1	
2	
3	Biomass Burning Aerosols and the Low Visibility Events in Southeast Asia
4	
5	Hsiang-He Lee ^{1@} , Rotem Z Bar-Or ² , and Chien Wang ^{1,2}
6	
7	¹ Center for Environmental Sensing and Modeling, Singapore-MIT Alliance for Research
8	and Technology, Singapore
9	² Center for Global Change Science, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge,
10	MA, U.S.A.
11	
12	
13	
14	Submitted to
15 16	Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics
17	
18 19	June 14, 2016
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Corresponding author address: Dr. Hsiang-He Lee, 1 CREATE Way, #09-03 CREATE
20 27	1 ower, Singapore, 138602 E-mail: baiang ba@smart mit adu
27 28	E-man. Islang-ne@smart.init.euu
29	

30 Abstract

31 Fires including peatland burning in Southeast Asia have become a major concern to 32 the general public as well as governments in the region. This is because aerosols emitted 33 from such fires can cause persistent haze events under certain weather conditions in 34 downwind locations, degrading visibility and causing human health issues. In order to 35 improve our understanding of the spatial-temporal coverage and influence of biomass 36 burning aerosols in Southeast Asia, we have used surface visibility and particulate matter 37 concentration observations, supplemented by decadal long (2003 to 2014) simulations 38 using the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model with a fire aerosol module, 39 driven by high-resolution biomass burning emission inventories. We find that in the past 40 decade, fire aerosols are responsible for nearly all the events with very low visibility (< 41 7km). Fire aerosols alone are also responsible for a substantial fraction of the low 42 visibility events (visibility < 10 km) in the major metropolitan areas of Southeast Asia: 43 up to 39% in Bangkok, 36% in Kuala Lumpur, and 34% in Singapore. Biomass burning 44 in mainland Southeast Asia account for the largest contribution to total fire-produced 45 PM_{2.5} in Bangkok (99%), while biomass burning in Sumatra is a major contributor to fireproduced PM_{2.5} in Kuala Lumpur (50%) and Singapore (41%). To examine the general 46 47 situation across the region, we have further defined and derived a new integrated metric 48 for 50 cities of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN): i.e., the Haze 49 Exposure Days (HEDs) that measures the annual exposure days of these cities to low 50 visibility (< 10 km) caused by particulate matter pollution. It is shown that HEDs have 51 increased steadily in the past decade across cities with both high and low populations. 52 Fire events alone are found to be responsible for up to about half of the total HEDs. Our result suggests that in order to improve the overall air quality in Southeast Asia,
mitigation policies targeting both biomass burning and fossil fuel burning sources need to
be implemented.

56 1 Introduction

57 In recent decades, biomass burning has become frequent and widely spread across 58 mainland Southeast Asia and the islands of Sumatra and Borneo (Langner et al., 2007; 59 Carlson et al., 2012; Page et al., 2002; van der Werf et al., 2010). Abundant aerosols 60 emitted from such fires cause haze events to occur in downwind locations such as 61 Singapore (Koe et al., 2001; Heil et al., 2007; See et al., 2006), degrading visibility and 62 threatening human health (Emmanuel, 2000; Kunii et al., 2002; Johnston et al., 2012; 63 Mauderly and Chow, 2008). Besides causing air quality issues, the fire aerosols contain 64 rich carbonaceous compounds such as black carbon (BC) (Fujii et al., 2014) and thus can 65 reduce sunlight through both absorption and scattering. Indirect effects of fire aerosols 66 are even more complicated due to various cloud types and meteorological conditions in 67 the Maritime Continent (MC) (Sekiguchi et al., 2003; Lin et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2013).

68 The majority of present day fires in Southeast Asia occur due to human interference 69 such as land clearing for oil palm plantations, other causes of deforestation, poor peatland 70 management, and burning of agriculture waste (Dennis et al., 2005; Marlier et al., 2015a). 71 Certain policies and regulations, such as those regarding migration, also affect the 72 occurrence of burning events. Large fires have occurred since the 1960s in Sumatra; 73 however, the first fire event in Kalimantan happened in the 1980s (Field et al., 2009). 74 Based on economic incentives and population growth in Southeast Asia, future land-use 75 management will play an important role in determining the occurrence of fires across the 76 region (Carlson et al., 2012; Marlier et al., 2015b).

Besides human interventions, meteorological factors can also influence fire
initiation, intensity, and duration (Reid et al., 2012; Reid et al., 2015). Of particular

79 importance is rainfall. Reid et al. (2012) investigated relationships between fire hotspot 80 appearance and various climate variabilities as well as meteorological phenomena in different temporal scales over the MC, including: (1) the El Nino and Southern 81 82 Oscillation (ENSO) (Rasmusson and Wallace, 1983; McBride et al., 2003) and the Indian 83 Ocean Dipole (IOD) (Saji et al., 1999); (2) seasonal migration of the Inter-tropical 84 Convergence Zone (ITCZ) and associated Southeast Asia monsoons (Chang et al., 2005); 85 (3) intra-seasonal variability associated with the Madden-Julian Oscillation (MJO) 86 (Madden and Julian, 1971; Zhang, 2005) and the west Sumatran low (Wu and Hsu, 87 2009); (4) equatorial waves, mesoscale features, and tropical cyclones; and (5) 88 convection. One interesting finding is that the influence of these factors on fire events 89 varies over different parts of the MC. For example, the fire signal in one part of 90 Kalimantan is strongly related to both the monsoons and ENSO. In contrast, fire activity 91 in Central Sumatra is not closely tied to the monsoons and ENSO but MJO.

92 Climate variability of meteorological phenomena affects not only biomass burning 93 emissions but also transport of fire aerosols (Reid et al., 2012). The seasonal migration 94 of the ITCZ and the associated monsoonal circulation dominate seasonal wind flows, 95 whereas sea breezes, tropical cyclones, and topography determine air flow on smaller 96 spatial and temporal scales – all these phenomena play significant roles in determining 97 the transport pathway of fire aerosols (Wang et al., 2013). For example, during the 98 intense haze episode of June 2013, a long lasting event with a "very unhealthy" air 99 pollution level in Singapore, was actually caused by enhanced fire aerosol transport from 100 Sumatra to West Malaysia owing to a tropical cyclone located in South China Sea. 101 Recently, using a global chemistry transport model combined with a back-trajectory

tracer model, Reddington et al. (2014) attempted to attribute particulate pollution in
Singapore to different burning sites in surrounding regions over a short time period of 5
years. The coarse 2.8-degree resolution model used in the study, however, has left many
open questions.

106 In this study, we aim to examine and quantify the impact of fire aerosols on the 107 visibility and air quality of Southeast Asia over the past decade. Analyses of 108 observational data and comprehensive regional model results have both been performed 109 in order to improve our understanding of this issue. We firstly describe methodologies 110 adopted in the study, followed by the results and findings from our assessment of the fire 111 aerosol on the degradation of visibility in several selected cities and also over the whole 112 Southeast Asia. We then discuss the sensitivity of our findings to the use of different 113 meteorological datasets as well as fire emission inventories. The last section summarizes 114 and concludes our work.

115 2 Methodology

116 **2.1** The model

In this study, we have used the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) model coupled with a chemistry component (WRF-Chem) version 3.6 (Grell et al., 2005). Our focus in this study is on the fire aerosol life cycle. Therefore, we chose to use WRF-Chem with a modified chemical tracer module instead of a full chemistry package, to thus model the fire $PM_{2.5}$ particles as tracers without involving much more complicated gaseous and aqueous chemical processing calculations but dry and wet depositions. Emissions of other chemical species were excluded in the simulations. This 124 configuration lowers the computational burden substantially, and thus allows us to 125 conduct long model integrations to determine the contributions of fire aerosol to the 126 degradation of visibility in the region over the past decade. In WRF-Chem, the sinks of 127 PM_{25} particles include dry deposition and wet scavenging calculated at every time step. 128 The simulations are employed within a model domain with a horizontal resolution of 36 129 km, including 432×148 horizontal grid points (Fig. 1), and 31 vertically staggered layers 130 that are stretched to have a higher resolution near the surface (an average depth of ~ 30 m 131 in the first model half layer) based on a terrain-following pressure coordinate system. 132 The time step is 180 seconds for advection and physics calculation. The physics schemes 133 included in the simulations are listed in Table 1. The initial and boundary meteorological 134 conditions are taken from reanalysis meteorological data. In order to examine the 135 potential influence of different reanalysis products on simulation results, we have used 136 two such datasets: (1) the National Center for Environment Prediction FiNaL (NCEP-137 FNL) reanalysis data (National Centers for Environmental Prediction, 2000), which has a 138 spatial resolution of 1 degree and a temporal resolution of 6 hours; and (2) ERA-Interim, 139 which is a global atmospheric reanalysis from European Centre for Medium-Range 140 Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) (European Centre for Medium-Range Weather, 2009), 141 providing 6-hourly atmospheric fields on sixty pressure levels from surface to 0.1 hPa 142 with a horizontal resolution of approximately 80 km. Sea surface temperature is updated 143 every 6 hours in both NCEP-FNL and ERA-Interim. All simulations used four-144 dimensional data assimilation (FDDA) to nudge NCEP-FNL or ERA-Interim 145 temperature, water vapor, and zonal as well as meridional wind speeds above the

planetary boundary layer (PBL). This approach has been shown to provide realistictemperature, moisture, and wind fields in a long simulation (Stauffer and Seaman, 1994).

148 Two biomass burning emission inventories are also used in this study to investigate 149 the sensitivity of modeled fire aerosol concentration to different emission estimates. The 150 first emission inventory is the Fire INventory from NCAR version 1.5 (FINNv1.5) 151 (Wiedinmyer et al., 2011), which classifies burnings of extra tropical forest, tropical 152 forest (including peatland), savanna, and grassland. It is used in this study to provide 153 daily, 36 km resolution PM_{2.5} emissions. The second emission inventory is the Global 154 Fire Emission Database version 4.1 with small fires included (GFEDv4.1s) (van der Werf 155 et al., 2010; Randerson et al., 2012; Giglio et al., 2013). GFEDv4.1s provides PM_{2.5} 156 emissions with the same spatiotemporal resolution as FINNv1.5.

157 A plume rise algorithm for fire emissions was implemented in WRF-Chem by Grell 158 et al. (2011) to estimate fire injection height. This algorithm, however, often derives an 159 injection height for tropical peat fire that is too high compared to the estimated value 160 based on remote sensing retrievals (Tosca et al., 2011). Therefore, we have limited the 161 plume injection height of peat fire by a ceiling of 700 m above the ground in this study 162 based on Tosca et al. (2011). The vertical distribution of emitted aerosols is calculated 163 using the plume model. This modification has clearly improved the modeled surface 164 PM_{2.5} concentration when compared to observations in Singapore.

In order to distinguish the spatial-temporal coverage and influence of biomass burning aerosols from different regions in Southeast Asia and nearby northern Australia, we have created five tracers to represent fire aerosols respectively from mainland Southeast Asia (s1), Sumatra and Java islands (s2), Borneo (s3), the rest of the Maritime

169 Continent (s4), and northern Australia (s5) as illustrated in Fig. 1. The major fire season 170 in mainland Southeast Asia (s1) is from February to April. In the other four regions (s2-171 s5), it is from August to October.

172 Generally speaking, there is a strong correlation between the seasonal variation of 173 fire emissions and that of rainfall in all fire regions as shown in Fig. 2. Because mainland 174 Southeast Asia (s1) and northern Australia (s5) are on the edge of the seasonal migration 175 of the ITCZ, the correlation in these two regions is even more pronounced. On the other 176 hand, in Sumatra (s2), Borneo (s3) and the rest of Maritime Continent (s4), while inter-177 seasonal variations of rainfall and fire emissions are still correlated with each other in 178 general, however, fire emissions do exist in some raining seasons (Fig. 2b - d), owing to 179 the precipitation features in multiple scales over these regions (e.g., the passage of MJO 180 events) and underground peatland burning.

181 **2.2** Numerical simulations and model evaluation

Our simulations cover a time period slightly longer than a decade from 2003 to 2014 based on available biomass burning emission estimates. The simulation of each year started on 1 November of the previous year and lasted for 14 months. The first two months were used for spin-up.

Three sets of decadal long simulations have been conducted. The first simulation used NCEP-FNL reanalysis data and the FINNv1.5 fire emission inventory. This simulation is hereafter referred to as FNL_FINN and is discussed as the base simulation. In order to examine the influence of different meteorological inputs on fire aerosol life cycle, the second simulation was conducted using the same FINNv1.5 fire emission inventory as in FNL FINN but different reanalysis dataset, the ERA-Interim, and is

referred to as ERA_FINN. In addition, to investigate the variability of fire aerosol concentration brought by the use of different estimates of fire emissions, the third simulation, FNL_GFED, was driven by the same NCEP-FNL meteorological input as in FNL_FINN but with a different fire emission inventory, the GFEDv4.1s. Note that the simulation period from 2003 to 2014 of all these simulations was solely decided based on the temporal coverage of GFEDv4.1s.

198 Precipitation and wind are two key factors in determining the transport and 199 scavenging of fire aerosols. They are also the variables we use to evaluate the model's 200 performance in simulating meteorological features. The WRF simulation driven by 201 NCEP-FNL reanalysis data, the FNL FINN run, produced a monthly mean precipitation of 6.80 ± 0.55 mm day⁻¹ over the modeled domain for the period from 2003 to 2014, very 202 close to the value of 6.30 ± 0.43 mm day⁻¹ produced in another simulation driven by ERA-203 204 Interim, the ERA FINN run. However, the average rainfall in both runs appears to be higher than the monthly mean of 4.71 ± 0.37 mm day⁻¹ from the satellite-retrieved 205 206 precipitation of the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) 3B43 (V7) dataset 207 (Huffman et al., 2007). Based on the sensitivity tests for FDDA grid nudging, the wet 208 bias in both experiments mainly comes from water vapor nudging. Figure S1a – c are the 209 Hovmöller plots of daily TRMM, FNL FINN, and ERA FINN precipitation in 2006, 210 respectively. Compared to the satellite-retrieved data, both FNL FINN and ERA FINN 211 have produced more light rain events, and this appears to be the reason behind the model 212 precipitation bias. Despite the model overestimate in average total precipitation, the 213 temporal correlation of monthly rainfall between FNL FINN and TRMM is 0.68 and the 214 spatial correlation is 0.85 during 2003-2014 (Table 2). For ERA FINN, the temporal 215 correlation with TRMM is 0.90, while the spatial correlation is 0.85. In the summer 216 monsoon season (i.e., May, June and July), both runs show the highest temporal 217 correlations with observation but the lowest in the spatial correlations. The comparisons 218 show that simulated rainfall generally agrees with the observation in space and time, 219 especially when ERA-Interim reanalysis is used (i.e., in ERA FINN).

220 The representative wind pattern in Southeast Asia is the monsoon wind flow. In the 221 winter monsoon season (i.e., February, March and April), mean surface winds are from 222 northeast in the Northern Hemisphere and turn to the northwesterly once past the Equator 223 (Fig. S2a). On the other hand, the wind directions are reversed in the summer monsoon 224 season (i.e., August, September and October) (Fig. S2b). We use the wind data from 225 NCEP-FNL and ERA-Interim reanalysis to evaluate model simulated winds. We find 226 that both runs overestimated the u component (stronger easterly) in South China Sea (Fig. 227 S3a and c) in the winter monsoon season, and overestimated the v component (stronger 228 southerly) in Java Sea in the summer monsoon season (Fig. S3b and d). These regions 229 are the entrances of monsoon wind flow into the MC. In general, model has well 230 captured the general wind flows in Southeast Asia during both monsoon seasons but overestimated about 1 m sec⁻¹ in wind speed in some regions likely due to terrain effect 231 232 and model resolution limitation.

233

2.3 Observational data and model derivation of visibility

234 The definition of "visibility" is the farthest distance at which one can see a large, 235 black object against a bright background at the horizon (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). 236 There are several factors determining visibility, but here we mainly consider the

absorption and scattering of light by gases and aerosol particles, excluding fog or mistydays. In this study, the visibility is calculated by using the *Koschmeider equation*:

239

$$VIS = 3.912 / b_{ext},$$
 (1)

240 where VIS is visibility with a unit in meter and b_{ext} is the extinction coefficient with a unit of m⁻¹. Excluding fog, visibility degradation is most readily observed from the impact of 241 242 particulate pollution. Based on Eq. (1), a maximum visibility under an absolutely dry and 243 pollution-free air is about 296 km owing to Rayleigh scattering, while a visibility in the 244 order of 10 km is considered under a moderate to heavy air pollution by particulate 245 matter (Visscher, 2013). Abnormal and persistent low visibility situations are also 246 referred to as "haze" events. Air pollution sources such as fossil fuel burning, can cause 247 low visibility and haze events to occur. Similarly, fire aerosols, alone or mixed with other particulate pollutants, can degrade visibility by increasing bext and lead to 248 249 occurrence of haze events too.

The observational data of visibility from the Global Surface Summary of the Day (GSOD) (Smith et al., 2011) are used in our study to identify days under particulate pollution, i.e., haze events. The GSOD is derived from the Integrated Surface Hourly (ISH) dataset and archived at the National Climatic Data Center (NCDC). The daily visibility in the dataset is available from 1973 to the present.

The observed visibility is also used to evaluate the modeled visibility and thus $PM_{2.5}$ concentration. The modeled visibility is derived based on the extinction coefficient of the fire aerosols as a function of particle size, by assuming a log-normal size distribution of accumulation mode with a standard deviation $\sigma = 2$ (Kim et al., 2008). Note that all these calculations are done for the wavelength of 550 nm unless otherwise indicated. As fire plumes contain both sulfur compounds and carbonaceous aerosols, we assume the fire aerosols are aged internal mixtures with black carbon as the core and sulfate as the shell (Kim et al., 2008). To make the calculated visibility of the fire aerosols better match the reality, we have also considered hydroscopic growth of sulfate fraction of these mixed particles in the calculation based on the modeled relative humidity (*RH*). Based on Kiehl et al. (2000), the hydroscopic growth factor (*rhf*) is given by

266
$$rhf = 1.0 + exp \left(a_1 + \frac{a_2}{RH + a_3} + \frac{a_4}{RH + a_5}\right), \tag{2}$$

where a_1 to a_5 are fitting coefficients given by 0.5532, -0.1034, -1.05, -1.957, 0.3406, respectively. The radius increase of wet particle (r_{wel}) due to hydroscopic growth will be

$$r_{wet} = r_{dry}^{rhf},$$
(3)

270 where r_{dry} is the radius of dry particle in micron.

271 As mentioned above, a visibility of 10 km is considered an indicator for a moderate 272 to heavy particulate pollution. Hence a visibility of 10km in observation is used as the 273 threshold for defining the "low visibility day (VLD)" in our study. We firstly derived the 274 observed low visibility days in every year for a given city using the GSOD visibility data. 275 Then, we derived the modeled low visibility days following the same procedure but using 276 modeled visibility data that were only influenced by fire aerosols. Both the observed and 277 modeled visibilities were then used to define the fraction of low visibility days that can 278 be caused by fire aerosols alone. It is assumed that whenever fire aerosol *alone* could 279 cause a low visibility day to occur, such a day would be attributed to fire aerosol caused 280 LVD, regardless of whether other coexisting pollutants would have a sufficient intensity 281 to cause low visibility or not. In addition to the LVD, we have also used a daily visibility 282 of 7 km as the criterion to define the observed "very low visibility day (VLVD)". Such heavy haze events in the region are generally caused by severe fire aerosol pollution, thuswe use their occurrence specifically to evaluate the model performance.

285

2.4 The "Haze Exposure Day (HED)"

We have derived a metric, the Haze Exposure Day (HED), to measure the exposure of the whole Southeast Asia, represented by 50 cities of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN), to low visibility events. HED can be defined in a population weighted format for the analyzed 50 cities, indicating the relative exposure of the populations in these cities to the low visibility events caused by particulate pollution:

291
$$HED_{pw} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{pw}(i),$$
 (4)

where,

293
$$C_{pw}(i) = pop(i) \cdot C(i) / \sum_{i=1}^{N} pop(i), \qquad (5)$$

is the population-weighted fraction of the total Haze Exposure Days, *N* equals to the total number of cities (50), *i* is the index for the 50 analyzed cities, pop(i) is the population for a given city (Table S1), and *C(i)* represents the annual LVDs for that city calculated from the GSOD dataset. Note that we assume that the population of each city stays constant throughout the analyzed period. Another assumption of HED_{pw} is that everyone in a given city would be equally exposed to the particulate pollution.

In addition, HED can be also defined in an arithmetic mean format, assuming each city weights equally regardless of its population. Its value hence emphasizes on the relative exposure of each area within the analyzed region:

$$HED_{ar} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} C(i)/N.$$
(6)

Both HED_{pw} and HED_{ar} can be also calculated using fire-caused LVDs to define the absolute and relative contributions of fire aerosols to the total low visibility events in the region. We will label the fire-caused HED as *fHED*_{pw} and *fHED*_{ar} thereafter.

307 3 Assessment of the impact of fire aerosols on the visibility in Southeast Asia

308 **3.1** Impact of fire aerosols on the visibility in four selected cities

We first to focus our analysis on four selected cities in the region, Bangkok (Thailand), Kuala Lumpur (Malaysia), Singapore (Singapore), and Kuching (Malaysia), all located close to the major fire sites ranging from the mainland to the islands of Southeast Asia. Specifically, Bangkok is a smoke receptor city of the fire events in mainland of Southeast Asia (s1) while Kuala Lumpur and Singapore are two cities frequently under the influence of Sumatra (s2) as well as Borneo fires (s3). Kuching is in the coastal area of Borneo and directly affected by Borneo fire events (s3).

316 The surface observational data of $PM_{2,5}$ concentration among these four cities are 317 only available in Singapore since 2013 from the National Environment Agency (NEA) of 318 Singapore. We thus firstly used these data along with visibility data to evaluate model's 319 performance for fire-caused haze events reported in Singapore during 2013-2014 (Fig. 3). 320 Note that the observed PM_{2.5} level reflects the influences of both fire and non-fire 321 aerosols, whereas the modeled PM_{2.5} only includes the impact of fire aerosols. We find 322 that the model still predicted clearly high $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations during most of the 323 observed haze events, especially in June 2013, and in spring and fall seasons of 2014 324 (highlighted green areas), though with underestimates in particle concentration of up to 325 30-50%, likely due to the model's exclusion of non-fire aerosols, coarse model 326 resolution, overestimated rainfall, or errors in the emission inventory. Figure 4 shows 327 observed visibility versus modeled visibility in FNL FINN during the fire events shown 328 in Fig. 3. Note that all these events have an observed visibility lower than or equal to 10 329 km, or can be identified as LVDs. In capturing these fire-caused haze events, the model 330 only missed about 22% of them, or reporting a visibility larger than 10 km in 40 out of 331 185 observed LVDs as marked with purple color in Fig. 4. When observed visibility is 332 between 7 and 10 km, model results appear to align with observations rather well. For 333 cases with visibility lower than 7 km, the model captured all the events (by reporting a 334 visibility lower than 10 km, or LVD) although often overestimated the visibility range. 335 These results imply that the VLVDs only count a very small fraction in LVDs and thus 336 are episodic events. It is very likely that the size of concentrated fire plumes in VLVDs 337 might be constantly smaller than the 36 km model resolution; therefore, the model results 338 could not reach the peak values of PM_{2.5} concentrations of these plumes.

339 Furthermore, the LVDs in the four selected near-fire-site cities during the fire 340 seasons from 2003 to 2014 have been identified using the daily GSOD visibility database 341 and then compared with modeled results (Fig. 5). It is difficult to identify all the fire 342 caused haze events beyond Singapore even in recent years. However, in Southeast Asia, 343 severe haze events equivalent to the VLVDs in visibility degradation are known to be 344 largely caused by fire aerosol pollution. Therefore, we used the observed VLVDs in the 345 four selected cities to evaluate the performance of the model. We find that the modeled 346 result displays a good performance in capturing VLVDs despite an overestimate in 347 visibility range during certain events compared with the observation. The model in 348 general only missed about 10% or fewer VLVDs observed in the past decade (Table 3;

Fig. 5). In addition, the model has reasonably captured the observed LVDs despite certain biases (Fig. 5), likely due to the fact that fire aerosol might not be the only reason responsible for the degradation of visibility during many LVDs.

352 We find that the annual mean LVDs in Bangkok has increased from 47% (172 days) 353 in the first 5-year period of the simulation duration (2003-2007) to 74% (272 days) in the 354 last 5-year period (2010-2014). The LVDs caused by fire aerosols has increased as well 355 (Fig. 6a). Overall, fire aerosols are responsible for more than one third of these LVDs 356 (i.e., 39% in average; Table 3). The largest source of fire aerosols affecting Bangkok is 357 burning of agriculture waste and other biomass in s1 during the dry season of spring (Fig. 358 7a; Table 4). During the fire season, abundant fire aerosols degrade visibility and even 359 cause VLVDs to occur, mainly from December to April (Fig. 6e). Based on our model 360 results, 87% of VLVDs can be identified as fire caused.

361 In Kuala Lumpur, the percentage of LVDs also gradually increases since 2006 to 362 reach a peak in 2011 and again in 2014 (Fig. 6b). During 2005-2010 the frequency of 363 total LVDs have increased 10-15% each year, mainly attributing to the pollution sources 364 other than fires. However, fire-caused LVDs become more evident after 2009. Seasonal 365 wise, there are two peaks of fire aerosol influence, one in February-March and another in 366 August (Fig. 6f), corresponding to the trans-boundary transport of fire aerosols from 367 mainland Southeast Asia (s1) in the winter monsoon season and from Sumatra (s2) in the 368 summer monsoon season, respectively (Fig. 7b). Three quarter of VLVDs occurred in 369 the summer monsoon season due to Sumatra fires. Note that in November and December 370 the percentage of LVDs is over 50% and dominated by pollutants other than fire aerosols. These non-fire aerosols come from either local sources or the areas further inland riding 371

on the winter monsoon circulation. Overall, fire pollution is responsible for 36%, a
substantial fraction of total low visibility events in Kuala Lumpur during 2003-2014
(Table 3).

375 The percentage of LVDs in Singapore has been rapidly increasing since 2012 (Fig. 376 6c). During the simulation period, this increase appears to be mostly from anthropogenic 377 pollution other than fires, especially in 2012 and 2013. In monthly variation, similar to 378 Kuala Lumpur, two peaks of fire aerosol influence appear in February-March and in 379 September-October, respectively (Fig. 6g). In February and March, the trans-boundary 380 transport of fire aerosols come from mainland Southeast Asia (s1), while in the summer 381 monsoon season fire aerosols come from both Sumatra (s2) and Borneo (s3) (Fig. 7c). 382 Except for the severe haze events in June 2013, VLVDs basically occur in September and 383 October (i.e., 92%) due to both Sumatra and Borneo fires. In general, up to 34% of 384 LVDs in Singapore are caused by fire aerosols based on the FNL FINN simulation and 385 the rest by local and long-range transported pollutants (Table 3). Nevertheless, fire 386 aerosol is still the major reason for the episodic severe haze conditions.

Because of its geographic location, Kuching is affected heavily by local fire events during the fire season (Fig. 7d). Fire aerosols can often degrade the visibility to below 7 km and even reaching 2 km (Fig. 5d). The LVDs mainly occur in August and September during the fire season (Fig. 6d and h). The frequency of LVDs in Kuching is similar to Singapore; however, 25% of those LVDs are considered to be VLVDs in Kuching while only 4% are in Singapore in comparison (Table 3).

393 **3.2** Impact of fire aerosols on the visibility over the whole Southeast Asia

Air quality degradation caused by fires apparently occurs in regions beyond the above-analyzed four cities. To examine such degradation over the whole Southeast Asia, we have extended our analysis to cover 50 cities of the ASEAN. The impact of particulate pollution on the whole Southeast Asia is measured by the "Haze Exposure Day" (HED) as defined in Section 2.5. The top four among the 50 cities that made the largest contributions to the HED_{pw} are Jakarta, Bangkok, Hanoi, and Yangon (Fig. 8a), with population ranking of 1, 2, 4, and 5, respectively (Table S1).

401 We find that both HED_{pw} and HED_{ar} increase rather steadily over the past decade 402 (Fig. 8b), demonstrating that the exposure to haze events either weighted by population 403 or not has become worse in the region. Generally speaking, the fire aerosols are 404 responsible for up to 40-60% of the total exposure to low visibility across the region. In 405 both measures, the increase of fire-caused HED (2.64 and 3.37 days per year for 406 population-weighted and arithmetic mean, respectively) is similar to that of overall HED 407 (2.61 and 3.59 days per year for population-weighted and arithmetic mean, respectively) 408 (Fig. 8b), suggesting that fire aerosol has taken the major role in causing the degradation 409 of air quality in Southeast Asia compared to the non-fire particulate pollution. The result 410 that HED_{pw} is higher than HED_{ar} in most of the years indicates that the particulate 411 pollution is on average worse over more populous cities than the others. Interestingly, 412 the discrepancy of these two variables, however, has become smaller in recent years and 413 even reversed in 2014, implying an equally worsening of haze event occurrence across 414 from the smaller to bigger cities in terms of population in the region. The reason behind 415 this could be a wider spread of fire events in the region, causing acute haze events in 416 cities even with relatively low populations. Regarding the increase of fire-caused HED, 417 because biomass burning, especially peatland burning, usually occurs in the rural areas, 418 higher fire emissions would extend low visibility conditions to a larger area regardless of 419 its population. On the other hand, due to industrialization, urbanization, and other factors 420 such as population growth, air pollution has become worse across the region so even 421 cities with lower populations now increasingly suffer from low visibility from fossil fuel 422 burning and other sources of particulate pollution. Therefore, the mitigation of air quality 423 degradation needs to consider both fire and non-fire sources.

424 **3.3** The influence of wind and precipitation on fire aerosol life cycle

Seasonal migrations of the ITCZ and associated summer and winter monsoons dominate seasonal wind flows that drive fire aerosol transport. Additionally, as discussed previously, certain small-scale or short-term phenomena such as sea breezes, typhoons, and topography-forced circulations also play important roles in distributing fire aerosols. Nevertheless, we focus our discussion here on the former.

430 From February to April is the main fire season in mainland Southeast Asia (s1). In 431 the FNL FINN simulation, the seasonal mean concentration of PM_{2.5} within the PBL can exceed 20 µg m⁻³ in this region (note that the air quality standard suggested by World 432 Health Origination is 10 μ g m⁻³ for annual mean and 25 μ g m⁻³ for 24-h mean). During 433 434 this fire season, the most common wind direction is from northeast to southwest across the region (Fig. 9a). Fire aerosol plumes with concentrations higher than $0.1 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ can 435 436 be transported westward as far as 7000 km from the burning sites. In contrast, February 437 to April is not the typical burning season in the islands. Low fire emissions in 438 combination with a lack of long-range transport of fire aerosols from the mainland due to 439 the seasonal circulation result in a low $PM_{2.5}$ level over these regions (Fig. 9b - d).

440 Wet scavenging is a major factor determining the lifetime and thus abundance of 441 suspended fire aerosols in the air. The effect of wet scavenging of fire aerosols is 442 reflected from the wet scavenging time calculated using the modeled results, which is a 443 ratio of the aerosol mass concentration to the scavenging rate (a function of precipitation 444 rate). Thus, short scavenging times often indicate high scavenging rates except for the 445 sites with extremely low aerosol concentration. During February-April, at the ITCZ's 446 furthest southern extent, the short scavenging time < 1 day around 10°S shows a quick 447 removal of fire aerosols by heavy precipitation, preventing the southward transport of 448 aerosols (Fig. 9f). On the other hand, the long scavenging time (> 5 days) in the Western 449 Pacific warm pool, South China Sea, the Indochina peninsula, Bay of Bengal, and 450 Arabian Sea leads to a long suspending time of aerosols transported to these regions. 451 During the same season, over the islands of Sumatra and Borneo, the abundance of fire 452 aerosols, either emitted locally or trans-boundary transported, are greatly limited by the 453 high scavenging rate (short scavenging time) over these regions (Fig. 9g and h). The 454 South China Sea has little precipitation during this time period; therefore, fire aerosols 455 from the northern part of the Philippines can be transported to this region and stay longer 456 than 5 days (Fig. 9i).

The months of August to October, when the ITCZ reaches its furthest northern extent, mark the major fire season of Sumatra, Borneo, and some other islands in the MC (Fig. S5b - d). Australia fires also mainly occur in this season (Fig. S5e). Mean wind flows are from southeast to northwest in the Southern Hemisphere, and turn to the

northeast direction once past the Equator. Within the MC the seasonal variation of 461 462 rainfall is small during this time, with heavy precipitation and thus short scavenging 463 times (< 3 days) existing along the MJO path (Fig. S5f - i) (Wu and Hsu, 2009). The 464 high scavenging rate in the regions close to the fire sites in the islands shortens the transport distance of fire aerosol plumes with $PM_{2.5}$ concentration > 0.1 µg m⁻³ to less 465 466 than 3000 km (Fig. S5b - d). Long scavenging times (> 5 days) exist in the Banda Sea 467 and northern Australia due to the ITCZ location. Fire aerosols from Java (s2) (Fig. S5g), 468 Papua New Guinea (s4) (Fig. S5i), and northern Australia (s5) (Fig. S5j) can thus be 469 suspended in the air for a relatively long time over these regions.

470 The above-discussed seasonal features of precipitation and aerosol scavenging rate 471 help us to better understand the variability of haze occurrence and also to identify the 472 major source regions of fire aerosols influencing selected Southeast Asian cities (Fig. 7). 473 For example, the geographic location of Bangkok, which is inside the s1 emission region, 474 determines that nearly all the fire aerosols (99%) are from sources within the region from 475 December to April (Fig. 7a and Table 4). Fire aerosols from all the other burning sites 476 stay at very low levels even during the burning seasons there due to circulation and precipitation scavenging. For Kuala Lumpur and Singapore, over 90% of the fire 477 478 aerosols reaching both cities come from mainland Southeast Asia (s1) in January-April 479 due to the dominant winter monsoon circulation. During May-October, however, the 480 major sources of fire aerosols shift to Sumatra (s2) and Borneo (s3) aided by northward 481 wind (Fig. S5b and c). The monthly variations of PM_{2.5} concentration in Kuala Lumpur 482 and Singapore also have a largely similar pattern (Fig. 7b and d). The annual mean 483 contribution of different emission regions in Kuala Lumpur are 43% from mainland 484 Southeast Asia (s1), 50% from Sumatra (s2), 4% from Borneo (s3), 3% from the rest of 485 Maritime Continent (s4), and 0.3% from northern Australia (s5) in FINL FINN (Table 486 4). Similar to Kuala Lumpur, there are two peak seasons of the monthly low visibility 487 days contributed by fire aerosols in Singapore (Fig. 6g), well correlated with modeled 488 high fire PM_{2.5} concentration (Fig. 7c). The low visibility days in March and April 489 mainly are caused by fire aerosols from mainland Southeast Asia (s1) under southward 490 wind pattern (Fig. 9a), and those in May to October are affected by Sumatra (s2) first in 491 May to June, and then by both s2 and s3 (Borneo) during August to October due to north-492 or northwest-ward monsoonal circulation (Fig. S5b and c; also Table 4). Kuching, 493 similar to Bangkok, is strongly affected by local fire aerosols (s3) during the fire season 494 (July – October). The annual mean contribution from Borneo (s3) is 85%, with only 8% 495 from mainland Southeast Asia (s1) and 5% from Sumatra (s2) (Table 4).

496 Reddington et al. (2014) applied two different models, a 3D global chemical 497 transport model and a Lagrangian tracer model to examine the long-term mean 498 contributions of fire emissions from different regions to PM2.5 in several cities in 499 Southeast Asia. Their estimated contribution from mainland Southeast Asia to the above-500 discussed four selected cities was lower than our result during January-May, likely due to 501 their use of a different emission inventory and the coarse resolution of their global model. 502 The FINNv1.5 dataset used in our study specifically provides higher PM_{2.5} emissions 503 from agriculture fires (the major fire type in mainland Southeast Asia) than GFED4.1s 504 does – the latter is an updated version of the dataset (GFEDv3) used in Reddington et al. 505 (2014) (Fig. 2). The detailed comparison of FNL FINN and FNL GFED will be 506 discussed in the following section.

507 4 Influence of different meteorological datasets and emission inventories on
 508 modeled fire aerosol abundance

As discussed in the previous section, meteorological conditions, particularly wind field and precipitation, could substantially influence the life cycle and transport path of fire aerosols during the fire seasons. Therefore, it is necessary to examine potential discrepancy in modeled particulate matter abundance arising from the use of different meteorological datasets.

514 When comparing two of our simulations, one driven by the NCEP-FNL (i.e., 515 FNL FINN) and the other by the ERA-Interim (i.e., ERA FINN) meteorological input, 516 we find that the ERA FINN run consistently produces less precipitation than the 517 FNL FINN run during the rainy seasons over the past decade (Fig. 2; also see the 518 comparison results of both runs with observations in Section 2.2.). Regarding fire aerosol 519 life cycle, less rainfall in ERA FINN results in weaker wet scavenging and thus higher 520 abundance of fire aerosols than in FNL FINN. We find that the annual mean concentration of fire PM_{2.5} produced in the ERA FINN run in Bangkok, Kuala Lumpur, 521 Singapore, and Kuching is 9.2, 5.8, 3.4, and 7.7 μ g m⁻³, respectively, clearly higher than 522 the corresponding results of the FNL FINN run of 8.5, 5.3, 3.0, and 6.9 μ g m⁻³ (Table 4). 523 524 In general, fire PM_{2.5} concentration in ERA FINN is about 10% higher than in 525 FNL FINN. However, the occurrence of low visibility events is less sensitive to the 526 differences in rainfall in places near the burning areas such as Bangkok and Kuching, as 527 indicated by a nearly negligible enhancement of VLVDs in the ERA FINN run in 528 Bangkok and Kuching (~1%) (Table 3). In comparison, the difference in wind field

between the two runs has a much smaller impact than that of precipitation on modeledparticulate matter abundance.

531 In addition to meteorological inputs, using different fire emission estimates could 532 also affect the modeled results. To examine such an influence, we have compared two 533 simulations with the same meteorological input but different fire emission inventories, 534 the FNL FINN using FINNv1.5 and FNL GFED using GFEDv4.1s. The main 535 differences between the two emission inventories appear mostly in mainland Southeast 536 Asia (s1) and northern Australia (s5) (Fig. 2a and e). Compared to FINNv1.5, fire 537 emissions in GFEDv4.1s over mainland Southeast Asia are more than 66% lower (Fig. 538 2a), and this results in a 43% lower fire $PM_{2.5}$ concentration in Bangkok (Table 4). The lower fire PM_{2.5} concentration in FNL_GFED actually produces a visibility that matches 539 540 better with observations in Bangkok comparing to the result of FNL FINN (Fig. S5a). 541 This implies that the fire emissions in FINNv1.5 are perhaps overestimated in mainland 542 Southeast Asia. In northern Australia, fire aerosol emissions suggested by FINNv1.5 are 543 almost negligible compared to GFEDv4.1s (Fig. 2e). Therefore, in the FNL GFED 544 simulation, Australia fire aerosols play an important role in Singapore air quality, 545 contributing to about 22% of the modeled PM_{2.5} concentration in Singapore. In contrast, 546 Australia fires have nearly no effect on Singapore air quality in the FNL FINN run 547 (Table 4).

We would also like to point out the importance of spatiotemporal distribution of fire emission to the modeled results. For example, during the June 2013 severe haze event in Kuala Lumpur and Singapore, the total amount of fire emissions from Sumatra (s2) in GFEDv4.1s are lower than those of FINNv1.5 (Fig. S6a) but distributed rather more

densely over a smaller area (Fig. S6c and d). As a result, under the same meteorological conditions, the simulated $PM_{2.5}$ in the FNL_GFED simulation reaches Singapore in a higher concentration that also matches better with observations than the result of FNL FINN (Fig. S7b).

556

5

Summary and Conclusions

557 We have examined the extent of the biomass burning aerosol's impact on the air 558 quality of Southeast Asia in the past decade using surface visibility and $PM_{2.5}$ 559 measurements along with the WRF model with a modified fire tracer module. The model 560 has shown a good performance in capturing 90% of the observed severe haze events 561 (visibility < 7 km) caused by fire aerosols occurred over past decade in several cities that 562 are close to the major burning sites. Our study also suggests that fire aerosols are 563 responsible for a substantial fraction of the low visibility days (visibility < 10 km) in 564 these cities: up to 39% in Bangkok, 36% in Kuala Lumpur, 34% in Singapore, and 33% 565 in Kuching.

566 In attributing the low visibility events to fire emissions from different sites, we find 567 that mainland Southeast Asia is the major contributor during the Northeast or winter 568 monsoon season in Southeast Asia. In the Southwest or summer monsoon season, 569 however, most fire aerosols come from Sumatra and Borneo. Specifically, fires in 570 mainland Southeast Asia are accounted for the largest percentage of the total fire PM_{2.5} in 571 Bangkok (99%), and fires from Sumatra are the major contributor in Kuala Lumpur 572 (50%) and Singapore (41%). Kuching receives 85% of fire aerosols from local Borneo 573 fires.

By comparing the results from two modeled runs with the same fire emissions but driven by different meteorological inputs, we have examined the sensitivity of modeled results to meteorological datasets. The discrepancy in modeled low visibility events arising from the use of different meteorological datasets is clearly evident, especially in the results of Bangkok and Kuching. However, using different meteorological input datasets does not appear to have influenced the modeled very low visibility events, or the severe haze events in the cities close to burning sites.

581 We have also examined the sensitivity of modeled results to the use of different 582 emission inventories. We find that significant discrepancies of fire emissions in 583 mainland Southeast Asia and northern Australia between the two emission inventories 584 used in our study have caused a substantial difference in modeled fire aerosol 585 concentration and visibility, especially in Bangkok and Singapore. For instance, the 586 contribution to fire aerosol in Singapore from northern Australia changes from nearly 587 zero in the simulation driven by FINNv1.5 to about 22% in another simulation driven by 588 GFEDv4.1s. We have also identified the influence of the difference in spatiotemporal 589 distribution rather than total emitted quantities from the fire hotspots on modeled PM_{2.5} 590 concentration.

To further assess the impacts of particulate pollution on the surface visibility of the whole Southeast Asia and to estimate the fire aerosol's contribution, we have defined and derived a metric of "Haze Exposure Days" (HEDs), by integrating annual low visibility days of 50 cities of the Association of Southeast Asian Nations and weighted by population or averaged arithmetically. We find that a very large population of Southeast Asia has been exposed to relatively persistent hazy conditions. The top four cities in the

597 HED ranking, Jakarta, Bangkok, Hanoi, and Yangon, with a total population exceeding 598 two millions, all have experienced more than 200 days per year of low visibility due to 599 particulate pollution over the past decade. Even worse is that the number of annual low 600 visibility days have been increasing steadily not only in high population cities but also 601 those with relatively low populations, suggesting a wide spread of particulate pollutions 602 across Southeast Asian. Generally speaking, the fire aerosols are found to be responsible 603 for up to about half of the total exposes to low visibility in the region. Our result 604 suggests that in order to improve the air quality in Southeast Asia, besides reducing or 605 even prohibiting planned or unplanned fires, mitigation policies targeting at pollution 606 sources other than fires need to be implemented as well.

607

608 Acknowledgements.

609 This research was supported by the National Research Foundation Singapore through the 610 Singapore-MIT Alliance for Research and Technology, the interdisciplinary research 611 program of Center for Environmental Sensing and Modeling. It was also supported by 612 the U.S. National Science Foundation (AGS-1339264), U.S. DOE (DE-FG02-613 94ER61937) and U.S. EPA (XA-83600001-1). The authors would like to acknowledge 614 the National Environment Agency (NEA) of Singapore for making Singapore PM_{2.5} data 615 available; the NCEP-FNL, ECMWF ERA-Interim, NCAR FINN, and GFED working 616 groups for releasing their data to the research communities; and the NCAR WRF 617 developing team for providing the numerical model for this study. We thank the National 618 Supercomputing Centre of Singapore (NSCC) for providing computing resources and

- 619 technical support. Two anonymous reviewers provided many constructive suggestions
- and comments, leading to a substantial improvement of the manuscript.

622 Reference

623	Carlson, K. M., Curran, L. M., Ratnasari, D., Pittman, A. M., Soares-Filho, B. S., Asner,
624	G. P., Irigg, S. N., Gaveau, D. A., Lawrence, D., and Rodrigues, H. O.:
625	Committed carbon emissions, deforestation, and community land conversion from
626	oil palm plantation expansion in West Kalimantan, Indonesia, Proceedings of the
627	National Academy of Sciences, 109, 7559-7564, 10.1073/pnas.1200452109,
628	2012.
629	Chang, C. P., Wang, Z., McBride, J., and Liu, CH.: Annual Cycle of Southeast Asia-
630	Maritime Continent Rainfall and the Asymmetric Monsoon Transition, Journal of
631	Climate, 18, 287-301, 10.1175/JCLI-3257.1, 2005.
632	Dennis, R., Mayer, J., Applegate, G., Chokkalingam, U., Colfer, C. P., Kurniawan, I.,
633	Lachowski, H., Maus, P., Permana, R., Ruchiat, Y., Stolle, F., Suyanto, and
634	Tomich, T.: Fire, People and Pixels: Linking Social Science and Remote Sensing
635	to Understand Underlying Causes and Impacts of Fires in Indonesia, Hum Ecol,
636	33, 465-504, 10.1007/s10745-005-5156-z, 2005.
637	Emmanuel, S. C.: Impact to lung health of haze from forest fires: The Singapore
638	experience, Respirology, 5, 175-182, 10.1046/j.1440-1843.2000.00247.x, 2000.
639	Field, R. D., van der Werf, G. R., and Shen, S. S. P.: Human amplification of drought-
640	induced biomass burning in Indonesia since 1960, Nature Geosci, 2, 185-188,
641	http://www.nature.com/ngeo/journal/v2/n3/suppinfo/ngeo443_S1.html, 2009.
642	Fujii, Y., Iriana, W., Oda, M., Puriwigati, A., Tohno, S., Lestari, P., Mizohata, A., and
643	Huboyo, H. S.: Characteristics of carbonaceous aerosols emitted from peatland
644	fire in Riau, Sumatra, Indonesia, Atmospheric Environment, 87, 164-169,
645	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.01.037, 2014.

- Giglio, L., Randerson, J. T., and van der Werf, G. R.: Analysis of daily, monthly, and
 annual burned area using the fourth-generation global fire emissions database
 (GFED4), Journal of Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 118, 317-328,
 10.1002/jgrg.20042, 2013.
- Grell, G., Freitas, S. R., Stuefer, M., and Fast, J.: Inclusion of biomass burning in WRFChem: impact of wildfires on weather forecasts, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 52895303, 10.5194/acp-11-5289-2011, 2011.
- Grell, G. A., Peckham, S. E., Schmitz, R., McKeen, S. A., Frost, G., Skamarock, W. C.,
 and Eder, B.: Fully coupled "online" chemistry within the WRF model,
 Atmospheric Environment, 39, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.04.027, 2005.
- Heil, A., Langmann, B., and Aldrian, E.: Indonesian peat and vegetation fire emissions:
 Study on factors influencing large-scale smoke haze pollution using a regional atmospheric chemistry model, Mitig Adapt Strat Glob Change, 12, 113-133, 10.1007/s11027-006-9045-6, 2007.

660	Huffman, G. J., Bolvin, D. T., Nelkin, E. J., Wolff, D. B., Adler, R. F., Gu, G., Hong, Y.,						
661	Bowman, K. P., and Stocker, E. F.: The TRMM Multisatellite Precipitation						
662	Analysis (TMPA): Quasi-Global, Multiyear, Combined-Sensor Precipitation						
663	Estimates at Fine Scales, Journal of Hydrometeorology, 8, 38-55,						
664	10.1175/JHM560.1, 2007.						
665	Johnston, F. H., Henderson, S. B., Chen, Y., Randerson, J. T., Marlier, M., Defries, R. S.,						
666	Kinney, P., Bowman, D. M., and Brauer, M.: Estimated global mortality						
667	attributable to smoke from landscape fires Environ. Health Perspect., 120 695-						
668	701, 2012.						
669	Kiehl, J. T., Schneider, T. L., Rasch, P. J., Barth, M. C., and Wong, J.: Radiative forcing						
670	due to sulfate aerosols from simulations with the National Center for Atmospheric						
671	Research Community Climate Model, Version 3, Journal of Geophysical						
672	Research: Atmospheres, 105, 1441-1457, 10.1029/1999JD900495, 2000.						
673	Kim, D., Wang, C., Ekman, A. M. L., Barth, M. C., and Rasch, P. J.: Distribution and						
674	direct radiative forcing of carbonaceous and sulfate aerosols in an interactive size-						
675	resolving aerosol–climate model, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres,						
676	113, D16309, 10.1029/2007jd009756, 2008.						
677	Koe, L. C. C., Arellano Jr, A. F., and McGregor, J. L.: Investigating the haze transport						
678	from 1997 biomass burning in Southeast Asia: its impact upon Singapore,						
679	Atmospheric Environment, 35, 2723-2734, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-						
680	2310(00)00395-2, 2001.						
681	Kunii, O., Kanagawa, S., Yajima, I., Hisamatsu, Y., Yamamura, S., Amagai, T., and						
682	Ismail, I. T. S.: The 1997 Haze Disaster in Indonesia: Its Air Quality and Health						
683	Effects, Archives of Environmental Health: An International Journal, 57, 16-22,						
684	10.1080/00039890209602912, 2002.						
685	Langner, A., Miettinen, J., and Siegert, F.: Land cover change 2002–2005 in Borneo and						
686	the role of fire derived from MODIS imagery, Global Change Biology, 13, 2329-						
687	2340, 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2007.01442.x, 2007.						
688	Lin, NH., Tsay, SC., Maring, H. B., Yen, MC., Sheu, GR., Wang, SH., Chi, K. H.,						
689	Chuang, MT., Ou-Yang, CF., Fu, J. S., Reid, J. S., Lee, CT., Wang, LC.,						
690	Wang, JL., Hsu, C. N., Sayer, A. M., Holben, B. N., Chu, YC., Nguyen, X. A.,						
691	Sopajaree, K., Chen, SJ., Cheng, MT., Tsuang, BJ., Tsai, CJ., Peng, CM.,						
692	Schnell, R. C., Conway, T., Chang, CT., Lin, KS., Tsai, Y. I., Lee, WJ.,						
693	Chang, SC., Liu, JJ., Chiang, WL., Huang, SJ., Lin, TH., and Liu, GR.:						
694	An overview of regional experiments on biomass burning aerosols and related						
695	pollutants in Southeast Asia: From BASE-ASIA and the Dongsha Experiment to						
696	7-SEAS, Atmospheric Environment, 78, 1-19,						
697	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.04.066, 2013.						
698	Madden, R. A., and Julian, P. R.: Detection of a 40–50 Day Oscillation in the Zonal						
699	Wind in the Tropical Pacific, Journal of the Atmospheric Sciences, 28, 702-708,						
700	10.1175/1520-0469(1971)028<0702:DOADOI>2.0.CO;2, 1971.						
701	Marlier, M., Defries, R. S., Kim, P. S., Koplitz, S. N., Jacob, D. J., Mickley, L. J., and						
702	Myers, S. S.: Fire emissions and regional air quality impacts from fires in oil						
703	palm, timber, and logging concessions in Indonesia, Environmental Research						
704	Letters, 10, 085005, 2015a.						

705	Marlier, M. E., DeFries, R. S., Kim, P. S., Gaveau, D. L. A., Koplitz, S. N., Jacob, D. J.,						
706	Mickley, L. J., Margono, B. A., and Myers, S. S.: Regional air quality impacts of						
707	future fire emissions in Sumatra and Kalimantan, Environmental Research						
708	Letters, 5, 054010 pp., 2015b.						
709	Mauderly, J. L., and Chow, J. C.: Health effects of organic aerosols, Inhalation						
710	Toxicology, 20, 257-288, 2008.						
711	McBride, J. L., Haylock, M. R., and Nicholls, N.: Relationships between the Maritime						
712	Continent Heat Source and the El Niño-Southern Oscillation Phenomenon,						
713	Journal of Climate, 16, 2905-2914, 10.1175/1520-						
714	0442(2003)016<2905:RBTMCH>2.0.CO;2, 2003.						
715	Page, S. E., Siegert, F., Rieley, J. O., Boehm, HD. V., Jaya, A., and Limin, S.: The						
716	amount of carbon released from peat and forest fires in Indonesia during 1997,						
717	Nature, 420, 61-65, 2002.						
718	Randerson, J. T., Chen, Y., van der Werf, G. R., Rogers, B. M., and Morton, D. C.:						
719	Global burned area and biomass burning emissions from small fires, Journal of						
720	Geophysical Research: Biogeosciences, 117, G04012, 10.1029/2012JG002128,						
721	2012.						
722	Rasmusson, E. M., and Wallace, J. M.: Meteorological Aspects of the El Niño/Southern						
723	Oscillation, Science, 222, 1195-1202, 10.1126/science.222.4629.1195, 1983.						
724	Reddington, C. L., Yoshioka, M., Balasubramanian, R., Ridley, D., Toh, Y. Y., Arnold,						
725	S. R., and Spracklen, D. V.: Contribution of vegetation and peat fires to						
726	particulate air pollution in Southeast Asia, Environmental Research Letters, 9,						
727	094006, 2014.						
728	Reid, J. S., Xian, P., Hyer, E. J., Flatau, M. K., Ramirez, E. M., Turk, F. J., Sampson, C.						
729	R., Zhang, C., Fukada, E. M., and Maloney, E. D.: Multi-scale meteorological						
730	conceptual analysis of observed active fire hotspot activity and smoke optical						
731	depth in the Maritime Continent, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 2117-2147,						
732	10.5194/acp-12-2117-2012, 2012.						
733	1 /						
	Reid, J. S., Lagrosas, N. D., Jonsson, H. H., Reid, E. A., Sessions, W. R., Simpas, J. B.,						
734	Reid, J. S., Lagrosas, N. D., Jonsson, H. H., Reid, E. A., Sessions, W. R., Simpas, J. B., Uy, S. N., Boyd, T. J., Atwood, S. A., Blake, D. R., Campbell, J. R., Cliff, S. S.,						
734 735	Reid, J. S., Lagrosas, N. D., Jonsson, H. H., Reid, E. A., Sessions, W. R., Simpas, J. B., Uy, S. N., Boyd, T. J., Atwood, S. A., Blake, D. R., Campbell, J. R., Cliff, S. S., Holben, B. N., Holz, R. E., Hyer, E. J., Lynch, P., Meinardi, S., Posselt, D. J.,						
734 735 736	 Reid, J. S., Lagrosas, N. D., Jonsson, H. H., Reid, E. A., Sessions, W. R., Simpas, J. B., Uy, S. N., Boyd, T. J., Atwood, S. A., Blake, D. R., Campbell, J. R., Cliff, S. S., Holben, B. N., Holz, R. E., Hyer, E. J., Lynch, P., Meinardi, S., Posselt, D. J., Richardson, K. A., Salinas, S. V., Smirnov, A., Wang, Q., Yu, L., and Zhang, J.: 						
734 735 736 737	 Reid, J. S., Lagrosas, N. D., Jonsson, H. H., Reid, E. A., Sessions, W. R., Simpas, J. B., Uy, S. N., Boyd, T. J., Atwood, S. A., Blake, D. R., Campbell, J. R., Cliff, S. S., Holben, B. N., Holz, R. E., Hyer, E. J., Lynch, P., Meinardi, S., Posselt, D. J., Richardson, K. A., Salinas, S. V., Smirnov, A., Wang, Q., Yu, L., and Zhang, J.: Observations of the temporal variability in aerosol properties and their 						
734 735 736 737 738	 Reid, J. S., Lagrosas, N. D., Jonsson, H. H., Reid, E. A., Sessions, W. R., Simpas, J. B., Uy, S. N., Boyd, T. J., Atwood, S. A., Blake, D. R., Campbell, J. R., Cliff, S. S., Holben, B. N., Holz, R. E., Hyer, E. J., Lynch, P., Meinardi, S., Posselt, D. J., Richardson, K. A., Salinas, S. V., Smirnov, A., Wang, Q., Yu, L., and Zhang, J.: Observations of the temporal variability in aerosol properties and their relationships to meteorology in the summer monsoonal South China Sea/East Sea: 						
734 735 736 737 738 739	 Reid, J. S., Lagrosas, N. D., Jonsson, H. H., Reid, E. A., Sessions, W. R., Simpas, J. B., Uy, S. N., Boyd, T. J., Atwood, S. A., Blake, D. R., Campbell, J. R., Cliff, S. S., Holben, B. N., Holz, R. E., Hyer, E. J., Lynch, P., Meinardi, S., Posselt, D. J., Richardson, K. A., Salinas, S. V., Smirnov, A., Wang, Q., Yu, L., and Zhang, J.: Observations of the temporal variability in aerosol properties and their relationships to meteorology in the summer monsoonal South China Sea/East Sea: the scale-dependent role of monsoonal flows, the Madden–Julian Oscillation, 						
734 735 736 737 738 739 740	 Reid, J. S., Lagrosas, N. D., Jonsson, H. H., Reid, E. A., Sessions, W. R., Simpas, J. B., Uy, S. N., Boyd, T. J., Atwood, S. A., Blake, D. R., Campbell, J. R., Cliff, S. S., Holben, B. N., Holz, R. E., Hyer, E. J., Lynch, P., Meinardi, S., Posselt, D. J., Richardson, K. A., Salinas, S. V., Smirnov, A., Wang, Q., Yu, L., and Zhang, J.: Observations of the temporal variability in aerosol properties and their relationships to meteorology in the summer monsoonal South China Sea/East Sea: the scale-dependent role of monsoonal flows, the Madden–Julian Oscillation, tropical cyclones, squall lines and cold pools, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 1745- 						
734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741	 Reid, J. S., Lagrosas, N. D., Jonsson, H. H., Reid, E. A., Sessions, W. R., Simpas, J. B., Uy, S. N., Boyd, T. J., Atwood, S. A., Blake, D. R., Campbell, J. R., Cliff, S. S., Holben, B. N., Holz, R. E., Hyer, E. J., Lynch, P., Meinardi, S., Posselt, D. J., Richardson, K. A., Salinas, S. V., Smirnov, A., Wang, Q., Yu, L., and Zhang, J.: Observations of the temporal variability in aerosol properties and their relationships to meteorology in the summer monsoonal South China Sea/East Sea: the scale-dependent role of monsoonal flows, the Madden–Julian Oscillation, tropical cyclones, squall lines and cold pools, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 1745-1768, 10.5194/acp-15-1745-2015, 2015. 						
734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742	 Reid, J. S., Lagrosas, N. D., Jonsson, H. H., Reid, E. A., Sessions, W. R., Simpas, J. B., Uy, S. N., Boyd, T. J., Atwood, S. A., Blake, D. R., Campbell, J. R., Cliff, S. S., Holben, B. N., Holz, R. E., Hyer, E. J., Lynch, P., Meinardi, S., Posselt, D. J., Richardson, K. A., Salinas, S. V., Smirnov, A., Wang, Q., Yu, L., and Zhang, J.: Observations of the temporal variability in aerosol properties and their relationships to meteorology in the summer monsoonal South China Sea/East Sea: the scale-dependent role of monsoonal flows, the Madden–Julian Oscillation, tropical cyclones, squall lines and cold pools, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 1745-1768, 10.5194/acp-15-1745-2015, 2015. Saji, N. H., Goswami, B. N., Vinayachandran, P. N., and Yamagata, T.: A dipole mode in 						
734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743	 Reid, J. S., Lagrosas, N. D., Jonsson, H. H., Reid, E. A., Sessions, W. R., Simpas, J. B., Uy, S. N., Boyd, T. J., Atwood, S. A., Blake, D. R., Campbell, J. R., Cliff, S. S., Holben, B. N., Holz, R. E., Hyer, E. J., Lynch, P., Meinardi, S., Posselt, D. J., Richardson, K. A., Salinas, S. V., Smirnov, A., Wang, Q., Yu, L., and Zhang, J.: Observations of the temporal variability in aerosol properties and their relationships to meteorology in the summer monsoonal South China Sea/East Sea: the scale-dependent role of monsoonal flows, the Madden–Julian Oscillation, tropical cyclones, squall lines and cold pools, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 1745-1768, 10.5194/acp-15-1745-2015, 2015. Saji, N. H., Goswami, B. N., Vinayachandran, P. N., and Yamagata, T.: A dipole mode in the tropical Indian Ocean, Nature, 401, 360-363, 1999. 						
734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 743 744	 Reid, J. S., Lagrosas, N. D., Jonsson, H. H., Reid, E. A., Sessions, W. R., Simpas, J. B., Uy, S. N., Boyd, T. J., Atwood, S. A., Blake, D. R., Campbell, J. R., Cliff, S. S., Holben, B. N., Holz, R. E., Hyer, E. J., Lynch, P., Meinardi, S., Posselt, D. J., Richardson, K. A., Salinas, S. V., Smirnov, A., Wang, Q., Yu, L., and Zhang, J.: Observations of the temporal variability in aerosol properties and their relationships to meteorology in the summer monsoonal South China Sea/East Sea: the scale-dependent role of monsoonal flows, the Madden–Julian Oscillation, tropical cyclones, squall lines and cold pools, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 1745-1768, 10.5194/acp-15-1745-2015, 2015. Saji, N. H., Goswami, B. N., Vinayachandran, P. N., and Yamagata, T.: A dipole mode in the tropical Indian Ocean, Nature, 401, 360-363, 1999. See, S. W., Balasubramanian, R., and Wang, W.: A study of the physical, chemical, and 						
734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 744 745	 Reid, J. S., Lagrosas, N. D., Jonsson, H. H., Reid, E. A., Sessions, W. R., Simpas, J. B., Uy, S. N., Boyd, T. J., Atwood, S. A., Blake, D. R., Campbell, J. R., Cliff, S. S., Holben, B. N., Holz, R. E., Hyer, E. J., Lynch, P., Meinardi, S., Posselt, D. J., Richardson, K. A., Salinas, S. V., Smirnov, A., Wang, Q., Yu, L., and Zhang, J.: Observations of the temporal variability in aerosol properties and their relationships to meteorology in the summer monsoonal South China Sea/East Sea: the scale-dependent role of monsoonal flows, the Madden–Julian Oscillation, tropical cyclones, squall lines and cold pools, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 1745-1768, 10.5194/acp-15-1745-2015, 2015. Saji, N. H., Goswami, B. N., Vinayachandran, P. N., and Yamagata, T.: A dipole mode in the tropical Indian Ocean, Nature, 401, 360-363, 1999. See, S. W., Balasubramanian, R., and Wang, W.: A study of the physical, chemical, and optical properties of ambient aerosol particles in Southeast Asia during hazy and 						
734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746	 Reid, J. S., Lagrosas, N. D., Jonsson, H. H., Reid, E. A., Sessions, W. R., Simpas, J. B., Uy, S. N., Boyd, T. J., Atwood, S. A., Blake, D. R., Campbell, J. R., Cliff, S. S., Holben, B. N., Holz, R. E., Hyer, E. J., Lynch, P., Meinardi, S., Posselt, D. J., Richardson, K. A., Salinas, S. V., Smirnov, A., Wang, Q., Yu, L., and Zhang, J.: Observations of the temporal variability in aerosol properties and their relationships to meteorology in the summer monsoonal South China Sea/East Sea: the scale-dependent role of monsoonal flows, the Madden–Julian Oscillation, tropical cyclones, squall lines and cold pools, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 1745-1768, 10.5194/acp-15-1745-2015, 2015. Saji, N. H., Goswami, B. N., Vinayachandran, P. N., and Yamagata, T.: A dipole mode in the tropical Indian Ocean, Nature, 401, 360-363, 1999. See, S. W., Balasubramanian, R., and Wang, W.: A study of the physical, chemical, and optical properties of ambient aerosol particles in Southeast Asia during hazy and nonhazy days, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 111, D10S08, 						
734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746 747	 Reid, J. S., Lagrosas, N. D., Jonsson, H. H., Reid, E. A., Sessions, W. R., Simpas, J. B., Uy, S. N., Boyd, T. J., Atwood, S. A., Blake, D. R., Campbell, J. R., Cliff, S. S., Holben, B. N., Holz, R. E., Hyer, E. J., Lynch, P., Meinardi, S., Posselt, D. J., Richardson, K. A., Salinas, S. V., Smirnov, A., Wang, Q., Yu, L., and Zhang, J.: Observations of the temporal variability in aerosol properties and their relationships to meteorology in the summer monsoonal South China Sea/East Sea: the scale-dependent role of monsoonal flows, the Madden–Julian Oscillation, tropical cyclones, squall lines and cold pools, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 1745-1768, 10.5194/acp-15-1745-2015, 2015. Saji, N. H., Goswami, B. N., Vinayachandran, P. N., and Yamagata, T.: A dipole mode in the tropical Indian Ocean, Nature, 401, 360-363, 1999. See, S. W., Balasubramanian, R., and Wang, W.: A study of the physical, chemical, and optical properties of ambient aerosol particles in Southeast Asia during hazy and nonhazy days, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 111, D10S08, 10.1029/2005JD006180, 2006. 						
734 735 736 737 738 739 740 741 742 743 744 745 746 747 748	 Reid, J. S., Lagrosas, N. D., Jonsson, H. H., Reid, E. A., Sessions, W. R., Simpas, J. B., Uy, S. N., Boyd, T. J., Atwood, S. A., Blake, D. R., Campbell, J. R., Cliff, S. S., Holben, B. N., Holz, R. E., Hyer, E. J., Lynch, P., Meinardi, S., Posselt, D. J., Richardson, K. A., Salinas, S. V., Smirnov, A., Wang, Q., Yu, L., and Zhang, J.: Observations of the temporal variability in aerosol properties and their relationships to meteorology in the summer monsoonal South China Sea/East Sea: the scale-dependent role of monsoonal flows, the Madden–Julian Oscillation, tropical cyclones, squall lines and cold pools, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 1745-1768, 10.5194/acp-15-1745-2015, 2015. Saji, N. H., Goswami, B. N., Vinayachandran, P. N., and Yamagata, T.: A dipole mode in the tropical Indian Ocean, Nature, 401, 360-363, 1999. See, S. W., Balasubramanian, R., and Wang, W.: A study of the physical, chemical, and optical properties of ambient aerosol particles in Southeast Asia during hazy and nonhazy days, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 111, D10S08, 10.1029/2005JD006180, 2006. Seinfeld, J., and Pandis, S.: Atmospheric Physics and Chemistry. From Air Pollution to 						

750	Sekiguchi, M., Nakajima, T., Suzuki, K., Kawamoto, K., Higurashi, A., Rosenfeld, D.,						
751	Sano, I., and Mukai, S.: A study of the direct and indirect effects of aerosols using						
752	global satellite data sets of aerosol and cloud parameters, Journal of Geophysical						
753	Research: Atmospheres, 108, 4699, 10.1029/2002JD003359, 2003.						
754	Smith, A., Lott, N., and Vose, R.: The Integrated Surface Database: Recent						
755	Developments and Partnerships, Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society,						
756	92, 704-708, doi:10.1175/2011BAMS3015.1, 2011.						
757	Stauffer, D. R., and Seaman, N. L.: Multiscale Four-Dimensional Data Assimilation,						
758	Journal of Applied Meteorology, 33, 416-434, 10.1175/1520-						
759	0450(1994)033<0416:mfdda>2.0.co;2, 1994.						
760	Tosca, M. G., Randerson, J. T., Zender, C. S., Nelson, D. L., Diner, D. J., and Logan, J.						
761	A.: Dynamics of fire plumes and smoke clouds associated with peat and						
762	deforestation fires in Indonesia, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres,						
763	116, n/a-n/a, 10.1029/2010JD015148, 2011.						
764	van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P.						
765	S., Morton, D. C., DeFries, R. S., Jin, Y., and van Leeuwen, T. T.: Global fire						
766	emissions and the contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and						
767	peat fires (1997–2009), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 11707-11735, 10.5194/acp-10-						
768	11707-2010, 2010.						
769	Visscher, A. D.: Air Dispersion Modeling: Foundations and Applications, First ed., John						
770	Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2013.						
771	Wang, J., Ge, C., Yang, Z., Hyer, E. J., Reid, J. S., Chew, BN., Mahmud, M., Zhang,						
772	Y., and Zhang, M.: Mesoscale modeling of smoke transport over the Southeast						
773	Asian Maritime Continent: Interplay of sea breeze, trade wind, typhoon, and						
774	topography, Atmospheric Research, 122, 486-503,						
775	http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.05.009, 2013.						
776	Wiedinmyer, C., Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Emmons, L. K., Al-Saadi, J. A., Orlando,						
777	J. J., and Soja, A. J.: The Fire INventory from NCAR (FINN): a high resolution						
778	global model to estimate the emissions from open burning, Geosci. Model Dev.,						
779	4, 625-641, 10.5194/gmd-4-625-2011, 2011.						
780	Wu, CH., and Hsu, HH.: Topographic Influence on the MJO in the Maritime						
781	Continent, Journal of Climate, 22, 5433-5448, 10.1175/2009JCLI2825.1, 2009.						
782	Wu, R., Wen, Z., and He, Z.: ENSO Contribution to Aerosol Variations over the						
783	Maritime Continent and the Western North Pacific during 2000–10, Journal of						
784	Climate, 26, 6541-6560, 10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00253.1, 2013.						
785	Zhang, C.: Madden-Julian Oscillation, Reviews of Geophysics, 43, RG2003,						
786	10.1029/2004RG000158, 2005.						
787							

Table 1. WRF physics scheme configuration

mission	Marrison (2 mamanta) sahama
microphysics	Morrison (2 moments) scheme
longwave radiation	rrtmg scheme
shortwave radiation	rrtmg scheme
surface-layer	MYNN surface layer
land surface	Unified Noah land-surface model
planetary boundary layer	MYNN 2.5 level TKE scheme
cumulus parameterization	Grell-Freitas ensemble scheme

Table 2. The spatial and temporal correlation of monthly rainfall between model and
observation during 2003-2014. FMA, MJJ, ASO, NDJ and All indicate February-April,
May-July, August-October, November-January and whole year, respectively.

	FNL_FINN vs. TRMM		ERA_FINN vs. TRMM		
	Spatial cor. Temporal cor.		Spatial cor.	Temporal cor.	
FMA	0.89	0.61	0.89	0.89	
MJJ	0.83	0.69	0.81	0.90	
ASO	0.86	0.59	0.84	0.89	
NDJ	0.88	0.60	0.88	0.85	
All	0.86	0.68	0.85	0.90	

Table 3. Annual mean low visibility days (LVDs; observed visibility ≤ 10 km) and very low visibility days (VLVDs; observed visibility ≤ 7 km) per year in Bangkok, Kuala Lumpur, Singapore and Kuching during 2003-2014 are presented in the second column. Parentheses show the percentage of year. The third and fourth columns show the percentage contributions along with standard deviations of fire and non-fire (other) pollutions for total low visibility days.

808

FNL_FINN	LVD per year (days)	Fire pollution contribution (%)	Other pollution contribution (%)	
Bangkok, Thailand	215±50 (59±14%)	39±8	61±8	
Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia	174±78 (48±21%)	36±17	64±17	
Singapore, Singapore	96±87 (26±24%)	34±17	66±17	
Kuching, Malaysia	95±57 (26±17%)	33±15	67±15	
ENI FINN	VI VD por yoar (days)	Fire pollution	Other pollution	
FIL_FINN	VLVD per year (days)	contribution (%)	contribution (%)	
Bangkok, Thailand	15±8 (4±2%)	87±20	87±20	
Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia	19±18 (5±5%)	85±17	15±17	
Singapore, Singapore	4±4 (1±1%)	91±33	9±33	
Kuching, Malaysia	22±18 (6±5%)	93±11	7±11	
EDA FINN	VID non yoon (days)	Fire pollution	Other pollution	
EKA_FINN	VLD per year (days)	contribution (%)	contribution (%)	
Bangkok, Thailand	215±50 (59±14%)	46±7	54±7	
Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia	174±78 (48±21%)	40±16	60±16	
Singapore, Singapore	96±87 (26±24%)	37±18	63±18	
Kuching, Malaysia	95±57 (26±17%)	45±17	55±17	
ERA_FINN	VLVD per year (days)	Fire pollution contribution (%)	Other pollution contribution (%)	
Bangkok, Thailand	15±8 (4±2%)	88±20	12±20	
Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia	19±18 (5±5%)	90±18	10±18	
Singapore, Singapore	4±4 (1±1%)	98±6	2±6	
Kuching, Malaysia	22±18 (6±5%)	94±11	6±11	
FNL_GFED	VLD per year (days)	Fire pollution contribution (%)	Other pollution contribution (%)	
Bangkok, Thailand	215±50 (59±14%)	36±8	64±8	
Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia	174±78 (48±21%)	28±17	72±17	
Singapore, Singapore	96±87 (26±24%)	29±21	71±21	
Kuching, Malaysia	95±57 (26±17%)	26±18	74±18	
FNL_GFED	VLVD per year (days)	Fire pollution contribution (%)	Other pollution contribution (%)	
Bangkok, Thailand	15±8 (4±2%)	90±19	10±19	
Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia	19±18 (5±5%)	83±28	17±28	
Singapore, Singapore	4±4 (1±1%)	89±37	11±37	
Kuching, Malaysia	22±18 (6±5%)	89±28	11±28	

810 Table 4. Annual mean and standard deviation of fire $PM_{2.5}$ concentration (µg m⁻³) 811 contributed by each source region in Bangkok, Kuala Lumpur, Singapore, and Kuching 812 during 2003-2014. Parentheses show the percentage of fire $PM_{2.5}$ contribution originating 813 from each source region. The same regions, s1-s5, are explained in Fig. 1.

8	1	4
0	-	

FNL_FINN	s1	s2	s3	s4	s5
Danalaala	8.4±2.3	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	0.0±0.0	0.1±0.0	$0.0{\pm}0.0$
Вапдкок	(99.2±0.5%)	(0.1±0.1%)	(0.1±0.1%)	(0.6±0.5%)	$(0.0\pm0.0\%)$
Vuolo Lummur	2.3±1.2	2.7±1.4	0.2±0.2	0.1±0.1	$0.0{\pm}0.0$
	(43.3±14.8%)	(49.6±14.9%)	(3.3±3.4%)	(2.5±2.3%)	(0.3±0.2%)
Singanara	1.1±0.7	1.2 ± 0.8	$0.4{\pm}0.4$	0.2±0.1	0.1±0.0
Singapore	(36.7±14.7%)	(40.7±15.9%)	(14.3±10.0%)	(6.1±3.8%)	(2.2±1.1%)
Kuching	0.5 ± 0.4	0.3±0.1	6.0±3.2	0.1±0.1	$0.0{\pm}0.0$
Kuching	(7.8±6.5%)	(4.7±2.5%)	(84.6±9.7%)	(2.3±2.5%)	(0.6±0.3%)
ERA_FINN	s1	s2	s3	s4	s5
Dangkak	9.1±2.3	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	0.1±0.0	$0.0{\pm}0.0$
Daligkok	(99.2±0.4%)	(0.1±0.1%)	(0.1±0.1%)	(0.6±0.4%)	(0.0±0.0%)
Kuolo Lumpur	2.3±1.2	3.2±1.4	0.2 ± 0.2	0.1±0.0	$0.0{\pm}0.0$
Kuala Lullipul	(39.7±12.7%)	(53.7±12.3%)	(3.9±3.3%)	(2.3±1.8%)	(0.4±0.2%)
Singapora	1.1±0.6	1.4±0.9	0.6 ± 0.6	0.2±0.1	0.1±0.0
Singapore	(34.2±13.5%)	(40.5±13.7%)	(17.2±11.8%)	(6.2±3.1%)	(1.9±0.9%)
Kuching	0.5 ± 0.4	0.4±0.2	6.7±3.9	0.1±0.1	$0.0{\pm}0.0$
Kuching	(8.1±5.6%)	(6.1±3.9%)	(82.5±10.0%)	(2.7±3.0%)	(0.6±0.3%)
FNL_GFED	s1	s2	s3	s4	s5
Dangkak	4.8±1.3	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	$0.0{\pm}0.0$
Ballgkok	(99.6±0.2%)	(0.1±0.0%)	(0.1±0.1%)	(0.2±0.2%)	(0.1±0.0%)
Vuolo Lumpur	1.3±0.6	2.7±1.9	0.1±0.2	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	0.1±0.1
Kuala Lullipul	(38.6±20.8%)	(53.8±21.1%)	(2.8±3.5%)	(0.8±0.8%)	(3.9±3.4%)
Singanara	0.3±0.2	1.5 ± 1.8	0.4±0.5	0.1±0.0	$0.4{\pm}0.2$
Singapore	(22.1±17.3%)	(40.2±23.6%)	(12.5±9.5%)	(2.9±2.4%)	(22.3±13.2%)
Vuohing	0.1±0.1	0.1±0.1	3.2±3.2	$0.0{\pm}0.0$	0.3±0.2
Kuching	(7.2±6.8%)	(4.3±3.2%)	(75.2±12.9%)	(1.7±2.7%)	(11.6±6.7%)



817 60E 90E 120E 150E
818 Figure 1. Model domain used for simulations. The domain has 432 × 148 grid points
819 with a horizontal resolution of 36 km. Five fire source regions marked in different colors
820 and labeled as s1, s2, s3, s4 and s5, represent mainland Southeast Asia (s1), Sumatra and
821 Java islands (s2), Borneo (s3), the rest of Maritime Continent (s4), and northern Australia
822 (s5). A, B, C and D indicate the location of four selected cities: Bangkok (A), Kuala
823 Lumpur (B), Singapore (C) and Kuching (D).



- Figure 2. Time series of monthly PM_{2.5} emission (Tg year⁻¹) in FINNv1.5 (pink solid
 lines) and GFEDv4.1s (red dashed lines). Also shown are precipitation rates (mm day⁻¹)
- simulated in FNL FINN (light blue solid lines) and ERA FINN (blue dashed lines)
- during 2003-2014 in: (a) mainland Southeast Asia (s1), (b) Sumatra and Java islands (s2),
- (c) Borneo (s3), (d) the rest of the Maritime Continent (s4), and (e) northern Australia
- 835 (s5).
- 836



Figure 3. (a) Time series of daily surface PM_{2.5} from the ground-based observations (black line) and FNL_FINN simulated results (red line) in Singapore during 2013-2014. (b) Time series of daily visibility of GSOD observation (black line) and calculated result from FNL_FINN (red line) in Singapore during 2013-2014. Highlighted green areas are known haze events caused by fire aerosols. Two gray lines mark the visibility of 7 and 10 km, respectively.

- 847
- 848



849 850 Figure 4. A scatter plot of observed visibility and FNL_FINN visibility during known fire

851 events as labeled in Fig. 4b. Black dash line refers 1:1 line and red line is the threshold of 852 VLVD (7 km). Data points marked with purple color are the events that model failed to

- 853 produce a visibility qualified for LVD.
- 854



858
859
859 Figure 5. Comparison of daily visibility between GSOD observation (black lines) and
860 FNL_FINN modeled result (red lines) in: (a) Bangkok, (b) Kuala Lumpur, (c) Singapore,
861 (d) Kuching during the fire seasons from 2003 to 2014. Two grey lines mark the visibility
862 of 7 and 10 km, respectively.

Figure 6. (a) – (d) The percentage of LVDs per year derived using from GSOD visibility
observations in Bangkok, Kuala Lumpur, Singapore, and Kuching, respectively. (e) – (h)
The percentage of LVDs averaged over 2003-2014, derived using GSOD visibility
observations in Bangkok, Kuala Lumpur, Singapore, and Kuching, respectively. Each bar

- caused LVDs (captured by model) while green color presents non-fire LVDs (observed modeled). 875

879

Figure 7. The mean fire $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations within the PBL attributed to different emission regions (s1 - s5) in (a) Bangkok, (b) Kuala Lumpur, (c) Singapore and (d) Kuching, all derived from FNL_FINN simulation and averaged over the period of 2003-2014.

(a)

887

Figure 8. (a) The mean low visibility days (circles) per year from 2003 to 2014 in 50 ASEAN cities. The size of the circles indicates the number of days. The colors refer to population-weighted fraction in the total Haze Exposure Days (HED). (b) Annual population-weighted HED (HED_{pw}) and arithmetic mean HED (HED_{ar}). Fire-caused HED are labeled as $fHED_{pw}$ and $fHED_{ar}$. Units are in days. Note that the y-axes are in different scales.

Figure 9. Seasonal mean fire $PM_{2.5}$ concentration (µg m⁻³) and wind within the PBL modeled in FNL_FINN during February to April, 2003–2014 for fire $PM_{2.5}$ source region from (a) mainland Southeast Asia, (b) Sumatra and Java islands, (c) Borneo, (d) the rest of the Maritime Continent, and (e) northern Australia. (f)-(g) Same as (a)-(e) but for seasonal mean wet scavenging time (days).