Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2016-581-RC2, 2016 © Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.





Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Integrated studies of a regional ozone pollution synthetically affected by subtropical high and typhoon system in the Yangtze River Delta region, China" by Lei Shu et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 8 October 2016

This study discussed the combined effects of two synoptic systems on O3 pollution in eastern China city clusters. Subtropical high was identified as the main cause of O3 episode, which was also influenced by a typhoon system. The case study was meaningful and to some extend representative of the typical O3 episodes in summer of eastern and southern China. However, many scientific and technical problems must be addressed before this paper is reconsidered to be accepted. Scientific problems: 1. Both VOCs and NOx are key precursors of O3, the authors only mentioned and analyzed the patterns of NO2 and CO. It was not enough to explain the spatial characteristics of O3 pollution. Even so, the authors did not well establish the possible rela-

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



tionships between O3 and NO2 and CO. Then, why to show them in Table 2. Suggest to add more O3 precursors and deepen the discussions. 2. Page 17, lines 410-413, the explanation to biases of O3 and NO2 were not convincing. The first sentence was meaningless. Although the second sentence described a common sense, it was not responsible for the discrepancies between simulation and observation. Note that the real conditions of O3 photochemistry are also non-linear. 3. Throughout the paper, the authors did not say why to select these four cities? Particularly for Wuxi, it was not a provincial capital or an extremely polluted city according to the information the authors provided. 4. Why were the modellings of wind speed and direction not validated with the observation data. They are closely related to the transport processes. 5. The northwest movement of high O3 centers from 10 to 12 Aug. was not obvious. On the basis of Fig.6, the center located at around 34N, 34N and 33N on these three consecutive days. Clarify and revise the discussions accordingly. 6. What was meant when vertical diffusion was used? How to explain diffusion process aggravated O3 pollution? Whether it was accurate to use vertical diffusion if the downward air flow transported high O3 to the surface. 7. Page 23, lines 541-549, evidences need to be provided to confirm whether NJ was influenced by typhoon. 8. In the section of process analyses, the observed and simulated weather conditions in terms of spatial and temporal patterns should be provided to aid the analyses. 9. Page 25, lines 586-591, why see-land breeze contributed positively to land O3 and negatively to oceanic O3? More supporting information are needed, such as the distribution map of O3 and wind fields. 10. Subtropical high is a common weather system dominating East Asia region in summer. Why did it only cause O3 episode in this period? Whether the effect was strengthened by the typhoon? On one hand, in the typhoon periphery, the strong downward flow stimulates O3 formation and suppresses air pollutants diffusion. On the other hand, had typhoon brought dirty air to the region from inland cities? Overall, the process analyses need to be more comprehensive. During the episode, when the subtropical high dominated and when typhoon dominated? Their combined effect was promotion or offset? Technical problems: 1. Too many grammatical errors in this paper, e.g. page

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



1, line 15 "is detected", line 16, "exceeding", "reaches", line 22 "abnormal strong", line 25 "worse air pollution". I cannot list all of them. Strongly suggest to correct the errors with the aid of a professional language correcting company. 2. Define the abbreviations at their first appearances, e.g. WRF-CMAQ. 3. Page 4, line94, what is the knowledge gap? 4. Past tense was suggested for the Introduction section, except for the common senses. 5. Website references needed to be added for the citations of meteorological and air pollutants data. 6. Page 9, lines 236-238, the function of water vapor and the evidence for its more abundance in Shanghai. 7. Page 17, line 395, WRF/CHEM or WRF-CMAQ? 8. Fig. 6, the legends need to be provided. Positive wind speeds with solid lines mean downward air flow? 9. Conclusion needs to be reorganized after the revision of the whole paper.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2016-581, 2016.

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

