidents (Zhu et al., 2012; Zha et al. 2013;Su et al., |
| 65 | 2012). Cheng et al. (2014) report a summer case that CFB contributed 37% of $PM_{2.5}$ |
| 66 | concentrations in the Yangtze River delta. |
| 67 | The impact of CFB to air quality is continental and regional. Air quality in China is |
| 68 | influenced by the CFB occurred in Southeast Asia and on the Indian Peninsula (Qin et |
| 69 | al., 2006). Mukai et al. (2014) have reported that CFB emissions in Southeast Asia |
| 70 | contribute the carbonaceous aerosols in Beijing. Within China, the inter-province |
| 71 | transported air pollutants emitted from CFB significantly affect regional PM levels |
| 72 | and air quality (Cheng et al., 2014;Zhu et al., 2012). For Beijing, the smoke particles |
| 73 | from CFB are expected to be one of the major components (Wang et al., 2014;Cheng |
| 74 | et al., 2013), though the percentage of transported sources are seldom specified |
| 75 | (Zhang et al., 2016). A recent study reports that CFB and regional transport illustrate |
| 76 | two of the key processes of haze formation in October 2014, especially on Oct. 6 th , |

but without quantitative estimation in this work (Yang et al., 2015). Related
quantification studies are of great importance for the control strategies of CFB in
Beijing.

Yanshan and Taihang Mountains surround the NCP in the north and west (Fig. 1c). 80 81 Such topography affects air pollution though PBL in complex ways (Miao et al., 2015b;Sun et al., 2013;Liu et al., 2009). Hu et al. (2014) have reported that the Loess 82 Plateau and NCP result in a mountain-plains solenoid circulation, exacerbating air 83 pollution over NCP. Chen et al. (2009) have founded that a mountain chimney effect 84 is dominated by mountain-valley breeze, enhancing the surface air pollution in 85 Beijing. The mountain-plain breeze develops frequently in Beijing and may play 86 important roles in modulating the local air quality (Miao et al., 2015b;Hu et al., 87 2014; Chen et al., 2009). Miao et al. (2015a) founded that the mountains played a 88 significant role in the sea-land aerosol circulation and the pollutants could be 89 transported and accumulated in the NCP areas along the mountains, which is treated 90 as the blocking effect (Zhao et al., 2015). 91

92 In this study, we analyzed a heavy haze episode occurred in NCP region from "LT" 12:00 6th to 00:00 12th October in 2014. During the period, the average PM_{2.5} 93 94 concentrations are much higher than class II standard in both SNCP and NNCP. The characteristics of the air pollution were analyzed based on PM_{2.5} concentration. 95 Depending on the satellite-based observations of Moderate Resolution Imaging 96 Spectroradiometer (MODIS), a large number of CFB occurred in SNCP, whereas few 97 98 CFB happened in NNCP. A more detailed CFB emission inventory was extracted. Thereafter we analyzed the regional transport of CFB emissions from SNCP to NNCP 99 driven by prevailing southerly winds. Under the continuously southerly wind 100 condition, the mountains play important roles for the northward transport, and cause 101

the accumulation of the aerosol pollutants at the foothill of the mountains. We also
analyzed the impact of mountains (especially the Taihang Mountains and the Yanshan
Mountains) on the air pollution transport.

105 **2 Description of data**

106 **2.1 Geographical Location**

107 In order to study the effect of CFB on local and regional air pollution, the research domain locates in eastern China, covering a large regional area (more than 10 108 provinces) (see Fig. 1a). The NCP region is in the middle of the research domain, 109 with two mountains in the north and west. The Yanshan Mountains locate in the north 110 of NCP with east-west directions, and the Taihang Mountains locate in the west of 111 NCP with southwest-northeast directions (Fig. 1b). Figure 1c displays the 112 distribution of online sampling sites and CFB captured by MODIS during the haze 113 episodes. We defined two regions of interests according to CFB occurrences, 114 topographic conditions, industrial and agricultural developments. One is the northern 115 NCP (NNCP), including two mega cities (Beijing and Tianjin) and the north part of 116 Hebei province, where only few CFB occurred. Another is the southern NCP (SNCP), 117 where substantial CFB occurred during the haze episodes as shown in Fig. 1c. 118 119 Because of the severe haze problem in the capital city of China (Beijing), one of the 120 main focuses is to study the long-range transport of CFB pollution from SNCP to NNCP. 121

122 **2.2 Meteorological conditions**

The reanalysis meteorological data, including wind direction, wind speed and PBLH 123 were obtained from the European Centre for Medium-range Weather Forecasts 124 (ECMWF), with a spatial resolution of $0.125^{\circ} \times 0.125^{\circ}$. The data is available at: 125 http://www.ecmwf.int/products/data/. The average wind direction and wind speed are 126 displayed in **Table 1**. During the haze episode, the mean wind directions are 174.8° in 127 NNCP and 165.2° in SNCP, and the average wind speeds are 2.4 m s⁻¹ in both NNCP 128 129 and SNCP. The results suggest that the prevailing winds are continuously southerly winds with weak wind speeds, which is favorable to the pollution long-range transport 130 from SNCP to NNCP, which has been reported as one of the major contributors to 131 haze formation in the Beijing City (Tie et al., 2015). 132

133 2.3 PM_{2.5} Measurements

The hourly PM_{2.5} mass concentration were continuously monitored by the Ministry of 134 Environmental Protection (MEP) of China (http://datacenter.mep.gov.cn), including 5 135 136 sites in NNCP and 7 sites in SNCP (indicated by green crosses in Fig. 1c). The data was updated from the website: http://www.pm25.in/. Table 1 summarizes the site 137 information and the observed PM2.5 concentrations. During the study period, the 138 average PM_{2.5} concentrations are 200.0 μ g m⁻³ in NNCP and 184.1 μ g m⁻³ in SNCP. 139 The measured PM_{2.5} concentrations are much higher than class II standard (daily 140 mean of 75 μ g m⁻³), indicating an occurrence of heavy pollution event. We analyzed 141 the characteristics of the air pollution based on PM2.5 concentration simulated by 142

WRF-CHEM. Meanwhile, it is worth to note that the highest $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations occurred along the foothill sites of the Taihang Mountains. At the foothill sites of BJ, BD, SJZ and XT, $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations are 245.5, 287.7, 257.9, and 320.1 µg m⁻³, respectively. The mean $PM_{2.5}$ concentration in these 4 sites is 277.8 µg m⁻³, much higher than 147.2 µg m⁻³ averaged from the other sites. Considering the continuously southerly winds and the topographic conditions, we studied the impact of the mountains on the air pollution transport.

150 **3 Methods**

151 **3.1 Model description**

We use Weather Research and Forecasting Chemical model (WRF-CHEM) (Grell et 152 al., 2005) to simulate the spatial and temporal variability of $PM_{2.5}$ concentration. The 153 154 specific version of WRF-CHEM model is developed by Li et al. (2010; 2011; 2012), with a new flexible gas phase chemical module and the CMAQ (version 4.6) aerosol 155 module developed by US EPA (Binkowski and Roselle, 2003). The wet deposition 156 follows the CMAQ method and the dry deposition is parameterized following Wesely 157 (1989). The photolysis rates are calculated using the FTUV (Li et al., 2005; Tie et al., 158 2003), in which the impacts of aerosols and clouds on the photochemistry are 159 considered (Li et al., 2011). The gas-phase chemistry was represented in the model by 160 161 the modified RADM2 (Regional Acid Deposition Model, version 2) gas-phase chemical mechanism (Stockwell et al., 1990; Chang et al., 1987). Meanwhile, the 162 ISORROPIA Version 1.7 (http://nenes.eas.gatech.edu/ISORROPIA/) is utilized to 163

simulate the inorganic aerosols, which is primarily used to predict the thermodynamic
equilibrium between the ammonia-sulfate-nitrate-chloride-water aerosols and their
gas phase precursors of H₂SO₄-HNO₃-NH₃-HCl-water vapor. The Yonsei University
(YSU) PBL scheme (Hong et al., 2006), Lin microphysics scheme (Lin et al., 1983),
Noah land-surface model (Chen and Dudhia, 2001) were utilized. The model has been
successfully applied in several regional pollution studies (Tie et al., 2009;Tie et al.,
2007;He et al., 2015).

The WRF-CHEM model is configured with resolution of 6×6 km (200 \times 300 grid 171 cells) centered in (117°E, 39°N). Vertical layers extend from the surface to 50 hPa, 172 with 28 vertical layers, involving 7 layers in the bottom of 1 km. The meteorological 173 initial and boundary conditions were gathered from NCEP FNL Operational Global 174 Analysis data. The lateral chemical initial conditions were constrained by a global 175 176 chemical transport model-MOZART4 (Model for Ozone and Related chemical Tracers, Version 4) 6-hour output (Emmons et al., 2010; Tie et al., 2005). For the 177 178 episode simulations, the spin-up time of the WRF-CHEM model is 3 days.

The surface emission inventory used in this study was obtained from the Multi-resolution Emission Inventory for China (MEIC) (Zhang et al., 2009), which is an update and improvement for the year 2010 (http://www.meicmodel.org). The emission inventory estimated only anthropogenic emission such as non-residential sources (transportation, agriculture, industry and power) and residential sources related to fuel combustions. The biogenic emissions are calculated on-line with the WRF-CHEM model using the MEGAN model (Guenther, 2006). Additionally, we added emission from CFB in the present study.

187 **3.2 Crop field burning emissions**

188 We analyzed the annual and monthly number of open crop fire events captured by MODIS in the research domain from 2008 to 2014. In the NCP region, the CFB 189 190 activities gradually increase from the minimum fire events of 12, 000 times in 2008 to 27, 000 times in 2014 (Fig. 2a), suggesting that the CFB is not efficiently controlled 191 in this region. This situation may be resulted from the limitation of local enforcement 192 of regulation despite CFB have already been banned (Zhang and Cao, 2015;Shi et al., 193 2014). The CFB have a seasonal pattern due to the post-harvest activities with two 194 195 distinct peaks in summer and autumn, especially in June (33-59%) and October (6-19%) (Fig. 2b). The strong seasonal dependence character suggests that the CFB 196 emissions during October are much larger than annual averages. In order to have the 197 198 detailed horizontal distribution of the pollutant emissions of CFB, we elaborated a 199 method to generate emission inventory using the satellite data of "MODIS Thermal Anomalies/Fire product (MOD/MYD14DL)". The MOD/MYD14DL product can 200 detect small opening fires (<100 m²) (Giglio et al., 2003) and produce the geographic 201 location of open fire activities (van der Werf et al., 2006). In this study, the CFB was 202 defined as MOD/MYD14DL active fires occurred over the cropland, which is 203 classified by the MODIS Combined Land Cover Type product (Friedl et al., 2010). 204 Firstly, we estimated the CO emission of CFB, utilizing a widely used method 205

206 (Streets et al., 2003;Cao et al., 2008;Zhang et al., 2008;Ni et al., 2015a) based on the

207 annual provincial statistical data. The provincial emission of crop residues burning208 can be calculated by Eq. (1):

209
$$E_{i,CO} = \sum_{k=1}^{3} P_{i,k} \times F_i \times D_k \times R_k \times CE_k \times EF_{co}$$
(1)

where i stands for each province and k for different crop species of rice, corn and 210 wheat. $E_{i,co}$ stands for CO emission from CFB of *i-th* province in gigagrams [Gg]. $P_{i,k}$ 211 is the yield of crop in Gg. F_i is the proportion of residues burned in the field. D_k is 212 the dry fraction of crop residue (dry matter). R_k is the residue-to-crop ratio (dry 213 matter). CE_k is the combustion efficiency and EF_{co} is the emission factors of CFB. 214 The $P_{i,k}$ values were taken from an official statistical yearbook (NBS, 2015) (Table 215 S1), and the F_i on a provincial basis were taken from Wang and Zhang (2008) and 216 Zhang Yisheng (Unpublished doctor thesis-in Chinese) (Table S1). The parameters of 217 D_k , R_k , and CE_k are listed in Table S2. The EF_{co} from CFB was summarized range 218 from 52 to 141 g kg⁻¹ in China (**Table S3**). In this study, we used 111 g kg⁻¹ as the 219 average EF_{co} of crop residue, which was used to estimate the emissions from global 220 221 open burning (Wiedinmyer et al., 2011).

The provincial CO emission was temporally and spatially allocated according to the CFB activities. The detailed daily CO emission of *k*-th grid ($E_{k,co}$) was calculated using Eq. (2):

225
$$E_{k,CO} = \frac{FC_k}{FC_i} \times E_{i,CO} , \qquad (2)$$

where FC_k and FC_i are the total CFB fire counts in *k*-th grid and *i*-th province, respectively (**Table S1**). Thereafter, the emissions of various gaseous and particulate species (E_{spec1}) were calculated by the Eq. (3). And individual chemical compounds (E_{spec2}) were calculated by Eq. (4).

231
$$E_{k,spec1} = \frac{EF_{spec1}}{EF_{c0}} \times E_{k,C0} , \qquad (3)$$

232
$$E_{k,spec2} = E_{k,NMOC} \times \text{scale},$$
 (4)

where $E_{k,spec1}$ and $E_{k,spec2}$ are the k-th grid emission of the specify WRF-CHEM 233 species; E_{spec1} and EFco are the emission factors of CFB; $E_{k,NMOC}$ is NMOC emission 234 in the k-th grid calculated by Eq. (3); scale is the value to convert NMOC emissions 235 to WRF-CHEM chemical species. The emission factors for gaseous and particulate 236 species and scale to convert NMOC emissions to WRF-CHEM chemical species from 237 CFB were taken from available datasets (Wiedinmyer et al., 2011;Akagi et al., 238 2011;Andreae and Merlet, 2001), which were summarized by Wiedinmyer et al. 239 240 (2011) (Table 2).

241 **4 Results and discussions**

242 4.1 Evaluate the Crop field burning emission

The provincial CO emissions of CFB were estimated based on Eq. (1), and there was 8.2 Tg CO emitted from CFB in 2014 (**Table S1**). This result is comparable to previous studies, which is 4.6-10.1 Tg yr⁻¹ (Cao et al., 2008;Ni et al., 2015;Streets et al., 2003;Yan et al., 2006). According to the MODIS observations, a large number of CFB occurred in SNCP, including provinces of Henan with 61% and Shandong with 22%. Most of CFB occurred on Oct. 6th and 7th, accounting for 75% (**Table 3**). Table 4 shows the CFB emissions of gaseous and particulate species on Oct. 6^{th} and 7th, including the mega cities of Beijing and Tianjin, and provinces of Hebei, Henan and Shandong in NCP. **Figure 3** displays the CFB activities and related CO emission on Oct. 6^{th} and 7^{th} . Most of the pollutants are emitted from Henan in SNCP, accounting for 73% on Oct. 6^{th} and 65% on Oct. 7^{th} . Plenty of pollutants emitted from CFB on Oct. 6^{th} , producing more than 5.1 Gg PM_{2.5} and 98.0 Gg CO (1 Gg = 10^9 g).

255 4.2 Statistical characteristics of the evaluation

The characteristics of the haze pollution were defined by $PM_{2.5}$ concentration, which is significantly affected by the local wind fields and PBLH in the NCP region (Tie et al., 2015). In order to evaluate the model performance, the model simulations were compared with the measured results in both species concentrations ($PM_{2.5}$, O_3 and NO_2) and meteorological parameters (wind speed, wind direction and PBLH). The normalized mean bias (NMB) and correlation coefficient (R) were used to quantify the performance.

263
$$NMB = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_i - O_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} O_i},$$
 (5)

264
$$R = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_i - \bar{P})(O_i - \bar{O})}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} (P_i - \bar{P})^2 \sum_{i=1}^{N} (O_i - \bar{O})^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}},$$
(6)

where P_i is the predicted results and O_i represents the related observations. N is the total number of the predictions used for comparisons. Meanwhile, \overline{P} and \overline{O} are the average prediction and related mean observation, respectively.

Figure 4 shows the measured and calculated temporal variations of regional average species concentrations, including $PM_{2.5}$, O_3 and NO_2 . The WRF-CHEM model

| 270 | reproduced the pollution episode well, with a good agreement with observations. The |
|-----|--|
| 271 | correlation coefficients (R) of simulated and measured $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations are 0.88 |
| 272 | in both NNCP and SNCP (Fig. 4a). The simulations are overall lower than the |
| 273 | observations with NMB of -12% in NNCP and -7% in SNCP. Considering the high |
| 274 | average $PM_{2.5}$ concentration with 200.0 $\mu g~m^{\text{-3}}$ in NNCP and 184.1 $\mu g~m^{\text{-3}}$ in SNCP, |
| 275 | obvious underestimates exist with the overall concentrations of 24.0 $\mu g \ m^{\text{-3}}$ in NNCP |
| 276 | and 12.9 $\mu g\ m^{\text{-3}}$ in SNPC. This may be related to the CMAQ (version 4.6) aerosol |
| 277 | module, which is likely to underestimated OM due to the uncertainty in secondary |
| 278 | organic aerosols mechanism (Baek et al., 2011). Meanwhile, the underestimates are |
| 279 | also related to the negative bias in S3, which may be related to cloud contamination |
| 280 | (Fig. S1). Whereas this has only a few impacts on the estimation of CFB contribution |
| 281 | since few CFB occurred during S3. The simulations of O_3 and NO_2 are also agree well |
| 282 | with observations, with R greater than 0.77 and absolute NMB lower than 17% (Fig. |
| 283 | 4b and 4c). Figure 5 displays the measured and calculated temporal variations of |
| 284 | regional average meteorological parameters, including wind speed, wind direction, |
| 285 | and the PBLH in both NNCP and SNCP. The comparisons between simulated and |
| 286 | observed wind fields show good agreements (Fig. 5a and 5b), with all the R higher |
| 287 | than 0.64, and the absolute NMB are no more than 15%. Meanwhile, the R of PBLH |
| 288 | is larger than 0.88 and the absolute NMB is no more than 10% (Fig. 5c). |

4.3 Characteristics of the heavy pollution events

According to the evolution of $PM_{2.5}$ concentration (Fig. 4a), the haze episode can be

| 291 | divided into three stages: (I) pollution formation stage (S1, 12:00 6 th - 00:00 8 th), (II) |
|-----|---|
| 292 | pollution outbreak stage (S2, 00:00 8 th - 00:00 10 th) and (III) pollution clear stage (S3, |
| 293 | 00:00 10 th - 00:00 12 th). The major characteristics of each stage are briefly |
| 294 | summarized below. Related simulations in bracket follow the detailed observations. |
| 295 | - S1 (pollution formation): It is dominated by a continuously southerly wind, with |
| 296 | mean wind speed of 2.5 (2.7) m s ⁻¹ in NNCP and 3.0 (3.6) m s ⁻¹ in SNCP. The |
| 297 | backward trajectories, with the HYSPLIT model online version, of BJ, TJ and |
| 298 | BD during S1 reflected how the CFB influenced the NNCP region (Fig. 6). The |
| 299 | air mass mainly came from the south, originating from the SNCP region. The |
| 300 | pollutants are continuously transported from SNCP to NNCP, leading to |
| 301 | pollutants accumulation in NNCP, which is characterized by the steady rising of |
| 302 | $PM_{2.5}$ concentration in NNCP from 20.6 (41.0) $\mu g\ m^{-3}$ (at 12:00 Oct. $6^{th})$ to |
| 303 | 242.7 (217.5) μg m ⁻³ (at 00:00 Oct. 8 th) (Fig. 4 a1). |

 $- S2 \text{ (pollution outbreak): During S2, the air pollution deteriorates. It is a relative stable period of heavy pollution with average PM_{2.5} concentration of 252.0 (241.2) <math>\mu$ g m⁻³ in NNCP and 214.1 (235.0) μ g m⁻³ in SNCP, which are higher than those in other stages. This phenomenon may be related to the relative lower wind speed and PBLH.

S3 (pollution clear): During S3, the southerly winds gradually decrease, and turn to
be northerly at the end of S3. Clean airs from the north region of China
obviously improve the air quality. Compared with S2, the average PM_{2.5}
concentrations are decreased in both NNCP and SNCP.

There were several important issues shown in the results, and should be addressed. (1) 313 The PM_{2.5} concentrations are extremely high during the S2 period, and the daily 314 average concentrations are greater than the Chinese National Standard (75 μ g m⁻³) by 315 2-3 times. (2) The air pollutions are severe in a large region (occurred in both NNCP 316 and SNCP). (3) During the S1 and S2 periods, there is a time lag between SNCP and 317 NNCP for PM_{2.5} concentrations. Because it is a continuously southerly wind condition, 318 it shows the important impact of long-range transport of PM25 particles from the 319 SNCP to NNCP. 320

321 **4.4 Contributions of crop field burning**

Model sensitivity studies were conducted to separate the individual CFB contribution. Two model simulations were performed, i.e., one with both anthropogenic and CFB emissions while the other with only anthropogenic emission. We calculated $PM_{2.5}$ distributions by including CFB emissions (anthropologic and CFB) and excluding CFB emissions (only anthropologic). In this study, the CFB contributions were quantified by regional average contribution in mass concentration (*CPM*_{2.5}) and daily average contribution proportion ($\overline{PPM}_{2.5}$).

$$329 \quad CPM_{2.5} = TPM_{2.5} - APM_{2.5},\tag{7}$$

330
$$\overline{PPM_{2.5}} = \frac{\overline{CPM_{2.5}}}{\overline{TPM_{2.5}}},$$
 (8)

where $TPM_{2.5}$ represents the simulated $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations considering total emission; $APM_{2.5}$ denotes the simulated $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations only considering anthropologic emissions. $\overline{CPM_{2.5}}$ and $\overline{TPM_{2.5}}$ are daily average value for $CPM_{2.5}$ and $TPM_{2.5}$, respectively.

Figure 7 displays the regional observed and simulated PM_{2.5} concentrations 335 considering total emissions (anthropologic and CFB) and only anthropologic 336 emissions. It is clearly shown that the CFB had important contributions to PM_{2.5} in 337 both NNCP (Fig. 7a) and SNCP Fig. 7b). This is also proved by the daily average 338 contribution proportion ($\overline{PPM_{2.5}}$) of CFB (**Table 5**). The high values of $\overline{PPM_{2.5}}$ in 339 SNCP appear on Oct 6th with 34% and on 7th with 17%, when plenty of CFB occurred. 340 Simultaneously, the high values of $\overline{PPM_{2.5}}$ in NNCP appear on Oct 7th with 32% and 341 8th with 10%, showing a later occurrence than that in SNCP. The time lag suggests 342 that the plume with CFB may be transported from SNCP to NNCP. 343

The detailed hourly CFB contributions to $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations ($CPM_{2.5}$) are 344 displayed in Fig. 8. The values of $CPM_{2.5}$ in NNCP are generally lag synchronized 345 346 with that in SNCP, such as P_{N1} versus P_{S1} and P_{N2} versus to P_{S2} (Fig. 8a and 8b). Apparently, the lagged time is not constant and varied with the wind fields. The 347 specific details perform relaxed lag synchronized, especially between the P_{N2} and P_{S2} . 348 This phenomenon further indicates that the CFB contribution in SNCP is mainly due 349 to local emission, whereas CFB contribution in NNCP is largely resulted from 350 long-range transport from SNCP. Indeed, the CFB pollution plume go through a 351 long-range transport to NNCP can cause an obvious increase to PM2.5 concentration, 352 with the maximum daily average contribution of 32% (Table 5). Such a high 353 transported contribution indicates that the CFB is not only one of the significant local 354 pollution sources, but also a considerable regional pollution source. 355

To clearly show the time evolution of the CFB effect on PM_{2.5} concentration, four 356 time-points were defined in **Fig. 8c**, such as T1 (23:00 6th), T2 (05:00 7th), T3 (20:00 357 7th) and T4 (19:00 8th). At T1, prominent CFB contribution occurred in SNCP with 358 the highest value of 71.9 µg m⁻³, but accompanied with unimportant CFB contribution 359 in NNCP with a low value of 7.7 µg m⁻³. At T2, the CFB contribution in SNCP 360 decline with a relative high value of 44.2 μ g m⁻³, but rise in NNCP with 51.6 μ g m⁻³ 361 (near the transition between P1 and P2). At T3, the CFB contribution rapidly 362 decreases to a low value of 24.0 µg m⁻³ in SNCP, but increase to the highest with 47.0 363 µg m⁻³ in NNCP. At T4, the CFB contributions largely decrease, becoming lesser in 364 both SCNP (9.1 µg m⁻³) and NNCP (11.4 µg m⁻³). Interestingly, the CFB contribution 365 in SNCP drops faster than that in NNCP (P2 in Fig. 8c), resulting in stronger effects 366 in NNCP than in SNCP, as well as longer effects in NNCP. 367

368 To further understand the evolution of CFB to heavy haze pollution, we analyzed the horizontal distributions of PM_{2.5} concentration (TPM_{2.5}) and related CFB contribution 369 $(CPM_{2.5})$ at T1, T2, T3 and T4 (Fig. 9). The pattern comparisons between simulated 370 and observed near-surface $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations ($TPM_{2.5}$) perform well (Fig. 9 Left 371 Panels). Meanwhile, the regional average CFB contributions are shown in Table 6, 372 including mass concentration and related percentage as well as the related lag-time of 373 NNCP corresponding to SNCP. At T1, massive local pollutants are emitted from CFB 374 in SNCP and the CFB plume had not yet been largely transported to NNCP (see 375 CPM_{2.5} of Fig. 9 T1). The CFB contribution is high in SNCP with 72.6 μ g m⁻³, 376 accounting for 71% of the total PM_{2.5}, whereas the CFB contribution is low with 8.1 377

μg m⁻³ in NNCP, only accounting for 21%. At T2, high CFB contribution occurred in 378 both SNCP and NNCP with 37 µg m⁻³, suggesting that plenty of CFB pollutants 379 emitted from SNCP and had been transported to NNCP (see CPM_{2.5} of Fig. 9 T2). At 380 T3, CFB contribution rapidly reduced in SNCP with 20.2 μ g m⁻³ (13%). It is worth to 381 note that the high CFB contribution with 50.4 μ g m⁻³ (58%) is still remained in NNCP 382 (see CPM_{2.5} of Fig. 9 T3). At T4, the CFB contribution largely decreased in both 383 SNCP and NNCP (no more than 6%) (see CPM_{2.5} of Fig. 9 T4). The lag-time of 384 NNCP to SNCP are 7-12 hours, and gradually increase from T1 to T4, implicating 385 that the effect of CFB remains in longer time in NNCP than in SNCP. The highest 386 PM_{2.5} concentrations are along the foothill of the Taihang Mountains (Left panels of 387 Fig. 9), which may be related to the mountain effects. 388

389

4.5 Impact of mountains

Sensitivity experiments were conducted to quantify the impacts of the Taihang 390 Mountains (referred as R-T), the Yanshan Mountains (R-Y) and both of them (R-TY) 391 on the heavy pollution. The mountains were removed from the model calculation, in 392 393 which, the altitude of mountains were reduced to the average altitude of NCP (30 m). With the reduction of altitudes of the topography, the dynamical conditions calculated 394 from WRF-CHEM changed, which affect pollutions transport, especially along the 395 foothill of mountains. In this study, we utilized the differences between the 396 397 simulations with or without mountains to represent the effect of the topography on PM_{2.5} concentration, which were calculated based on Eq. (9). As an on-line dynamical 398

model, the topography changes in WRF-CHEM can lead to dynamical changes, such 399 as the wind speeds at the foothill of the mountains. This is a useful and traditional 400 401 sensitivity analysis method for numerical model to quantify the mountains effects, but with some shortcomings, which are to bring uncertainties to the sensitivity experiment. 402 Firstly, the impact of topography is complicated to be completely quantified only by 403 the altitude remove behavior. Secondly, the initial NCEP FNL data with mountains is 404 treated as "real" in scenarios without mountains. The sensitive configuration and 405 related enclosing scope are displayed in Fig. S2. 406

407
$$IPM_{2.5} = RPM_{2.5} - TPM_{2.5},$$
 (9)

where $IPM_{2.5}$ is the net impacts of mountains on PM_{2.5}; $RPM_{2.5}$ denotes the simulated PM_{2.5} concentration with removal behaviors, involving R-TY, R-T, and R-Y; $TPM_{2.5}$ represents the simulated PM_{2.5} concentration considering emission of anthropologic and CFB, which is correspond with the case of R0 (**Fig. S2a**).

The sensitivity study period was selected from 12:00 7th to 00:00 10th. Fig. 10 412 displays the elevation contours and the horizontal distributions of PM_{2.5} concentration 413 with the effect of mountains, exhibiting a good performance of the pattern 414 comparisons between simulated and observed near-surface PM2.5 concentrations. The 415 416 results illustrate that the mountains had important impacts on regional PM2.5 concentration, especially for the region along the foothill of mountains with a heavy 417 pollution area, covering sampling sites of BJ, BD, SJZ and XT. Here, it is attributed to 418 the mountain blocking effect, which has two categories of influences. Firstly, the 419 mountains block the airflows, causing pollutant accumulation and resulting in high 420

PM_{2.5} loading at the foothill of mountains (Influence-1, block). Secondly, the mountains redirect the airflows, causing the pollutants move toward the downwind foothill areas (Influence-2, redirect). Both influences act to prevent the pollutant plume to disperse toward western mountains, causing accumulations of the air pollutants along the foothill of mountains. These two influences of mountain blocking effect are illustrated as the schematic pictures in **Fig. S3**.

Fig. 11 displays the simulated PM_{2.5} concentration due to the mountain effects 427 (RPM_{2.5}), with the three cases (R-TY, R-T, and R-Y). The heavy pollution 428 accumulation (Fig. 10) along the foothill of mountains is significantly reduced, 429 especially with the removal of Taihang Mountains (R-T, and R-TY) (Fig. 11 a1 and 430 a2). In these two cases, the pollution plumes dispersed westerly (Fig. 11 b1 and b2). 431 The $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations increase 40-120 µg m⁻³ in the western part of Taihang 432 Mountains, and reduce 20-60 μ g m⁻³ in NCP. The distribution of the reduced pollution 433 plume shows a northeast band plume, indicating the mountain blocking effect. With 434 the removal of the Yanshan Mountains (R-Y), the high PM_{2.5} concentrations are still 435 remained along the foothill of the Taihang Mountains (Fig. 11 a3), but more 436 pollutants are pushed forward along the foothill, toward the northeastern NCP. 437 Without the blocking effect of the Yanshan Mountains, the PM_{2.5} concentrations 438 increased 20-80 µg m⁻³ in the northern part of the Yanshan Mountains, and decreased 439 10-60 μ g m⁻³ in the southern part of the Yanshan Mountains (**Fig. 11 b3**). 440

In the foothill sampling sites (BJ, BD, SJZ and XT), the average $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations are reduced 54.2 µg m⁻³ for the case of R-T, which is much higher than the case of R-Y (28.4 μ g m⁻³). For the other non-foothill sites, the average reduction is 34.7 μ g m⁻³ for the case of R-T, which is also much higher than the case of R-Y (2.4 μ g m⁻³), suggesting that the Taihang Mountains have stronger effects than the Yanshan Mountains. Meanwhile, the higher impacts in the foothill sampling sites than non-foothill sites are further demonstrated.

448 **5** Conclusions

In recent years, the NCP region, including the capital city of Beijing, has been 449 suffering serious haze pollution problem, especially in winter and summer. Most 450 451 studies concerned about the intense secondary formation, huge regional transport of pollutants, stationary meteorological conditions and large local emission. In autumn, 452 453 CFB and movement of wind based on large scale topography are important in NCP, whereas the percentage of transported CFB emission sources are seldom specified. 454 455 This is probably resulted from the contingency of CFB activities during harvest period and the limitation of temporal resolution of CFB emission inventories. In this study, 456 we extracted a more detailed CFB emission inventory based on the provincial 457 statistical data and CFB activities captured by MODIS. The WRF-CHEM mode was 458 applied to study the effect of CFB on the PM_{2.5} concentrations in NCP, especially the 459 evaluation of CFB plums pollution, such as local influence and long-range 460 transportation. We get some insights of how could CFB affect the air quality in NNCP 461 462 and Beijing under heavy haze condition, though more and longer studies are needed to get more representative conclusions. The results are summarized: 463

464 (1) A more detailed CFB emission inventory was generated in NCP. The daily CFB
465 emissions were estimated depending on CFB activities captured by MODIS.
466 Plenty of pollutants emitted from SNCP on Oct. 6th and 7th, producing plenty of
467 PM_{2.5} pollution, but few in NNCP during the entire haze period.

468 (2) The WRF-CHEM model reproduced the pollution episode with a good
469 agreement with observations. The correlation coefficients (R) of simulated and
470 measured PM2.5 concentration are 0.88 in both NNCP and SNCP, and the
471 related NMB are -12% in NNCP and -7% in SNCP. The simulated winds and
472 PBLH are also in good agreement with observations in both NNCP and SNCP.

473 (3) The WRF-CHEM model was used to investigate the impacts of CFB 474 contribution and its evaluation on $PM_{2.5}$ concentration. The SNCP region is 475 mainly influenced by the local CFB emissions, causing a maximum of 34% 476 $PM_{2.5}$ increase. Whereas the NNCP region is mainly affected by the long-range 477 transport of pollution plume emitted from CFB in SNCP, causing a maximum of 478 $32\% PM_{2.5}$ increase in NNCP.

(4) The research domain includes two regions of interests. One is the NNCP,
including two mega cities (Beijing and Tianjin), where few CFB occurred.
Another is the SNCP, where substantial CFB occurred. This study shows that
there are substantially long-transport of CFB plume from SNCP to NNCP. More
importantly, the effect of CFB remains in longer time in NNCP than in SNCP
along the foothill areas of the Taihang Mountains, causing significant
enhancement in Beijing in both time and magnitude.

486 (5) Another major finding is that the mountains, surrounding the NCP in the north 487 and west, play significant roles in enhancing the $PM_{2.5}$ pollution in NNCP 488 through the blocking effect. Mountains block and redirect the airflows, causing 489 the pollution accumulation along the foothill of mountains. The Taihang 490 Mountains had greater impacts on $PM_{2.5}$ concentration than the Yanshan 491 Mountains.

On account of various factors, such as pollutant long-range transport and pollutant accumulation caused by mountain effects, the prohibition of CFB should be strict not just in or around Beijing, but also on the ulterior crop growth areas of SNCP. Other PM_{2.5} emissions in the SNCP should be significantly limited in order to reduce the occurrences of heavy haze events in NNCP region, including the Beijing City.

497 Acknowledgement

The PBL height and wind field data was obtained from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) website (http://www.ecmwf.int/ products/data/). This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC) under Grant Nos. 41275186 and 41430424, and the Open Fund of the State Key Laboratory of Loess and Quaternary Geology (SKLLQG1413). The National Center for Atmospheric Research is sponsored by the National Science Foundation.

505

506 **Reference**

- Akagi, S., Yokelson, R. J., Wiedinmyer, C., Alvarado, M., Reid, J., Karl, T., Crounse, J.,
 and Wennberg, P.: Emission factors for open and domestic biomass burning for use
 in atmospheric models, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 4039-4072, 2011.
- 510 Andreae, M. O., and Merlet, P.: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass
- 511 burning, Global biogeochemical cycles, 15, 955-966, 2001.
- 512 Baek, J., Hu, Y., Odman, M. T., and Russell, A. G.: Modeling secondary organic
- aerosol in CMAQ using multigenerational oxidation of semi-volatile organic
- 514 compounds, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 116, 2011.
- 515 Bi, Y., Wang, Y., and Cao, C.: Straw Resource Quantity and its Regional Distribution in 516 China [J], Journal of Agricultural Mechanization Research, 3, 1-7, 2010.
- 517 Binkowski, F. S., and Roselle, S. J.: Models-3 Community Multiscale Air Quality
- 518 (CMAQ) model aerosol component 1. Model description, Journal of Geophysical
- 519 Research: Atmospheres, 108, 2003.
- 520 Cao, G., Zhang, X., Wang, Y., and Zheng, F.: Estimation of emissions from field
- 521 burning of crop straw in China, Chinese Science Bulletin, 53, 784-790, 2008.
- 522 Chang, J., Brost, R., Isaksen, I., Madronich, S., Middleton, P., Stockwell, W., and
- 523 Walcek, C.: A three-dimensional Eulerian acid deposition model: Physical concepts
- and formulation, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres (1984–2012), 92,
- 525 14681-14700, 1987.
- 526 Chen, F., and Dudhia, J.: Coupling an advanced land surface-hydrology model with the
- 527 Penn State-NCAR MM5 modeling system. Part I: Model implementation and
- sensitivity, Monthly Weather Review, 129, 569-585, 2001.
- 529 Chen, Y., Zhao, C., Zhang, Q., Deng, Z., Huang, M., and Ma, X.: Aircraft study of
- 530 mountain chimney effect of Beijing, china, Journal of Geophysical Research:
- 531 Atmospheres, 114, 2009.
- 532 Cheng, Y., Engling, G., He, K.-B., Duan, F.-K., Ma, Y.-L., Du, Z.-Y., Liu, J.-M., Zheng,

M., and Weber, R. J.: Biomass burning contribution to Beijing aerosol, Atmospheric
Chemistry and Physics, 13, 7765-7781, 2013.

535 Cheng, Z., Wang, S., Fu, X., Watson, J., Jiang, J., Fu, Q., Chen, C., Xu, B., Yu, J., and

- 536 Chow, J.: Impact of biomass burning on haze pollution in the Yangtze River delta,
- 537 China: a case study in summer 2011, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14,

538 4573-4585, 2014.

- 539 Dudhia, J.: Numerical study of convection observed during the winter monsoon
- 540 experiment using a mesoscale two-dimensional model, Journal of the Atmospheric

541 Sciences, 46, 3077-3107, 1989.

- 542 Emmons, L., Walters, S., Hess, P., Lamarque, J.-F., Pfister, G., Fillmore, D., Granier, C.,
- 543 Guenther, A., Kinnison, D., and Laepple, T.: Description and evaluation of the
- 544 Model for Ozone and Related chemical Tracers, version 4 (MOZART-4),
- 545 Geoscientific Model Development, 3, 43-67, 2010.
- 546 Friedl, M. A., Sulla-Menashe, D., Tan, B., Schneider, A., Ramankutty, N., Sibley, A.,
- and Huang, X.: MODIS Collection 5 global land cover: Algorithm refinements and
- characterization of new datasets, Remote Sensing of Environment, 114, 168-182,
- 549 2010.
- 550 Giglio, L., Descloitres, J., Justice, C. O., and Kaufman, Y. J.: An enhanced contextual
- fire detection algorithm for MODIS, Remote sensing of environment, 87, 273-282,2003.
- 553 Grell, G. A., Peckham, S. E., Schmitz, R., McKeen, S. A., Frost, G., Skamarock, W. C.,
- and Eder, B.: Fully coupled "online" chemistry within the WRF model,
- 555 Atmospheric Environment, 39, 6957-6975, 2005.
- 556 Guan, D., Su, X., Zhang, Q., Peters, G. P., Liu, Z., Lei, Y., and He, K.: The
- 557 socioeconomic drivers of China's primary PM2. 5 emissions, Environmental
- 558 Research Letters, 9, 024010, 2014.
- 559 Guenther, C.: Estimates of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model
- of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature), Atmospheric Chemistry and
- 561 Physics, 6, 2006.

- 562 Hao, W.-M., and Liu, M.-H.: Spatial and temporal distribution of tropical biomass
- 563 burning, Global biogeochemical cycles, 8, 495-503, 1994.
- 564 He, H., Tie, X., Zhang, Q., Liu, X., Gao, Q., Li, X., and Gao, Y.: Analysis of the causes
- of heavy aerosol pollution in Beijing, China: A case study with the WRF-CHEM
- 566 model, Particuology, 20, 32-40, 2015.
- 567 Hong, J., Ren, L., Hong, J., and Xu, C.: Environmental impact assessment of corn straw
- tilization in China, Journal of Cleaner Production, 30, 1e9, 2015.
- 569 Hong, S.-Y., Noh, Y., and Dudhia, J.: A new vertical diffusion package with an explicit
- treatment of entrainment processes, Monthly Weather Review, 134, 2318-2341,2006.
- 572 Hu, X.-M., Ma, Z., Lin, W., Zhang, H., Hu, J., Wang, Y., Xu, X., Fuentes, J. D., and

573 Xue, M.: Impact of the Loess Plateau on the atmospheric boundary layer structure 574 and air quality in the North China Plain[:] A case study Science of The Total

- and air quality in the North China Plain: A case study, Science of The Total
 Environment, 499, 228-237, 2014.
- 576 Huang, X., Li, M., Li, J., and Song, Y.: A high-resolution emission inventory of crop
- 577 burning in fields in China based on MODIS Thermal Anomalies/Fire products,
- 578 Atmospheric Environment, 50, 9-15, 2012.
- 579 Jiang, C., Wang, H., Zhao, T., Li, T., and Che, H.: Modeling study of PM 2.5 pollutant
- transport across cities in China's Jing–Jin–Ji region during a severe haze episode in
- 581 December 2013, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 15, 5803-5814, 2015.
- 582 Koppmann, R., Czapiewski, K. v., and Reid, J.: A review of biomass burning emissions,
- 583 part I: gaseous emissions of carbon monoxide, methane, volatile organic compounds,
- and nitrogen containing compounds, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics
- 585 Discussions, 5, 10455-10516, 2005.
- 586 Li, G., Zhang, R., Fan, J., and Tie, X.: Impacts of black carbon aerosol on photolysis
- and ozone, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 110, 2005.
- 588 Li, G., Lei, W., Zavala, M., Volkamer, R., Dusanter, S., Stevens, P., and Molina, L.:
- 589 Impacts of HONO sources on the photochemistry in Mexico City during the

- 590 MCMA-2006/MILAGO Campaign, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 591 6551-6567, 2010.
- 592 Li, G., Bei, N., Tie, X., and Molina, L.: Aerosol effects on the photochemistry in
- Mexico City during MCMA-2006/MILAGRO campaign, Atmospheric Chemistry 593
- and Physics, 11, 5169-5182, 2011. 594
- 595 Li, G., Lei, W., Bei, N., and Molina, L.: Contribution of garbage burning to chloride and
- PM 2.5 in Mexico City, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 8751-8761, 2012. 596
- 597 Li, L., Wang, Y., Zhang, Q., Li, J., Yang, X., and Jin, J.: Wheat straw burning and its associated impacts on Beijing air quality, Science in China Series D: Earth Sciences, 598 51, 403-414, 2008. 599
- 600 Lin, Y.-L., Farley, R. D., and Orville, H. D.: Bulk parameterization of the snow field in a cloud model, Journal of Climate and Applied Meteorology, 22, 1065-1092, 1983. 601
- 602 Liu, S., Liu, Z., Li, J., Wang, Y., Ma, Y., Sheng, L., Liu, H., Liang, F., Xin, G., and
- Wang, J.: Numerical simulation for the coupling effect of local atmospheric 603
- circulations over the area of Beijing, Tianjin and Hebei Province, Science in China 604 Series D: Earth Sciences, 52, 382-392, 2009. 605
- 606 Lu, Z., Zhang, Q., and Streets, D. G.: Sulfur dioxide and primary carbonaceous aerosol
- 607 emissions in China and India, 1996–2010, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11, 9839-9864, 2011. 608
- 609 Miao, Y., Liu, S., Zheng, Y., and Wang, S.: Modeling the feedback between aerosol and
- boundary layer processes: a case study in Beijing, China, Environmental Science 610
- and Pollution Research, 1-16, 2015a. 611
- 612 Miao, Y., Liu, S., Zheng, Y., Wang, S., and Chen, B.: Numerical study of the effects of
- topography and urbanization on the local atmospheric circulations over the 613
- Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei, China, Advances in Meteorology, 2015, 2015b. 614
- 615 Mlawer, E. J., Taubman, S. J., Brown, P. D., Iacono, M. J., and Clough, S. A.: Radiative
- transfer for inhomogeneous atmospheres: RRTM, a validated correlated-k model for 616
- the longwave (Paper 97JD00237), JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL 617

- 618 RESEARCH-ALL SERIES-, 102, 16,663-616,682, 1997.
- 619 Mukai, S., Yasumoto, M., and Nakata, M.: Estimation of biomass burning influence on
- air pollution around Beijing from an aerosol retrieval model, The Scientific World
- 621 Journal, 2014, 2014.
- 622 National Bureau of Statistics (NBS), 2015. China Statistical Yearbook 2014. China
- 623 Statistics Press, Beijing. http://www.stats.gov.cn/tjsj/ndsj/2015/indexch.htm.
- 624 Ni, H., Han, Y., Cao, J., Chen, L.-W. A., Tian, J., Wang, X., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G.,
- 625 Wang, Q., and Wang, P.: Emission characteristics of carbonaceous particles and
- trace gases from open burning of crop residues in China, Atmospheric Environment,

627 123, 399-406, 2015.

- 628 Qin, S.-g., Ding, A., and Wang, T.: Transport pattern of biomass burnings air masses in
- Eurasia and the impacts on China, China Environmental Science, 26, 641-645, 2006.
- Shi, T., Liu, Y., Zhang, L., Hao, L., and Gao, Z.: Burning in agricultural landscapes: an
 emerging natural and human issue in China, Landscape Ecology, 29, 1785-1798,
 2014.
- 633 Shon, Z.-H.: Long-term variations in PM 2.5 emission from open biomass burning in
- Northeast Asia derived from satellite-derived data for 2000–2013, Atmospheric
- 635 Environment, 107, 342-350, 2015.
- 636 Song, Y., Tang, X., Xie, S., Zhang, Y., Wei, Y., Zhang, M., Zeng, L., and Lu, S.:
- 637 Source apportionment of PM2. 5 in Beijing in 2004, Journal of Hazardous Materials,
- 638 146, 124-130, 2007.
- 639 Stockwell, W. R., Middleton, P., Chang, J. S., and Tang, X.: The second generation
- regional acid deposition model chemical mechanism for regional air quality
- modeling, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres (1984–2012), 95,
- 642 16343-16367, 1990.
- 643 Streets, D., Yarber, K., Woo, J. H., and Carmichael, G.: Biomass burning in Asia:

Annual and seasonal estimates and atmospheric emissions, Global Biogeochemical
Cycles, 17, 2003.

- 646 Su, J., Zhu, B., Kang, H., Wang, H., and Wang, T.: Applications of pollutants released
- 647 form crop residues at open burning in Yangtze River Delta region in air quality
- 648 model, Environmental Science, 33, 1418-1424, 2012.
- 649 Sun, Y., Song, T., Tang, G., and Wang, Y.: The vertical distribution of PM 2.5 and
- boundary-layer structure during summer haze in Beijing, Atmospheric Environment,
- 65174, 413-421, 2013.
- 652 Tie, X., Madronich, S., Walters, S., Zhang, R., Rasch, P., and Collins, W.: Effect of
- clouds on photolysis and oxidants in the troposphere, Journal of GeophysicalResearch: Atmospheres, 108, 2003.
- 655 Tie, X., Madronich, S., Walters, S., Edwards, D. P., Ginoux, P., Mahowald, N., Zhang,
- 656 R., Lou, C., and Brasseur, G.: Assessment of the global impact of aerosols on
- tropospheric oxidants, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres (1984–2012),
 110, 2005.
- 659 Tie, X., Madronich, S., Li, G., Ying, Z., Zhang, R., Garcia, A. R., Lee-Taylor, J., and
- 660 Liu, Y.: Characterizations of chemical oxidants in Mexico City: A regional chemical
- dynamical model (WRF-CHEM) study, Atmospheric Environment, 41, 1989-2008,
- *662* 2007.
- 663 Tie, X., Geng, F., Peng, L., Gao, W., and Zhao, C.: Measurement and modeling of O 3
- variability in Shanghai, China: Application of the WRF-CHEM model, Atmospheric
 Environment, 43, 4289-4302, 2009.
- Tie, X., Zhang, Q., He, H., Cao, J., Han, S., Gao, Y., Li, X., and Jia, X. C.: A budget
 analysis of the formation of haze in Beijing, Atmospheric Environment, 100, 25-36,
- 668 2015.
- 669 van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Kasibhatla, P. S., and
- 670 Arellano Jr, A. F.: Interannual variability in global biomass burning emissions from
- 671 1997 to 2004, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 6, 3423-3441, 2006.
- 672 Wang, L., Xu, J., Yang, J., Zhao, X., Wei, W., Cheng, D., Pan, X., and Su, J.:
- 673 Understanding haze pollution over the southern Hebei area of China using the
- 674 CMAQ model, Atmospheric Environment, 56, 69-79, 2012.

- 675 Wang, L., Wei, Z., Yang, J., Zhang, Y., Zhang, F., Su, J., Meng, C., and Zhang, Q.: The
- 676 2013 severe haze over southern Hebei, China: model evaluation, source
- apportionment, and policy implications, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 14, 3151-3173, 2013.
- 678 Wang, Q., Shao, M., Liu, Y., William, K., Paul, G., Li, X., Liu, Y., and Lu, S.: Impact
- of biomass burning on urban air quality estimated by organic tracers: Guangzhou
- and Beijing as cases, Atmospheric Environment, 41, 8380-8390, 2007.
- Wang, Q., Shao, M., Zhang, Y., Wei, Y., Hu, M., and Guo, S.: Source apportionment of
 fine organic aerosols in Beijing, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 8573-8585,
 2009.
- Wang, S., and Zhang, C.: Spatial and temporal distribution of air pollutant emissions
 from open burning of crop residues in China, Sciencepaper online, 3, 329-333,
 2008.
- 687 Wang, W., Maenhaut, W., Yang, W., Liu, X., Bai, Z., Zhang, T., Claeys, M., Cachier,
- H., Dong, S., and Wang, Y.: One-year aerosol characterization study for PM 2.5
- and PM 10 in Beijing, Atmospheric Pollution Research, 5, 554-562, 2014.
- 690 Wesely, M.: Parameterization of surface resistances to gaseous dry deposition in
- regional-scale numerical models, Atmospheric Environment (1967), 23, 1293-1304,
 1989.
- 693 Wiedinmyer, C., Akagi, S., Yokelson, R. J., Emmons, L., Al-Saadi, J., Orlando, J., and
- Soja, A.: The Fire INventory from NCAR (FINN): A high resolution global model
 to estimate the emissions from open burning, Geoscientific Model Development, 4,
 625, 2011.
- Yan, X., Ohara, T., and Akimoto, H.: Bottom-up estimate of biomass burning in
 mainland China, Atmospheric Environment, 40, 5262-5273, 2006.
- 699 Yang, Y., Liu, X., Qu, Y., An, J., Jiang, R., Zhang, Y., Sun, Y., Wu, Z., Zhang, F., and
- 700 Xu, W.: Characteristics and formation mechanism of continuous hazes in China: a
- case study during the autumn of 2014 in the North China Plain, Atmospheric
- 702 Chemistry and Physics, 15, 8165-8178, 2015.

- 703 Yao, L., Yang, L., Yuan, Q., Yan, C., Dong, C., Meng, C., Sui, X., Yang, F., Lu, Y.,
- and Wang, W.: Sources apportionment of PM 2.5 in a background site in the North
- China Plain, Science of The Total Environment, 541, 590-598, 2016.
- Yevich, R., and Logan, J. A.: An assessment of biofuel use and burning of agricultural
 waste in the developing world, Global biogeochemical cycles, 17, 2003.
- 708 Zha, S., Zhang, S., Cheng, T., Chen, J., Huang, G., Li, X., and Wang, Q.: Agricultural
- fires and their potential impacts on regional air quality over China, Aerosol Air Qual
- 710 Res, 13, 992-1001, 2013.
- 711 Zhang, H.: A laboratory study on emission characteristics of gaseous and particulate
- pollutants emitted from agricultural crop residue burning in China, Ph. D Thesis,
- Fudan University, China, 2009.
- 714 Zhang, L., Liu, Y., and Hao, L.: Contributions of open crop straw burning emissions to
- PM2. 5 concentrations in China, Environmental Research Letters, 11, 014014, 2016.
- 716 Zhang, Q., Streets, D. G., Carmichael, G. R., He, K. B., Huo, H., Kannari, A., Klimont,
- Z., Park, I. S., Reddy, S., Fu, J. S., Chen, D., Duan, L., Lei, Y., Wang, L. T., and
- Yao, Z. L.: Asian emissions in 2006 for the NASA INTEX-B mission, Atmospheric
- 719 Chemistry and Physics, 9, 5131-5153, 2009.
- 720 Zhang, Y.-L., and Cao, F.: Is it time to tackle PM 2.5 air pollutions in China from
- biomass-burning emissions?, Environmental Pollution, 202, 217-219, 2015.
- 722 Zhang, Z., Gao, J., Engling, G., Tao, J., Chai, F., Zhang, L., Zhang, R., Sang, X., Chan,
- 723 C.-y., and Lin, Z.: Characteristics and applications of size-segregated biomass
- burning tracers in China's Pearl River Delta region, Atmospheric Environment, 102,
- 725 290-301, 2015.
- 726 Zhao, L., Leng, Y., Ren, H., and Li, H.: Life cycle assessment for large-scale
- centralized straw gas supply project, Journal of Anhui Agri Sci, 38, 19462-19464,
 2010.
- 729 Zhao, S., Tie, X., Cao, J., and Zhang, Q.: Impacts of mountains on black carbon aerosol
- vinder different synoptic meteorology conditions in the Guanzhong region, China,

- 731 Atmospheric Research, 164, 286-296, 2015.
- 732 Zhu, J., Wang, T., Deng, J., Jiang, A., and Liu, D.: An emission inventory of air
- pollutants from crop residue burning in Yangtze River Delta Region and its
- application in simulation of a heavy haze weather process, Acta Scientiae
- 735 Circumstantiae, 32, 3045-3055, 2012.
- 736

738

Figure Captions

- Figure 1 The study area, sampling sites and crop fires. (a) The research domain and
 related provinces in China. (b) Topographical conditions of North China
 Plain. (c) Location of sampling sites and crop field burning captured by
 MODIS during the haze episodes. Green crosses indicate the measurement
 sites, and the CFB are shown by the pink dots.
- Figure 2 The (a) yearly and (b) monthly crop field burning observed by MODIS in the
 research domain during the year of 2008 to 2014.
- Figure 3 Crop field burning captured by MODIS with the background of MODIS
 real-time true color map (Left) and related CO emission (Right) on Oct. 6th
 and 7th.
- Figure 4 Regional averaged temporal variations in simulated (in red) and observed (in
 blue) results of species concentrations of (a) PM_{2.5} (b) O₃ and (c) NO₂ over
 the regions of NNCP and SNCP.
- Figure 5 Regional averaged temporal variations in simulated (in red) and observed (in
 blue) results of meteorological parameters of (a) wind speed (b) wind
 direction and (c) PBLH over the regions of NNCP and SNCP.
- Figure 6 Backward trajectories of NNCP (Beijing, Tianjin and Baoding) during S1
 (LST, 12:00 6th 00:00 8th) in different height of 100m, 500m and 1000m.
- Figure 7 Hourly PM_{2.5} concentration of observations (obs) and simulations (sim-total and sim-anthro) in (a) NNCP and (b) SNCP. Sim-total represents the simulations considering total emissions (anthropologic and crop field burning), whereas sim-anthro is the simulations only considering anthropologic emissions.
- Figure 8 CFB contribution to $PM_{2.5}$ concentration ($CPM_{2.5}$) (a) in SNCP, (b) in NNCP and (c) their comparison. The key point-in-local-times of T1 (23:00 6th), T2 (05:00 7th), T3 (20:00 7th) and T4 (19:00 8th) are signed with blue arrow.

Figure 9 The distributions of $TPM_{2.5}$ and $CPM_{2.5}$ of the key point-in-local-times of T1, T2, T3 and T4, which represent different pollution phase of emission from crop field burning to $PM_{2.5}$. Left panels also show the pattern comparisons of simulated vs. observed near-surface $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations ($TPM_{2.5}$), with PM_{2.5} observations of colored circles. Black arrows denote simulated surface winds.

- Figure 10 The elevation contours and the pattern comparisons of simulated vs. observed near-surface $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations from 12:00 7th to 00:00 10th. Colored circles: $PM_{2.5}$ observations of foothill sites; Colored squares: $PM_{2.5}$ observations of non-foothill sites; Black arrows: simulated surface winds. The 200-meter contour was highlighted with bold black line.
- Figure 11 The averaged spatial distribution of $PM_{2.5}$ concentration and horizontal winds during 12:00 7th to 00:00 10th. (a) Simulated $PM_{2.5}$ loading with erase behavior $RPM_{2.5}$, involving R-TY, R-T, and R-Y. (b) The related impacts of mountains to $PM_{2.5}$ ($IPM_{2.5}$), which represents the net effect of related mountains. The bold black lines were used to stress enclosing scope of each erased behavior.

Table 1. The average PM2.5 concentration, wind direction and wind speed of the

observations from 12:00 6th to 00:00 12th. The sampling sites located at the foot of

785 mountains were emphasized with bold style.

| р · | C *4 | Longitude | Latitude | PM _{2.5} | Wind-dir | Wind-spd | |
|--------|---------------------|-----------|----------|-------------------|----------|----------|--|
| Region | Site | (°E) | (°N) | $(\mu g/m^3)$ | (°) | (m/s) | |
| | Beijing (BJ) | 116.41 | 40.04 | 245.5 | 185.8 | 2.2 | |
| | Langfang (LF) | 116.73 | 39.56 | 214.7 | 177.0 | 2.4 | |
| | Tianjin (TJ) | 117.31 | 39.09 | 134.7 | 173.5 | 2.4 | |
| | Baoding (BD) | 115.49 | 38.87 | 287.7 | 171.2 | 2.2 | |
| | Cangzhou (CZ) | 116.87 | 38.31 | 117.3 | 166.6 | 2.5 | |
| NNCP | | | | 200.0 | 174.8 | 2.35 | |
| | Shijiazhuang (SJZ) | 114.49 | 38.04 | 257.9 | 175.2 | 2.0 | |
| | Hengshui (HS) | 115.68 | 37.74 | 166.7 | 163.7 | 2.6 | |
| | Dezhou (DZ) | 116.31 | 37.47 | 152.4 | 162.7 | 2.6 | |
| | Xingtai (XT) | 114.50 | 37.09 | 320.1 | 198.1 | 2.3 | |
| | Liaocheng (LC) | 116.00 | 36.46 | 139.7 | 158.4 | 2.6 | |
| | Hezhe (HZ) | 115.46 | 35.26 | 105.0 | 138.9 | 2.4 | |
| | Zhengzhou (ZZ) | 113.66 | 34.79 | 146.9 | 159.2 | 2.4 | |
| SNCP | | | | 184.1 | 165.2 | 2.42 | |

788Table 2. The gaseous and particulate species emission factors (g/kg) and scales to789convert NMOC emissions (kg day⁻¹) to WRF/Chem chemical species (moles-species790day⁻¹) from crop field burning. The detailed chemical species are described by791Stockwell et al. (1990).

| Gaseous species | | | | | | | | Particul | ate spec | ies | | |
|--|------------------|--------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|------|----------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|------|------|
| CO^1 | NOx ¹ | NO^1 | $\mathrm{NO_2}^2$ | $\mathrm{SO_2}^3$ | NH3 ¹ | NM | (OC^1) | OC ³ | BC ³ | PM _{2.5} ¹ | | |
| 111 | 3.5 | 1.7 | 3.9 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 57 | | 3.3 | 0.69 | 5.8 | | |
| Chemical-compounds-to-NMOC scales ^{1,2} | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ETH | HC3 | HC5 | OL2 | OLT | OLI | TOL | CSL | НСНО | ALD | KET | ORA2 | ISO |
| 0.43 | 0.73 | 0.07 | 1.09 | 0.27 | 0.20 | 1.07 | 0.49 | 1.84 | 3.05 | 0.83 | 2.19 | 0.60 |

a. The values were taken from Andreae and Merlet (2001); b. The values were taken from Wiedinmyer

et al., (2011); c. The values were taken from Akagi et al., (2011)

Table 3. The fire counts of crop field burning detected by the MODIS in the provinces

over NCP during the haze episode (from Oct. 6th to 11th, 2014).

| Province | 6-Oct | 7-Oct | 8-Oct | 9-Oct | 10-Oct | 11-Oct | Percentage |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|------------|
| Beijing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Tianjin | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0% |
| Hebei | 60 | 11 | 14 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 10% |
| Henan | 370 | 104 | 59 | 18 | 19 | 23 | 61% |
| Shandong | 100 | 54 | 9 | 9 | 32 | 7 | 22% |
| Anhui | 6 | 6 | 20 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 5% |
| Shanxi | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1% |
| Jiangsu | 4 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 2% |
| Percentage | 56% | 18% | 11% | 3% | 8% | 4% | 100% |

Table 4. The emissions (Gg/day) of gaseous and particulate species from crop field
burning on Oct. 6th and Oct. 7th in NCP region, including the provinces of Beijing,
Tianjin, Hebei, Henan, Shandong.

| Time | Province | СО | NOx | NO | NO2 | NMOC | SO2 | NH3 | PM2.5 | OC | BC |
|-------|----------|-------|------|------|------|-------|------------|------|-------|------|------|
| | Beijing | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Tianjin | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| (Ort | Hebei | 10.58 | 0.33 | 0.16 | 0.37 | 5.44 | 0.04 | 0.22 | 0.55 | 0.31 | 0.07 |
| 6-001 | Henan | 71.17 | 2.24 | 1.09 | 2.50 | 36.55 | 0.26 | 1.47 | 3.72 | 2.12 | 0.44 |
| | Shandong | 16.27 | 0.51 | 0.25 | 0.57 | 8.35 | 0.06 | 0.34 | 0.85 | 0.48 | 0.10 |
| | Total | 98.0 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 3.4 | 50.3 | 0.4 | 2.0 | 5.1 | 2.9 | 0.6 |
| | Beijing | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| | Tianjin | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 7 Oct | Hebei | 1.94 | 0.06 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 1.00 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.06 | 0.01 |
| /-Oct | Henan | 20.01 | 0.63 | 0.31 | 0.70 | 10.27 | 0.07 | 0.41 | 1.05 | 0.59 | 0.12 |
| | Shandong | 8.79 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.31 | 4.51 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.46 | 0.26 | 0.05 |
| | Total | 30.7 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 1.1 | 15.8 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 0.9 | 0.2 |

Table 5. Average contribution proportion of crop field burning to PM_{2.5} concentration.

| Region | 6-Oct. | 7-Oct. | 8-Oct. | 9-Oct. | 10-Oct. | 11-Oct. |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|
| NNCP | 5% | 32% | 10% | 3% | 2% | 4% |
| SNCP | 34% | 17% | 6% | 3% | 1% | 1% |

Table 6. The regional average contribution of CFB in mass concentration and percentage, and the lag-time of NNCP to SNCP for the four time-points of T1 (23:00 6^{th}), T2 (05:00 7th), T3 (20:00 7th) and T4 (19:00 8th).

814

| Time | Mass (µ | ug/m ³) | Perce | ntage | Lag-time | | | |
|------|---------|---------------------|-------|-------|----------|--|--|--|
| Time | NNCP | SNCP | NNCP | SNCP | (hours) | | | |
| T1 | 8.1 | 72.6 | 21% | 71% | 7 | | | |
| T2 | 36.7 | 36.5 | 73% | 27% | 8 | | | |
| Т3 | 50.4 | 20.2 | 58% | 13% | 11 | | | |
| T4 | 13.4 | 10.3 | 6% | 5% | 12 | | | |





- 822 Figure 2





Figure 3





Figure 4





Figure 5





838 Figure 6













Figure 11