

Point-by-point reply to the editor's comments

The authors would like to thank the Editor for handling the manuscript, the time he spend on the manuscript, and for providing helpful and constructive comments and suggestions. We have considered carefully all comments made; please find our detailed reply (italic) below.

Editor's Comments

I find that the present focus on summer 2013 is a strong limitation of the manuscript. One possible interesting and somewhat expected outcome of such a study would be a discussion about the 2013 campaign period representativeness based on the analysis of a larger data set including several summers. I would therefore recommend that this point is considered during the likely revision of the paper.

This comments is in line with the comment made be reviewer #1. We clearly agree and the revised version of the manuscript includes an analysis of the period 1979-2015 using ERA-Interim reanalysis fields. For further details see our reply to the comments of reviewer #1, please.