Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2016-570-RC2, 2016 © Author(s) 2016. CC-BY 3.0 License.



## **ACPD**

Interactive comment

## Interactive comment on "Alteration of the microphysical properties of black carbon through transport in the boundary layer in East Asia" by Takuma Miyakawa et al.

**G R McMeeking (Referee)** 

gavin.mcmeeking@handix.com

Received and published: 10 September 2016

The authors present a one month case study examining measurements of black carbon properties at a remote island site, using co-located measurements of CO and sub-micron aerosol composition and reanalysis data to evaluate precipitation impacts on the observed properties. The manuscript focuses on contrasting observed properties during periods with differing accumulated precipitation along backward trajectories. The paper is well prepared and well organized and the subject is well within the topic area for ACP. There are several areas where minor revisions are needed, however, before the paper can be recommended for publication.

I agree with the points raised by Reviewer #1, so have tried to not repeat too much

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



of what has been already raised. The comments should be addressed in a revised manuscript. In addition:

- + Given the focus of the manuscript, the introduction would benefit from a more thorough discussion of the various BC removal mechanisms, with more mechanistic details given as to why various processes may or may not be important in the study area. Distinction should be made between in-cloud processes (nucleation scavenging versus scavenging by pre-existing droplets), below-cloud (washout) and dry deposition.
- + Two points regarding reported SP2-measured BC number/mass distributions. First, the manuscript needs to make it more clear when BC core versus shell diameters are being discussed, especially when linking the observations to theory. For example, while it is true we would expect larger particles to be removed in air masses heavily impacted by precipitation, the effects on BC core distributions will be confounded by other material mixed with the cores. Related to this, the diameter range for which the optical sizing of the BC particles should be provided in the methods section. Second, small changes in the detection efficiency of the SP2 at its lower limit due to changes in cavity laser power can look like changes in BC core number distribution. A short statement regarding any checks on cavity laser power or other approaches used to ensure consistent behavior at lower size limits for the instrument would be useful.
- + Potentially useful additional information provided by the ACSM is being ignored by examining only sulfate. Is there a reason for this?

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2016-570, 2016.

## **ACPD**

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

