



1 **Tropospheric ozone maxima observed over the Arabian**
2 **Sea during the pre-monsoon**

3

4 **Jia Jia¹, Annette Ladstätter-Weissenmayer¹, Xuewei Hou², Alexei Rozanov¹ and**
5 **John P. Burrows¹**

6 ¹Institute of Environmental Physics, Bremen, Germany

7 ²Nanjing University of Information Science and Technology, Nanjing, China

8 *Correspondence to:* Jia Jia (jia@iup.physik.uni-bremen.de)

9

10 **Abstract.** An enhancement of the tropospheric ozone column (TOC) over Arabian Sea (AS) during the pre-
11 monsoon season is reported in this study. The potential sources of the AS spring ozone pool are investigated by
12 use of multiple data sets (e.g., SCIAMACHY Limb-Nadir-Matching TOC, OMI/MLS TOC, TES TOC, MACC
13 reanalysis data, MOZART-4 model and HYSPLIT model). 3/4 of the enhanced ozone concentrations are attrib-
14 uted to the 0-8 km height range. The main source of the ozone enhancement is considered to be caused by long
15 range transport of ozone pollutants from India (~ 50% contributions to the lowest 4 km, ~ 20% contributions to
16 the 4-8 km height range), the Middle East, Africa and Europe (~30% in total). In addition, the vertical pollution
17 accumulation in the lower troposphere, especially at 4-8 km, was found to be important for the AS spring ozone
18 pool formation. Local photochemistry, on the other hand, plays a negligible role in producing ozone at the 4-8
19 km height range. In the 0-4 km height range, ozone is quickly removed by wet-deposition. The AS spring TOC
20 maxima are influenced by the dynamical variations caused by the sea surface temperature (SST) anomaly during
21 the El Niño period in 2005 and 2010 with a ~5 DU decrease.

22

23

24

25

26



1 1 Introduction

2 Tropospheric ozone is one of the most important green-house gases and one of the most important components
3 of photochemical smog. Most tropospheric ozone is produced in situ by photochemical reactions of its precur-
4 sors (NO_x ($\text{NO} + \text{NO}_2$), CO , CH_4 and VOCs) in the presence of sunlight, while some tropospheric ozone natu-
5 rally originates in the stratosphere. High surface ozone values are detrimental to human health by causing respi-
6 ratory illnesses, and can also lead to losses in agricultural crops (see Van Dingenen et al., 2009; Mills et al.,
7 2016 and references therein).

8 In this study, we investigated the global pattern of tropospheric ozone by averaging 7 years (2005-2011) of Tro-
9 pospheric Ozone Column (TOC) data products from different satellite instrumentation: SCIAMACHY Limb-
10 Nadir-Matching TOC (Ebojie et al., 2014; Jia, 2016) and the OMI/MLS TOC (Ziemke et al., 2006). A tropo-
11 spheric ozone maximum is observed over the Arabian Sea (AS). This enhancement of TOC can be observed in
12 yearly mean image as well (Fig. 1). The enhancement of TOC is similar in magnitude as TOC enhancements
13 observed during the follow events: 1) the well-known biomass burning plume in the Southern Hemisphere that
14 was transported over the South Atlantic, the coast of South Africa, along the Indian Ocean and towards Austr-
15 lia (e.g., Fishman et al., 1986, 1991; Pickering et al, 1996; Thompson et al., 1996, 2001; Lelieveld and Dentener,
16 2000; Staudt et al., 2002; Sinha et al., 2004), 2) TOC attributed to anthropogenic sources in the Northern Hemi-
17 sphere, and 3) the Mediterranean summer ozone pool attributed to the stratospheric-tropospheric exchange (STE)
18 (Zanis et al., 2014). A spring (or so called pre-monsoon, see Sect. 2.1) TOC maximum of ~ 42 DU on monthly
19 average was identified from our study of the seasonality of the TOC.


20 Spring maxima in TOC are not unique over the AS but rather a well-known large scale phenomenon in the
21 Northern Hemisphere. Nevertheless, the origin and mechanisms explaining this phenomenon is still a matter for
22 debate (e.g. Monks, 2000, 2015 and references therein). The increase of tropospheric pollutants, presumably
23 increase of longer lived VOCs which are ozone precursors, during winter, may play an important role by influ-
24 encing the two major contributors to tropospheric ozone concentrations: the STE intrusions and the photochemi-
25 cal production process (Holton et al., 1995; Penkett et al., 1998; Monks, 2000). In a remote region like AS, an
26 intuitive hypothesis is that long range transport (LRT) of ozone from more polluted regions or from STE may be
27 the drivers. This is because of the longer ozone lifetime in spring and the weak local production over remote
28 areas (Wang et al., 1998).

29 In previous studies using the ozonesonde measurements above the west coast of India and the data from two
30 campaigns (the 1998 and 1999 INDOEX – INDIan Ocean EXperiment campaigns and the ICARB – Integrated
31 Campaign for Aerosols, Gases and Radiation Budget campaign which was conducted during March-May 2006),
32 the higher AS TOC during pre-monsoon season was confirmed to be significantly influenced by LRT of the
33 continental anthropogenically influenced outflows from the Middle East, Western India, Africa, North America
34 and Europe (Lal and Lawrence, 2001; Chand et al, 2003; Srivastava et al., 2011, 2012; Lal et al., 2013, 2014). In
35 addition, by comparing the INDOEX ozone measurements from both sides (northern and southern) of the ITCZ
36 (InterTropical Convergence Zone), the influence of the ITCZ functioning as a sink for ozone was determined by
37 the observed 4 times higher TOC values on the northern side of AS compared to the southern side (Chand et al.,
38 2003). The seasonal variation of tropospheric ozone at Ahmadabad (23.03°N , 72.54°E) was reported to have an



1 averaged maximum of ~ 44 DU in April during the years 2003–2007 (Lal et al., 2014). The possibility of the
2 STE influencing the ozone mixing ratio up to ~ 10 km altitude was also discussed. However, the mechanisms
3 explaining this phenomenon need to be better understood.

4



5
6

7 Figure 1. Yearly average for TOC retrieved from (left) SCIAMACHY LNM and (right) OMI/MLS in 2008.

8

9 Here, the TOC enhancement over the AS is investigated and interpreted by using TOC data products from sev-
10 eral satellite remote sensors (i.e. SCIAMACHY Limb-Nadir Matching, OMI/MLS and TES), MACC (Monitor-
11 ing Atmospheric Composition and Climate) reanalysis data (Inness et al., 2013) and simulations from the global
12 tropospheric chemical transport model (CTM) MOZART-4 model (Model for Ozone and Related Tracers)
13 (Emmons et al., 2010). This study focuses on the analysis of the regional contribution to LRT, the influence of
14 the meteorological conditions, the local chemistry and STE, and the inter-annual variability of the spring ozone
15 maxima, thus to better understand the climate interact with the distribution of tropospheric ozone through tem-
16 perature, humidity and dynamics. In Sect. 2, the data sets used in this study are briefly discussed. In Sect. 3, the
17 regional distribution and the time series of tropospheric ozone and its precursors are investigated. Meteorologi-
18 cal and photochemical sources of ozone plumes due to LRT, local chemistry and STE are discussed in Sect. 4.
19 The role of accumulation of pollutants is also highlighted in this section. In Sect. 5 the impact of El Niño on the
20 inter-annual variability is identified. Finally, conclusions are given in Sect. 6.

21

22 2 Data sets used in this study

23 The SCanning Imaging Absorption spectroMeter for Atmospheric CHartography (SCIAMACHY) was a pas-
24 sive spectrometer designed to measure radiances in eight spectral channels, covering a wide range from 214 nm
25 to 2384 nm with a moderate spectral resolution of 0.21 nm to 1.56 nm (Burrows et al., 1995; Bovensmann et al.,
26 1999). SCIAMACHY performed observations in three viewing modes: nadir, limb and solar/lunar occultation.
27 The SCIAMACHY Limb-Nadir-Matching TOC is retrieved based on the tropospheric ozone residual (TOR)
28 method, which subtracting the stratospheric ozone columns retrieved from the limb measurements, from the
29 collocated total ozone columns acquired from nadir measurements, by using the tropopause height data (Ebojie



1 et al., 2014). The results showed in this study are from the V1.2 SCIAMACHY Limb-Nadir-Matching TOC data
2 set. This data set is recently developed in the Institute of Environmental Physics (IUP) in the University of Bre-
3 men (Details can be found in Jia, 2016). The data set is not complete in a full SCIAMACHY performing time
4 period (2002-2012), thus is not showed in the time series image.

5 The UV-Vis nadir viewing spectrometer Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI), the thermal-emission Microwave
6 Limb Sounder (MLS) and the infrared Fourier transform spectrometer Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer
7 (TES) are three of the main instruments onboard the EOS Aura satellite (Levelt et al., 2006; Waters et al., 2006;
8 Beer, 2006). The OMI/MLS TOC data set is retrieved based on TOR method using data sets from OMI and
9 MLS. The adjustment for inter-calibration differences of OMI and MLS instruments is performed by using the
10 CCD method (Ziemke et al., 2006; 2011). Both OMI-CCD and MLS measurements of the stratospheric ozone
11 are averaged for the comparison over the Pacific (120°W-120°E) (Ziemke et al., 2006). The MLS data is ad-
12 justed according to the observed differences, and then interpolated in two steps along-track and along longitude.
13 In the end, OMI/MLS is able to provide daily-based global TOCs.

14 TES ozone is retrieved from the 9.6 μm ozone absorption band using the 995 – 1070 cm⁻¹ spectral range. In
15 cloud-free conditions, the nadir vertical profiles have around four degrees of freedom (DOF) for signal, ap-
16 proximately two of which are in the troposphere, giving an estimated vertical resolution of about 6 km with a
17 footprint of 5.3 km × 8.5 km, covering an altitude range of 0-33 km (see Beer et al., 2001; Nassar et al., 2008
18 and the references therein).

19 MACC is a research project for the European GMES (Global Monitoring for Environment and Security) initia-
20 tive (Inness et al., 2013). MACC combines a wealth of atmospheric composition data with a state-of-the-art nu-
21 merical model and data assimilation system to produce a reanalysis of the atmospheric composition. MACC
22 reanalysis data of ozone, CO and specific humidity used in this study are available in 6-h time intervals (00, 06,
23 12 and 18 UTC) and were provided in monthly files with the unit of kg/kg under the website
24 <http://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/data/macc-reanalysis/levtype=ml/>. The horizontal resolution of the model is 1.125°
25 × 1.125°. Variables were provided as 3D fields in pressure hybrid vertical coordinates. The vertical coordinate
26 system is given by 60 hybrid sigma-pressure levels, with a model top at 0.1 hPa.

27 MOZART-4 is a global tropospheric CTM. It was run with the standard chemical mechanism (see Emmons et
28 al., 2010 for details) in this study. MOZART-4 was driven by the NCEP/ National Center for Atmospheric Re-
29 search (NCAR) reanalysis meteorological parameters, having a horizontal resolution of approximately 2.8° ×
30 2.8°, with 28 vertical levels from the surface to approximately 2 hPa. The chemical initial condition in 2000 and
31 emissions from 1997 to 2007 used in MOZART-4 were from the NCAR Community Data Portal
32 (<http://cdp.ucar.edu/>), which was introduced by Emmons et al. (2010). The model was run with a time step of 20
33 min from 1 January 1996 to 31 December 2007, and the first year was discarded as spin-up (Hou et al., 2014;
34 Zhu et al., 2015). The tagged tracer method was used to isolate the contributions from individual source regions.
35 This method was introduced by Sudo and Akimoto (2007). It treats a chemical species emitted or chemically
36 produced in a certain region as a separate tracer and calculates its transport, chemical transformation and surface
37 deposition. The results used in this study are simulated by Hou et al. (2014).



1 HYbrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) is a system for the computation of simple
2 air parcel trajectories from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). In order to investi-
3 gate the forward and backward trajectory of the air mass, the web-based version of the HYSPLIT model (Stein
4 et al., 2015) is used for this study: <http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/hypub-bin/trajtype.pl?runtype=archive>.

5

6 **3 Observation of a pre-monsoon enhancement in TOC data products**

7 Satellite retrieved TOCs have a better spatial and temporal coverage compared to ozonesonde measurements.
8 However in situ measurements of ozone from ozonesondes are considered more accurate. Combining the two
9 types of measurements provides an opportunity to investigate data-sparse regions such as the AS. In comparison
10 with the studies using ozonesonde and ship measurements, the analysis of the satellite observations of TOCs
11 regarding AS region is still a gap in the current state. In this section, the satellite observations and the model
12 results are presented.

13 Figure 2 shows the regional distribution of the TOC and two of its photochemical precursors: NO₂ and CO. Sea-
14 sonal cycles of TOC, CO and NO₂ over the AS are shown in Fig. 3. A seasonal pattern of TOC is observed in
15 both OMI/MLS and TES. An offset of ~5 DU exists between the two investigated TOC data products. A maxi-
16 mum of TOC over AS is observed (~42/47 DU) in every April during the years 2005–2012, followed by mon-
17 soon/summer minima of ~20 DU. TOC recovers to ~35 DU in the post monsoon autumn but drops down
18 slightly during the winter monsoon. This seasonal pattern is consistent with the results from the sonde station
19 Ahmedabad (Fig. 6 in Lal et al., 2014) and depends on the meteorological conditions (Sect. 3.1). The ozone pre-
20 cursors, CO and NO₂, show a different behaviour than ozone. As NO₂ has a short lifetime (2-8 hours, Beirle et
21 al., 2011), the tropospheric NO₂ data products, retrieved from observations of SCIAMACHY or other related
22 instrumentation in space, show high values over anthropogenic sources and relatively low values, often below
23 the detection limit, over the remote regions. Over the AS, tropospheric NO₂ columns are small being around
24 10¹⁴ molec/cm². This small concentration originates from ship emissions and continental outflow (Richter et al.,
25 2004). Higher values can be observed during the winter monsoon from transport off the Asian coast. CO has a
26 longer life time than ozone (~2 months in average for CO and ~23 days for tropospheric ozone, Novelli et al.,
27 1998; Young et al., 2013). Due to the relatively long lifetime of CO and ozone, both trace gases show a similar
28 transport pattern. For instance, the biomass burning plume originating from Southern Africa in boreal autumn in
29 the Southern Hemisphere can be observed as well for CO as for ozone. However, in comparison to ozone, which
30 is produced due to the photochemical production, the spatial pattern of CO is known to be more driven by emis-
31 sions than dynamical processes (Logan et al., 2008). Thus, the time series of the data products for tropospheric
32 CO reveal a similar winter maximum as NO₂, and it also shows a smaller peak in spring time as TOC. The
33 spring peaks of CO are observed one month earlier than that those of TOC. This shift can be caused by the com-
34 bustion emission of CO in Southern Asia (Fig. 6 in Duncan et al., 2003).


35

36

37



1




2

3 Figure 2. Plots of the TOC, NO₂ (Hilboll et al., 2013) and CO (x10¹⁸, George et al., 2009) as a function of season
4 in 2008. The unit for TOC are DU and that for NO₂ and CO in molec/cm². From top to bottom are DJF (De-
5 cember to February), MAM (March to May), JJA (June to August) and SON (September to November).

6

7 In this manuscript, MACC reanalysis data is used to provide vertical information of ozone. This choice is moti-
8 vated by the fact that OMI and MLS satellite ozone data were actively assimilated in the MACC reanalysis and
9 constrain tropospheric ozone (Inness et al., 2013). Figure 4 shows MACC results of ozone partial columns be-
10 tween 0 km and the TPH, determined from ECMWF retrieval (Ebojie et al., 2014), and between 0-8 km, respec-
11 tively. In a year with no ENSO (El Nino-Southern Oscillation) event in spring, for instance in 2006 (upper panels
12 of Fig. 4), the enhanced ozone during pre-monsoon is ~30 DU out of ~40 DU (~3/4) originating from the
13 lower troposphere (0-8 km). Because of this result, possible origins will be discussed in the following section
14 (Sect. 3) by analysing 4 various altitude ranges: 0-4 km, 4-8 km, 8-12 km and 12-18 km.

15



1

2

3 Figure 3. Trace gas time series over AS (10-20°N, 60-70°E) from 2004 to 2012. The blue (solid and dotted)
4 curves represents OMI/MLS ozone, red is TES ozone, magenta is IASI CO and black stands for SCIAMACHY
5 NO₂. The vertical columns are given in DU for ozone and molec/cm² for NO₂ and CO. The region used for this
6 time series calculation is marked with red rectangle in Fig. 2. The time series of TOC from SCIAMACHY,
7 MACC, OMI.MLS and TES in the year 2008 is presented in appendix Fig. A3.

8

9 4 Potential origins of the AS pre-monsoon ozone pool


10 4.1 Influences of meteorology

11 The AS region is defined in this study as 10-20°N, 60-70°E on the west side of the sub-continental India. This
12 location is influenced by the tropical/subtropical air mass exchanges and the sea breeze circulation (e.g., Law-
13 rence and Lelieveld, 2010). The climate of AS can be divided into 4 different seasons, due to the seasonal varia-
14 tion of the ITCZ: winter-spring monsoon (Dec-Feb), pre-monsoon transition (Mar-May), summer monsoon
15 (Jun-Aug), and post-monsoon transition (Sep-Nov). In summer, the ITCZ is at its northernmost position. The
16 wind appears to be westerly and strong due to the Somali jet (Fig. 5). This condition causes strong precipitation,
17 higher cloud cover frequency and increased air humidity over AS (David and Nair, 2013). The wind and strong
18 precipitation 'wash' the air masses and remove soluble pollutants. A summer monsoon minimum for the trace
19 gases such as shown in Fig. 3 may be expected. The destruction of ozone by reactive halogens is another poten-
20 tial sink for the ozone in the marine boundary layer (Dickerson et al., 1999; Ali et al., 2009). During the pre-
21 monsoon transition, surface winds are westerly at the northern AS with an anticyclonic pattern centred over the
22 middle AS. At this time, the AS is most of the time cloud-free and dynamically steady. This cloud-free anti-
23 cyclonic condition possibly causes subsidence of air masses and results in accumulation of pollutants (Sect. 3.2).

7



1
2



3


4 Figure 4. Ozone partial columns (TOC in the left panels and 0-8 km column of tropospheric ozone in the right
5 panels) from MACC reanalysis model in April 2006 (upper panels) and 2010 (lower panels).

6

7 The ITCZ located at the southern part of the AS can become the 'border' that stops the pollutants (in this case,
8 tropospheric ozone) diffusing to the Indian ocean with ozone depletion on the surface of cloud droplets in the
9 convective region (Lelieveld and Crutzen, 1990).

10 It is worth mentioning that the solar radiation over the AS, unlike in the middle/high latitudes where it is strong-
11 est in summer, reaches its maximum during pre-monsoon (Weller et al., 1998; David and Nair, 2013). One
12 could argue that a maximum solar radiation can cause stronger photochemical reactions and thus an increased
13 ozone concentration. To answer this question, the contribution of the photochemical production will be investi-
14 gated in Sect. 3.3.

15



3 Figure 5. 10 meter sea surface wind on January (upper left), April (upper right), July (lower left) and October
4 (lower right) over AS at 2008 from NCEP (National Center Environmental Prediction). Figure provided by
5 Anne Blechschmidt from the University of Bremen.


6

7 4.2 Long range transport mechanism and pollutant accumulation

8 It is established in the previous studies that LRT plays an important role in the AS pre-monsoon ozone pool (Lal
9 and Lawrence, 2001; Chand et al, 2003; Srivastava et al., 2011, 2012; Lal et al., 2013, 2014). For example, the
10 satellite data products for CO and TOC are highly correlated (Fig. 3). Trajectory models are used to investigate
11 the LRT pathways of the air parcels. Figures 6 and 7 show an example of the HYSPLIT backward and forward
12 trajectory results for air masses over AS at 2, 4, 7 and 15 km in April 2008. In the lower troposphere (0-8 km),
13 the sources are identified as the Middle East, India and North Africa, which are consistent with the previous
14 studies. The higher tropospheric ozone (12-18 km) is found in air which was uplifted and transported from the
15 North Indian Ocean and Southeast Asia. The air masses in the lower troposphere subside 4-5 km locally within a
16 high pressure system within 10 days. This confirms the conclusion on accumulation of pollutant which was de-
17 rived from the wind field information in Sect. 3.1 (see Fig. 5). This theory was also proved by Srivastava et al.
18 (2011) from the TPSCF (Total Potential Source Contribution Function) results. One explanation for the larger
19 TOC over the AS in comparison to surrounded regions is the lower humidity which provides less favorable con-



NOAA HYSPLIT MODEL
Backward trajectories ending at 1000 UTC 20 Apr 08
GDAS Meteorological Data



1

2 Figure 6. HYSPLIT trajectory 120 hr backward model results for air masses at AS with source location at 2, 4, 7
3 and 15 km.


4

5 dition for ozone depletion by Hydroxyl radicals (OH) (Fig. 12). This is further discussed in Sect. 3.3. In addition
6 to the sources, here we also investigate the areas that are influenced by the AS ozone pool (Fig. 7). The ozone-
7 rich air over the AS is transported back to India (lower left panel). HYSPLIT also simulates transport to the Red
8 Sea through the Gulf of Aden in the lower troposphere (upper panels), which is expected because of the moun-
9 tains aside acting as a barrier for pollution transport. The elevated tropospheric air masses are also transported
10 towards the Pacific Ocean via China (lower right panel).

11 To quantify contributions to LRT from different source locations, the tagged tracer simulation with MOZART-4



NOAA HYSPLIT MODEL
Forward trajectories starting at 1000 UTC 20 Apr 08
GDAS Meteorological Data




1

2 Figure 7. HYSPLIT trajectory 240 hr forward model results for air masses at AS with source location at 2, 4, 7
3 and 15 km.

4

5 CTM (Sudo and Akimoto, 2007; Hou et al., 2014) during 1997-2007 was used. Figure 8 shows the seven tagged
6 regions. Europe, Africa and the Middle East are combined into one hot spot as the closer western region (named
7 'Euro'). India, Bay of Bengal and AS are presented together as the closer eastern region (named 'India'). Note
8 that when evaluating the contribution from this region, the influence from pollutant accumulation over the AS
9 should always be considered. The Indian Ocean is included in the 'Rest' region. 'NA' and 'SA' represent North
10 America and South America respectively. The regions are divided due to the time consumption of the model
11 calculation. For further studies, another arrangement can be considered.

12



1

2 Figure 8. Regional separation for tracer tagging with distributions of the spring mean emission rate ($\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^2/\text{s}$) of
3 NO (including anthropogenic, biomass burning, and soil emissions) at the surface used in the model simulations
4 during 1997–2007.


5

6 The source region distribution varies for different altitude ranges (Fig. 9). In the 0–4 km layer, ~30% of the
7 transported ozone comes from the 'Euro' region. The 'India' region is the biggest source region that contributes
8 50%, of which 60% comes from the boundary layer. In the 4–8 km layer, the influences of the boundary layers
9 are much smaller, while 'Euro_FT' contributes ~10% more than to the 0–4 km layer. The far-away source re-
10 gions ('NA', 'SA' and 'IndoA') become non-negligible (~10% each). The contribution from 'IndoA' increases
11 with height. Since the Indian Ocean is included, an increased contribution from 'Rest' with altitude is expected.
12 In conclusion, the main contributor to LRT is 'Euro_FT' with 30% contribution in average, followed by the 'In-
13 dia' region with over 20% contribution. The inputs from far-away source regions are similar, with ~10% each.
14 The influence from East Asia is negligible. Note that the air masses in the higher altitudes are normally quickly
15 removed by the strong advection (Fig. 10). The contributors in the lower altitudes (<12 km) have more influ-
16 ences on the ozone accumulation.

17



1




2

3 Figure 9. Averaged LRT contributions to the AS tropospheric ozone concentration from different source regions
 4 to 4 atmospheric layers over the AS in April 1997-2007. PBL (planetary boundary layer) is defined as the region
 5 from surface to the top of the boundary layer. FT (free troposphere) is defined as extending to the tropopause
 6 above the BL.

7 4.3 Local chemistry

8 This section addresses two questions: (1), What is the role of the photochemistry for TOC above AS? (2), Is
 9 more ozone been photochemically produced during the long accumulation time in the middle (4-8 km) or lower
 10 (0-4 km) troposphere?

11 The ozone budget is calculated in the MOZART-4 model (Fig. 6.11) within the 1997-2007 time periods. Photo-
 12 chemistry plays a very different role in the four altitude ranges. In the 0-4 km layer, water vapour acts as a
 13 source of OH radicals and depletes ozone. Compared to the photochemical production, this depletion process
 14 dominates (Nair et al., 2011). Thus a net outflow of ozone in chemistry was observed. In the higher layers (8-12
 15 km, 12-18 km), photochemical production becomes a major source of ozone, while advection being the major




1

2 Figure 10. Averaged ozone budget in pre-monsoon from MOZART-4 at four layers over AS region. The ozone
 3 partial column volumes (ppbv) calculated from MOZART-4 is presented as black dots.

4

5 sink. Zahn et al. (2002) estimated that the annual net ozone production rates over AS are 17.6×10^{10} molecules
 6 $\text{cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$, by using the CARIBIC (Civil Aircraft for the Regular Investigation of the atmosphere Based on an
 7 Instrumented Container) aircraft data from 10-11 km altitude. However, Livesey et al. (2013) showed in MLS
 8 data that such maximum of ozone amount around 215 hPa (~ 11 km) in pre-monsoon season is most likely a
 9 zonal pattern. In the 4-8 km layer, the budget is rather small with a net inflow by advection. The net chemistry is
 10 less than -0.1 ppbv per day, indicating a negligible sink.

11 The O₃-CO correlation has been broadly used to indicate tropospheric O₃ sources (Fishman and Seiler, 1983;
 12 Kim et al., 2013; Inness et al., 2015). A positive O₃-CO correlation denotes considerable chemical production of
 13 O₃. A negative correlation, on the other hand, can originate from chemical O₃ loss or deposition, or can suggest
 14 that the air mass is either transported from the stratosphere, or moved by advection from the free troposphere.
 15 Figure 11 shows the O₃-CO correlation at 4-8 km in April using MACC data. The left panel is a typical correlation
 16 result with strong negative correlations over AS (See also appendix Fig. A1). This correlation suggests that






1

2 Figure 11. O_3 -CO correlations calculated for 4-8 km column abundances with 3 hr temporal interval in April
3 2008 (left panel) and 2006 (right panel) from MACC reanalysis data.


4

5 a chemical production of ozone is most likely not the cause for the observed ozone enhancement. Some ozone
6 production is expected (e.g., year 2006 in the right panel), but this kind of situation is rare.

7 The averaged specific humidity in-between 4-8 km was used to investigate evidence for the impact of HO_x re-
8 moval on ozone in clean air conditions (Fig. 12):



12 Unlike the humid lowest troposphere, the air masses over the AS at 4-8 km are rather dry compared to the sur-
13 roundings. This can be explained by adiabatic lifting and expansion of marine boundary air followed by conden-
14 sation and removal for H_2O . The lifting is stronger over land than over ocean due to the temperature differences.
15 This is also one of the reasons that Southeast Asia has strongest convection. The dry air at 4-8 km can lead to a
16 smaller depletion contribution from OH radicals, thus it is more suitable for ozone accumulation. Hence, the AS
17 ozone columns in the 4-8 km altitude region are expected to be higher than its surroundings.




1

2 Figure 12. Specific humidity (kg/kg) at 4-8 km in April 2006 from MACC reanalysis dataset.

3 4.4 Stratosphere-troposphere exchange

4 The STE is not the focus of this study, thus is only briefly mentioned here. The ozone concentrations in the ex-
5 tra-tropical lower stratosphere show a maximum in late winter/early spring as driven by the Brewer-Dobson
6 circulation (e.g., Fortuin and Kelder, 1998; IPCC/TEAP, 2005). Fadnavis et al (2010) indicated ozone strato-
7 spheric intrusion during winter and pre-monsoon season over the Indian region (5-40°N, 65-100°E) by using
8 both satellite and model data. One stratospheric intrusion was observed over AS during the ICARB campaign
9 (Lal et al., 2013) in 5 May 2006 (Fig. 13). However, it is not clear yet how much and how deep the influence
10 can be. In our study, the STE contribution simulated by MOZART-4 tagged tracer method is comparable with
11 the ones transported from 'Euro_FT' in each altitude range. The STE origin might be a reason for the strong
12 negative O₃-CO correlation since the chemical loss and deposition are excluded (Sect. 3.3).

13



14

15 Figure 13. Potential Vorticity from ECMWF.

16




1 5 ENSO and Interannual variation

2 Two spring anomalies are depicted in 2005 and 2010 where ozone is ~5 DU lower compared to other years (up-
3 per panel of Fig. 3). The decrease in 2010 is most likely to be the anomaly of the lower troposphere ozone as
4 observed in Fig. 4. The two following facts suggest the anomaly to be dynamical: (1), the ozone reduced in a
5 similar amount at continental surroundings; (2), similar to ozone, a lower CO maximum appeared in 2010
6 (lower panel of Fig. 3).

7 The El Niño events, as driven by a reversal of the Walker Circulation, affect the temperature, humidity and bio-
8 mass burning emissions, thus influence the trace gases including ozone. Particularly, the tropospheric ozone
9 anomaly related to the El Niño event during the year 1997-1998 was intensively studied (e.g. Chandra et al.,
10 1998; Sudo and Takahashi, 2001). The tropospheric ozone increased (up to 25 DU in the burning season) over
11 the equatorial western Pacific due to a reduced convection and growing burning emissions, whereas decreased
12 (4-8 DU) over the eastern Pacific because of the change in meteorological conditions. By using a model simula-
13 tion, Zeng and Pyle (2005) reported that the tropospheric ozone concentration at specifically the equatorial re-
14 gion 40-70 °E decreases with similar amount as over the eastern Pacific during El Niño events. Ziemke et al.
15 (2010) showed that the ENSO related response of tropospheric ozone over the western and eastern Pacific
16 dominated interannual variability. An Ozone ENSO Index (OEI) was formed to represent the ENSO impact. The
17 OEI was calculated by subtracting the eastern and central tropical Pacific region tropospheric ozone (15 °S-15 °N,
18 110-180 °W) from the western tropical Pacific-Indian Ocean region (15 °S-15 °N, 70-140 °E) with the fact that the
19 zonal variability of tropic stratospheric ozone is only ~1 DU.

20 Figure 14 shows the OEI index that is produced from OMI/MLS data for the related time period (dark red curve).
21 A 'correction' of OMI/MLS TOCs over the AS by adding the OEI index is performed. The ozone spring maxima
22 anomalies at pre-monsoon season in 2005 and 2010 (blue curve) can no more be seen after the 'correction'. This
23 indicates that the El Niño induced dynamics might contribute to the interannual variability over pre-monsoon
24 AS ozone. Since OEI contains both chemical (fire) and dynamical influences in the burning season, ozone peaks
25 (in the red curve) can be observed in the winter of 2006 and 2009 when strong fires happened in Indonesia.

26



27

28 Figure 14. Time series of tropospheric ozone columns 'corrected' with OEI over AS (10-20 °N, 60-70 °E) from
29 2004 to 2012. The blue curve represents OMI/MLS TOCs, dark red is OEI calculated from OMI/MLS and red
30 stands for OMI/MLS TOCs with OEI 'correction'.



1

2 The dynamical influence of El Niño can be found in two aspects. El Niño can induce an increase of Sea Surface
3 Temperature (SST) thus strengthens the water vapor upwelling to the middle troposphere, and then reduces the
4 life time of ozone. It also possibly triggers changes in STE flux as mentioned by e.g. Neu et al. (2014). Moister
5 air masses are observed from MACC reanalysis data in April 2010 (appendix Fig. A2). This confirms the as-
6 sumption of the SST influence over AS. A STE flux variation can be caused by both El Niño and La Niña
7 events. In this case La Niña events (in 2011) didn't contribute as much as El Niño events (in 2005 and 2010).
8 The impact of El Niño is mainly expressed by the SST anomaly instead of the STE anomaly.

9

10 6 Conclusions

11 The 7 years composite averaged values for TOC presented over AS exhibit a seasonal pattern and have values
12 similar to those in the Southern hemispheric biomass burning plume. A disciplined tropospheric ozone seasonal-
13 ity with a ~42 DU maximum at the pre-monsoon season was shown in the satellite based OMI/MLS and TES
14 observations as well as in the MACC reanalysis model. The seasonal feature is found to be strongly related to
15 the meteorological conditions.

16 Previous studies illustrated the importance of LRT to the pre-monsoon ozone enhancement and confirmed the
17 source locations to be the Middle East, West India, Africa, North America and Europe. Here various regional
18 contributions to the AS pre-monsoon ozone through LRT were analysed by dividing the global range into 7 re-
19 gions using the MOZART-4 tagging tracer simulation method. In the lowest 4 km, the sources from India con-
20 tributed ~50% of the transported AS ozone amount. The free troposphere of the Middle East, Africa and Europe
21 (so called 'Euro_FT') started to play a major role from 4 km altitude and higher. The contribution is on average
22 30%. The Indian region is still the second important source region at 4-8 km with ~20%. Its contribution is
23 slowly replaced by the further-away source regions at higher altitude range. It is worth mentioning that South
24 America plays a more important role compared to North America, yet there is no explanation for this result so
25 far.

26 In addition, the vertical pollutant accumulation in the lower troposphere, especially at 4-8 km, is important to
27 the AS spring ozone pool. The suitable meteorological conditions were discovered from wind field data from
28 NECP and specific humidity data from MACC. First, the cloud-free anticyclonic condition that is observed from
29 the wind field data can cause air to be transported upside down. This point is supported by the forward model
30 results of HYSPLIT showing that at ~7/8 km or lower, the air circles down over the AS region for around 10
31 days without diffusion. Second, at 4-8 km the air over AS is much dryer than the surroundings. This is most
32 probably due to relatively lower temperature over the sea which caused that the moisture cannot be lifted up as
33 high as over land. The dry conditions induce the accumulation of ozone with a longer life time thus cause the
34 AS ozone to be outstanding from the sub continental regions.

35 The averaged spring ozone budget was calculated using MOZART-4 to improve our understanding of the addi-
36 tional local chemical activity. Ozone is photochemically produced at high altitudes (8-18 km) and is removed by
37 advection. In the lowest 4 km ozone is depleted by OH radicals. Positive ozone budgets from advection and
38 convection can be observed, which supported the LRT and accumulation mechanisms. At 4-8 km, despite the



1 weak ozone destruction from OH radicals, the net chemical budget is negligible. This suggests a low photo-
2 chemical production, which is also supported by the negative O₃-CO correlation. According to the simulation
3 results and the O₃-CO correlation, a net contribution from STE can also influence the local ozone amount.

4 The two spring ozone interannual anomalies are believed to be influenced by the dynamical variations (SST
5 anomaly) during the El Niño events. The climate interacts with the distribution of tropospheric ozone through
6 temperature, humidity and dynamics.

7


8 **Acknowledgements**

9 We would like to thank the SCIAMACHY LNM NO₂, OMI/MLS, TES tropospheric ozone, IASI CO and teams
10 for providing the data. We acknowledge the two working staffs on models MACC reanalysis and MOZART-4.
11 Jia Jia acknowledges funding by CSC (China Scholarship Council) and scientific support from ESSReS (Earth
12 System Science Research School). We also acknowledge financial support provided by the University and State
13 of Bremen. We would like to thank Anne-Marlene Blechschmidt for helping preparing the wind field and
14 MACC data. Our gratitude goes to Prof. Christian von Savigny for giving comments during the preparation of
15 the manuscript.

16




1 Appendix



2


3 Figure A1. O₃ and CO partial column (4-8 km) time series at 21°N, 60°E over AS at April 2008 from MACC
4 reanalysis data. The plot is a 'point' example of Fig. 10.

5



6

7 Figure A2. Same as Fig. 12 but for the year 2010.



8

9 Figure A3. TOCs results over AS from SCIAMACHY Limb-Nadir-Matching, OMI/MLS, TES, and MACC
10 reanalysis data sets in 2008.



1 References

- 2 Ali, K., Beig, G., Chate, D. M., Momin, G. A., Sahu, S. K., and Safai, P. D.: Sink mechanism for significantly
3 low level of ozone over the Arabian Sea during monsoon, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 114, D17306,
4 doi:10.1029/2008JD011256, 2009.
- 5 Beer, R.: TES on the Aura mission: Scientific objectives, measurements, and analysis overview. *IEEE T. Geosci.*
6 *Remote*, 44, 1102 – 1105. 20, 60, 2006.
- 7 Beirle, S., Boersma, K. F., Platt, U., Lawrence, M. G., and Wagner, T.: Megacity emissions and lifetimes of
8 nitrogen oxides probed from space, *Science*, 333, 1737–1739, doi:10.1126/science.1207824, 2011.
- 9 Bovensmann, H., Burrows, J. P., Buchwitz, M., Frerick, J., Noth, S., Rozanov, V. V., Chance, K. V., and Goede,
10 A. P. H.: SCIAMACHY: mission objectives and measurement modes. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 56, 127–150, 1999.
- 11 Burrows, J. P., Hödzle, E., Goede, A., Visser, H., and Fricke, W.: SCIAMACHY – scanning imaging absorption
12 spectrometer for atmospheric cartography, *Acta Astronaut.*, 35, 445–451, 1995.
- 13 Chand, D., Lal, S., and Naja, M.: Variations of ozone in the marine boundary layer over the Arabian Sea and the
14 Indian Ocean during the 1998 and 1999 INDOEX campaigns, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4190,
15 doi:10.1029/2001JD001589, 2003.
- 16 Chandra, S., Ziemke, J. R., Min, W., and Read, W. G.: Effects of 1997–1998 El Niño on tropospheric ozone.
17 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 25, 3867–3870, 1998.
- 18 David, L. M. and Nair, P. R.: Tropospheric column O₃ and NO₂ over the Indian region observed by Ozone
19 Monitoring Instrument (OMI): seasonal changes and long-term trends, *Atmos. Environ.*, 65, 25–39,
20 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.09.033, 2013.
- 21 Dickerson, R. R., Rhoads, K. P., Carsey, T. P., Oltmans, S. J., Burrows, J. P., and Crutzen, P. J.: Ozone in the
22 remote marine boundary layer: a possible role for halogens, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 21385–21395, 1999.
- 23 Duncan, B. N., Martin, R. V., Staudt, A. C., Yevich, R., and Logan, J. A.: Interannual and seasonal variability of
24 biomass burning emissions constrained by satellite observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108(D2), 4100,
25 doi:10.1029/2002JD002378, 2003.
- 26 Ebojje, F., von Savigny, C., Ladstätter-Weißmayer, A., Rozanov, A., Weber, M., Eichmann, K.-U., Büchel, S.,
27 Rahpoe, N., Bovensmann, H., and Burrows, J. P.: Tropospheric column amount of ozone retrieved from SCIA-
28 MACHY limb–nadir–matching observations, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 7, 2073–2096, doi:10.5194/amt-7-2073-2014,
29 2014.
- 30 Emmons, L. K., Walters, S., Hess, P. G., Lamarque, J.-F., Pfister, G. G., Fillmore, D., Granier, C., Guenther, A.,
31 Kinnison, D., Laepple, T., Orlando, J., Tie, X., Tyndall, G., Wiedinmyer, C., Baughcum, S. L., and Kloster, S.:
32 Description and evaluation of the Model for Ozone and Related chemical Tracers, version 4 (MOZART-4),
33 *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 3, 43–67, doi:10.5194/gmd-3-43-2010, 2010.
- 34 Fadnavis, S., Chakraborty, T., and Beig, G.: Seasonal stratospheric intrusion of ozone in the upper troposphere
35 over India, *Ann. Geophys.*, 28, 2149–2159, doi:10.5194/angeo-28-2149-2010, 2010.



- 1 Fishman, J. and Seiler, W.: Correlative nature of ozone and carbon monoxide in the troposphere: Implications
2 for the tropospheric ozone budget, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 88, 3662–3670, doi:10.1029/JC088iC06p03662, 1983.
- 3 Fishman, J., P. Minnis, and H. G. Reichle, Jr., The use of satellite data to study tropospheric ozone in the trop-
4 ics, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 91, 14,451–14,465, 1986.
- 5 Fishman, J., Fakhruzzaman, K., Cros, B., and Nganga, D.: Identification of widespread pollution in the
6 Southern Hemisphere deduced from satellite analyses, *Science*, 252, 1693–1696, 1991.
- 7 Fortuin, J. P. F., and Kelder, H.: An ozone climatology based on ozonesonde and satellite measurements, *J.*
8 *Geophys. Res.*, 103(D24), 31,709 – 31,734, 1998.
- 9 George, M., Clerbaux, C., Hurtmans, D., Turquety, S., Coheur, P.-F., Pommier, M., Hadji-Lazaro, J., Edwards,
10 D.P., Worden, H., Luo, M., Rinsland, C., and McMillan, W.: Carbon monoxide distributions from the
11 IASI/METOP mission: evaluation with other space-borne remote sensors, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 8317–8330,
12 2009
- 13 Hilboll, A., Richter, A., and Burrows, J. P.: Long-term changes of tropospheric NO₂ over megacities derived
14 from multiple satellite instruments, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 4145–4169, doi:10.5194/acp-13-4145-2013, 2013.
- 15 Holton, J.R., Haynes, P.H., McIntyre, M.E., Douglass, A.R., Rood, R.B., Pfister, L.: Stratosphere-troposphere
16 exchange, *Reviews of Geophysics* 33, 403–439, 1995.
- 17 Hou, X., Zhu, B., Kang, H., and Gao, J.: Analysis of seasonal ozone budget and spring ozone latitudinal gradient
18 variation in the boundary layer of the Asia-Pacific region, *Atmos. Environ.*, 94, 734–741,
19 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.06.006, 2014.
- 20 Inness, A., Baier, F., Benedetti, A., Bouarar, I., Chabrilat, S., Clark, H., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P., Engelen, R. J.,
21 Errera, Q., Flemming, J., George, M., Granier, C., Hadji-Lazaro, J., Huijnen, V., Hurtmans, D., Jones, L., Kaiser,
22 J. W., Kapsomenakis, J., Lefever, K., Leitão, J., Razinger, M., Richter, A., Schultz, M. G., Simmons, A. J., Sut-
23 tie, M., Stein, O., Thépaut, J.-N., Thouret, V., Vrekoussis, M., Zerefos, C., and the MACC team: The MACC
24 reanalysis: an 8 yr data set of atmospheric composition, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 4073–4109, doi:10.5194/acp-
25 13-4073-2013, 2013.
- 26 Inness, A., Benedetti, A., Flemming, J., Huijnen, V., Kaiser, J. W., Parrington, M., and Remy, S.: The ENSO
27 signal in atmospheric composition fields: emission-driven versus dynamically induced changes, *Atmos. Chem.*
28 *Phys.*, 15, 9083–9097, doi:10.5194/acp-15-9083-2015, 2015.
- 29 IPCC/TEAP, Bert Metz, Lambert Kuijpers, Susan Solomon, Stephen O. Andersen, Ogunlade Davidson, Jos é
30 Pons, David de Jager, Tahl Kestin, Martin Manning, and Leo Meyer (Eds), Cambridge University Press, UK. pp
31 478, 2005.
- 32 Jia, J.: Improvement and interpretation of the tropospheric ozone columns retrieved based on SCIAMACHY
33 Limb-Nadir Matching approach, PhD thesis, <http://nbn-resolving.de/urn:nbn:de:gbv:46-00105374-15>, Univer-
34 sity of Bremen, Bremen, Germany, 2016.



- 1 Kim, P. S., Jacob, D. J., Liu, X., Warner, J. X., Yang, K., Chance, K., Thouret, V., and Nedelec, P.: Global
2 ozone–CO correlations from OMI and AIRS: constraints on tropospheric ozone sources, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*,
3 13, 9321–9335, doi:10.5194/acp-13-9321-2013, 2013.
- 4 Lal, S., and Lawrence, M. G.: Elevated mixing ratios of surface ozone over the Arabian Sea, *Geophys. Res.*
5 *Letts.*, 28(8), 1487–1490, doi:10.1029/2000GL011828, 2001.
- 6 Lal, S., Venkataramani, S., Srivastava, S., Gupta, S., Mallik, C., Naja, M., Sarangi, T., Acharya, Y. B., and Liu,
7 X.: Transport effects on the vertical distribution of tropospheric ozone over the tropical marine regions sur-
8 rounding India, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 118, 1513–1524, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50180, 2013.
- 9 Lal, S., Venkataramani, S., Chandra, N., Cooper, O. R., Brioude, J., and Naja, M.: Transport effects on the ver-
10 tical distribution of tropospheric ozone over western India, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 119, 10012–10026,
11 doi:10.1002/2014JD021854, 2014.
- 12 Lawrence, M. G. and Lelieveld, J.: Atmospheric pollutant outflow from southern Asia: a review, *Atmos. Chem.*
13 *Phys.*, 10, 11017–11096, doi:10.5194/acp-10-11017-2010, 2010.
- 14 Lelieveld, J. and Crutzen, P. J.: Influences of cloud photochemical processes on tropospheric ozone, *Nature*, 343,
15 227–233, 1990.
- 16 Lelieveld, J. and Dentener, F. J.: What controls tropospheric ozone?, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 105, 3531–3551,
17 doi:10.1029/1999jd901011, 2000.
- 18 Levelt, P. F., van den Oord, G. H. J., Dobber, M. R., Mäkki, A., Visser, H., de Vries, J., Stammes, P., Lundell,
19 J., and Saari, H.: The Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI). *IEEE T. Geosci. Remote*, 44(5), 1093–1101. 16, 20,
20 2006.
- 21 Livesey, N. J., Logan, J. A., Santee, M. L., Waters, J. W., Doherty, R. M., Read, W. G., Froidevaux, L., and
22 Jiang, J. H.: Interrelated variations of O₃, CO and deep convection in the tropical/subtropical upper troposphere
23 observed by the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) during 2004–2011, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 579–598,
24 doi:10.5194/acp-13-579-2013, 2013.
- 25 Logan, J., Megretskaia, A., Nassar, I. R., Murray, L. T., Zhang, L., Bowman, K. W., Worden, H. M., and Luo,
26 M.: Effects of the 2006 El Niño on tropospheric composition as revealed by data from the Tropospheric Emis-
27 sion Spectrometer (TES), *Geophys. Res. Letts.*, 35, L03816, doi:10.1029/2007GL031698, 2008.
- 28 Mills, G., Harmens, H., Wagg, S., Sharps, K., Hayes, F., Fowler, D., Sutton, M., and Davies, B.: Ozone impacts
29 on vegetation in a nitrogen enriched and changing climate, *Environmental Pollution*, 208, 898–908, doi:
30 10.1016/j.envpol.2015.09.038, 2016.
- 31 Monks, P. S.: A review of the observations and origins of the spring ozone maximum, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34,
32 3545–3561, 2000.
- 33 Monks, P. S., Archibald, A. T., Colette, A., Cooper, O., Coyle, M., Derwent, R., Fowler, D., Granier, C., Law,
34 K. S., Mills, G. E., Stevenson, D. S., Tarasova, O., Thouret, V., von Schneidmeyer, E., Sommariva, R., Wild,
35 O., and Williams, M. L.: Tropospheric ozone and its precursors from the urban to the global scale from air qual-
36 ity to short-lived climate forcer, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 8889–8973, doi:10.5194/acp-15-8889-2015, 2015.



- 1 Nair, P.R., David, L.M., Girach, I.A., and George, S.K.: Ozone in the marine boundary layer of Bay of Bengal
2 during post-winter period: spatial pattern and role of meteorology, *Atmos. Environ.*, 45, 4671e4681, 2011.
- 3 Nassar, R., Logan, J. A., Worden, H. M., Megretskaia, I. A., Bowman, K. W., Osterman, G. B., Thompson, A.
4 M., Tarasick, D. W., Austin, S., Claude, H., Dubey, M. K., Hocking, W. K., Johnson, B. J., Joseph, E., Merrill,
5 J., Morris, G. A., Newchurch, M., Oltmans, S. J., Posny, F., Schmidlin, F. J., Vömel, H., Whiteman, D. N., and
6 Witte, J. C.: Validation of Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer (TES) nadir ozone profiles using ozonesonde
7 measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D15S17, doi:10.1029/2007JD008819, 2008.
- 8 Neu, J. L., Flury, T., Manney, G. L., Santee, M. L., Livesey, N. J., and Worden, J.: Tropospheric ozone varia-
9 tions governed by changes in stratospheric circulation, *Nat. Geosci.*, 7, 340–344, doi:10.1038/ngeo2138, 2014.
- 10 Novelli, P. C., Masarie, K. A., and Lang, P. M.: Distributions and recent changes of carbon monoxide in the
11 lower troposphere, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 103, 19015–19033, 1998.
- 12 Penkett, S.A., Reeves, C.E., Bandy, B.J., Kent, J.M., Richer, H.R.: Comparison of calculated and measured per-
13 oxide data collected in marine air to investigate prominent features of the annual cycle of ozone in the tro-
14 sphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 13377-13388, 1998.
- 15 Pickering, K.E., Thompson, A.M., Wang, Y., Tao, W., McNamara, D.P., Kirchoff, V.W.J.H., Heikes, B.G.,
16 Sachse, G.W., Bradshaw, J.D., Gregory, G.L., Blake, D.R.: Convective transport of biomass burning emissions
17 over Brazil during TRACE A. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 101, 23993-24012, 1996.
- 18 Richter, A., Eyring, V., Burrows, J. P., Bovensmann, H., Lauer, A., Sierk, B., and Crutzen, P. J.: Satellite meas-
19 urements of NO₂ from international shipping emissions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 31, L23110,
20 doi:10.1029/2004GL020822, 2004.
- 21 Sinha, P., Jaegle, L., Hobbs, P., Liang, Q.: Transport of biomass burning emissions from southern Africa. *Jour-
22 nal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* 109, D20204, 2004.
- 23 Srivastava, S., Lal, S., Venkataramani, S., Gupta, S., and Acharya, Y. B.: Vertical distribution of ozone in the
24 lower troposphere over the Bay of Bengal and the Arabian Sea during ICARB-2006: Effects of continental out-
25 flow, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D13301, doi:10.1029/2010JD015298, 2011.
- 26 Srivastava, S., Lal, S., Naja, M., Venkataramani, S., and Gupta, S.: Influence of regional pollution and long
27 range transport over Western India: analysis of ozonesonde data, *Atmos. Environ.*, 47 (2012), pp. 174–182,
28 2012.
- 29 Staudt, A.C., Jacob, D.J., Logan, J.A., Bachiochi, D., Krishnamurti, T.N., Poisson, N.: Global chemical model
30 analysis of biomass burning and lightning influences over the South Pacific in austral spring, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
31 107, 4200, 2002.
- 32 Sudo, K. and Akimoto, H.: Global source attribution of tropospheric ozone: Long-range transport from various
33 source regions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D12302, doi:10.1029/2006JD007992, 2007.
- 34 Sudo, K., and Takahashi, M.: Simulation of tropospheric ozone changes during 1997–1998 El Niño: Meteorolo-
35 gical impact on tropospheric photochemistry, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28, 4091–4094, 2001.



- 1 Thompson, A.M., Pickering, K.E., McNamara, D.P., Schoeberl, M.R., Hudson, R.D., Kim, J.H., Browell, E.V.,
2 Kirchhoff, V.W.J.H., Nganga, D.: Where did tropospheric ozone over southern Africa and the tropical Atlantic
3 come from in October 1992? Insights from TOMS, GTE TRACE A, and SAFARI 1992 J. Geophys. Res.,
4 (D19), 24,251-24,278, 1996.
- 5 Thompson, A.M., Witte, J.C., Hudson, R.D., Guo, H., Herman, J.R., Fujiwara, M.: Tropical tropospheric ozone
6 and biomass burning, *Science* 291, 2128-2132, 2001.
- 7 Van Dingenen, R., Dentener, F. J., Raes, F., Krol, M. C., Emberson, L., and Cofala, J.: The global impact
8 of ozone on agricultural crop yields under current and future air quality legislation, *Atmos. Envi-*
9 *ron.*, 43, 604–618, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.10.033, 2009.
- 10 Wang, Y., Jacob, D.J., Logan, J.A.: Global simulation of tropospheric O₃-NO_x-hydrocarbon chemistry: 3. Ori-
11 gin of tropospheric ozone and effects of nonmethane hydrocarbons, *Journal of Geophysical Research* 103,
12 10757-10767, 1998.
- 13 Waters, J. W., Froidevaux, L., Harwood, R. S., Jarnot, R. F., Pickett, H. M., Read, W. G., Siegel, P. H., Cofield,
14 R. E., Filipiak, M. J., Flower, D. A., Holden, J. R., Lau, G. K. K., Livesey, N. J., Manney, G. L., Pumphrey, H.
15 C., Santee, M. L., Wu, D. L., Cuddy, D. T., Lay, R. R., Loo, M. S., Perun, V. S., Schwartz, M. J., Stek, P. C.,
16 Thurstans, R. P., Boyles, M. A., Chandra, K. M., Chavez, M. C., Chen, G. S., Chudasama, B. V., Dodge, R.,
17 Fuller, R. A., Girard, M. A., Jiang, J. H., Jiang, Y. B., Knosp, B. W., LaBelle, R. C., Lam, J. C., Lee, K. A.,
18 Miller, D., Oswald, J. E., Patel, N. C., Pukala, D. M., Quintero, O., Scaff, D. M., Van Snyder, W., Tope, M. C.,
19 Wagner, P. A., and Walch, M. J.: The Earth Observing System Microwave Limb Sounder (EOS MLS) on the
20 Aura satellite, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 44 (5), 1075–1092, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2006.873771, 2006.
- 21 Weller, R. A., Baumgartner, M. F., Josey, S. A., Fischer, A. S., and Kindle, J. C.: Atmospheric Forcing in the
22 Arabian Sea during 1994–1995: Observations and Comparisons with Climatology and Models, *Deep Sea*
23 *Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography* 45: 1961–1999. doi:10.1016/S0967-0645(98)00060-5, 1998.
- 24 Young, P. J., Archibald, A. T., Bowman, K. W., Lamarque, J.-F., Naik, V., Stevenson, D. S., Tilmes,
25 S., Voulgarakis, A., Wild, O., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P., Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., Dalsøren, S.
26 B., Doherty, R. M., Eyring, V., Faluvegi, G., Horowitz, L. W., Josse, B., Lee, Y. H., MacKenzie, I. A., Na-
27 gashima, T., Plummer, D. A., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Skeie, R. B., Shindell, D. T., Strode, S. A., Sudo,
28 K., Szopa, S., and Zeng, G.: Pre-industrial to end 21st century projections of tropospheric ozone from the
29 Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project (ACCMIP), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13,
30 2063–2090, doi:10.5194/acp-13-2063-2013, 2013.
- 31 Zahn, A., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., Asman, W. A. H., Crutzen, P. J., Heinrich, G., Fischer, H., Cuijpers, J. W.
32 M., and van Velthoven, P. F. J.: Budgets of O₃ and CO in the upper troposphere: CARIBIC passenger aircraft
33 results 1997–2001, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107(D17), 4337, doi:10.1029/2001JD001529, 2002.
- 34 Zanis, P., Hadjinicolaou, P., Pozzer, A., Tyrlis, E., Dafka, S., Mihalopoulos, N., and Lelieveld, J.: Summertime
35 free-tropospheric ozone pool over the eastern Mediterranean/Middle East, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 115-132,
36 doi:10.5194/acp-14-115-2014, 2014.



- 1 Zeng, G. and Pyle, J. A.: Influence of El Niño Southern Oscillation on stratosphere/troposphere exchange and
- 2 the global tropospheric ozone budget, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 32, L01814, doi:10.1029/2004GL021353, 2005.
- 3 Zhu, B., Hou, X., and Kang, H.: Analysis of the seasonal ozone budget and the impact of the summer monsoon
- 4 on the northeastern Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 121, 2029–2042,
- 5 doi:10.1002/2015JD023857, 2016.
- 6 Ziemke, J. R., Chandra, S., Duncan, B. N., Froidevaux, L., Bhartia, P. K., Levelt, P. F., and Waters, J. W.: Tro-
- 7 pospheric ozone determined from Aura OMI and MLS: Evaluation of measurements and comparison with the
- 8 Global Modeling Initiative's Chemical Transport Model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D19303,
- 9 doi:10.1029/2006JD007089, 2006.
- 10 Ziemke, J. R., Chandra, S., Labow, G. J., Bhartia, P. K., Froidevaux, L., and Witte, J. C.: A global climatology
- 11 of tropospheric and stratospheric ozone derived from Aura OMI and MLS measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*,
- 12 11, 9237–9251, doi:10.5194/acp-11-9237-2011, 2011.
- 13 Ziemke, J. R., Chandra, S., Oman, L. D., and Bhartia, P. K.: A new ENSO index derived from satellite meas-
- 14 urements of column ozone, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 3711–3721, doi:10.5194/acp-10-3711-2010, 2010.