

Supplementary Information

Methane fluxes in the high northern latitudes for 2005 – 2013 estimated using a Bayesian atmospheric inversion

R. L. Thompson¹, M. Sasakawa², T. Machida², T. Aalto³, D. Worthy⁴,
J. V. Lavric^{5,6}, C. Lund Myhre¹ and A. Stohl¹

¹NILU - Norwegian Institute for Air Research, Kjeller, Norway

²National Institute for Environmental Studies, Tsukuba, Japan

³Finnish Meteorological Institute (FMI), Helsinki, Finland

⁴Environment Canada, Toronto, Canada

⁵Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany

⁶Integrated Carbon Observation System (ICOS), ERIC Head Office, Helsinki, Finland

Figure 1a. Comparison of atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratios in winter 2008 – 2009 (left) and in summer 2009 (right). The error bars show the calculated observation uncertainty used in the inversions (observations = black, background = green, S1 prior = blue, S1 posterior = red). The full site names and details are provided in Table 1.




Figure 1b. Same as Fig. 1a but for a different set of sites.




Figure S1c. Same as Fig. 1a but for a different set of sites.




Figure 3. Distribution of the observation-model CH₄ mixing ratio mismatches a priori (blue) and a posteriori (red). Also shown are the assumed observation uncertainty distributions (grey).




Figure 4. Temporal distribution of atmospheric observations (number of observations per month).




Figure 5. Wetland emissions estimates (units $\text{gCH}_4 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1}$) from LPX-Bern (a) and LPJ-DGVM (b).




Figure 6. Correlation of CH₄ fluxes with different environmental parameters for 2005 to 2013. Shown are the correlations with soil temperature (a), soil water volume (b), precipitation (c) and snow depth (d). (Note white means no significant correlation).

