



## 1 Time dependent, non-monotonic response of warm convective cloud fields to

2 changes in aerosol loading

### 3 Guy Dagan, Ilan Koren\*, Orit Altaratz and Reuven H. Heiblum

4 Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, The Weizmann Institute of Science,

- 5 Rehovot 76100, Israel.
- 6 \* Correspondence to: ilan.koren@weizmann.ac.il
- 7

#### 8 Abstract

Large Eddy Simulations (LES) with bin microphysics are used here to study cloud 9 fields' sensitivity to changes in aerosol properties and the time evolution of this 10 response. Similarly to the known response of a single cloud, we show that the mean 11 12 field properties change in a non-monotonic trend, with an optimum aerosol concentration for which the field reaches its maximal water mass or rain yield. This 13 14 trend is a result of competition between processes that encourage cloud development 15 versus those that suppress it. However, another layer of complexity is added when 16 considering clouds' impact on the field's thermodynamic properties and how this is dependent on aerosol loading. Under polluted conditions rain is suppressed, and the 17 non-precipitating clouds act to increase atmospheric instability. This results in 18 19 warming of the lower part of the cloudy layer (in which there is net condensation) and 20 cooling of the upper part (net evaporation). Evaporation at the upper part of the 21 cloudy layer in the polluted simulations raises humidity at these levels and thus amplifies the development of the next generation of clouds (preconditioning effect). 22 On the other hand, under clean conditions, the precipitating clouds drive net warming 23 24 of the cloudy layer and net cooling of the sub-cloud layer due to rain evaporation. 25 These two effects act to stabilize the atmospheric boundary layer with time (consumption of the instability). Evolution of the field's thermodynamic properties 26 affects the cloud properties in return, as shown by migration of the optimal aerosol 27 28 concentration toward higher values.





### 30 <u>1. Introduction</u>

31 Despite the extensive research conducted in the last few decades, and the fact that

32 clouds have an important role in the Earth's energy balance (Trenberth et al., 2009)

33 clouds are still considered to be one of the largest source of uncertainty in the study of

climate and climate change (Forster et al., 2007; Boucher et al., 2013).

Warm cloud (containing liquid water only) formation depends on the availability of aerosols acting as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN). Changes in aerosol concentration modulate the cloud droplet size distribution and total number. Polluted clouds (forming under high aerosol loading) initially have smaller and more numerous droplets, with narrower size distribution compared to clean clouds (Squires, 1958; Squires and Twomey, 1960; Warner and Twomey, 1967; Fitzgerald and Spyers-Duran, 1973).

The initial droplet size distribution affects key cloud processes such as condensation-42 evaporation, collision-coalescence and sedimentation. The condensation-evaporation 43 process is proportional to the total droplet surface area which increases with the 44 droplet number concentration (for a given total liquid water mass). Under given 45 46 supersaturation conditions, the condensation in polluted clouds is more efficient (Pinsky et al., 2013; Seiki and Nakajima, 2014; Koren et al., 2014; Kogan and Martin, 47 48 1994; Dagan et al., 2015a). However, under sub-saturation conditions, due to the same 49 reason, it implies higher evaporation efficiency. The evaporation induces downdrafts 50 and stronger vorticity and hence can lead to stronger mixing of the cloud with its 51 environment in polluted conditions (Xue and Feingold, 2006; Jiang et al., 2006; Small 52 et al., 2009).

The initiation of collision-coalescence is delayed in polluted clouds (Gunn and
Phillips, 1957; Squires, 1958; Albrecht, 1989). This drives a delay in rain formation
and can affect the amount of surface rain (Rosenfeld, 1999, 2000; Koren et al., 2012;
Khain, 2009; Levin and Cotton, 2009; Dagan et al., 2015b).

Aerosol effects on single warm convective clouds were shown to have an optimal value with respect to maximal water mass, cloud depth and rain yield (Dagan et al., 2015a,b). For aerosol concentrations lower than the optimum, the positive relationship between aerosol concentration and cloud development is a result of two main





61 processes: 1) larger latent heat release driven by the increase in the condensation 62 efficiency causing stronger updrafts, and 2) decrease in the effective terminal velocity 63 ( $\eta$ , i.e. mass weighted terminal velocity of the hydrometeors), (Koren et al., 2015) due 64 to initial smaller droplets and the delay in the collision-coalescence process. The 65 smaller droplets have higher mobility (the water mass moves up better with 66 surrounding updraft), reaching higher in the atmosphere and prolonging the cloud 67 growth.

For aerosol concentration values above the optimum, the suppressing aerosol effects take over, namely: 1) stronger mixing of the cloud with its environment driven by the increased evaporation efficiency (Small et al., 2009), and 2) increased water loading effect due to the rain suppression.

Understanding of the overall aerosol effect is even more complex when considering 72 73 processes on the cloud field scale. Clouds affect the surrounding thermodynamic conditions by changing the humidity and temperature profiles (Lee et al., 2014; 74 75 Seifert et al., 2015; Stevens and Feingold, 2009; Saleeby et al., 2015). In addition, clouds affect the solar and longwave radiation budgets in the field. Over land the 76 77 radiation effects change the surface temperature and therefore can significantly affect heat and moist fluxes, and as a result the cloud properties (Koren et al., 2004, 2008; 78 79 Feingold et al., 2005).

The invigoration mechanism, which refers to larger clouds with larger mass that 80 81 develop under polluted conditions was studied mainly in deep convective clouds (Andreae et al., 2004; Koren et al., 2005; Rosenfeld et al., 2008; Tao et al., 2012; Fan 82 et al., 2013; Altaratz et al., 2014). Our focus here is on warm cloud fields for which 83 84 previous observational studies reported on invigoration effect or a non-monotonic response of the clouds to an increase in aerosol loading. For example, Kaufman et al., 85 (2005) found an increase in cloud fraction (CF) of warm cloud fields with increasing 86 aerosol loading over the tropical Atlantic Ocean. Yuan et al. (2011) reported that an 87 increase in volcanic aerosols near Hawaii led to increased trade cumulus CF and 88 clouds top height. Dey et al. (2011) have shown that an increase in aerosol optical 89 90 depth (AOD) from clean to slightly polluted resulted in an increase in CF in warm clouds over the Indian Ocean. Additional increase in the AOD resulted in a decrease 91 92 of CF, explained by the semi direct effect of absorbing aerosols. Costantino and Bréon





(2013) reported higher CF over the south-eastern Atlantic under high aerosol loading 93 94 conditions. Koren et al. (2014) have shown that warm convective clouds over the Southern Oceans can be considered as aerosol limited up to moderate aerosol loading 95 96 conditions. As the AOD increases, the clouds were shown to be larger and to produce 97 stronger rain rates. A reversal in trend of liquid water path (LWP) as a function of increasing AOD was reported using observations of warm convective clouds under 98 99 large range of meteorological conditions (Savane et al., 2015). Li et al. (2011) studied 100 warm clouds over the southern great plains of the United States and reported no 101 aerosol effect on clouds' top height.

102 On the other hand, numerical studies of the aerosol's effect on warm cumulus cloud 103 fields show either no effect or cloud suppression (meaning shallower and smaller clouds under higher aerosol loading conditions). Jiang and Feingold (2006) found that 104 105 the LWP, CF, and cloud depth of warm shallow convective clouds are insensitive to an increase in aerosol loading. However, they did demonstrate rain suppression by 106 aerosols. Xue et al. (2008) showed smaller clouds and suppression of precipitation in 107 increased aerosol loading environment. Jiang et al. (2010) found a non-monotonic 108 change in the derivative of the surface rain rate with aerosol loading (susceptibility) 109 110 for higher maximal LWP clouds, but a monotonic decrease in the total precipitation with aerosol loading. Seigel (2014) showed that the clouds' size decreases with 111 aerosol loading due to enhanced entrainment at clouds' margins. 112

Some previous studies have demonstrated clouds alteration of their environment 113 114 (Zhao and Austin, 2005; Heus and Jonker, 2008; Malkus, 1954; Lee et al., 2014; Zuidema et al., 2012; Roesner et al., 1990). One example of such effect is the 115 "preconditioning" or "cloud deepening" effect (Nitta and Esbensen, 1974; Roesner et 116 117 al., 1990; Stevens, 2007; Stevens and Seifert, 2008), where clouds cool and moisten the upper cloudy and inversion layers and by that encourage the development of the 118 next generation of clouds that encounter improved environmental conditions. This 119 effect is influenced by the clouds' microphysical properties (Stevens and Feingold, 120 121 2009; Saleeby et al., 2015). The role of warm convective clouds in moistening of the free troposphere was studied intensively using both observations and cloud field 122 numerical models (Brown and Zhang, 1997; Johnson et al., 1999; Takemi et al., 2004; 123 Kuang and Bretherton, 2006; Holloway and Neelin, 2009; Waite and Khouider, 124 125 2010).





Albrecht (1993) used a theoretical single column model to study the effect of precipitation on the thermodynamic structure of trade wind boundary layer and found that even low rain rates can dramatically affect the profiles. Under precipitating conditions, the cloud layer is warmer, drier, and more stable than under nonprecipitation conditions. He also showed that under non-precipitating conditions the inversion height is greater than under precipitating conditions, due to the larger amount of liquid water evaporated at those elevations.

133 Another way clouds effect their environment is by evaporation of rain below the cloud base which induces cooling of the sub-cloudy layer (Zuidema et al., 2012; Heiblum et 134 135 al., 2016a). Lee et al. (2014) demonstrated the aerosol effects on the field's CAPE (as distributed above cloud base or below it). The organization of the field is influenced 136 137 by cloud processes as well. Enhanced evaporative cooling in the sub-cloud layer, for example, can produce cold pools which enhance the generation of clouds only at their 138 boundaries, and hence change the organization of the field (Seigel, 2014; Seifert and 139 Heus, 2013; Heiblum et al., 2016a). 140

A recent paper (Dagan et al., 2016) showed that polluted clouds act to increase the thermodynamic instability with time, while clean clouds consume the atmospheric instability. The trend of the pollution driven increase in the instability is halted once the clouds are thick enough to develop significant precipitation. Indeed, studies of long simulation times (>30 hr), showed that the initial differences between clean and polluted cases are reduced by negative feedbacks of the clouds on the thermodynamic conditions (Lee et al., 2012; Seifert et al., 2015).

In this work we explore the coupled microphysical-dynamic system of warm marine cloud fields. We studied how changes in aerosol concentrations affect cloud properties and the related modifications of the environmental thermodynamic conditions over time.

152

## 153 **<u>2. Methodology</u>**

The SAM (System for Atmospheric Modeling), non-hydrostatic, anelastic LES model version 6.10.3 (Khairoutdinov and Randall, 2003) was used to simulate the wellstudied trade cumulus case of BOMEX (Holland and Rasmusson, 1973; Siebesma et al., 2003). The BOMEX case is an idealized trade-cumulus cloud field that is based on





observations made near Barbados during June 1969. This case was initialized using 158 159 the setup specified in (Siebesma et al., 2003). The setup includes surface fluxes and 160 large scale forcing (see details in Heiblum et al., 2016b). The horizontal resolution 161 was set to 100 m while the vertical resolution was set to 40 m. The domain size was 12.8 x 12.8 x 4.0 km<sup>3</sup> and the time step was 1 sec. Due to computational limitations, 162 we had to restrict the domain size to a scale that has a limited capacity for capturing 163 164 large scale organization (Seifert and Heus, 2013). The model ran for sixteen hours and the statistical analysis included all but the first two hours (total of 14 hours). 165 166 A bin microphysical scheme (Khain and Pokrovsky, 2004) was used. The scheme solves warm microphysical processes, including droplet nucleation, diffusional 167 168 growth, collision coalescence, sedimentation and breakup.

The aerosol distribution was based on measurements of marine aerosol size
distribution (see details in Jaenicke 1988 and Altaratz et al., 2008). Eight different
simulations were conducted with a changing aerosol concentration (5, 25, 50, 100,
250, 500, 2000 and 5000 cm<sup>-3</sup> (Dagan et al., 2015a).

- 173
- 174

## 175 **<u>3. Results and discussion</u>**

## 176 3.1 Mean cloud field properties under different aerosol loading conditions

177 The aerosol effects on the mean properties of the eight simulated cloud fields are 178 examined first. Figure 1 presents mean values of key properties of cloud fields as a 179 function of the aerosol loading for the entire (14 h) simulation time.

180 The total water mass (calculated as mean over time in each domain) as a function of

181 aerosol concentration shows a clear reversal in the trend (Fig. 1A). It increases when

182 increasing aerosol loading from 5 to 50  $\text{cm}^{-3}$ . Additional increase in the aerosol

183 loading results in a decrease in the total water mass in the domain.

The LWP (Liquid Water Path - Fig. 1B) calculated as a mean over time over all cloudy columns in each domain, (which is strongly correlated with the total water mass), also shows the same non-monotonic general trend. The maximum in the curve of cloudy LWP is at slightly higher aerosol concentration compared to the total mass (100 cm<sup>-3</sup>). This difference can be explained by the link to the cloud fraction (CF – calculated as the area covered by clouds with optical path  $\tau$ >0.3 Fig. 1C) that decreases above aerosol loading of 25 cm<sup>-3</sup>. And so, for the more polluted simulations





191 the mass is distributed on smaller horizontal cloud areas as shown in previous studies

- 192 (Seigel, 2014).
- 193

There is also a significant difference in the way the water mass is distributed along the 194 195 atmospheric column in the different simulations. The maximum cloud top height (Fig. 1D), calculated as a mean over time of the altitude of the highest grid box in the 196 197 domain that contains liquid water content (LWC >0.01g/kg) increases significantly when increasing aerosol loading up to 500 cm<sup>-3</sup> (increase from 1692 m to 2120 m 198 when increasing aerosol loading from 5 to 500 cm<sup>-3</sup>). Additional increase in the 199 aerosol loading results in a minor decrease in the maximum cloud top height (down to 200 2030 m for aerosol loading of 5000 cm<sup>-3</sup>). The minor decrease seen for this range of 201 aerosol concentration (compared with the larger decrease in the mean LWP for 202 example) can be explained by the location of the maximal cloud top height above the 203 cloud core, which is affected mainly by the invigoration processes (enhanced 204 condensation and latent heat release) and less by margin oriented processes (enhanced 205 206 entrainment and evaporation) that significantly impact the total cloud mass (Dagan et al., 2015a). Another reason is the cloud deepening effect under polluted conditions 207 208 (Stevens, 2007; Seifert et al., 2015) that will be described later. As for the mean cloud top height calculated as a mean of all cloudy columns along the whole run (Fig. 1E), 209 210 the trend shows a monotonic increase with aerosol loading. The trend is approaching a saturation level for high aerosol concentration values. The mean cloud top value over 211 the simulation is 810 and 1010 m for the simulations with aerosol loading of 5 to 5000 212 cm<sup>-3</sup>, respectively. 213

214

The trend in the domain's average rain rate, as a function of the aerosol loading (Fig.
1F) shows a peak at relatively low aerosol loading (similar to optimal value of the CF)
of 25 cm<sup>-3</sup>.

218

Fig. 2 presents the mean vertical profiles of the condensation-evaporation tendencies,
for four different simulations. We note that as the aerosol loading increases, both the
mean condensation and evaporation rates increase (Dagan et al., 2015a; Koren et al.,
2014; Pinsky et al., 2013; Seiki and Nakajima, 2014). Below cloud base (located





around 550 m) the clean simulations have small rain evaporation values which is

absent in the polluted simulations.

225

226 Effective terminal velocity  $(\eta)$  is defined as the mass weighted average terminal velocity of all the hydrometeors within a given volume of air (Koren et al., 2015). By 227 228 definition,  $\eta$  measures the terminal velocity of the water mass's center of gravity 229 (COG), i.e. the COG's movement with respect to the surrounding air's vertical 230 velocity (W). Small absolute values  $|\eta|$  imply that the droplets COG will move better with the surrounding air, i.e. the droplets will have better mobility (Koren et al., 231 232 2015). The sum  $V_{COG} = W + \eta$  ( $\eta$  always negative) reflects the water mass COG vertical velocity relative to the surface. Positive  $V_{COG}$  implies a rise of the COG, and 233 negative value means falling. 234

The mean updraft (in both space and time, weighted by the liquid water mass in each 235 grid box to be consistent with the COG point of view - Fig. 3A) increases with the 236 237 increase in aerosol loading, in agreement with previous studies (Saleeby et al., 2015; 238 Seigel, 2014). This indicates an increase in the latent heat contribution to the cloud buoyancy, driven by increase in the condensation efficiency (Dagan et al., 2015a,b; 239 240 Koren et al., 2014; Pinsky et al., 2013; Seiki and Nakajima, 2014) (Fig. 2). At the same time,  $|\eta|$  decreases as the aerosol concentration increases (Fig. 3B) indicating 241 242 better mobility of the smaller droplets, allowing them to move more easily with the air's updrafts. The outcome of these two effects is an increased V<sub>COG</sub> for higher 243 244 aerosol concentration (Fig. 3C) indicating that the polluted clouds' liquid water is 245 pushed higher in the atmosphere (Koren et al., 2015) as shown by higher COG (Fig. 3D). 246

247

The mean COG height of the water mass (Grabowski et al., 2006; Koren et al., 2009) (Fig. 3D), increases with the aerosol loading up to a relatively high concentration (500 cm<sup>-3</sup>). Note that while the trend in the system's characteristic velocities ( $\eta$  and W) is monotonic increase, the COG has an optimal aerosol concentration for which it reaches its maximum height (500 cm<sup>-3</sup>). For aerosol concentrations above 500 cm<sup>-3</sup> a minor decrease is shown. As described above, the COG height increase with aerosol





loading, between extremely clean and polluted conditions, can be explained by 254 255 increased V<sub>COG</sub>, which is a product of both lower  $|\eta|$  and increased updraft in the cloud scale, and larger thermodynamic instability induced by the polluted clouds in 256 257 the field scale as will be shown in the next section (Dagan et al., 2016; Heiblum et al., 258 2016a). The reduction of the mean COG height in the most polluted simulations is caused by cloud suppressing processes including an enhanced entrainment (see the 259 enhanced evaporation efficiency with aerosol loading - Fig. 2) and larger water 260 261 loading (Dagan et al., 2015a - shown also in Fig. 4 below). 262 The trend in COG height can be also viewed (in more detail) in Fig. 4 that presents

263 profiles of mean LWC.

We show that both the height and the magnitude of the maximum LWC increase with the aerosol loading. Below the clouds' base (H<~550m) the LWC trend is reversed due to the enhancement of rain in the clean runs (Fig. 1F). The increase in LWC with aerosol loading implies a larger water loading negative component in the clouds' buoyancy.

269

All the evidence presented in Figs. 2-4 explains the non-monotonic trends of the 270 271 clouds properties response to changes in aerosol loading (Fig. 1). For clean conditions 272 (below the optimal aerosol concentration value), an increase in aerosol loading would 273 enhance the cloud development (larger mass, LWP, cloud top, CF, rain rate) because of two main factors: 1) an increase in the condensation efficiency (due to the larger 274 total droplet surface area for condensation and longer time- Fig. 2), and 2) smaller 275 276 effective terminal velocity ( $\eta$ ) values, that per given updraft allow the cloud's hydrometeors to be pushed higher in the atmosphere (Koren et al., 2015) (Fig. 3B). 277

The higher condensation efficiency in polluted clouds (Fig. 2) results in a larger latent heat release that enhances the updraft (Fig. 3A) and cloud development. The increased  $V_{COG}$  reflects the two cloud enhancing processes (decrease in  $|\eta|$  and larger mean updraft). We note that the increase in the mean updraft values with aerosol loading is seen despite the negative effect of water loading (see Fig. 4). For aerosol concentrations above the optimum, cloud development is suppressed by the increase in evaporation efficiency (Fig. 2) and hence stronger mixing of the cloud with its





environment (i.e. Small et al., 2009), and larger water loading due to rain suppression

286 (Dagan et al., 2015a, Fig. 4).

287

288

# 289 <u>3.2 The time evolution of the mean cloud field properties under different aerosol</u> 290 <u>loading conditions</u>

All the aerosol effects that were discussed up to this point (condensation-evaporation efficiencies,  $\eta$  and water loading) are applicable both on the single cloud scale as well as on the cloud field scale. However, on the cloud field scale, another aspect needs to be considered, namely the time evolution of the effect of clouds on the field's thermodynamic conditions.

Figure 5 presents the changes (final value less initial one) in the temperature (T) and 296 water vapor content  $(q_v)$  vertical profiles as a function of aerosol concentration used in 297 the simulation. The initial profiles were identical in all simulations. In low aerosol 298 concentration runs (100 cm<sup>-3</sup> and below) the sub-cloud layer becomes cooler and 299 wetter with time and the cloudy layer warmer and drier. Meanwhile, under higher 300 aerosol concentrations conditions (250 cm<sup>-3</sup> and above) the sub-cloud layer becomes 301 warmer and drier while the cloudy and inversion layers become colder and wetter. 302 303 This trend is driven by the condensation-evaporation tendencies along the vertical profile (see Fig. 2, Dagan et al., 2016). Under low aerosol concentration conditions, 304 water condenses at the cloudy layer and is advected downward to the sub-cloud layer 305 where it partially evaporates. Under polluted conditions, on the other hand, the 306 condensed water from the lower part of the cloudy layer is advected up to the upper 307 cloudy and inversion layers (driven by larger V<sub>COG</sub> - Fig. 3) and evaporates there 308 (Dagan et al., 2016). 309

310

Such trends in the environmental thermodynamic conditions are likely to affect the forming clouds. In Fig. 6 the time evolution of some of the key cloud field properties are considered (the same properties that were shown in Fig. 1). The blue, green and red curves represent the mean values over the first, second and third periods of the simulations, respectively (each one covers 4 hours and 40 min). Table 1 presents





- change (in percentage) in the mean values of key variables between the third period of
- the 8 simulations (during the 11:20-16:00 hours of simulation, red curves in Fig. 6)
- and the first period (02:00-06:40 hours of simulation, blue curves in Fig. 6).
- Examination of the evolution in the mean total water mass along the simulations (Fig. 319 6A blue, green and red curves) presents a different trend between the clean and the 320 polluted simulations. In the clean simulations (5-100 cm<sup>-3</sup>) the total water mass 321 decreases significantly with time (a decrease of 57, 45, 44, 20% in the total mass for 322 the cases of 5, 25, 50 and 100 cm<sup>-3</sup> respectively – see table 1). On the other hand, in 323 the more polluted simulations, (with aerosol loading of 250 and 500  $\text{cm}^{-3}$ ) there is an 324 325 increase in the total water mass with time (of 17 and 37% between the first and the 326 last third periods of the simulations, respectively). Under extreme polluted conditions of 2000 and 5000 cm<sup>-3</sup>, the total water mass in the domain is small and there is little 327 change with time. These changes in time push the optimum aerosol concentration to 328 higher values along the simulation time. This trend is also shown for the optimum 329 aerosol concentration with regard to the mean cloudy LWP (Fig. 6B), max top (Fig. 330 6D) and mean top (Fig. 6E). 331

Trends in the mean rain rate show that in the cleanest simulations (5, 25 and 50 cm<sup>-3</sup>) it decreases with time (Fig. 1H, 53.3, 32.9 and 40.1%, respectively). In the regime of medium to fairly high aerosol loading (100, 250 and 500 cm<sup>-3</sup>) the rain rate increases (19.6, 598.1 and 841.5%, respectively). And in the most polluted simulations (2000 and 5000 cm<sup>-3</sup>) the surface rain is negligible throughout the simulation time.

337

338 The time evolution of the thermodynamic conditions (Fig. 5) shows a reduction (enhancement) in the thermodynamic instability with time in the clean (polluted) 339 simulations. Figure 6 and table 1 indicate that under clean conditions the decrease in 340 the thermodynamic instability with time leads to a decrease in the mean cloud field 341 342 properties such as total mass and cloud top height. Under polluted conditions the 343 trends are opposite and the mean cloud field properties increase with time due to the increase in thermodynamic instability (Dagan et al., 2016) and due to the cloud 344 345 deepening (Stevens and Seifert, 2008; Stevens, 2007; Seifert et al., 2015). These differences between the clean and polluted simulations drive changes in the optimum 346 aerosol concentration with time. For example, for the LWP (Fig. 1B) the optimum 347





- aerosol concentration is 50, 100 and 250  $\text{cm}^{-3}$  for the first, second and third parts of
- 349 the simulation, respectively.
- 350
- 351

## 352 Summary

Cloud processes can be divided in a simplistic manner into two characteristic scales – the cloud scale and the field scale. Here using LES model with bin microphysical scheme we studied the outcome of the two scales' processes acting together. We first presented domain averaged properties over the whole simulation time (section 3.1) to indicate the general aerosol effects in a first order manner and then we followed the time evolution of the effects (section 3.2).

A non-monotonic aerosol effect was reported recently for a single cloud scale (Dagan 359 et al., 2015a,b). Here we show that these trends "survived" the domain and time 360 averaging. We argue that the enhanced development branch trend is driven by two 361 main processes of enhanced condensation and reduced effective terminal velocity 362 (which improves the droplets mobility). These processes are mainly related to the core 363 of the clouds and to the early stages of clouds development. We show that the cloud's 364 systems characteristic velocities can capture these effects. The effective terminal 365 velocity ( $\eta$ ) inversely measures the mobility. Smaller droplets with smaller variance 366 will have smaller  $\eta$  and therefore will be pushed higher in a given updraft, whereas 367 larger droplets with larger  $\eta$  will deviate downward faster from the surrounding air. 368 Increase in condensation efficiency drives more latent heat release that enhances the 369 370 cloud updraft. We showed that  $V_{COG}$  is a product of the two velocities.

The descending branch in which increase of aerosol loading suppresses cloud
development is governed by increase in the evaporation efficiency on the subsaturated
parts of the clouds and by increase in water loading.

Since clouds change the atmospheric thermodynamic conditions in which they form, different initial clouds would cause different impact on the environment. Therefore, cloud field is a continuously evolving system for which aerosol properties determine an important part of the temporal trends. Figure 5 shows striking differences between the evolution of the thermodynamic profiles in clean and polluted cases. For the polluted clouds (mostly non-precipitating), the upper cloudy layer turns wetter and





cooler due to enhanced evaporation and the sub-cloudy layer becomes warmer and
drier, which altogether act to increase the instability. On the other hand, clean
precipitating clouds consume the initial instability with time by warming the cloudy
layer (due to latent heat release) and cooling the sub-cloud layer by evaporation of
rain.

The polluted cloud feedbacks on the thermodynamic conditions act to deepen the clouds. Since clouds that form in a more unstable environment are expected to be aerosol limited up to higher aerosol concentrations (Koren et al., 2014; Dagan et al., 2015a), an increase in the domains instability for the polluted cases drives an increase in the optimal aerosol concentration with time.

390 We note that such an increase in the instability cannot last forever. A deepened cloud 391 will eventually produce larger precipitation rates that may weaken the overall effect 392 on the field (Stevens and Feingold, 2009; Seifert et al., 2015). These results pose an interesting question on the dynamical state of cloud fields in nature. Do the cloud 393 fields 'manage' to reach a "near-equilibrium" state (Seifert et al., 2015), for which the 394 395 deepening effect balances the aerosol effect fast enough that the effects are buffered most of the time (Stevens and Feingold, 2009). Or maybe, the characteristic lifetime 396 397 of a trade cumulus cloud field is shorter than the time it takes to significantly balance the aerosol effects. In this case the cloud fields could be regarded as 'transient' and 398 therefore, as shown here, aerosol might have a strong effect on the clouds, both 399 through affecting the microphysics, initiating many feedbacks in the cloud scale, and 400 401 by affecting the field thermodynamic evolution over time.

402

#### 403 Acknowledgements

This research has been supported by the Minerva foundation with funding from theFederal German Ministry of Education and Research.

406

### 407 **References**

Albrecht, B. A.: Aerosols, cloud microphysics, and fractional cloudiness, Science (New York, NY), 245, 1227, 1989.
Albrecht, B. A.: Effects of precipitation on the thermodynamic structure of the trade wind boundary layer, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres (1984–2012), 98,

<sup>412 7327-7337, 1993.</sup> 





413 414	Altaratz, O., Koren, I., Reisin, T., Kostinski, A., Feingold, G., Levin, Z., and Yin, Y.: Aerosols' influence on the interplay between condensation, evaporation and rain in
415	warm cumulus cloud, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 8, 15-24, 2008.
416	Altaratz, O., Koren, I., Remer, L., and Hirsch, E.: Review: Cloud invigoration by aerosols—
410	Coupling between microphysics and dynamics, Atmospheric Research, 140, 38-60,
417	2014.
	Andreae, M. O., Rosenfeld, D., Artaxo, P., Costa, A. A., Frank, G. P., Longo, K. M., and
419	
420	Silva-Dias, M. A. F.: Smoking rain clouds over the Amazon, Science, 303, 1337-
421	1342, 10.1126/science.1092779, 2004.
422	Boucher, O., Randall, D., Artaxo, P., Bretherton, C., Feingold, G., Forster, P., Kerminen, V.,
423	Kondo, Y., Liao, H., and Lohmann, U.: Clouds and aerosols, Climate Change, 571-
424	657, 2013.
425	Brown, R. G., and Zhang, C.: Variability of midtropospheric moisture and its effect on cloud-
426	top height distribution during TOGA COARE*, Journal of the atmospheric sciences,
427	54, 2760-2774, 1997.
428	Costantino, L., and Bréon, FM.: Aerosol indirect effect on warm clouds over South-East
429	Atlantic, from co-located MODIS and CALIPSO observations, Atmospheric
430	Chemistry and Physics, 13, 69-88, 2013.
431	Dagan, G., Koren, I., and Altaratz, O.: Competition between core and periphery-based
432	processes in warm convective clouds-from invigoration to suppression, Atmospheric
433	Chemistry and Physics, 15, 2749-2760, 2015a.
434	Dagan, G., Koren, I., and Altaratz, O.: Aerosol effects on the timing of warm rain processes,
435	Geophysical Research Letters, 42, 4590-4598, 10.1002/2015GL063839, 2015b.
436	Dagan, G., Koren, I., Altaratz, O., and Heiblum, R. H.: Aerosol effect on the evolution of the
437	thermodynamic properties of warm convective cloud fields, Scientific Reports, in
438	review, 2016.
439	Dey, S., Di Girolamo, L., Zhao, G., Jones, A. L., and McFarquhar, G. M.: Satellite-observed
440	relationships between aerosol and trade-wind cumulus cloud properties over the
441	Indian Ocean, Geophysical Research Letters, 38, 2011.
442	Fan, J., Leung, L. R., Rosenfeld, D., Chen, Q., Li, Z., Zhang, J., and Yan, H.: Microphysical
443	effects determine macrophysical response for aerosol impacts on deep convective
444	clouds, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110, E4581-E4590, 2013.
445	Feingold, G., Jiang, H. L., and Harrington, J. Y.: On smoke suppression of clouds in
446	Amazonia, Geophysical Research Letters, 32, 10.1029/2004gl021369, 2005.
447	Fitzgerald, J., and Spyers-Duran, P.: Changes in cloud nucleus concentration and cloud
448	droplet size distribution associated with pollution from St. Louis, Journal of Applied
449	Meteorology, 12, 511-516, 1973.
450	Forster, P., Ramaswamy, V., Artaxo, P., Berntsen, T., Betts, R., Fahey, D. W., Haywood, J.,
451	Lean, J., Lowe, D. C., Myhre, G., Nganga, J., Prinn, R., Raga, G., Schulz, M., and
452	Dorland, R. V.: Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in Radiative Forcing., in:
453	Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I
454	to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change,
455	edited by: Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt,
456	M.Tignor and H.L. Miller Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom
457	and New York, NY, USA., 2007.
458	Grabowski, W., Bechtold, P., Cheng, A., Forbes, R., Halliwell, C., Khairoutdinov, M., Lang,
459	S., Nasuno, T., Petch, J., and Tao, W. K.: Daytime convective development over land:
460	A model intercomparison based on LBA observations, Quarterly Journal of the Royal
461	Meteorological Society, 132, 317-344, 2006.
462	Gunn, R., and Phillips, B.: An experimental investigation of the effect of air pollution on the
463	initiation of rain, Journal of Meteorology, 14, 272-280, 1957.
464	Heiblum, R. H., Altaratz, O., Koren, I., Feingold, G., Kostinski, A. B., Khain, A. P.,
465	Ovchinnikov, M., Fredj, E., Dagan, G., and Pinto, L.: Characterization of cumulus
466	cloud fields using trajectories in the center-of-gravity vs. water mass phase space.

Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2016-736, 2016 Manuscript under review for journal Atmos. Chem. Phys. Published: 24 August 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.

467

468





Part II: Aerosol effects on warm convective clouds, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 2016a.

- 469 Heiblum, R. H., Altaratz, O., Koren, I., Feingold, G., Kostinski, A. B., Khain, A. P., 470 Ovchinnikov, M., Fredj, E., Dagan, G., and Pinto, L.: Characterization of cumulus 471 cloud fields using trajectories in the center of gravity versus water mass phase space: 472 1. Cloud tracking and phase space description, Journal of Geophysical Research: 473 Atmospheres, 2016b. 474 Heus, T., and Jonker, H. J.: Subsiding shells around shallow cumulus clouds, Journal of the
- 475 Atmospheric Sciences, 65, 1003-1018, 2008. 476 Holland, J. Z., and Rasmusson, E. M.: Measurements of the atmospheric mass, energy, and 477 momentum budgets over a 500-kilometer square of tropical ocean, Monthly Weather 478 Review, 101, 44-55, 1973.
- 479 Holloway, C. E., and Neelin, J. D.: Moisture vertical structure, column water vapor, and 480 tropical deep convection, Journal of the atmospheric sciences, 66, 1665-1683, 2009.
- 481 Jaenicke, R.: Aerosol physics and chemistry, Landolt-Börnstein Neue Serie 4b, 391–457, 482 1988.
- 483 Jiang, H., Xue, H., Teller, A., Feingold, G., and Levin, Z.: Aerosol effects on the lifetime of 484 shallow cumulus, Geophysical Research Letters, 33, 10.1029/2006gl026024, 2006.
- Jiang, H., Feingold, G., and Sorooshian, A.: Effect of aerosol on the susceptibility and 485 486 efficiency of precipitation in warm trade cumulus clouds, Journal of the Atmospheric 487 Sciences, 67, 3525-3540, 2010.
- Jiang, H. L., and Feingold, G.: Effect of aerosol on warm convective clouds: Aerosol-cloud-488 489 surface flux feedbacks in a new coupled large eddy model, Journal of Geophysical 490 Research-Atmospheres, 111, D01202 10.1029/2005jd006138, 2006.
- 491 Johnson, R. H., Rickenbach, T. M., Rutledge, S. A., Ciesielski, P. E., and Schubert, W. H.: 492 Trimodal characteristics of tropical convection, Journal of climate, 12, 2397-2418, 493 1999.
- 494 Kaufman, Y. J., Koren, I., Remer, L. A., Rosenfeld, D., and Rudich, Y.: The effect of smoke, 495 dust, and pollution aerosol on shallow cloud development over the Atlantic Ocean, 496 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 497 102, 11207-11212, 10.1073/pnas.0505191102, 2005.
- 498 Khain, A., and Pokrovsky, A.: Simulation of effects of atmospheric aerosols on deep turbulent 499 convective clouds using a spectral microphysics mixed-phase cumulus cloud model. 500 Part II: Sensitivity study, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 61, 2983-3001, 501 10.1175/jas-3281.1, 2004.
- 502 Khain, A. P.: Notes on state-of-the-art investigations of aerosol effects on precipitation: a 503 critical review, Environmental Research Letters, 4, 015004 (015020 pp.)-015004 504 (015020 pp.), 10.1088/1748-9326/4/1/015004, 2009.
- 505 Khairoutdinov, M. F., and Randall, D. A.: Cloud resolving modeling of the ARM summer 506 1997 IOP: Model formulation, results, uncertainties, and sensitivities, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 60, 2003. 507
- 508 Kogan, Y. L., and Martin, W. J.: Parameterization of bulk condensation in numerical cloud 509 models, Journal of the atmospheric sciences, 51, 1728-1739, 1994.
- Koren, I., Kaufman, Y. J., Remer, L. A., and Martins, J. V.: Measurement of the effect of 510 511 Amazon smoke on inhibition of cloud formation, Science, 303, 1342-1345, 512 10.1126/science.1089424, 2004.
- Koren, I., Kaufman, Y. J., Rosenfeld, D., Remer, L. A., and Rudich, Y.: Aerosol invigoration 513 514 and restructuring of Atlantic convective clouds, Geophysical Research Letters, 32, 515 10.1029/2005gl023187, 2005.
- 516 Koren, I., Martins, J. V., Remer, L. A., and Afargan, H.: Smoke invigoration versus inhibition of clouds over the Amazon, Science, 321, 946-949, 10.1126/science.1159185, 2008. 517
- 518 Koren, I., Altaratz, O., Feingold, G., Levin, Z., and Reisin, T.: Cloud's Center of Gravity - a 519 compact approach to analyze convective cloud development, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 9, 155-161, 2009. 520





- 521 Koren, I., Altaratz, O., Remer, L. A., Feingold, G., Martins, J. V., and Heiblum, R. H.: 522 Aerosol-induced intensification of rain from the tropics to the mid-latitudes, Nature 523 Geoscience, 2012.
- 524 Koren, I., Dagan, G., and Altaratz, O.: From aerosol-limited to invigoration of warm 525 convective clouds, science, 344, 1143-1146, 2014.
- 526 Koren, I., Altaratz, O., and Dagan, G.: Aerosol effect on the mobility of cloud droplets, 527 Environmental Research Letters, 10, 104011, 2015.
- 528 Kuang, Z., and Bretherton, C. S.: A mass-flux scheme view of a high-resolution simulation of 529 a transition from shallow to deep cumulus convection, Journal of the Atmospheric 530 Sciences, 63, 1895-1909, 2006.
- Lee, S.-S., Feingold, G., and Chuang, P. Y.: Effect of aerosol on cloud-environment 531 532 interactions in trade cumulus, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 69, 3607-3632, 533 2012.
- Lee, S. S., Kim, B.-G., Lee, C., Yum, S. S., and Posselt, D.: Effect of aerosol pollution on 534 535 clouds and its dependence on precipitation intensity, Climate Dynamics, 42, 557-577, 536 2014.
- 537 Levin, Z., and Cotton, W. R.: Aerosol pollution impact on precipitation: A scientific review, 538 Springer, 2009.
- 539 Li, Z., Niu, F., Fan, J., Liu, Y., Rosenfeld, D., and Ding, Y.: Long-term impacts of aerosols on 540 the vertical development of clouds and precipitation, Nature Geoscience, 4, 888-894, 10.1038/ngeo1313, 2011. 541
- Nitta, T., and Esbensen, S.: Heat and moisture budget analyses using BOMEX data, Monthly 542 543 Weather Review, 102, 17-28, 1974.
- 544 Pinsky, M., Mazin, I., Korolev, A., and Khain, A.: Supersaturation and diffusional droplet 545 growth in liquid clouds, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 70, 2778-2793, 2013.
- 546 Roesner, S., Flossmann, A., and Pruppacher, H.: The effect on the evolution of the drop 547 spectrum in clouds of the preconditioning of air by successive convective elements, 548 Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 116, 1389-1403, 1990.
- 549 Rosenfeld, D.: TRMM observed first direct evidence of smoke from forest fires inhibiting 550 rainfall, Geophysical Research Letters, 26, 3105-3108, 10.1029/1999gl006066, 1999.
- 551 Rosenfeld, D.: Suppression of rain and snow by urban and industrial air pollution, Science, 552 287, 1793-1796, 10.1126/science.287.5459.1793, 2000.
- Rosenfeld, D., Lohmann, U., Raga, G. B., O'Dowd, C. D., Kulmala, M., Fuzzi, S., Reissell, 553 554 A., and Andreae, M. O.: Flood or drought: How do aerosols affect precipitation?, 555 Science, 321, 1309-1313, 10.1126/science.1160606, 2008.
- Saleeby, S. M., Herbener, S. R., van den Heever, S. C., and L'Ecuyer, T.: Impacts of Cloud 556 557 Droplet-Nucleating Aerosols on Shallow Tropical Convection, Journal of the 558 Atmospheric Sciences, 72, 1369-1385, 2015.
- Savane, O. S., Vant-Hull, B., Mahani, S., and Khanbilvardi, R.: Effects of Aerosol on Cloud 559 Liquid Water Path: Statistical Method a Potential Source for Divergence in Past 560 Observation Based Correlative Studies, Atmosphere, 6, 273-298, 2015. 561
- 562 Seifert, A., and Heus, T.: Large-eddy simulation of organized precipitating trade wind 563 cumulus clouds, Atmos. Chem. Phys, 13, 5631-5645, 2013.
- Seifert, A., Heus, T., Pincus, R., and Stevens, B.: Large-eddy simulation of the transient and 564 565 near-equilibrium behavior of precipitating shallow convection, Journal of Advances 566 in Modeling Earth Systems, 2015.
- 567 Seigel, R. B.: Shallow Cumulus Mixing and Subcloud Layer Responses to Variations in 568 Aerosol Loading, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 2014.
- 569 Seiki, T., and Nakajima, T.: Aerosol effects of the condensation process on a convective 570 cloud simulation, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 71, 833-853, 2014.
- 571 Siebesma, A. P., Bretherton, C. S., Brown, A., Chlond, A., Cuxart, J., Duynkerke, P. G., 572 Jiang, H., Khairoutdinov, M., Lewellen, D., and Moeng, C. H.: A large eddy 573 simulation intercomparison study of shallow cumulus convection, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 60, 1201-1219, 2003. 574

Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2016-736, 2016 Manuscript under review for journal Atmos. Chem. Phys. Published: 24 August 2016

© Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





- 575 Small, J. D., Chuang, P. Y., Feingold, G., and Jiang, H.: Can aerosol decrease cloud lifetime?, 576 Geophysical Research Letters, 36, 2009.
- 577 Squires, P.: The microstructure and colloidal stability of warm clouds, Tellus, 10, 262-271, 578 1958.
- 579 Squires, P., and Twomey, S.: The relation between cloud droplet spectra and the spectrum of 580 cloud nuclei, Geophysical Monograph Series, 5, 211-219, 1960.
- Starr Malkus, J.: Some results of a trade-cumulus cloud investigation, Journal of 581 582 Meteorology, 11, 220-237, 1954.
- 583 Stevens, B.: On the growth of layers of nonprecipitating cumulus convection, Journal of the atmospheric sciences, 64, 2916-2931, 2007. 584
- 585 Stevens, B., and Seifert, R.: Understanding macrophysical outcomes of microphysical choices 586 in simulations of shallow cumulus convection, Journal of the Meteorological Society 587 of Japan, 86, 143-162, 2008.
- 588 Stevens, B., and Feingold, G.: Untangling aerosol effects on clouds and precipitation in a 589 buffered system, Nature, 461, 607-613, 10.1038/nature08281, 2009.
- 590 Takemi, T., Hirayama, O., and Liu, C.: Factors responsible for the vertical development of 591 tropical oceanic cumulus convection, Geophysical research letters, 31, 2004.
- 592 Tao, W.-K., Chen, J.-P., Li, Z., Wang, C., and Zhang, C.: Impact of aerosols on convective 593 clouds and precipitation, Reviews of Geophysics, 50, RG2001, 2012.
- 594 Trenberth, K. E., Fasullo, J. T., and Kiehl, J.: Earth's global energy budget, Bull. Amer. 595 Meteor. Soc, 90, 311-323, 2009.
- 596 Waite, M. L., and Khouider, B.: The deepening of tropical convection by congestus 597 preconditioning, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 67, 2601-2615, 2010.
- Warner, J., and Twomey, S.: The production of cloud nuclei by cane fires and the effect on 598 599 cloud droplet concentration, Journal of the atmospheric Sciences, 24, 704-706, 1967.
- 600 Xue, H. W., and Feingold, G.: Large-eddy simulations of trade wind cumuli: Investigation of 601 aerosol indirect effects, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 63, 1605-1622, 602 10.1175/jas3706.1, 2006.
- 603 Xue, H. W., Feingold, G., and Stevens, B.: Aerosol effects on clouds, precipitation, and the organization of shallow cumulus convection, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 604 605 65, 392-406, 10.1175/2007jas2428.1, 2008.
- 606 Yuan, T., Remer, L. A., and Yu, H.: Microphysical, macrophysical and radiative signatures of 607 volcanic aerosols in trade wind cumulus observed by the A-Train, Atmospheric 608 Chemistry and Physics, 11, 7119-7132, 10.5194/acp-11-7119-2011, 2011.
- Zhao, M., and Austin, P. H.: Life cycle of numerically simulated shallow cumulus clouds. 609 610 Part I: Transport, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 62, 1269-1290, 611 10.1175/jas3414.1, 2005.
- 612 Zuidema, P., Li, Z., Hill, R. J., Bariteau, L., Rilling, B., Fairall, C., Brewer, W. A., Albrecht, B., and Hare, J.: On trade wind cumulus cold pools, Journal of the Atmospheric 613 Sciences, 69, 258-280, 2012. 614

615







618Figure 1. mean properties (over domain and time) of the simulated cloud fields as a function of619the aerosol concentration used in the simulation: A) total liquid water mass in the domain, B)620cloudy LWP, C) cloud fraction (CF) for columns with  $\tau>0.3$ , D) maximum cloud top, E) mean621cloud top, and, F) surface rain rate. Each of these mean properties are calculated for the last 14622hours out of the 16 hours of simulation. The error bars present the standard errors. For details623about the different properties see the text.



617



625

Figure 2. Domain mean condensation (solid lines) and evaporation (dashed lines) tendencies for four different simulations conducted with different aerosol concentration levels (5 cm<sup>-3</sup> blue, 50

628 cm<sup>-3</sup> green, 250 cm<sup>-3</sup> red and 2000 cm<sup>-3</sup> cyan).











center of gravity velocity V<sub>COG</sub> and D) COG (center of gravity) height as a function of the aerosol
 concentration. All calculated for the last 14 hours out of the 16 hours of simulation.





635

Figure 4. Mean liquid water content (LWC) vertical profiles for four different simulations (5 cm<sup>-3</sup>
blue, 50 cm<sup>-3</sup> green, 250 cm<sup>-3</sup> red and 2000 cm<sup>-3</sup> cyan). The mean profiles are calculated for the
last 14 hours out of the 16 hours of simulation.







Figure 5. Total change, during 16 h of simulation in the temperature ([k] upper panel) and water
vapor content ([g/kg] – lower panel) domain mean vertical profiles as a function of the aerosol
concentration used in the simulation.



640

645



646

647Figure 6. Mean properties (over time and domain) of the simulated cloud fields as a function of648the aerosol concentration used in the simulation: A) total liquid water mass in the domain, B)649cloudy LWP, C) cloud fraction (CF) for columns with  $\tau>0.3$ , D) maximum cloud top, E) mean650cloud top, and, F) surface rain rate. Each property is calculated separately for each period of one651third of the simulations (blue, green and red for the first, second and third periods, respectivly).652The error bars present the standard error. For details about the different properties, see the653text.





	Total	LWP	COG	Max	Mean	W max	CF	Rain
	mass	[%]	[%]	top	top	[%]	[%]	rate
	[%]			[%]	[%]			[%]
5 cm <sup>-3</sup>	-57.0	-61.4	-43.1	-32.9	-39.7	-28.2	-19.7	-53.5
25 cm <sup>-3</sup>	-45.2	-58.3	-39.6	-17.8	-37.4	-38.8	-0.6	-32.9
50 cm <sup>-3</sup>	-43.8	-53.1	-33.7	-15.6	-31.6	-47.9	-7.5	-40.1
100								
cm <sup>-3</sup>	-20.1	-13.0	-16.1	-3.2	-13.0	-32.8	-19.0	19.6
250								
cm <sup>-3</sup>	17.5	48.6	5.0	12.4	5.0	-4.3	-40.7	598.1
500								
cm <sup>-3</sup>	37.4	64.2	19.9	19.2	10.7	9.4	-30.9	841.5
2000								
cm <sup>-3</sup>	-3.7	10.6	14.8	10.1	17.9	6.0	-17.8	-
5000								
cm <sup>-3</sup>	-10.1	5.7	13.7	9.9	17.5	2.9	-20.7	-

655Table 1. change (in %) in key variables between the mean values in the last third period of the656simulations and the first period. Negative values are presented in red.

657