

Interactive comment on “Evaluation of cloud effects on air temperature estimation using MODIS LST based on ground measurements over the Tibetan Plateau” by Hongbo Zhang et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 25 September 2016

This paper discusses the important effects of clouds on the relationship between air temperature and satellite LST. It gives a comprehensive analysis on how clouds affect the T_{max}-Daytime LST and T_{min}-Nighttime LST relations particularly for the LST data from MODIS, based on both AWS and CMA station data. The effects of undetected clouds on MODIS LST accuracies are first explored, and MODIS nighttime LST are found to receive much more negative effects than daytime. Then, the real T_{max}-Daytime LST and T_{min}-Nighttime LST relations are analyzed using observed LST, and clouds are found to have a much larger influence on T_{max} estimation than T_{min}. Further, MODIS LST and observed LST are used as proxies for estimating T_{air} respectively, and the results are compared. The authors conclude that for T_{min} estimation,

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



large errors introduced by undetected clouds are key factors, while for T_{\max} , clouds strongly affect the relationship between T_{\max} and daytime LST. This study also discusses the clearly larger errors of T_{\max} than T_{\min} estimations and the heterogeneity of daytime LST is considered to be the main factor.

I think the authors have generally done good job of explaining their research and on the whole I found the paper reasonably straightforward to read. This paper is certainly worth of publication as it presents new and very useful information to researchers interested in estimating air temperatures from satellite data. However, there are few minor revisions that are required, as detailed below:

The abstract can be more concise. Some sentences should be condensed.

The order of references cited in the context appears to be a little mess, e.g. Line 53-55, Line 107-108, Line 177 . . . and many other lines. The authors should check and correct all of them.

In section 3.1: The way that “ L_d is assumed to linearly increase from clear to overcast 185 conditions at a given temperature” may need a reference.

For section 3.3 “ T_{air} estimation”: The discussion about selection of linear regression as estimating method should be intensified.

Figure 3 and Figure 4: sub-plots should be plotted with the same scale.

Figure 5: When $x > 0.4$, the variation of T_{\max} estimating accuracy is very flat, especially for Xiao Dongkemadi. I think this should be discussed, possibly due to the sample amounts?

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2016-747, 2016.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

