Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2016-749-AC1, 2016 © Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



ACPD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Seasonal and spatial variations in aerosol vertical distribution and optical properties over China from long-term satellite and groundbased remote sensing" by Pengfei Tian et al.

Pengfei Tian et al.

renyi-zhang@tamu.edu

Received and published: 23 December 2016

Using long-term satellite and ground-based remote sensing observations, this study describes the climatology of aerosol vertical distribution and optical properties over China, particularly for several important regions. In principle, this paper is well written and the findings are interesting. Response: The authors are grateful for the positive comments on our work. All the comments and concerns raised by the referee have been considered and incorporated into the revised manuscript. The followings are my minor comments: (1) Page 2, line 20, I would suggest to add references Garrett

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



and Zhao 2006 and Zhao et al. 2015, which both show the strong warming climate effect of aerosols by serving as CCN and changing cloud properties. Garrett, T. J., C. Zhao, and P. C. Noel, 2010: Assessing the relative contributions of transport efficiency and scavenging to seasonal variability in Arctic aerosol. Tellus B, 62, 190-196. Zhao, C., and T. Garrett, 2015:ÂËŸ a- Effects of Arctic haze on surface cloud radiative forcing, ÂËŸaGeophys. Res. Lett., ÂËŸa42, doi:10.1002/2014GL062015. Response: The recommended references have been added in the revised manuscript. (2) Page 3, line 4, I would also suggest to add one reference which is about the effects of IN scheme representation using dust aerosols to radiation balance in climate model of CAM5. 21.Xie, S., X. Liu, C. Zhao, and Y. Zhang, 2013: Sensitivity of CAM5 simulated Arctic clouds and radiation to ice nucleation parameterization, J. Climate, 26, 5981-5999. doi:ÂËŸahttp://dx.doi.org/10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00517.1. Response: The recommended reference has been added in the revised manuscript. (3) Page 3, line 16-18, is there any reference to support your claim that CALIOP AOD presents an underestimation because of the challenge of the thin layer detection. I am not sure if my understanding is right: if the thin layer clouds are missed, CALIOP AOD could be overestimated, not underestimated. Response: The AOD underestimation of CALIOP has been discussed in several previous studies (e.g., Winker et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2014; Papagiannopoulos et a., 2016), which have been discussed in the revised manuscript. The ambiguous description "thin layer detection" has been replaced by "thin aerosol layer detection". The misclassification of thin layer clouds as aerosols leads to higher aerosol loading in the upper troposphere sometimes (Winker et al., 2013). However, the data quality of the CALIOP level 2 data is good enough in estimating the regional aerosol climatology (Yu et al., 2010; Winker et al., 2013; Amiridis et al., 2015). Those points have been provided in the revised manuscript. (4) Page 3, line 21, I would suggest "slight underestimation" instead of "small underestimation". Response: Modified as recommended. (5) Page 4, line 2, do you mean "seasonal averaged vertical profiles"? Response: We have added "average" in the sentence. (6) Page 4, line 4-6, what

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



do you mean 'evaluate' here: do Guo et al. 2016a use satellite observations to evalu-

ate the ground-based findings? The logic seems not right to me. Response: We have replaced the word "evaluated" with "estimated". Guo et al. (2016a) didn't use satellite observations to evaluate the ground-based findings. (7) Page 4, line 7, what do you mean for the "representative regions" here? Where are they? Response: The representative regions are the Taklimakan Desert, the Tibetan Plateau, the Loess Plateau, the Northeast China Plain, the Sichuan Basin, the North China Plain, the Yangtze River Delta, and the Pearl River Delta as defined in Section 2.1 and shown in Table 1 and Fig. 1. Each region represents one or more aerosol types such as dust, anthropogenic or mixed type aerosols. Those have been clarified in the revision.

(8) Page 5, line 3-4, I would suggest to add a reference Yang et al. 2016, which estimated the air pollution enhancement due to the aerosol-PBL feedback in Beijing. Yang, X., C. Zhao, J. Guo, and Y. Wang (2016), Intensification of aerosol pollution associated with its feedback with surface solar radiation and winds in Beijing, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 121, 4093-4099, doi:10.1002/2015JD024645. Response: The recommended reference has been added. (9) Page 5, line 11, use 'between ... and ...' or 'the ratio of 1064 nm to 532 nm backscatter' Response: Changed as recommended. (10) Page 5, line 15-18, what are the major points or findings you want to use from this cited study? Response: The cited study was removed because of less relevance. (11) Page 6, line 1, 'have investigated' ->'investigate'. Response: Modified as recommended. (12) Page 6, line 8, 'in sections 4 and 5, respectively'. Response: Modified as recommended. (13) Page 6, line 16-17, are you sure that Northeast China Plain is one of the cleanest regions in China? I do not know if it is right but it seems that this region is often heavily polluted. Response: The Northeast China Plain is one of the cleanest regions in the selected eight representative regions in China from the perspective of AOD in our research and in the previous studies (Luo et al., 2014; Tao et al., 2015). Some big cities may be heavily polluted sometimes in this region, but it presents less natural dust and anthropogenic pollution relative to the other selected regions except the Tibetan Plateau. Those points have been clarified in the revision. (14) Page 8, line 1, 'daytime solar background illumination' -> 'daytime background solar illumina-

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



2015. Liu, C., Shen X., Gao W., Liu P., and Sun Z.: Evaluation of CALIPSO aerosol

optical depth using AERONET and MODIS data over China, In SPIE Optical Engineering Applications 2014 Oct 2 (pp. 92210F-92210F), International Society for Optics and Photonics, doi:10.1117/12.2058929, 2014. Luo, Y.X., Zheng, X.B., Zhao, T.L., and Chen, J.: A climatology of aerosol optical depth over China from recent 10 years of MODIS remote sensing data, Int. J. Climatol. 34, 863-870, doi:10.1002/joc.3728, 2014. Papagiannopoulos, N., Mona, L., Alados-Arboledas, L., Amiridis, V., Baars, H., Binietoglou, I., Bortoli, D., D'Amico, G., Giunta, A., Guerrero-Rascado, J.L., Schwarz, A., Pereira, S., Spinelli, N., Wandinger, U., Wang, X., and Pappalardo, G.: CALIPSO climatological products: evaluation and suggestions from EARLINET, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 16, 2341-2357, 2016, doi:10.5194/acp-16-2341-2016, 2016. Tao, M., Chen, L., Wang, Z., Tao, J., Che, H., Wang, X., and Wang, Y.: Comparison and evaluation of the MODIS Collection 6 aerosol data in China, J. Geophys. Res., 120, 6992-7005, doi:10.1002/2015JD023360, 2015. Winker, D.M., Tackett, J.L., Getzewich, B.J., Liu, Z., Vaughan, M.A., and Rogers, R.R.: The global 3-D distribution of tropospheric aerosols as characterized by CALIOP, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 3345-3361, doi:10.5194/acp-13-3345-2013, 2013. Yu, H., Chin, M., Winker, D.M., Omar, A.H., Liu, Z., Kittaka, C., and Diehl, T.: Global view of aerosol vertical distributions from CALIPSO lidar measurements and GOCART simulations: Regional and seasonal variations, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D00H30, doi:10.1029/2009JD013364, 2010.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2016-749, 2016.

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

