

Anonymous Referee #1

This manuscript presents an evaluation of alternative gas-particle partitioning schemes in a modified version of the WRF-CMAQ model run for Benzo-a-pyrene (BaP). The authors compare modeled BaP concentrations within a European model domain under 5 different gas-particle partitioning schemes and also compare model results to measurement data from EMEP monitoring sites.

The novel aspect of this work seems to be announcing that the different gas-particle partitioning schemes have been incorporated into CMAQ. The more complicated schemes apparently provide the best model performance relative to measurement data, but the authors state that the disagreements between measurements and the model are likely mostly attributable to uncertainties in emission estimates. Therefore it is difficult to draw conclusions about which partitioning scheme is best based just on results reported in this paper.

We appreciate the thoughtful review and the recognition of the novelty of the approach in 3D air quality systems such as CMAQ, despite the limited spatiotemporal coverage of the EMEP network.

I have two scientific concerns about the paper. I think both are significant, but possibly #1 arises from unclear presentation in the paper.

1) I understand Table 2 and the text to say that Scenarios 3, 4 and 5 include both the Junge-Pankow adsorption model *and* the Harner-Bidleman Koa absorption model. Is that correct? If so, I think the model is perhaps adding two redundant descriptions of gas-particle partitioning.

It has been a while since I looked at the JP model, but my recollection is that it includes a fitting parameter that was derived from empirical data under the assumption that all partitioning was by adsorption to aerosol surfaces. But, the assumption that adsorption dominates could not be confirmed. Xiao and Wania (<http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1352231003002139>) later showed that vapor pressure and Koa both describe sorption to organic matter equally well. Therefore, I think partitioning schemes that include both vapor pressure and Koa as chemical parameters are likely including redundant information, and could easily be over-fitted.

Reply:

We agree, the parameter c_j (high for strong sorption, low for weak sorption) in the JP model may not be totally free from absorptive contributions. Therefore, adding θ of JP and K_{oa} may eventually lead to overpredictions, but these should be very low (negligible), as the absorptive contribution within JP is very low. It is very low, as the fitting was done for all possible vapors, and many of these were inorganic (almost not soluble in octanol). Unfortunately, no c_j for BAP based on measurements has ever been published. However, we found recently in background aerosol of Central Europe (Kosetice 2012-13, n=162 aerosol samples, c_j derived from experimental S/V data; Shahpoury et al., 2016) that c_j for BaP is very low, namely 0.01-5.46 Pa cm (median = 0.44 Pa cm; and also low for all other PAHs, with one exception, anthracene for which $c_j > 17.2$ Pa cm is found). This finding is not representative for all types of aerosol in the simulation, but for the European background aerosol. It supports the perception that a possible implicit absorptive contribution to PAHs' c_j must be very low i.e., negligible. Therefore, the combined partitioning according to both the JP and a K_{oa} model is justified (note that the Harner-Bidleman version of the K_{oa} model is present only in scenario 3 – Table was modified to avoid confusion).

New text in the revised version reads (after line 13, page 5): “The empiric JP model, despite assuming adsorption to be the only relevant partitioning process, may eventually not be free from absorptive contributions (to c_j), but these should be very low. The fitting in the empiric parameterisation was done for all possible vapors, many of these not soluble in octanol. No c_j for BAP derived from ambient measurements has ever been published. However, we found recently in background aerosol of Central Europe (Kosetice 2012-13, $n=162$ aerosol samples, c_j derived from experimental S/V data; Shahpoury et al., 2016) that c_j for BAP is very low, namely 0.01-5.46 Pa cm (median 0.44 Pa cm), supporting the perception that a possible implicit absorptive contribution to c_j must be very low.”

10 Shahpoury P., Lammel G., Albinet A., Sofuoglu A., Domanoğlu Y., Sofuoglu C.S., Wagner Z., Zdimal V.: Evaluation of a conceptual model for gas-particle partitioning of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons using poly-parameter linear free energy relationships, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, Submitted, 2016.

15 2) The authors state that soot-air partition coefficients were calculated as the ratio of soot-water adsorption constants (K_{sw}) and the Henry’s Law constant. Kai Goss has pointed out that applying a thermodynamic triangle for a solid interface in contact with water and the same solid interface in contact with an adjacent gaseous phase is a conceptual mistake that can lead to very large errors (<http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/es0301370>).

20 I actually do not see a good option for the authors to overcome this problem, since the Lohmann & Lammel (2004) and the Dachs & Eisenreich (2000) papers that are the basis for the gas-particle partitioning scheme applied in this paper are both based on thermodynamic triangles that Goss argues (convincingly!) are invalid.

Reply:

25 We thank the reviewer for pointing this out. We agree that the method applied is imperfect, but it is the best available and, hence, commonly accepted in atmospheric modelling (as cited by the referee, Galarneau et al., 2014, and others). The only other option available (suggested by Dachs et al., 2004, and van Noort, 2003) parameterises $K_{soot-air}$ as a function of the BC specific surface area, which is basically unknown and certainly transient while aging (typically changing by at least one order of magnitude within one day following emission from combustion sources). Dachs concludes that prediction of K_{sa} by either method provide reasonably similar results.

30 New text in the revised version reads (after line 24, page 6): “This method is subject to uncertainties (Goss, 2004), but is accepted and suitable (Dachs et al., 2004; besides others).”

35 van Noort, P.: A thermodynamics-based estimation model for adsorption of organic compounds by carbonaceous materials in environmental sorbents, *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 22(6), 1179–1188, doi:10.1002/etc.5620220601, 2003.

Dachs, J., Ribes, S., van Drooge, B., Grimalt, J., Eisenreich, S. J. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Response to the comment on “Influence of soot carbon on the soil–air partitioning of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons,” *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 38(5), 1624–1625, doi:10.1021/es0307118, 2004.

40 Goss, K.-U.: Comment on “Influence of soot carbon on the soil–air partitioning of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon,” *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 38(5), 1622–1623, doi:10.1021/es0301370, 2004.

Anonymous Referee #2

General comments

5 The manuscript describes the application of community air quality model CMAQ to study atmospheric transport of B(a)P and effects of gas-particle partitioning and degradation. Several gas-particle partitioning models were implemented in the CMAQ model extending its capabilities with respect to modeling of PAHs. Though the subject of the study is of importance with regard to existing air quality problems in Europe, description of this study suffers from obscurity and thus requires substantial revisions.

10 First of all, I would mention not clear enough description of parameters applied in the equations 10 and 11, related to gas-particle partitioning (e.g. KOA, KSA). Secondly, evaluation of the effects of several GPP mechanisms through their incremental testing (incremental testing is defined in section 3.2 and Table 2) is not quite clearly described with regard to sensitivity of CMAQ model output to particular partitioning mechanisms in model simulation scenarios. In some cases abbreviations, defined for GPP models and scenarios and used throughout the analysis, are mixed like e.g. DE model and DE scenario.
15 This leads to problems with understanding what is presented, for example, in the second column of tables 4 and S8 called 'Model'. There are both abbreviations for scenarios and models (for example, JP-W and DE, HB, etc.). Finally, the underestimation of observed B(a)P concentrations in air is explained by low emissions. At the same time, there is no discussion of potential problems of emission data used, no comparison of total annual emissions with other studies, for example, with similar studies e.g.
20 Aulinger et al. (2007) and others.

Reply:

We appreciate the very thorough and detailed review along with the recognition of the potential relevance of the presented study. The first two points of concern have been addressed in a revised manuscript. Regarding the last area of concern, we feel that the manuscript adequately discusses the
25 limitations of emission data used, which have been the major topic of work published by the authors (Bieser et al., 2012).

Specific comments

Page 3, line 12-13: "CMAQ contains modules representing advection, eddy diffusion, in-cloud, and precipitation processes". It would be better to use 'in cloud and below- cloud scavenging with
30 precipitation'.

Reply: Corrected

Page 3, line 26: 'gas phase reactions' instead of 'gas reactions'.

Reply: Corrected

Page 4, line 23: Though the equation 2 follows the publication of Aulinger et al. (2007), it is not clear how the particulate fractions in each mode i , f_i , are obtained, because in the cited work (Cooter and Hutzell, 2002) similar equation is written for the sum of partition coefficients, but not for fractions of a compound in particulate phase.

- 5 Reply: According to equations 1-4 in Cooter and Hutzell (2002), particulate fractions are defined for each mode i of CMAQ aerosol.

Page 5, equation 7: fOM is used without index i . Does it mean that the fraction of organic matter in aerosol particles is the same in each of three modes?

Reply: Corrected throughout the text

- 10 Page 6, line 3: assumptions used here for ratio of activity coefficients and ratio of mean molar weights of organic matter of the particles and octanol (that they are equal unity) need to be discussed with regard to their uncertainties as it was shown in e.g. (doi: 10.5194/acpd-14-21341-2014).

- 15 Reply: More explanations will be added. The new text reads (after line 7, page 6): “Assuming that octanol imitates organic matter in PM, Harner and Bidleman (1998) suggested that the ratio of $\gamma_{\text{oct}}/\gamma_{\text{OM}}$ and $M_{\text{oct}}/M_{\text{OM}}$ can be assumed to be 1. However, it was later suggested that M_{OM} could be much higher, particularly in secondary organic aerosols containing polymeric structures (Kalberer et al., 2004); a mean value of 500 g mol^{-1} was later suggested by Götz et al. (2007), which results in $M_{\text{oct}}/M_{\text{OM}}$ of 0.26.”

- 20 Götz, C. W., Scheringer, M., MacLeod, M., Roth, C. M. and Hungerbühler, K.: Alternative approaches for modeling gas–particle partitioning of semivolatile organic chemicals: model development and comparison, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 41(4), 1272–1278, doi:10.1021/es060583y, 2007.

Kalberer, M., Paulsen, D., Sax, M., Steinbacher, M., Dommen, J., Prevot, A. S. H., Fisseha, R., Weingartner, E., Frankevich, V., Zenobi, R. and Baltensperger, U.: Identification of polymers as major components of atmospheric organic aerosols, *Science*, 303(5664), 1659–1662, doi:10.1126/science.1092185, 2004.

- 25 Page 6, line 14-15: Soot-air partition coefficients were calculated as the ratio of soot water adsorption constants KSW and the inverse Henry’s Law constant (HC), with KSA values adopted from Barring et al. (2002). Concerning the way of deriving the KSA it would be important to provide estimates of uncertainties that would be introduced by this assumption. Moreover, it is unclear how this adopting was performed for B(a)P since the publication of Barring et al. (2002) was focused on experimental
30 determination of the soot–water distribution coefficients for PCDDs, PCDFs, and PBDEs.

Reply: K_{sa} was calculated based on K_{sw} (typo fixed) following the approach described in the footnotes of Table 1 in Lohmann and Lammel (2004). Despite the publication title not mentioning PAHs, this value is also referenced in Table 4 of Barring et al. (2002). This information will be included in Table 1 for clarity. We agree that the method applied is imperfect, but it is the best available and, hence,

commonly accepted in atmospheric modelling (as cited by the referee, Galarneau et al., 2014, and others). The only other option available (suggested by Dachs et al., 2004, and van Noort, 2003) parameterises $K_{\text{soot-air}}$ as a function of the BC specific surface area, which is basically unknown and certainly transient while aging (typically changing by at least one order of magnitude within one day following emission from combustion sources). Dachs concludes that prediction of K_{sa} by either method provide reasonably similar results.

New text in the revised version (following a suggestion of the other reviewer) reads (after line 24, page 6):

10 “This method is subject to uncertainties (Goss, 2004), but is accepted and suitable (Dachs et al., 2004; besides others).”

van Noort, P.: A thermodynamics-based estimation model for adsorption of organic compounds by carbonaceous materials in environmental sorbents, *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 22(6), 1179–1188, doi:10.1002/etc.5620220601, 2003.

15 Dachs, J., Ribes, S., van Drooge, B., Grimalt, J., Eisenreich, S. J. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Response to the comment on “Influence of soot carbon on the soil–air partitioning of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons,” *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 38(5), 1624–1625, doi:10.1021/es0307118, 2004.

Goss, K.-U.: Comment on “Influence of soot carbon on the soil–air partitioning of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon,” *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 38(5), 1622–1623, doi:10.1021/es0301370, 2004.

20 Page 6, line 16: There would be a need to describe more clearly these two parameterizations for K_{oa} (e.g. to give equations, to show difference).

25 Reply: The two K_{oa} parameterisations are described in the cited publications. Previous modelling studies of B(a)P followed either Beyer et al. (Aulinger, 2007) or Odabasi et al. (Galarneau, 2014). The relevant text was amended to explicitly state that Odabasi et al. determine K_{oa} as a function of temperature.

Page 10, line 6: It would be better to provide the difference (relative or absolute) between the maps in Figures 2a and 2b instead of direct comparison.

30 Reply: The goal of Figure 2 is to provide an illustration of B(a)P distributions and gradients across Europe. For better clarity we selected logarithmic scale. The difference is in fact provided in Figure 3 that provides the absolute difference comparison plot, discussed in the following paragraph.

Page 21, Table 1: it is not shown from where the values for $K_{\text{soot-water}}$, $K_{\text{soot-air}}$, and OH reaction rate were taken.

Reply: Corrected

Page 22, table 2: Table 2 does not correspond to its description in section 3.2 for 4th and 5th scenarios.

Reply: Corrected

Page 27, figure 3: Please, correct the abbreviations JB-W and DL, as they were not defined earlier. The same for figure S5. Concerning the maps, it would better to show the difference between them in relative units.

- 5 Reply: Corrected the abbreviations uniformly throughout the text. However, no visual benefit when moving from absolute to relative units was noticeable in the figures, therefore omitted.

Table 4 and Table S8 do not present mean modeled and observed B(a)P concentrations. In the column 'Model' both abbreviations for scenarios and for GPP models are used (for example, JP-W and DE, HB, etc.). Please, provide equations or references for IOA etc.

- 10 Reply: Corrected the abbreviations throughout the text and according to previous comment. The metrics of performance have been calculated based on the openair R package (Carslaw and Ropkins, 2012) as noted in section 3.2 (equations/references can be found therein).

Evaluation of gas-particle partitioning in a regional air quality model for organic pollutants

C. I. Efstathiou¹, J. Matejovičová^{1,2}, J. Bieser^{3,4}, and G. Lammel^{1,5}

¹Masaryk University, Research Centre for Toxic Compounds in the Environment, Kamenice 5, 62500 Brno, Czech Republic

5 ²Slovak Hydrometeorological Institute, Jeséniova 17, 83315 Bratislava, Slovak Republic

³Institute of Coastal Research, Helmholtz-Zentrum Geesthacht, Max-Planck-Str. 1, 21502 Geesthacht, Germany

⁴German Aerospace Center (DLR), Oberpfaffenhofen, 82234 Weßling, Germany

1 ⁵Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, [Multiphase Chemistry Department](#), Hahn-Meitner-Weg 1, 55128 Mainz, Germany

Correspondence to: C. I. Efstathiou (efstathiou@recetox.muni.cz)

10 **Abstract.** Persistent organic pollutants (POPs) are of considerable concern due to their well-recognised toxicity and their potential to bioaccumulate and engage in long-range transport. These compounds are semi-volatile and therefore partition between vapour and condensed phases in the atmosphere, while both phases can undergo chemical reactions. This work describes the extension of the Community Multi-scale Air Quality (CMAQ) modelling system to POPs with a focus on establishing an adaptable framework that accounts for gaseous chemistry, heterogeneous reactions, and gas-particle

15 partitioning (GPP). The effect of GPP is assessed by implementing a set of independent parameterisations within the CMAQ aerosol module, including the Junge-Pankow (JP) adsorption model, the Harner-Bidleman (HB) organic matter (OM) absorption model, and the dual Dachs-Eisenreich (DE) black carbon (BC) adsorption and OM absorption model. Use of these descriptors in a modified version of CMAQ for benzo[a]pyrene (BaP), results in different fate and transport patterns as demonstrated by regional scale simulations performed for a European domain during 2006. The dual DE model predicted

20 24.1% higher average domain concentrations compared to the HB model, which was in turn predicting 119.2% higher levels compared to the baseline JP model. Evaluation against measurements from the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP) reveal the capability of the more extensive DE model to better capture the ambient levels and seasonal behaviour of BaP. It is found that the heterogeneous reaction of BaP with O₃ may decrease its atmospheric lifetime by 25.2% (domain and annual average) and near-ground concentrations by 18.8%. Marginally better model performance was found for

25 one of the six EMEP stations (Košetice) when heterogeneous BaP reactivity was included. Further analysis shows that for the rest of the EMEP locations the model continues to underestimate BaP levels, an observation that can be attributed to low emission estimates for such remote areas. These findings suggest that, when modelling the fate and transport of organic pollutants on large spatiotemporal scales, the selection and parameterisation of GPP can be as important as degradation (reactivity).

1 Introduction

Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) are a group of lipophilic organic compounds with demonstrated carcinogenicity and potential to bioaccumulate (Diamond and Hodge, 2007; Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 1999; Pedersen et al., 2004; 2005; WHO, 2003). Typically emitted to the atmosphere as a mixture of semi- to low-volatile congeners with variable carcinogenic
5 potency, their fate and transport in the environment is difficult to assess. Current limitations involve inadequate knowledge of photochemistry (Keyte et al., 2013), air-surface exchange (Galarneau et al., 2014; Keyte et al., 2013; Lammel et al., 2009), and combustion sources (Bieser et al., 2012; Lammel et al., 2013). In addition, aluminium and steel production along with petrogenic sources are significant in some environments (Wenborn et al., 1999). This relationship to anthropogenic activity and population density raised awareness on their potential as health stressors, with hot spots identified in urban
10 industrial settings around the world (Šrám et al., 2013; Zhu et al., 2015). On the other hand, observations of PAHs at remote sites and global circulation model studies indicate long-range transport (Keyte et al., 2013; Sehili and Lammel, 2007). Therefore, and due to resistance to degradation, PAHs are classified as persistent organic pollutants (POPs) by the United Nations Economic Commission for Europe (UNECE) Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution (CLRTAP) and are listed in the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic (OSPAR).

15 Much of the scientific literature is focussed on the 16 U.S. EPA priority PAHs i.e., acenaphthene (Ace), acenaphthylene (Acy), fluorene (Fln), naphthalene (Nap), anthracene (Ant), fluoranthene (Flt), phenanthrene (Phe), benzo[a]anthracene (BaA), benzo[b]fluoranthene (BbF), benzo[k]fluoranthene (BkF), chrysene (Chr), pyrene (Pyr), benzo[ghi]perylene (BgP), benzo[a]pyrene (BaP), dibenzo[a,h]anthracene (DBahA), and indeno[1,2,3-cd]-pyrene (IPy), albeit not well justified (Andersson and Achten, 2015). Used as a biomarker for the carcinogenic risk of PAHs, BaP is a criteria pollutant in many
20 countries, sometimes together with a few other bioaccumulative members. While in North America no federal guidelines are currently in effect, the European Union indicative limit value is set at 1 ng m^{-3} of BaP (EC, 2004), and certain countries have established stricter air quality standards (i.e. 0.25 ng m^{-3} of BaP in the United Kingdom) (DETR, 2009).

A number of studies, which aimed at exploring the atmospheric fate and transport of POPs on various scales, have been presented in the last two decades. These include relatively simple mass balance models developed under the Lagrangian
25 framework, some of which have been extended to multi-media applications for studying the accumulation and exchange of POPs between various compartments (Halsall et al., 2001; Lang et al., 2008; 2007; Liu et al., 2007; Prevedouros et al., 2004; 2008; Van Jaarsveld et al., 1997). Eulerian grid models add yet another level of complexity regarding process detail that is accompanied by additional computational demands. Global scale general circulation models (Friedman and Selin, 2012; Lammel et al., 2009; Sehili and Lammel, 2007), as well as hemispheric models (Gusev et al., 2005; Hansen et al., 2006;
30 Shatalov et al., 2005) have been applied to study the long-range transport of POPs. Moreover, coupled meteorological and air quality modelling frameworks have presented efforts to further investigate the treatment of physical and chemical atmospheric processes related to POPs in higher resolution (Aulinger et al., 2007; Bieser et al., 2012; Cooter and Hutzell, 2002; Galarneau et al., 2014; Inomata et al., 2012; Matthias et al., 2009; Meng et al., 2007; San José et al., 2013; Silibello et

al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2009). Table S1 provides a summary of the most relevant processes covered in the respective regional model implementations and subsequent evolution tracked by author. While such studies represent the initial efforts to accurately model POPs on regional scale, important processes such as gas-particle partitioning, heterogeneous reactivity, and a multi-compartment approach for the relevant compounds are not always studied. The WRF-CMAQ-BaP model presented in this article is considered to be the first effort to examine the full spectrum of gas-particle partitioning models relevant to POPs in the same regional-scale modelling application. The model attempts to capture POPs' aerosol chemistry and dynamics within the CMAQ framework and can be extended to account for mass exchange between adjacent compartments for compounds that such processes are deemed critical (i.e soil volatilization).

2 Model development

The model development framework is based on the CMAQ version 4.7.1 modelling system (Byun and Schere, 2006; Byun and Ching, 1999) with the CB05 gas phase chemistry mechanism (Yarwood et al., 2005) and the AERO4 version of aerosol module (Binkowski, 2003). In addition, CMAQ contains modules representing advection, eddy diffusion, in-cloud and below-cloud scavenging with precipitation. Advection and diffusion satisfy mass conservation and include removal by dry deposition, while in-cloud and precipitation processes simulate aqueous chemistry and wet deposition by cloud droplets (Byun, 1999a; 1999b). The aerosol module (Binkowski, 2003) determines concentrations of trimodal size distributed particulate material of diameter less than 10 μm . According to this model, each mode can be described as an internal mixture of several compounds; mixing state on the single particle level is not addressed, but is considered equivalent to internal mixing. Aitken and accumulation modes include particles of diameter $\sim 2.5 \mu\text{m}$ or less (PM_{2.5}), which are either emitted or produced by gas-to-particle conversion processes. A thermodynamic mechanism, based on temperature and relative humidity, determines air concentrations of water, sulfate, ammonium, and nitrate aerosols in the fine modes (Nenes et al., 1998; Zhang et al., 2000). Organic and elemental carbon species are also included in these modes. The third mode represents coarse material having diameters between 2.5 and 10 μm and includes dry inorganic material emitted by natural and anthropogenic sources. Other processes like coagulation, particle growth, aerosol-cloud interactions, and new particle formation are included in the treatment.

CMAQ adaptations are required to fully address the behaviour of semi-volatile organic compounds (SOCs) in the atmosphere and include additional homogeneous gas phase reactions, a modular algorithm to describe the mass exchange of SOCs between the gas and particulate phases, and a description of deposition for each phase state of the compound of interest. For the case of benzo(a)pyrene (BaP), one gas-phase species and three particulate-sorbed species, one for each aerosol mode, are introduced. It is assumed that only the gaseous molecule undergoes chemical degradation through a reaction with the hydroxyl (OH) radical, leading to an unspecified product that does not undergo further chemical reaction. A summary of the physico-chemical data used in this study is presented in Table 1. Furthermore, a module that accounts for

heterogeneous chemistry was included for compounds that such reactions represent a significant loss according to experimental studies (e.g. BaP reaction with O₃).

2.1 Modular implementation of gas-particle partitioning (GPP) in CMAQ

The distribution of POPs between the gas and the particulate phases is widely recognised as the most important parameter in describing their fate and transport in the atmosphere. PAHs like benzo(a)pyrene can be adsorbed to mineral surfaces or elemental carbon, absorbed by organic aerosol, or absorbed by aerosol water (Lohmann and Lammel, 2004). Therefore, the aerosol module of CMAQ was enhanced with an array of models that assume different processes as determining gas-particle partitioning, i.e. an adsorption model (Junge-Pankow (Junge, 1977; Pankow, 1987)), an organic matter (OM) absorption model (K_{oa} (Harner and Bidleman, 1998)), and a dual OM absorption and elemental or black carbon (EC/BC) adsorption model (Dachs and Eisenreich, 2000; Lohmann and Lammel, 2004). In addition, a method for calculating the absorption in particulate phase water was included in the module, employed for all aerosol modes in a manner similar to the GPP processes (Aulinger et al., 2007; Cooter and Hutzell, 2002).

The new GPP algorithm for CMAQ brings together existing implementations for pesticides (Cooter and Hutzell, 2002), PAHs (Aulinger et al., 2007; San José et al., 2013), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) (Meng et al., 2007), dibenzo-*p*-dioxins and dibenzofurans (PCDD/Fs) (Zhang et al., 2009) in a unified module that allows for flexible GPP model combinations, making it applicable to a wide range of SOCs. The following assumptions were taken into consideration: instantaneous relaxation to phase equilibrium (no mass transport kinetic limitations), the compound does not irreversibly transform in the particulate phase, and sorption processes do not interact. By extending the gas-phase and modal aerosol treatments in CMAQ for SOCs, these assumptions allow the use of simple ratios to determine the concentration of each form following

$$a_i = \phi_i(a_i + g) \quad (1)$$

where a_i is the particulate concentration in each mode ($i=1$ =Aitken, 2 =accumulation, 3 =coarse), g is the gaseous concentration and ϕ_i is the particulate fraction of the compound in mode, with a general formulation (Aulinger et al., 2007; Cooter and Hutzell, 2002)

$$\phi_i = \phi_i^{ad} + \phi_i^{ab} + \phi_i^{aq} \quad (2)$$

This way, all partitioning processes: adsorption (ϕ_i^{ad}), absorption in OM (ϕ_i^{ab}), and absorption (solution) in aerosol water (ϕ_i^{aq}) are simultaneously treated. Following the rule of mass conservation for the compound in both phases, the total concentration c_{tot} can be introduced

$$g = c_{tot} - a_1 - a_2 - a_3 \quad (3)$$

leading to a system of three linear equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \phi_1 & \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 & 1 & \phi_2 \\ \phi_3 & \phi_3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix} = c_{tot} \times \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \phi_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

that yields the particulate concentrations a_i in each mode. Subsequently, using the previous equation, the gaseous concentration g can be calculated.

2.1.1 Junge – Pankow adsorption model

The first GPP scheme is based on the model of exchangeable SOC adsorption to aerosols presented by Junge (1977) and later critically reviewed by Pankow (1987)

$$\phi_i^{ad} = c_j S_i / (p_L^\circ + c_j S_i) \quad (5)$$

The equation above relates the mass fraction of chemical adsorbed to particles in each mode i (ϕ_i^{ad}) to the subcooled liquid vapor pressure of the compound (p_L° , Pa) and the aerosol surface density (S_i , m^2 of particles' surface per m^3 of air). The parameter c_j (unit, Pa m) depends on the chemical nature of both the adsorbant and the adsorbate, and cannot be expected to be a constant, as confirmed by field experiments (Lammel et al., 2010). Nevertheless, a value of $c_j = 0.172$ Pa m has been suggested (Pankow, 1987) and is often used in modelling applications. The surface area parameter, S_i , which is a characteristic of the aerosol required for the Junge-Pankow model, is calculated at each time step for each mode within the aerosol module of CMAQ (Binkowski, 2003).

The empiric JP model, despite assuming adsorption to be the only relevant partitioning process, may eventually not be free from absorptive contributions (to c_j), but these should be very low. The fitting in the empiric parameterisation was done for all possible vapors, many of these not soluble in octanol. No c_j for BAP derived from ambient measurements has ever been published. However, we found recently in background aerosol of Central Europe (Kosetice 2012-13, n=162 aerosol samples, c_j derived from experimental S/V data; Shahpoury et al., 2016) that c_j for BAP is very low, namely 0.01-5.46 Pa cm (median 0.44 Pa cm), supporting the perception that a possible implicit absorptive contribution to c_j must be very low.

2.1.2 K_{oa} absorption model

The second GPP scheme follows the work of Pankow (1994; 2007), with refinements from Harner and Bidleman (1998) that described how the particulate fraction of a compound could be derived from the aerosol-air partitioning coefficient K_p . Following this approach for each mode i , the predicted particulate fraction ϕ_i^{ab} is linked to the total mass concentration of suspended particles c_{TSP_i} with the following equation

$$\phi_i^{ab} = K_{p,i}^{OM} c_{TSP_i} / (K_{p,i}^{OM} c_{TSP_i} + 1) \quad (6)$$

Pankow (1987; 1998) demonstrated that the gas-particle partitioning coefficient, K_p , and the use of p_L° as its descriptor are valid both for adsorption and absorption of SOCs. In the case of absorptive partitioning, Pankow (1998) derived

$$K_{p,i}^{OM} (m^3 \mu g^{-1}) = \frac{f_{OM_i} 760RT}{10^6 M_{OM} \gamma_{OM} p_L^\circ} \quad (7)$$

where f_{OM_i} is the mass fraction of OM in the particle that can absorb gaseous SOCs, R is the ideal gas constant ($= 8.314$ Pa m^3 $mol^{-1} K^{-1}$), T (K) is absolute temperature, M_{OM} (g mol^{-1}) is the mean molecular weight of the OM phase, γ_{OM} is the

activity coefficient of the selected SOC in the OM on a mole fraction basis, and p_L^0 (Pa) is the vapour pressure of the pure SOC (subcooled liquid in the case of solids). Partitioning coefficients normalized to the OM content were introduced to further support the absorption hypothesis (Finizio et al., 1997). Pankow (2007), and Harner and Bidleman (1998) replaced p_L^0 by the octanol-air partitioning coefficient, K_{oa}

$$K_{p,i}^{OM} = 10^{-12} \frac{f_{OM_i} M_{oct} \gamma_{oct} K_{oa}}{M_{OM} \gamma_{OM} \rho_{oct}} \quad (8)$$

5 where M_{oct} , M_{OM} (g mol^{-1}) are the molecular weights of octanol ($= 130 \text{ g mol}^{-1}$) and the OM phase, γ_{oct} , γ_{OM} are the activity coefficients of the SOC in octanol and OM, respectively, and ρ_{oct} is the density of octanol (0.82 kg L^{-1}). Assuming that octanol imitates organic matter in PM, Harner and Bidleman (1998) suggested that the ratio of γ_{oct}/γ_{OM} and M_{oct}/M_{OM} can be assumed to be 1. However, it was later suggested that M_{OM} could be much higher, particularly in secondary organic aerosols containing polymeric structures (Kalberer et al., 2004); a mean value of 500 g mol^{-1} was later suggested by Götz et al. (2007), which results in M_{oct}/M_{OM} of 0.26. Under the assumptions that γ_{oct}/γ_{OM} and $MW_{oct}/MW_{OM} = 1$, the equation above can be simplified to the following

$$\log K_{p,i}^{OM} = \log K_{oa} + \log f_{OM} - 11.91 \quad (9)$$

2.1.3 Dual OM absorption and black carbon adsorption model

Finally, the third GPP scheme extends the previous OM absorption model to include adsorption onto particulate soot, according to evidence showing the measured K_p to be exceeding the predicted K_p based solely on absorption in OM (Dachs and Eisenreich, 2000; Fernandez et al., 2002; Lohmann and Lammel, 2004; Ngabe and Poissant, 2003). Considering the contribution of those two additive processes a modified partition coefficient can be employed

$$K_{p,i}^{dual} = 10^{-12} \left(\frac{f_{OM_i} M_{oct} \gamma_{oct} K_{oa}}{M_{OM} \gamma_{OM} \rho_{oct}} + \frac{f_{BC_i} a_{atm-BC} K_{SA}}{a_{soot} \rho_{BC}} \right) \quad (10)$$

where f_{BC_i} is the fraction of black carbon in the particulate matter, a_{atm-BC} and a_{soot} are the available surfaces of atmospheric black carbon (BC) and diesel soot, respectively, K_{SA} is the partitioning coefficient between diesel soot and air. A density value of 1 kg L^{-1} has often been assumed for BC, however values in the range of $1.7\text{-}1.9 \text{ kg L}^{-1}$ have been measured and recommended by Bond and Bergstrom (2006). Thus, the equation can be simplified

$$K_{p,i}^{dual} = 10^{-12} (0.32 \times f_{OM_i} K_{oa} + 0.55 \times f_{BC_i} K_{SA}) \quad (11)$$

This method is subject to uncertainties (Goss, 2004), but is accepted and suitable (Dachs et al., 2004; besides others). Soot-air partition coefficients (K_{SA}) were calculated as the ratio of soot-water adsorption constants K_{SW} and the inverse Henry's Law constant (H'), with K_{SW} values adopted from Barring et al. (2002). In order to accurately estimate K_{oa} and its temperature dependence two different parameterisations were tested, the first based on the work of Beyer et al. (Beyer et al., 2000), and the second following the temperature-dependent parameterisation suggested by Odabasi et al. (2006).

2.2 Dissolution into aerosol water

An assumption of the CMAQ aerosol module is that organics influence neither the water content nor the ionic strength of the system. While this assumption may not be valid for many atmospheric aerosols, sufficient basic data are not available to treat the system in a more complete way. In a similar manner, the dissolution of PAHs in the aqueous electrolyte was calculated using the inverse Henry's Law constant (Aulinger et al., 2007; Cooter and Hutzell, 2002; Lohmann and Lammel, 2004). Lohmann and Lammel (2004) derived the partitioning coefficient $K_{p,i}^{aq}$, although they conclude that as long as a solid-air interface exists, the contribution of dissolved PAHs to the gas-particle partitioning will always be negligible. To account for this, a "wet aerosol" switch was introduced and associated with the relevant partitioning coefficient

$$\log K_{p,i}^{aq} = \log K_{wa} + \log f_{wa,i} - 12.0 \quad (12)$$

where $f_{wa,i}$ is the mass fraction of aerosol water in each mode i and K_{wa} is the water-air partitioning coefficient, which is equal to H' . The aerosol water content is computed in CMAQ based on a variation of the ZSR method (Byun and Ching, 1999; Kim et al., 1993). As suggested by Aulinger et al. (2007) for CMAQ, only if the ratio of ammonium sulfate to aerosol water drops below the solubility of ammonium sulfate, the aerosol is treated as wet and absorption into aerosol water takes effect, while adsorption to inorganic material is switched off.

2.3 Gas-phase and heterogeneous reactions

Reactions with the hydroxyl radical (OH), ozone (O₃), and the nitrate radical (NO₃) may determine the atmospheric fate and long-range transport potential of SOCs. Gas-phase reactions with OH are believed to be the dominant loss pathway for semi-volatile PAHs (3-4 rings), like anthracene and pyrene (Atkinson and Arey, 1994; Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 1999; Keyte et al., 2013). On the other hand, in the case of non-volatile PAHs (5 and more rings, like BaP), loss due to heterogeneous reaction with ozone is believed to dominate (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 1999). Experimental studies of the kinetics of PAHs sorbed to particles indicate strong influences of mixing state (single particle anisotropy), morphology, and phase state of the particles (Kwamena et al., 2004; Perraudin et al., 2007; Pöschl et al., 2001; Shiraiwa et al., 2009; Zhou et al., 2012). Yet, detailed heterogeneous chemistry models would require corresponding additional parameters and a more sophisticated surface layer model (Kaiser et al., 2011; Shiraiwa et al., 2010; Springmann et al., 2009). However, in the studies by Kahan et al. (2006) and Kwamena et al. (2004; 2007), the reactivity could be well described by a Langmuir – Hinshelwood mechanism (i.e. one species [BaP] is adsorbed to the surface while the second species, ozone, is in phase equilibrium). This approach is followed in the CMAQ aerosol module by introducing the degradation rate coefficient k in the following form

$$k = \frac{k_{max} K_{O_3} c_{O_3}}{1 + K_{O_3} c_{O_3}} \quad (13)$$

where k_{max} is the maximum rate coefficient ($0.060 \pm 0.018 \text{ s}^{-1}$), K_{O_3} is the ozone gas to surface equilibrium constant ($0.028 \pm 0.014 \times 10^{-13} \text{ cm}^3$), and c_{O_3} the concentration of ozone (molecules/cm³). As this reaction neglects the substance fraction not

accessible for gaseous O₃ molecules (so-called burial effect; Zhou et al., 2012), an upper estimate for BaP reactivity is simulated.

2.4 Dry and wet deposition

SOCs and their degradation products are removed from the atmosphere through dry and wet deposition. Dry deposition is modelled in CMAQ as a one-way flux out of the lowest layer of the atmosphere. Particulate forms have dry deposition velocities determined by Brownian diffusion, turbulence, and sedimentation. Mass and diameter of the mode are used to estimate the sedimentation velocity (Binkowski, 2003; Byun and Ching, 1999). The deposition velocity of gaseous molecules is based on an electrical resistance analogue that includes aerodynamic (R_a), quasi-laminar boundary layer (R_b), and surface canopy (R_c) resistance (Pleim et al., 1999). R_a is computed using similarity theory with heat flux. R_b depends on landuse specific friction velocity and molecular characteristics of gases. R_c is consisted of several components, including bulk stomatal, dry cuticle, wet cuticle, ground, and in-canopy aerodynamic resistance (Pleim et al., 1999). Cuticle and ground resistances are based on ozone and sulphur dioxide observations and neglect absorption into organic plant or soil material. Values for time-dependent R_c parameters are determined via landuse and mesoscale meteorological models, which provide fractional vegetation cover, leaf area index, fractional leaf area wetness, and leaf stress associated with radiation, root zone soil moisture, temperature, and humidity (Pleim and Xiu, 1995).

Wet deposition of gaseous molecules occurs through in-cloud and below-cloud scavenging. Both processes are assumed to have the same scavenging efficiency and dependency on Henry's Law, water content of the cloud, and precipitation rate (Chang et al., 1986). Wet scavenging parameterization of the particulate phase molecules follows the method of Roselle and Binkowski (Byun and Ching, 1999) and depends on the aerosol mode (size) and time required to remove all liquid water from a cloud volume. No ice phase scavenging is included in the 4th version of the aerosol module in CMAQ.

3 Model implementation and study design

The model domain included entire Europe and neighbouring countries as shown in Figure 1. Computational simulations were performed on a 36 × 36 km Lambert Conformal Conic grid using offline hourly meteorological fields, generated by the Weather Research Forecasting (WRF) model version 3.4.1 using GFS meteorological reanalysis data (spatial resolution 0.5° × 0.5°) as input. The parameterization of WRF included the following schemes: Milbrandt-Yau Double-Moment 7-class microphysics (Milbrandt and Yau, 2005a; 2005b), Rapid radiative transfer model (RRTMG) longwave and shortwave scheme (Iacono et al., 2008), asymmetric convective model of PBL (Pleim, 2007), Pleim-Xiu surface layer model (Pleim and Xiu, 2003; Xiu and Pleim, 2001), and the improved version of Grell-Devenyi (Grell, 1993; Grell and Devenyi, 2002) ensemble scheme for cumulus parameterization. On the vertical dimension, the domain was resolved in 36 layers, following the eta coordinate system. Subsequently, CMAQ-ready meteorological input fields for 2006 were prepared using the

Meteorology-Chemistry Interface Processor (MCIP) (Otte and Pleim, 2010). Computational simulations were performed in a distributed computing infrastructure operated and managed by the Czech National Grid Organization MetaCentrum NGI.

3.1 Emissions

Capturing the spatiotemporal pattern of emissions is of crucial importance to determine the fate and transport of PAHs. Several attempts have been carried out during the past decades, with varying spatial and temporal resolutions in historical, current, and future projection terms for Europe (Bieser et al., 2012; Pacyna et al., 2003). Currently, 4 database sources with gridded BaP emissions exist for Europe and Russia: the TNO database (Denier van der Gon et al., 2006), the EMEP one (Mantseva et al., 2004), a dataset for POPCYCLING-Baltic project (Pacyna et al., 2003), and a global emission inventory for the year 2008. The SMOKE for Europe (SMOKE-EU) model (Bieser et al., 2011) output used in this study, follows a methodology that is based on the TNO database and has been evaluated in a number of subsequent CMAQ applications (Aulinger et al., 2011; Bewersdorff et al., 2009; Matthias et al., 2008). In order to spatially disaggregate BaP emissions in a more realistic way, SMOKE-EU improves on using a linear dependency on the population density as surrogate factor, by introducing different relevant relationships based on source classification and meteorological parameters. For example, the relationship to wood availability and the level of urban agglomeration have been introduced as an effort to include the effect of burning biomass. The resulting spatial distribution and annual average emission flux of BaP for our domain during the year 2006 is shown in Figure 1. In addition, BaP emissions are shaped temporally using diurnal and weekly functions. As residential combustion is associated with the majority of BaP emissions, a strong dependence on the season and spatial variability is expected. To account for this dependence, heating degree days have been introduced as a proxy (Aulinger et al., 2011). A major strength of the SMOKE-EU inventory is provision of CMAQ-ready emissions for all species involved in the desired chemical mechanism (e.g. CB5) and aerosol module (e.g. aero4). Following the trimodal representation of aerosol in CMAQ, 0.1% of the emissions of BaP was allocated to the Aitken mode, while the rest was emitted in the accumulation mode, as this is the default treatment with all primary organic aerosol species in the model.

3.2 Evaluation data and sensitivity simulations

The spatiotemporal coverage of BaP in air and deposition in Europe and Russia is limited, in many regions sporadic or not available at all. For 2006, concentration in air is available from eight stations (n=173), while deposition information is available from six stations of the EMEP monitoring network (Aas and Breivik, 2012). An overview of the data, including site codes, geographical information, and the sampling strategies is provided in Table S2. It is evident that during 2006, only four of the total of ten sites provide information on concentration and deposition simultaneously. Additionally, the sampling strategy varies widely among the stations, leading to a non-homogeneous pool of data. For example, on the Košetice (CZ0003R) and Niembro (ES0008R) stations, BaP is measured for 24 hours once a week, while in Aspvreten (SE0012R) and in Pallas (FI0036R) samples cover one week and are taken once every month. On the other hand, the Latvian sites (LV0010R, LV0016R) are sampled on a monthly basis, while for the High Muffles location (GB0014R) a three-month

sample is obtained four times in 2006. Finally, the sites located in Germany (DE0001R, DE0009R) provided information only in terms of wet deposition and on a monthly basis during 2006.

Based on the set of GPP mechanisms described before, the effect of each parameterization was evaluated against the EMEP measurements by incremental testing of the models involved, while keeping the same meteorological and emission inputs.

5 | Table 2 summarizes the 5 annual scenarios that were developed for 2006 ([1: Junge-Pankow](#), [2: Scenario 1 with water dissolution](#), [3: Scenario 2 with the Harner-Bidleman \(HB\) \$K_{oa}\$ absorption model](#), [4: Scenario 2 with dual OM absorption and black carbon adsorption model Dachs-Eisenreich \(DE\) scheme](#), [5: Scenario 4 supplemented by a heterogeneous reactivity module](#)). A spin-up time of 7 days was allowed for each simulation in order to maintain a low influence of the initial conditions. Comparisons against measurements of BaP in ambient air were produced using the statistical computing
10 | framework of the R language, and the model performance was evaluated building on a wide range of statistics calculated using the Models-3 and the openair packages (Carslaw and Ropkins, 2012).

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Spatiotemporal distributions of BaP over Europe

15 | The pattern of normalized annual mean BaP concentrations over the domain using the baseline scenario [1 \(JP\)](#) is presented in Figure 2a. Elevated levels were found in regions with strong emission sources in Central Europe (Po Valley, Rhine-Ruhr, Poland and Ukraine areas), as well as in the vicinity of large cities (among others Moscow, Paris, Vienna, Madrid, and Istanbul). In addition, annual mean BaP concentrations according to the fully expanded scenario [5 \(KW\)](#) are depicted in Figure 2b. Comparing the output from the aforementioned simulations revealed higher BaP levels with [scenario 5](#), which can
20 | be associated with the geographic distribution of emissions. This is the first indication that the chosen partitioning approach has a significant impact on the model results for total BaP concentrations. However, it is also evident that in southern Europe and the Mediterranean region the BaP losses associated with the addition of an upper estimate of heterogeneous reactivity with O_3 ([Scenario 5](#) – Figure 2b) may have a limiting effect on BaP long-range transport (LRT) potential. This can be supported by the prominent BaP gradients calculated over the Mediterranean Sea, acting as a sink that is driven by low BaP emissions and elevated O_3 levels. The overall model patterns show a good agreement with recent regression methods
25 | mapping BaP levels that provide with a partial coverage of our EU domain. Discrepancies over Eastern Europe indicate that a more elaborate emissions inventory (i.e. domestic heating adjustments) would be beneficial.

4.1.1 Effect of GPP parameterizations and heterogeneous reactivity with O_3

30 | Figure 3 and Table 3 illustrate the differences resulting from the incrementally tested scenarios (Table 2), expressed as annual mean BaP concentrations over the entire domain of interest and the individual EU-28 member states. As expected, the effect of dissolution into aerosol water (Figure 3a) is limited throughout most of the domain, with coastal urban areas being the most influenced (i.e. Istanbul, North Sea). BaP dissolution in aerosol liquid water according to scenario [2](#) led to less than

0.36% reduction of ground level BaP in Europe as compared to the baseline JP scheme (Table 3). Introducing the HB absorption [scheme \(scenario 3\)](#) resulted in ~119.2% higher average BaP concentrations (Figure 3b) with extremes observed in areas associated with emission sources in Central Europe (i.e. Po Valley) and large cities (i.e. Moscow, Paris, Vienna, and Istanbul). Expressed in terms of domain-wide atmospheric lifetimes (defined as $\tau = b_{tot}/F_{em}$, where b_{tot} denotes the total atmospheric burden and F_{em} the total emissions), [scenario 3](#) leads to a 210% increase for BaP [over scenario 2](#). Similarly, shifting to the DE [scheme \(scenario 4\)](#) appears to have an additive effect on near-ground BaP concentrations with total lifetimes reaching a domain-average increase of 13%. Compared to the [scenario 3](#), [scenario 4](#) affects a larger area of the domain (Figure 3c), even though the magnitude of the effect is less pronounced due to its association with the availability of black carbon. The domain mean of modelled elemental carbon is $0.0212 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ and the spatial distribution is matching its emission pattern (Figure S3). These levels are significantly lower compared to field studies in the urban air of south-west Europe (EEA, 2013; Milford et al., 2016), and may subsequently lead to hindered performance of the DE [scheme](#). Finally, the introduction of heterogeneous chemistry ([scenario 5](#)) had, as expected, a substantially negative effect on the BaP concentrations within our domain culminating in major conurbations (i.e. Po Valley, Moscow, and Istanbul; cf. Figure 3d). Heterogeneous degradation due to the reaction with O_3 accounts for an approximate 18.8% reduction of the mean ground level BaP and a 25% reduction of its atmospheric lifetime in the European domain (Table 3). As mentioned in Sect. 4.1, the BaP concentration gradients reveal a strong spatial link with emission sources in the south, as well as high O_3 concentrations typically found across the coasts of the Mediterranean Sea (Figure S4). CMAQ calculates ozone patterns that are in accordance to the findings of recent analyses, exhibiting similar performance compared against measurements at the European level (de Smet et al., 2009).

20 4.1.2 Seasonality and relevant parameters affecting BaP fate and transport

Seasonally disaggregated distributions of BaP, as simulated [scenario 4](#) are presented in Figure 4. Strong emissions during wintertime and fall have an apparent effect on the average ground level concentrations. In addition, a normalized effect of long-range transport for BaP can be observed in the vicinity of Istanbul and other coastal metropolitan areas, over parts of the large water bodies of the Mediterranean and the Black Sea. This scenario, however, does not include the treatment of the heterogeneous reaction with ozone, and therefore provides an upper bound estimate of the LRT potential of BaP. On the other hand, Figure 5 demonstrates the seasonal effect of the heterogeneous reaction of BaP with ground-level ozone on BaP concentrations. Distributions of BaP concentration differences reveal a stronger reduction during winter that is influenced by central and southern European emission sources and mid-latitude ozone availability. Interestingly, the differences between winter and summertime appear to be comparable in terms of magnitude, despite the substantially weaker sources during summer that shift the process to the south.

An important factor for the performance of the BaP model is the mass concentration and composition of the aerosols in the three different modes and their relationship with the temperature of the ambient air. Figure 6 illustrates the aerosol distributions as simulated at model cells representing three different settings (Urban: City of Vienna, Suburban: CZ0003R,

Remote: FI0036R) during a typical winter and summer day. As we move further away from the vicinity of the sources, we notice not only a decrease of the overall BaP levels but also a change in the distribution influenced by each individual simulated scenario. While the basic Junge-Pankow implementation results in higher coarse mode BaP concentrations, the HB and DE schemes accentuate the strength of the accumulation mode where most of the BaP aerosol mass is emitted. The disproportionately higher coarse BaP fractions calculated at the remote grid cells during the summertime can be explained by conditions favouring long-range transport.

Regarding the parameterizations of the HB and DE schemes, the calculation of the parameter K_{oa} has a direct effect on the relevant model results (Scenarios 3 and 4). Use of the regression parameters for the semi-logarithmic temperature-dependent form proposed by Odabasi et al., (2006), results in a mixed effect over its predecessor scheme that is seasonally disaggregated and presented in Figure S5. These figures suggest that the partitioning based on each K_{oa} approach is sufficiently similar for most of the domain during the winter. However, differences between the two expressions with respect to simulating summertime BaP concentrations over Central Europe were noted.

4.2 Model evaluation against EMEP measurements

The EMEP network database and model output from all 5 scenarios were imported in the R language framework to create measurement-model pairs for further analysis. Figure 7 illustrates the resulting timeseries plots for selected EMEP sites against CMAQ output extracted from scenario 4. This figure also demonstrates differences in terms of sampling protocols among individual sites, with the extreme of the High Muffles station (4 samples/year – Table S2). Aggregate temporal profiles of the modelled and measured BaP concentrations across all sites depicted in Figure 8 reveal a strong seasonal pattern that is captured well by the model. However, BaP concentrations appear to be underestimated during the colder months. This effect can be attributed to the strength of emissions, which is probably related to inadequate coverage of residential heating in current inventories (wood/biofuel burning). Figure S5 illustrates this by comparing annual average BaP emission fluxes between model cells enclosing EMEP monitoring site locations, and selected metropolitan areas of Europe during 2006. While known deficiency of PAH inventories, it may limit the usefulness of background measurements (such as those in the EMEP network) in assessing the overall model performance under different GPP scenarios.

It is evident from the metrics obtained across all sites (Table 4 and Taylor diagram summary Figure S6) that the increased complexity in GPP formulation results in better agreement with the EMEP measurements. For reasons mentioned in Sect. 2.2-2.3 and 4.1.1, deviations from this observation are the simulated scenarios that introduce the dissolution to aerosol water (scenario 2) and degradation due to the heterogeneous reaction with ozone (scenario 5). Overall, the dual model (DE) employed in scenario 4 showed the best agreement with the measurements. The DE parameterisation also performed best when compared with the JP and HB schemes on global scale applications (Lammel et al., 2009). However, when looking at site-specific performance metrics (Table S8), the Košetice location (CZ0003R) reveals a better agreement with the fully expanded scenario 5 that treats degradation by ozone. The Košetice site is the closest to conurbations among the EMEP sites available during 2006. This could indicate that the heterogeneous chemistry, which is implemented as an upper estimate in

this model, tends to underestimate BaP levels further away from the sources. The best model performance was observed at the Pallas station (FI0036R), where [scenario 4](#) was capable of matching 50% of the measurements within a factor of 2. For the remaining remote sites in Finland, the simulation [under scenario 5](#) calculated underestimated BaP levels. Based on the Index Of Agreement (IOA) metric, a ranking of the overall model performance similar to the Pallas site was observed for the rest of the monitoring sites. Finally a qualitative analysis of the deposition measurements for the few stations that measured BaP showed that CMAQ calculated plausible patterns of dry and wet deposition (cf. Figure S9).

5 Conclusions

This study presented the development of a new modelling system for investigating the dynamics of transport and gas-particle partitioning schemes for POPs at the regional scale over Europe. The implementation of this model is based on the WRF-CMAQ model framework with additional algorithms for GPP schemes and a module that accounts for heterogeneous reactivity with ozone. Predictions from the WRF-CMAQ-BaP model were compared against measurements obtained from the EMEP monitoring network. Model evaluation has revealed satisfactory agreement to the measurements and performance metrics similar to those of previous studies with significantly higher measurement availability. The results presented in this work suggest that the new [expanded](#) model is able to simulate fairly well the ambient levels of BaP. It is found that BaP distributions in Europe are very sensitive to the choice of GPP models. While this statement has been also indicated at the global scale (Lammel et al., 2009), GPP has not been adequately explored by previous regional scale studies (cf. Table S1 and references therein). We conclude that the dual OM absorption and black carbon adsorption (DE) parameterisation ([scenario 5](#)) offers a better performance, as BaP predictions tend to be closer to the measurements. Introducing an independent upper estimate of heterogeneous BaP reactivity is found to significantly reduce BaP levels throughout the domain, with a reduction pattern that follows the spatial distribution of ozone and emission sources. Uncertainties and limitations of the current BaP emission inventories along with insufficient laboratory data are of major concern, hampering the efforts to fully evaluate the long-range transport potential and the effect of photochemistry for such compounds. Despite the limited BaP monitoring network, a partly better agreement was found when complementing WRF-CMAQ-BaP with the upper estimate for heterogeneous reactivity ([scenario 5](#)).

The modelling approach presented here allows simultaneous estimation of organic pollutants, including semivolatiles (such as most POPs) within the CMAQ framework, and can be used as a supplementary component of a population exposure modelling system. Although similar systems are currently explored, they rely heavily on observation data while using a very basic air quality model structure (Guerreiro et al., 2016). At this time, annual exposure estimates are more useful due to the low accuracy accompanying highly time-resolved models. As previous efforts to evaluate the use of mesoscale models for BaP dispersion studies also conclude, changes in emission patterns and their strength is of particular importance. While there is undeniable effort to shift from fossil fuels to renewables which has led to updated emission inventories, domestic heating across Europe has also a complex relationship to economic factors and human activities that varies tremendously between

countries and fuel types (Lohmann et al., 2006; Saffari et al., 2013). Besides the need of updated emission inventories for the Eastern Europe, extended simulations are suggested during years with higher measurement availability. Following modelling studies should focus on quantifying the BaP long-range transport potential utilising more complex heterogeneous chemistry models (i.e. accounting also for the burial effect - cf. Sect. 2.2). The WRF-CMAQ-BaP modelling system can be extended to support a wide range of organic pollutants (including POPs) and processes (i.e. multicompartamental cycling, biodegradation, etc.).

Acknowledgements

The authors thank [Frank Binkowski](#), [Sarav Arunachalam](#), and Zac Adelman at the University of North Carolina (UNC) for their guidance and support during the onset of this project. EMEP is acknowledged for the monitoring data. Access to computing and storage facilities owned by parties and projects contributing to the National Grid Infrastructure MetaCentrum provided under the programme "Projects of Large Infrastructure for Research, Development, and Innovations" (LM2010005) is also greatly appreciated. This research was supported by the Granting Agency of the Czech Republic (project No. 312334), the [National Sustainability Programme of the Czech Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports \(LO1214\)](#), and the [RECETOX research infrastructure \(LM2015051\)](#).

References

- [Aas, W. and Breivik, K.: Heavy metals and POP measurements, 2010, EMEP/CCC, Kjeller. 2012.](#)
- [Andersson, J. T. and Achten, C.: Time to say goodbye to the 16 EPA PAHs? Toward an up-to-date use of PACs for environmental purposes, *Polycyclic Aromatic Compounds*, 35, 330–354, doi:10.1080/10406638.2014.991042, 2015.](#)
- [Atkinson, R. and Arey, J.: Atmospheric chemistry of gas-phase polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: formation of atmospheric mutagens, *Environ. Health Persp.*, 1994.](#)
- [Aulinger, A., Matthias, V. and Quante, M.: An approach to temporally disaggregate benzo\(a\)pyrene emissions and their application to a 3D Eulerian atmospheric chemistry transport model, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 216\(1-4\), 1–13, doi:10.1007/s11270-010-0559-x, 2011.](#)
- [Aulinger, A., Matthias, V. and Quante, M.: Introducing a partitioning mechanism for PAHs into the Community Multiscale Air Quality Modeling System and its application to simulating the transport of benzo\(a\)pyrene over Europe, *J. Appl. Meteor. Climatol.*, 46\(11\), 1718–1730, doi:10.1175/2007JAMC1395.1, 2007.](#)
- [Bähring, H., Bucheli, T. D., Broman, D. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Soot–water distribution coefficients for polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins, polychlorinated dibenzofurans and polybrominated diphenylethers determined with the soot cosolvency-column method, *Chemosphere*, 49\(6\), 515–523, doi:10.1016/s0045-6535\(02\)00389-2, 2002.](#)
- [Bewersdorff, I., Aulinger, A., Matthias, V. and Quante, M.: The effect of temporal resolution of PAH emission data on transport and deposition patterns simulated with the Community Multiscale Air Quality modelling system \(CMAQ\), *Meteorol. Z.*, 18\(1\), 41–53, doi:10.1127/0941-2948/2009/351, 2009.](#)
- [Beyer, A., Mackay, D., Matthies, M., Wania, F. and Webster, E.: Assessing long-range transport potential of persistent](#)

- organic pollutants, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 34(4), 699–703, doi:10.1021/es990207w, 2000.
- 5 [Bieser, J., Aulinger, A., Matthias, V. and Quante, M.: Impact of emission reductions between 1980 and 2020 on atmospheric benzo\[a\]pyrene concentrations over Europe, *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 223\(3\), 1393–1414, doi:10.1007/s11270-011-0953-z, 2012.](#)
- [Bieser, J., Aulinger, A., Matthias, V., Quante, M. and Builtjes, P.: SMOKE for Europe – adaptation, modification and evaluation of a comprehensive emission model for Europe, *Geosci. Model. Dev.*, 4 \(1\), 47–68, doi:10.5194/gmd-4-47-2011, 2011.](#)
- [Binkowski, F. S.: Models-3 Community Multiscale Air Quality \(CMAQ\) model aerosol component 1. Model description, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108 \(D6\), 4183, doi:10.1029/2001JD001409, 2003.](#)
- 10 [Bond, T. C. and Bergstrom, R. W.: Light absorption by carbonaceous particles: An investigative review, *Aerosol Sci. Tech.*, 40\(1\), 27–67, doi:10.1080/02786820500421521, 2006.](#)
- [Byun, D. and Schere, K. L.: Review of the governing equations, computational algorithms, and other components of the Models-3 Community Multiscale Air Quality \(CMAQ\) modeling system, *Appl. Mech. Rev.*, 59\(1/6\), 51–77, 2006.](#)
- 15 [Byun, D. W.: Dynamically consistent formulations in meteorological and air quality models for multiscale atmospheric studies. Part II: Mass conservation issues, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 56\(21\), 3789–3807, doi:10.1175/1520-0469\(1999\)056<3789:DCFIMA>2.0.CO;2, 1999a.](#)
- [Byun, D. W.: Dynamically consistent formulations in meteorological and air quality models for multiscale atmospheric studies. Part II: Mass conservation issues, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 56\(21\), 3808–3820, doi:10.1175/1520-0469\(1999\)056<3808:DCFIMA>2.0.CO;2, 1999b.](#)
- 20 [Byun, D. W. and Ching, J. K. S.: Science algorithms of the EPA Models-3 community multiscale air quality \(CMAQ\) modeling system, US Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Research and Development Washington, DC, USA, Washington, DC 20460. 1999.](#)
- [Carslaw, D. C. and Ropkins, K.: openair — An R package for air quality data analysis, *Environ. Model. Softw.*, 27-28, 52–61, doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2011.09.008, 2012.](#)
- 25 [Chang, J., Middleton, P., Stockwell, W., Pleim, J. E., Lansford, H., Binkowski, F. S., Seaman, N., Stauffer, D. and Madronic, S.: The regional acid deposition model and engineering model, in *Acid Deposition State of Science and Technology*. 1986.](#)
- [Cooter, E. J. and Hutzell, W. T.: A regional atmospheric fate and transport model for atrazine. 1. Development and implementation, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 36\(19\), 4091–4098, doi:10.1021/es011371y, 2002.](#)
- 30 [Dachs, J. and Eisenreich, S. J.: Adsorption onto aerosol soot carbon dominates gas-particle partitioning of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 34\(17\), 3690–3697, doi:10.1021/es991201, 2000.](#)
- [Dachs, J., Ribes, S., van Drooge, B., Grimalt, J., Eisenreich, S. J. and Gustafsson, Ö.: Response to the comment on “Influence of soot carbon on the soil–air partitioning of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons,” *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 38\(5\), 1624–1625, doi:10.1021/es0307118, 2004.](#)
- 35 [de Maagd, P. G. J., Hulscher, Ten, D. T. E. M., Van den Heuvel, H., Opperhuizen, A. and Sijm, D. T. H. M.: Physicochemical properties of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: Aqueous solubilities, n-octanol/water partition coefficients, and Henry's law constants, *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.*, 17 \(2\), 251–257, 1998.](#)

- de Smet, P., Horálek, J., Coňková, M., Kurfürst, P., de Leeuw, F. and Denby, B.: European air quality maps of ozone and PM₁₀ for 2006 and their uncertainty analysis, ETAC/ACC Technical Paper 2008/8. 2009.
- Denier van der Gon, H. A. C., Visschedijk, A. J. H. and Bolscher, M. V. H.: Study to the effectiveness of the UNECE Persistent Organic Pollutants (POP). Protocol and cost of additional measures. Phase II: Estimated emission reduction and cost of options for a possible revision of the POP Protocol, TNO. 2006.
- DETR: Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons, Department of the Environment, Transport and the Regions Expert Panel on Air Quality Standards, Stationery Office, London. 2009.
- Diamond, M. L. and Hodge, E.: Urban Contaminant Dynamics: From Source to Effect, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 41(11), 3796–3800, doi:10.1021/es072542n, 2007.
- EC: Directive 2004/107/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, Official Journal of the European Union. 2004.
- EEA: Status of black carbon monitoring in ambient air in Europe, European Environment Agency, European Environment Agency. 2013.
- Fernandez, P., Grimalt, J. O. and Vilanova, R. M.: Atmospheric gas-particle partitioning of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in high mountain regions of Europe, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 36(6), 1162–1168, doi:10.1021/es010190t, 2002.
- Finizio, A., Mackay, D., Bidleman, T. and Harner, T.: Octanol-air partition coefficient as a predictor of partitioning of semi-volatile organic chemicals to aerosols, *Atmos. Environ.*, 31(15), 2289–2296, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00013-7, 1997.
- Finlayson-Pitts, B. J. and Pitts, J. N., Jr: Chemistry of the Upper and Lower Atmosphere, Academic Press. 1999.
- Friedman, C. L. and Selin, N. E.: Long-range atmospheric transport of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: a global 3-D model analysis including evaluation of arctic sources, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 46(17), 9501–9510, doi:10.1021/es301904d, 2012.
- Galarneau, E., Makar, P. A., Zheng, Q., Narayan, J., Zhang, J., Moran, M. D., Bari, M. A., Pathela, S., Chen, A. and Chlumsky, R.: PAH concentrations simulated with the AURAMS-PAH chemical transport model over Canada and the USA, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14(8), 4065–4077, doi:10.5194/acp-14-4065-2014, 2014.
- Goss, K.-U.: Comment on “Influence of soot carbon on the soil–air partitioning of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon,” *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 38(5), 1622–1623, doi:10.1021/es0301370, 2004.
- Götz, C. W., Scheringer, M., MacLeod, M., Roth, C. M. and Hungerbühler, K.: Alternative approaches for modeling gas–particle partitioning of semivolatile organic chemicals: model development and comparison, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 41(4), 1272–1278, doi:10.1021/es060583y, 2007.
- Grell, G. A.: Prognostic Evaluation of Assumptions Used by Cumulus Parameterizations, *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, 121(3), 764–787, doi:10.1175/1520-0493(1993)121<0764:PEOAUB>2.0.CO;2, 1993.
- Grell, G. A. and Devenyi, D.: A generalized approach to parameterizing convection combining ensemble and data assimilation techniques, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29(14), 1693, doi:10.1029/2002GL015311, 2002.
- Guerreiro, C., Horálek, J., de Leeuw, F. and Couvidat, F.: Mapping ambient concentrations of benzo(a)pyrene in Europe, European Topic Centre on Air Pollution and Climate Change Mitigation, Technical Paper 2014/6. 2016.

- Gusev, A., Mantseva, E. and Shatalov, V.: Regional multicompartment model MSCE-POP, MSC-E Technical Report, 2005.
- Halsall, C. J., Sweetman, A. J., Barrie, L. A. and Jones, K. C.: Modelling the behaviour of PAHs during atmospheric transport from the UK to the Arctic, *Atmos. Environ.*, 35(2), 255–267, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(00)00195-3, 2001.
- Hansen, K. M., Halsall, C. J. and Christensen, J. H.: A dynamic model to study the exchange of gas-phase persistent organic pollutants between air and a seasonal snowpack, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 40(8), 2644–2652, doi:10.1021/es051685b, 2006.
- Harner, T. and Bidleman, T. F.: Octanol-air partition coefficient for describing particle/gas partitioning of aromatic compounds in urban air, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 32(10), 1494–1502, doi:10.1021/es970890r, 1998.
- Iacono, M. J., Delamere, J. S., Mlawer, E. J., Shephard, M. W., Clough, S. A. and Collins, W. D.: Radiative forcing by long-lived greenhouse gases: Calculations with the AER radiative transfer models, *J. Geophys. Res-Atmos.*, 113(D13), D13103, doi:10.1029/2008JD009944, 2008.
- Inomata, Y., Kajino, M., Sato, K., Ohara, T., Kurokawa, J.-I., Ueda, H., Tang, N., Hayakawa, K., Ohizumi, T. and Akimoto, H.: Emission and atmospheric transport of particulate PAHs in Northeast Asia, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 46(9), 4941–4949, doi:10.1021/es300391w, 2012.
- Junge, C. E.: Fate of pollutants in the air and water environment, edited by I. H. Suffet, Wiley, New York. 1977.
- Kahan, T. F. and Donaldson, D. J.: Heterogeneous ozonation kinetics of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons on organic films, *Atmos. Environ.*, 40(19), 3448–3459, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.02.004, 2006.
- Kaiser, J. C., Riemer, N. and Knopf, D. A.: Detailed heterogeneous oxidation of soot surfaces in a particle-resolved aerosol model, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11(9), 4505–4520, doi:10.5194/acp-11-4505-2011, 2011.
- Kalberer, M., Paulsen, D., Sax, M., Steinbacher, M., Dommen, J., Prevot, A. S. H., Fisseha, R., Weingartner, E., Frankevich, V., Zenobi, R. and Baltensperger, U.: Identification of polymers as major components of atmospheric organic aerosols, *Science*, 303(5664), 1659–1662, doi:10.1126/science.1092185, 2004.
- Keyte, I. J., Harrison, R. M. and Lammel, G.: Chemical reactivity and long-range transport potential of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons – a review, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 42(24), 9333–9391, doi:10.1039/C3CS60147A, 2013.
- Kim, Y. P., Seinfeld, J. H. and Saxena, P.: Atmospheric gas-aerosol equilibrium I. Thermodynamic model, *Aerosol Sci. Tech.*, 19(2), 157–181, doi:10.1080/02786829308959628, 1993.
- Kwamena, N.-O. A., Clarke, J. P., Kahan, T. F., Diamond, M. L. and Donaldson, D. J.: Assessing the importance of heterogeneous reactions of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the urban atmosphere using the Multimedia Urban Model, *Atmos. Environ.*, 41(1), 37–50, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.08.016, 2007.
- Kwamena, N.-O. A., Thornton, J. A. and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Kinetics of surface-bound benzo[a]pyrene and ozone on solid organic and salt aerosols, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 108(52), 11626–11634, doi:10.1021/jp046161x, 2004.
- Lammel, G., Heil, A., Stemmler, I., Dvorská, A. and Klánová, J.: On the contribution of biomass burning to POPs (PAHs and PCDDs) in air in Africa, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 47(20), 11616–11624, doi:10.1021/es401499q, 2013.
- Lammel, G., Klánová, J., Ilić, P., Kohoutek, J., Gasić, B., Kovacic, I. and Škrdlíková, L.: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in air on small spatial and temporal scales – II. Mass size distributions and gas-particle partitioning, *Atmos. Environ.*, 44(38), 5022–5027, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.08.001, 2010.

- Lammel, G., Sehili, A. M., Bond, T. C., Feichter, J. and Grassl, H.: Gas/particle partitioning and global distribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons – A modelling approach, *Chemosphere*, 76(1), 98–106, doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2009.02.017, 2009.
- 5 Lang, C., Tao, S., Liu, W., Zhang, Y. and Simonich, S.: Atmospheric transport and outflow of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from China, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 42(14), 5196–5201, doi:10.1021/es800453n, 2008.
- Lang, C., Tao, S., Zhang, G., Fu, J. and Simonich, S.: Outflow of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from Guangdong, Southern China, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 41(24), 8370–8375, doi:10.1021/es071853v, 2007.
- 10 Liu, S., Tao, S., Liu, W., Liu, Y., Dou, H., Zhao, J., Wang, L., Wang, J., Tian, Z. and Gao, Y.: Atmospheric polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in North China: A winter-time study, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 41(24), 8256–8261, doi:10.1021/es0716249, 2007.
- Lohmann, R. and Lammel, G.: Adsorptive and absorptive contributions to the gas-particle partitioning of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: state of knowledge and recommended parametrization for modeling, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 38(14), 3793–3803, doi:10.1021/es035337q, 2004.
- 15 Lohmann, R., Lee, R. G. M., Abbott, J., Coleman, P. and Jones, K. C.: Verifying emission factors and national POPs emission inventories for the UK using measurements and modelling at two rural locations, *J. Environ. Monit.*, 8(1), 79–88, doi:10.1039/B511399G, 2006.
- Mantseva, E., Dutchak, S., Rozovskaya, O. and Shatalov, V.: EMEP contribution to the preparatory work for the review of the CLRTAP Protocol on Persistent Organic Pollutants, EMEP MSC-E Information Note. 2004.
- 20 Matthias, V., Aulinger, A. and Quante, M.: Adapting CMAQ to investigate air pollution in north sea coastal regions, *Environ. Model. Softw.*, 23(3), 356–368, doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2007.04.010, 2008.
- Matthias, V., Aulinger, A. and Quante, M.: CMAQ simulations of the benzo(a)pyrene distribution over Europe for 2000 and 2001, *Atmos. Environ.*, 43(26), 4078–4086, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.04.058, 2009.
- 25 Meng, F., Zhang, B., Gbor, P., Wen, D., Yang, F., Shi, C., Aronson, J. and Sloan, J.: Models for gas/particle partitioning, transformation and air/water surface exchange of PCBs and PCDD/Fs in CMAQ, *Atmos. Environ.*, 41(39), 9111–9127, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.08.009, 2007.
- Milbrandt, J. A. and Yau, M. K.: A multimoment bulk microphysics parameterization. Part I: Analysis of the role of the spectral shape parameter, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 62(9), 3051–3064, doi:10.1175/JAS3535.1, 2005a.
- Milbrandt, J. A. and Yau, M. K.: A multimoment bulk microphysics parameterization. Part II: A proposed three-moment closure and scheme description, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 62(9), 3065–3081, doi:10.1175/JAS3535.1, 2005b.
- 30 Milford, C., Fernández-Camacho, R., la Campa, de, A. M. S., Rodríguez, S., Castell, N., Marrero, C., Bustos, J. J., la Rosa, de, J. D. and Stein, A. F.: Black Carbon aerosol measurements and simulation in two cities in south-west Spain, *Atmos. Environ.*, 126(C), 55–65, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.11.026, 2016.
- Nenes, A., Pandis, S. N. and Pilinis, C.: ISORROPIA: A new thermodynamic equilibrium model for multiphase multicomponent inorganic aerosols, *Aquat. Geochem.*, 4(1), 123–152, doi:10.1023/A:1009604003981, 1998.
- 35 Ngabe, B. and Poissant, L.: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the air in the St. Lawrence Basin (Quebec), *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 37(10), 2094–2099, doi:10.1021/es0210345, 2003.

- 5 [Odabasi, M., Cetin, E. and Sofuoglu, A.: Determination of octanol–air partition coefficients and supercooled liquid vapor pressures of PAHs as a function of temperature: Application to gas–particle partitioning in an urban atmosphere, *Atmos. Environ.*, 40\(34\), 6615–6625, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.05.051, 2006.](#)
- 5 [Offenberg, J. H. and Baker, J. E.: Aerosol size distributions of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in urban and over-water atmospheres, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 33\(19\), 3324–3331, doi:10.1021/es990089c, 1999.](#)
- [Otte, T. L. and Pleim, J. E.: The Meteorology-Chemistry Interface Processor \(MCIP\) for the CMAQ modeling system: updates through MCIPv3.4.1, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 3\(1\), 243–256, doi:10.5194/gmd-3-243-2010, 2010.](#)
- 10 [Pacyna, J. M., Breivik, K., Münch, J. and Fudala, J.: European atmospheric emissions of selected persistent organic pollutants, 1970–1995, *Atmos. Environ.*, 37, 119–131, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310\(03\)00240-1, 2003.](#)
- 10 [Pankow, J. F.: Review and comparative-analysis of the theories on partitioning between the gas and aerosol particulate phases in the atmosphere, *Atmos. Environ.*, 21\(11\), 2275–2283, doi:10.1016/0004-6981\(87\)90363-5, 1987.](#)
- [Pankow, J. F.: An absorption model of gas/particle partitioning of organic compounds in the atmosphere, *Atmos. Environ.*, 28\(2\), 185–188, doi:10.1016/1352-2310\(94\)90093-0, 1994.](#)
- 15 [Pankow, J. F.: Further discussion of the octanol/air partition coefficient \$K_{oa}\$ as a correlating parameter for gas/particle partitioning coefficients, *Atmos. Environ.*, 32\(9\), 1493–1497, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310\(97\)00383-X, 1998.](#)
- [Pankow, J. F.: An absorption model of the gas/aerosol partitioning involved in the formation of secondary organic aerosol, *Atmos. Environ.*, 41, 75–79, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.10.060, 2007.](#)
- 20 [Pedersen, D. U., Durant, J. L., Penman, B. W., Crespi, C. L., Hemond, H. F., Lafleur, A. L. and Cass, G. R.: Human cell mutagens in respirable airborne particles from the Northeastern United States. 1. Mutagenicity of fractionated samples, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 38\(3\), 682–689, doi:10.1021/es0347282, 2004.](#)
- [Pedersen, D. U., Durant, J. L., Taghizadeh, K., Hemond, H. F., Lafleur, A. L. and Cass, G. R.: Human cell mutagens in respirable airborne particles from the Northeastern United States. 2. Quantification of mutagens and other organic compounds, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 39\(24\), 9547–9560, doi:10.1021/es050886c, 2005.](#)
- 25 [Perraudin, E., Budzinski, H. and Villenave, E.: Kinetic study of the reactions of ozone with polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons adsorbed on atmospheric model particles, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 56\(1\), 57–82, doi:10.1007/s10874-006-9042-x, 2007.](#)
- [Pleim, J. E.: A combined local and nonlocal closure model for the atmospheric boundary layer. Part I: Model description and testing, *J. Appl. Meteor. Climatol.*, 46\(9\), 1383–1395, doi:10.1175/JAM2539.1, 2007.](#)
- 30 [Pleim, J. E. and Xiu, A.: Development and testing of a surface flux and planetary boundary layer model for application in mesoscale models, *J. Appl. Meteor.*, \(14\), 16, doi:10.1175/1520-0450\(1995\)034<0034:DATOAS>2.0.CO;2, 1995.](#)
- [Pleim, J. E. and Xiu, A. J.: Development of a land surface model. Part II: Data assimilation, *J. Appl. Meteor.*, 42\(12\), 1811–1822, doi:10.1175/1520-0450\(2003\)042<1811:DOALSM>2.0.CO;2, 2003.](#)
- [Pleim, J. E., Finkelstein, P. L., Clarke, J. F. and Ellestad, T. G.: A technique for estimating dry deposition velocities based on similarity with latent heat flux, *Atmos. Environ.*, 33\(14\), 2257–2268, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310\(98\)00162-9, 1999.](#)
- 35 [Pöschl, U., Letzel, T., Schauer, C. and Niessner, R.: Interaction of ozone and water vapor with spark discharge soot aerosol](#)

- particles coated with benzo[a]pyrene: O₃ and H₂O adsorption, benzo[a]pyrene degradation, and atmospheric implications, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 105(16), 4029–4041, doi:10.1021/jp004137n, 2001.
- Prevedouros, K., Jones, K. C. and Sweetman, A. J.: Modelling the atmospheric fate and seasonality of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the UK, *Chemosphere*, 56(3), 195–208, doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2004.02.032, 2004.
- 5 Prevedouros, K., Palm-Cousins, A., Gustafsson, Ö. and Cousins, I. T.: Development of a black carbon-inclusive multi-media model: Application for PAHs in Stockholm, *Chemosphere*, 70(4), 607–615, doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2007.07.002, 2008.
- Saffari, A., Daher, N., Samara, C., Voutsas, D., Kouras, A., Manoli, E., Karagkiozidou, O., Vlachokostas, C., Moussiopoulos, N., Shafer, M. M., Schauer, J. J. and Sioutas, C.: Increased biomass burning due to the economic crisis in Greece and its adverse impact on wintertime air quality in Thessaloniki, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 47 (23), 13313–13320, doi:10.1021/es403847h, 2013.
- 10 San José, R., Pérez, J. L., Callén, M. S., López, J. M. and Mastral, A.: BaP (PAH) air quality modelling exercise over Zaragoza (Spain) using an adapted version of WRF-CMAQ model, *Environ. Pollut.*, 183, 151–158, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2013.02.025, 2013.
- 15 Sehili, A. M. and Lammel, G.: Global fate and distribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons emitted from Europe and Russia, *Atmos. Environ.*, 41 (37), 8301–8315, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.06.050, 2007.
- Shahpoury P., Lammel G., Albinet A., Sofuoglu A., Domanoğlu Y., Sofuoglu C.S., Wagner Z., Zdimal V.: Evaluation of a conceptual model for gas-particle partitioning of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons using poly-parameter linear free energy relationships, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, Submitted, 2016.
- 20 Shatalov, V., Gusev, A., Dutchak, S., Holoubek, I., Mantseva, E., Rozovskaya, O., Sweetman, A., Strukov, B. and Vulykh, N.: Modelling of POP contamination in European region: evaluation of the model performance, Moscow, 2005.
- Shiraiwa, M., Garland, R. M. and Pöschl, U.: Kinetic double-layer model of aerosol surface chemistry and gas-particle interactions (K2-SURF): Degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons exposed to O₃, NO₂, H₂O, OH and NO₃, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9(24), 9571–9586, doi:10.5194/acp-9-9571-2009, 2009.
- 25 Shiraiwa, M., Pfrang, C. and Pöschl, U.: Kinetic multi-layer model of aerosol surface and bulk chemistry (KM-SUB): the influence of interfacial transport and bulk diffusion on the oxidation of oleic acid by ozone, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10(8), 3673–3691, doi:10.5194/acp-10-3673-2010, 2010.
- Silibello, C., Calori, G., Costa, M. P., Dirodi, M. G., Mircea, M., Radice, P., Vitali, L. and Zanini, G.: Benzo[a]pyrene modelling over Italy: comparison with experimental data and source apportionment, *Atmos. Pollut. Res.*, 3 (4), 399–407, doi:10.5094/APR.2012.046, 2012.
- 30 Springmann, M., Knopf, D. A. and Riemer, N.: Detailed heterogeneous chemistry in an urban plume box model: reversible co-adsorption of O₃, NO₂, and H₂O on soot coated with benzo[a]pyrene, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9(19), 7461–7479, doi:10.5194/acp-9-7461-2009, 2009.
- 35 Šrám, R., Dostal, M., Libalova, H., Rossner, P., Rossnerova, A., Svecova, V., Topinka, J. and Bartonova, A.: The European hot spot of B[a]P and PM_{2.5} exposure—The Ostrava region, Czech Republic: Health research results, *ISRN Public Health*, 57, 12, doi:10.3109/19396368.2010.500440, 2013.
- Van Jaarsveld, J., Van Pul, W. and De Leeuw, F.: Modelling transport and deposition of persistent organic pollutants in the European region, *Atmos. Environ.*, 31(7), 1011–1024, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(96)00251-8, 1997.

Wenborn, M. J., Coleman, P. J., Passant, N. R., Lymberidi, E., Sully, J. and Weir, A.: Speciated PAH inventory for the United Kingdom, Report AEAT 3512. [online] Available from: <http://www.airquality.co.uk/archive/reports/list.php>, 1999.

WHO: World Health Organization: Health risks of persistent organic pollutants from long-range transboundary air pollution, WHO Regional Office for Europe, Copenhagen. 2003.

5 Xiu, A. J. and Pleim, J. E.: Development of a land surface model. Part I: Application in a mesoscale meteorological model, J. Appl. Meteor., 40(2), 192–209, doi:10.1175/1520-0450(2001)040<0192:DOALSM>2.0.CO;2, 2001.

Yarwood, G., Rao, S., Yocke, M. and Whitten, G.: Updates to the Carbon Bond Chemical Mechanism: CB05. 2005.

10 Zhang, B., Meng, F., Shi, C., Yang, F., Wen, D., Aronsson, J., Gbor, P. K. and Sloan, J. J.: Modeling the atmospheric transport and deposition of polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and dibenzofurans in North America, Atmos. Environ., 43(13), 2204–2212, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.01.004, 2009.

Zhang, Y., Seigneur, C., Seinfeld, J. H., Jacobson, M., Clegg, S. L. and Binkowski, F. S.: A comparative review of inorganic aerosol thermodynamic equilibrium modules: similarities, differences, and their likely causes, Atmos. Environ., 34(1), 117–137, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00236-8, 2000.

15 Zhou, S., Lee, A. K. Y., McWhinney, R. D. and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Burial effects of organic coatings on the heterogeneous reactivity of particle-borne benzo[a]pyrene (BaP) toward ozone, J. Phys. Chem. A, 116(26), 7050–7056, doi:10.1021/jp3030705, 2012.

Zhu, Y., Tao, S., Price, O. R., Shen, H., Jones, K. C. and Sweetman, A. J.: Environmental distributions of benzo[a]pyrene in China: Current and future emission reduction scenarios explored using a spatially explicit multimedia fate model, Environ. Sci. Technol., 150521115609002, doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b00474, 2015.

20

25

30

Table 1. Physicochemical parameters and reaction rate coefficients used in the WRF-CMAQ-BaP model.

Inverse Henry's Law Constant ¹	$\log H'$	-4.7
Subcooled liquid vapour pressure ^{1,2}	$\log p_L$	-5.2 (Pa)
Octanol-Water partition coefficient ¹	$\log K_{ow}$	5.9
Octanol-Air partition coefficient ^{1,3}	$\log K_{oa(dry)}$	11.1^1 (b=12.04, m=5382) ³
Water saturated octanol-Air partition coefficient ¹	$\log K_{oa(wet)}$	10.6
Diesel Soot-Water partition coefficient ⁴	$\log K_{soot-water}$	8.4
Diesel Soot-Air partition coefficient ⁴	$\log K_{soot-air}$	13.0
Gas-phase OH reaction rate constant	k_{OH}	50×10^{-12} (cm ³ molec ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)
Ozone gas-surface equilibrium constant ⁶	K_{O_3}	0.028×10^{-13} (cm ³)
Maximum rate coefficient ⁶	k_{max}	0.060 (s ⁻¹)

¹(Beyer et al., 2000); ²(de Maagd et al., 1998; Offenbergl and Baker, 1999); ³(Odabasi et al., 2006), $\log K_{OA} = m/T(K) + b$; ⁴(Barring et al., 2002), $\log K_{soot-water} = 1.39 \log K_{ow} + 0.1$; ⁵($\log K_{soot-air} = \log K_{soot-water} - \log H'$); ⁶Estimated values (EPIWIN;USEPA); ⁷(Kwamena et al., 2004)

5 ¹

10

Table 2. Summary of the simulated scenarios designed to test gas-particle partitioning and heterogeneous reactivity of BaP.

Description and references	Scenario				
	1	2	3	4*	5
Gas phase reaction with OH	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Adsorption model (JP) ¹	JP	JP	JP	JP	JP
Aerosol water dissolution (W)	-	W	W	W	W
Absorption to OM (HB) ²	-	-	HB	-	-
Absorption to EC/BC (DE) ³	-	-	-	DE	DE
Heterogeneous reaction with O ₃ (KW) ⁴	-	-	-	-	KW

¹Junge, 1977 and Pankow, 1987; ²Harner and Bidleman, 1998; ³Dachs and Eisenreich, 2000;

⁴Kwamena et al., 2004; * Additional simulation with [temperature-dependent](#) octanol-air partition coefficient calculated based on Odabasi et al., 2006.

5

10

15

20

Table 3. Average ground level BaP concentrations, c_{BaP} (pg/m^3) over the entire domain and in individual EU-28 member states for all simulated scenarios, and concentration changes Δc_{BaP} (%) between subsequent scenarios.

Scenario	<u>1</u>	<u>2</u>		<u>3</u>		<u>4</u>		<u>5</u>	
	c_{BaP} pg/m^3	c_{BaP} pg/m^3	Δc_{BaP} %	c_{BaP} pg/m^3	Δc_{BaP} %	c_{BaP} pg/m^3	Δc_{BaP} %	c_{BaP} pg/m^3	Δc_{BaP} %
Domain average	10.4	10.4	-0.4	22.8	119.2	28.3	24.1	23.0	-18.8
Austria	58.4	58.4	0.0	89.0	52.4	103.4	16.1	86.5	-16.3
Belgium	36.2	36.1	-0.1	65.8	82.1	75.8	15.2	62.5	-17.6
Bulgaria	14.7	14.7	-0.1	28.9	97.1	37.7	30.4	26.7	-29.3
Croatia	15.2	15.2	-0.1	35.1	131.0	45.5	29.9	32.2	-29.4
Cyprus	11.2	11.2	0.0	13.2	17.8	24.7	87.2	10.1	-59.1
Czech Republic	38.6	38.6	0.0	70.1	81.5	83.5	19.1	67.9	-18.7
Denmark	7.3	7.2	-1.3	22.4	213.0	26.0	16.0	24.5	-5.7
Estonia	9.5	9.5	-0.9	28.9	205.3	34.6	19.7	32.0	-7.4
Finland	6.0	5.8	-2.9	16.1	176.0	21.9	36.2	17.7	-19.5
France	27.1	27.1	0.0	48.0	76.9	56.6	18.0	44.7	-21.0
Germany	30.3	30.3	-0.1	57.6	90.2	69.2	20.2	55.6	-19.6
Greece	7.4	7.4	-0.1	14.7	97.7	22.3	52.2	12.4	-44.6
Hungary	29.3	29.3	-0.1	61.3	109.5	75.1	22.5	59.7	-20.5
Ireland	3.8	3.8	-0.1	6.8	80.0	8.7	27.5	6.0	-31.7
Italy	36.9	36.9	0.0	62.8	70.1	76.3	21.6	57.9	-24.1
Lithuania	10.0	10.0	-0.5	32.7	228.4	38.4	17.5	36.3	-5.5
Luxemburg	37.1	37.1	0.0	62.0	67.2	71.7	15.8	57.9	-19.3
Latvia	6.0	5.9	-1.3	25.7	335.0	30.2	17.7	29.8	-1.4
Malta	0.5	0.4	-0.5	0.5	20.6	0.7	37.1	0.5	-36.6
Netherlands	24.0	23.9	-0.3	52.5	119.5	63.1	20.2	51.5	-18.4
Poland	25.6	25.6	-0.2	56.7	121.7	67.4	18.8	57.4	-14.8
Portugal	15.0	15.0	0.0	20.9	39.1	28.4	36.3	18.1	-36.5
Romania	12.7	12.7	0.0	27.4	115.8	36.0	31.4	25.7	-28.6
Spain	8.4	8.4	0.0	11.9	41.8	16.8	41.4	9.3	-44.8
Sweden	3.7	3.6	-2.4	11.3	211.7	14.3	26.7	13.2	-7.7
Slovakia	27.0	27.0	-0.1	53.5	98.1	65.2	21.9	52.5	-19.5
Slovenia	28.5	28.5	0.0	60.3	111.3	71.3	18.3	57.0	-20.1
United Kingdom	3.3	3.3	-0.4	8.9	170.6	11.4	28.4	8.2	-27.6

5

Table 4. Comparison between modelled and measured BaP concentrations for the entire 2006 EMEP dataset (n=173) along with performance metrics and ranking across all sites.

Rank	Scenario	FAC2	MB	MGE	NMB	NMGE	RMSE	r	COE	IOA
<u>1</u>	<u>4</u>	0.29480	-0.05622	0.07145	-0.65264	0.82950	0.14729	0.35193	0.22443	0.61222
<u>2</u>	<u>3</u>	0.25434	-0.06279	0.07280	-0.72889	0.84516	0.15081	0.33423	0.20979	0.60490
<u>3</u>	<u>5</u>	0.21387	-0.07021	0.07345	-0.81511	0.85272	0.15179	0.47598	0.20273	0.60136
<u>4</u>	<u>1</u>	0.18497	-0.07640	0.07887	-0.88699	0.91559	0.16069	0.31088	0.14395	0.57197
<u>5</u>	<u>2</u>	0.17919	-0.07649	0.07894	-0.88798	0.91642	0.16076	0.30793	0.14317	0.57158

FAC: Fraction of predictions within a factor of two, MB: Mean bias, MGE: Mean Gross Error, NMB: Normalised mean bias, NMGE: Normalised mean gross error, RMSE: Root mean squared error, r: Correlation coefficient, COE: Coefficient of Efficiency, IOA: Index of Agreement.

10

15




Figure 1. Study domain and 2006 annual grid cell-mean BaP emission flux (g s^{-1}) at the surface.





Figure 2. Annual average surface-level BaP concentrations [$\log c_{\text{BaP}} (\text{ng m}^{-3})$] during 2006 plotted in logarithmic scale for (a) the Junge-Pankow (JP) [scenario 1](#) and (b) for the fully expanded GPP [scenario 5](#) that includes a heterogeneous reaction with ozone.


5

10


15




(a)



(b)



(c)



(d)

Figure 3. Differences in annual average surface-level BaP concentrations (ng m^{-3}) during 2006 plotted for (a) water dissolution effect: scenario 1 – scenario 2, (b) K_{oa} effect: scenario 3 – scenario 2, (c) dual model effect: scenario 4 – scenario 3, and (d) O_3 degradation effect: scenario 4 – scenario 5.






Figure 4. Seasonally disaggregated average surface-level BaP concentration [$\log c_{\text{BaP}} (\text{ng m}^{-3})$] maps predicted by scenario 4 during 2006.



Winter



Summer

Figure 5. Average difference of surface-level BaP concentrations (ng m⁻³) between scenario 4 and the fully expanded GPP scenario 5 that includes the heterogeneous reaction with ozone during the winter and summer months of 2006 (scenario 4 – scenario 5).





Figure 6. Distribution of BaP mass [$\log c_{BaP}$ (ng m^{-3})] in aerosols of three different modes in 3 different cells [Urban (Vienna), suburban (CZ0003R), and remote (FI0036R)] for each scenario as calculated during a winter (January 20) and a summer (July 20) day.



5 | [Figure 7. Model predicted and observed concentration \(\$\text{ng m}^{-3}\$ \) timeseries at selected EMEP monitoring sites during 2006 for the dual GPP model scenario 4.](#)




Figure 8. Model predicted and observed monthly BaP concentrations (ng m^{-3}) across all EMEP sites in 2006 for the dual GPP model scenario 4.

5