In-situ observations of the isotopic composition of methane at the Cabauw tall tower site

3

Thomas Röckmann^{1,*}, Simon Eyer^{2,*}, Carina van der Veen¹, Maria E. Popa¹, Béla
Tuzson², Guillaume Monteil^{1,3}, Sander Houweling¹, Eliza Harris², Dominik
Brunner², Hubertus Fischer⁷, Giulia Zazzeri⁴, David Lowry⁴, Euan G. Nisbet⁴, Willi
A. Brand⁵, Jaroslav M. Necki⁶, Lukas Emmenegger² and Joachim Mohn²

- 9 ¹ Utrecht University (UU), Institute for Marine and Atmospheric Research
 10 Utrecht (IMAU), The Netherlands
- ² Empa, Laboratory for Air Pollution / Environmental Technology, Dübendorf,
 Switzerland
- ³ now at Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Lund
 University, Lund, Sweden
- ⁴ Royal Holloway University of London (RHUL), Department of Earth Sciences,
 Egham, UK
- 17 ⁵ Max-Planck-Institute (MPI) for Biogeochemistry, Jena, Germany
- 18 ⁶ Environmental Physics Group, Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer
- 19 Science, AGH University of Science and Technology, Krakow, Poland
- 20 ⁷ University of Bern, Climate and Environmental Physics, Bern, Switzerland
- 21
- 22 *These authors contributed equally to this work
- 23
- 24

25 Abstract

26 High precision analyses of the isotopic composition of methane in ambient air 27 can potentially be used to discriminate between different source categories. Due 28 to the complexity of isotope ratio measurements, such analyses have generally 29 been performed in the laboratory on air samples collected in the field. This poses 30 a limitation on the temporal resolution at which the isotopic composition can be 31 monitored with reasonable logistical effort. Here we present the performance of 32 a dual isotope ratio mass spectrometric system (IRMS) and a quantum cascade laser absorption spectroscopy (QCLAS) based technique for in-situ analysis of 33 34 the isotopic composition of methane under field conditions. Both systems were 35 deployed at the Cabauw experimental site for atmospheric research (CESAR) in the Netherlands and performed in-situ, high-frequency (approx. hourly) 36 37 measurements for a period of more than 5 months. The IRMS and QCLAS 38 instruments were in excellent agreement with a slight systematic offset of (+0.25 39 \pm 0.04) ‰ for δ^{13} C and (-4.3 \pm 0.4) ‰ for δ D. This was corrected for, yielding a combined dataset with more than 2500 measurements of both δ^{13} C and δ D. The 40 high precision and temporal resolution dataset does not only reveal the 41 42 overwhelming contribution of isotopically depleted agricultural CH₄ emissions 43 from ruminants at the Cabauw site, but also allows the identification of specific 44 events with elevated contributions from more enriched sources such as natural 45 gas and landfills. The final dataset was compared to model calculations using the 46 global model TM5 and the mesoscale model FLEXPART-COSMO. The results of 47 both models agree better with the measurements when the TNO-MACC emission 48 inventory is used in the models than when the EDGAR inventory is used. This 49 suggests that high-resolution isotope measurements have the potential to 50 further constrain the methane budget, when they are performed at multiple sites 51 that are representative for the entire European domain.

52 **1. Introduction**

53 The global increase of the important greenhouse gas methane in the atmosphere 54 since the beginning of the industrial period is very well established 55 (Dlugokencky et al., 2009; Dlugokencky et al., 1996; Dlugokencky et al., 1998; 56 Etheridge et al., 1998; Khalil et al., 2007; Loulergue et al., 2008; MacFarling 57 Meure et al., 2006; Rasmussen and Khalil, 1981; Spahni et al., 2005). The existing CH₄ mole fraction measurement data enable accurate assessment of the source-58 59 sink imbalance through time, and together with the estimated total sink strength, 60 they allow for a top-down constraint on the global source of methane to the 61 atmosphere (Bergamaschi et al., 2013; Houweling et al., 2014). Bottom-up 62 estimates of the global methane budget carry much larger uncertainties, which 63 are inherent to the assumptions made in the extrapolation of local scale 64 measurements to larger scales (Bruhwiler et al., 2014; Kirschke et al., 2013; 65 Nisbet et al., 2014). The advantage of bottom-up estimates is, however, the 66 possibility to distinguish different sources and to link observations to process-67 level understanding of the emissions.

68 An independent approach for distinguishing between source categories of CH₄ is 69 the analysis of its isotopic composition, which is strongly linked to the 70 source/sink processes. This is particularly true for methane from biogenic, 71 thermogenic and pyrogenic sources (Gros et al., 2004; Houweling et al., 2008; 72 Quay et al., 1999; Sapart et al., 2012). A more detailed differentiation within one 73 source category, e.g. biogenic CH₄, for emissions from wetlands, ruminants, rice 74 paddies or termites, however, is complicated because of the overlap of the 75 respective isotopic source signatures. Further complications arise because 76 individual source signatures can show pronounced dependence on 77 environmental parameters and metabolized substrates (Kawagucci et al., 2014; 78 Klevenhusen et al., 2010). In addition to the source contributions, the sink 79 processes (mainly chemical removal by the hydroxyl radical (OH), but also soil 80 deposition and stratospheric loss) also affect the isotopic composition of 81 atmospheric methane (Brenninkmeijer et al., 1995; Röckmann et al., 2011; Saueressig et al., 1996; Saueressig et al., 2001; Snover and Quay, 2000). 82 83 Nevertheless, over the past decades, numerous studies have shown the potential 84 of isotope measurements to identify individual source categories from isotope

observations (Beck et al., 2012; Lassey et al., 1993; Tarasova et al., 2006;
Umezawa et al., 2012b; Zazzeri et al., 2015) and to constrain budgets (Ferretti et
al., 2005; Fischer et al., 2008; Houweling et al., 2008; Lassey et al., 2000; Lowe et
al., 1994; Sapart et al., 2012; Umezawa et al., 2012a).

89 The isotopic composition is commonly reported in δ notation, where δ quantifies 90 the relative deviation of an isotope ratio $({}^{13}R = {}^{13}C/{}^{12}C$ for carbon isotopes and ${}^{2}R$ 91 $= {}^{2}H/{}^{1}H$, abbreviated as D/H, for hydrogen isotopes) in a sample from a standard ratio. The international standard for reporting $\delta(^{13}C, CH_4)$ values is Vienna Pee 92 93 Dee Belemnite (VPDB, ${}^{13}R_{VPDB}$ = 0.0112372 (Craig, 1957)) and for δ (D, CH₄) it is 94 Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW, ²*R*_{VSMOW} = 0.0020052 (Baertschi, 95 1976)). $\delta(^{13}C, CH_4)$ and $\delta(D, CH_4)$ are abbreviated as $\delta^{13}C$ and δD in the following, 96 and given in per mill ($\%_0$). CH₄ mole fractions χ (CH₄) are reported in nmol/mol = 97 10^{-9} and μ mol/mol = 10^{-6} . For interpretation of global or continental scale 98 atmospheric data the expert group of the WMO/IAEA has set a scientifically 99 desirable level of compatibility of 2 nmol/mol, 0.02 ‰ and 1 ‰ for CH₄ 100 fraction, δ^{13} C and δ D, respectively (WMO, 2014). For regionally focused studies 101 with large local fluxes, extended compatibility goals of 5 nmol/mol, 0.2 ‰ and 5 102 % for χ(CH₄), δ^{13} C and δ D were defined.

103 Due to the complexity of the involved measurement techniques, CH₄ isotope 104 measurements have been limited mostly to relatively low frequency sampling in 105 the field followed by isotope analysis in the laboratory (Bock et al., 2010; Brass 106 and Röckmann, 2010; Sapart et al., 2011; Sperlich et al., 2013; Umezawa et al., 107 2009; Yamada et al., 2003). For many decades, the dominant method for high 108 precision isotope analysis of atmospheric methane was isotope ratio mass 109 spectrometry. In particular, the development of continuous-flow IRMS in the past 110 two decades (Merritt et al., 1994; Merritt et al., 1995) has greatly increased the 111 throughput of IRMS methods, making this the technique of choice in most 112 laboratories, also because of the small sample amounts required.

113 Recently, mid-infrared laser absorption spectroscopy has proven its potential for 114 high precision isotope ratio analysis. First attempts of measuring the isotopic 115 composition of methane (Bergamaschi et al., 1998a; 1998b; 1994) were 116 restricted to enhanced CH₄ fractions (>50 μ mol/mol for δ^{13} C and >2000 117 μ mol/mol for δ D) and required cryogenic cooling for both the laser source and 118 the detector, which impeded in-situ and long-term applications. The invention of 119 room temperature, quantum cascade laser (QCL) sources has triggered the 120 development of a novel generation of spectrometers suitable for in-situ analysis of the isotopic composition of greenhouse gases (Eyer and al, 2015; Tuzson et al., 121 122 2008; Wächter et al., 2008). Their capability of high-temporal resolution led to 123 new applications aiming for source attribution (Mohn et al., 2012; Tuzson et al., 124 2011; Wolf et al., 2015). The advantages of in-situ measurements are particularly 125 apparent in combination with atmospheric modeling techniques, which enables 126 the identification of specific source regions (Rigby et al., 2012; Sturm et al., 127 2013). Similarly, high-frequency, high-precision CH₄ isotope data are expected to 128 greatly reduce uncertainties of national and global source estimations, as 129 demonstrated in an observing system simulation experiment (Rigby et al., 2012). 130 In this paper we present the analytical setup and results of a 5-month campaign 131 at the Cabauw tall tower site in the Netherlands, where the isotopic composition 132 (δ^{13} C and δ D) of CH₄ was measured with two instruments, one IRMS system 133 developed at Utrecht University and one QCLAS-instrument developed at Empa. 134 The compatibility of the two analytical techniques for CH₄ mole fractions, δ^{13} C-135 CH_4 and δD - CH_4 is assessed and the obtained high-resolution isotope dataset is 136 exploited using a novel moving Keeling plot method. A comparison of 137 measurement results with calculations from two different models (TM5 and 138 FLEXPART-COSMO) and two emission inventories (EDGAR, TNO-MACC) 139 indicates the potential of this approach to better constrain on isotope source

140 signatures and emissions in atmospheric models.

141 **2. Methods**

142 **2.1. Site description**

The 213 m tall tower is the central construction of the Cabauw Experimental Site
for Atmospheric Research (CESAR, http://www.cesar-observatory.nl/, 51° 58' N,
4° 55' E, 2 m a.s.l.). The CESAR site is dedicated to atmospheric research and
hosts a wide variety of instruments for in situ and remote sensing measurements
of meteorological parameters, trace gases, pollutants, aerosols, and clouds. The

148 site is located in an agricultural landscape, with CH₄ emissions originating from ruminants and other agricultural activities, but also from the peaty soil and the 149 150 drainage ditches between the surrounding fields (Peltola et al., 2014). The small 151 town Lopik (~7500 inhabitants) is located 1 km east of the tower. Population 152 and road density increase steeply further away from the tower towards the 153 country's major cities: Utrecht (at about 20 km distance), Rotterdam (30 km), the 154 Hague (40 km) and Amsterdam (45 km). An estimated seven million people 155 inhabit these cities and their many neighboring settlements. The location and 156 surroundings are described in more detail in (Peltola et al., 2014; Peltola et al., 157 2015; Vermeulen et al., 2011). The instruments were operated in a room on the ground floor of the CESAR building. Since this room is not commonly used as 158 159 laboratory, it has air-conditioning with limited cooling capacity and the 160 temperature varied between 25 °C and 30 °C.

161

2.2. Air sampling at the Cabauw tall tower

Air was continuously drawn through ½" o.d. (outer diameter) Dekabon tubing from 20 m height at a total flow of 16 l min⁻¹ provided by a Varian scroll pump (Agilent Technologies Inc., USA). The sample gas flow was adjusted by means of a flow restriction at the inlet of the pump in order to maintain the pressure in the sampling line above 950 hPa. The sample gas flows for the methane isotope analyzers were branched off upstream of the scroll pump and the restriction, using ¼" o.d. Dekabon lines.

169 **2.3. IRMS system**

170 The new IRMS method for δ^{13} C and δ D analysis of atmospheric CH₄ is based on 171 the ISAAC system as developed at the MPI for Biogeochemistry in Jena (W. Brand 172 et al., manucript in preparation). Importantly, the system does not require liquid 173 nitrogen coolant for the preconcentration and focusing steps, but uses a massive copper block cooled down to about -145 °C, to which the cold traps for 174 175 preconcentration and cryo-focussing are connected via standoffs (see 2.3.1). This 176 cold assembly is contained in an evacuated steel Dewar to prevent condensation 177 of moisture. During the campaign, the extraction unit and two IRMS instruments 178 (Thermo Delta Plus XL for hydrogen isotopes and Thermo Delta Plus XP for

179 carbon isotopes, both Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Germany) were operated at180 the CESAR site. The system is schematically shown in Fig. 1.

181

2.3.1. Cryogenic trapping

182 A Polycold compact cooler compressor (Brooks Automation Inc., USA), filled with 183 coolant PT-30, cooled a cold end on which a copper cylinder (70 mm diameter, 184 85 mm height, 3 kg) was mounted. In this configuration, the copper block 185 reached a temperature of -145 °C. The pre-concentration trap (PreCon) was a 10 186 cm 1/8'' SS tube filled with 4 cm 60/80 mesh HayeSep D in the center and 3 cm 187 60/80 glass beads on each end. It was connected with Valco fittings and the 188 packing material was retained in the trap using removable frits (CEF1F, Valco 189 Instruments Company Inc., USA). The focus trap (Focus) was a 10 cm 1/16" SS 190 tube filled with 2 cm HayeSep D and 4 cm glass beads at both ends, connected 191 with Valco fittings (ECEF211.0F, Valco Instruments Company Inc., USA). The 192 traps could be heated with 0.5 m Thermsys heating wire wrapped around the 193 tubes. The PreCon and Focus trapping units were glued together with a PT-100 194 temperature sensor in heat - conducting two component epoxy on a brass 195 standoff. These brass standoffs were mounted to the copper cylinder. In the 196 "trapping" configuration the temperatures of the traps were usually kept at -135 197 °C.

198

2.3.2. Measurement procedure

199 A 3-port 2-position Valco valve (3PV, Fig. 1) selected either ambient air drawn 200 from the tower through a $Mg(ClO_4)_2$ dryer, or cylinder air that was injected via 201 one port of an 8-port multiposition Valco valve (MPV). To check the system 202 performance, a reference air cylinder (Ref) was measured alternately with 203 ambient air, and three other target gas cylinders were measured occasionally. 204 The inlet line was connected to a 4-port 2-position Valco valve (4PV1), which 205 directed either Helium (He, BIP quality, Air Products and Chemicals Inc., USA) or 206 the selected airflow to the PreCon unit, which was connected in the loop position 207 of a 6-port 2-position Valco valve (6PV). All He and air flows were controlled by 208 MKS mass flow controllers (MFC, MKS Instruments Inc., USA).

209 The preconcentration and cryofocussing was done similarly to Brass and

210 Röckmann (2010). After flushing the inlet line with >20 ml air, the 6PV was 211 switched to the load position and air was admitted to the PreCon unit. The 212 duration of the air sampling for the IRMS system was 10 minutes at a flow rate of 213 5 ml min⁻¹ for δ^{13} C and 7 ml min⁻¹ for δ D. The flow was provided by a Xavitech 214 mini pump (P200-GAS-12V, Xavitech AB, Sweden). During this step, the 215 temperature measured at the PreCon stayed below -132 °C. At this temperature 216 CH₄ and several other trace species were retained on the HayeSep D, while the 217 air matrix was efficiently flushed out.

After preconcentration, the PreCon unit was heated to -30 °C and a He flow of 3 ml min⁻¹ transported the CH_4 in 90 seconds to the Focus unit, which was held at a temperature <-137 °C. After transfer of the sample to the Focus, the 6PV was switched to the load position and the PreCon was heated to -10 °C to release any remaining trapped gases such as CO_2 .

- The Focus was then heated to release the CH₄, which was directed via 4PV2 and 4VP3 either to the combustion oven and the Delta plus XP IRMS for ¹³C analysis or to the pyrolysis oven and the Delta plus XL IRMS for D analysis.
- For δD analysis, the CH₄ was injected into a pyrolysis tube furnace (1400 °C), where CH₄ was converted to H₂ and carbon. The H₂ entered the IRMS, after passing a 2 m CarboPLOT column at room temperature (RT) and a nafion dryer, via the GasBench interface. No krypton interference (Schmitt et al., 2013) could be determined in this setup. The repeatability for δD was generally better than ±2 ‰ (reported as SD), based on consecutive analyses of reference air.
- For δ^{13} C, the CH₄ was injected from the cryofocus unit into a combustion oven containing a nickel / nickel oxide wire catalyst at 1100 °C, where the CH₄ was converted to CO₂ and H₂O. The resulting gas mixture passed a nafion dryer and a 10 m PoraPLOT Q column (5 °C) to eliminate interference from co-trapped krypton (Schmitt et al., 2013) before entering the IRMS via the GasBench interface. The repeatability of δ^{13} C was better than ±0.07 ‰ (reported as SD), based on consecutive analyses of reference air.
- The typical measurement order during the Cabauw campaign was Ref δ^{13} C Air δ^{13} C – Ref δ D – Air δ D. A full measurement cycle took 84 min. On a regular basis, pressurized air from a cylinder, applied as a target gas, was analyzed as a quality

control tool in order to monitor the long term stability of the analytical technique. The CH₄ mole fraction and isotopic composition in ambient air and target gas were calculated using an interpolation of the reference air analyzed before and afterwards. A custom made LabView software program (National Instruments Corp., USA) was used to control and log the temperature of the traps, the valve switching and the flow setpoints of the MFCs.

248

2.3.3. IRMS system isotope calibration

249 The isotope calibration of the IRMS system was based on a reference air cylinder 250 that contains ambient air collected at the IMAU in 2014, with 1888 nmol/mol of 251 CH₄ and isotope values of $\delta^{13}C = -47.89 \%$ and $\delta D = -88.08 \%$. The isotope 252 calibration scale is based on the reference scale that was described in detail in 253 Brass and Röckmann (2010). We used the average of the reference air 254 measurement before and after the sample air measurement to calculate the mole 255 fraction and δ values. The linear response of the analytical system (independence 256 of the δ value on the amount of CH₄ analyzed) was verified by injecting various 257 volumes of reference air up to a volume equivalent to 2700 nmol/mol. 258 Occasionally, the long-term stability of the system was checked by measuring 3 259 target cylinders with different CH₄ mole fractions and isotopic compositions. A 260 robust link of the isotopic composition to the international reference materials 261 VPDB and VSMOW has been established in the framework of the INGOS project 262 (Sperlich et al., 2016).

263 2.4. QCLAS system

The analytical procedure of the laser based measurement system involves two steps: preconcentration of the CH₄ from 7.5 L of ambient air in a trace gas extractor (TREX) by adsorption on HayeSep D (Eyer et al., 2014; Mohn et al., 2010) and analysis of CH₄ isotopologues with a modified commercial QCLAS (QCL-76-D, Aerodyne Inc., USA). Details on the development, optimization and validation of the TREX-QCLAS system are given by Eyer et al. (2015).

The present manuscript comprises the first application of the TREX-QCLAS system for in-situ analysis of CH₄ isotopologues at a field site for an extended period of time. In comparison to the original setup, the heating power of the 273 polyimide foil on the cold trap was reduced to 60 W to increase its lifetime. Due 274 to the lower heating power, the duration of the desorption step had to be 275 extended, which led to an improved separation from residual bulk gases (e.g. N₂ 276 and O_2). Lowering the O_2 enhancement in the gas matrix is also the main reason 277 for a lower offset in δ^{13} C of 1.58 ‰, with respect to the MPI - scale, as com-278 pared to 2.3 ‰ in previously published results (Eyer et al., 2015). The offset was 279 related to a higher O_2 mole fraction in the gas matrix after CH_4 preconcentration. 280 One measurement cycle consisted of four consecutive measurements of ambient 281 air samples and one sample of pressurized air used as a target gas, followed by a 282 calibration phase and took around 4:30 hours. This translates into an analysis 283 time of 54 minutes per sample of ambient or pressurized air.

284 A calibration gas (CG1, (1200 ± 50) μ mol/mol CH₄, δ^{13} C = -(44.24 ± 0.10) ‰, δ D 285 = -(104.7 \pm 1.1) ‰) was diluted to 688 µmol/mol and analyzed between every 286 preconcentrated sample as an anchor to correct the measurements for 287 instrumental drift. A second calibration gas (CG2, $(1103.8 \pm 3.5) \mu mol/mol CH_4$, 288 $\delta^{13}C = -(36.13 \pm 0.10) \%$, $\delta D = -(180.6 \pm 1.1) \%$), diluted to a similar CH₄ mole 289 fraction of 681 μ mol/mol was used to calculate calibration factors for δ^{13} C and 290 δD values. Furthermore, gas cylinders of pressurized ambient air, referred to as 291 target gas (TG1, TG2), were frequently measured over the entire campaign to 292 determine and verify the repeatability of the measurement system, which was 293 found to be 0.28 % and 1.7 % for δ^{13} C and δ D (1 σ), respectively. Additional 294 adjustments in the preconcentration procedure and in the analytical routine for 295 isotope analysis improved the repeatability to 0.18 % and 0.85 % for δ^{13} C and 296 δD in the last month of the campaign. One example is the improved temperature 297 control of the trap during adsorption, which in turn stabilized the O_2 content in 298 the measuring gas and thereby reduced variations in δ^{13} C-CH₄.

The CH₄ isotopic composition of the calibration gases, as well as the target gases (TG1, (2639.5 ± 0.6) nmol/mol CH₄, δ^{13} C = -(46.48 ± 0.10) ‰, δ D = -(119.0 ± 1.1) %, TG2, (2659.8 ± 0.6) nmol/mol CH₄, δ^{13} C = -(45.87 ± 0.10) ‰, δ D = -(114.1 ± 1.1) ‰) were determined by the Stable Isotope Laboratory at the Max-Planck-Institute for Biogeochemistry. CH₄ mole fraction measurements were linked to the WMO-X2004 calibration scale (Dlugokencky et al., 2005) through calibration
of the target gases against NOAA reference standards at Empa.

306 **2.5. Modeling**

307 Two complementary atmospheric transport models (TM5, FLEXPART-COSMO), 308 both in combination with two different emissions inventories (TNO-MACC_2, 309 EDGAR/LPJ-WhyMe), were applied to support interpretation of the 310 measurements. The Eularian tracer model TM5 simulated the distribution of CH₄ 311 and ¹³CH₄ at global scale with a zoom on Europe at 1° x 1° resolution and 312 considered both the isotopic signatures of different sources and the fractionation 313 by different removal pathways of CH₄ in the atmosphere. The Lagrangian particle 314 dispersion model FLEXPART-COSMO, conversely, was run in backward mode at a 315 higher resolution of 0.06° x 0.06° but only over Europe. This model is better able 316 to represent the spatial variability of CH₄ sources in the near field of Cabauw but 317 it only simulated the contributions from the last 4 days of emissions within 318 Europe and not the large-scale background. Chemical loss of CH₄ was not 319 considered due to the short transport times between the sources and the 320 receptor point at Cabauw. δD was only simulated with FLEXPART-COSMO.

321

2.5.1. TM5 modeling

322 Simulations of atmospheric CH₄ and δ^{13} C were performed using the global tracer 323 model TM5 (Krol et al., 2005). The Eularian off-line model was driven by 324 meteorological fields from the European Centre for Medium Range Weather 325 Forecast (ECMWF) reanalysis project ERA-Interim (Dee et al., 2011), pre-326 processed for use in TM5. For vertical transport due to moist convection we 327 made use of Era Interim archived convective mass fluxes, replacing the use of the 328 Tiedke scheme in Krol et al. (2005). The model was run at a horizontal resolution 329 of 6°x4° globally and 1°x1° inside a zoom domain covering Western Europe. The 330 model uses 25 hybrid sigma-pressure levels from the surface to top of 331 atmosphere.

Two parallel (forward) TM5 simulations were performed with CH₄ and ¹³CH₄ as
transported tracers. In the standard configuration, anthropogenic CH₄ emissions
were taken from EDGAR4.2 FT2010 (EDGAR, 2009), extrapolated to 2014 and

335 2015 using annual statistics from the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO) and the British Petroleum Company (BP), as described in 336 337 Houweling et al. (2014). For natural wetland emissions, an average of the 338 emission estimates derived by Spahni et al. (2011) for the period 2003-2008 was 339 taken, using the LPJ-WhyMe model. For a complete description of the CH₄ 340 emissions (Table 1), see Monteil et al. (2013) and references therein. ¹³CH₄ 341 emissions were derived from the CH₄ emissions using prescribed δ^{13} C source 342 signatures (Table 1). The emission inventory was built according to a double 343 constraint: 1st, each source signature must be chosen within its own uncertainty interval, and 2nd, the resulting global average source signature must be 344 compatible with the global source signature that is inferred from the 345 346 observations (and that is known with a much better precision than the individual 347 source signatures) (Monteil et al., 2011). In a second set of simulations, 348 anthropogenic emissions in a regional domain centered on Cabauw were 349 replaced by emissions from the European TNO-MACC_2 inventory, which was 350 used as the standard inventory in the FLEXPART-COSMO simulations (see 351 below). Outside the regional domain covered by TNO-MACC_2, the EDGAR 352 emissions were used.

353 Atmospheric removal of CH₄ was modeled as described in Monteil et al. (2013), 354 using kinetic fractionation factors $\alpha = k(^{12}C) / k(^{13}C)$ of $\alpha_{OH} = 1.0055$, $\alpha_{CI} = 1.066$ 355 and $\alpha_{0(1D)}$ =1.013 for the reactions between CH₄ and OH (Sander et al., 2006), Cl (Saueressig et al., 1995) and $O(^{1}D)$ (Saueressig et al., 2000), respectively. The 356 357 simulations were initialized at steady state (obtained via a spin-up run) in 2005, 358 and simulations of the period 2005-2015 were used to calculate a realistic state 359 of the atmosphere at the start of the measurement campaigns, including the 360 imbalance between emissions and atmospheric CH₄ mixing ratio/isotopic 361 composition in 2014. Time series were extracted from model-simulated mole 362 fraction fields after interpolation to the horizontal coordinate and height of the 363 Cabauw tower air inlet.

364

2.5.2. FLEXPART-COSMO modeling

The Lagrangian Particle Dispersion Model (LPDM) FLEXPART (Stohl et al., 2005)
was used in a modified version coupled to the mesoscale numerical weather

367 forecast model COSMO (Baldauf et al., 2011) to simulate the regional 368 contribution of different source categories to the concentrations and isotopic 369 signatures of CH₄ at Cabauw. FLEXPART-COSMO was driven by hourly 370 operational analysis fields generated by the Swiss national weather service 371 MeteoSwiss for a domain covering entire western and central Europe from 372 Ireland, Denmark, and Poland in the north to Portugal and southern Italy in the 373 south with a horizontal resolution of approximately 7 km x 7 km and 60 vertical 374 levels. Every 3 hours, 50'000 particles (air parcels) were released from the 375 position of the inlet 20 m above surface and traced backward in time for 4 days 376 to compute the sensitivity of each 3-hourly measurement to upwind sources. The 377 corresponding source sensitivity maps or footprints (Seibert and Frank, 2004) 378 were multiplied with gridded CH₄ emissions to compute the mole fraction 379 enhancement above background expected from different sources. Emissions 380 were taken from the TNO-MACC_2 inventory for Europe representative of the year 2009 and available at 0.125° x 0.0625° resolution (Kuenen et al., 2014) or, 381 382 alternatively, from the same version of EDGAR/LPJ-WhyMe inventory driving 383 TM5 at a resolution of 1° x 1°. Methane mole fractions were computed separately 384 for a number of SNAP (Standardized Nomenclature for Air Pollutants) source 385 categories with specific isotopic signatures as summarized in Table 2.

For the domain covered by the FLEXPART-COSMO simulations, which includes most of western and central Europe, total anthropogenic emissions are 20.6 Tg CH₄/yr in EDGAR and 18.3 Tg CH₄/yr in TNO-MACC, which corresponds to a difference of 12.5%. CH₄ emissions from gas/oil production and distribution are 89% higher, CH₄ emissions from agriculture 19% lower and CH₄ emissions from waste 12% higher in EDGAR than in TNO-MACC.

392 Source specific emissions were combined with isotopic signatures of the various 393 categories from Table 2 to derive mean δ^{13} C and δ D isotopic signatures for the 394 CH₄ that was picked up by the air parcel along the trajectory.

395 **2.6. Interpretation of CH₄ isotope data**

396 **2.6.1. Data analysis by a Keeling plot technique**

397 The isotopic composition of CH₄ emissions were estimated using the Keeling plot 398 technique (Keeling, 1961; Pataki et al., 2003). This method allows the isotopic 399 signature of a single source process or the mean isotopic signature of combined 400 source processes that mix into a background reservoir to be determined from 401 the observed ambient isotopic composition and mole fraction. An implicit 402 assumption of the Keeling plot approach is that the isotopic composition and 403 mole fraction of the background reservoir and the isotopic composition of the 404 source or the combined source stay constant over the time range of the analysis. 405 This may not always apply as the relative contribution of individual CH₄ sources 406 or their isotopic signature may change over time

407 To exploit the high temporal resolution of our data, we applied a novel approach of a moving Keeling plot (MKP) method. Data within a moving window of 12 408 409 hours were used to calculate the source isotopic composition. This window was 410 moved in 1-hour time steps over the data series. In addition, values for 411 background conditions within a 48-hour period, centered on the respective 12-412 hour window, were included in the analysis. These background values were 413 chosen between 10:00 and 18:00 local time, because during this period a 414 convective boundary layer usually develops and hence local influence is weak; 415 pollution events with CH₄ mole fractions above 2100 nmol/mol were filtered out 416 additionally. For each time window, an orthogonal least squares fit was applied 417 to the δ values vs. the inverse CH₄ mole fractions and R² values were calculated. 418 A Keeling plot analysis only returns meaningful values for the source isotopic 419 composition if the variations in CH₄ mole fraction are significant and if the 420 emissions are from a source with a well-defined isotopic composition. Therefore, 421 two additional filters were applied: i) the mole fraction had to vary by more than 422 200 nmol/mol within each time window and ii) the R² of the fit had to be larger 423 than 0.8. If $R^2 < 0.8$, the 12 h interval was reduced consecutively by one hour to a 424 minimum of six hours until either the R^2 of the fit was > 0.8 or the number of 425 data points was lower than five. On average this technique accumulated 22 data 426 points per 12-h time window.

427 **3. Results**

428 **3.1. Overview of the field measurements at the Cabauw site**

429 The full record of the methane mole fraction and isotopic composition obtained 430 with the two measurement techniques at the CESAR site is shown in Fig. 2. The 431 IRMS system started with δD measurements first, and after 3 weeks delivered 432 both $\delta^{13}C$ and δD data. The TREX-QCLAS system started later and ran 433 continuously from mid-December to mid-January, and from mid-February to the 434 end of the campaign. Despite a number of interruptions mainly due to various 435 kinds of instrument malfunction, the combined time series of both techniques 436 shows a high temporal coverage with more than 2500 measurements performed 437 for both δ^{13} C and δ D.

438 A qualitative inspection of the time series already conveys the obvious features 439 that will be discussed below in more detail: the methane mole fraction χ (CH₄) 440 shows a large number of substantial increases above background level, and these 441 positive methane excursions are accompanied by negative excursions in the δ 442 values from the background level. Thus the additional methane is generally 443 depleted in both ¹³C and D.

444

4 **3.2. Inter-comparison of the two analytical techniques**

445 Before presenting a detailed analysis of the CH₄ isotopic composition in ambient 446 air, we compare the results obtained with the IRMS and QCLAS techniques in 447 order to evaluate their performance and to combine the results into one final 448 dataset. Although both systems measured air from the same intake line, the 449 sampling intervals could not be synchronized since both instruments operated in 450 different measurement cycles. A full measurement cycle (including measurement 451 of the reference gas) took 84 minutes for the IRMS system and 54 minutes for 452 the TREX-QCLAS system. The actual duration of the air sampling was 10 minutes 453 for the IRMS system and 15 minutes for the QCLAS system. So even if the systems 454 coincidentally started sampling at the same time, they never actually analyzed 455 exactly the same air mass. Consequently, differences between the systems 456 contain contributions from natural variability, random fluctuations due to 457 limited measurement precision, and system offsets.

Fig. 2 shows a comparison of the χ (CH₄), as well as δ^{13} C and δ D values that were obtained with the TREX-QCLAS and the IRMS technique. To visualize the possible effect of time shifts, the size of the points corresponds to the proximity of the 461 sampling intervals. A total of 727, 333 and 277 measurement pairs for χ (CH₄), 462 δ^{13} C and δ D, respectively, analyzed by both techniques were combined in this 463 way.

464 The mole fraction comparison shows good agreement along the 1:1 line but with a large scatter, which has two contributions: i) instrumental noise, as the isotope 465 466 systems have a relatively large uncertainty for measurement of the mole fraction 467 compared to existing high-precision CH₄ analyzers, and ii) natural variability 468 associated with the sampling of different air masses as described above. The 469 second point is supported by the fact that the average difference in CH₄ mole 470 fractions between the two analytical techniques was larger for larger temporal 471 differences in the sampling intervals.

472 For the isotope intercalibration plots, the grey-black shading of the circles 473 indicates the difference in χ (CH₄) of the respective measurement pair analyzed 474 by both techniques. The overall difference between the measurements 475 conducted with the two systems (QCLAS-IRMS) is (+0.25 \pm 0.04) % for δ^{13} C and (-4.3 ± 0.4) % for δD (the stated errors are standard errors of the mean). The 476 477 mean offsets are slightly outside the WMO extended compatibility goals for δ^{13} C 478 (0.2 %) and within the WMO extended compatibility goals for δD (5 %), as 479 indicated by the red dashed lines (WMO, 2014). Individual measurement pairs 480 can show significantly larger deviations for aforementioned reasons. Differences between the two techniques are higher than expected as both laboratories refer 481 482 their measurements to MPI-BGC, who recently established a link between the 483 CH₄ isotopic composition and the international reference materials VPDB and 484 VSMOW, in the framework of the INGOS project (Sperlich et al., 2016). Therefore, 485 remaining differences can only be rationalized by uncertainties in propagating 486 the scale or by instrumental issues. The enhanced discrepancies for low δD -CH₄ 487 values might originate from a non-linear response of one of the applied 488 analytical techniques. The mean offset values determined above were applied to 489 the QCLAS data to create one combined dataset with 2610 data points for δ^{13} C 490 and 2673 data points for δD .

491 **3.3. FLEXPART-COSMO source attribution**

492 In FLEXPART-COSMO, the contributions of the individual source types are 493 simulated separately and added up to obtain the cumulative CH₄ mole fraction. 494 Fig. 4 shows these contributions in absolute (top) and relative terms (bottom). 495 According to the model, the relative contributions at the Cabauw site are quite 496 uniform, with agricultural sources accounting for more than 60%, waste (mostly 497 landfills) around 20-40%, and fossil sources between 0 and 40%. We note that 498 significant contributions from fossil sources are only detected episodically, 499 during several events that usually last a few days. Contributions from other 500 source categories are generally negligible at the Cabauw site.

501

3.4. TM5 and FLEXPART-COSMO modeling including isotopes

The TM5 model calculates the combined influence of the global methane sources and sinks on CH₄ and δ^{13} C at the Cabauw tower, and therefore the TM5 results can be compared directly to the measured time series. For FLEXPART-COSMO, a representative background mole fraction and isotopic signature needs to be added for comparison with the observations. For simplicity we assumed a constant background similar to the observed values for background conditions: 1930 nmol/mol for χ (CH₄) with δ^{13} C = -47.1 ‰ and δ D = -86 ‰.

509 Fig. 5 shows a comparison of these model-generated time series with the 510 measured data for the entire campaign. Both models capture the amplitude and 511 the temporal variability of $\chi(CH_4)$ well. Most of the methane pollution events 512 observed at the CESAR site are also present in the modeled time series and the 513 increase in $\chi(CH_4)$ is of a comparable size. In addition, the results of the TM5 and 514 the FLEXPART-COSMO model for CH₄ mole fractions agree relatively well with 515 each other ($R^2=0.69$), in particular when both models are run with the same 516 inventory at the same coarse spatial resolution, i.e. with EDGAR/LPJ-WhyMe.

A few pronounced CH₄ events in Fig. 5 show larger differences between the models. On 2 November, FLEXPART-COSMO simulates an emission signal that is not captured by TM5. Unfortunately no measurements are available for this event to decide on which model performs better. On 30 November TM5 simulates a CH₄ plume, which is absent in FLEXPART-COSMO, and this event is also not supported by the measurements. The global model has the advantage 523 that it includes the influence of long-range transport. As expected, however, the 524 observed variability is predominantly influenced by local and regional emissions. Regarding the time series of the δ values, both TM5 and FLEXPART-COSMO 525 qualitatively display the expected anti-correlations between CH₄ and δ^{13} C. 526 However, the amplitude of the δ^{13} C variability is generally underestimated in the 527 528 model runs, especially when using the EDGAR inventory. In addition, the 529 modeled background level of δ^{13} C in TM5 is offset by up to 1 ‰, but this offset is 530 also present at clean background sites in the Northern hemisphere.

531 Using the TNO-MACC inventory in FLEXPART-COSMO results in better 532 agreement with the observed variability of δ^{13} C. In TM5, the TNO-MACC 533 emissions reduce the amplitude of the CH₄ variability, which is explained by the 534 13% lower emissions in TNO-MACC compared with EDGAR. Furthermore, the 535 results of both models are consistent with the emissions being more depleted in 536 δ^{13} C in TNO-MACC than in EDGAR. The measurements indicate emissions that 537 are even more depleted in δ^{13} C than TNO-MACC values. These results suggest that the fractional contribution of isotopically heavy fossil emissions is 538 539 overestimated in EDGAR, at least in the area sampled by Cabauw, although the 540 uncertainty in the assumed δ^{13} C source signatures could also contribute. For 541 instance, recent literature showed that landfill emissions from the UK are more 542 depleted in ¹³CH₄ due to the implementation of gas extraction systems (Zazzeri 543 et al., 2015).

The \deltaD time series simulated with FLEXPART-COSMO using the TNO-MACC 544 545 inventory is in good agreement with the measurements. This further indicates 546 that TNO-MACC has a realistic source mixture, but the uncertainties in the mean 547 δD signature are too large to draw firm conclusions at this stage. Despite these 548 uncertainties, Fig. 5 clearly demonstrates how isotopic measurements highlight 549 differences between emission inventories, which would go unnoticed looking 550 only at CH₄ mole fractions. Additional information may be available from the 551 combination of both isotope signatures. For several of the CH₄ elevation events 552 shown in Fig. 5b, the relative changes in δ^{13} C and δ D modeled with FLEXPART-553 COSMO vary when using the two different inventories (TNO-MACC and EDGAR). 554 Some of the anomalies show differences pointing in the same direction for $\delta^{13}C$

and δD , and some others not. This suggests that δD provides additional independent information, which will be discussed in more detail in Section 4.3 using a double isotope plot of the source signatures (Fig. 7). The benefit of the high-resolution dual isotope measurements for validating emissions used in the models will be investigated in Section 4.4.

560 4. Discussion

561

4.1. Diurnal and synoptic variability

A prominent feature of the high-resolution dataset is the pronounced diurnal variability, with large increases in CH₄ mole fraction that occur often during the night, due to the shallow planetary boundary layer. In addition, there are also several synoptic (but much smaller) pollution events, where CH₄ mole fractions stay above the unpolluted background level for several days. These elevations are likely caused by synoptic scale advection of CH₄ plumes from other source regions with a different source mix.

569

4.2. Isotope identification of the mean CH₄ source

570 In Fig. 6, the Keeling plot technique is applied to identify the mean isotopic 571 signatures (δ^{13} C, δ D) of the combined CH₄ emissions detected at the Cabauw site. 572 An orthogonal regression method was applied to determine the fit parameters. 573 This analysis yields well-defined mean isotopic signatures of the cumulative 574 source (the y-intercept of the regression analysis) of $\delta^{13}C = -(60.8 \pm 0.2) \%$ and 575 $\delta D = -(298 \pm 1)$ %. The inferred mean isotopic signature agrees well with 576 emission from ruminants, which are expected to be the main source of CH₄ in 577 this rural area. This is plausible, because the mean isotopic signature is largely 578 determined by the pronounced nighttime CH₄ elevations, which represent the 579 local emissions close to the tower. Also the source contributions modeled by 580 FLEXPART-COSMO suggest the dominant influence of agricultural emissions in 581 this rural area (Fig. 4). Interestingly, the mean isotopic signature for the much 582 smaller synoptic CH₄ variations of the background (red points in Fig. 6) is not significantly different from the one for the complete dataset. 583

584 **4.3. Short-term variability**

585 Given the high temporal resolution of the dataset presented here, the isotope 586 variations can be interpreted in much more detail than the overall analysis 587 performed above. This allows identifying varying contributions of CH₄ sources 588 during different periods of the campaign. To do so, we applied a 12-hour Moving 589 Keeling Plot (MKP) method to the data, as described in Sect 2.6.1.

590 Fig. 7 summarizes the results of the MKP method in the form of a δD vs. $\delta^{13}C$ plot. 591 To combine δ^{13} C and δ D measurements performed at different times, MKP 592 intercepts were averaged over 6 h intervals. Mean δ^{13} C signatures range between 593 -68 % and -55 % and mean δD signatures cover a relatively wide range 594 between -350 ‰ and -260 ‰, indicating emissions mainly from microbial 595 sources as derived from the cumulative Keeling plot analysis. During some 596 periods, however, elevated mean δ^{13} C and δ D signatures reveal significant 597 additional contributions from waste and/or fossil emissions.

598 The colored symbols in Fig. 7 highlight the mean isotopic signatures of three 48 h 599 events (10-12, 16-18 and 22-24 March) that are discussed in more detail in the 600 following. For the event of 16-18 March, selected results of the 12 h MKP method 601 are displayed in Fig. 7, demonstrating the advantage of the high temporal 602 resolution data. It is possible to clearly distinguish variations in the mean 603 isotopic signatures during this event by variations in the y-axis intercepts. The 604 increase by about 6 % for δ^{13} C and about 50 % for δ D, in the source isotopic 605 signature for this event, clearly indicates the gradually increasing contribution of 606 CH₄ from isotopically enriched sources, e.g. fossil fuel- or waste-related CH₄.

607 The temporal evolution of the observed source mixture is investigated in further 608 detail in Fig. 9, where the 16-18 March period (labeled as 2) is compared to two 609 other 48 h – periods (10-12 March; label 1, and 22-24 March; label 3), each with 610 significant diurnal CH₄ elevations. For event 1, the mean isotopic signatures 611 stayed rather constant at values around $\delta^{13}C = -63 \%$ and $\delta D = -320 \%$. These 612 values are typical for microbial emissions from an agricultural source and agree 613 well with the source contributions predicted for this period by the FLEXPART-614 COSMO model.

615 Period 2 is characterized by much stronger isotopic change within the 48 h 616 period. The δ^{13} C signature increases to above -60 ‰ and the δ D signature 617 increases to -240 % by the end of the period (see Fig. 9). The double-isotope 618 plot in Fig. 7 shows that the change in δ D during event 2b clearly points towards 619 fossil fuel sources, which provides independent support for the FLEXPART-620 COSMO simulations, where the contributions from fossil-fuel- derived emissions 621 are higher for the second day.

622 For period 3, the mean δ^{13} C isotopic signatures increased during the 48 h by 623 about 2-3 $\%_0$, whereas the δD signatures remained constant around -300 $\%_0$. For 624 this period, the double isotope plot of Fig. 7 indeed shows a shift towards the 625 waste category. Also this observation is independently confirmed (at least 626 qualitatively) by the FLEXPART-COSMO model derived source attribution, which 627 indicates the largest fraction of waste-derived CH₄ for the first day and a small 628 addition of fossil CH_4 for the second day of event 3. These examples show that 629 even at a location like Cabauw, where one source category strongly dominates, 630 contributions from isotopically different sources can be identified if sufficiently 631 high-resolution dual isotope ratio data are available. We note that the 632 "directional" information in the double isotope plot is only available by 633 combining $\delta^{13}C$ and δD measurements. It would be much harder, if not 634 impossible, to detect an addition from fossil fuel- or landfill- derived CH₄ based 635 on δ^{13} C or δ D data alone.

636 637

4.4. Evaluation of emission databases with high temporal resolution CH₄ isotope data

638 As described in Section 3.4, both the TM5 and the FLEXPART-COSMO model-639 generated time series of CH₄ mole fractions show an adequate agreement with 640 the CH₄ measurements at the Cabauw site. Therefore, the comparison between 641 measurement data and the models can be used to evaluate the methane budget 642 in more detail. In this context, the measured and modeled isotopic composition 643 can be employed to assess the validity of emission inventories, EDGAR and TNO-644 MACC, with respect to the magnitude and spatial distribution of source 645 categories. To compare the measured mean isotopic signatures to the model 646 results, the simulated isotope time series were linearly interpolated and 647 evaluated in the same way as the observations using the 12 h MKP method. This 648 analysis was performed for both models (TM5 and FLEXPART-COSMO), each 649 using both the EDGAR/LPJ-Why-Me and the TNO_MACC inventories.
650 Additionally, time series for the mean isotopic signatures were calculated
651 directly from FLEXPART-COSMO data, without using of the MKP method. This
652 direct method allowed an independent estimation of the mean isotopic
653 signatures and, thus, also provided an opportunity to evaluate the MKP method.

654 The statistics of the mean isotopic signatures from all four model-inventory 655 combinations are shown as histograms in Fig. 10, together with the 656 measurement-derived mean isotopic signatures and the directly derived 657 signatures from FLEXPART-COSMO modeling. A clear difference can be observed 658 between the mean isotopic signatures derived with the two different emission 659 inventories. Model runs with the EDGAR/LPJ-WhyMe emission inventory (red in 660 Fig. 10) tend to produce mean CH_4 isotopic signatures that are more enriched in 661 ¹³C and D than the model runs with TNO-MACC emissions. These differences are 662 very similar for the simulations using TM5 and FLEXPART-COSMO, suggesting 663 that differences originate from the emission inventories, rather than from 664 differences between the models themselves. The δ^{13} C source signatures derived 665 from the measurements at the Cabauw tower are significantly more depleted 666 than any of the model-generated datasets. For δD , the mean isotopic signatures 667 using TNO-MACC emissions are relatively close to the measurements at Cabauw, 668 whereas the values using EDGAR emissions are much more enriched in CH₃D.

669 The high temporal resolution isotope data that are described in this paper thus 670 provide relevant information to further constrain models and/or emission 671 inventories, because the mean isotopic signatures can change rapidly. The 672 comparison of our first high-resolution isotope measurements at Cabauw to 673 model calculations clearly identify differences between the modeled inventories, 674 where the EDGAR inventory produced too enriched mean isotopic signatures 675 due to a higher contribution from fossil fuel sources. Similar differences in terms 676 of source contributions between EDGAR and TNO-MACC_2 were also reported by 677 Hiller et al. (2014) for Switzerland, and Henne et al. (2015) concluded that 678 natural gas emissions in Switzerland are likely overestimated in EDGAR.

679 **5. Conclusions and outlook**

680 The dual isotopic composition of CH₄ has been monitored for the first time with 681 high temporal resolution in an extended (5 months) field deployment with two 682 different instruments, an IRMS system and a QCLAS system, at the tall tower site 683 Cabauw, the Netherlands. The measurements of both instruments compare well 684 and can be combined to a time series of more than 2500 measurements for both 685 δ^{13} C and δ D. Using a moving Keeling plot technique, the mean isotopic signatures 686 of periods with significant CH₄ elevations can be derived with high temporal resolution. The combination of δ^{13} C and δ D data provides strong constraints to 687 688 distinguish emissions from different source categories. Overall, CH₄ emissions at 689 the Cabauw tall tower are dominated by agricultural sources, but variations in 690 the mean isotopic signatures allow identification of events with increased 691 contributions from fossil fuel and waste sources, which can be used to validate 692 variations in the source mix, calculated using the FLEXPART-COSMO model.

693 The high-resolution isotope ratio measurements at Cabauw were compared to 694 model calculations that used two different emission inventories. When two very 695 different models (TM5 and FLEXPART-COSMO) used emissions from the EDGAR 696 inventory, they produced clearly too enriched mean isotopic signatures. The 697 modeled mean isotopic signatures were systematically more depleted and closer 698 to the measured ones when the TNO-MACC inventory was used. The differences 699 in the source signatures appear to originate from differences in the inventories 700 and not from differences in the models, which supports indications in the recent 701 literature that fossil fuel related emissions might be overestimated in EDGAR. We 702 note that measurements at Cabauw reflect only one limited region of the 703 European domain, and given the many degrees of freedom (transport, source 704 signatures used in the models, emission inventories), one single dataset is not 705 sufficient to make a final decision on the quality of the emission dataset. High 706 frequency analysis of δ^{13} C- and δD at several locations would allow better 707 constraints on isotope source signatures and emissions in atmospheric models. 708 Our proof-of-concept study presented here using continuous high-resolution 709 techniques shows that this will be feasible in the future.

710

711 Acknowledgements

712 This project was funded by the European Community's Seventh Framework 713 Program (FP7/2007-2013) within the InGOS project under grant agreement No. 714 284274. Additional funding from the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNSF) 715 within grant No. 200021_134611 and TNA grants within INGOS is gratefully 716 acknowledged. The campaign at the Cabauw tall tower was made possible with 717 strong support from Marcel Brinkenberg (KNMI), Michel Bolder and Henk 718 Snellen (IMAU). We also thank Marco Weber (Empa) for assistance during 719 transport and setup of the TREX-QCLAS system at the CESAR site.

720 Author contributions

721 S.E. and C.vdV. carried out the isotope measurements at the Cabauw tower. 722 C.vdV., T.R. and W.A.B. developed the IRMS system. S.E., B.T., L.E. and J.M. 723 developed the TREX-QCLAS system. C.vdV., S.E., J.M., T.R., B.T., M.E.P., G.Z., D.L., 724 E.G.N., and J.M.N. contributed to the Cabauw measurement campaign. G.M., S.H. 725 and D.B. performed the modeling with TM5 and FLEXPART-COSMO. S.E., T.R., 726 J.M., B.T., E.H., D.B., G.M., S.H., C.vdV., M.E.P. and H.F. performed and contributed 727 to the data evaluation. S.E. produced the figures for the manuscript. T.R., S.E. and 728 J.M. wrote the manuscript with input from C.vdV., G.M., S.H., E.H., D.B., H.F. and 729 L.E. T.R., L.E. and J.M. designed the study as part of the INGOS project.

730 **Table 1** European CH₄ emissions and isotope source signatures (δ^{13} C, δ D) for the

731 different source categories used in TM5.

Process	Yearly emissions (Europe, Tg CH4/yr)	source signature δ^{13} C/‰
Natural emissions	22.1	-59.2
Natural wetlands (1)		
Peatland	9.3	-68
Wet mineral soils	4.6	-65
Inundated wetlands	1.3	-60
Geological emissions (2)	6.5	-42
Termites (3)	0.4	-63
Anthropogenic emissions	45.3	-52.4
Biomass burning (4)	0,3	-23.6
Agriculture (5)		
Domestic ruminants	11	-64
Manure	3	-54
Rice paddies	0.17	-65
Energy sector (5)		
Coal mining	3.4	-47
Oil production	3	-42
Gas production and distribution	12	-42
Oil combustion	0.41	-32
Residential sector (5)	1.6	-32
Waste treatment (5)		
Landfills	9	-54
Waste waters	3	-50
Total	67.4	-54.6

732 (1) Spahni et al. (2011); (2) Etiope et al. (2008); (3) Sanderson et al. (1996); (4)

733 GFED3/4 (http://www.globalfiredata.org/); (5) EDGAR4.2FT (EDGAR, 2010).

735 **Table 2** SNAP (Standardized Nomenclature for Air Pollutants) source categories

736 and corresponding $\delta^{13}C$ and δD source signatures from the TNO-MACC_2

inventory as used in FLEXPART-COSMO.

SNAP Category	Description	δ^{13} C/‰	δD/‰
1	Energy industries, oil or gas production	-42	-175
2	Residential combustion	-32	-175
3+4	Industrial combustion and non- combustion processes	-60	-175
5	Extraction and distribution of fossil fuels including distribution of natural gas	-42	-175
7	Road transport	-20	-175
9	Waste including emissions from landfills	-54	-293
10	Agriculture including emissions from ruminants and manure management	-64	-319
6+8	Other emissions (negligible)	-42	-175

Table 3. Mean value and standard deviation of the histograms of the sourceisotopic composition shown in Fig. 10.

Model + Inventory	Method	δ ¹³ C/‰	δD/‰
Measurement data	МКР	-61.0 ± 2.8	-300 ± 22
TM5 + Edgar	МКР	-53.3 ± 1.1	
FLEXPART-COSMO + Edgar	МКР	-54.5 ± 1.6	-277 ± 10
FLEXPART-COSMO + Edgar	Direct	-53.4 ± 1.7	-269 ± 10
TM5 + TNO-MACC	МКР	-56.7 ± 0.8	
FLEXPART-COSMO + TNO-MACC	МКР	-57.6 ± 1.9	-294 ± 12
FLEXPART-COSMO + TNO-MACC	Direct	-57.2 ± 1.7	-289 ± 11

742 Figures



Fig. 1: Schematics of the pre-concentration and extraction system developed for
the IRMS technique. MFC denotes mass flow controller. The 8-port valve through
which the Ref air bottle was connected to the first selection valve is not shown to
reduce complexity. For further description see the main text.

- , 1,
- 748



Fig. 2.: CH₄ mole fraction, χ (CH₄), and isotopic composition (δ^{13} C, δ D) measured at the Cabauw tall tower from 17 October 2014 until 29 March 2015. Real-time measurements by IRMS (Utrecht University) are indicated in yellow, TREX-QCLAS (Empa) data in blue.



Fig. 2: Correlation diagrams for CH₄ mole fraction, δ^{13} C and δ D analyzed with 761 IRMS (Utrecht University) and TREX-QCLAS (Empa). The dashed black lines are 762 763 1:1 lines, dashed red lines mark the extended WMO compatibility goals of \pm 5 764 nmol/mol, \pm 0.2 ‰ and \pm 5 ‰ for CH₄ mole fraction, δ^{13} C and δ D, respectively. 765 The temporal difference between IRMS and TREX-QCLAS sampling is indicated by the point size (large: 20 min, medium: 40 min, small: 60 min). For δ^{13} C and δ D, 766 767 the differences in the CH₄ mole fraction of the measurements are represented by 768 the shading (black: identical mole fractions, white: 50 nmol/mol difference). 769



Fig. 3: Absolute (top) and relative (bottom) contributions of methane emissions 771 772 that are picked up along the 4-day FLEXPART-COSMO trajectories during the 773 campaign. The results shown are from the FLEXPART-COSMO simulations with 774 the TNO-MACC inventory. They indicate major contributions of the following 775 source categories: "agriculture" (mainly ruminants), "waste" (mainly landfills) 776 and "fossil" (fugitive losses from coal, oil and natural gas production and from 777 gas transportation and distribution) to the increase in CH₄ mole fractions at 778 Cabauw. The category "rest" primarily represents residential CH₄ emissions. 779



782 Fig. 4: Comparison of the modeled and measured time series of CH₄ mole fraction and isotopic composition (δ^{13} C- and δ D). Measurements are shown as circles and 783 784 model results as lines. Top graph: two selected model configurations for the 785 entire campaign: FLEXPART-COSMO using the TNO-MACC inventory (blue) and 786 TM5 using the Edgar/Why-Me inventory (red). Bottom graph: Time series for 787 March 2015 with all four model – inventory combinations. For δD , only the 788 synthetic FLEXPART-COSMO results are available for comparison since TM5 789 does not simulate δD .



Fig. 5: Keeling plot of all data using an orthogonal regression method. The dashed line indicates the regression line and the shaded area the confidence interval taking into account the measurement uncertainties. The color code indicates all measured data (grey points) and daily background values (red points). Left panels show the region near the y-axis intercept.

797



 δ^{13} C/‰ 799 Fig. 6: MKP intercepts of δD vs. $\delta^{13}C$. The colored areas indicate typical isotope 800 801 signatures for different source categories. Circles show the 6h-averaged source 802 signatures. Large colored symbols indicate data from the three events (event 1: 10th – 12th March, event 2: 16th – 18th March, event 3: 22nd to 24th March) that are 803 804 highlighted in Fig. 9. The labels a and b refer to day 1 and day 2 of the two-day 805 events, respectively. For the source signatures, the δ^{13} C values are taken from 806 Table 1 and the δD values from recent literature (Snover et al., 2000; Rigby et al., 807 2012).



Fig. 7: Keeling plots for the period between 16 and 18 March, illustrating a rapid change in δ values over the course of hours, which is most probably related to a change from mainly ruminant derived CH₄ to a significant contribution of fossil and/or waste CH₄. The dashed lines indicate the regression line, the shaded areas show the uncertainty (one standard deviation) of the regression line. Left panels show the region near the y-axis intercept. Times indicated are Central European Time (CET).



Fig. 8: Detailed analysis of three 2-day periods with large CH₄ elevations in March 2015. The top panel exhibits CH₄ mole fraction (grey) with background values in red (10:00-18:00, >2100 nmol/mol). The middle panels show the mean isotopic signatures (δ^{13} C, δ D) derived with the 12-h MKP method. The color-coding in the middle panels (red, light blue, purple) indicates characteristic contributions from different sources; red-microbial, light blue-fossil, purple-waste. For consistency, the same color-coding was chosen in Fig. 7. The bottom graph presents CH₄ source contributions as computed with the FLEXPART-COSMO model using the TNO-MACC inventory, averaged over 24 hours.



Fig. 10: Histograms of CH₄ isotope source signatures at the CESAR site between October 2014 and March 2015. Bin widths are 1 % for δ^{13} C and 10 % for δ D. Mean isotopic signatures are derived from measured data (grey bins), FLEXPART-COSMO modeling (squares) as well as TM5 modeling (circles) using the 12 h MKP method. Two different inventories, TNO-MACC (blue) and

Edgar/LPJ-Why-Me (red), were used. The shaded areas show histograms for the
"direct" source signatures that were picked up along the FLEXPART-COSMO

- 849 trajectory (right axis).
- 850 References

Baertschi, P.: Absolute 18O content of Standard Mean Ocean Water, Earth
Planet. Sci. Lett., 31, 341-344, 1976.

Baldauf, M., Seifert, A., Förstner, J., Majewski, D., Raschendorfer, M., and
Reinhardt, T.: Operational Convective-Scale Numerical Weather Prediction with the
COSMO Model: Description and Sensitivities, Monthly Weather Review, 139, 3887–
3905, doi:3810.1175/MWR-D-3810-05013.05011, 2011.

Beck, V., Chen, H. L., Gerbig, C., Bergamaschi, P., Bruhwiler, L., Houweling, S.,
Röckmann, T., Kolle, O., Steinbach, J., Koch, T., Sapart, C. J., van der Veen, C.,
Frankenberg, C., Andreae, M. O., Artaxo, P., Longo, K. M., and Wofsy, S. C.:
Methane airborne measurements and comparison to global models during BARCA, J.
Geophys. Res., 117, D15310, doi:15310.11029/12011JD017345, 2012.

Bergamaschi, P., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., Hahn, M., Röckmann, T., Scharffe,
D. H., Crutzen, P. J., Elansky, N. F., Belikov, I. B., Trivett, N. B. A., and Worthy, D.
E. J.: Isotope analysis based source identification for atmospheric CH₄ and CO across
Russia using the Trans-Siberian railroad, J. Geophys. Res., 103, D7, 8227-8235, DOI:
8210.1029/8297JD03738, 1998a.

Bergamaschi, P., Houweling, S., Segers, A., Krol, M., Frankenberg, C.,
Scheepmaker, R. A., Dlugokencky, E., Wofsy, S. C., Kort, E. A., Sweeney, C.,
Schuck, T., Brenninkmeijer, C., Chen, H., Beck, V., and Gerbig, C.: Atmospheric
CH₄ in the first decade of the 21st century: Inverse modeling analysis using
SCIAMACHY satellite retrievals and NOAA surface measurements, J Geophys ResAtmos, 118, 7350–7369, doi:7310.1002/jgrd.50480, 2013.

873 Bergamaschi, P., Lubina, C., Konigstedt, R., Fischer, H., Veltkamp, A. C., and 874 Zwaagstra, O.: Stable isotopic signatures (δ^{13} C, δ D) of methane from European 875 landfill sites, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 8251-8265, doi 8210.1029/8298jd00105, 1998b.

876 Bergamaschi, P., Schupp, M., and Harris, G. W.: High-precision direct 877 measurements of ${}^{13}CH_4/{}^{12}CH_4$ and $CH_3D/{}^{12}CH_4$ ratios in atmospheric methane 878 sources by means of a long-path tunable diode laser absorbtion spectrometer, Appl. 879 Opt., 33, No.33, 7704-7716, 1994.

Bock, M., Schmitt, J., Behrens, M., Moller, L., Schneider, R., Sapart, C., and
Fischer, H.: A gas chromatography/pyrolysis/isotope ratio mass spectrometry system
for high-precision δD measurements of atmospheric methane extracted from ice cores,
Rap. Commun. Mass Spectrom., 24, 621-633, 2010.

Brass, M. and Röckmann, T.: Continuous-flow isotope ratio mass spectrometry
method for carbon and hydrogen isotope measurements on atmospheric methane,
Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 1707-1721, 2010.

Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., Lowe, D. C., Manning, M. R., Sparks, R. J., and Velthoven, P. F. J. v.: The 13 C, 14 C, and 18 O isotopic composition of CO, CH₄ and CO₂ in the higher southern latitudes lower stratosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 26,163-126,172, 1995. Bruhwiler, L., Dlugokencky, E., Masarie, K., Ishizawa, M., Andrews, A., Miller,
J., Sweeney, C., Tans, P., and Worthy, D.: CarbonTracker-CH₄: an assimilation
system for estimating emissions of atmospheric methane, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14,
8269-8293, 2014.

Craig, H.: Isotopic standards for carbon and oxygen and correction factors for
mass-spectrometric analysis of carbon dioxide, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 12, 133149, 1957.

B98 Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., and al., e.: The
B99 ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation
system, Quart. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 553-579, 2011.

Dlugokencky, E. J., Bruhwiler, L., White, J. W. C., Emmons, L. K., Novelli, P.
C., Montzka, S. A., Masarie, K. A., Lang, P. M., Crotwell, A. M., Miller, J. B., and
Gatti, L. V.: Observational constraints on recent increases in the atmospheric CH₄
burden, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L18803, doi 18810.11029/12009gl039780, 2009.

Dlugokencky, E. J., Dutton, E. G., Novelli, P. C., Tans, P. P., Masarie, K. A.,
Lantz, K. O., and Madronich, S.: Changes in CH₄ and CO growth rates after the
eruption of Mt. Pinatubo and their link with changes in tropical tropospheric UV flux,
Geophys. Res. Lett., 23, 2761-2764, 1996.

Dlugokencky, E. J., Masarie, K. A., Lang, P. M., and Tans, P. P.: Continuing
decline in the growth rate of the atmospheric methane burden, Nature, 393, 447-450,
1998.

Dlugokencky, E. J., Myers, R. C., Lang, P. M., Masarie, K. A., Crotwell, A. M.,
Thoning, K. W., Hall, B. D., Elkins, J. W., and Steele, L. P.: Conversion of NOAA
atmospheric dry air CH₄ mole fractions to a gravimetrically prepared standard scale, J.
Geophys. Res., 110, D18306, Doi 18310.11029/12005jd006035, 2005.

916 EDGAR: European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC)/Netherlands 917 Environmental Assessment Agency (PBL). , Emission Database for Global 918 Atmospheric Research (EDGAR), Version 4.2. 2010. Available at . 919 http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu., 2010.

920 EDGAR: European Commission, Joint Research Centre (JRC)/Netherlands
921 Environmental Assessment Agency (PBL). Emission Database for Global
922 Atmospheric Research (EDGAR), release version 4.2.
923 <u>http://edgar.jrc.ec.europe.eu.</u>, 2009.

Etheridge, D. M., Steele, L. P., Francey, R. J., and Langenfelds, R. L.:
Atmospheric methane between 1000 AD and present: Evidence of antropogenic
emissions and climatic variability, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 15979-15993, 1998.

Etiope, G., Lassey, K. R., Klusman, R. W., and Boschi, E.: Reappraisal of the
fossil methane budget and related emission from geologic sources, Geophys. Res.
Lett., 35, 2008.

930 Eyer, S. and al, e.: Real-time analysis of δ^{13} C- and δ D-CH₄ in ambient air with 931 laser spectroscopy: Method development and first intercomparison results., Atmos. 932 Meas. Tech. Discuss., 8, 8925-8970, 2015.

Eyer, S., Stadie, N. P., Borgschulte, A., Emmenegger, L., and Mohn, J.: Methane
preconcentration by adsorption: a methodology for materials and conditions selection,
Adsorption-Journal of the International Adsorption Society, 20, 657-666, 2014.

Ferretti, D., Miller, J., White, J., Etheridge, D., Lassey, K., Lowe, D., Allan, B.,
MacFarling, C., Dreier, M., Trudinger, C., and Ommen, T. v.: Unexpected changes to
the global methane budget over the past 2000 years, Science, 309, 1714-1717, 2005.

Fischer, H., Behrens, M., Bock, M., Richter, U., Schmitt, J., Loulergue, L.,
Chappellaz, J., Spahni, R., Blunier, T., Leuenberger, M., and Stocker, T. F.: Changing
boreal methane sources and constant biomass burning during the last termination,
Nature, 452, 864-867, 2008.

Gros, V., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., Jöckel, P., Kaiser, J., Lowry, D., Nisbet, E.
G., O'Brian, P., Röckmann, T., and Warwick, N.: Isotope signatures of trace gas sources. In: Emissions Of Atmospheric Trace Compounds, Granier, C., Artaxo, P.,
and Reeves, C. E. (Eds.), Advances in Global Change Research, Kluwer Academic Pub., Paris, 2004.

Henne, S., Brunner, D., Oney, B., Leuenberger, M., Eugster, W., Bamberger, I.,
Meinhardt, F., Steinbacher, M., and Emmenegger, L.: Validation of the Swiss
methane emission inventory by atmospheric observations and inverse modelling,
Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 2015. 35417-35484, doi:35410.35194/acpd-3541535417-32015, 2015.

Hiller, R. V., Bretscher, D., DelSontro, T., Diem, T., Eugster, W., Henneberger,
R., Hobi, S., Hodson, E., Imer, D., Kreuzer, M., Künzle, T., Merbold, L., Niklaus, P.
A., Rihm, B., Schellenberger, A., Schroth, M. H., Schubert, C. J., Siegrist, H., Stieger,
J., Buchmann, N., and Brunner, D.: Anthropogenic and natural methane fluxes in
Switzerland synthesized within a spatially explicit inventory, Biogeosciences, 11,
1941-1959, doi:1910.5194/bg-1911-1941-2014, 2014.

Houweling, S., Krol, M., Bergamaschi, P., Frankenberg, C., Dlugokencky, E. J.,
Morino, I., Notholt, J., Sherlock, V., Wunch, D., Beck, V., Gerbig, C., Chen, H., Kort,
E. A., Röckmann, T., and Aben, I.: A multi-year methane inversion using
SCIAMACHY, accounting for systematic errors using TCCON measurements,
Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 3991-4012, 2014.

Houweling, S., van der Werf, G. R., Goldewijk, K. K., Röckmann, T., and Aben,
I.: Early anthropogenic CH₄ emissions and the variation of CH₄ and ¹³CH₄ over the
last millennium, Global Biogeochem Cy, 22, 2008.

Kawagucci, S., Kobayashi, M., Hattori, S., Yamada, K., Ueno, Y., Takai, K., and
Yoshida, N.: Hydrogen isotope systematics among H₂-H₂O-CH₄ during the growth of
the hydrogenotrophic methanogen Methanothermobacter thermautotrophicus strain
Delta H, Geochim Cosmochim Ac, 142, 601-614, 2014.

Keeling, C. D.: The Concentration and Isotopic Abundances of Carbon Dioxidein Rural and Marine Air, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 24, 277-298, 1961.

Khalil, M. A. K., Butenhoff, C. L., and Rasmussen, R. A.: Atmospheric methane:
Trends and cycles of sources and sinks, Environmental Science & Technology, 41,
2131-2137, 2007.

976 Kirschke, S., Bousquet, P., Ciais, P., Saunois, M., Canadell, J. G., Dlugokencky, 977 E. J., Bergamaschi, P., Bergmann, D., Blake, D. R., Bruhwiler, L., Cameron-Smith, 978 P., Castaldi, S., Chevallier, F., Feng, L., Fraser, A., Heimann, M., Hodson, E. L., 979 Houweling, S., Josse, B., Fraser, P. J., Krummel, P. B., Lamarque, J. F., Langenfelds, 980 R. L., Le Quere, C., Naik, V., O'Doherty, S., Palmer, P. I., Pison, I., Plummer, D., Poulter, B., Prinn, R. G., Rigby, M., Ringeval, B., Santini, M., Schmidt, M., Shindell, 981 982 D. T., Simpson, I. J., Spahni, R., Steele, L. P., Strode, S. A., Sudo, K., Szopa, S., van 983 der Werf, G. R., Voulgarakis, A., van Weele, M., Weiss, R. F., Williams, J. E., and

- Zeng, G.: Three decades of global methane sources and sinks, Nat Geosci, 6, 813-823,2013.
- Klevenhusen, F., Bernasconi, S. M., Kreuzer, M., and Soliva, C. R.: Experimental
 validation of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change default values for
 ruminant-derived methane and its carbon-isotope signature, Anim Prod Sci, 50, 159167, 2010.
- Krol, M., Houweling, S., Bregman, B., van den Broek, M., Segers, A., van
 Velthoven, P., Peters, W., Dentener, F., and Bergamaschi, P.: The two-way nested
 global chemistry-transport zoom model TM5: algorithm and applications, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 5, 417-432, 2005.
- Kuenen, J. J. P., Visschedijk, A. J. H., Jozwicka, M., and van der Gon, H. A. C.
 D.: TNO-MACC_II emission inventory; a multi-year (2003-2009) consistent highresolution European emission inventory for air quality modelling, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 14, 10963-10976, 2014.
- Lassey, K. R., Lowe, D. C., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., and Gomez, A. J.:
 Atmospheric Methane and its Carbon Isotopes in the Southern Hemnisphere: their
 Time Series and an Instructive Model, Chemosphere, 26, 95-109, 1993.
- 1001 Lassey, K. R., Lowe, D. C., and Manning, M. R.: The trend in atmospheric 1002 methane δ^{13} C implications for isotopic constraints on the global methane budget, 1003 Global Biogeochem Cy, 14, 41-49, 2000.
- Loulergue, L., Schilt, A., Spahni, R., Masson-Delmotte, V., Blunier, T., Lemieux,
 B., Barnola, J. M., Raynaud, D., Stocker, T. F., and Chappellaz, J.: Orbital and
 millennial-scale features of atmospheric CH₄ over the past 800,000 years, Nature,
 453, 383-386, 2008.
- Lowe, D. C., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., Brailsford, G. W., Lassey, K. R., Gomez,
 A. J., and Nisbet, E. G.: Concentration and ¹³C Records of Atmospheric Methane in
 New-Zealand and Antarctica Evidence for Changes in Methane Sources, J. Geophys.
 Res., 99, 16913-16925, 1994.
- 1012 MacFarling Meure, C., Etheridge, D., Trudinger, C., Steele, P., Langenfelds, R., 1013 Ommen, T. v., Smith, A., and Elkins, J.: Law Dome CO_2 , CH_4 and N_2O ice core 1014 records extended to 2000 years BP Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L14810, 1015 doi:14810.11029/12006GL026152 2006.
- Merritt, D. A., Brand, W. A., and Hayes, J. M.: Isotope-ratio-monitoring gas
 chromatography-mass spectrometry: methods for isotopic calibration, Org. Geochem.,
 21 No. 6/7, 573-583, 1994.
- Merritt, D. A., Hayes, J. M., and Des Marais, D. J.: Carbon isotopic analysis of
 atmospheric methane by isotope-ratio-monitoring gas chromatography-mass
 spectrometry, J. Geophys. Res., 100 D, 1317-1326, 1995.
- Mohn, J., Guggenheim, C., Tuzson, B., Vollmer, M. K., Toyoda, S., Yoshida, N.,
 and Emmenegger, L.: A liquid nitrogen-free preconcentration unit for measurements
 of ambient N2O isotopomers by QCLAS, Atmos Meas Tech, 3, 609-618, 2010.
- Mohn, J., Tuzson, B., Manninen, A., Yoshida, N., Toyoda, S., Brand, W. A., and
 Emmenegger, L.: Site selective real-time measurements of atmospheric N₂O
 isotopomers by laser spectroscopy, Atmos Meas Tech, 5, 1601-1609, 2012.
- Monteil, G., Houweling, S., Dlugockenky, E. J., Maenhout, G., Vaughn, B. H.,
 White, J. W. C., and Röckmann, T.: Interpreting methane variations in the past two

decades using measurements of CH₄ mixing ratio and isotopic composition, Atmos.
Chem. Phys., 11, 9141-9153, 2011.

1032 Monteil, G., Houweling, S., Guerlet, S., Schepers, D., Frankenberg, C., 1033 Scheepmaker, R., Aben, I., Butz, A., Hasekamp, O., Landgraf, J., Wofsy, S. C., and 1034 Röckmann, T.: Intercomparison of 15 months inversions of GOSAT and 1035 SCIAMACHY retrievals. J. Geophys. CH4 Res. 118. 11807-11823. 1036 doi:11810.11002/12013JD019760, 2013.

- 1037 Nisbet, E. G., Dlugokencky, E. J., and Bousquet, P.: Methane on the rise—again,
 1038 Science, 343, 493-495, 2014.
- Pataki, D. E., Ehleringer, J. R., Flanagan, L. B., Yakir, D., Bowling, D. R., Still,
 C. J., Buchmann, N., Kaplan, J. O., and Berry, J. A.: The application and
 interpretation of Keeling plots in terrestrial carbon cycle research, Global
 Biogeochem Cy, 17, 1022, doi:1010.1029/2001GB001850, 2003.
- Peltola, O., Hensen, A., Helfter, C., Marchesini, L. B., Bosveld, F. C., van den
 Bulk, W. C. M., Elbers, J. A., Haapanala, S., Holst, J., Laurila, T., Lindroth, A.,
 Nemitz, E., Röckmann, T., Vermeulen, A. T., and Mammarella, I.: Evaluating the
 performance of commonly used gas analysers for methane eddy covariance flux
 measurements: the InGOS inter-comparison field experiment, Biogeosciences, 11,
 3163-3186, 2014.
- Peltola, O., Hensen, A., Marchesini, L. B., Helfter, C., Bosveld, F. C., van den
 Bulk, W. C. M., Haapanala, S., van Huissteden, J., Laurila, T., Lindroth, A., Nemitz,
 E., Röckmann, T., Vermeulen, A. T., and Mammarella, I.: Studying the spatial
 variability of methane flux with five eddy covariance towers of varying height,
 Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 214, 456-472, 2015.
- 1054 Quay, P., Stutsman, J., Wilbur, D., Snover, A., Dlugokencky, E., and Brown, T.:
 1055 The isotopic composition of atmospheric methane, Global Biogeochem Cy, 13, 4451056 461, 1999.
- 1057 Rasmussen, R. A. and Khalil, M. A. K.: Atmospheric Methane (CH₄) Trends 1058 and Seasonal Cycles, J. Geophys. Res., 86, 9826-9832, 1981.
- Rigby, M., Manning, A. J., and Prinn, R. G.: The value of high-frequency, highprecision methane isotopologue measurements for source and sink estimation, J.
 Geophys. Res., 117, 2012.
- 1062 Röckmann, T., Brass, M., Borchers, R., and Engel, A.: The isotopic composition
 1063 of methane in the stratosphere: High-altitude balloon sample measurements, Atm.
 1064 Chem. Phys., 11, 13287-13304, 2011.
- 1065 Sanderson, M. G.: Biomass of termites and their emissions of methane and 1066 carbon dioxide: A global database, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 10, 543–557, 1996.
- Sapart, C. J., Monteil, G., Prokopiou, M., van de Wal, R. S. W., Kaplan, J. O.,
 Sperlich, P., Krumhardt, K. M., van der Veen, C., Houweling, S., Krol, M. C.,
 Blunier, T., Sowers, T., Martinerie, P., Witrant, E., Dahl-Jensen, D., and Röckmann,
 T.: Natural and anthropogenic variations in methane sources during the past two
 millennia, Nature, 490, 85-88, 2012.
- Sapart, C. J., Veen, C. v. d., Vigano, I., Brass, M., Wal, R. S. W. v. d., Bock, M.,
 Fischer, H., Sowers, T., Buizert, C., Sperlich, P., Blunier, T., Behrens, M., Schmitt, J.,
 Seth, B., and Röckmann, T.: Simultaneous stable isotope analysis of methane and
 nitrous oxide on ice core samples, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 2607-2618, 2011.

Saueressig, G., Bergamaschi, P., Crowley, J. N., Fischer, H., and Harris, G. W.:
D/H kinetic isotope effect in the reaction CH₄ + Cl, Geophys. Res. Lett., 23, 36193622, 1996.

1079 Saueressig, G., Crowley, J. N., Bergamaschi, P., Brühl, C., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. 1080 M., and Fischer, H.: Carbon 13 and D kinetic isotope effects in the reactions of CH_4 1081 with $O(^1D)$ and OH: New laboratory measurements and their implications for the 1082 isotopic composition of stratospheric methane, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 23127-23138, 1083 2001.

Schmitt, J., Seth, B., Bock, M., van der Veen, C., Möller, L., Sapart, C. J.,
Prokopiou, M., Sowers, T., Röckmann, T., and Fischer, H.: On the interference of Kr
during carbon isotope analysis of methane using continuous-flow combustion-isotope
ratio mass spectrometry, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 1425-1445, 2013.

1088 Seibert, P. and Frank, A.: Source-receptor matrix calculation with a Lagrangian 1089 particle dispersion model in backward mode, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 51-63, 2004.

1090 Snover, A. K. and Quay, P. D.: Hydrogen and carbon kinetic isotope effects
1091 during soil uptake of atmospheric methane, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 14, 25-39,
2000.

Spahni, R., Chappellaz, J., Stocker, T. F., Loulergue, L., Hausammann, G.,
Kawamura, K., Flückiger, J., Schwander, J., Raynaud, D., Masson-Delmotte, V., and
Jouzel, J.: Atmospheric Methane and Nitrous Oxide of the Late Pleistocene from
Antarctic Ice Cores, Science, 310, 1317-1321, DOI: 1310.1126/science.1120132,
2005.

Spahni, R., Wania, R., Neef, L., Weele, M. v., Pison, I., Bousquet, P.,
Frankenberg, C., Foster, P. N., Joos, F., Prentice, I. C., and Velthoven, P. v.:
Constraining global methane emissions and uptake by ecosystems, Biogeosciences, 8,
1643–1665, doi:1610.5194/bg-1648-1643-2011., 2011.

1102 Sperlich, P., Buizert, C., Jenk, T. M., Sapart, C. J., Prokopiou, M., Rockmann, T., 1103 and Blunier, T.: An automated GC-C-GC-IRMS setup to measure palaeoatmospheric 1104 δ^{13} C-CH₄, δ^{15} N-N₂O and δ^{18} O-N₂O in one ice core sample, Atmos Meas Tech, 6, 1105 2027-2041, 2013.

Sperlich, P., Uitslag, N. A. M., Richter, J. M., Rothe, M., Geilmann, H., Veen, C.
v., Röckmann, T., Blunier, T., and Brand, W. A.: Development and evaluation of a
suite of isotope reference gases for methane in air, submitted to Atmos. Meas. Tech.
Disc., 2016. 2016.

Stohl, A., Forster, C., Frank, A., Seibert, P., and Wotawa, G.: Technical note: The
Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART version 6.2, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
5, 2461-2474, 2005.

1113 Sturm, P., Tuzson, B., Henne, S., and Emmenegger, L.: Tracking isotopic 1114 signatures of CO₂ at the high altitude site Jungfraujoch with laser spectroscopy: 1115 analytical improvements and representative results, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 1659-1116 1671, 2013.

Tarasova, O. A., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., Assonov, S. S., Elansky, N. F.,
Röckmann, T., and Brass, M.: Atmospheric CH₄ along the Trans-Siberian railroad
(TROICA) and river Ob: Source identification using stable isotope analysis, Atmos.
Environ., 40, 5617-5628, 2006.

1121 Tuzson, B., Henne, S., Brunner, D., Steinbacher, M., Mohn, J., Buchmann, B., 1122 and Emmenegger, L.: Continuous isotopic composition measurements of tropospheric 1123 CO2 at Jungfraujoch (3580 m a.s.l.), Switzerland: real-time observation of regional 1124 pollution events, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 1685-1696, 2011.

1125 Tuzson, B., Mohn, J., Zeeman, M. J., Werner, R. A., Eugster, W., Zahniser, M. 1126 S., Nelson, D. D., McManus, J. B., and Emmenegger, L.: High precision and 1127 continuous field measurements of δ^{13} C and δ^{18} O in carbon dioxide with a cryogen-1128 free QCLAS, Appl. Phys. B-Lasers and Optics, 92, 451-458, 2008.

Umezawa, T., Aoki, S., Nakazawa, T., and Morimoto, S.: A High-precision
Measurement System for Carbon and Hydrogen Isotopic Ratios of Atmospheric
Methane and Its Application to Air Samples Collected in the Western Pacific Region,
Journal of the Meteorological Society of Japan, 87, 365-379, 2009.

1133 Umezawa, T., Machida, T., Aoki, S., and Nakazawa, T.: Contributions of natural 1134 and anthropogenic sources to atmospheric methane variations over western Siberia 1135 estimated from its carbon and hydrogen isotopes, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 26, 1136 2012a.

Umezawa, T., Machida, T., Ishijima, K., Matsueda, H., Sawa, Y., Patra, P. K.,
Aoki, S., and Nakazawa, T.: Carbon and hydrogen isotopic ratios of atmospheric
methane in the upper troposphere over the Western Pacific, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12,
8095-8113, 2012b.

1141 Vermeulen, A. T., Hensen, A., Popa, M. E., van den Bulk, W. C. M., and
1142 Jongejan, P. A. C.: Greenhouse gas observations from Cabauw Tall Tower (19921143 2010), Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 617-644, 2011.

Wächter, H., Mohn, J., Tuzson, B., Emmenegger, L., and Sigrist, M. W.:
Determination of N₂O isotopomers with quantum cascade laser based absorption
spectroscopy, Optics Express, 16, 9239-9244, 2008.

WMO: 17th WMO/IAEA Meeting on Carbon Dioxide, Other Greenhouse Gases,
and Related Measurement Techniques (GGMT-2013) 10-13 June 2013, GAW Report
No. 213,, World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, Beijing, China,
2014.

Wolf, B., Merbold, L., Decock, C., Tuzson, B., Harris, E., Six, J., Emmenegger,
L., and Mohn, J.: First on-line isotopic characterization of N₂O above intensively
managed grassland, Biogeosciences, 12, 2517-2531, 2015.

Yamada, K., Ozaki, Y., Nakagawa, F., Tanaka, M., and Yoshida, N.: An
improved method for measurement of the hydrogen isotope ratio of atmospheric
methane and its application to a Japanese urban atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 37,
1975-1982, 2003.

Zazzeri, G., Lowry, D., Fisher, R. E., France, J. L., Lanoiselle, M., and Nisbet, E.
G.: Plume mapping and isotopic characterisation of anthropogenic methane sources,
Atmos. Environ., 110, 151-162, 2015.