### **Response to Reviewers**

We thank both reviewers for their supportive and insightful comments. We have addressed all issues and have adjusted the manuscript accordingly where necessary. Our responses are provided in blue italic. We have also attached the revised manuscript with the tracked changes in blue.

## **Reviewer 1**

The authors of OMI air-quality monitoring over the Middle East have made a great effort to take on board most of my original comments, especially with regard to the length of the supplementary material. The presentation of their work has increased overall, which will lead to increased visibility to the community. No problem – thanks for the helpful suggestions!

Section 2: Please add references of validation/cross-comparison works of the satellite products where appropriate. We have added key validation references for HCHO and NO2, but note there are no validation references for the new BIRA OMI CHOCHO product. Given the manuscript length, we don't think adding a paragraph describing applications of the DOMINO NO2 product is critical to the paper (especially as a reader can refer to the already cited references and thus additional references therein).

Line 177: Did you use similar cut-off criteria for the other species? if so, please add in the relevant paragraphs. A cloud radiance fraction of 30% corresponds to an effective cloud fraction of about 15-20% [Stammes et al. 2008, Krotkov et al., 2016], making it broadly consistent with cloud filters applied to the other species. We have added this detail to the text.

Line 181: Is this for each individual measurement? the value seems rather small. Please expand this phrase accordingly.

The SO2 data are in this study are assigned a default uncertainty of 0.5 DU before gridding (as individual PBL SO2 measurements in the product do not have an associated error). This value is taken from the NASA SO2 ReadMe file, which is based on the analysis of the root mean square (RMS) and standard deviation values for instantaneous field of view (IFOV) observations in different latitudinal bands. In the tropics the error is about 0.5 DU but can be up to 0.7-0.9 at higher latitudes where there is strong ozone interference. We have added this information to the text.

Line 210: Please explain why in the HCHO plot there appear to be high values in the bottom of the domain, also around the eastern Mediterranean and the island of Cyprus?

In the bottom of the domain, high HCHO columns occur due to the oxidation of biogenic volatile organic compounds, emitted predominately by vegetation and from fires within the African tropics [see e.g., Marias et al., 2013]. Over the eastern Mediterranean Sea, the high HCHO columns are a known retrieval artifact owing to the presence of Saharan dust compromising the AMF calculations, and also a potentially an unknown HCHO source, as discussed in the study of Sabolis et al. [2011]. Hence these features are well-documented within the literature.

Line 242: Please discuss the differences between these medians and the ones noted above, also giving the 1sigma. Are these medians only of the locations or the entire domain?

In the previous section 3.1, the median uncertainties correspond to the errors of the gridded data (i.e. at 0.05x0.05 degree resolution). As explained in section 3.2, for each location we construct a time series of the monthly averaged vertical columns, based on the weighted averaged of the gridded cells around the target. Thus, every time series data point has a corresponding uncertainty. We simply calculate the median of these vertical column time series data point uncertainties, for each species over all locations. We have adjusted the text to "...median uncertainties of the trace gas vertical column time series **data points** reduce..." to hopefully make this clearer. We have also now added the 1-sigma error to each of these median values.

Line 248: Why didn't you use the IQR as filter? since you have used it above as statistical tool. Did you try different cut-offs and found that the 3 median STDs is the best? also, did you filter with this method, when you calculated the percentages shown in line 244? please explain.

We use the median absolute deviation as we have previously found it a more robust statistical filter for removing outliers in satellite trace gas columns (particularly HCHO), than other types of methods (e.g., using the IQR or standard-deviation). Note there is a typo in the original manuscript - the cut-off is actually 2.5 - as recommended in the cited reference: Leys et al. [2013]. Apologies. Various filter cut-off values were tested. Lower values (<2.5) removed too many data points to perform a meaningful analysis, whilst higher values (>2.5) did not remove all outlying peaks sufficiently. We also ask the reviewer to note that the trends are not significantly affected by this

filtering processes (see e.g., Test 5 in Section 5.2). The uncertainties in section 3.2 (line 244) are computed prior to filtering.

Line 250: Why not the AMFs? isn't the main error source for all the DOAS-type analysis techniques, the AMF? Agreed, the AMF is one of the main error sources in tropospheric trace gas retrievals. However, quite simply there are no outlying spikes in the AMFs to filter out as their variation is relatively smooth in comparison, hence it is not necessary step.

Line 251: 20% continuous months or random, scattered in the time series? *Randomly scattered through the time series. We have added this detail to the text.* 

Line 252: 6% in a ten year long monthly mean time series, means that 7 months out of 120 months are missing in total. I find that quite hard to believe, especially for SO2 and CHOCHO. Unless your 3 median filter is too lax, or you actually mean something else and not 6% in total. For e.g. the SO2 NASA product comes with the specification that the winter months not be used, I am assuming that you used them anyway. And even though the uncertainties are strong, there were still no more than 7 months out of 120 missing? Please explain and expand on this issue.

The 6% is simply the largest median number of points missing per time series out of all four species and four target categories (here quoted for NO2). However, you are quite right in some cases the number of points missing can be notably higher, e.g., between 0-25%. We now make this clearer in the text.

Line 307: This phrase is rather difficult to follow, too dense maybe. How about re-wording it in simpler terms? *Adjusted.* 

Line 312: Since some, if not all, of the main conclusions/discussion in this work is based on the trend and the growth rate, Fg, it is important to show that using 667 days compared to 700 days provides similar results. What exactly does "days" mean in this context, since your time series are in monthly means?

In our initial tests we tried different combinations of the cited long-term filter values (500, 667, and 720 days) for the DOMINO NO2 and SAO HCHO products over urban areas (818 targets) to assess their impact on the growth (note the filters do not affect the fitted linear trend). We found the differences in the growth rates small using the 500 day and 720 day filters, compared with the default 667-day filter. For NO2, the differences are less than 1%, and for HCHO they are 1-2%. We have added this information to the text. The filters are given in days but are converted to months in the analysis code.

Line 315: For such a small town, of 65000 people, how do you explain such an increase in NO2? surely not traffic. Are there power plants around?

Although accurate statistics are difficult to find, it is believed that during the last decade or so, Dahuk itself experienced a sizeable urban growth [Mustafa et al. 2012], and now has a population of about 280,000 [MOP-KRG, 2012], while the region itself has had to accommodate an influx (>100,000) of Syrian refugees [UNOCHA]. Both factors likely contribute to the growth in NO2 levels. We now mention this in the text and added appropriate references.

Line 318: Is this value considered good enough? you note above that anything higher than 2 is statistically sound, however is 8 good? or is a value of 800 expected in robust cases?

Published values of the trend ratio are typically 2-6 – see e.g., van der A et al. [2006] or De Smedt et al. [2010]. Hence we consider a value of 8 as a strong trend. Values of 800 would imply negligible noise.

Line 322: I might understand why you repeated the trend analysis for the cloud parameters, since, there might have been a trend in cloudiness indeed over the decade. Why did you perform the trend analysis on the AMF, what is the physical reason? is there a parameter that enters the AMF calculation that is expected to have a possible trend? I would be more interested in seeing whether the radiances themselves have a trend, but that is beyond the scope of this paper and something for the PIs who create the datasets to worry about.

As a precaution, we felt it was necessary to identify AMF trends to eliminate them as a cause of vertical column trends. In theory, unless there is an underlying trend in the a priori trace gas profiles and surface reflectance (typically these are fixed to a single year), or cloud parameters, we shouldn't expect any AMF trends. However, AMF trends do occur, mostly caused by the cloud parameters and/or sampling effects.

Line 336: Is this the median for the decade? for the locations only? please clarify for all the rest of the section as well. What is the STD associated with this value? aren't the HCHO and SO2 values within their individual limits of statistical significance?

Yes, these are the averages for the decade (2005-2014) determined using all 1032 target locations (i.e. urban + oil ports + refineries + power plants), and thus are statistically significant (at the 95% confidence level). We have made this point clearer in the text and added the 1-sigma errors.

Line 342: Why do you suppose this is? what is the physics behind HCHO being higher in ports? To clarify we have only examined levels of the pollutants over **oil ports** – we have corrected this throughout text. The reason why HCHO is higher over the oil ports is unclear.

Line 392: This is the average for the decade? it is extremely low, and I am guessing well outside any statistical significance. Please discuss this issue.

As discussed above, this is the average SO2 for the decade (2005-2014), and is statistically significant (at the 95% confidence level). The SO2 values for we find for the Gulf region are consistent with studies of Krotkov et al. [2016], Fioletov et al. [2016], and McLinden et al. [2016].

Line 422: I fail to understand the meaning of this. In line 392 you quote that "the highest level of 0.73 D.U. was...". In general, I found this sub-section rather tiresome and repetitive of information given already above. You can merge the two paragraphs, if you wish, so that for e.g. when you discuss median NO2 levels, you can also give the highest NO2 level.

In line 392, the 0.73 DU is the highest **median** vertical column level. In line 422, we refer to the highest **maximum** SO2 vertical columns. We have corrected the text in Section 4.2 and line 392 to avoid any misunderstanding. We prefer to keep Sections 4.1 and the 4.2 as distinct components to make it obvious that the former discusses average levels, whilst the latter only discusses maximum values.

Line 428: You mean peak-to-peak amplitude? and have you averaged all locations? only those with statistically significant seasonality? in general, this type of information is missing from the text. I understand the authors have this knowledge, but it would be good to have it in the text so that other colleagues can follow this work and compare like with like.

Apologies for not including this information at the start of this section – although we ask the reviewer to note we explicitly state how the seasonal amplitudes were calculated in Section 3.3; see line 293 of the original manuscript. Hence we have now added at the start of Section 4.3 the following: "To assess the seasonal variability over each target we determined the average peak-to-peak difference of its corresponding time series, and used these values to compute the median seasonal amplitude over all locations, within each target category." – hopefully this makes it clearer to the reader.

Line 432: So, what does this mean? that the highest amplitude is over the power plant in Iran and the lowest over Ataq? physics-wise? What is this seasonality in HCHO due to?

Yes, this is correct. We have adjusted the sentence to make it clearer. The seasonality of HCHO and CHOCHO are due to seasonal variations of biogenic VOCs, specifically isoprene whose emissions peak in July-August time and methanol. We have added this to the text and included the reference:

• Müller, J.-F., Stavrakou, T., Smedt, I. D., and Roozendael, M. V.: VOC emissions in the Middle East from bottom-up inventories & as seen by OMI, GlobEmission User Consultation Meeting, Doha, Qatar, 24-25 November, 2015.

Line 441: How is this possible, since you used FFT to de-noise and de-trend the data? I think you should reconsider this phrase and what exactly you mean.

We only meant that the SO2 and CHOCHO retrievals are noisier than their NO2 and HCHO counterparts, and thus their time series even after filtering and smoothing are noisier too. We have adjusted the text accordingly.

Line 445: Not sure I follow you here. Are there 274 towns, power plants, oil ports, and such like in Palestine? or do you mean, 274 pixels that comprise Palestine? please rephrase accordingly.

We do not understand the confusion. It is clearly stated in the manuscript that "...if we disregard Palestine, where only 1 out of its 274 urban targets had a real trend..." – i.e. we are not discussing gridded pixels. Nevertheless, we have changed the text to: "However, in Palestine only 1 out of a potential 274 urban targets had a real trend. Neglecting the Palestine results in this instance, increases the detection rate to 36%."

Line 501: Not only that, it is where we can be sure that there is enough signal in the data themselves to give a meaningful trend.

*This is correct – we have added this to the sentence.* 

This is indeed a very interesting comment, and the main questions that popped into my mind when viewing the plots of the differences between trend and growth rate. TO be honest, I found the concept of these difference plots quite hard to grasp. How do you explain them? for e.g. what does it mean that for NO2 these differences can range around +/-5% per annum?

As discussed in Section 5.2 this is the difference between the linear trend (in %/yr) and the growth rate (in %/yr). In the text we discuss the difference between the trend and growth rate at Ibri (in Oman), we have now amend this

sentence to show explicitly what the difference implies. These differences between the linear trend and the growth rate occur due to the latter tracking inter-annual variations in the data not accounted for in the linear part of equation 1 [see Thoning et al. (1989) or <u>https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/mbl/crvfit/crvfit.html</u>] – we have added this information to the text.

Lines 553, 561 & 570: Hence, you may conclude that for the DOMINO NO2 product, the estimated NO2 emissions will remain more or less unaltered between using a 10km or a 20km smoothing radius around the source. Do you agree? if so, add a relevant phrase in this paragraph.

The reviewer may be 'right' but we believe it is more accurate to just say that only the NO2 columns are generally unaltered.

Line 577: Yes, but these are not so great as to recommend that someone uses 10km instead of 20km. Please also recall that the usable OMI pixels are larger than 15x50km anyways.

Agreed, there is little to chose between the too values, although a 10 km radius much better covers the urban footprint of most locations, even the larger cities.

Line 621: So, you recommend using the affected rows?

Yes, but only to avoid trends in the number of samples, and, additionally only if the corrupted observations from those affected rows are heavily weighted so as not to affect the gridded data (i.e. by assigning each observation an extremely high uncertainty).

Line 630: You mean you didn't not use the IQR to exclude daily values from creating the monthly means? if you are worried about genuine points why don't you use 3\*IQR instead of 1.5\*IQR?

We do not understand the reviewer's comments in this instance. We do not filter the any of the satellite data using the IQR (nor is this indicated in the manuscript anywhere).

#### **Reviewer #2**

The paper contains a very thorough analysis of some air quality gases in the Middle East. It is scientifically speaking not very new, but it is a very useful overview of the air quality in the region with many details.

Line 160: Scenes with effective cloud fraction > 20 % are rejected for CHOCHO, in line 177-178: a filter for cloud radiance fraction > 0.3 is mentioned for SO2, while in line188 it is mentioned that scenes with a higher than 20% fractional cloud cover are filtered for all data. Why are you not using a single cloud filter setting for all data.

As mentioned in our response to reviewer 1, a cloud radiance fraction of 30% for SO2 corresponds to an effective cloud fraction of about 15-20%, making it consistent with the cloud filters applied to the other species. We have added this detail to the text, and adjusted the text accordingly.

Line 180: Several times in the period of this research volcanoes in North-East Africa have been erupting with as result volcanic plumes over the Middle East region. Large parts of the plume have values of less than 5DU. For example in June 2011 many days show remnant SO2 values caused by the eruption of the Nabro volcano. I would expect this affects the trend considerably and it might be better to remove this period from the time series of SO2. *We removed the June 2011 data and repeated our analysis – it had negligible effect – only producing 1 extra trend over a power plant. Note also that anomalous SO2 peaks potentially due to volcanic eruptions, are typically removed by the outlier filtering as discussed in Section 3.3* 

Line 200-201: Does this mean that for the gases HCHO and NO2 the row anomaly mask in 2013 is less strict than in some earlier years? By using different criteria over the years for these gases your trend is still affected by sampling I would think. Please clarify this section.

We tested many different approaches to account for the row anomaly when gridding the data. The static mask, based on the most affected rows at the end of 2013, simply identifies the most problematic detector rows. However, those detector rows not covered by the static mask are also sometimes compromised for certain time periods during the mission, but they can (& do) provide valuable observations outside those periods. However, note each retrieval group assign different quality flags (QFs) to their product, which we also have to respect when gridding the data. For SO2 and CHOCHO the static mask method & product QFs work fine, but for NO2 and HCHO it doesn't. Hence this is why our approach for these latter gases is to block out the worst rows using the 2013 mask, and then assign a high uncertainty to any other row- corrupted observations. This compromise yields a high number of observations per grid cell and the lowest number of sample trends. The additional sensitivity test (test 3 in section 5.2) only uses data from rows in which there have been no corrupted observations over the lifetime of the mission.

Line 224: I think this Figure 2 does not add much to the paper and in my view can be removed.

*Figure 2 has now been moved to the supplementary material, as we believe it is important that readers can view how well the spatial mask captures the urban extent of target areas.* 

Line 579: Also for NO2 a cloud fraction of less than 20% is advised. However, I doubt these advices were given with this particular test in mind. I suggest to apply this test also to CHOCHO and SO2.

As requested we also applied a stricter effective cloud fraction filter of 10% to all species - for SO2 this correspond to a cloud radiance fraction of 20%. This had little impact on SO2 although fewer trends in total detected for NO2 (232) and HCHO (59); no trends were found for CHOCHO. Generally, most of the highest ranked trends for each species were very similar to the default scenario, indicating a 20% cloud fraction filter is likely an optimum choice for detecting air-quality trends over this region without affecting trend magnitudes. This information has been added to the text.

Line 595-599: I thought the standard analysis was already done for unaffected rows. How are the unaffected rows defined in this particular test? *See our comments above.* 

Line 622: It is not only the number of observations but also the type. Because you are using a different selection of rows you have a selection of other pixel sizes. The change of pixel sixe alone will already affect the derived trends. This should be added to the discussion.

We have added this interesting point to the discussion. Thanks!

Section 5.3: In this section it might be interesting to include the study of Schneider et al. (2015), who did a trend analysis on NO2 in large urban agglomerations for the period 2002-2012, based on SCIAMACHY. *Added.* 

Figure 3, left-side: In this Figure I have difficulties distinguishing between oil refineries, oil ports or power plants. Other symbols or colors can improve the Figure. Please also add the symbols to the legend. *Done.* 

Table 1-4: In my opinion large part of this table can be moved to the supplementary Material

We prefer to keep Tables 1-4 as they are, since they provide a summary of all the key statistics for each species (rather than having too many additional tables in the supplementary material – as this was commented on in the initial pre-ACPD review).

In general: the significance in which most values are given is much too high compared to their errors. A digit less is often possible and makes the text better readable.

We prefer to keep the level of significance (& decimal places) as originally presented, as it is more accurately helps to distinguish & rank the linear trends and pollution levels – and is consistent with the tabulated results in the excel files provided in the supplementary materials.

#### **Additional References**

Marais, E. A., Jacob, D. J., Kurosu, T. P., Chance, K., Murphy, J. G., Reeves, C., Mills, G., Casadio, S., Millet, D. B., Barkley, M. P., Paulot, F., and Mao, J.: Isoprene emissions in Africa inferred from OMI observations of formaldehyde columns, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 6219-6235, doi:10.5194/acp-12-6219-2012, 2012.

MOP-KRG: Building Kurdistan Region of Iraq: The Socio-Economic Infrastructure, Ministry of Planning Kurdistan Regional Government, 2012.

Mustafa, Y. T., Ali, R. T., and Saleh, R. M.: Monitoring and Evaluating Land Cover Change in The Duhok City, Kurdistan Region-Iraq, by Using Remote Sensing and GIS, International Journal of Engineering Inventions, 945 1(11), 28–33, 2012.

Sabolis, A., Meskhidze, N., Curci, G., Palmer, P. I., and Gantt, B.: Interpreting elevated space-borne HCHO columns over the Mediterranean Sea using the OMI sensor, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12787-12798, doi:10.5194/acp-11-12787-2011, 2011.

Stammes, P., M. Sneep, J. F. de Haan, J. P. Veefkind, P. Wang, and P. F. Levelt (2008), Effective cloud fractions from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument: Theoretical framework and validation, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D16S38, doi:10.1029/2007JD008820

Manuscript prepared for Atmos. Chem. Phys. with version 2015/09/17 7.94 Copernicus papers of the LATEX class copernicus.cls. Date: 30 January 2017

# **OMI** air-quality monitoring over the Middle East

Michael Barkley<sup>1</sup>, Gonzalo Gonzalez Abad<sup>2</sup>, Thomas P. Kurosu<sup>3</sup>, Robert Spurr<sup>4</sup>, Sara Torbatian<sup>5</sup>, and Christophe Lerot<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Earth Observation Science Group, Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leicester, UK.

<sup>2</sup>Atomic and Molecular Physics Division, Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA.

<sup>3</sup>NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, California, USA.

<sup>4</sup>RT Solutions Inc, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA.

<sup>5</sup>Air Quality Meteorologist, Air Quality Control Company (AQCC), Tehran, Iran.

<sup>6</sup>Belgian Institute for Space Aeronomy (BIRA-IASB), Brussels, Belgium.

Correspondence to: Michael Barkley (mpb14@le.ac.uk)

Abstract. Using Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) trace gas vertical column observations of nitrogen dioxide ( $NO_2$ ), formaldehyde (HCHO), sulphur dioxide ( $SO_2$ ), and glyoxal (CHOCHO), we have conducted a robust and detailed time series analysis to assess changes in local air-quality for over 1000 locations (focussing on urban, oil refineries, oil ports, and power plant targets) over

5 the Middle East for 2005–2014. Apart from NO<sub>2</sub>, which is highest over urban locations, average tropospheric column levels of these trace gases are highest over oil ports and refineries. The highest average pollution levels over urban settlements are typically in Bahrain, Kuwait, Qatar, and United Arab Emirates.

We detect 278 statistically significant and real linear  $NO_2$  trends in total. Over urban areas  $NO_2$  increased by up to 12% yr<sup>-1</sup>, with only two locations showing a decreasing trend. Over oil refineries, oil ports, and power plants,  $NO_2$  increased by about 2–9 % yr<sup>-1</sup>. For HCHO, 70 significant and real

trends were detected, with HCHO increasing by 2–7 % yr<sup>-1</sup> over urban settlements and power plants, and by about 2–4 % yr<sup>-1</sup> over refineries and oil ports. Very few SO<sub>2</sub> trends were detected, which varied in direction and magnitude (23 increasing and 9 decreasing). Apart from two locations

15 where CHOCHO is decreasing, we find glyoxal tropospheric column levels are not changing over the Middle East. Hence for many locations in the Middle East, OMI observes a degradation in air-quality over 2005–2014. This study therefore demonstrates the capability of OMI to generate long-term air-quality monitoring at local scales over this region.

#### 1 Introduction

10

20 It is well-established that poor air-quality can significantly impact human health, ecosystems and agriculture, the built environment, and regional climate (Monks et al., 2009). The human and monetary costs associated with increasing levels of air-pollution are substantial. For example, the World Health Organisation (WHO) estimates that globally nearly 7 million premature deaths were attributed to household and ambient air pollution during 2012 (WHO, 2014). Similarly, the Organ-

isation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OCED) estimated that outdoor air pollution is 25 costing its 34 member states, plus the People's Republic of China and India, an estimated 3.5 trillion dollars a year in terms of the value of lives lost and ill health (OCED, 2014).

Regulatory control of urban air-quality is typically most effective when important target areas are extensively monitored, so pollutant emissions can be quantified and their atmospheric chemical pro-

- cessing well-understood. In addition to in situ measurements made by ground stations and aircraft 30 campaigns, satellite observations also form an important component of air-quality monitoring (Martin, 2008; Duncan et al., 2014). Satellite measurements of pollutants such as nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), troposphere ozone  $O_3$ , formaldehyde (HCHO), sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), and aerosol particulate matter (PM), have been widely used to characterise their global atmospheric dis-
- 35 tributions, to quantify surface precursor emissions, and to evaluate local air-quality (see e.g., Martin, 2008; Streets et al., 2013; Duncan et al., 2014, and references therein). Furthermore, with the advent of successive and longer-duration satellite missions, particularly the sequence of ultra-violet-visible (UV-VIS) instruments of GOME (Burrows et al., 1999), SCIAMACHY (Bovensmann et al., 1999), and GOME-2 (Callies et al., 2006), together with the OMI sensor (Levelt et al., 2006), there is a
- growing ability to study long-term changes in air-quality from space. Some notable studies that have 40 used satellite trace gas measurements to examine air pollution trends include: Richter et al. (2005); van der A et al. (2006, 2008); Ghude et al. (2008); De Smedt et al. (2010, 2015); Russell et al. (2012); Schneider and van der A (2012); Hilboll et al. (2013); Jin and Holloway (2015); Krotkov et al. (2016); Lamsal et al. (2015); Lelieveld et al. (2015); Schneider et al. (2015), and Duncan et al. 45 (2016).

The Middle East is a region where long-term changes in air-quality have probably been less well studied, in comparison to Asia, Europe, and North America. Besides frequent dust-storms (Furman and Hadar, 2003), the region's air-quality is characterised by year-long high ozone levels (Lelieveld et al., 2009), with an observed summer maximum (Liu et al., 2009; Zanis et al., 2014). The high

- 50 ozone levels are in part due to long-range transport, strong local emissions, and favourable conditions for ozone photochemistry (Lelieveld et al., 2009). In-situ instruments frequently record high pollutant concentrations in urban areas which exceed recommended guidelines (e.g., Modarres and Dehkordi, 2005; Nasralla and Seroji, 2007; Abdul-Wahab, 2009; Munir et al., 2013; Rashki et al., 2013).
- The severity and variability of the region's air pollution can be directly observed from space. 55 For example, several studies have reported appreciable trends in NO<sub>2</sub> vertical column over Middle Eastern cities prior to 2011, with increases of order 2-9 % yr<sup>-1</sup> found over Tehran, 4-5 % yr<sup>-1</sup> over Jeddah, 6-7 % yr<sup>-1</sup> over Riyadh, and 10-20 % yr<sup>-1</sup> over Baghdad, depending on the satellite instrument and the averaging period (van der A et al., 2008; Schneider and van der A, 2012; Hilboll

60 et al., 2013). Similarly, De Smedt et al. (2010) also found an increasing trend of 1–3 % yr<sup>-1</sup> in GOME and SCIAMACHY tropospheric HCHO columns over Tehran and Baghdad during 1997– 2009, but a decrease of about 2 % yr<sup>-1</sup> over Riyadh.

More recently, Lelieveld et al. (2015) examined annual changes in OMI  $NO_2$  and  $SO_2$  columns over the Middle East over 2005–2014, reporting that after increases in the period 2005–2010, there

- 65 was a reduction in these gases, either due to new regulatory legislation, or due to falls in economic output associated with regional conflicts and geopolitical controls. In particular, decreases in NO<sub>2</sub> tropospheric columns of order 40–50% were noted over Damascus and Aleppo since 2011, coinciding with the start of Syria's civil war. A similar regional-scale study by Krotkov et al. (2016), also observed that during 2005–2008 OMI NO<sub>2</sub> column increased by 20% but remained approximately
- 70 constant thereafter, whereas OMI SO<sub>2</sub> columns dropped by 20% after 2010, only recovering to 2005 levels in 2014. A further OMI NO<sub>2</sub> column trend analysis over the region's major cities by Duncan et al. (2016) likewise reported decreases over Damascus and Aleppo, but of about 3–4 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, with increases of about 2–6 % yr<sup>-1</sup> elsewhere.
- However, besides these valuable studies and to the best of our knowledge, long-term changes in local air-quality for many smaller Middle-Eastern cities and towns have not been reported. In this study we aim to remedy this situation by determining the changes in air-pollution over local population centres and also oil/energy infrastructure from space using a decade's worth of observations from the OMI instrument. Our target areas are therefore (1) cities and towns, (2) large-scale oil refineries and ports, and (3) coal, gas and oil fuelled electricity generating power-plants.
- To track the air-quality over our specified targets, we use OMI tropospheric vertical column observations of NO<sub>2</sub>, HCHO, glyoxal (CHOCHO), together with retrieved boundary layer column concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub>. Although each of these reactive species has different sources and sinks, they are all established key indicators of anthropogenic emissions, active photochemistry, and air pollution. For example, the dominant sources of NO<sub>x</sub> (=NO+NO<sub>2</sub>) in the troposphere are the combustion
- of fossil fuels, biomass burning, emissions from soil, and lightning. Boundary layer  $SO_2$  is predominantly generated by the burning of sulphur laden fossil fuels and the refinement of sulphur ores; volcanic  $SO_2$  emissions are typically injected high into the atmosphere well above the boundary layer. The chemical reactions of  $NO_2$  and  $SO_2$  lead to the formation of nitrate and sulphate aerosols, which contribute to  $PM_{2.5}$  (particulate matter with diameters <2.5  $\mu$ m), another critical
- 90 pollutant (Kim et al., 2015). HCHO and CHOCHO are reaction products from the oxidation of anthropogenic, biogenic, and pyrogenic volatile organic compounds (VOCs); they can also be directly emitted from fires. Observed HCHO and CHOCHO distributions therefore contain the signature of underlying VOC emissions. Quantifying VOC emissions is important, as the oxidation of VOCs in the presence of high NO<sub>x</sub> and sunlight leads to the formation of tropospheric ozone, which is a major
- 95 air pollutant contributing to photochemical smog, as well as a key greenhouse gas and atmospheric oxidant (Monks et al., 2015). Apart from SO<sub>2</sub>, which has lifetime of about one week, the trace gases

are also relatively short-lived (order of hours to a day), so that spatial displacements from emission sources are often small.

In this study, we have two broad goals: (1) to establish which locations have the highest pollution 100 levels and (2) to use time series analysis in order to determine which locations have statistically significant trends and to quantify the trend magnitudes. The structure of this paper is as follows. In section 2 we introduce the OMI data products. In Section 3 we discuss how the OMI data is gridded and describe the time series analysis. We present our results in Section 4, with a discussion on their validity in Section 5. Finally, we conclude the paper in Section 6.

#### 105 2 OMI Satellite Data

#### 2.1 The Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI)

The Dutch-Finnish Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) (Levelt et al., 2006), is a nadir-viewing UV-VIS 2-dimensional charged-couple device (CCD) spectrometer, launched on board NASA's Aura satellite in July 2004. OMI orbits the Earth in a Sun-synchronous polar orbit, crossing the Equator

- 110 at 13:30 local time (LT) in its ascending mode. The instrument has a 114° field-of-view producing a 2600 km wide swath which contains 60 cross-track pixels that range in size from 14×26 km<sup>2</sup> at nadir to 28×160 km<sup>2</sup> at the swath edges. With these viewing geometry and orbital characteristics, OMI achieves global coverage daily (in nominal operational mode). However, from 2007 onwards, OMI's coverage has been considerably reduced due to problems with certain rows of its CDD detector (see
- 115 Section 3.1 for further details).

In this work we use 10 years (2005–2014) of OMI vertical column observations of NO<sub>2</sub>, HCHO, CHOCHO, and SO<sub>2</sub>. A brief overview of these products is given below; explicit details of each trace gas retrieval are given in the cited references.

#### 2.2 SAO Formaldehyde

- 120 The official NASA HCHO product is provided by the updated Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory (SAO) retrieval, as described in González Abad et al. (2015) and evaluated in Zhu et al. (2016). HCHO slant columns are retrieved through a direct non-linear least-squares fitting of spectral radiances within the interval 328.5–356.5 nm. The retrieval algorithm includes dynamic calibration of solar and radiance wavelengths, the use of a daily radiance reference spectra, an under-sampling
- 125 correction, and computation of common-mode residual spectrum. The cross-sections of HCHO and other absorbers are fitted, together with a Ring effect correction, scaling and closure polynomials, and a spectral shift parameter. The retrieved slant columns are converted to vertical columns using air-mass factors (AMFs) taken from look-up tables pre-computed using the VLIDORT radiative transfer model (Spurr, 2008), which uses a priori HCHO profiles from a global 2.0°×2.5° GEOS-
- 130 Chem chemistry transport model simulation (originally described in Bey et al., 2001). Effective

cloud fraction and cloud-top pressure are taken from the OMI  $O_2$ - $O_2$  cloud product (Acarreta et al., 2004), whilst the surface reflectivity for clear-sky scenes, is extracted from the OMI mode Lambertian Equivalent Reflectivity (LER) dataset created by Kleipool et al. (2008). A daily post-processing normalisation correction (a function of latitude and detector row) is applied to reduce retrieval biases,

135 minimise noise and reduce cross-track striping. Observations with effective cloud fractions >20% are rejected. Over our domain of interest (20–80°E, 10–50°N) we find the median uncertainty of a single measurement is about 60–70%, with an inter-quartile range (IRQ) of about 175%.

#### 2.3 DOMINO NO<sub>2</sub> Vertical Columns

- Tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> vertical columns are from the KNMI DOMINO v2 product (Boersma et al., 2011a, b), which is a well-established dataset (see, e.g., Hains et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2016). The NO<sub>2</sub> slant columns are retrieved using the differential optical absorption spectroscopic (DOAS) technique, by fitting the absorption cross sections of NO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, and H<sub>2</sub>O, along with a synthetic Ring spectrum, to observed reflectance spectra in the 405-465 nm interval (Boersma et al., 2007). The retrieved total slant columns are then assimilated into the TM4 chemistry model (Dentener
- 145 et al., 2003), to estimate and remove the stratospheric  $NO_2$  component. Finally, tropospheric vertical columns are then derived by applying altitude-resolved AMFs from a precomputed look-up generated with the KNMI DAK radiative transfer model using TM4  $NO_2$  profiles from a  $2.0^{\circ} \times 3.0^{\circ}$ global simulation. Surface reflectivity and cloud-parameters are taken from Kleipool et al. (2008) and Acarreta et al. (2004), respectively. As with HCHO, observations with effective cloud fractions
- 150 >20% are rejected. The median uncertainty of an individual measurement over our target region is about 60–70%, with an IQR of about 54%.

#### 2.4 BIRA CHOCHO Vertical Columns

Glyoxal vertical columns are retrieved from OMI spectra using an updated DOAS retrieval originally devised for GOME-2 (Lerot et al., 2010). The retrieval uses a daily mean Earthshine Pacific radiance spectrum, and applies a row-dependent wavelength calibration. An initial pre-fit of liquid

- 155 radiance spectrum, and applies a row-dependent wavelength calibration. An initial pre-fit of liquid water optical depth (in 405–490 nm) is performed, before the absorption cross-sections of CHOCHO and other interfering absorbers are fitted in the spectral window 435–490 nm to retrieve the slant columns. Tropospheric vertical columns are obtained using pre-computed look-up tables of altitude resolved AMFs, which uses a priori profiles over land from a global 2.0°×2.5° IMAGES simulation
- 160 (Müller and Stavrakou, 2005). Over the oceans a single oceanic profile is used for the AMFs, derived from airborne MAX-DOAS measurements over the Pacific Ocean (Volkamer et al., 2015). Unlike the retrievals of HCHO and NO<sub>2</sub>, the cloud effects are not accounted for in the AMF calculation (via the independent pixel approximation); instead only 'clear-sky' observations are retained by rejecting scenes with effective cloud fractions >20%. The median uncertainty of an individual measurement
- 165 is about 50-60% with an IQR of about 250%.

#### 2.5 NASA Sulphur Dioxide

To assess changes in anthropogenic  $SO_2$  we use planetary boundary layer columns (NASA product OMSO2 v1.2.0) determined using a principal component analysis (PCA) retrieval that is sensitive to surface concentrations (Li et al., 2013). The algorithm applies the PCA technique to OMI UV

- 170 sun-normalised radiances (310.5–340 nm) over an SO<sub>2</sub>-free region (in the Equatorial Pacific) to establish the principal components of the main physical and measurement spectral features that do not correspond to SO<sub>2</sub> absorption. A set of principal components and SO<sub>2</sub> radiance Jacobians (i.e. that describe the radiance sensitivities to changes in the SO<sub>2</sub> column), are then iteratively fitted to observed OMI radiances to obtain the slant column density. An estimate of the boundary layer
- 175  $SO_2$  is then calculated based on the assumptions of the vertical  $SO_2$  distribution (Krotkov, 2014). The algorithm applies the PCA technique to each OMI detector row individually, and uses the VLI-DORT radiative transfer code to compute the  $SO_2$  Jacobians, with RT model inputs based on a fixed atmospheric profile and climatological  $SO_2$  profile over the summertime eastern US, the latter corresponding to an effective AMF of 0.36 (Fioletov et al., 2016). Scenes with strong ozone absorption of
- 180 >1500 Dobson Units (1 DU =  $2.69 \times 10^{16}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>) are excluded due to spectral interference in the retrieval. For this study we only use SO<sub>2</sub> data from OMI rows 4–54 (0-based) and with cloud radiance fraction <0.3 (Krotkov, 2014), which corresponds to an effective cloud fraction of about 15% (Krotkov et al., 2016), broadly consistent with the other species. Although SO<sub>2</sub> over the Middle East is mostly unaffected by volcanic emissions over 2005–2014 (Krotkov et al., 2016), transient
- 185 volcanic SO<sub>2</sub> enhancements were removed if they exceeded a threshold of 5 DU (Fioletov et al., 2011). The estimated uncertainty of the SO<sub>2</sub> PBL is about 0.5 DU in the tropics, and ~0.7–0.9 at higher latitudes, based on the analysis of the root-mean square (RMS) and standard deviation values for instantaneous field of view (IFOV) observations in different latitudinal bands (see Li et al., 2013; Krotkov, 2014).

#### 190 3 Methods

#### 3.1 Data Gridding

195

weighting tessellation algorithm (Spurr, 2003; Hewson et al., 2015). The gridding algorithm properly accounts for the areal proportions of grid cells underlying the satellite footprint and inversely
weights each observation according to the measurement uncertainty and OMI ground pixel-size. Spatial zoom orbits are not included in our analyses, nor are scenes with solar zenith angles > 70°. We also follow the data recommendations provided with each product to reject non-optimum observations. The quality of the level 1B radiance data from certain rows of OMI's CCD detector is known to be affected by blockage effects, wavelength shifts, and stray light originating from out-

We monthly-average the OMI observations onto a high resolution  $0.05^{\circ} \times 0.05^{\circ}$  grid using an area-

- 200 side the nominal field of view. This dynamic behaviour is the well-known OMI row anomaly (http: //www.knmi.nl/omi/research/product/rowanomaly-background.php), which impacts atmospheric retrievals from the affected rows. The temporal variability of the row anomaly is also known to compromise the derivation of long-term trace gas trends (De Smedt et al., 2015). For this reason, we follow a similar approach to De Smedt et al. (2015), by using the OMI XTrackQualityFlags (Dutch
- 205 Space, 2009) to construct a static mask based on the most affected rows at the end of 2013, to then discard row-anomaly observations over the entire 2005–2014 period. This quality filtering largely removes any statistically significant sampling trends in the generated monthly datasets, except for the SAO HCHO and DOMINO NO<sub>2</sub> data. Therefore, for these gases we further use the XTrack-QualityFlags to identify affected observations not flagged by the static mask, whose measurement
- 210 uncertainties we then increase by a factor of 1000, so that these observations are included in the analysis without affecting the monthly averaged fields (i.e. they are assigned a very low weight in the averaging). On average there are typically 20–35 samples per grid-cell per month. To reduce noise in the monthly gridded data we smooth the data with a  $0.15^{\circ} \times 0.15^{\circ}$  Gaussian filter of  $1-\sigma$  width. For the noisier CHOCHO fields, a  $2-\sigma$  Gaussian is used. The spatial smoothing enhances
- 215 localised 'hot-spots' and is preferable to averaging the data onto a coarser resolution grid where the atmospheric signatures of target features can be lost. The median uncertainties of the gridded data are about 4% for  $NO_2$ , 6% for HCHO, 27% for CHOCHO, and 40% for  $SO_2$ .

Figure 1 shows the 2005 annual distributions of each species over the broad Middle East region. Clearly visible in the NO<sub>2</sub>, HCHO and CHOCHO maps are the major urban areas of Riyadh, Bagh-

220 dad, Tehran, Jeddah, and pollution enhancements along the eastern and northern coasts of the Persian Gulf. Intense SO<sub>2</sub> hotspots are found over the Jeddah and Mecca region, Kharg Island (in the Gulf) and near Kerman (Iran), where the Sarcheshmeh Copper Complex smelter is found.

#### 3.2 Time Series Construction

To determine the temporal variability of the OMI vertical column data over urban areas we use the Global Rural-Urban Mapping Project Version 1 (GRUMPv1) settlement points database (Balk et al., 2006; SEDAC, 2015) to identify the geolocation coordinates of 818 cities and towns, that have populations ranging from over 50 to nearly 7 million inhabitants (as determined for the year 2000). We then construct a 10-year time-series (of 120 months) by averaging those monthly-gridded data that lie within  $\pm 2$  grid-cells of the city or town location, which corresponds to a radial distance of

- approximately 10 km from the urban centre. Visual inspection of these spatial masks overlaid onto GoogleEarth imagery shows this filtering criteria is well-suited to capture the extent of most urban areas (see e.g., Figure S1). For Baghdad, Riydah, and Tehran, which have larger urban spread, the average of  $\pm 4$  grid-cells is used instead, consistent with an approximate 20 km radial distance. In addition to the trace gas vertical columns, we also construct coincident times series for the associated
- 235 cloud fraction, cloud-top pressure (or height), AMFs (where appropriate), and number of grid-cell

samples. This helps clarify whether any observed trends in the trace gases are real, by applying the same time-series analysis to all the retrieved parameters.

We use this same approach to examine air-quality variability over oil refineries, oil ports and power plants. The 2010 Oil Refining Survey (Kootungal, 2010) was used to identify 41 major

- 240 crude oil refineries, whereas the Global Energy Observatory (GEO) free online resource (http: //globalenergyobservatory.org) was used to locate 18 oil ports and 155 power plants. Where different types of power plants (e.g., oil versus gas fuelled) were closely co-located, a single geolocation coordinate was used to mark that target. The geographical distribution of the selected targets is shown in Figure 2a, and as an example, Figure 2b shows the urban spatial filtering masks, applied to the
- 245 observed 2005 annual OMI  $NO_2$  distributions over northern Iran. The latter figure shows that this approach treats the larger cities, such as Tehran, as individual urban regions, with intention of resolving trends of separate districts, and that in some cases the spatial masks can partially overlap for targets which are close to one another.

Considering all locations, we find that by applying a weighted average to the data within  $\pm 2$ grid-cells of the target, the median uncertainties of the trace gas vertical column time series data points reduce to  $0.37\pm0.26\%$  for NO<sub>2</sub>,  $0.73\pm0.64\%$  for HCHO,  $1.82\pm1.90\%$  for CHOCHO, and  $1.63\pm2.58\%$  for SO<sub>2</sub>.

#### 3.3 Time Series Analysis

Each time series consists of monthly mean OMI observations y(t) over a given target site, where

- time *t* is in fractional years. We analyse each time series individually for each location following a consistent procedure. First, we filter the time series data for outliers, rejecting observations that lie beyond 2.5 median absolute standard deviations (Leys et al., 2013). This helps to reduce difficulties in the analysis and interpretation of noisy vertical column data when encountered; the filtering is not required for AMFs, cloud-parameters or the number of samples. Second, we linearly interpolate across missing data points, applying a quality filter that if >20% of (randomly scattered) values
- are missing that points, apprying a quarty inter that it >20% of (randomly scattered) values are missing from the time series then the dataset is rejected. Typically 0–25% of data points maybe missing from a time series, but on average, we find that less than 6% are missing. Third, we then fit to the data a model function F(t) consisting of a linear component plus a four-term harmonic Fourier series, defined as follows:

265 
$$F(t) = \mu + \omega t + \sum_{n=1}^{4} \left[ A_n \cos(2\pi n t) + B_n \sin(2\pi n t) \right]$$
(1)

where  $\mu$  is the mean value of the time series data at time t = 0,  $\omega$  is the linear trend of the variable (per year), and the parameters  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  are the Fourier series coefficients that essentially model seasonal and inter-seasonal variability. Many other trend studies have fitted very similar functions (see e.g, van der A et al., 2006; Gardiner et al., 2008; De Smedt et al., 2010; Hilboll et al., 2013;

270 Jin and Holloway, 2015). We fit this model to the data using a non-linear least squares Levenberg-

Marquardt algorithm, which generates an estimate of the fit parameters  $\mu$ ,  $\omega$ ,  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  plus their uncertainties and covariance.

Fourth, we check that the trend  $\omega$  is real, i.e. significant at the 95% confidence level. The generally accepted rule for determining whether a trend is statistically significant is  $|\omega/\sigma_{\omega}| > 2$ , provided the

- 275 lag-one autocorrelation of the fit residual is small (Weatherhead et al., 1998; van der A et al., 2006). To determine the precision ( $\sigma_{\omega}$ ) of the trend we follow the approach of Gardiner et al. (2008) by bootstrap resampling (with replacement) the initial fit residuals to reconstruct the fitted function with representative noise. The model function F(t) is then refitted to this data and the fit parameters recalculated. This process is repeated 2000 times to build a sampling distribution for each of the fit
- 280 coefficients, with the difference between the 2.5<sup>th</sup> and 97.5<sup>th</sup> percentiles representing the coefficient's associated  $2\sigma$  uncertainty (De Smedt et al., 2010). The advantage of the bootstrap resampling method is that it enables non-normally distributed data to be treated robustly (Gardiner et al., 2008).

Fifth, we additionally filter the initial fit residuals with short and long-term filters, to derive several other quantities of interest. For this we follow closely the curve fitting routines adopted by 285 the NOAA's Earth System Earth System Research Laboratory (ERSL) Global Monitoring Division (GMD), which are based on the original study of Thoning et al. (1989) and are fully described online (at http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/mbl/crvfit/crvfit.html). The general approach is to transform the residuals into the frequency domain using a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT), apply a low-pass filter function to the frequency data, then transform the filtered data to the time domain using an inverse 290 FFT. We use a low-pass frequency filter H(f) of the form:

$$H(f) = \exp\left[-\ln 2 \times \left(\frac{f}{f_c}\right)^6\right] \tag{2}$$

where f is the frequency (cycle per year), and  $f_c$  is the frequency response of the filter. We filter the initial fit residual twice, once with a short-term cut-off value for smoothing the data, and once with a long-term value to remove any remaining seasonal oscillation and to track inter-annual variability. Once the residual has been filtered, several curves can be constructed:

- 1. A smoothed function fit  $F_S(t)$  which is the function fit F(t) plus the residual filtered using the short-term cutoff value. Its uncertainty is given by  $\sigma_{F_S}^2 = \sigma_F^2 + \sigma_S^2$ , where  $\sigma_S^2$  is the uncertainty of the short-term filtered residual, and  $\sigma_F$  is the uncertainty of the model function estimated from the covariance matrix of the fit parameters using error propagation.
- 2. A *long-term trend fit*  $F_T(t)$ , which is the linear component of F(t) plus the residual filtered using the long-term filter. It represents the long-term trend with the seasonal cycle removed. Its uncertainty is  $\sigma_{F_T}^2 = \sigma_F^2 + \sigma_L^2$ , where  $\sigma_L^2$  is the uncertainty of the long-term filtered residual.
  - 3. A *de-trended seasonal cycle*  $F_C(t)$  which is computed by subtracting the long-term trend fit from the smoothed function fit, that is,  $F_C(t) = F_S(t) - F_L(t)$ . It represents the annual seasonal oscillation with any long-term trend removed. Its uncertainty is given by  $\sigma_{F_C}^2 =$

305

295

 $\sigma_{F_S}^2 + \sigma_{F_T}^2$ . The average seasonal amplitude is defined as the mean peak to trough difference of  $F_C(t)$ .

- 4. A growth rate curve  $F_G(t)$  which is the rate of change of the long-term trend  $F_T(t)$ , determined using a three-point (quadratic) Lagrangian interpolation to compute the first derivative. Its uncertainty is given by  $\sigma_G^2 = 2 \times \sigma_{F_T}^2$  since the derivative is approximately equal to the
- 310

Its uncertainty is given by  $\sigma_G^2 = 2 \times \sigma_{F_T}^2$  since the derivative is approximately equal to the taking the difference of two data points one year apart, and plotting this difference midway between the two points. The average growth rate G, is then simply the median value of  $F_G(t)$ .

The statistical uncertainty of the residual filters ( $\sigma_S$  and  $\sigma_L$ ) are calculated following Thoning et al. (1989), via:

315 
$$\sigma_{\text{filter}}^2 = \sigma_{rsd}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_c} c_i^2 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{n_c-1} \sum_{k=j+1}^{n_c} c_j c_k r_{(k-j)}$$
 (3)

where  $\sigma_{rsd}$  is the residual standard deviation and  $r_{(k-j)}$  are the lags in a first-order auto-regressive process (defined as  $r(k) = r(1)^k$  for k = 1, 2, ...), and  $n_c$  is the number of filter weights. The filter weights  $c_i$  are the values of the impulse response functions (IRF) of the filter transfer functions, computed by applying the filters to a delta function impulse in the time domain (Thoning et al.,

- 320 1989). We compute the filter uncertainties for the short- and long-term cut-off values individually, and apply them to calculate the uncertainties in the derived curves. In this study, we use short-term and long-term filters of 200 and 667 days, respectively. Sensitivity tests using filters of 100, 150, 500, and 720 days, indicate that these two filter values offer the best compromise for slightly higher correlations between the data and fitted curves, versus slightly smaller curve uncertainties.
- **325** Furthermore, we also evaluated the long-term filter values (500, 667, and 720 days) on the DOMINO  $NO_2$  and SAO HCHO products over urban areas (818 targets) to assess their impact on the growth rate (note the filters do not affect the fitted linear trend  $\mu$ ). We found differences in the growth rates are small when using the 500 and 720 day filters, compared with the default 667 day filter, e.g., for  $NO_2$  the differences are less than 1%, and for HCHO they are 1-2%.
- Figure 3 shows an example of a time-series fit to observed NO<sub>2</sub> data over Dahuk in Iraq (43.00°E, 36.87°N, population: 65683), where a statistically significant large upward linear trend of 2.77±0.35 ×10<sup>14</sup> molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> is found. This corresponds to a linear growth of 12.23±1.54% relative to the observed 2005–2014 median VCD. In this example,  $|\omega/\sigma_{\omega}| = 7.9$  and the uncertainties of the trend ( $F_T$ ) and smoothed curves ( $F_S$ ) are about 5% and 7.5%, respectively. The median growth rate
- 335 *G* is  $12.44\pm8.18\%$ , whilst the mean seasonal amplitude is  $1.78\pm0.32\times10^{15}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> (about 79±14% relative to the median column). A similar analysis of the coincident time series of the NO<sub>2</sub> AMF, cloud fraction, cloud-top pressure and number of samples, reveals no other significant trend. This indicates that the upward growth in NO<sub>2</sub> is not caused by a trend in any other retrieval parameter and is real at the 95% confidence level. This observed increase in NO<sub>2</sub> over the city is
- 340 likely linked to its recent population growth (estimated at 280,400 people in 2012, see e.g., MOP-

KRG, 2012), and rapid urban expansion (Mustafa et al., 2012). Figures S2 to S4 show similar fits for HCHO, CHOCHO, and SO<sub>2</sub>, respectively.

Although no underlying trends have been reported in the OMI data (Boersma et al., 2011b; Li et al., 2013; González Abad et al., 2015), as a precaution we performed the time series analysis on

345 gridded OMI data over the remote Pacific ocean (60N–60S, 90–170W). No statistically significant trends were found for any species.

#### 4 Results

In this section we present our main results, with Tables 1– 4 providing a concise summary of the analysis. Tables S1 to S2 (both excel files) provide a more complete classification of the analysis,
where the highest ranked median levels and absolute linear trends for each target category are tabulated. Only the top 50 ranked locations are given for urban and power plants categories.

#### 4.1 Average Pollution Levels

We use the observed median vertical columns determined for all locations within each category (i.e. not the fitted  $\mu$  in equation 1) to assess average pollution levels (see Table S1). The overall 2005–

- 355 2014 average median columns are 26.98±1.85×10<sup>14</sup> molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> for NO<sub>2</sub>, 3.97±0.30×10<sup>15</sup> molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> for HCHO, 18.71±2.09×10<sup>13</sup> molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> for CHOCHO, and 0.21±0.09 DU for SO<sub>2</sub>. Relative to the overall median column, the highest mean NO<sub>2</sub> values are found over urban areas and oil ports, which are about 5% and 15% higher than refineries and power plants, respectively. Whereas for HCHO, we find that highest average columns are found over oil ports, with
- values about 5% higher than those for refineries, 15% higher than urban areas, and 16% higher than power plants. The highest average CHOCHO levels are also found over oil ports, with refineries, power plants, and urban areas being about 5%, 16%, and 25% less, respectively. For SO<sub>2</sub> the highest average values are found over oil ports and refineries, which are 57% higher than power plants, and 81% higher than urban regions. Hence the general conclusion we can make is that average trace gas levels tend to be highest over oil ports and refineries (with the exception of NO<sub>2</sub>).
  - The interquartile range (IQR) of the observed median columns gives some indication of the spread of the trace gas levels within a given target category. For  $NO_2$  the IQR varies between 68% for urban areas, 59% for refineries, 45% for oil ports and 53% for power plants (as a percentage of the overall average median values). The higher IQR over urban areas may reflect the larger variability
- 370 of underlying NO<sub>x</sub> emissions between different towns and cities. For HCHO, the IQR only varies between 13% for urban areas and ports, to 20% for refineries and 26% for power plants. The similar IQR values likely indicate a lower variation in HCHO sources and sinks over different locations. For CHOCHO, the IQR varies between 25% for urban areas to 51% over refineries, with the IQR over

ports and plants about 46–47%. For  $SO_2$ , the IQR is lowest over urban areas (42%), but increases substantially over ports (100%), power plants (114%) to a maximum over refineries (148%).

Figure S4 shows the statistical box-and-whisker plots of the observed median vertical columns over urban targets for each country. The highest average pollution levels are typically found in Bahrain, Kuwait, Qatar, and the United Arab Emirates (UAE). For example,  $NO_2$  columns over Bahrain, Kuwait, and UAE, are 52%, 66% and 53% above the median urban level of  $28.13 \times 10^{14}$ 

- 380 molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. Similarly for SO<sub>2</sub>, Bahrain is 246%, Kuwait 490%, Qatar 208%, and UAE 166% above the average urban level, whilst for CHOCHO, the corresponding values are Bahrain 33%, Kuwait 72%, Qatar 30%, and UAE 58%. Meanwhile for HCHO, only Qatar at 30% is prominently above the average urban level, whereas Bahrain is 18%, Kuwait 8%, UAE 19%, and Yemen 15%. According to the World Data Bank (http://data.worldbank.org) 2014 gross domestic
- 385 product per capita, Bahrain, Kuwait, Qatar, and UAE were ranked 39<sup>th</sup>, 22<sup>th</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, and 21<sup>st</sup>, respectively. By comparison, Iraq and Iran were ranked only 109<sup>th</sup> and 118<sup>th</sup>. Thus, over this region we find the more economically developed countries tend to have the highest pollution levels.

Considering average  $NO_2$  levels over individual urban locations, we find Kuwait has 25 settlements in the top 50 highest ranked places, whereas Saudi Arabia has 9 locations, Bahrain 5, Iran 5,

- and UAE 5. The highest average NO<sub>2</sub> columns were found over Funtas (in Kuwait), with a median value of  $63 \times 10^{14}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>. Funtas is one of several coastal settlements adjacent to the Mina Al-Ahmadi and Mina Abdullah refineries, which all have high average NO<sub>2</sub> levels. These two refineries had median vertical column levels of  $55.07 \times 10^{14}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>, which were the highest of all refineries. Similarly, the adjacent Mina Al-Ahmadi port had the highest columns over all ports
- 395 (of  $64.11 \times 10^{14}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>). Thus, this general area is a particularly intense NO<sub>2</sub> hotspot, as indicated in Figure 1, due to the close proximity of several emission sources. For comparison, the highest NO<sub>2</sub> columns over power plants were found at the Tarasht Shahid Firouzi power station near Tehran, which had a median level of  $74.18 \times 10^{14}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>.

For HCHO, Iran with 16 locations, Yemen 13, and Saudi Arabia 6, dominated the top-50 ranked urban settlements. The five locations with the highest median values were located in Yemen, along its western coast, with the highest columns found over al-Marawiah, which had an average value of 5.28 ×10<sup>15</sup> molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>. Over refineries, the highest median HCHO columns of 4.86 ×10<sup>15</sup> molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> were detected at the Umm Said refinery in Qatar. In addition, 6 of the highest 10 ranked power plants were also located in Qatar, particularly, those near Doha and Masaieed.

405 However, higher median column values of 4.99 and  $5.03 \times 10^{15}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> were found over the Bandar-e Khomeni port, and Petroshimi and Bandar Immam power plants, respectively. Both these sites are closely located to the Bandar Imam Petrochemical facility in south Iran, which may indicate a potentially strong VOC source.

The top-50 ranked urban locations for  $SO_2$  were dominated by Kuwait (26 locations) and Iran (13 locations). The highest median level of 0.73 DU was found at Rafsanjan (Iran), which is closely

located to the Sarcheshmeh copper mine and smelter facility. The coastal settlements adjacent near to the Shuaiba, Mina Al-Ahmadi and Mina Abdullah refineries (in Kuwait) again had high pollutant levels, typically 0.65–0.68 DU. Similarly, the oil ports of Kharg Island (in the Gulf) and Jeddah terminal also have high levels of 0.85 DU and 0.76 DU. Over power plants, the highest average

415 levels were found over Mobin Petroshimi open gas cycle turbine (OGCT) facility, and the Asalooyeh OCGT near Bushehr (Iran), with levels of 1.00 DU and 0.98 DU, respectively.

The highest average CHOCHO values over urban areas were found mostly in Iran (17 locations), Saudi Arabia (13), and Kuwait (17). The cities of Mecca and Tehran have the largest average levels of 44.32 and 39.44  $\times 10^{13}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. The highest levels over refineries are found

- 420 at Tehran (34.87 ×10<sup>13</sup> molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>) and the three main coastal Kuwait refineries of Shuaiba, Mina Al-Ahmadi and Mina Abdullah (30.34–30.80 ×10<sup>13</sup> molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>). The oil port of Mina Al-Ahmadi also has the highest median level of 30.48 ×10<sup>13</sup> molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>. The Mecca OCGT power plant recorded the highest level of 43.81 ×10<sup>13</sup> molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>; other notable CHOCHO levels over power facilities were at Tarasht Shahid Firouzi and Besat (in Tehran) with columns of 425 42.72 and 39.85 ×10<sup>13</sup> molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively.
  - 4.2 Observed Maximum Pollution Levels

We find median maximum values range from 41-79% above the overall median VCD for NO<sub>2</sub> (and are highest over oil ports), 68-92% for HCHO (highest over oil ports), 118-135% for CHOCHO (highest over refineries), and 93-318% for SO<sub>2</sub> (highest over refineries). However, the *actual* max-

- 430 imum values observed can be substantially higher. For example, the three highest NO<sub>2</sub> columns were found at (1) the 247.5MW Oil Besat Thermal Power Plant in Tehran, Iran (189.85  $\times 10^{14}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>, 604% above overall median VCD), (2) the Tarasht Shahid Firouzi power plant also in Tehran (167.78  $\times 10^{14}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>, 522% higher), and (3) over Tehran itself (136.65 $\times 10^{14}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>, 407% higher). For HCHO, the highest three maximum values are found over the
- Iranian towns of (1) Shahrkord (10.49×10<sup>15</sup> molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>, 164% higher than median VCD),
  (2) Somehsara (9.89×10<sup>15</sup> molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>, 149%), and (3) Fuman (9.83×10<sup>15</sup> molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>, 148%). Whilst for CHOCHO, the highest values are found in the towns of (1) Piranshahr (Iran) which had a maximum of 112.10×10<sup>13</sup> molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> (499%), (2) Tafileh in Jordan (96.57×10<sup>13</sup> molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>, 416%), and (3) Qaemshahr in Iran (80.52×10<sup>13</sup> molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>, 330%). Lastly,
- 440 for SO<sub>2</sub> the three highest maximum values were at the (1 & 2) Mobin Petroshimi OCGT CHP and Asalooyeh OCGT power plants, both in Bushehr (Iran), which had values of 2.22 DU (957%) and 2.05 (876%) respectively, and (3) the oil port at Kharg Island, which had a value of 1.98 DU (843%).

#### 4.3 Seasonal Variability

To assess the the seasonal variability over each target we determined the average peak-to-peak difference of its corresponding time series, and used these values to compute the median seasonal amplitude over all locations, within each target category. For NO2 median seasonal peak-to-peak amplitudes are very similar irrespective of target categories. Typical amplitudes of  $10-12 \times 10^{14}$ molecules  $cm^{-2}$  are observed (about 39–46% relative to the overall median). The highest seasonal peak-to-peak amplitude of  $66 \times 10^{14}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> was found over Besat Thermal Power Plant

- in Iran, whereas the lowest seasonal peak-to-peak amplitude of  $1.49 \times 10^{14}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> was 450 determined over Ataq in Yemen. Unlike NO2, HCHO exhibits greater seasonal variability (relative to the overall median) with seasonal amplitudes that vary from  $3.02-3.43 \times 10^{15}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> (or about 60–86%) with the highest of 5.65  $\times 10^{15}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> over Dahuk in Iran, and a lowest of  $1.20 \times 10^{15}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> over Salalah OCGT power plant in Dhofar (Oman). Similarly, CHO-
- CHO also exhibits large seasonal variability as median amplitudes vary from  $14.38-16.48 \times 10^{13}$ 455 molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>, or 77–88%, with the highest amplitude of 44.53  $\times 10^{13}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> over Qaemshahr (Iran) and lowest amplitude over Bilin (Palestine) of  $8.89 \times 10^{13}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup>. The seasonal variations in HCHO and CHOCHO are predominately driven by seasonal variations in biogenic VOC emissions (Müller et al., 2015). Even larger seasonal variability is demonstrated for  $SO_2$ ,
- 460 as amplitudes range from 0.21–0.44 DU (100–210%) with the lowest amplitude of 0.09 DU over Al Gaydah (Yemen) and highest over 1.15 DU over Shahreza (Iran). We find the SO<sub>2</sub> and CHOCHO retrievals are generally noisier than their NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO counterparts, and thus their corresponding time series, even after outlier filtering and residual smoothing are noisier too (see e.g., Figure S3-4). Thus, the higher seasonal amplitudes found in the CHOCHO and  $SO_2$  data, may simply reflect larger point-to-point variability in their time series data.
- 465

#### 4.4 Linear Trends $\mu$

For NO<sub>2</sub>, statistically significant real linear trends were determined for 198 of 818 urban locations (a detection rate of 24%). However, in Palestine only 1 out of a potential 274 urban targets had a real trend. Neglecting the Palestine results in this instance, increases the overall detection rate to 36%. The corresponding 'detection percentages' for oil refineries, oil ports, and power plants are 470 42%, 33%, and 37% respectively (Table 1). Urban trends ranged from  $-3.05\pm0.93$  to  $12.23\pm1.54$ % per year (relative to the observed median column), with the highest trend in Dahuk (Section 3.3,

- Figure 3). Generally, Iraq and Iran have the highest linear NO<sub>2</sub> trends. For example, 5 of top 7 highest linear trends were found in Iraq, whereas 21 Iranian cities appeared in the top 50 (see Table S2).
- Overall, the median linear trend is about  $3\% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , although for the top 50 highest ranked locations, 475 the trends were of order  $2-12 \% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , relative to each locations observed median VCD. Only two locations showed a decrease in NO<sub>2</sub>: Aleppo (a.k.a Halab; of  $-2.43\pm1.06$  % yr<sup>-1</sup>) and As-Safira  $(-3.05\pm0.93 \% \text{ yr}^{-1})$ , both in Syria. On a per-country basis, 75% of urban targets in Iraq had a real increasing trend, 58% in Iran, 53% Lebanon, 50% Qatar, 44% Oman, 38% Saudai Arabia, 31%
- 480 Jordan, 22% Syria, 11% Kuwait, 4% in Israel, 3% Yemen, and Palestine <1%. No trends were detected in the UAE or Bahrain.

Trends over refineries ranged from about 2–6 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, with a maximum found over the Daura refinery, near Baghdad; its capacity is smaller than some of the larger refineries which may indicate the influence of other nearby NO<sub>2</sub> sources. For Iran, Iraq, and UAE, about 60% of the refineries studied showed an NO<sub>2</sub> increase. Trends over oil ports ranged from about 2–9 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, with highest trend found over Umm Qasr in Iraq. Trends over power plants ranged from about 2–8 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, with 5 of top highest 10 trends found in Iran. The highest trends for power stations were detected over the two Sabiya plants in Kuwait which had trends of 8.13±0.14 % yr<sup>-1</sup>. Figure 4, which shows the geographical distribution of NO<sub>2</sub> trends (top left panel), indicates that there are several local and regional locations where consistent increases NO<sub>2</sub> are observed. For example, the are several targets with increasing trends situated close to Riyadh, Tehran, Baghdad, Muscat (in Oman), as well

as Isfahan and Yazid (both in Iran). Regional trend hot-spots include the areas south-west of Tehran, and a thin corridor stretching from northern Jordan to Lebanon, passing through south-west Syria.
 For HCHO, statistically significant real linear trends were determined for only 4% of urban loca tions. The corresponding trend detection percentages are 15% for oil refineries, 22% for oil ports,

- tions. The corresponding trend detection percentages are 15% for oil refineries, 22% for oil ports, and 17% for power plants (Table 2). Urban trends ranged from 2–7 % yr<sup>-1</sup>. The highest absolute linear urban trend was found al-Wakrah in Qatar (Figure S2), although this was only about 5% yr<sup>-1</sup> in relative terms; the highest percentage trend was found over Attaif in Saudi Arabia (6.95±2.42 % yr<sup>-1</sup>; see Table S2). Generally, Saudi Arabia has the highest number of settlements with increasing
- 500 HCHO trends (13 of the corresponding 34 trends found). Elsewhere there were 6 trends found in Oman, 5 in Qatar, 3 in Iran, 3 in UAE, 1 Iraq and 1 Israel. Only six trends were detected over oil refineries, which ranged from 2–3.5 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, with a maximum found over the Ruwais refinery in UAE. Only four trends over oil ports were found, which ranged from 2–4 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, with highest trend found over the Ras Laffan port at Al Khawr (Qatar). Trends over power plants ranged from 2–7 %
- 505 yr<sup>-1</sup>, but were only found over Saudi Arabia (8 stations), Iran (5), Qatar (4), and UAE (5). Figure 4 (top right panel) shows that the target locations with trends are mostly found along the western Gulf coast, particularly along the Saudi Arabian coast near Ad-Dammam, and also near Doha in Qatar. For SO<sub>2</sub>, very few trends were detected, only 2% over urban targets, 7% over refineries, 11% over

oil ports and 6% over power plants (Table 4). Over urban areas, trends ranged from  $-61.64\pm28.73$  %

- 510 yr<sup>-1</sup> in Hamismusayt (Saudi Arabia) to 118.49±42.78 % yr<sup>-1</sup> in Azrashahr (Iran); the latter value is inflated by a median SO<sub>2</sub> column of approximately zero over its location. Notably, 11 of the 18 trends were detected in Iran. Over refineries, three trends were detected of about 9–15 % yr<sup>-1</sup>. Over oil ports, the Iranian oil ports of Bandar-e Khomeni and Kharg Island, showed decreases of about 6% yr<sup>-1</sup>. Lastly, over power plants 5 locations had decreasing trends of -7 to -22 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, and 4
- 515 stations increasing trends of about 6–305 % yr<sup>-1</sup> (again the latter value has a median SO<sub>2</sub> column of approximately zero). Figure 4 (bottom left panel) shows the geographical distribution of the SO<sub>2</sub> trends; it is evident that several closely located targets exhibit similar trends, particularly near (1) Abba (in southwest Saudi Arabia), (2) around Neka, Sari and Behhshahr in northern Iran, which are

close to the Shamid Salimi power plant at Nowzarabad, and (3) Tarbiz, Azrashahr, and Maragheh in Iran.

For CHOCHO only two trends were detected: (1) at Al-Hawr (Qatar) of  $-4.58 \ \% \ yr^{-1}$ , and (2) at the Ras Laffan Power plant in Qatar of  $-4.83 \ \% \ yr^{-1}$ , which are located close to each other (Figure 4; bottom right panel).

#### 4.5 Median Growth Rates G

520

- 525 Figures 5 show the growth rates that correspond to sites with statistically significant linear trends (i.e. where we can be sure that the trend is not attributed to variations in other retrieval parameters and where there is enough signal in the data themselves to give a meaningful trend), and Figure 6 shows their difference, here defined as the linear trend (in % yr<sup>-1</sup>) minus the growth rate (in % yr<sup>-1</sup>). Differences between the linear trend and growth rate occur due to the latter tracking inter-annual
- 530 variations in the data not accounted for in the linear part of equation 1 (see Thoning et al., 1989). While the overall median differences are quite small (typically less than 2 % yr<sup>-1</sup>), for individual locations they can be much larger. Typically for NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO, the differences can range between  $\pm 5$  % yr<sup>-1</sup> (Table S3). Such occurrences are non-negligible. For example, the NO<sub>2</sub> linear trend and growth rates over Ibri (in Oman), were about 3 % yr<sup>-1</sup> and 8 % yr<sup>-1</sup> respectively (i.e. their difference
- 535 is 5 % yr<sup>-1</sup>). For SO<sub>2</sub> the differences can be even more substantial (i.e. tens of percent per year), but this is likely an effect from filtering much noisier fit residuals (e.g., as shown in Figure S4). Furthermore, Figure 6 show that there is no clear spatial patterns or coherence to the geographical distribution of the linear and growth differences. This raises the interesting question for AQ trend studies: should one consider linear changes in a trace gas, or use a growth rate? The former does
- 540 not capture shorter-term variations in growth, but the latter is more susceptible to the choice of fit residual filter and has larger uncertainties.

#### 5 Trends: Fact or fiction?

It is, perhaps, tempting to try explain the trends in terms of variations in underlying emissions and/or atmospheric chemistry. However, it seems more prudent to carefully assess the validity of our pre-545 sented results instead. We do this by examining our analytical uncertainties, by performing a series of sensitivity tests, and by discussing our results in the context of other recent satellite studies.

#### 5.1 Uncertainties

Calculated uncertainties in the linear trend and other derived curves mostly reflect the noise of the trace gases time series. For  $NO_2$ , and considering only those locations with real statistically signifi-

550 cant trends, we find the median uncertainty in the linear trend is about 1%. The median uncertainties are about 4% for the trend curves, 6–7% for the smoothed curves, 5–6% in the growth curves, and

16

about 6–8% seasonal-cycles, respectively. For HCHO, corresponding values are very similar; 5% in the trend curves, 7% in the smoothed, 7% in the growth and 9% in the seasonal curves, with the median uncertainty in the linear trend about 1%. For CHOCHO, uncertainties are slightly higher, being

555 10% in the trend curves, 15% in the smoothed, 14% in the growth and 18% in the seasonal curves. The median uncertainty in the linear trend is about 2%. The uncertainties are noticeably higher for  $SO_2$ , with errors of 10–23% in the trend curves, 15–34% in the smoothed, 14–32% in the growth and 18–40% in the seasonal curves. The median uncertainty in the  $SO_2$  linear trend is about 2–5%. Thus for the most part, the uncertainties in the linear trends are comparable or smaller than than

the trends themselves. However, uncertainties in the growth rates are comparable or higher than the

560

# derived average growth trends.

#### 5.2 Sensitivity Tests

Subtle differences in the data analysis approach may affect the choice of locations where trends are detected, or may change existing trend directions and magnitudes. Given this situation several additional tests were carried out to determine the sensitivity of our results to various parameters. These are outlined below. Tables S4–S7 record how many trends were now detected in each test, and whether or not they were previously detected in the default approach (as outlined in Section 3.3).

# - Test 1: Construct each 10-year time series using a mask of $\pm 4$ grid-cells (~20 km radius around each target), instead of the default $\pm 2$ grid-cells (~10 km radius around each target).

For NO<sub>2</sub>, there were 22 and 12 extra trends detected over urban and power plants, respectively, compared to our default analysis (shown in Table 1). The number of NO<sub>2</sub> trends detected over oil ports and refineries were unchanged. However, some trends previously detected were now missing, compensated by new trends over other locations. For example, in the case of urban targets, 170 locations in this test were also previously detected in the default scenario, but 28 of the previous trends were missing, and 50 new trends detected (see Table S34). Nevertheless, median linear trends were of similar order (about -2 to 10 % yr<sup>-1</sup>). The highest urban NO<sub>2</sub> trends were still at Dahuk and Irbil (in Iraq), but marginally changed from  $12.23\pm1.54$  % yr<sup>-1</sup> to  $10.39\pm1.42$  % yr<sup>-1</sup>, and from  $8.76\pm1.17$  % yr<sup>-1</sup> to  $8.18\pm1.16$  % yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Umm Qasr and Zubayr oil terminals were still the highest ranked oil ports, at 8-9 % yr<sup>-1</sup>. Similarly, the Sabiya CGGT and OCGT power plants still had the highest trend of about 8 % yr<sup>-1</sup>.

For HCHO this resulted in an extra 25 trends being detected (spread over all categories); nevertheless the median linear trends were still about 2–3 % yr<sup>-1</sup>. The majority of trends previously found in the default case were still present in this test. However, notably, the number of detections over oil ports doubled from 4 to 8, with the highest trend now found over Sitrah in Bahrain  $4.03\pm1.14\%$  (the trends over the Ras Laffan and Al-Ruwais oil ports were now

570

565

580

575

585

17

displaced to second and third highest). That said, both al-Wakarah and Doha still had the highest (absolute) trends for cities (of about  $3-4 \% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ), and again the Ras Abu Fountas OCGT power plant in Doha (Qatar) also topped the highest ranked trends over power stations. For SO<sub>2</sub> the highest urban trends were still over Ilam and Tabriz (Iran), and also Baghdad and Irbil (Iraq). Although 18 urban trends were still detected, only 9 were previously found in the default scenario (including those over Sari, Neka and Maragheh), thus 9 new trend locations were found. Over oil ports the highest trend was now over Umm Qasr relative trend of 23.09±5.87 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, as a trend was now not detected at Bandar-e Khomeni (BIK); Kharg Island still reported a decrease of about  $-6 \% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ . SO<sub>2</sub> trends were found over the same three refineries, and were still about 9–12 % yr<sup>-1</sup>. Median trends over urban areas changed from 9.8 to 12.0 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, but refineries and power plants remained at about 9 and 6 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The Sabiya CGGT and OCGT power plants in Kuwait were still the highestranked trends.

For CHOCHO, in addition to the trend of al-Hawr, only an extra urban trend at Shushtar (Iran) of  $-5.33\pm2.58$  % yr<sup>-1</sup> was detected. An additional trend at the Ras Laffan (Qatar) refinery was also found of about -4.27±1.91 % yr<sup>-1</sup>; the trend over the Ras Laffan power stations was also present as in the default scenario.

In summary, although increasing the averaging radius to ~20 km around each target has a small impact on overall median trends, it can result in differences at individual locations. Generally however, most of the trends found in the default approach were still present.

- Test 2: Use of different cloud fraction filters

590

595

605

610

615

For this test, we used only OMI NO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO observations with a cloud fraction  $\leq 40\%$  in the analysis; CHOCHO and SO<sub>2</sub> and were untested, as the use of a strict cloud threshold is advised (Krotkov, 2014).

For NO<sub>2</sub>, there were 45 fewer trends detected in total (mostly over urban locations, 165 compared to 198 previously). The majority of trends in the default analysis were still present hence the relative median trends were still about  $3-4 \% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ . Furthermore, the top ten urban ranked trends were also mostly unchanged, as were those over oil ports, refineries and power plants compared to the default scenario.

For HCHO there were two less detections in total, with the highest urban trend found over Ardebil (Iran) at  $7.06\pm2.53$  % yr<sup>-1</sup>. Again the majority of locations with trends were consistent with those detected in the default scenario; hence the median trends are still about 3 % yr<sup>-1</sup>.

620 Thus, in summary, increasing the cloud fraction for  $NO_2$  and HCHO slightly decreases the number of trends found, and on average, has a small impact on overall median trends. Differences at individual locations can still occur, compared to the default approach. In another test, we also applied a stricter effective cloud fraction filter of 10% to all species - for  $SO_2$  this correspond to a cloud radiance fraction of 20% - although this may introduce a clear-sky bias relative to all-sky conditions (Krotkov et al., 2016). This had little impact on  $SO_2$  although fewer trends in total were detected for  $NO_2$  (232) and HCHO (59); no trends were found for CHOCHO. Generally, most of the highest ranked trends for each species were very similar to the default scenario. This indicates that a 20% cloud fraction filter is likely an optimum choice for detecting air-quality trends over this region without affecting trend magnitudes.

#### - Test 3: Use of OMI detector rows totally unaffected by the row-anomaly (rows 5-23)

Despite the use of the static masks and OMI level-1B XTrackQualityFlags in the data gridding (Section 3.1), statistically significant sampling trends are present for a number of locations where VCD trends occur (Tables 1–4). Therefore, we repeated our analysis but using only data from OMI's unaffected rows.

However, despite using data from the unaffected detector rows, we still find sampling trends present in some time series. For example, for NO<sub>2</sub> 227 statistically significant VCD trends were now detected in total, but 57 of those had a sampling trend. As a consequence, for NO<sub>2</sub> only 136 'real' trends in total were detected (instead of 278; see Table 1), in particular over 100 less trends were found over settlements, and nearly half the amount over power plants. Nevertheless, trends were found over the same locations (especially in the top 20 ranked urban) with median trends now  $3.0-6.5 \% \text{ yr}^{-1}$  (was  $3-4 \% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ). The Daura refinery (near Baghdad) and the Umm Qasr port still had the highest trends of these categories (but now of  $5.78\pm0.95$ and  $7.76\pm0.91 \% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , respectively).

- For HCHO, only 38 trends were detected in total (was previous total was 70), in particular, 27 urban and 16 power plant trends previously detected were now missing. Median linear trends about  $3.0-5.0 \% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , with the highest urban trends now found over over Sarepol ( $7.39\pm3.41 \% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ) and Torbatejam ( $8.41\pm2.60 \% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ), both in Iran.
- For SO<sub>2</sub> there were 7 fewer trends detected in total, but notably 9 of the default of 18 urban trends were still detected. Median trends were about -7 to 13 % yr<sup>-1</sup> (formerly -7 to 10 % yr<sup>-1</sup>). Urban trends now ranged from about -12 to 150 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, and power plants -7 to 75 % yr<sup>-1</sup>. A decrease of about 7 % yr<sup>-1</sup> was found over Kharg Island port, as was an increase of 9.69±2.40 % yr<sup>-1</sup> over the Daura refinery.

For CHOCHO, only two trends over the towns of Ardebil (of about 25 %  $yr^{-1}$ ) and Shushtar (of about -18 %  $yr^{-1}$ ), both in Iran, were detected.

Thus, in summary, we find that using less observations results in fewer trends being detected (since there are less observations in averaging which increases the noise). Furthermore, we find that use of the unaffected rows results in slightly higher median trends overall. Selection

625

630

635

640

655

# of different detector rows also changes the pixel sizes included in the analysis, which may impact the results.

#### - Test 4: Increased smoothing of gridded monthly averages

660

665

680

To further reduce noise in the gridded data we increase the degree of smoothing by using a larger Gaussian filter of  $0.35^{\circ} \times 0.35^{\circ}$  with a 2- $\sigma$  width. This had a small effect on our results, as the total number of trends detected for all species and categories was more or less the same in the default scenario, and nearly all the trends locations in the default scenario are still detected. Median trends were also unchanged.

- Test 5: No filtering for outliers in VCD time series analysis

Whilst filtering for VCD outliers in the time series fitting is advantageous in improving the modelling fitting, it may also remove genuine data points that may affect trend detection.

For NO<sub>2</sub> the number of detections and their locations are approximately the same, albeit with 27 new urban trends replacing 26 default trends. Tehran is now the top-ranked city (in absolute terms) although its relative trend of 8.82±2.59 % yr<sup>-1</sup> is still less than that found at Dahuk (15.86±2.33 % yr<sup>-1</sup>). Considering all target categories, we find median trends range from about -2 to 16 % yr<sup>-1</sup> (as opposed to about -3 to 12 % yr<sup>-1</sup>). Similarly for HCHO, the number of detections and their locations are approximately the same, and the range of trends is unchanged.

For the most part SO<sub>2</sub> trends are also in the same places, with a few exceptions over urban and power plant targets. Trends over urban and refinery targets cover a higher range -23 to 148 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, and 10–20 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, than previously. This results in higher median values of 14 and 15 % yr<sup>-1</sup> for these categories (compared to the default case). Median values over oil ports and power plants are unchanged.

Lastly, for CHOCHO, only one urban trend was now detected over Shushtar (Iran) at  $-8.65\pm3.83$  % yr<sup>-1</sup>, and two extra trends were found over the closely located power plants both at the Az Zour plant complex in Mina Said (Kuwait), which had a trend of  $-3.64\pm1.75$  % yr<sup>-1</sup>.

In summary, not filtering for VCD outliers only has a small effect on our results. Typically, most trends in the default scenario are detected, albeit with some changes in trend magnitudes (mostly for NO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>).

- Test 6: Focus only on cities with >500,000 people using a spatial mask of  $\pm 16$  grid-cells (~80 km radius around each target)

In this test we focused on the integrated signals from large population centres, which correspond to 32 targets only. For NO<sub>2</sub> there are 22 trends detected (a detection rate of 69%), for HCHO there were 9 trends (32% detection rate), and SO<sub>2</sub> 3 trends were found (9% detection rate). No trends were found for CHOCHO. We find NO<sub>2</sub> trends are similar to those found in the default analysis. For example, trends over Tehran, Baghdad, Riydah, Kirkuk, Orumiyeh (Iran), Isfahan and Ad-Dammam are approximately the same, although at Irbil the linear trend is now 6 %  $yr^{-1}$ ; previously it was about 9  $\% \, yr^{-1}$ .

For HCHO there were three locations which could be compared to the default case. These were: Attaif (now 3 % yr<sup>-1</sup> was previously 7 % yr<sup>-1</sup>), Abu Zabi (now 2 % yr<sup>-1</sup> was 3%  $yr^{-1}$ ) and Addammam (still about 3%  $yr^{-1}$ ), but there were 6 new trend locations found with trends from  $2-3 \% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , consistent with HCHO trends over other urban settlements.

For SO<sub>2</sub> only Tarbiz has trend that was previously detected (61 % yr<sup>-1</sup>), but now with a value of 37 % yr<sup>-1</sup>. We now find two other trends of about 15 % yr<sup>-1</sup> at Kermanshah (Iran) and 22 % yr<sup>-1</sup> at al-Mawsil (Mosul,Iraq).

705 In summary, for  $NO_2$  and HCHO, we find that the trend detection percentages (relative to the 32 targets) increase, but generally the trends over co-existing locations found in the default analysis do not really change.

Therefore, whilst different approaches in the time series analysis may, in some cases, affect trends found over individual locations, overall there are a large number of targets (per gas species) where

710 trends of approximately the same magnitude are consistently detected. This gives some level of confidence in the robustness of the trend analysis.

#### 5.3 **Comparative Studies**

695

700

Another way to corroborate our results is through comparison to other similar independent studies. For example, Duncan et al. (2016) performed NO<sub>2</sub> trend analysis for the world's major cities

- using the official NASA product, as described in Bucsela et al. (2013), available from the NASA 715 Goddard Earth Sciences Data Active Archive Centre (http://disc.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov). In that study, monthly mean values were based on the average of OMI data falling within  $0.3^{\circ} \times 0.3^{\circ}$  boxes centred over the cities. We find good agreement in trend magnitudes (within cited errors) for Tehran, Baghdad, Kirkuk, Kuwait City, Beirut, Aleppo, Mecca (not classified in our analysis as a 'real'
- trend) and Jerusalem (not classified here as significant). There is disagreement at Mosul  $(4.43 \pm 1.18)$ 720 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, here  $2.14\pm1.02$  % yr<sup>-1</sup>) and Riyadh ( $0.00\pm1.19$  % yr<sup>-1</sup>, here  $3.27\pm0.85$  % yr<sup>-1</sup>), Homs  $(-0.81\pm0.99$  % yr<sup>-1</sup>, here  $1.26\pm0.74$  % yr<sup>-1</sup> and classified as real but not significant), and Damascus  $(-3.72\pm1.10 \% \text{ yr}^{-1})$ , here  $-0.65\pm0.92 \% \text{ yr}^{-1}$  classified as not significant or real). These small discrepancies likely occur because of the different choices of OMI data set and different anal-725 ysis methodology.

Furthermore, the analysis of SCIAMACHY NO<sub>2</sub> over 2002–2012 by Schneider et al. (2015), revealed relative trends of  $3.2\pm1.1$  % yr<sup>-1</sup> over Tehran,  $2.1\pm1.1$  % yr<sup>-1</sup> over Riyadh, and  $8.5\pm1.2$ % yr<sup>-1</sup> over Baghdad, which are compatible with our  $NO_2$  trends. Similarly, a top-down multispecies inversion involving OMI DOMINO  $NO_2$  columns by Miyazaki et al. (2016) indicated  $NO_x$ 

- 730 emissions trends of 3.7 % yr<sup>-1</sup> for Tehran and 4.7 % yr<sup>-1</sup> for Kuwait City; which are broadly consistent with our results. However, Miyazaki et al. (2016) also found an emissions trend of -6.0 % yr<sup>-1</sup> for Dubai, whereas we find a NO<sub>2</sub> trend of  $-0.56\pm1.05$  % yr<sup>-1</sup> (classed as real but not significant).
- We have also compared our results with the global catalogue of  $SO_2$  emission sources produced by Fioletov et al. (2016). Over the Middle East we were able to identify thirty corresponding targets, for which we compared the linear trends of the derived  $SO_2$  emissions to our derived  $SO_2$  trace gas trends. We find five locations where the  $SO_2$  trends in both studies agree (within errors), and where we class the trends as real and significant. For example, there is excellent agreement at Kharg Island (here  $-6.89\pm2.20\%$  yr<sup>-1</sup> versus  $-7.84\pm2.50\%$  yr<sup>-1</sup>) and the Besat power plant in Tehran (in this
- study  $3.54\pm3.35\%$  yr<sup>-1</sup>, versus  $3.86\pm3.61\%$  yr<sup>-1</sup>). However, we also find good agreement at an additional 16 sites, where we class the trends as real but not significant, thus indicating the good correspondence between the two independent studies.

Lastly, both Lelieveld et al. (2015) and Duncan et al. (2016) have used independent economic and social information to attempt an interpretation of observed changes in OMI  $NO_2$  and  $SO_2$  over

- the Middle East. We have further compared our derived trends to the linear growth in the Organisation of Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) oil production and demand data, and additionally, population, GDP per capita (GDP), and energy consumption per capita (EC) data, from the World Data Bank (http://data.worldbank.org). We find such comparisons, are at the very least, difficult to interpret. For example, over 2005–2014 Iran's GDP grew by 2.8 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, inline with the NO<sub>2</sub> and
- 750 HCHO median linear trends of 2–4 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, but its oil production fell by -2.5 % yr<sup>-1</sup> conflicting with the increasing OMI trace gas trends found over refineries.

#### 6 Summary and Outlook

755

We have performed a robust and detailed time series analysis on 10 years of OMI trace gas observations of NO<sub>2</sub>, HCHO, SO<sub>2</sub>, and CHOCHO, to assess changes in local air-quality for over 1000 urban, oil and energy target locations over the Middle East during the period 2005–2014.

We find the highest average pollution levels of HCHO,  $SO_2$ , and CHOCHO are over the major oil ports and refineries, compared to urban areas and power plants. For HCHO and CHOCHO, the average trace columns are about 15–25% higher over the oil ports and refineries, whereas for  $SO_2$ the columns are about 60–80% higher. In contrast,  $NO_2$  is found to be slightly higher over the urban

760 areas and oil ports by about 5–15%. The highest average pollution levels over urban settlements are typically in Bahrain, Kuwait, Qatar, and UAE. Other notable pollutant hotspots include: (1) Kuwait City, Tehran and Mecca; (2) the west coast of Yemen where HCHO levels are high, and (3) elevated  $SO_2$  near Rasanjan and over Kharg Island. We find the observed vertical columns can exceed average levels by about 40–320%, depending on the trace gas species.

- Our analysis shows that NO<sub>2</sub> linear trends over urban locations range from about -3 to 12 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, although only two locations showed a decrease in NO<sub>2</sub>. Linear trends over oil refineries and oil ports are about 2–6 % yr<sup>-1</sup> and 2–9 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Trends over power plants range from 2–8 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, with 5 of the topmost 10 trends found in Iran. For HCHO, we find urban trends are 2–7 % yr<sup>-1</sup>. Only six trends of 2–3.5 % yr<sup>-1</sup> were detected over oil refineries, and only four trends
- of 2–4 % yr<sup>-1</sup> were detected over oil ports. Trends over power plants ranged from 2–7 % yr<sup>-1</sup>. The increasing HCHO trends are mostly found along the western Gulf coast, particularly along the Saudi Arabian coast near Ad-Dammam, and also near Doha in Qatar. Very few SO<sub>2</sub> trends were detected. Over urban areas, trends ranged from about –60 to 120 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, with 11 of the 18 trends were detected in Iran. However, some of the high relative SO<sub>2</sub> trends can be attributed to median
- P75 levels of approximately zero over the location. Over refineries, three trends were detected of about 9–15 % yr<sup>-1</sup>, whilst the Iranian oil ports of Bandar-e Khomeni and Kharg Island, showed decreases of about 6% yr<sup>-1</sup>. Apart from two locations, we find CHOCHO levels are not changing over the Middle East. Derived growth rates, are, on average, very similar to the fitted linear trends, although difference can occur for individual locations, particularly for SO<sub>2</sub>. We find our derived linear trends
  780 are generally consistent with other independent OMI trend studies over this region.

Therefore, based on this analysis, we can conclude that for a large number of locations, air-quality has deteriorated over 2005–2014. Whilst effective regulatory measures have been established in some countries, it is clear that effective pollutant emission controls are required to limit health impacts on the region's population. Whether this goal is achievable is an open question, especially

785 in countries experiencing civil unrest (e.g., Iraq, Syria, Yemen, Palestine) or increasing economic growth (e.g., Iran).

In the near future, the TROPospheric Ozone Monitoring Instrument (TROPOMI), which is expected to be launched in 2016 and has a smaller spatial observational footprint compared to OMI, should further help evaluate changes in air-quality at local scales. However, it is important that such

790 future measurements are carefully validated and integrated with in-situ measurements and chemical transport models, so that they can be utilised properly to influence air-quality policy decision-making in Middle Eastern countries.

Acknowledgements. This research used the ALICE and SPECTRE High Performance Computing Facility at the University of Leicester. We acknowledge the free use of DOMINO tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> column data from

795 www.temis.nl, and the HCHO and SO<sub>2</sub> data from the NASA OMI portal (http://disc.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/Aura/ data-holdings/OMI).

23

#### References

- Abdul-Wahab, S. A.: Two case studies of air pollution from Oman and Kuwait, International Journal of Environmental Studies, 66, 179–191, doi:10.1080/00207230903069403, 2009.
- 800 Acarreta, J. R., De Haan, J. F., and Stammes, P.: Cloud pressure retrieval using the O<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>2</sub> absorption band at 477 nm, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D05204, doi:10.1029/2003JD003915, 2004.

Balk, D., Deichmann, U., Yetman, G., Pozzi, F., and Hay, S. I.and Nelson, A.: Determining Global Population Distribution: Methods, Applications and Data, Advances in Parasitology, 62, 119–156, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0065-308X(05)62004-0, 2006.

- 805 Bey, I., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Logan, J. A., Field, B. D., Fiore, A. M., Li, Q., Liu, H. Y., Mickley, L. J., and Schultz, M. G.: Global modeling of tropospheric chemistry with assimilated meteorology: Model description and evaluation, J. Geophys. Res., 106(D19), 23 073–23 096, doi:10.1029/2001JD000807, 2001.
- Boersma, K. F., Eskes, H. J., Veefkind, J. P., Brinksma, E. J., van der A, R. J., Sneep, M., van den Oord, G. H. J., Levelt, P. F., Stammes, P., Gleason, J. F., and Bucsela, E. J.: Near-real time retrieval of tropospheric
  NO<sub>2</sub> from OMI, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 2103–2118, doi:10.5194/acp-7-2103-2007, 2007.
- Boersma, K. F., Braak, R., and van der A, R. J.: Dutch OMI NO<sub>2</sub> (DOMINO) data product v2.0, HE5 Data File User Manual, 2011a.
  - Boersma, K. F., Eskes, H. J., Dirksen, R. J., van der A, R. J., Veefkind, J. P., Stammes, P., Huijnen, V., Kleipool, Q. L., Sneep, M., Claas, J., Leitão, J., Richter, A., Zhou, Y., and Brunner, D.: An improved tropospheric
- NO<sub>2</sub> column retrieval algorithm for the Ozone Monitoring Instrument, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 1905–1928, doi:10.5194/amt-4-1905-2011, 2011b.
  - Bovensmann, H., Burrows, J. P., Buchwitz, M., Frerick, J., Nöel, S., Rozanov, V. V., Chance, K. V., and Goede, A.: SCIAMACHY mission objectives and measurement modes, J. Atmos. Sci., 56, 127–150, 1999.

Bucsela, E. J., Krotkov, N. A., Celarier, E. A., Lamsal, L. N., Swartz, W. H., Bhartia, P. K., Boersma, K. F.,

- 820 Veefkind, J. P., Gleason, J. F., and Pickering, K. E.: A new stratospheric and tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> retrieval algorithm for nadir-viewing satellite instruments: applications to OMI, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 2607–2626, doi:10.5194/amt-6-2607-2013, 2013.
  - Burrows, J. P., Weber, M., Buchwitz, M., Rozanov, V., Ladstätter-Weißenmayer, A., Richter, A., Debeek, R., Hoogen, R., Bramstedt, K., Eichmann, K.-U., Eisinger, M., and Perner, D.: The Global Ozone Monitoring
- Experiment (GOME): Mission Concept and First Scientific Results., J. Atmos. Sci., 56, 151–175, 1999.
   Callies, J., Corpaccioli, E., Eisinger, M., Hahne, A., and Lefebvre, A.: GOME-2- Metop's second-generation sensor for operational ozone monitoring, ESA Bull., pp. 28–36, 2006.
  - De Smedt, I., Stavrakou, T., Müller, J.-F., van der A, R. J., and Van Roozendael, M.: Trend detection in satellite observations of formaldehyde tropospheric columns, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L18808,
- doi:10.1029/2010GL044245, 2010.
  - De Smedt, I., Stavrakou, T., Hendrick, F., Danckaert, T., Vlemmix, T., Pinardi, G., Theys, N., Lerot, C., Gielen, C., Vigouroux, C., Hermans, C., Fayt, C., Veefkind, P., Müller, J.-F., and Van Roozendael, M.: Diurnal, seasonal and long-term variations of global formaldehyde columns inferred from combined OMI and GOME-2 observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 12519–12545, doi:10.5194/acp-15-12519-2015, 2015.

- 835 Dentener, F., van Weele, M., Krol, M., Houweling, S., and van Velthoven, P.: Trends and inter-annual variability of methane emissions derived from 1979–1993 global CTM simulations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 73–88, doi:10.5194/acp-3-73-2003, 2003.
  - Duncan, B. N., Prados, A. I., Lamsal, L. N., Liu, Y., Streets, D. G., Gupta, P., Hilsenrath, E., Kahn, R. A., Nielsen, J. E., Beyersdorf, A. J., Burton, S. P., Fiore, A. M., Fishman, J., Henze, D. K., Hostetler, C. A.,
- 840 Krotkov, N. A., Lee, P., Lin, M., Pawson, S., Pfister, G., Pickering, K. E., Pierce, R. B., Yoshida, Y., and Ziemba, L. D.: Satellite data of atmospheric pollution for U.S. air quality applications: Examples of applications, summary of data end-user resources, answers to FAQs, and common mistakes to avoid, Atmos. Environ., 94, 647 – 662, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.05.061, 2014.
- Duncan, B. N., Lamsal, L. N., Thompson, A. M., Yoshida, Y., Lu, Z., Streets, D. G., Hurwitz, M. M., and
  Pickering, K. E.: A space-based, high-resolution view of notable changes in urban NO<sub>x</sub> pollution around the world (2005–2014), J. Geophys. Res., 121, 976–996, doi:10.1002/2015JD024121, 2015JD024121, 2016.
  - Dutch Space: GDPS Input/Output Data Specification (IODS) Volume 2, SD-OMIE-7200-DS-467, 2009.
  - Fioletov, V. E., McLinden, C. A., Krotkov, N., Moran, M. D., and Yang, K.: Estimation of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions using OMI retrievals, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, n/a–n/a, doi:10.1029/2011GL049402, l21811, 2011.
- 850 Fioletov, V. E., McLinden, C. A., Krotkov, N., Li, C., Joiner, J., Theys, N., Carn, S., and Moran, M. D.: A global catalogue of large SO<sub>2</sub> sources and emissions derived from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 2016, 1–45, doi:10.5194/acp-2016-417, 2016.
  - Furman, H. and Hadar, K.: Dust Storms in the Middle East: Sources of Origin and Their Temporal Characteristics, Indoor and Built Environment, 12, 419–426, doi:10.1177/1420326X03037110, 2003.
- 855 Gardiner, T., Forbes, A., de Mazière, M., Vigouroux, C., Mahieu, E., Demoulin, P., Velazco, V., Notholt, J., Blumenstock, T., Hase, F., Kramer, I., Sussmann, R., Stremme, W., Mellqvist, J., Strandberg, A., Ellingsen, K., and Gauss, M.: Trend analysis of greenhouse gases over Europe measured by a network of groundbased remote FTIR instruments, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 6719–6727, doi:10.5194/acp-8-6719-2008, http: //www.atmos-chem-phys.net/8/6719/2008/, 2008.
- 860 Ghude, S. D., Fadnavis, S., Beig, G., Polade, S. D., and van der A, R. J.: Detection of surface emission hot spots, trends, and seasonal cycle from satellite-retrieved NO<sub>2</sub> over India, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D20 305, doi:10.1029/2007JD009615, 2008.
  - González Abad, G., Liu, X., Chance, K., Wang, H., Kurosu, T. P., and Suleiman, R.: Updated Smithsonian Astrophysical Observatory Ozone Monitoring Instrument (SAO OMI) formaldehyde retrieval, Atmos. Meas.
- 865 Tech., 8, 19–32, doi:10.5194/amt-8-19-2015, 2015.
  - Hains, J. C., Boersma, K. F., Kroon, M., Dirksen, R. J., Cohen, R. C., Perring, A. E., Bucsela, E., Volten, H.,
    Swart, D. P. J., Richter, A., Wittrock, F., Schoenhardt, A., Wagner, T., Ibrahim, O. W., van Roozendael, M.,
    Pinardi, G., Gleason, J. F., Veefkind, J. P., and Levelt, P.: Testing and improving OMI DOMINO tropospheric
    NO2 using observations from the DANDELIONS and INTEX-B validation campaigns, J. Geophys. Res.,
- 870 115, doi:10.1029/2009JD012399, d05301, 2010.
  - Hewson, W., Barkley, M. P., Gonzalez Abad, G., Bösch, H., Kurosu, T., Spurr, R., and Tilstra, L. G.: Development and characterisation of a state-of-the-art GOME-2 formaldehyde air-mass factor algorithm, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 4055–4074, doi:10.5194/amt-8-4055-2015, 2015.

Hilboll, A., Richter, A., and Burrows, J. P.: Long-term changes of tropospheric NO2 over megacities derived

- 875 from multiple satellite instruments, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 4145–4169, doi:10.5194/acp-13-4145-2013, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/13/4145/2013/, 2013.
  - Jin, X. and Holloway, T.: Spatial and temporal variability of ozone sensitivity over China observed from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument, J. Geophys. Res., 120, 7229–7246, doi:10.1002/2015JD023250, 2015.
- Kim, K.-H., Kabir, E., and Kabir, S.: A review on the human health impact of airborne particulate matter,
  Environment International, 74, 136–143, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2014.10.005, 2015.
- Kleipool, Q. L., Dobber, M. R., de Haan, J. F., and Levelt, P. F.: Earth surface reflectance climatology from 3 years of OMI data, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D18308, doi:10.1029/2008JD010290, 2008.
  Kootungal, L.: 2010 WorldWide Refining Survery, Oil & Gas Journal, 6, 2010.
  Krotkov, N.: OMSO2 Readme File v1.2.0, NASA Goddard Space Flight Centre, USA., 2014.
- 885 Krotkov, N. A., McLinden, C. A., Li, C., Lamsal, L. N., Celarier, E. A., Marchenko, S. V., Swartz, W. H., Bucsela, E. J., Joiner, J., Duncan, B. N., Boersma, K. F., Veefkind, J. P., Levelt, P. F., Fioletov, V. E., Dickerson, R. R., He, H., Lu, Z., and Streets, D. G.: Aura OMI observations of regional SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> pollution changes from 2005 to 2014, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 4605–4629, doi:10.5194/acp-16-4605-2016, 2016.
  - Lamsal, L. N., Duncan, B. N., Yoshida, Y., Krotkov, N. A., Pickering, K. E., Streets, D. G., and
- 890 Lu, Z.: U.S. NO<sub>2</sub> trends (2005–2013): EPA Air Quality System (AQS) data versus improved observations from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI), Atmospheric Environment, 110, 130–143, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.03.055, 2015.
  - Lelieveld, J., Hoor, P., Jöckel, P., Pozzer, A., Hadjinicolaou, P., Cammas, J.-P., and Beirle, S.: Severe ozone air pollution in the Persian Gulf region, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1393–1406, doi:10.5194/acp-9-1393-2009, 2009.
  - Lelieveld, J., Beirle, S., Hörmann, C., Stenchikov, G., and Wagner, T.: Abrupt recent trend changes in atmospheric nitrogen dioxide over the Middle East, Science Advances, 1, doi:10.1126/sciadv.1500498, 2015.
  - Lerot, C., Stavrakou, T., De Smedt, I., Müller, J.-F., and Van Roozendael, M.: Glyoxal vertical columns from GOME-2 backscattered light measurements and comparisons with a global model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10,
- 900 12 059–12 072, doi:10.5194/acp-10-12059-2010, 2010.

895

- Levelt, P. F., van den Oord, G. H. J., Dobber, M. R., Mälkki, A., Visser, H., de Vries, J., Stammes, P., Lundell, J.
  O. V., and Saari, H.: The Ozone Monitoring Instrument, IEEE Trans. GeoSci. Remote Sens., 44, 1093–1101, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2006.872333, 2006.
  - Leys, C., Ley, C., Klein, O., Bernard, P., and Licata, L.: Detecting outliers: Do not use standard deviation
- around the mean, use absolute deviation around the median, Journal of Experimental Social Psychology, 49,
   764–766, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2013.03.013, 2013.
  - Li, C., Joiner, J., Krotkov, N. A., and Bhartia, P. K.: A fast and sensitive new satellite SO<sub>2</sub> retrieval algorithm based on principal component analysis: Application to the ozone monitoring instrument, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 6314–6318, doi:10.1002/2013GL058134, 2013.
- 910 Liu, J. J., Jones, D. B. A., Worden, J. R., Noone, D., Parrington, M., and Kar, J.: Analysis of the summertime buildup of tropospheric ozone abundances over the Middle East and North Africa as observed by the Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer instrument, J. Geophys. Res., 114, doi:10.1029/2008JD010993, d05304, 2009.

Martin, R. V.: Satellite remote sensing of surface air quality, Atmos. Environ., 42, 7823 – 7843,
doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.07.018, 2008.

Miyazaki, K., Eskes, H., Sudo, K., Boersma, K. F., Bowman, K., and Kanaya, Y.: Decadal changes in global surface NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from multi-constituent satellite data assimilation, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 2016, 1–48, doi:10.5194/acp-2016-529, 2016.

Modarres, R. and Dehkordi, A.: Daily air pollution time series analysis of Isfahan City, International Journal of
 Environmental Science & Technology, 2, 259–267, doi:10.1007/BF03325885, 2005.

- Monks, P., Granier, C., Fuzzi, S., Stohl, A., Williams, M., Akimoto, H., Amann, M., Baklanov, A., Baltensperger, U., Bey, I., Blake, N., Blake, R., Carslaw, K., Cooper, O., Dentener, F., Fowler, D., Fragkou, E., Frost, G., Generoso, S., Ginoux, P., Grewe, V., Guenther, A., Hansson, H., Henne, S., Hjorth, J., Hofzumahaus, A., Huntrieser, H., Isaksen, I., Jenkin, M., Kaiser, J., Kanakidou, M., Klimont, Z., Kul-
- mala, M., Laj, P., Lawrence, M., Lee, J., Liousse, C., Maione, M., McFiggans, G., Metzger, A., Mieville, A., Moussiopoulos, N., Orlando, J., O'Dowd, C., Palmer, P., Parrish, D., Petzold, A., Platt, U., Poschl, U., A.S.H.Prevot, Reeves, C., Reimann, S., Rudich, Y., Sellegri, K., Steinbrecher, R., Simpson, D., ten Brink, H., Theloke, J., van der Werf, G., Vautard, R., Vestreng, V., Vlachokostas, C., and von Glasow, R.: Atmospheric composition change global and regional air quality, Atmos. Environ., 43, 5268–5350,
- 930 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.08.021, 2009.
  - Monks, P. S., Archibald, A. T., Colette, A., Cooper, O., Coyle, M., Derwent, R., Fowler, D., Granier, C., Law, K. S., Mills, G. E., Stevenson, D. S., Tarasova, O., Thouret, V., von Schneidemesser, E., Sommariva, R., Wild, O., and Williams, M. L.: Tropospheric ozone and its precursors from the urban to the global scale from air quality to short-lived climate forcer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 8889–8973, 2015.
- 935 MOP-KRG: Building Kurdistan Region of Iraq: The Socio-Economic Infrastructure, Ministry of Planning Kurdistan Regional Government, 2012.

Müller, J.-F. and Stavrakou, T.: Inversion of CO and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions using the adjoint of the IMAGES model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 1157–1186, doi:10.5194/acp-5-1157-2005, 2005.

Müller, J.-F., Stavrakou, T., Smedt, I. D., and Roozendael, M. V.: VOC emissions in the Middle East from

- 940 bottom-up inventories & as seen by OMI, GlobEmission User Consultation Meeting, Doha, Qatar, 24-25 November, 2015.
  - Munir, S., Habeebullah, T. M., Seroji, A. R., Gabr, S. S., Mohammed, A. M., and Morsy, E. A.: Quantifying temporal trends of atmospheric pollutants in Makkah (1997–2012), Atmos. Environ., 77, 647–655, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.05.075, 2013.
- 945 Mustafa, Y. T., Ali, R. T., and Saleh, R. M.: Monitoring and Evaluating Land Cover Change in The Duhok City, Kurdistan Region-Iraq, by Using Remote Sensing and GIS, International Journal of Engineering Inventions, 1(11), 28–33, 2012.
  - Nasralla, M. and Seroji, A.: Primary pollutants and potential photochemical smog formation in Makkah, Saudi Arabia, Arab Gulf Journal of Scientific Research, 25(4), 153–161, 2007.
- 950 OCED: The Cost of Air Pollution Health Impacts of Road Transport, OECD Publishing, doi:10.1787/9789264210448-en, 2014.

- Rashki, A., Rautenbach, C., Eriksson, P., Kaskaoutis, D., and Gupta, P.: Temporal changes of particulate concentration in the ambient air over the city of Zahedan, Iran, Air Quality, Atmosphere & Health, 6, 123–135, doi:10.1007/s11869-011-0152-5, 2013.
- 955 Richter, A., Burrows, J. P., Nüß, H., Granier, C., and Niemeier, U.: Increase in tropospheric nitrogen dioxide over China observed from space, Nature, 437, 129–132, doi:10.1038/nature04092, 2005.
  - Russell, A. R., Valin, L. C., and Cohen, R. C.: Trends in OMI NO<sub>2</sub> observations over the United States: effects of emission control technology and the economic recession, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 12 197–12 209, doi:10.5194/acp-12-12197-2012, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/12/12197/2012/, 2012.
- Schneider, P. and van der A, R. J.: A global single-sensor analysis of 2002–2011 tropospheric nitrogen dioxide trends observed from space, J. Geophys. Res., 117, doi:10.1029/2012JD017571, d16309, 2012.
   Schneider, P., Lahoz, W. A., and van der A, R.: Recent satellite-based trends of tropospheric nitrogen dioxide over large urban agglomerations worldwide, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 1205–1220, doi:10.5194/acp-15-1205-
- 965 SEDAC: Center for International Earth Science Information Network CIESIN Columbia University, International Food Policy Research Institute IFPRI, The World Bank, and Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical CIAT. 2011. Global Rural-Urban Mapping Project, Version 1 (GRUMPv1): Settlement Points. Palisades, NY: NASA Socioeconomic Data and Applications Center (SEDAC). http://dx.doi.org/10.7927/H4M906KR., 2015.
- 970 Spurr, R.: Area-weighting tessellation for nadir-viewing spectrometers, Internal Technical Note, Harvard-Smithsonian Centre for Astrophysics, 2003.
  - Spurr, R.: LIDORT and VLIDORT: Linearized pseudo-spherical scalar and vector discrete ordinate radiative transfer models for use in remote sensing retrieval problems, Light Scattering Reviews, Volume 3, ed. A. Kokhanovsky, Springer, 2008.
- 975 Streets, D. G., Canty, T., Carmichael, G. R., de Foy, B., Dickerson, R. R., Duncan, B. N., Edwards, D. P., Haynes, J. A., Henze, D. K., Houyoux, M. R., Jacob, D. J., Krotkov, N. A., Lamsal, L. N., Liu, Y., Lu, Z., Martin, R. V., Pfister, G. G., Pinder, R. W., Salawitch, R. J., and Wecht, K. J.: Emissions estimation from satellite retrievals: A review of current capability, Atmos. Environ., 77, 1011 1042, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.05.051, http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/
- 980 pii/S1352231013004007, 2013.

2015, 2015.

- Thoning, K. W., Tans, P. P., and Komhyr, W. D.: Atmospheric carbon dioxide at Mauna Loa Observatory: 2. Analysis of the NOAA GMCC data, 1974–1985, J. Geophys. Res., 94, 8549–8565, doi:10.1029/JD094iD06p08549, 1989.
  - van der A, R. J., Peters, D. H. M. U., Eskes, H., Boersma, K. F., Van Roozendael, M., De Smedt, I., and Kelder,
- H. M.: Detection of the trend and seasonal variation in tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub> over China, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D12 317, doi:10.1029/2005JD006594, 2006.
  - van der A, R. J., Eskes, H. J., Boersma, K. F., van Noije, T. P. C., Van Roozendael, M., De Smedt, I., Peters, D. H. M. U., and Meijer, E. W.: Trends, seasonal variability and dominant NO<sub>x</sub> source derived from a ten year record of NO<sub>2</sub> measured from space, J. Geophys. Res., 113, doi:10.1029/2007JD009021, d04302, 2008.
- 990 Volkamer, R., Baidar, S., Campos, T. L., Coburn, S., DiGangi, J. P., Dix, B., Eloranta, E. W., Koenig, T. K., Morley, B., Ortega, I., Pierce, B. R., Reeves, M., Sinreich, R., Wang, S., Zondlo, M. A., and Romashkin,

P. A.: Aircraft measurements of BrO, IO, glyoxal, NO<sub>2</sub>,  $H_2O$ ,  $O_2-O_2$  and aerosol extinction profiles in the tropics: comparison with aircraft-/ship-based in situ and lidar measurements, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 2121–2148, doi:10.5194/amt-8-2121-2015, 2015.

- 995 Wang, Y., Beirle, S., lampel, J., Koukouli, M., De Smedt, I., Theys, N., Li, A., Wu, D., Xie, P., Liu, C., Van Roozendael, M., and Wagner, T.: Validation of OMI, GOME-2A and GOME-2B tropospheric NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> and HCHO products using MAX-DOAS observations from 2011 to 2014 in Wuxi, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 2016, 1–50, doi:10.5194/acp-2016-735, 2016.
- Weatherhead, E. C., Reinsel, G. C., Tiao, G. C., Meng, X.-L., Choi, D., Cheang, W.-K., Keller, T., DeLuisi, J.,
  Wuebbles, D. J., Kerr, J. B., Miller, A. J., Oltmans, S. J., and Frederick, J. E.: Factors affecting the detection of trends: Statistical considerations and applications to environmental data, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 17149–17161, doi:10.1029/98JD00995, 1998.
  - WHO: 7 million premature deaths annually linked to air pollution, Public Health, Social and Environmental Determinants of Health Department, World Health Organisation, 1211 Geneva 27, Switzerland, http://www.
- who.int/mediacentre/news/releases/2014/air-pollution/en/, 2014.
   Zanis, P., Hadjinicolaou, P., Pozzer, A., Tyrlis, E., Dafka, S., Mihalopoulos, N., and Lelieveld, J.: Summertime free-tropospheric ozone pool over the eastern Mediterranean/Middle East, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 115–132,

doi:10.5194/acp-14-115-2014, 2014.

Zhu, L., Jacob, D. J., Kim, P. S., Fisher, J. A., Yu, K., Travis, K. R., Mickley, L. J., Yantosca, R. M., Sulprizio,

1010 M. P., De Smedt, I., González Abad, G., Chance, K., Li, C., Ferrare, R., Fried, A., Hair, J. W., Hanisco, T. F., Richter, D., Jo Scarino, A., Walega, J., Weibring, P., and Wolfe, G. M.: Observing atmospheric formaldehyde (HCHO) from space: validation and intercomparison of six retrievals from four satellites (OMI, GOME2A, GOME2B, OMPS) with SEAC<sup>4</sup>RS aircraft observations over the southeast US, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 13 477–13 490, doi:10.5194/acp-16-13477-2016, 2016.



Figure 1. The OMI 2005 annual mean distributions of NO<sub>2</sub>, HCHO, SO<sub>2</sub>, and CHOCHO. The OMI data have been averaged onto a  $0.05^{\circ} \times 0.05^{\circ}$  grid using observations with cloud fractions < 20% and and solar zenith angles  $\leq 70^{\circ}$ . Observations affected by the row-anomaly are excluded, as described in Section 3.1, and the gridded data have been smoothed with a  $0.15^{\circ} \times 0.15^{\circ}$  Gaussian filter of 1- $\sigma$  width (2- $\sigma$  for CHOCHO).



**Figure 2.** Left: the geographical distributions of the target locations showing cities/towns (in red) as specified by the GRUMP v1 settlement point data base (Balk et al., 2006; SEDAC, 2015) oil refineries (in blue) (based on Kootungal, 2010), and oil ports and power plants based on the Global Energy Observatory (GEO) online resource (http://globalenergyobservatory.org). Right: OMI 2005 NO<sub>2</sub> annual mean of over northern Iran, with a spatial filtering mask applied to extract observations over urban centres (see Section 3.2). The OMI data have been averaged onto a  $0.05^{\circ} \times 0.05^{\circ}$  grid using observations with cloud fractions < 20% and and solar zenith angles  $\leq 70^{\circ}$ . Observations affected by the row-anomaly are excluded, as described in Section 3.1, and have been smoothed with a  $0.15^{\circ} \times 0.15^{\circ}$  Gaussian filter of 1- $\sigma$  width.



**Figure 3.** An example of a time series fit to observed NO<sub>2</sub> data over Dahuk, Iraq, as outlined in Section 3.3. Top panel: the monthly OMI NO<sub>2</sub> vertical columns are indicated by dark grey filled circles, whilst the light grey filled circles represent the fitting residual, which has been smoothed with a short-term 200-day filter (dashed blue line) and long-term 667-day filter (red dashed line). The solid red line is the long-term trend  $F_T(t)$ , given by the linear component of the fitted function F(t) (equation 1) plus the residual filtered using the long-term filter. The solid blue line is the smoothed fitted curve  $F_S(t)$  given by F(t) plus the residual filtered using the short-term filter. Middle panel: The NO<sub>2</sub> vertical column growth rate in  $\times 10^{15}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, which is the derivative of the long-term trend  $F_T(t)$  shown in the top-panel. Bottom panel: the de-trended seasonal cycle  $F_C(t)$  which is the difference between the long-term trend and the smoothed function fit (i.e.  $F_S(t) - F_L(t)$ ). This represents the annual seasonal oscillation with any long-term trend removed. The dark grey filled circles are the fitted harmonic components of F(t).



**Figure 4.** Geographical distribution of locations with statistically significant linear trends in  $NO_2$  (top left), HCHO (top right),  $SO_2$  (bottom left), and CHOCHO (bottom right) expressed in percent per year, relative to each location's 2005–2014 median vertical column.



**Figure 5.** Geographical distribution of locations with statistically significant linear trends in  $NO_2$  (top left), HCHO (top right),  $SO_2$  (bottom left), and CHOCHO (bottom right) but here showing the growth rate expressed in percent per year, relative to each location's 2005–2014 median vertical column.



**Figure 6.** Geographical distribution of locations with statistically significant linear trends in  $NO_2$  (top left), HCHO (top right),  $SO_2$  (bottom left), and CHOCHO (bottom right), but here showing the linear trend (in percent per year) minus the growth rate (in percent per year).

**Table 1.** Statistical summary of the DOMINO  $NO_2$  vertical column density (VCD) analysis conducted at an approximate 10 km radius over the target locations. Statistical values are shown for those locations which have a real VCD trend, i.e. those locations without a corresponding trend in either the air mass factor (AMF), cloud fraction (CFR), cloud-top pressure (CTP), or number of samples (SAM). Values in parentheses correspond to statistics for all locations of a given category. VCD values are given in  $10^{14}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> and IQR is the inter-quartile range.

\_

	Urban	Refinery	Oil Ports	Power Plants
# locations	818	41	18	155
# locations with trends				
VCD	318	32	13	112
VCD and AMF	71	7	2	19
VCD and CFR	24	6	3	19
VCD and CTP	14	5	2	9
VCD and SAM	35	5	2	18
VCD only	198	17	6	57
Observed VCD				
Median	18.27 (28.31)	26.74 (27.10)	33.00 (28.19)	27.22 (24.32)
IQR	15.00 (18.29)	15.78 (15.78)	11.45 (12.18)	14.20 (14.16)
Maximum	136.65 (136.65)	87.90 (87.90)	64.92 (100.72)	189.85 (189.85)
Minimum	-4.87 (-10.61)	-6.63 (-6.63)	10.55 (5.94)	-6.63 (-6.63)
Seasonal Amplitude				
Median	8.82 (12.38)	11.86 (11.86)	11.54 (11.83)	11.02 (10.52)
IQR	5.30 (8.01)	9.78 (8.77)	6.93 (5.28)	6.03 (6.60)
Maximum	38.88 (38.88)	33.05 (33.05)	18.50 (21.30)	46.32 (66.32)
Minimum	3.07 (1.49)	4.62 (3.28)	7.05 (5.76)	4.34 (1.68)
Linear Trend				
Median	0.58 (0.26)	0.84 (0.81)	1.05 (0.97)	0.89 (0.65)
IQR	0.48 (0.49)	0.69 (0.66)	2.16 (1.26)	0.45 (0.68)
Maximum	2.77 (3.14)	2.65 (2.65)	3.12 (3.35)	2.65 (3.34)
Minimum	-0.75 (-0.75)	0.57 (-0.23)	0.74 (-0.01)	0.25 (-0.72)
Growth Rate				
Median	0.64 (0.42)	0.83 (0.70)	0.95 (0.89)	0.82 (0.62)
IQR	0.56 (0.52)	0.71 (0.95)	2.59 (1.68)	0.64 (0.83)
Maximum	2.82 (4.89)	1.74 (2.55)	3.44 (4.31)	2.95 (3.91)
Minimum	-0.91 (-0.98)	0.19 (-0.57)	0.51 (0.14)	-0.33 (-0.84)
Median Errors				
Linear trend	0.19 (0.27)	0.27 (0.27)	0.29 (0.28)	0.27 (0.25)
Trend Curve	0.80 (1.13)	1.13 (1.13)	1.20 (1.16)	1.14 (0.99)
Smoothed Curve	1.13 (1.68)	1.38 (1.63)	1.84 (1.78)	1.70 (1.53)
Growth Curve	1.14 (1.60)	1.59 (1.59)	1.70 (1.64)	1.61 (1.40)
Seasonal Curve	1.39 (2.02)	1.74 (1.95)	2.20 (2.13)	2.03 (1.84)

**Table 2.** Statistical summary of the SAO HCHO vertical column density (VCD) analysis conducted at an approximate 10 km radius over the target locations. Statistical values are shown for those locations which have a real VCD trend, i.e. those locations without a corresponding trend in either the air mass factor (AMF), cloud fraction (CFR), cloud-top pressure (CTP), or number of samples (SAM). Values in parentheses correspond to statistics for all locations of a given category. VCD values are given in  $10^{15}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> and IQR is the inter-quartile range.

\_

	Urban	Refinery	Oil Ports	Power Plants
# locations	818	41	18	155
# locations with trends				
VCD	63	13	6	40
VCD and AMF	9	1	0	6
VCD and CFR	8	4	0	9
VCD and CTP	0	0	0	0
VCD and SAM	16	4	2	6
VCD only	34	6	4	26
Observed VCD				
Median	4.36 (3.73)	3.95 (4.12)	4.51 (4.32)	4.13 (3.70)
IQR	1.03 (0.53)	0.67 (0.78)	0.80 (0.51)	0.88 (0.95)
Maximum	8.99 (10.49)	7.95 (9.10)	8.58 (8.91)	8.89 (9.22)
Minimum	-0.80 (-3.11)	0.84 (-1.53)	1.00 (0.15)	-0.80 (-1.53)
Seasonal Amplitude				
Median	3.19 (3.13)	2.85 (3.27)	3.45 (3.43)	3.07 (3.02)
IQR	0.85 (1.09)	0.65 (1.15)	0.70 (1.31)	0.69 (0.95)
Maximum	4.15 (5.65)	3.27 (4.54)	4.08 (4.40)	4.54 (4.89)
Minimum	1.51 (1.37)	2.46 (1.55)	3.17 (2.33)	1.83 (1.20)
Linear Trend				
Median	0.11 (0.02)	0.12 (0.07)	0.14 (0.08)	0.13 (0.04)
IQR	0.04 (0.06)	0.05 (0.06)	0.04 (0.06)	0.06 (0.09)
Maximum	0.22 (0.22)	0.15 (0.18)	0.16 (0.16)	0.22 (0.22)
Minimum	0.09 (-0.12)	0.07 (-0.02)	0.11 (-0.01)	0.08 (-0.11)
Growth Rate				
Median	0.13 (0.01)	0.08 (0.08)	0.15 (0.10)	0.16 (0.05)
IQR	0.09 (0.10)	0.04 (0.10)	0.06 (0.14)	0.11 (0.13)
Maximum	0.33 (0.33)	0.15 (0.26)	0.16 (0.18)	0.32 (0.32)
Minimum	-0.00 (-0.50)	0.02 (-0.06)	0.08 (-0.04)	0.02 (-0.15)
Median Errors				
Linear trend	0.05 (0.05)	0.04 (0.05)	0.05 (0.05)	0.05 (0.05)
Trend Curve	0.19 (0.23)	0.19 (0.20)	0.20 (0.21)	0.20 (0.21)
Smoothed Curve	0.30 (0.35)	0.30 (0.32)	0.31 (0.32)	0.31 (0.32)
Growth Curve	0.27 (0.32)	0.27 (0.29)	0.28 (0.29)	0.28 (0.29)
Seasonal Curve	0.36 (0.41)	0.36 (0.38)	0.36 (0.38)	0.37 (0.38)

**Table 3.** Statistical summary of the NASA SO<sub>2</sub> vertical column density (VCD) analysis conducted at an approximate 10 km radius over the target locations. Statistical values are shown for those locations which have a real VCD trend, i.e. those locations without a corresponding trend in either the cloud fraction (CFR), cloud-top pressure (CTP), or number of samples (SAM). Values in parentheses correspond to statistics for all locations of a given category. VCD values are given in DU, and IQR is the inter-quartile range.

	Urban	Refinery	Oil Ports	Power Plants
# locations	818	41	18	155
# locations with trends				
VCD	24	3	3	14
VCD and AMF	0	0	0	0
VCD and CFR	0	0	0	0
VCD and CTP	0	0	0	0
VCD and SAM	6	0	1	5
VCD only	18	3	2	9
Observed VCD				
Median	0.17 (0.11)	0.30 (0.28)	0.63 (0.28)	0.29 (0.16)
IQR	0.23 (0.09)	0.33 (0.31)	0.11 (0.21)	0.27 (0.24)
Maximum	1.22 (1.79)	1.05 (1.85)	1.98 (1.98)	1.17 (2.22)
Minimum	-0.61 (-1.36)	-0.22 (-0.64)	-0.02 (-0.40)	-0.46 (-0.46)
Seasonal Amplitude				
Median	0.33 (0.21)	0.36 (0.44)	0.55 (0.39)	0.37 (0.28)
IQR	0.21 (0.09)	0.13 (0.26)	0.11 (0.20)	0.19 (0.28)
Maximum	0.69 (1.15)	0.47 (1.13)	0.77 (0.86)	0.51 (1.14)
Minimum	0.17 (0.09)	0.34 (0.16)	0.33 (0.20)	0.18 (0.12)
Linear Trend				
Median	0.021 (-0.002)	0.028 (0.001)	-0.041 (-0.002)	0.026 (-0.001)
IQR	0.023 (0.008)	0.022 (0.023)	0.009 (0.025)	0.046 (0.011)
Maximum	0.044 (0.044)	0.042 (0.042)	-0.024 (0.028)	0.028 (0.031)
Minimum	-0.024 (-0.041)	0.019 (-0.016)	-0.059 (-0.059)	-0.023 (-0.023)
Growth Rate				
Median	0.015 (-0.002)	0.036 (0.003)	-0.052 (-0.002)	0.005 (-0.003)
IQR	0.032 (0.011)	0.034 (0.027)	0.002 (0.034)	0.032 (0.017)
Maximum	0.048 (0.051)	0.046 (0.046)	-0.049 (0.047)	0.036 (0.057)
Minimum	-0.056 (-0.056)	0.012 (-0.045)	-0.055 (-0.055)	-0.012 (-0.039)
Median Errors				
Linear trend	0.009 (0.007)	0.010 (0.010)	0.014 (0.010)	0.010 (0.008)
Trend Curve	0.039 (0.030)	0.042 (0.041)	0.062 (0.044)	0.039 (0.035)
Smoothed Curve	0.058 (0.046)	0.066 (0.061)	0.091 (0.068)	0.064 (0.053)
Growth Curve	0.056 (0.042)	0.060 (0.058)	0.087 (0.063)	0.056 (0.050)
Seasonal Curve	0.070 (0.055)	0.079 (0.072)	0.110 (0.081)	0.075 (0.064)

**Table 4.** Statistical summary of the BIRA CHOCHO vertical column density (VCD) analysis conducted at an approximate 10 km radius over the target locations. Statistical values are shown for those locations which have a real VCD trend, i.e. those locations without a corresponding trend in either the air mass factor (AMF), cloud fraction (CFR), cloud-top pressure (CTP), or number of samples (SAM). Values in parentheses correspond to statistics for all locations of a given category. VCD values are given in  $10^{13}$  molecules cm<sup>-2</sup> and IQR is the inter-quartile range.

	Urban	Refinery	Oil Ports	Power Plants
# locations	818	41	18	155
# locations with trends				
VCD	8	0	0	4
VCD and AMF	5	0	0	3
VCD and CFR	1	0	0	1
VCD and CTP	0	0	0	0
VCD and SAM	1	0	0	0
VCD only	1	0	0	1
Observed VCD				
Median	18.22 (16.18)	NaN (19.95)	NaN (20.84)	18.26 (17.88)
IQR	NaN (4.58)	NaN (9.61)	NaN (8.72)	NaN (8.60)
Maximum	33.76 (112.10)	NaN (63.18)	NaN (57.19)	35.50 (80.37)
Minimum	0.18 (-66.32)	NaN (-23.96)	NaN (-21.89)	2.63 (-44.75)
Seasonal Amplitude				
Median	9.50 (15.03)	NaN (16.48)	NaN (14.38)	11.77 (16.32)
IQR	NaN (6.54)	NaN (4.78)	NaN (4.80)	NaN (5.74)
Maximum	9.50 (44.53)	NaN (28.34)	NaN (21.10)	11.77 (32.14)
Minimum	9.50 (8.89)	NaN (9.56)	NaN (9.93)	11.77 (9.12)
Linear Trend				
Median	-0.83 (-0.22)	NaN (-0.05)	NaN (-0.01)	-0.88 (-0.10)
IQR	NaN (0.66)	NaN (0.49)	NaN (0.53)	NaN (0.57)
Maximum	-0.83 (3.02)	NaN (0.40)	NaN (0.30)	-0.88 (0.87)
Minimum	-0.83 (-1.38)	NaN (-1.03)	NaN (-0.77)	-0.88 (-1.29)
Growth Rate				
Median	-0.16 (-0.38)	NaN (-0.12)	NaN (-0.13)	-1.46 (-0.22)
IQR	NaN (1.06)	NaN (0.98)	NaN (0.83)	NaN (1.10)
Maximum	-0.16 (3.85)	NaN (1.26)	NaN (0.83)	-1.46 (2.05)
Minimum	-0.16 (-2.96)	NaN (-1.70)	NaN (-1.52)	-1.46 (-1.95)
Median Errors				
Linear trend	0.42 (0.58)	NaN (0.53)	NaN (0.50)	0.44 (0.55)
Trend Curve	1.79 (2.49)	NaN (2.28)	NaN (2.11)	1.83 (2.41)
Smoothed Curve	2.84 (3.95)	NaN (3.59)	NaN (3.38)	2.79 (3.66)
Growth Curve	2.53 (3.52)	NaN (3.22)	NaN (2.98)	2.58 (3.40)
Seasonal Curve	3.35 (4.68)	NaN (4.23)	NaN (3.99)	3.34 (4.38)