Authors' Responses to the Comments and Suggestions by Reviewers

Dear Editor:

5 We are very grateful for your contributions on this paper.

We have completed the revision of the manuscript according to the comments and suggestions provided by the reviewers. We appreciate very much all comments made by the reviewers; they are very valuable for improving the readability of the manuscript and interpretation of the data. Blow we have compiled our point-by-point responses to the comments. The original comments and suggestions are in black and our responses are in blue.

Marked Manuscript and Marked Supporting Information were also combined in this

15 file. All revised areas are marked in red color, except the references using Endnote X7 to modify.

We thank the three reviewers for their comments and suggestions.

20 Best regards,

25

10

30

Qiang Huang

1. Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 24 June 2016

could help to improve the discussion:

35

Huang et al. reported mercury isotope signatures on atmospheric particles in an urban environment, as well as in potential particulate Hg sources. Seasonal variations are observed, and discussed as differences in particle emission sources (Biomass burning, coal combustion, smelting, long-range transport). The approach used makes sense and the overall discussion is of good quality. I have a few comments and questions that

40

45

1) First, as mentioned in the text, atmospheric processes can affect Hg isotope composition. The authors only discussed the variations in terms of different sources, with mainly local emission sources as well as long range transport of PM2.5-Hg. I wonder if the presence of PM2.5 can modify the atmospheric Hg speciation, enhancing oxidation of GEM and/or RGM binding on particles. In other words, does PM2.5 and Hg necessarily have the same source? I expected more discussion on atmospheric Hg dynamics.

50

55

60

-- We appreciate very much that the reviewer raised a very important issue, and we understand that PM_{2.5} (the host) and particulate bound Hg may or may not be of the same source(s) as Hg is variously reactive in the atmosphere and it could be redistributed between PM_{2.5} and gaseous phase under atmospheric conditions after emission. It is intuitive that, after emitted, the contents of PM-bound Hg may decrease as a function of time as the Hg bound on PM from the sources may be photochemically reduced to Hg⁰. At the same time, Hg oxidized from Hg⁰ at the surface of PM or within the gaseous phase may become associated with the PM. The inter-exchanging processes especially driven by photolysis between PM_{2.5} bound Hg and gaseous Hg no doubt can change not only the mass content of Hg bound on PM_{2.5}, but also the Hg isotope compositions. Given the fact that individual particles of each PM_{2.5} sample may have very different residence time in the atmosphere, they may have experienced varied degrees of secondary alterations. However, at current

stage of our understanding on such a complex and dynamic system with multiple physicochemical processes, we could not assess the exact impact of such complex

2 / 46

processes on both the content and isotope composition of PM bound Hg.

-- It is known that the atmospheric system is very complex. The measured mercury isotope compositions for PM_{2.5} samples may be results of (i) mixing (physical 70 processes) of multiple sources of mercury, (ii) isotope fractionation caused by multiple processes including photolytic reduction and oxidation of Hg in both gaseous and particulate phases, and (iii) distribution of mercury among the three operationally defined classes of mercury in the atmosphere. In this paper, we do have a short discussion on the effect of possible natural processes, including secondary aerosol 75 production, adsorption (and desorption) and redox reactions, on Hg isotopic fractionation in the atmosphere. Such effect may be particularly prominent for some Hg (especially the long-range transported Hg) in a specific place, but at this stage it is impossible to evaluate the exact contribution of these processes to the total budget of Hg in the fine particles, given the fact that only little work has been done on Hg 80 isotopes in the atmosphere. We believe that redistribution of Hg among PBM, GEM and RGM occurred at individual emission source (such as coal-fired plant, smelting, cement plant) could also have caused fractionation of the Hg isotopes in individual phases. We assume that these processes may have identical effect on Hg isotopic compositions of initial emission (as demonstrated in Sun et al., doi: 10.1021/es501208a, 2014) and thus would not significantly change with time. 85 However, any discussion of such effects could be speculative without firm evidence or measured data for the processes. Thus, there is immediate need of research on isotope fractionation caused by (i) multiple photolytic processes including photolytic oxidation and (ii) redistribution of mercury among different phases.

90

-- In this study, we have to use the simplified assumptions mentioned above and limit our discussions to the effects of mixing of different sources on the measured data as we have characterized the Hg isotope composition for major emission sources. The data we collected and the information we currently have could not be used to

95 delineate the effects of multiple processes on the characteristics of Hg isotopic compositions for the $PM_{2.5}$. According to the above discussion (and discussion in the text) and due to the lack of direct evidence and/or experiments on Hg isotope fractionation during atmospheric Hg transformation, we suggest that the contributions from different sources may be the better scenario to explain the seasonal variation of

100 Hg isotopic compositions we measured for the $PM_{2.5}$ samples.

105

-- To accommodate the above comments of this reviewer, we have revised the manuscript in line 498-502 of page 22. Since a new paper published online on Hg isotope fractionation during oxidation by halogen, we discussed also the possible effect of oxidation on Hg isotopes in atmosphere fine particles (line 523-532, page 23).

2) I was also surprised to see that the most extreme Hg isotope signatures (at least for Δ 199Hg) are found for PM2.5 samples, and not for potential sources (Figure 3). The

110 high Δ 199Hg are discussed as deriving from long-range transport, which could make sense although it is not proven. The lowest Δ 199Hg signatures are however discussed as an impact of local coal combustion, while coal samples analyzed here do not display such low Δ 199Hg.

115 -- We think the low Δ^{199} Hg data which this reviewer referred to were related to the possible source of biomass burning, which is considered as a source for PM_{2.5} in autumn with the lowest Δ^{199} Hg signatures. We agree with this reviewer that the coal burning may not have resulted in such a lower Δ^{199} Hg signature. Please see the session "*Important biomass burning input in autumn*". Another possibility is the

influence of elemental Hg oxidation, but given the fact that our observation is largely different from the experiment results and that the oxidation by halogens would not commonly occur in the inland atmosphere boundary layer, the oxidation may not be the dominant controlling factor for seasonal variation of Hg isotopes in these fine particles. Please see our discussion on Hg oxidation effect added in the revised version (line 523-532, page 23).

3) Lines 337-340: The principal component analysis on element concentrations indicates that biomass burning is only a minor source of PM2.5-Hg. Later in the discussion (paragraph starting line 365), biomass burning is evaluated as an important

130 parameter driving PM2.5-Hg concentration and isotope signatures, especially in Autumn. Could you comment on these contrasting conclusions?

-- We thank this reviewer for pointing out an inaccurate statement in the original text

of this paper (Note, Reviewer 3 had the same comment). We have made thorough revision in the revised version of the paper to eliminate such an inaccurate statement. See line 434 to 436 in revised version.

135

-- We agree with this and the third reviewer that, in general, biomass burning is not a main source of Hg emission, especially over the long time period of observation (12
month, for instance). But this contribution may be important in a short period in autumn, during peak days of the biomass burning season. We have to mention here that the isotope data would give more direct evidence for source tracing compared to the principal component analysis (PCA) calculation, as the late was done based only on the relationships between Hg and a limit number of other elements, which have
different geochemical behaviors and/or may derive from different sources. The PCA

- would thus give only an approximate estimation. We modified the text and made appreciate correction to make this clearer. It is very interesting to note that the Hg isotope compositions for biomass burning derived PM_{2.5} have unique properties of high EC content (5.9 μ g/m³), more negative Δ^{199} Hg (-0.53 ‰) and relatively low Hg
- 150 content (505 ng/g). It is apparent that, although the contribution of biomass burning to the overall PM_{2.5} is not very significant, it is distinguishable with such unique Hg isotope signature and may be important in certain days especially in autumn. This can be further confirmed by high content of EC (and more negative Δ^{199} Hg) for some autumn samples accompanied with north-bound wind. Previous studies (X. Zheng et
- al., Sci. China Ser. B, 48, 481-488, doi: 10.1360/042005-15, 2005; M. Zheng et al., Atmos. Environ., 39, 3967-3976, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.03.036, 2005) reported that this source may account for 20 to 60 % of PM_{2.5} in Beijing during biomass burning season.
- 4) Lines 463-468: Here is a discussion about the potential effect of photochemical reduction of Hg. It is true that Hg photoreduction usually induces enrichment in heavy and odd Hg isotopes in the remaining fraction (as observed by Bergquist and Blum, 2007). However, the inverse effect (enrichment in heavy and even Hg isotopes) was observed experimentally in presence of sulfur ligands (Zheng and Hintelmann, 2010).
- 165 Finally, could this explain the Hg isotope variations in PM2.5?

-- We noticed that a recent study (Zheng and Hintelmann, doi: 10.1021/jp9111348,

2010) reported negative Δ^{199} Hg values for the remaining fraction of Hg bound on sulfur-containing organic matter, which was observed under laboratory conditions.

- 170 In brief, Zheng and Hintelmann (2010) investigated photochemical reduction of Hg(II) by two classes of low molecular weight organic compounds. Their results showed that dissolved cysteine, an S-containing amino acid, slower the reduction of Hg(II) compared to serine, a compound having no organic sulfur functional group. Moreover, cysteine tends to consume magnetic isotopes (¹⁹⁹Hg and ²⁰¹Hg) from the
- 175 reactant Hg(II) at relatively faster rates whereas the photoreduction within serine-containing system enriched ¹⁹⁹Hg and ²⁰¹Hg in the reactant Hg(II). The overall reaction yields negative Δ^{199} Hg values for the remaining fraction of Hg(II) in the cysteine containing system.
- -- It is likely that PM_{2.5} may contain organic compounds that may have sulfur functional groups. However, extrapolation from the cited lab study to field study (such as this current study) is not appropriate at current stage as the content of organic sulfur bound on the PM organic matter was not quantified. It is known that inorganic sulfate is the dominant species of sulfur in PM_{2.5}, but no prior study indicated any organic sulfur present in PM_{2.5}. Without firm evidence, however, discussion of these processes and their effects on Hg isotope composition on the PM_{2.5} samples would be speculative and inconclusive.

5) Regarding the objective of the study, which was to evaluate "the effectiveness of
the Hg isotope technique for tracking the sources of the PM2.5-Hg", would you say
that Hg isotope signatures were necessary? It seems to me that the main conclusions
are made based on EC and Zn/Al ratio (shown in Figure 7). If only Hg concentrations
and isotope ratios were known, would you be able to address PM2.5-Hg sources?

-- Our answer to the above question is yes for certain situations and no for others. Our study could provide clear evidence that PM_{2.5}-Hg derived from biomass burning is distinguishable based on the Hg isotopic signatures. PM_{2.5}-Hg derived from other sources could not be apportioned readily with Hg isotope data alone at this stage. This is why other data such as EC and elemental data are added for better source
 apportionment of PM_{2.5}-Hg. This stresses also the importance of further study on Hg isotope systematics in atmosphere.

-- We believe that qualitative source apportionment could be much improved with more studies in this area. As we mentioned in the introduction, isotopes of Hg (and

- 205 also other metals) are rarely used for tracing the sources of Hg in atmospheric aerosols (actually this is the third study) and our primary results clearly demonstrated the usefulness of isotope approach. We think that an enormous effort and much more studies are needed to ultimately establish a complete method that could quantitatively distinguish the source of Hg and its host PM_{2.5}. As stated above, the
- 210 Hg isotope compositions in $PM_{2.5}$ are results of mixing, reaction and phase distribution. Given the fact that there was only little done on Hg isotopes in atmospheric particles and Hg isotopic fractionation during atmospheric processes, we attempted to characterize the Hg isotope signatures of different sources with strong support of geochemical approaches such as elemental ratios and EC content in $PM_{2.5}$
- 215 samples. Based on our study, the sources of Hg and $PM_{2.5}$ could be assessed qualitatively from both Hg isotope data and elemental analysis. We want to point out that this first Hg isotope study has largely improved our knowledge on particulate Hg and its origin and behavior in atmospheric fine particles in Beijing. Further research on Hg isotope composition may certainly help to achieve more precise
- 220 apportionment. Further studies are needed to quantify source-specific Hg isotope signatures and to characterize the process-specific isotope fractionation. This study is indeed a first step toward understanding of complex systems using the Hg isotope approach.
- 225 Minor comment:

On line 70, The range given for GEM δ 202Hg (-3.88 to 0.43 ‰ is incorrect. Demers et al. (2015) found values up to 1.4 ‰.

230 -- Corrected, thanks.

2. Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 4 July 2016

- Atmosphere Hg is considered to be the main source of mercury deposition into terrestrial ecosystem. Hence it is necessary to understand the primary mercury sources in the atmosphere and how they change with seasons. The manuscripts uses multiple proxies to understand the changing Hg sources in Beijing air. Using multi proxy is always a stronger tool as compared to using solely concentration based studies. The manuscript is well written and well organized. However, I have two major issues with the argument:
- The authors mention in the supplementary section (lines 70-72) from factor analysis results "Low loading of Hg in factors F-3 and F-4 suggest traffic emission and biomass burning sources may be not the major contributors for PM 2.5 bound Hg. "However from isotopic (negative Δ199Hg) signature biomass burning emission Hg was considered a major source in Autumn. The contradictory statements derived from two different proxies are confusing. This is a major drawback of the manuscript that needs to be fixed.

255

-- We thank this reviewer for his/her comment which is very similar to the comment made by the second reviewer on this issue. We have made thorough revision in the revised version of the paper to eliminate such an inaccurate statement.

-- Please refer to our above response to reviewer #2 on the same issue. In fact, the principal component analysis (PCA) calculation was done based only on the relationships between Hg and a limit number of other elements, which have different geochemical behaviors and/or may derive from different sources from Hg, and would thus give only an approximate estimation. Compared to PCA, the isotope approach would give more direct (even reliable) evidence for source tracing, as demonstrated by many previous studies on source tracing in variable environments (Chen et al., doi: 10.1021/es800725z, 2008, Chen et al., doi: 10.1016/j.gca.2012.05.005, 2012; Eiler et al., doi: 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2014.02.006, 2014; Wiederhold, doi: 10.1021/es504683e, 2015). We modified the text and made appreciate correction to make this clearer.

270 Please see line 378 to 385 on page 17 and line 434 to 436 on page 19 in revised version.

2). I am not sure whether enrichment factor (EF) can be used for PBM as it is only a small fraction of total atmospheric Hg. Hence the PBM EF will always be underestimated as compared to atmospheric Hg EF.

275

-- EF is a simple but useful approach for evaluating the enrichment/depletion pattern of an element compared to a reference. The fact that it is calculated by a double normalization relative to a reference reservoir (ideally the background) and using an inactive element can cancel out the dilution effect by major phases, and thus give more reliable information compared to only content or elemental ratio data. This is why it is widely employed in previously environmental studies (Milford and Davidson, doi: 10.1080/00022470.1985.10466027, 1985; Gao et al., doi: 10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00381-8, 2002; Waheed et al., doi:

- 10.1080/02786826.2010.528079, 2011; Chen et al., doi: 10.1002/2014GC005516, 2014; Mbengue et al., doi: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.08.010, 2014; Lin et al., doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2015.07.044, 2016), for evaluating the pollution level of a component, especially for solid geological materials. Given the fact that not too much work has been done to investigate the enrichment/depletion of heavy metals in
- 290 fine atmosphere particles (as seen from the limited data available in literature), it is necessary to carry out such basic research while studying the atmospheric pollution and the related health threat, especially in the polluted region such as Beijing where heavy metals are rarely studied. As demonstrated by the EFs calculation in this study, many toxic metals in our PM_{2.5} samples processed very high EF values (up to 20,869), implying the serious pollution fact and emphasizing the importance of
- studying toxic metals such as Hg (and other heavy metals) in atmospheric particles while accessing the potential threat of hazes on human health. As such, EF calculation is a (maybe not the best) useful approach for evaluating the pollution level of a toxic element in a specific environment at least up to now. According to its
- 300 calculation, the choice of reference is very important. Though the fine particles from natural background reservoir (such as Gobi dessert) would be more appreciable for such normalization, the lack of Hg content data (again due to limited work) renders it impossible to use them as a reference. We thus choose the Up Continental Crust

(UCC) as a reference in this study, unless another more appreciable reference exists.

305

310

-- In fact, the EF calculation is done based on the normalization to a certain reference (solid or liquid phase), it does not describe the distribution of elements amongst different phases (air, water, particles...), nor the proportion of one phase relative to the total. For example, EF calculated for river sediments does not consider the elemental concentrations in the overlying water column. Here the EF values calculated for PM_{2.5} do not include any information of Hg in the gaseous phase.

Minor issues:

- 315 1). There is a recent paper on PBM Hg isotopes in Elementa Special Issue by Das et al., (Mercury isotopes of atmospheric particle bound mercury for source apportionment study in urban Kolkata, India), it will be nice to see how the Hg isotope results compared from India and China.
- 320 -- Thanks. We have cited this paper in the revised version of the paper, and have briefly compared our results to those of this publication.

-- See revised version on line 290-292 "Three previous studies reported negative δ²⁰²Hg (from -3.48 ‰ to -0.12 ‰) but significantly positive Δ¹⁹⁹Hg (from -0.31 ‰ to 1.36 ‰) for atmospheric particles (Rolison et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2015; Das et al., 2016)." and on line 364-367 "Though the anthropogenic samples collected in this study could not cover the whole spectrum of anthropogenic contributions, previous studies reported similar δ202Hg range (from -3.48 ‰ to 0.77 ‰) for potential source materials worldwide (Biswas et al., 2008; Estrade et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2013; 330 Sun et al., 2014; Yin et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015b; Das et al., 2016)".

2). Line 455- Type "Lank". Should be lack.

-- We have deleted this sentence.

335

3. Jan G. Wiederhold (Referee) jan.wiederhold@univie.ac.at

Received and published: 13 July 2016

Reviewer: Jan Wiederhold (University of Vienna, Austria)

This manuscript presents Hg isotope data from atmospheric particles (PM2.5) collected over different seasons in Beijing (China). Together with additional 345 geochemical (OC/EC, element concentrations) and meteorological data, the authors try to explain the observed seasonal Hg isotope variations in the particles by varying contribution of different sources. The Hg isotope compositions of potential source materials (soils, industrial waste materials, coals etc.) were also measured and 350 compared with the values of the collected PM2.5 particles. The topic of the study is very interesting and novel and it lies within the scope of ACP. The manuscript presents an impressive dataset and the quality of the analytical data is high. I congratulate the authors to their interesting study which has the potential to become an important landmark study for Hg isotope signatures in urban PBM. However, I 355 believe that substantial revisions to the manuscript are necessary prior to a possible publication to provide missing information, to correct mistakes, to consider additional relevant publications, and to revise erroneous concepts and interpretations. Overall, I recommend major revisions with additional review. In the following, I will first highlight some general comments before providing a list of line comments referring to 360 individual sections of the manuscript.

-- We greatly thank Dr. Wiederhold for his very detailed and constructive comments and suggestions on the original version of this paper. We have carefully revised the paper accordingly. There is no doubt that his comments and suggestions have made much improved quality of the work and enhanced readability of the paper. We have specifically acknowledged him in the Acknowledgement section of this paper.

365

370

My first general comment refers to the important difference between mass-based and volume-based concentration data for atmospheric particles. The authors mostly discuss volume-based concentrations, but I believe that it would be more appropriate to report and consider mass-based concentrations in most parts of the results and

11 / 46

discussion section. As further discussed below, some of the discussed correlations seem obvious to me (samples with more PM2.5 will of course also contain higher volume based element contents) and I am rather surprised that some of these correlations (e.g., Fig 4a) are not better (suggesting significant differences in

correlations (e.g., Fig 4a) are not better (suggesting significant differences in mass-based concentrations which should be discussed). I suggest starting with a discussion of the seasonal differences in the amount of PM2.5 and then in the following to report and compare only mass-based concentrations values, except when element fluxes are discussed. Please see line comments below for specific examples.

380

375

-- We agree with the reviewer that mass-based concentration and volumetric concentration are two basic units for quantifying the contents of specific constituents including isotope compositions in natural samples such as soils, sediments, air, water, and suspended solids. Both units are very popular in atmospheric sciences partly

- 385 because the air quality standards are in volume based concentration whereas mass-law based reaction processes (such as chemical reaction kinetics) require either mass-based unit or volumetric concentration specified at constant temperature and pressure. In other words, both units are interchangeable in a paper dealing with air pollutants and particulate matter (Schleicher et al., Chemie der Erde Geochemistry,
- 73, 51-60, doi: 10.1016/j.chemer.2012.11.006, 2013; Mbengue et al., Atmos. Res., 135, 35-47, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.08.010, 2014; Schleicher et al., Atmos. Environ., 109, 251-261, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.03.018, 2015; Lin et al., Environ. Pollut., 208, 284-293, doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2015.07.044, 2016). Indeed, the volume-based concentration units are widely used in the PM literatures (e.g., Gao
- et al., Atmos. Envi., 36, 1077–1086, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00381-8, 2002; Tao et al., Atmos. Res., 135–136, 48–58, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.08.015, 2014; Visser et al., Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 2367–2386, doi:10.5194/acp-15-2367-2015; Das et al., Elementa, doi:10.12952/journal.elementa.000098, 2016).
- 400 -- In this paper we used both units for reasons described above. It should be pointed out that volumetric concentration is better for source apportionment of air pollutants including PM_{2.5}. For example, mixing of binary system yields a straight line for target components when volumetric concentration unit is used; however, the same process results in a curve when mass based concentration unit is used for PM_{2.5}. In
 405 other words, this reviewer was right that the same correlations obtained in this study
 - 12 / 46

may be different when the two different units of concentration are used; but the correlations established have different effectiveness as an indicator for sources. Good linear relationships in volumetric concentration plots may indicate mixing of two dominant sources, but the same datasets plotted using mass-based concentration would not provide such clear indication of mixing.

Secondly, I believe that there are still more details needed about some important aspects of the methodological procedures. I acknowledge that the authors have added some more information on the combustion of the filters in response to my previous

415 quick access review. However, I still miss the crucial information how the PM2.5 content was determined (e.g., weighing of filters after drying/conditioning? upper particle size cutoff?) which represents the basis for all mass-based concentrations. In addition, it is not described how the PM2.5 samples were removed from the filters for the performed acid digests or whether a representative aliquot of the filters was cut 420 out prior to combustion and used for the acid digests (which I guess was most likely the chosen approach).

-- We appreciate the reviewer for his above comments and suggestions. We have added more information for several methodological procedures which are largely standard in the atmospheric sciences. 425

Thirdly, I am very skeptical whether the performed calculations of enrichment factors using Al and the Upper Continental Crust (UCC) as reference points are really applicable to PM2.5 particles and also most of the source materials. The Al concentrations in the PM2.5 particles were very low (max. 15 ppm) suggesting that 430 alumosilicate minerals did not represent a major component of the particles. This doesn't exclude the presence of other mineral phases (such as carbonates from loess particles, Ca/Al >1 in all PM2.5 samples), but also indicates that organic matter probably constituted a major fraction of the PM2.5 particles. As further detailed 435 below, I suggest re-thinking the performed calculation of enrichment factors.

-- We agree with this reviewer that Al and the UCC as reference points may not be ideal for performing calculation of enrichment factors for atmospheric PM_{2.5}. There is a need of using source-specific elemental compositions for such data plotting or

410

- 440 calculation. However, without a more appropriate reference (such as Gobi Desert fine particles) or method available, we still choose to use the enrichment factor (EF) approach in this study because it is an established method that is simple and useful, and even reliable for evaluating the enrichment/depletion patterns of certain component and was widely used in the literature for similar pollution aspects. Please
- refer to our above response to the reviewer #3's second major comment on the similar issue. We think that Al is well adapted to this normalization as it is usually not of anthropogenic origin and it is not readily modified by secondary processes in the atmosphere. Other element such as Th and certain REEs may be also used for such propose. The EF calculation using Al as a reference has been widely used in atmospheric sciences (Milford and Davidson, J. Air Pollut. Control Assoc., 35:12, 1249-1260, doi: 10.1080/00022470.1985.10466027, 1985; Gao et al., Atmos. Environ., 36, 1077–1086, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00381-8, 2002; Waheed et al., Aerosol Sci. Technol., 45, 163-171, doi: 10.1080/02786826.2010.528079, 2011; Mbengue et al., Atmos. Res., 135, 35-47, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.08.010, 2014;

455 Lin et al., Environ. Pollut., 208, 284-293, doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2015.07.044, 2016).

My next general comment refers to the fact that some recently published studies were not considered by the authors. Most importantly, the study by Das et al. (2016, Elementa, doi:10.12952/journal.elementa.000098) reporting Hg isotope signatures of urban PBM from India should be considered and the data compared with the urban

460 urban PBM from India should be considered and the data compared with the urban PBM samples reported here. In addition, in the context of Hg isotopes in GEM, the authors should consider the recent papers by Fu et al. (2016, ES&T, doi:10.1021/acs.est.6b00033) and Enrico et al. (2016, ES&T, doi:10.1021/acs.est.5b06058).

465

-- We have updated these references, in addition, the newly published paper on Hg oxidation (Sun et al., doi: 10.1021/acs.est.6b01668, 2016) was also updated. We have made appropriate revisions for the text according to the new references.

470 Moreover, I have the impression that some of the interpretations and conclusions presented in the manuscript are not sufficiently supported by the presented data and arguments (e.g., isotopic evidence for local anthropogenic sources). The observation that the Hg isotope signatures of PM2.5 samples are "consistent" with signatures of potential anthropogenic sources does not represent sufficient proof as long as it is not

- 475 demonstrated that other potential Hg sources (natural or non-local anthropogenic) are isotopically distinct. As further detailed below, I suggest re-wording and carefully toning down some of the interpretations and conclusions.
- We have made all necessary and appropriate revisions in the revised version of the
 paper to carefully tune down the interpretations and conclusions. Again, as indicated
 by our data set and mentioned by the reviewer, this is one of the first few studies on
 Hg isotopes in atmospheric fine particles, and limited by the actual data (ours and of
 the literature), some of our conclusions and interpretations have to remain speculative
 and inconclusive. Anyway, as mentioned below by the reviewer, our study
 demonstrates clearly the usefulness of Hg isotope approach for identifying the sources
 of Hg in atmosphere fine particles and emphasizes the importance of further research
 on such issue.
- Furthermore, I believe that the authors should try to clarify and illustrate in a more
 detailed manner which new conclusions about atmospheric Hg cycling in urban environments can be drawn based on the presented Hg isotope data as opposed to previous studies investigating only elemental concentrations. Obviously, many applications of metal isotope ratios in environmental studies are still in an exploratory stage, but I believe that it is important to demonstrate the added value of isotopic data
 in comparison to more traditional study approaches.

We appreciate the reviewer for his suggestions. We believe that discussion of cycling of Hg in the atmosphere requires more data on the amount and isotopic composition) of Hg emitted in the urban environment, the speciation and the relative isotopic fractionation of the emitted Hg in terms of oxidation states (Hg⁰ versus and Hg²⁺) and gaseous versus particulate forms, and the equally detailed information on Hg and its isotope signature in the background atmosphere. These datasets are not available in our study nor in the literature at the local scale. We feel it is not appropriate for us to over extrapolate the conclusions of this study to the topic of cycling Hg in the studied area. Extensive quantification and characterization of the relevant parameters that aimed at the Hg cycling are apparently needed in our future study.

Finally, there are many problems with tense forms in the manuscript. I tried to list many of them in my line comments below, but I probably missed some. In general, I

- 510 suggest reporting all study-specific findings in past tense, in contrast to generally accepted facts which should be reported in present tense. However, statements referring to figures and tables in the manuscript should be in present tense (e.g., "data are shown" instead of "data were shown"). Please check the appropriate use of tense forms throughout the manuscript and/or seek advice from a native English speaker
- 515 (which I am not).

-- We appreciate this reviewer for the above comments. We have made substantial revision in the current version of the paper.

520

Line comments:

L28: maybe better "more positive" instead of "significant positive"

525 -- Changed.

L36: delete "biological" (is there non-biological toxicity?)

-- Deleted.

530

L38: Here and in many other places, please use present tense for generally accepted facts, whereas study-specific findings should be presented in past tense. Here: "has" instead of "had".

535 -- Changed accordingly.

L39: replace "including" with ":"

-- Changed.

540

L42: "is assumed to be" instead of "can be"

-- Changed.

L51: I suggest citing review papers/chapters on Hg isotopes (Blum et al., Hintelmann et al., Yin et al., : : :) here instead of only some selected studies which do not give the full picture. You could potentially add a second sentence here referring specifically to previous studies on atmospheric samples, which would cover some of the listed papers but more would need to be added.

-- We have cited the papers listed above and made appropriate changes in the revised version of the paper. Please see the revised version: "Mercury has seven stable isotopes (¹⁹⁶Hg, ¹⁹⁸Hg, ¹⁹⁹Hg, ²⁰⁰Hg, ²⁰¹Hg, ²⁰²Hg and ²⁰⁴Hg) and its isotopic ratios in the nature have attracted much interest in recent years (Yin et al., 2010; Hintelmann and Zheng, 2012; Blum et al., 2014; Cai and Chen, 2016)".

555

L53: The term "mainstream" is not clear in this context. Maybe replace with "primarily"?

-- Replaced.

560

L57: I think that there would be better citations for NVE (e.g., Schauble, 2007, GCA, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2007.02.004) and MIE (e.g., Buchachenko, 2009, Russ. Chem. Rev., doi:10.1070/RC2009v078n04ABEH003904 or 2013, J.Phys.Chem. B, doi:10.1021/jp308727w) of Hg isotopes.

565

575

-- We have cited the papers listed above in the revised version of the paper. Please see the revised version: "*The nuclear volume effect (NVE) (Schauble, 2007) and magnetic isotope effect (MIE) (Buchachenko, 2009) are thought to be the main causes for odd-MIF (Bergquist and Blum, 2007; Gratz et al., 2010; Wiederhold et al., 2010; Serbe 2011, Chern et al., 2012; Chern et al., 2012, Eilen et al., 2014)*"

570 Sonke, 2011; Chen et al., 2012; Ghosh et al., 2013; Eiler et al., 2014)".

L58: I think that more recent studies (both theoretical and experimental) have agreed on a slope for NVE of about 1.6. The statement ">1.5" also includes the higher theoretical values of >2 postulated in earlier papers (e.g., Estrade et al., 2009) which have no experimental support and were based on older compilations of nuclear charge radii which have been updated recently. Please see discussions in Wiederhold et al. (2010, ES&T, doi: 10.1021/es100205t), Ghosh et al. (2013, Chem. Geol., doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2012.01.008) or Eiler et al. (2014, Chem. Geol., doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2014.02.006) on this topic.

580

-- We have made appropriate changes in the revised version of the paper. Please see the revised version: "Theoretical and experimental data suggested a Δ^{199} Hg/ Δ^{201} Hg ratio about 1.6 for NVE (Zheng and Hintelmann, 2009, 2010), and a Δ^{199} Hg/ Δ^{201} Hg ratio mostly between 1.0 and 1.3 for MIE as a result of photolytic reductions under aquatic (and atmospheric) conditions (Zheng and Hintelmann, 2009; Malinovsky et al., 2010; Wiederhold et al., 2010; Zheng and Hintelmann, 2010a; Sonke, 2011; Ghosh et al., 2013; Eiler et al., 2014)."

L59: "a" instead of "the"

590

585

-- Changed.

L59: I suggest writing "mostly between 1.0 and 1.3" as slope for the MIE and, in addition, referring to more recent papers or reviews that discuss these numbers.

595

600

-- We have made appropriate changes in the revised version of the paper. Please see the revised version: "Theoretical and experimental data suggested a Δ^{199} Hg/ Δ^{201} Hg ratio about 1.6 for NVE (Zheng and Hintelmann, 2009, 2010), and a Δ^{199} Hg/ Δ^{201} Hg ratio mostly between 1.0 and 1.3 for MIE as a result of photolytic reductions under aquatic (and atmospheric) conditions (Zheng and Hintelmann, 2009; Malinovsky et al., 2010; Wiederhold et al., 2010; Zheng and Hintelmann, 2010a; Sonke, 2011; Ghosh et al., 2013; Eiler et al., 2014)."

605

L68: This list is not complete anymore due to recent publications (e.g., Das et al., 2016, Fu et al., 2016, Enrico et al., 2016, see details above) and also some older ones are missing here (e.g., Zambardi et al., 2009; Demers et al., 2013).

-- We have added the above references in the revised version of the paper. Please see the revised version: "Up to now, several studies reported Hg isotopic

- 610 compositions in atmospheric samples (Zambardi et al., 2009; Gratz et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2012; Sherman et al., 2012; Demers et al., 2013; Rolison et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2014; Demers et al., 2015; Sherman et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2015; Das et al., 2016; Enrico et al., 2016; Fu et al., 2016)".
- 615 L70: Check more recent papers for updated isotopic ranges of GEM.

-- We have made appropriate changes in the revised version of the paper. Please see the revised version: "These studies reported large variations of Δ^{199} Hg and δ^{202} Hg values for GEM (ranged from -0.41 ‰ to 0.06 ‰ for Δ^{199} Hg, and from -3.88 ‰ to 1.40 ‰ for δ^{202} Hg, respectively) (Zambardi et al., 2009; Gratz et al., 2010; Sherman

et al., 2010; Rolison et al., 2013; Yin et al., 2013; Das et al., 2016; Enrico et al., 2016; Fu et al., 2016)".

L74: Why "More importantly,"? I suggest replacing this with "In addition,".

625

620

-- Changed.

L84: This would be the place to refer to and describe the findings of Das et al. (2016, see above) on Hg isotopes in PBM samples from an urban environment in India.

630

-- We have made appropriate change in the revised version of the paper.

L89: add "metal" after "non-ferrous" (also in line 280)

635 -- Added.

L93: "carriers" instead of "carrier"

-- Changed.

640

L93/94: I suggest changing the sentence to "If PM2.5 is emitted: : :".

-- Changed.

L99: "coupled" instead of "coupling"

645

-- Changed.

L113: Here and in the following: In contrast to the names of months, the names of seasons are usually not capitalized (e.g., "summer" instead of "Summer").

650

-- We have made all necessary changes in the revised version of the paper.

L115: delete the second "the"

655 -- Deleted.

L122: "is" instead of "was"

-- Changed.

660

665

L125: I suggest stating here the air volume in m3 which is represented by one sample (24 h x flow rate). Did you weigh the filters before and after use to quantify the PM fraction? If yes, did you have to dry/condition them to correct for humidity differences? What is the upper cutoff of the collected particle fraction, i.e. did you had a pre-filter to exclude larger particles? You used very high flow rates, so bigger particles may well have entered the sampling system. In conclusion, please provide more details about the PM2.5 sampling procedure.

-- We have added detail information in the revised version of the paper.

670

-- We followed a standard procedure for PM sampling. The $PM_{2.5}$ samples were collected using a Tisch Environmental $PM_{2.5}$ high volume air sampler, which collects particles at a flow rate of 1.0 m³ min⁻¹ through a $PM_{2.5}$ size selective inlet. As the particles travel through the size selective inlet the larger particles are trapped inside of

675 the inlet while the smaller-size ($PM_{2.5}$) particles continue to travel through the $PM_{2.5}$ inlet and are collected on a pre-combusted (450 °C for 6 hrs) quartz fiber filter (Pallflex 2500 QAT-UP, 20 cm × 25 cm, Pallflex Product Co., USA). The mass of $PM_{2.5}$ on each filter was measured using a gravimetric method by the mass difference before and after sampling. The filters were conditioned in a chamber with a relative humidity of about 48% and a temperature of 20±2 °C for about 24 h before and after sampling.

L126: Please specify what negligible means in this context (e.g., <1% of Hg in samples?).

685

680

-- Revised. Now it reads "A field blank was also collected during sampling and the value (< 0.2 ng of Hg, n = 6) was negligible (< 2 %) compared to the total Hg mass contained in the PM_{2.5} samples".

- 690 L138: Please add "samples" after "topsoil". Moreover, I would be interested to learn more about these "topsoil samples". Are these "organic surface layers" (e.g., litter, Ohorizons) or "mineral soil horizons" (e.g., Ah horizons). In simplified terms, are these samples dominantly organic or mineral material?
- 695 -- Revised. Please see the revised version: "four topsoil samples (surface horizon: organics mixed with mineral matter, these samples are not natural soil on typical soil profiles) from the center city of Beijing (Olympic Park, Beihai Park, the Winter Palace and Renmin University of China (RUC)), two dust samples from RUC (one building roof dust and one road dust)".

700

L140: How did you collect the "total suspended particle" sample and which size fraction does it represent?

705

-- Revised: "The sampling of total suspended particle (TSP) was carried out using a
made in house low volume (about 1.8 m³ h⁻¹) air sampler equipped with a TSP inlet,
and a pre-cleaned mixed fiber filter (47 mm) was used for the TSP low-volume inlets.
Please see line 158 to 160 in revised version".

L143: delete "the"

710

-- Deleted.

L148: delete "the"

-- Deleted.

715

720

725

L151: Why did you use 20% SnCl2 for concentration measurements?

-- A 0.5-mL of 20% SnCl₂ solution was introduced in a 20-mL purge solution (Hg free) to convert Hg^{2+} to Hg^0 after the sample solutions added, then the Hg^0 was purged out by N₂ (Hg free) and trapped on gold-coated beads for Hg concentration measurements.

L56: "J. Wiederhold" did not provide any of these two standards. Joel Blum provides the UM-Almaden standard and NIST-3133 is available from NIST. I (Jan Wiederhold) only provide the "ETH Fluka" secondary standard which is mentioned in line 160, but which was not used in this study.

-- Sorry for making such an inaccurate statement. We have made appropriate changes in the revised version of the paper.

730

L162: add "a" after "via"

-- Added.

T35 L165: "quartz" instead of "quarts" (also in line 168)

-- Changed.

L169: I suggest adding "precleaned" before "at 500C". I assume that you didn't do the capping at 500C: : :

-- Added.

L170: I suggest clarifying this to "The sample tube was then placed into the large quartz tube of the furnace".

-- Changed.

L171: Do you mean "routine" instead of "route"?

750 -- Yes, it is now changed.

> L173: This doesn't make sense. If there was indeed Hg(0) in the trap solutions which was not oxidized by the HNO3, it would have been purged out during the combustion procedure. Thus, if you added BrCl afterwards, it could only serve the purpose of stabilizing the Hg(II) in solution, rather than oxidizing it.

-- Agree. We have now changed the sentence to "An aliquot (50 μ L) of 0.2 M BrCl was added to the above trapping solution to stabilize the Hg^{2+*} .

760 L174: add "of" before "about"

-- Changed.

755

770

L185: Did not you also use Tl for mass-bias correction in addition to standard-bracketing? 765

-- Yes, we added Tl for mass-bias correction. We have now added delineation of this procedure. Please see the revised version: "The instrumental mass bias was double corrected by both internal standard NIST SRM 997 Tl and by sample-standard bracketing (SSB) using international standards NIST SRM 3133 Hg".

L189: Did you also measure 204Hg? The previous method paper (Huang et al., 2015, JAAS) included data for 204 and if you have these data, please add them to the manuscript. As you certainly know, data for 204 in parallel to 200 might be helpful to better understand even-mass MIF.

775

-- Yes, we measured ²⁰⁴Hg. Those data do not provide more information than ²⁰⁰Hg, thus we would like to add the data of ²⁰⁴Hg in SI.

780 L195: Please make sure to add permil signs to all values and their errors (here after "0.13").

-- Added.

785 L196: How did you calculate the precision of the NIST data (bracketed against itself? with samples in between or not?).

-- Yes, we computed the NIST data using the bracketing method as briefed the reviewer's question.

790

L197: Here and in the following (e.g., L202): Please add permil signs to all isotope results. The permil sign is not a unit (such as mg/L) but a factor and the delta value is not correctly reported if you don't add the permil sign.

795 -- Added.

L211: How do you know that the recoveries for the samples were in the acceptable range? As far as I can tell, you didn't know the Hg concentrations of your PM2.5 samples prior to the combustion. If you saved a part of the filter and performed an acid digest on it, then please provide the necessary details. Otherwise, I don't think that you can make a statement on recovery of your PM2.5 samples during the combustion step.

The reviewer is right that we cannot provide the recoveries for Hg bound on the
 PM_{2.5} samples. We have made necessary corrections to eliminate such incorrect description. Please see line 193 to 195 on page 9 and line 232 to 233 on page 11 in revised version.

L212: On which sample material did you conduct the OC/EC analysis? Did you have to remove the particles from the filter prior to analysis? If yes, how was this achieved? If there was inorganic carbon in the samples (e.g., carbonate from loess particles), would this interfere with the OC/EC analysis? 815

-- There is a standard procedure for analyzing EC/OC ratio. This procedure is often used in atmosphere chemistry field based on an assumption that PM samples, particularly PM_{2.5}, may contain inorganic carbon that is either negligible or not decomposed at the oxidation temperature (800°C) (see published paper by Chow et al., Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, doi: 10.1029/2001JD000574, 2002). In this study, we used this procedure and the same assumption for quantifying EC/OC ratio. In brief, a 0.5 cm^2 punch from each samples was analyzed for OC and EC 820

- with a Desert Research Institute (DRI) Model 2001 Thermal/Optical Carbon Analyzer (Atmoslytic Inc., Calabasas, CA, USA) for eight carbon fractions following the IMPROVE (Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual **Environments**) thermal/optical reflectance (TOR) protocol. The eight well-defined fractions of 825 carbon are four organic carbon fractions (OC1, OC2, OC3, and OC4 at 120°C, 250°C, 450°C, and 550°C, respectively, in a He atmosphere), a pyrolyzed carbon fraction (OP, determined when a reflected laser light attained its original intensity after O₂ was added to the analysis atmosphere), and three elemental carbon fractions (EC1, EC2, and EC3 at 550°C, 700°C, and 800°C, respectively, in a 2% O₂/98% He atmosphere).
- IMPROVE OC is defined as OC1+OC2+OC3+OC4+OP and EC is defined as 830 EC1+EC2+EC3-OP.

L217: Please explain how you digested the PM2.5 samples. Did you cut out a part of the filter prior to combustion and digested it? If yes, did the HF dissolve the whole quartz fiber filter?

-- We used the HF digestion method to dissolve both PM_{2.5} samples and the quartz filter without combustion. We used a 1.5 cm² punch from each samples and dissolved it completely with HF. We didn't observe any solid residue in the final solution after HF treatment. Please see the revised version in line 241 to 242.

840

835

L218: I suggest replacing "run out" with "exhausted".

-- Changed.

845

L224: "are" instead of "were".

-- Changed.

850 L225: I don't think that you explained in the methods section how you quantified the PM2.5 contents of your samples.

-- The reviewer was right that we didn't explain the method for quantifying the $PM_{2.5}$ contents of our samples. The contents were computed based on the flowrate of air samples, the time period of sampling, and the mass of the $PM_{2.5}$ collected on the filter paper. This is a standard procedure so that we didn't describe it in the text.

L227: If you discuss carbon concentrations of the PM2.5 samples, I would discuss primarily the mass-based values. In my view (although I am certainly not an expert in this field), I suggest that you first discuss the variations in the amount of PM2.5 (i.e. total mass of particles on the filter after 24 h sampling) and its seasonal variations. In the following, I would then primarily discuss mass-based concentrations to describe qualitative differences between the PM2.5 samples and only come back to volumetric values if you want to discuss total fluxes of Hg or other constituents.

865

855

-- We appreciate the reviewer for the suggestions. We have replied to the reviewer in the general comments provided above. Here are the responses copied from our responses to general comments.

We agree with the reviewer that mass-based concentration and volumetric concentration are described from different expressions of contaminant. Both units are very popular in atmospheric sciences partly because the air quality standards are in volume based concentration whereas mass-law based reaction processes (such as chemical reaction kinetics) require either mass-based unit or volumetric concentration specified at constant temperature and pressure. It should be pointed out volumetric concentration is better for source apportionment for air pollutants including PM_{2.5}. For example, mixing of binary system yields a straight line for target components when volumetric concentration unit is used; however, the same process results in a curve when mass based concentration unit is used for PM_{2.5}. In this paper we used both units interchangeably in this paper dealing with air pollutants and particulate matter.

L228-232: Based on these values, I can't tell whether the described variations were due to the fact that the OC/EC contents of the particles varied or only the amount of particles (or both).

885

-- We used both mass-based and volume-based concentrations to describe the OC and EC in this section and also in the discussion section.

L234: This value means that the winter samples consisted of about 17 mass-% OC
which probably means that about one third of the PM2.5 sample mass consisted of organic matter (using the crude approximation OM ~ 2xOC). Some samples maybe consisted of up to 50% of organic matter. This needs to be considered in the interpretation of Hg content and other elemental concentrations and strongly questions the normalization to "upper continental crust" values for rocks (see further comments below).

-- We agree with this reviewer that OC content is significantly higher in winter $PM_{2.5}$ samples with a range of 108 to 240 mg/g (Table S1) than those in other seasons. High OC contents in $PM_{2.5}$ are generally reported in previous studies (Lin et al., J.

- 900 Geophysical Research, doi: 10.1029/2008JD010902, 2009), particularly higher in urban area with heavy atmospheric pollution. Indeed, we have considered the relationship between carbon and Hg concentrations in winter, as we described in line 403 to 407 on page 18 that "*High EC content in winter PM*_{2.5} might result from additional coal combustion during the heating season, when coal was widely used in
- 905 both suburban communities and rural individual families (Wang et al., 2006; Song et al., 2007; Schleicher et al., 2015). This additional coal burning could considerably increase Hg and EC emission, explaining the relatively higher $PM_{2.5}$ -Hg (1340 ng g-1, p < 0.01) and carbon contents (Table S1)".
- 910 L236: "discuss" instead of "discussed"

-- Changed.

L236: Why did you choose EC values for the further discussion? In my (probably 915 rather ignorant) view, the elemental carbon fraction of the total carbon is not necessarily important for the interaction with Hg or other metals which will bind to functional groups of organic matter, but much less to unreactive elemental carbon. In any case, you should present a better introduction into the different carbon fractions (total carbon, organic carbon, elemental carbon, black carbon, inorganic carbon, :::) and what they represent.

920

-- Here EC is used as an indicator for the source of PM because EC is an ideal indicator for combustion source and it is not readily modified by secondary processes in the atmosphere. PM with high EC can provide linkage with characteristics of Hg 925 isotope signature unique for the combustion source. The EC and OC contents are not (should not be) intended for making any suggestion of chemical or physicochemical interactions between the airborne organic matter and Hg in the atmosphere.

930 -- To accommodate the above comments of this reviewer, we have revised the manuscript in line 260-263 of page 12. It reads "Since EC contents were closely correlated to OC ($r^2 = 0.89$, p < 0.001), we discuss only EC contents in the following as an indicator for the source of PM, because EC is a significant pollutant of combustion source that is not readily modified by secondary processes in the atmosphere". 935

L239: "are" instead of "were"

-- Changed.

940

L244: "those" instead of "the" and add "a" after "From"

-- Changed.

945 L250: In my view, if you discuss "Hg contents in atmospheric particles", then these should be mass-based values and not volumetric values. I don't know the convention in atmospheric chemistry, but maybe "Hg load in atmospheric particles" could be used to compare volumetric concentrations from different sites?

950 -- We have changed the phrase according to the above comment. It reads now "Previous studies also reported similar variation for Hg loads in atmospheric particles in Beijing (Wang et al., 2006; Schleicher et al., 2015)"

L264: Please also consider the new data by Das et al. (reference see above) in this context. In general, I would keep the comparison to other studies rather short in the results section and save this for the discussion sections.

-- Added. Please see line 290 to 292 on page 13 in revised version.

960 L266: "are shown" instead of "were showed"

-- Changed.

L272: I suggest discussing the mass-based Hg contents in more detail and for instance comparing them with the OC contents. I wouldn't be surprised if you find a correlation as if often observed in natural samples (e.g., Hg/C ratios in soils or sediments).

-- Again, OC and EC are used as independent indicators for source of PM
970 (combustion). It was not used for implying any chemical interaction between the organic matter and Hg.

L289: "effects are" instead of "effect were"

975 -- Changed.

L292: "is" instead of "was"

-- Changed.

980

L295: Al is not an "insoluble" element but only somewhat less reactive compared with other elements during some mineral weathering reactions. As discussed above, I suggest removing the questionable normalization of the PM2.5 samples to the rock

composition of the upper continental crust because these particles are very different

- and contain multiple constituents (e.g., organic matter, secondary minerals) which cannot be simply compared with primary minerals in igneous rocks. I acknowledge that discussing element concentration ratios relative to Al (or other "lithogenic" elements) might make sense in some cases to estimate mineral matter vs. non-mineral matter, but you need to state and consider the assumptions of this approach (Al as a potential tracer for mineral material in PM2.5). Al concentration varied by more than an order of magnitude for the PM2.5 samples (Table S3) and even several magnitudes for the source materials. Moreover, the maximum Al contents were only 16 ppm in the PM2.5 samples and 63 ppm in the source materials, questioning whether this
- element can serve as a good reference base for the normalization of dilution effects.

995

1000

990

985

-- We have revised the sentence. Please see in the revised text of the paper (see line 323). It reads now "The EF of a given element was calculated using a double normalization (to the less reactive element Al here) and the upper continental crust (UCC) (Rudnick and Gao, 2003) was chosen as the reference (see detailed in Supporting Information)".

-- We agree with this reviewer that Al as a normalizer may not be ideal for performing calculation of enrichment factors for atmospheric PM_{2.5}. Without more appropriate elements available, we still use Al for the enrichment factor (EF) calculation in this study because that Al is well adapted to this normalization as it is usually not of 1005 anthropogenic origin and it is not readily modified by secondary processes in the atmosphere. As mentioned by the reviewer, the main goal of such a double normalization is to show how difference (pollution level) of the studied objects compared to natural materials, we think the use of Al does suit this need. The high EF(Hg) values for most samples imply clearly that somehow Hg is enriched in PM_{2.5} 1010 by natural or anthropogenic processes (or contribution), and that more systematic research is needed for better understanding this enrichment. This is why we conducted this study with an attempt to use isotope approach. The EF calculation has been widely used in research of atmospheric aerosol particles, especially on a pollution aspect (Milford and Davidson, Journal of the Air Pollution Control 1015 Association, 35:12, 1249-1260, doi: 10.1080/00022470.1985.10466027, 1985; Gao et al., Atmos. Environ., 36, 1077-1086, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00381-8, 2002;

Waheed et al., Aerosol Sci. Technol., 45, 163-171, doi: 10.1080/02786826.2010.528079, 2011; Mbengue et al., Atmos. Res., 135, 35-47, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.08.010, 2014; Lin et al., Environ. Pollut., 208, 284-293, doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2015.07.044, 2016).

-- To accommodate the above comments of this reviewer, we have added relevant references to show EF is widely used in atmosphere and revised the manuscript in line 325-328 for Al.

L296: This approach might have some usefulness in interpreting element contents in relatively coarse grained and mineral-matter dominated river sediments (e.g., Chen et al., 2014, G3), but I am not convinced that it can be easily adapted to atmospheric PM2.5 samples.

-- Again, we agree with this reviewer that Al and the UCC as reference points may not be ideal for performing calculation of enrichment factors for atmospheric PM_{2.5}. There is a need of using source-specific elemental compositions for such data plotting
1035 or calculation. As shown above (reply to general comments), it has been commonly used in the PM literatures. We thus choose to use it in this study to show easily the enrichment of Hg in our PM_{2.5} samples compared to the natural up continental crust. Future study for addressing this issue should be conducted and a better method may be proposed.

1040

1045

1025

1030

L300: "is" instead of "was". In addition, the "UCC" is generally not a good reference point for "natural terrestrial reservoirs" when discussing mercury cycling in the environment which is often dominated by organic-matter-bound Hg. Moreover, I believe that the 50 ppb average value for "lithogenic" Hg (UCC) from the Rudnick&Gao compilation is actually very poorly-constrained and might be too high considering newer data (see e.g., discussion in Canil et al., 2015, Chem. Geol., doi: 10.1016/j.chemgeo.2014.12.029 or data on various rock reference materials from

1050 -- We have revised "was" into "is". The response for UCC is showed in the front. The difference of the reference value is more likely due to that the investigated

Marie et al., 2015, GGR, doi: 10.1111/j.1751-908X.2013.00254.x).

samples are totally different, one from Canada (Canil et al., 2013) and another one from East China (Rudnick and Gao, 2003) and that the calculation was carried out using different methods or data set. Anyway this is out the main goal of this study. In spite of this, it will not change considerably the order of EF values among samples. As we mentioned, the choice of the fine particle form the west Gobi Desert would be more appreciable given the actual background of study area, but lack of Hg data, we are not able to carry out such calculation. The use of UCC also allows for a comparison with the chemistry of other atmosphere particles worldwide.

1060

1055

L302: This statement doesn't make sense to me. Table S4 does not contain Hg concentrations. If you are referring to the Hg concentrations of topsoil samples in Table S2, then these are very variable and certainly dominated by Hg sources other than "lithogenic" alumosilicates. Thus, although higher Hg contents (or EFs) might 1065 indeed imply that the Hg is not originating from crustal rocks, this doesn't prove a "strong anthropogenic contribution" because Hg in PM2.5 might have many "natural" (or at least "non-local industrial") Hg sources other than the UCC. Normalizing metal concentrations in industrial waste materials such as sintering dust to UCC values is also not really useful in my view. If enrichment factors should be calculated, I would 1070 rather suggest to normalize element contents in urban atmospheric PM2.5 samples to contents in "pristine" atmospheric PM2.5 samples collected far away from local pollution sources. However, even this is probably difficult (if technically feasible at all) because of the different dominant constituents of the particles (e.g., mineral-matter vs. organic matter).

1075

-- We appreciate the reviewer for the above comments. Indeed, we made incorrect statement by referring it to Table S4. It should be referring Table S2. We have made the correction now. Please see the revised version of marked manuscript in line 334 on page 15.

1080

-- We agree that the UCC may be not the ideal reference. As shown above (reply to general comments), it has been commonly used in the PM literatures. We thus used it in this study for a global comparison. Future study for addressing this issue should be conducted and a better method may be proposed.

1085

L306: Don't get me wrong, I am totally convinced that the collected PM2.5 samples are strongly influenced by anthropogenic contributions, I am just not convinced by the presented argumentation.

- 1090 -- We have revised the sentence. Now, it reads "*The strong anthropogenic contribution may be also supported by the relationships between Hg and other particulate components in PM*_{2.5}."
- L308: Maybe I am getting confused now, but isn't it rather obvious that volumetric-based element concentrations correlate with the volumetric PM2.5 content or other elemental contents (more particles = higher volumetric element contents)? It seems actually surprising that you only get an r2 of 0.4 for the correlation of volumetric Hg and PM2.5. This implies that the mass-based Hg concentrations are varying over the seasons (see Table S1) which should be further explored and discussed in my view (and maybe also Hg/OC ratios etc.).

-- As shown above (reply to general comments), mixing of binary system (air mass) yields a straight line for target components when volumetric concentration unit is used; good linear relationships in volumetric concentration plots may indicate mixing of

- 1105 two air mass sources, particularly the change of the volume concentration impacted by an air mass for a few days in the same direction, as described of winter samples. However, linear relationship is not good over the long time period of observation (4 seasons, for instance), mainly because of the seasonal variation of air masses. The difference of the slopes of the linear relationships indicates the variance of target
- 1110 components in seasonal air masses, which potentially suggests the target component has distinct sources. This differences are observed in Hg (volume-based) concentration vs PM_{2.5} and Zn (volume-based) concentration. In contrast, good linear relationship over the seasons may indicate that the target components have collaboratively similarity even though they are emitted from different sources (e.g. Hg
- 1115 vs EC in volumetric concentration). Thus, we investigated the relationship between Hg and other elements on a volume basis (Fig. 4).

L310: "results" instead of "resulted"

1120 -- Changed

L311/312: Again, I suggest discussing only element correlations on a mass basis.

-- We have replied to the above comments.

1125

L312: "show" instead of "showed"

-- Changed.

1130 L318: Again, I don't doubt the anthropogenic contribution in PM2.5 but I believe that the calculation of EFs using Al and relative to the UCC is not meaningful and generates values which are not a realistic quantitative estimate of the true anthropogenic enrichment relative to "natural PM2.5". These extremely high numbers seem to imply extreme anthropogenic enrichment effects whereas some of it can be simply explained with higher metal contents in natural organic matter compared with crustal rocks.

-- Again, we agree with this reviewer that Al and the UCC as reference points may not be ideal for performing calculation of enrichment factors for atmospheric PM_{2.5}. There is a need of using source-specific elemental compositions for such data plotting 1140 or calculation. As we mentioned, the EF calculation just show how enriched an element in a certain reservoir compared to a global "natural" reservoir, no matter what is the process or contribution source. The fact that most PM_{2.5} samples have very high EFs do indicate that these samples are somehow enriched in Hg compared to for example the UCC, by natural processes (such as organic incorporation as the reviewer 1145 mentioned) or by anthropogenic input. Sure, we cannot exclude the natural effect on the enrichment of Hg in these particles by natural organic process/emission (we have never denied this in the text), but we cannot exclude the enormous input of Hg by anthropogenic input either. The fact is that, for calculating the EF value, one can only choose a single reference. Considering the existing data set and the limited 1150 studies of Hg in atmosphere and in particles of "natural" reservoirs, the UCC with a large available data set is likely the best choice at the moment. We think that this is the reason why the EF approach (usually normalized to UCC) has been commonly used in the literature of PM studies. Future study for addressing this issue should be
conducted and a better method may be proposed. This is also one of the most important inputs of this study, given the fact that most of these fine particles are highly enriched in heavy metals, it is absolutely necessary to carry out further systematic study on heavy metal issue, especially in a such populated region like Beijing, where over 21 million persons live, without taking into account people living in the adjacent region and the numerous visitors to the Chinese Capital.

L319: What do you mean by "largely enriched"? Do you mean "strongly enriched"?

-- Changed.

1165

L320: What do you mean by "centralized human activities"? This term is not clear to me.

-- We have changed it to "elevated anthropogenic activities".

1170

L323: add "a" before "large"

-- Added.

L323-335: This section illustrates a problematic tendency in the interpretation of the data. The finding that Hg isotope ratios are "consistent" with those of potential source materials is not sufficient to make strong statements about Hg sources. In order to establish a robust link between the Hg isotope data of the PM2.5 samples and specific anthropogenic Hg sources, you first need to show that other potential Hg sources
(natural Hg and non-local anthropogenic Hg) exhibit contrasting signatures. Being "consistent" is not the same as identifying source materials based on isotopic differences. The wording here develops from "consistent" and "likely indicated" to "confirming these anthropogenic emission(s) as the major sources.." which is not appropriate in my view. Please carefully check your arguments and tone down interpretations where necessary.

-- We have made revisions to tune down the interpretations and conclusions. Please

see the revised version in line 357 to 370.

- 1190 -- It now reads "Hg isotopic signatures may further indicate that human activities contributed to a large proportion of $PM_{2.5}$ -Hg. In this study, all samples displayed a large variation of δ^{202} Hg (from -2.18 ‰ to 0.51 ‰, Table S1), similar to those (from -2.67 ‰ to 0.62 ‰, Table S2) determined for the particulate materials from potential sources such as coal combustion (averaged -1.10 ± 1.20 ‰, 1SD, n = 8), smelting
- 1195 $(-0.87 \pm 0.82 \%, 1SD, n = 6)$ and cement plants $(-1.42 \pm 0.36 \%, 1SD, n = 10)$, as demonstrated in Figs. 2 and 3. This similarity might indicate the emission of these anthropogenic sources as the possible contributing sources of PM_{2.5}-Hg. Though the anthropogenic samples collected in this study could not cover the whole spectrum of anthropogenic contributions, previous studies reported similar δ^{202} Hg range (from
- 1200 -2.64 % to 0.77 ‰) for potential source materials worldwide (Biswas et al., 2008; Estrade et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2014; Yin et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015b). In fact, most PM_{2.5} samples possessed Δ^{199} Hg similar to those determined in above-mentioned particulate materials (-0.27 ‰ to 0.04 ‰, Figs. 2 and 3) in this study, suggesting these anthropogenic emission as the major sources of PM_{2.5}-Hg".

1205

L338: Please consider my critical comments about the Al normalization written above.

-- Again, we appreciate the reviewer for the above comments. We agree that Al may
1210 be not the ideal reference. As shown above (reply to general comments), Al is well adapted to this normalization as it is usually not of anthropogenic origin and it is not readily modified by secondary processes in the atmosphere, and it has been commonly used in the PM literatures. We thus used it in this study. Future study for addressing this issue should be conducted and a better method may be proposed.

1215

1220

L350: Does "As a result" refer to the previously discussed PCA analysis? As far as I can tell, Hg isotope data were not included in the PCA analysis. How can you state with certainty that "the Hg isotope compositions suggested: : :" if you don't know whether the measured Hg isotope signatures of the local anthropogenic sources are distinct from other potential sources?
-- Revised. It now reads "As a result, the Hg isotopic compositions may potentially suggest that coal combustion, smelting and cement plants were major sources of $PM_{2.5}$ -Hg".

1225

L353: "are" instead of "were"

-- Changed.

1230 L355: Would you expect a linear relationship between delta-values and EFs based on stable isotope mixing theory? As you are certainly aware, mixing lines of delta values vs. concentrations are only linear if plotted with the inverse concentration (1/Hg).

-- No, we did not expect a linear relationship between delta-values of Hg isotopes and
 EF(Hg), but indeed, a linear relationship is observed and could not be explained by the stable isotope mixing theory.

L360: Please explain what the "EC/Al" ratio is supposed to show. Is this maybe a measure for the relative content of organic matter (or rather only certain C fractions)and mineral matter in the PM2.5 samples?

-- This is a measure for the relative content of elemental carbon (soot). Since EC is used as an indicator for the source of PM, because EC is an ideal indicator for combustion source and not readily modified by secondary processes in the atmosphere,

1245 the EC/Al ratio is used to show the effect of particles from combustion sources on Hg isotopic composition of PM_{2.5}.

L369: "considerably" instead of "considerately"

1250 -- Changed.

L370: It appears that this "winter effect" on carbon contents is mainly apparent in OC and less in EC.

1255 -- The mass-based concentrations of Hg, EC and OC in winter are significantly

(p<0.01) higher than those in other three seasons. As shown above, EC is an ideal indicator for combustion source and not readily modified by secondary processes in the atmosphere, EC thus as an indicator for the source of PM may provide linkage with characteristics of Hg isotope signature unique for the combustion source.

1260

L373: Again, being "consistent" is not a strong support but only shows that it could be possible to explain the data in this way, without demonstrating that other explanations are not possible.

1265 -- We have reworded this sentence. Please see the revised version of the manuscript in line 401 to 405. It reads now "In this study, both δ²⁰²Hg (mean -0.71 ± 0.37 ‰) and Δ¹⁹⁹Hg (-0.08 ± 0.11 ‰, 1SD, n = 6) for winter PM_{2.5} samples were consistent with those in coals (average δ²⁰²Hg values of -0.73 ± 0.33 ‰ and Δ¹⁹⁹Hg of -0.02 ± 0.08 ‰, respectively) from northeastern China (Yin et al., 2014), possibly supporting
1270 the above conclusion"

1270 *the above conclusion*".

L375: What do you mean by "rapid" in this context?

We have revised this sentence. Now it reads "Compared to the data of other
 seasons, the volumetric EC concentrations varied greatly in winter, whereas the Zn/Al ratio of the same season remained stable".

L376: What is the relevance of the Zn/Al ratio for Hg cycling? Please add more explanations.

1280

-- The Zn/Al ratio is not used for Hg cycling, but as an indicator for PM emission sources of industries that could emit particulate Hg too. Please see line 411 to 412 in revised version.

1285 L382: "potentially" instead of "eventually"

-- Changed.

L383: Again, does "lower contents of Hg" refer to mass-based on volume-based

1290 concentrations? I think that the mass-based Hg concentration were actually highest in winter.

-- It refers to the volume-based concentration. We have revised this sentence. Now it reads "In this case, the fact that the transported air masses were derived from the background region (less populated and underdeveloped) could potentially explain both the lower volume-based concentration of Hg, TEs (e.g. Zn) and EC (Fig. 7) and the higher mass-based contents of Hg, TEs (e.g. Zn) and EC (Table S1) in some winter samples".

1300 L388: I think that the "higher EC content" in autumn is mainly seen in volume-based but not so much in mass-based contents.

-- Revised. Pleas see in the revised version. It reads "Biomass burning that often occurred in north China might be the cause of relatively higher EC volumetric concentrations in autumn PM_{2.5}".

L397-399: I don't know the regulations for ACP, but in my opinion references to manuscripts "in preparation" should be removed.

1310 -- Removed.

1305

L401: Another potential reference for light Δ 199Hg of litter could be Jiskra et al. (EST, 2015, doi: 10.1021/acs.est.5b00742).

1315 -- Added.

L404: Again, please try to explain the relevance of the Zn/Al ratio in the context of the studied samples.

1320 -- They are indicators for PM from industrial (e.g. metal smelting) emission sources.We have added relevant information in the context. See line 411 to 412 in the revised version.

L407: "occurring" instead of "occurred"

1325

-- Changed.

L408: "EC enrichment in Autumn particles" implies in my understanding that you talk about mass-based concentrations. However, this effect is only seen for volume-based concentrations and the average mass-based EC value for autumn is lower than for winter.

-- We have revised the sentences. Now it reads "In fact, the largely occurring biomass burning in the south (Hebei and Henan provinces) may lead to the EC
1335 content (volume-based) increasing in autumn air mass. Thus, the high EC air mass input from biomass burning could explain the different trend which displayed by autumn PM_{2.5}, while the above-discussed contribution from industries (mainly smelting) could explain the higher Zn (at a given EC content) in summer samples".

1340 L412: add "which" before "displayed"

-- Changed.

L418: "Much higher" than which other samples or reference points?

1345

-- Changed.

L421: Maybe add "or fractionation during atmospheric processing" after "contribution"

1350

-- Added.

L428: Did these previous studies also report data on the PBM fraction which is relevant here?

1355

-- No, these studies did not report isotopic data on the PBM.

L445: Can you add more information on these "background particles" and their assumed composition?

1360

-- We have revised this sentence. Now it reads "Finally, as the background $PM_{2.5}$ with little input from anthropogenic activities are likely characterized by low contents of trace metals (such as Zn) and organic matter (Song et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2012). As shown in Fig. 7, the $PM_{2.5}$ samples having relatively low EC content and Zn/Al

1365 ratio may be representative of the long-range transport contribution from such background PM_{2.5}".

L445: "matter" instead of "matters"

1370 -- Changed.

L448: "process effects" instead of "processes effect"

-- Done.

1375

L457: I suggest replacing "not induce significant" by "only induce very small" because the discussed processes have been in fact shown to cause small MIF by the NVE (see Smith et al., 2015 for precipitation and Wiederhold et al., 2010 for Hg(II)-thiol complexation)

1380

-- Done.

L459: I think that this statement is only correct for MIF but not for MDF.

1385 -- We have revised this sentence. See line 503. It reads "Therefore, the effect of adsorption or precipitation was probably limited on MIF of isotopic composition of PM_{2.5}-Hg".

L462: add "a" before "possible"

1390

-- Done.

L463: "Potentially" instead of "Eventually"

-- Done.

1395

L467: "ratios" instead of "ratio"

-- Done.

1400 L472: Maybe better "contrasting even-mass" instead of "even contrast"

-- Changed: "All these arguments suggest that these processes may not be the major mechanism to produce large even contrasting Hg isotope variation in Hg-enriched fine atmospheric particles".

1405

L474: "lack" instead of "lank"

-- We have revised this sentence.

1410 l476: I suggest adding "currently" after "is"

-- Revised. Please see line 535 to 537 in the revised version. It now reads "We thus suggest that the contributions from different sources may be the better scenario to explain the seasonal variation of Hg isotopic compositions we measured for the PM_{2.5} samples".

L481-482: Please consider my critical comments about enrichment factors and try to identify and explain better which additional new information can be deduced based on the Hg isotope data.

1420

1415

-- We have considered the critical comments by the reviewer and have made necessary changes on the questioned discussion. Meanwhile, we have replied to the comments on EF accordingly in our response to general comments by the reviewer.

1425 L483: I am not sure whether you can really rule out an influence of atmospheric

processing on the measured Hg isotope data in PM2.5 particles.

We agree with the reviewer that the processes affecting the Hg isotope compositions are likely present in the atmosphere. Our discussion was based on a
 simplified assumption that these processes would not have made significant impact on the Hg isotope signatures. This may be an over-simplification. To accommodate the concern of this review on the above statement, we have made modification on the text and now it reads "Our data showed that mixing of variable contributing sources likely triggered the seasonal variation of Hg isotopic ratio".

1435

L487-488: "predominant contributions" instead of "predominated contribution"

-- Done.

1440 L489: Delete "the" and maybe add "probably" after "was"

-- Done.

L495: This link to "species-specific Hg" is an interesting point but it hasn't be discussed before in the manuscript and would require more explanations in my view.

-- Deleted this sentence.

L496: "at" instead of "in a"

1450

-- We have deleted this sentence.

L707-709: I suggest removing the reference "in preparation".

1455 -- Removed.

Figure 1: I suggest adding the information "n = 6" or "n = 5" into the 4 columns of the figure (in the caption or maybe below the words of the seasons?). Alternatively, you could also consider plotting all individual data points together with the mean and SD.

I am not sure how meaningful 25th and 75th percentiles are in a dataset with n = 5 orIn addition, as mentioned above, I suggest using mass-based concentrations for EC and THg.

-- We have made the revisions in the current version of the paper.

1465

Figure 2: "analytical" instead of "analytic"

-- Changed.

1470 L756: I suggest adding "MIF during" after "or"

-- Added.

Figure 4: Please consider my comments above on mass-based vs. volume-based concentrations.

-- Again, we appreciate this reviewer for the above comments. As for the issue of the volume-based concentration versus mass-based concentration, we have addressed in our response to the general comments by this reviewer.

1480

Figure 5: Please add more information about the relevance of "Co/Al" and "EC/Al" ratios in the context of Hg in PM2.5 particles.

-- They are indicators for PM emission sources of industry and combustion. Wehave added relevant information in the context.

Figure 6: Please consider my comment above on potential non-linearity in this plot when assuming a conservative two end-member mixing model.

1490 -- This reviewer is right that the relationship between Hg isotope compositions (e.g. δ^{202} Hg) and inverse of Hg concentrations (1/Hg) may be better for the two end-member mixing model. In fact, we have considered the relationships between Hg isotope compositions (δ^{202} Hg and Δ^{199} Hg) and 1/Hg (in mass- or volume-based

concentrations) for understanding our data sets, but they are not better for the interpretation for source apportionment in this study. This relationship may be not suitable for atmospheric particle due to the complex sources. In addition, the relationship was also not introduced in two published papers about the atmospheric particulate Hg isotopes for source identification (Rolison et al., 2013 and Das et al., 2006), they maybe had the similar problems.

```
1500
```

-- To accommodate the above comments of this reviewer, we deleted the fitting lines in figure 6 in revised version.

Figure 7: What are the units for the Zn/Al ratios on the y-axis (maybe Zn in ng/g and Al in mg/kg?). Again, I suggest using mass-based EC concentrations for the x-axis.

-- The units for Zn/Al ratios is $ng/\mu g$ and we have revised this figure. As for the issue of the volume-based concentration versus mass-based concentration, we have addressed in our response to the general comments by this reviewer.

1510

SI, L34: "component" instead of "composition". However, as written above I am not sure whether this approach should be transferred from river sediments to PM2.5 particles and industrial sources materials considering their large variations in Al concentrations.

1515

-- We have changed it to component. We have addressed this Al-based EF issue in our response to the general comments by this reviewer.

SI, L37: Did you use the same units for all elements and Al (e.g., ng/g) or did you use different units such as given in Table S3?

-- We used the same units for Al and other elements for EF calculation.

SI, L64: Please explain what you mean by "petrological source contribution"? Maybe better "lithogenic"?

-- Changed.

SI, L85: "were" instead of "are"

1530 -- Changed.

SI, Table S3: I suggest adding Hg and C into the table and check for (mass-based) elemental correlations.

1535 -- Thanks for your suggestion. The Hg concentrations are already presented in the Table S1 and S2, and C concentrations of PM_{2.5} are also presented in the Table S1.

1540 -- end --

Isotopic composition for source identification of mercury in atmospheric fine particles

Qiang Huang¹, Jiubin Chen^{1,*}, Weilin Huang², Pingqing Fu³, Benjamin Guinot⁴, Xinbin Feng¹, Lihai Shang¹, Zhuhong Wang¹, Zhongwei Wang¹, Shengliu Yuan¹, Hongming Cai¹, Lianfang

5 Wei³ and Ben Yu^1

10

¹SKLEG, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang 550081, China ²Department of Environmental Sciences, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ 08901, USA

³LAPC, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029, China
 ⁴Laboratoire d'Aérologie UMR5560 CNRS-Université Toulouse 3, Toulouse, France

* Corresponding author.

E-mail: <u>chenjiubin@vip.gyig.ac.cn</u> Tel.: +86 851 85892269.

15 Abstract. The usefulness of mercury (Hg) isotopes for tracing the sources and pathways of Hg (and its vectors) in atmospheric fine particles (PM2.5) is uncertain. Here, we measured Hg isotopic compositions in 30 potential source materials and 23 PM2.5 samples collected in four seasons from the megacity Beijing (China), and combined the seasonal variation of both massdependent fractionation (represented by the ratio $^{202}\text{Hg}/^{198}\text{Hg}$, $\delta^{202}\text{Hg}$) and mass-independent fractionation of isotopes with odd and even mass numbers (represented by Δ^{199} Hg and Δ^{200} Hg, 20 respectively) with geochemical parameters and meteorological data to identify the sources of PM_{2.5}-Hg and possible atmospheric particulate Hg transformation. All PM_{2.5} samples were stronghighly enriched in Hg and other heavy metals, and displayed largwide ranges of both δ^{202} Hg (-2.18 ‰ to 0.51 ‰) and Δ^{199} Hg (-0.53 ‰ to 0.57 ‰), and small positive Δ^{200} Hg (0.02 <u>%</u> to 0.17 ‰). The results indicated that the seasonal variation of Hg isotopic composition 25 (and elemental concentrations) was likely derived from variable contributions from the anthropogenic sources, with continuous input due to industrial activities (e.g. smelting, cement production and coal combustion) in all seasons, wherileas coal combustion dominated in We winter and biomass burning mainly found in Aautumn. The significant more positive Δ^{199} Hg of PM_{2.5}-Hg in Sepring and early Seummer was likely derived from long-range transported Hg that 30 had undergone extensive photochemical reduction. The study demonstrated that Hg isotopes may be potentially usedare a powerful tool for tracing the sources of particulate Hg and its vectors in the atmosphere.

Keywords: Mercury isotopic composition; Nonferrous metal smelting; Biomass burning; Long-

35 range transport; Elemental carbon; Trace elements

1 Introduction

Mercury (Hg) is a globally distributed hazardous metal and is well known for its long-range transport, environmental persistence and biological toxicity (Kim et al., 2009; Selin, 2009; Schleicher et al., 2015). Hg is emitted to the atmosphere through natural and anthropogenic 40 processes or reemission of previously deposited legacy Hg. It has three operationally defined forms: including gaseous elemental Hg (GEM), reactive gaseous Hg (RGM) and particle-bound Hg (PBM) (Selin, 2009). In general, GEM (> 90 % of the total Hg in atmosphere) is fairly stable and can be transported globally, whereas RGM is rapidly deposited from the atmosphere in wet and dry deposition, and PBM is assumed toean be transported more regionally (Selin, 2009; Fu et 45 al., 2012). Recent measurements of PBM in several rural/urban areas have shown that Hg associated with particulate matter (PM) of size $< 2.5 \ \mu m$ (PM_{2.5}-Hg) has typical concentrations <100 pg m⁻³ in background atmospheric environments (Liu et al., 2007; Fu et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2012), but exceeds 300 pg m⁻³ in contaminated regions (Xiu et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2014). PM_{2.5}-Hg is of particular concern because, once inhaled, both Hg and its vectors might have adverse effects on human beings.

50

55

Mercury has seven stable isotopes (196Hg, 198Hg, 199Hg, 200Hg, 201Hg, 202Hg and 204Hg) and its isotopic ratios in the nature have attracted much interest in recent years (Yin et al., 2010; Hintelmann and Zheng, 2012; Blum et al., 2014; Cai and Chen, 2016). Previous studies have reported both mass-dependent fractionation (MDF, δ^{202} Hg) and mass-independent fractionation (MIF, mainstreamprimarily observation of odd atomic weighed Hg isotopes, Δ^{199} Hg and Δ^{201} Hg) of Hg isotopes in environments (Hintelmann and Lu, 2003; Jackson et al., 2004; Bergquist and Blum, 2007; Jackson et al., 2008; Gratz et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2012; Sherman et al., 2012). The nuclear volume effect (NVE) (Schauble, 2007) and magnetic isotope effect (MIE) (Buchachenko, 2009) are thought to be the main causes for odd-MIF (Bergquist and Blum, 2007; Gratz et al.,

- 2010; Wiederhold et al., 2010; Sonke, 2011; Chen et al., 2012; Ghosh et al., 2013; Eiler et al., 2014). Theoretical and experimental data suggested a Δ¹⁹⁹Hg/Δ²⁰¹Hg ratio > 1.5about 1.6 for NVE (Zheng and Hintelmann, 2009, 2010b), and the <u>a</u> Δ¹⁹⁹Hg/Δ²⁰¹Hg ratio mostly between 1.0 and 4 1.3 for MIE as a result of photolytic reductions under aquatic (and atmospheric) conditions (Zheng and Hintelmann, 2009; Malinovsky et al., 2010; Wiederhold et al., 2010; Zheng and Hintelmann, 2010a; Sonke, 2011; Ghosh et al., 2013; Eiler et al., 2014). Over the past decade,
- studies indicated that Hg isotope ratios are useful for differentiating Hg sources in terrestrial samples, such as sediments (Jackson et al., 2004; Feng et al., 2010; Ma et al., 2013), soils (Biswas et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2013a) and biota (Sherman et al., 2013; Yin et al., 2013; Jackson, 2015), and for distinguishing potential biogeochemical processes that Hg had undergone
- (Jackson et al., 2013; Sherman et al., 2013; Yin et al., 2013; Masbou et al., 2015). Up to now, only a fewseveral studies reported Hg isotopic compositions in atmospheric samples (Zambardi et al., 2009; Gratz et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2012; Sherman et al., 2012; Demers et al., 2013; Rolison et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2014; Demers et al., 2015a; Sherman et al., 2015; Yuan et al., 2015; Das et al., 2016; Enrico et al., 2016; Fu et al., 2016). These studies reported large variations of Δ¹⁹⁹Hg and δ²⁰²Hg values for GEM (ranged from -0.41_<u>%</u> to 0.06 ‰ for Δ¹⁹⁹Hg, and from -3.88 <u>%</u> to 01.43 ‰ for δ²⁰²Hg, respectively) (Zambardi et al., 2009; Gratz et al., 2010; Sherman et al., 2010; Rolison et al., 2013; Yin et al., 2013; Demers et al., 2015b; Das et al., 2016; Enrico et al., 2016; Hg in precipitation (from 0.04 <u>%</u> to 1.16 ‰ for Δ¹⁹⁹Hg, and from -4.37 <u>%</u> to 1.48 <u>%</u> for δ²⁰²Hg, respectively) (Gratz et al., 2010; Chen et al., 2012; Sherman
- et al., 2012; Demers et al., 2013; Sherman et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015b; Yuan et al., 2015). More importantlyIn addition, recent studies have found MIF of even Hg isotopes (even-MIF, Δ^{200} Hg) in natural samples mainly related to the atmosphere, rendering Hg a unique heavy metal having "three-dimensional" isotope systems (Chen et al., 2012).

85

90

While Hg isotopes in both GEM and RGM have drawn much attention, those of PBM are comparably less studied in the literature. Indeed, only several prior studies have focused on Hg isotopes in PBM. Rolison et al. (2013) reported, for the first time, δ^{202} Hg (of -1.61 ‰ to -0.12 ‰) and Δ^{199} Hg values (of 0.36 <u>‰</u> to 1.36 ‰) for PBM from the Grand Bay area in USA, and the ratios of Δ^{199} Hg/ Δ^{201} Hg close to 1 that was thought to be derived from in-aerosol photoreduction. Huang et al. (2015) measured Hg isotope ratios for two PM_{2.5} samples taken from Guiyang of China, with δ^{202} Hg of -1.71 <u>w</u> and -1.13 w and Δ^{199} Hg of 0.21 <u>w</u> and 0.16 w, respectively. Das et al. (2016) measured Hg isotopic compositions of PBM in PM10 from Kolkata, Eastern India and found negative MDF and varied values of Δ^{199} Hg between -0.31 ‰ to 0.33 ‰. These studies showed that the Hg isotope approach could be eventually usdeveloped for tracking the sources and pathways of Hg species in the atmosphere.

China is one of the largest Hg emission countries in the world (Fu et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 95 2015), with a total estimated anthropogenic Hg emission of approximately 356 t in 2000 and 538 t in 2010 (Zhang et al., 2015). Coal combustion, nonferrous metal smelting, and cement production are the dominant Hg emission sources in China (collectively accounting for approximately over 80 % of the total Hg emission) (Zhang et al., 2015). Haze particles, especially PM_{2.5} that are actually among the most serious atmospheric pollutants in urban areas of China 100 (Huang et al., 2014), are one of the important carriers of Hg (Lin et al., 2015b; Schleicher et al., 2015). Ifn this case, PM2.5 may be is emitted from the same source as Hg (Huang et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2015b; Schleicher et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2015), quantifying Hg isotopes may provide direct evidence for the sources of both Hg and PM_{2.5} as well as the insight to the geochemical processes that they may have undergone.

105

In this study, we attempted to identify the sources of PM_{2.5}-Hg in Beijing, the capital of China, using Hg isotopic composition coupleding with meteorological and other geochemical parameters. We selected Beijing as the study site because like many other Chinese megacities, Beijing has suffered severe $PM_{2.5}$ pollution (Huang et al., 2014; Gao et al., 2015) and is considered as the

most PBM polluted area in China (Schleicher et al., 2015). In the past decade, only a few prior studies quantified the Hg concentrations in PM_{2.5} samples collected from Beijing (Wang et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2013b; Schleicher et al., 2015), while no research attempted to track its sources using the Hg isotope approach. The specific objectives of this study were 1) to characterize the seasonal variation of Hg isotope compositions in PM_{2.5} of Beijing and 2) to test
the effectiveness of the Hg isotope technique for tracking the sources of the PM_{2.5}-Hg.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Field site and sampling method

Beijing (39.92° N and 116.46° E) has a population of over 21 million. It is located in a temperate warm zone with typical continental monsoon climate. The northwestern part of the Great Beijing
Metropolitan is mountainous, while the southeastern part is flat. It has an average annual temperature of about 11.6 °C, with the mean value of 24 °C in Ssummer and -2 °C in Wwinter. In the Ssummer, wind blows mainly from southeast under the influence of hot and humid East Asian monsoon, whereas the cold and dry monsoon blows from Siberia and Mongolia in the Wwinter. The Wwinter heating season in Beijing normally starts on 15 Nov and ends on 15 Mar.

PM_{2.5} was sampled from Sep 2013 to Jul 2014 using a high volume PM_{2.5} sampler placed on a building roof (approximately 8 m above the ground) of the Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, which is located between the north 3rd and 4th ring of Beijing. The meteorological model showed that the arriving air masses were transported mainly by two directions, with the northwest winds dominated in <u>Ww</u>inter and the southern winds mainly in
 Ssummer. The sampling information wais detailed in Supporting Information (see Table S1). <u>Each-The PM_{2.5} samples wereas collected using a Tisch Environmental PM_{2.5} high volume air
</u>

sampler, which collects particles at a flow rate of $1.0 \text{ m}^3 \text{min}^{-1}$ through a PM_{2.5} size selective inlet. As the particles travel through the size selective inlet the larger particles are trapped inside of the inlet while the smaller-size (PM_{2.5}) particles continue to travel through the PM_{2.5} inlet and are

collected on a pre-combusted (450 °C for 6 hrs) quartz fiber filter (Pallflex 2500 QAT-UP, 20 cm × 25 cm, Pallflex Product Co., USA). The mass of PM_{2.5} on each filter was measured using a gravimetric method by the mass difference before and after sampling. The filters were conditioned in a chamber with a relative humidity of about 48% and a temperature of 20±2 °C for about 24 h before and after by continuously sampling air for 24 hrs onto a pre-combusted (450 °C for 6 hrs) quartz fiber filter (Pallflex 2500 QAT-UP, 20 cm × 25 cm, Pallflex Product Co., USA) at a constant airflow rate of 1.0 m³-min⁻⁺. A field blank was also collected during sampling and the value (< 0.2 ng of Hg, n = 6) was negligible (< 2 %) compared to the total Hg mass contained in the PM_{2.5} samples. After sampling, each filter was recovered, wrapped with a pre-combusted (to eliminate Hg) aluminum film, and packed in a sealed plastic bag. The filters were brought back to the laboratory and stored at -20 °C prior to analysis.

In order to better assign sources of Hg, we collected and measured 30 solid samples of different materials that may be potential Hg (and PM_{2.5}) sources and that may contribute to PM_{2.5}-Hg in Beijing. They included: (i) eight samples (including feed coal powder, bottom ash, desulfurization gypsum and fly ash) from two coal-fired power plants (from Hubei and Mongolia provinces); (ii) six samples from a Pb-Zn smelting plant (including blast furnace dust, dust of blast furnace slag, sintering dust, coke, return powder and agglomerate); (iii) ten samples from a cement plant (including coal powder, raw meal, sandstone, clay, limestone, steel slag, sulfuric acid residue, desulfurization gypsum and cement clinker), six of which were published in previously-work (Wang et al., 2015b)); (iv) four topsoil samples (surface horizon: organics mixed with mineral matter, these samples are not natural soil on typical soil profiles) from the Ccenter

<u>city of Beijing</u> (Olympic Park, Beihai Park, the Winter Palace and Renmin University of China (RUC)), two dust samples from RUC (one building roof dust and one road dust); (v) one total suspended particle (TSP, the sampling of TSP was carried out using a made in house low volume (about 1.8 m³ h⁻¹) air sampler equipped with a TSP inlet, and a pre-cleaned mixed fiber filter (47

160

170

<u>mm</u>) was used for the TSP low-volume inlets) sample from the atmosphere of rural area of Yanqing district, northwest of Beijing; and (vi) two urban road dust, two suburban road dust and one suburban topsoil samples from Shijiazhuang city southwest from Beijing. Though the automobile exhausts might also be a contributing source of PM_{2.5}-Hg, we were not able to measure its Hg isotope ratios due to its exceedingly low Hg concentration (Won et al., 2007).

165 2.2 Materials and reagents

Materials and reagents used in this study were similar to those described in-the previous study (Huang et al., 2015). A 0.2 M BrCl solution was prepared by mixing the distilled concentrated HCl with pre-heated (250 °C, 12 hrs) KBr and KBrO₃ powders. Two SnCl₂ solutions of 20 and 3 % (wt) were prepared by dissolving the solid in 1 M HCl and were used for on-line reduction of Hg for concentration and isotope measurements, respectively. A 20 % (wt) NH₂OH•HCl solution

was used for BrCl neutralization.

Two international Hg standards NIST SRM 3133 and UM-Almaden (provided by J. Blum and J. Wiederhold) were used as the reference materials for Hg isotope analysis. NIST SRM 997 Thallium (20 ng mL⁻¹ Tl in 3 % HNO₃) was employed for mass bias correction (Chen et al., 2010;

Huang et al., 2015). Two other reference materials, the solution Fluka 28941 Hg (TraceCERT[®], Sigma-Aldrich) and the soil GBW07405 (National Center for Standard Materials, Beijing, China) were used as in-house isotope standards, and were regularly measured for quality control of Hg concentration and isotope measurements. It was noteworthy that Fluka 28941 Hg is a standard different from ETH Fluka Hg (Jiskra et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2015).

180 2.3 Isotopic Composition Analysis.

Mercury bound on PM2.5 and solid source materials was released via a_dual-stage combustion protocol and it was captured in a 5-mL 40% acid mixture (2:4:9 volumetric ratio of 10 M HCl, 15 M HNO₃ and Milli-Q water) (Huang et al., 2015). Detailed procedure is available in Huang et al. (2015). In brief, the filter samples were rolled into a cylinder and placed in a furnace quartze tube 185 (25 mm OD, 22 mm ID, 1.0 m length), which was located in two combustion tube furnaces (BTF-1200C-S, AnhHui BEQ Equipment Technology Ltd., China). The solid source samples were powdered and weighted into a sample quartze tube (20 mm OD, 18 mm ID, 10 cm length), which was capped with pre-cleaned quartz wool (pre-cleaned at 500 °C) at both ends to prevent particle emission. The samplequartz tube was then placed into the large quartz tube of the furnace. 190 The samples were combusted through a temperature-programmed routine in the dual-stage combustion and acid solution trapping system. An aliquot (50 µL) of 0.2 M BrCl was added to the above trapping solution to stabilize the for converting Hg⁹-to Hg²⁺. The trapping solution for each sample was diluted to a final acid concentration of about 20 % and was stored at 4 °C for the subsequent Hg concentration and isotope measurement. The accuracy and precision of the dualstage combustion protocol were evaluated by the analysis of the certified reference material 195 <u>GBW07405 using the same digestion method.</u> The detectable Hg in the procedural blank (< 0.2 ng, n = 12) of this dual-stage combustion method was negligible compared to the amount of total Hg (> 5 ng) in both PM_{2.5} samples and procedural standards.

Mercury isotope analyses were performed on the MC-ICP-MS (Nu Instruments Ltd., UK) at the State Key Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, China. The details of the analytical procedures and the instrumental settings were given in previous studies (Huang et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2015a; Wang et al., 2015b; Yuan et al., 2015). In brief, a homemade cold-vapor generation system was coupled with an Aridus II Desolvating Nebulizer for respective Hg and Tl introductions. The Faraday cups were positioned to simultaneously collect five Hg isotopes and two Tl isotopes including 205 Tl (H3), 203 Tl (H1), 202 Hg (Ax), 201 Hg (L1), 200 Hg (L2), 199 Hg (L3), and 198 Hg (L4). The instrumental mass bias was <u>double</u> corrected by <u>both</u> <u>internal standard NIST SRM 997 Tl and by</u> sample-standard bracketing (SSB) <u>using international</u> <u>standards NIST SRM 3133 Hg</u>. The MDF of isotopes is represented by delta (δ) notation in units of permil (‰) and defined as the following equation (Blum and Bergquist, 2007):

210
$$\delta^{x}$$
Hg (‰) = [(^xHg/¹⁹⁸Hg)_{sample}/(^xHg/¹⁹⁸Hg)_{NIST3133} -1]×1000 (1)

where x = 199, 200, 201, and 202. MIF is reported as the deviation of a measured delta value from the theoretically predicted value due to kinetic MDF according to the equation:

 $\Delta^{x} \text{Hg} (\%) = \delta^{x} \text{Hg} - \beta \times \delta^{202} \text{Hg} \quad (2)$

215

where the mass-dependent scaling factor β is of about 0.252 0.5024 and 0.752 for ¹⁹⁹Hg, ²⁰⁰Hg and ²⁰¹Hg, respectively (Blum and Bergquist, 2007).

The Fluka 28941 Hg standard was carefully calibrated against the NIST SRM 3133 Hg and the long-term measurements <u>yieldedgave</u> an average value of $-1.00 - \frac{100}{500} \pm 0.13 - \frac{100}{500}$ (2SD, n = 15) for δ^{202} Hg, with a precision similar to that (0.10 ‰, n = 114) obtained for NIST SRM 3133 Hg. Our repeated measurements of UM-Almaden and GBW07405 had average δ^{202} Hg, Δ^{199} Hg and Δ^{201} Hg values of $-0.60 \pm 0.09 - \frac{100}{500}$, $-0.01 \pm 0.06 - \frac{100}{500}$ and -0.03 ± 0.06 ‰ (2SD, n = 18) and of $-1.77 \pm 0.14 - \frac{100}{500}$, $-0.29 \pm 0.04 - \frac{100}{500}$ and -0.32 ± 0.06 ‰ (2SD, n = 6) for δ^{202} Hg, Δ^{199} Hg and Δ^{201} Hg, respectively, consistent with the data published in previous studies (Blum and Bergquist, 2007; Zheng et al., 2007; Smith et al., 2008; Carignan et al., 2009; Zambardi et al., 2009; Chen et al., 2010; Sherman et al., 2010; Wiederhold et al., 2010; Huang et al., 2015). In this study, the 2SD uncertainties (0.14 - \frac{100}{500}, 0.04 - $\frac{100}{500}$ and 0.06 % for δ^{202} Hg, Δ^{199} Hg and Δ^{201} Hg) obtained for the soil reference GBW07405 were considered as the typical external uncertainties for some PM_{2.5} samples that were measured only once due to their limited mass. Otherwise, the uncertainties were calculated based on the multiple measurements (Tables S1 and S2).

2.4 Concentration measurements

- A small fraction of each trapping solution (20 % acid mixture) was used to measure the Hg concentration on CVAFS (Tekran 2500, Tekran® Instruments Corporation, CA), with a precision better than 10 %. The recoveries of Hg for the standard GBW07405 and <u>30 solid</u> samples were in the acceptable range of 95 to 105 %; but no recovery of Hg for the PM_{2.5} samples was determined due to limited availability of the samples. A 0.5 cm² punch from each filter samples was analyzed for The contents of organic and elemental carbon (OC/EC) were analyzed using an OC/EC analyzer with a Desert Research Institute (DRI) Model 2001 Thermal/Optical Carbon Analyzer (Atmoslytic Inc., Calabasas, CA, USA) and following the Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE) thermal evolution protocol (Wang et al., 2005). The calculated uncertainties were ± 10 % for the measured OC and EC data. The concentrations of other
- elements (e.g. Al, Cd, Co, Pb, Sb, Zn, K, Ca and Mg) were also measured for 14 of the PM_{2.5} samples and 16 of the selected potential source materials using ICP-MS or ICP-AES after total acid (HNO₃-HF-HCIO₄) digestion. <u>A 1.5 cm² punch from each filter samples and about 0.05 g of solid material samples were dissolved completely with HNO₃-HF-HCIO₄. Note that, due to limited mass of PM_{2.5} samples, 9 PM_{2.5} samples were <u>run outexhausted</u> after isotope analysis, and only 14 PM_{2.5} samples were analyzed for the other elements. The soil standard GBW07405 was digested using the same protocol and the measured concentrations of trace elements (TEs,
 </u>
 - including Hg) were consistent with the certified values.

3 Results

3.1 General characteristics of PM_{2.5}

The contents of $PM_{2.5}$, OC and EC for the 23 samples weare presented in Table S1. The 250 volumetric contents of PM_{2.5} ranged from 56 to 310 μ g m⁻³ (average 120 ± 61 μ g m⁻³), and were higher in Aautumn than the three other-three seasons (Fig. 1). The PM_{2.5} samples showed large variations of carbon concentrations, with OC ranged from 2.8 to 42 μ g m⁻³ and EC from 1.2 to 9.2 μ g m⁻³, averaging of 12 ± 9.6 and 3.7 ± 2.3 μ g m⁻³, respectively. The OC and EC contents in Autumn (respective mean of 14 ± 11 and $4.9 \pm 2.8 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$, n = 6) and $\frac{W}{W}$ inter (mean of 19 ± 13 255 and $5.1 \pm 2.3 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$, n = 6) were approximately doubled compared to those in Sepring (mean of 7.7 ± 1.4 and $2.5 \pm 0.7 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$, n = 6) and Sequence (mean of 5.9 ± 1.8 and $2.0 \pm 0.5 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$, n = 6) 5). Similar seasonal variation was also reported in a previous study (Zhou et al., 2012). When converted to the mass concentrations, the OC and EC contents were significantly (p < 0.01) higher in Wwinter (mean of 170 ± 49 and $50 \pm 8 \ \mu g \ g^{-1}$, n = 6) than in other seasons (mean of 71) 260 \pm 18 and 25 \pm 7.3 µg g⁻¹, n = 17) (Table S1). Since EC contents were closely correlated to OC (r^2 = 0.89, p < 0.001), we discussed only EC contents in the following as an indicator for the source of PM, because EC is a significant pollutant of combustion source that is not readily modified by secondary processes in the atmosphere.

265

FIGURE 1

3.2 Seasonal variation of mercury concentration and isotopic composition

 $PM_{2.5}$ -Hg volumetric concentrations and isotopic compositions <u>arewere</u> shown in Table S1. In general, the $PM_{2.5}$ -Hg concentrations ranged from 11 to 310 pg m⁻³, with an average value of 90 \pm 80 pg m⁻³. These values were comparable to those reported at a rural site of Beijing (98 \pm 113 pg m⁻³) (Zhang et al., 2013b), indoor $PM_{2.5}$ of Guangzhou (104 pg m⁻³) (Huang et al., 2012) and several southeastern coastal cities (141 \pm 128 pg m⁻³) of China (Xu et al., 2013), but they were lower than those reported values for Guiyang (368 \pm 676 pg m⁻³) of China (Fu et al., 2011). From <u>a</u> global perspective, our $PM_{2.5}$ -Hg contents were much higher than those reported for urban areas

270

of other countries such as Seoul in South Korea (23.9 ± 19.6 pg m⁻³) (Kim et al., 2009), Goteborg
in Sweden (12.5 ± 5.9 pg m⁻³) (Li et al., 2008) and Detroit in USA (20.8 ± 30.0 pg m⁻³) (Liu et al., 2007). The averaged PM_{2.5}-Hg values showed an evident seasonal variation, with relatively higher value in Wwinter (140 ± 99 pg m⁻³) and lower in Ssummer (22 ± 8.2 pg m⁻³) (see Figs. 1 and 2). Previous studies also reported similar variation for Hg eloadntents in atmospheric particles in Beijing (Wang et al., 2006; Schleicher et al., 2015), for example, the highest value of 2_a130 ± 420 pg m⁻³ was found in Wwinter 2004 while lower values generally reported in Ssummer (Wang et al., 2006; Schleicher et al., 2015).

FIGURE 2

Seasonal variations were also observed for Hg isotopic compositions (see Figs. 1, Fig. and 2 and Table S1). The δ²⁰²Hg values ranged from -2.18 ‰ to 0.51 ‰ (average -0.71 ± 0.58 ‰, 1SD, n = 23), with the lowest value of -2.18 ‰ found on 29 Jun 2014 (in Ssummer) whereas the highest of 0.51 ‰ on 30 Sep 2013 (in Aautumn). Interestingly, all samples displayed a large Δ¹⁹⁹Hg variation from -0.53 ‰ to 0.57 ‰ (mean of 0.05 ± 0.29 ‰). Unlike δ²⁰²Hg, the lowest Δ¹⁹⁹Hg value (-0.53 ‰) was found in Aautumn (on 30 Sep 2013), whereas the highest value (0.57 ‰) was observed in Sspring (23 Apr 2014). Positive even-MIF of Hg isotope was also determined in all PM_{2.5}-Hg, with Δ²⁰⁰Hg ranging from 0.02 ‰ to 0.17 ‰, averaging 0.09 ± 0.04 ‰ (Table S1). Threewo previous studies reported negative δ²⁰²Hg (from -13.4871 ‰ to -0.12 ‰) but significantly positive Δ¹⁹⁹Hg (from -0.316 ‰ to 1.36 ‰) for atmospheric particles (Rolison et al., 2013; Huang et al., 2015; Das et al., 2016).

3.3 Mercury content and isotope ratios in potential source materials

These data were<u>As</u> showed in Table S2₁. T<u>t</u>he Hg concentrations of the potential source materials ranged widely from 0.35 to 7,747 ng g⁻¹, with an exceptionally high value of 10,800 ng g⁻¹ for the sintering dust collected by the electrostatic precipitator in a smelting plant. Though the topsoil and road dust samples generally displayed relatively lower Hg concentrations (e.g. 23 to 408 ng g^{-1} , Table S2), the topsoil collected from Beihai Park in 2nd ring of Beijing had an exceptionally high Hg content of $7_{a}747$ ng g^{-1} . To be noted, these data were comparable to the mass-based Hg concentrations (150 to $2_{a}200$ ng g^{-1} with a mean value of 720 ng g^{-1}) for the 23 PM_{2.5} samples (Table S1).

The potential source materials also had a large variation of Hg isotope compositions (Figs. 2, Fig. and 3 and Table S2), with δ^{202} Hg ranging from -2.67 ‰ to 0.62 ‰ (average -0.98 ± 0.79 ‰, 1SD, n = 30), while Δ^{199} Hg ranged from -0.27 ‰ to 0.18 ‰ (mean -0.03 ± 0.11 ‰), and Δ^{200} Hg values from -0.04 ‰ to 0.11 ‰ (mean 0.02 ± 0.04 ‰).

FIGURE 3

4 Discussion

300

Previous studies demonstrated that coal combustion, cement plant and non-ferrous metal_smelting
were main sources of Hg in the atmosphere (Streets et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2015), contributing about 47, 18.5 and 17.5 % of total anthropogenically-emitted Hg in China, respectively (Zhang et al., 2015). In particular, special events such as large-scale biomass burning in Aautumn and excessive coal combustion in the Wwinter heating season might also have overprinted the concentration of PM_{2.5}-Hg and its isotope composition. In addition to the possible direct emission from the above anthropogenic sources, atmospheric processes may have impacted the PM_{2.5}-Hg isotopic composition, particularly during the long-range transportation of PM_{2.5}-Hg (thus long residence time of about a few days to weeks) in the atmosphere (Lin et al., 2007). These potential sources and possible process effects weare discussed in the following sections.

4.1 Evidence for strong anthropogenic contribution

Anthropogenic emission as the main PM_{2.5}-Hg contributing sources wais evidenced by the enrichment factor (EF) of Hg (and other metals), which was a commonly-used geochemical

indicator for quantifying the enrichment/depletion of a targeted element in environmental samples (Gao et al., 2002; Song et al., 2012; Chen et al., 2014; Mbengue et al., 2014; Lin et al., 2015b). The EF of a given element was calculated using a double normalization (to the less 325 reactiveinsoluble element Al here) and the upper continental crust (UCC) (Rudnick and Gao, 2003) was chosen as the reference (see detailed in Supporting Information). Al is well adapted to this normalization as it has usually no anthropogenic origin and is not readily modified by secondary processes in the atmosphere, and thus was widely used for enrichment calculation in atmospheric pollution studies (Gao et al., 2002; Waheed et al., 2011; Mbengue et al., 2014; Lin et 330 al., 2015b). The calculated results showed (Table S4) high EF(Hg) values for the 14 PM_{2.5} samples, ranged from 66 to 424 with an average value of 228 ± 134 (1SD, n = 14), indicating that PM_{2.5}-Hg pollution was serious (characterized by significant Hg enrichment) in Beijing. As a TE, Hg concentration wais generally low in natural terrestrial reservoirs (e.g. 50 ppb in UCC) (Rudnick and Gao, 2003). Similar to the UCC, the topsoil was also mainly composed of 335 aluminosilicates with relatively low Hg concentrations (Table <u>\$4\$2</u>). Thus, high EF(Hg) values probably implied a strong anthropogenic contribution to Hg in PM2.5. This could be confirmed by very high EF(Hg) values (up to 226,000, Table S4) determined, for example, in three potential source materials collected from the smelting plant. The high EF(Hg)s also emphasize the importance of studying toxic metals such as Hg (and other heavy metals) in atmospheric particles while accessing the potential threat of hazes on human health. 340

The strong anthropogenic contribution <u>may bewas</u> also supported by the relationships between Hg and other particulate components in PM_{2.5}. Fig<u>ures</u>, 4a and 4b showed very good correlations between PM_{2.5}-Hg concentration and the volumetric concentrations of PM_{2.5} and EC, with correlation coefficient (r^2) of 0.40, and 0.80, respectively. It is well known that atmospheric pollution due to the high concentrations of PM_{2.5} and EC mainly result<u>sed</u> from anthropogenic

345

emissions (Gao et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2015b). PM_{2.5}-Hg also displayed linear relationships with trace metals. Figures. 4c and 4d showed the examples with Co and Zn (r^2 of 0.74 and 0.44, respectively, p < 0.01). High metal contents in atmospheric particles are generally related to human activities. For example, combustion and smelting release large amount of TEs into the atmosphere (Xu et al., 2004; Nzihou and Stanmore, 2013). The fact that all PM_{2.5} samples displayed very high EFs for "anthropophile" elements (elements were generally enriched by human activities) (Chen et al., 2014) such as Cd, Cu, Pb, Sb, Se, Tl and Zn (ranging from 10 to 20_a869, Table S4) clearly illustrated the anthropogenic contribution to these elements in PM_{2.5}. As a result, fine atmospheric particles in Beijing were largestrongly enriched in Hg and other TEs,

which was likely caused by <u>elevateentralized humanthropogenic</u> activities.

350

FIGURE 4

4.2 Isotopic overprint of potential anthropogenic Hg sources

Hg isotopic signatures may_further indicated that human activities contributed to a_large proportion of PM_{2.5}-Hg. In this study, all samples displayed a large variation of δ²⁰²Hg (from -2.18 <u>%</u> to 0.51 ‰, Table S1), consistent withsimilar to those (from -2.67 <u>%</u> to 0.62 ‰, Table S2) determined for the particulate materials from potential sources such as coal combustion (averaged -1.10 ± 1.20 ‰, 1SD, n = 8), smelting (-0.87 ± 0.82 ‰, 1SD, n = 6) and cement plants (-1.42 ± 0.36 ‰, 1SD, n = 10), as demonstrated in Figs. 2 and Fig. 3. This similarity likely might indicated the emission of these anthropogenic sources as the possible contributing sources of PM_{2.5}-Hg. Though the anthropogenic samples collected in this study could not cover the whole spectrum of anthropogenic contributions, previous studies reported similar δ²⁰²Hg range (from -23.648 <u>%</u> to 0.77 ‰) for potential source materials worldwide (Biswas et al., 2008; Estrade et al., 2011; Sun et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2014; Yin et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2015b; Das et al., 2016). In fact, most PM_{2.5} samples possessed Δ¹⁹⁹Hg similar to those determined in above-mentioned

particulate materials (-0.27<u>%</u> to 0.04 ‰, Figs. 2 and Fig. 3) in this study, confirmsuggesting these anthropogenic emission as the major sources of PM_{2.5}-Hg.

Interestingly, Hg isotope compositions were correlated well with the elements such as Co, Ni and Sb (here mass ratio of the element/Al was used to cancel out the dilution effect by major mineral phase), as shown in Figs. 5a and 5b for the example of Co. These correlations might indicate that Hg and some other metals had common provenance, likely from anthropogenic 375 combustion, as discussed above. A careful investigation of the correlations amongst metal elements, along with Hg isotopic data, further indicated anthropogenic source category. The principal component analysis (PCA) of Hg and other TEs (see Table S5) demonstrated elikearly that four factors might controlled the variance of the entire data set over four seasons. In 380 accordance with the above discussion, these four factors were likely a mixture of coal combustion and nonferrous metal smelting (characterized by Hg had relatively high contents of loading, with other elements as Pb, Rb, Se, Zn, Tl, Cr and Cd), cement production on Factor-1 (about 39 %, Table S5), a mixture of coal combustion and nonferrous metal smelting, and with elements (enriched in Ca, Sr, Al and Mg), on Factor-2 (about 24 %), a source from cement production, but low loading on Factor-3 (traffic emission, 23 %) and Factor-4 (biomass burning, with their 385 contributions estimated to be about 39 %, 24 %, 23 %, and 7 % (Table S5).

FIGURE 5

As a result, the Hg isotopic compositions <u>may potentially</u> suggested that coal combustion, smelting and cement plants were major sources of PM_{2.5}-Hg. The higher EF(Hg) values and the detail investigation of elemental data supported this hypothesis. However, without careful characterization of potential sources, we weare unable to quantify the contribution from each source at this stage. Noteworthily, Fig<u>s.ure</u> 6a and 6b showed that δ^{202} Hg increased with EF(Hg) $(r^2 = 0.55)$, whereas Δ^{199} Hg decreased with EF(Hg) $(r^2 = 0.36)$. These correlations <u>mayeould</u>

suggest that the large isotope variation of PM_{2.5}-Hg might be mainly controlled by two
endmembers with contrasting δ²⁰²Hg and Δ¹⁹⁹Hg. The end Δ¹⁹⁹Hg values of correlations, however, could not be explained by the above defined anthropogenic sources, which generally had insignificant odd-MIF. The contribution from additional sources or possible processes was thus needed to explain the extreme Δ¹⁹⁹Hg values. Accordingly, the δ²⁰²Hg and Δ¹⁹⁹Hg exhibited contrast relationships with the EC/Al ratios (Figs. 5c and 5d). As relatively higher EC contents were generally derived from coal combustion and biomass burning (Zhang et al., 2008; Saleh et al., 2014), these two non-point emission sources might account for the Δ¹⁹⁹Hg end values.

FIGURE 6

4.3 Dominant contribution from coal combustion in <u>Ww</u>inter

- High EC content in Wwinter PM_{2.5} might result from additional coal combustion during the heating season, when coal was widely used in both suburban communities and rural individual families (Wang et al., 2006; Song et al., 2007; Schleicher et al., 2015). This additional coal burning wcould considerabtely increase Hg and EC emission, explaining the relatively higher PM_{2.5}-Hg (1340 ng g⁻¹, p < 0.01) and carbon contents (Table S1). In this study, both δ²⁰²Hg (mean -0.71 ± 0.37 ‰) and Δ¹⁹⁹Hg (-0.08 ± 0.11 ‰, 1SD, n = 6) for Wwinter PM_{2.5} samples
 were consistent with those in coals (average δ²⁰²Hg values of -0.73 ± 0.33 ‰ and Δ¹⁹⁹Hg of -0.02 ± 0.08 ‰, respectively) from northeastern China (Yin et al., 2014), possibly supporting the above conclusion. Beside EC contents, Zn/Al ratio may provide information for PM from industrial (e.g. metal smelting) emission sources. In Zn/Al vs. EC diagram (Fig. 7), all Wwinter samples displayed a linear relationship (r² = 0.94) different from the samples of other seasons.
- 415 <u>Compared to the data of other seasons, the volumetric EC concentrations varied greatly in winter,</u> whereas the Zn/Al ratio of the same season remained stable. The relatively lower slope signified a rapid EC increasing accompanying with a quasi constant Zn/Al ratio. This variation may be

derived from the emission of coal burning that is characterized by high carbon content but relatively low Zn concentration (Nzihou and Stanmore, 2013; Saleh et al., 2014). This highlighted again the dominated contribution from coal combustion. The backward trajectory calculated by a Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) model (Fig. S1) showed the dominant northwestern wind in $\frac{W_w}{W}$ inter. In this case, the fact that the transported air masses were derived from the background region (less populated and underdeveloped) could expotentiually explain both the lower volume-based concentrationscontents of Hg, TEs (e.g. Zn) and EC (Fig. 7) and the higher mass-based contents of Hg, TEs (e.g. Zn) and EC (Table S1) in 425 some \underline{W} inter samples. The dilution by this background air could also explain the comparable EC content in Wwinter and Aautumn (Figs. 1 and Fig. 7).

FIGURE 7

4.4 Important biomass burning input in Aautumn

430 Biomass burning that largely often occurred in north China might be the cause of relatively higher EC volumetric concentratioents in Aautumn PM2.5. The HYSPLIT model (Fig. S2) showed that, unlike the \underline{W} inter PM_{2.5}, the samples collected in <u>A</u>autumn were strongly impacted by northward wind. Biomass burning that occurred in the southern region tof Beijing during Aautumn harvesting season could transport a large amount of EC (and Hg) to Beijing, adding a potential source to PM2.5-Hg. Though biomass burning is not an important source in an annual 435 time scale (from PCA estimation), it may display major contribution in a shot time period in autumn. Previous studies showed that this source mightay account for 20 to 60 % of PM2.5 in Beijing during peak days of biomass burning (Zheng et al., 2005a; Zheng et al., 2005b). Isotopically, PM_{2.5} collected in the southern-arriving air masses from south were characterized by significantly negative Δ^{199} Hg values (from -0.54 % to -0.29 %), whereas samples collected in 440 the northwestern wind event exhibited Δ^{199} Hg values close to zero or slightly positive, indicating

420

a negative Δ^{199} Hg signature in biomass burning emission Hg. Our newly obtained data on Hg isotopes from biomass burning showed negative Δ^{199} Hg (that will be published in the future), supporting our suggestionhypothesis. Moreover, prior studies have generally reported negative Δ^{199} Hg values for biological samples including foliage (about -0.37 ‰)-and, rice stem (about -0.37 ‰) and litter (about -0.44 ‰) (Demers et al., 2013; Yin et al., 2013; Jiskra et al., 2015), even down to -1.00 ‰ for some lichen (Carignan et al., 2009). The odd-MIF signature may be conserved during complete biomass burning as this process would not produce any massindependent fractionation (Sun et al., 2014; Huang et al., 2015).

- Interestingly, the <u>Aa</u>utumn PM_{2.5} had a Zn/Al versus EC correlation ($r^2 = 0.37$) distinctly 450 different from the Wwinter samples, and also from Spring and Summer samples (Fig. 7). This difference may imply another carbon-enriched contribution in Aautumn other than coal combustion. In fact, the largely occurringed biomass burning in the South (Hebei and Henan provinces) may lead to the EC content (volume-based)enrichment increasing in Aautumn air massparticles. Thus, the high EC air mass-enriched particle input from biomass burning could 455 explain the different trend which definisplayed by Aautumn PM2.5, while the above-discussed contribution from industries (mainly smelting) could explain the higher Zn (at a given EC content) in Ssummer samples. Though most samples with high EC could result from biomass burning, two Aautumn samples displayed relatively low EC could be caused by the dilution from northern background air mass (Fig. S2), as demonstrated by the HYSPLIT model.
- 460

465

445

4.5 Contribution from long-range transported PM_{2.5}-Hg

Besides the dominant contribution from local or regional emissions, long-range transported Hg might impact on PM_{2.5}-Hg in Beijing (Han et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015a). As shown in Figs. 1 and, Fig. 2 and Table S1, the six Sspring PM_{2.5} samples and the one early Ssummer sample had much higher Δ^{199} Hg (from 0.14 $\frac{90}{10}$ to 0.57 %, mean of 0.39 ± 0.16 %, 1SD, n = 7) and Δ^{200} Hg

^{20 / 44}

values (from 0.08 <u>%</u> to 0.12 ‰, mean of 0.10 ± 0.01 ‰, 1SD, n = 7). These high values could not be explained by the above defined anthropogenic contributors having generally negative or close to zero MIF (Figs. 2 and Fig. 3), yet another contribution or fractionation during atmospheric_processing_is needed to explain all data set. The long-range transported PM2.5-Hg may be such contributor in addition to the direct local or regional anthropogenic emission (Han et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2015a).

470

The HYSPLIT calculation showed that the arriving air masses of these samples generally came from a long distance (mainly from the North and Northwest, Figs. S3 and Fig. S4), suggesting a contribution of long-range transportation, given the residence time of PM_{2.5}-Hg (days to weeks) 475 (Lin et al., 2007). Unfortunately, we were not able to collect and analyze the typical long-range transported Hg in this study. Previous studies have reported that long-range transported Hg might exhibit relatively higher Δ^{199} Hg (up to 1.16 ‰) due to the extensive photolysis reaction (Chen et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2015b; Yuan et al., 2015). In fact, the samples with significantly positive Δ^{199} Hg were collected during a period (at least 3 days before sampling) with long daily sunshine (> 8.8 hrs) for Beijing and adjacent regions (Table S1). Such climate condition might be favorable for photo-reduction of atmospheric Hg²⁺, which preferentially enriches odd isotopes in solution or aerosols. The fact that the background TSP sample from the Yanqing region had higher Δ^{199} Hg (0.18 ‰, Table S2) than PM_{2.5} samples collected at the same time in Centre Beijing might suggest higher odd-MIF values for long-range transported Hg, since the local Hg emission is very limited in this rural area. Moreover, the higher Δ^{200} Hg values (from 0.08 ‰ to 485 0.12 ‰, Table S1) determined in these samples may also indicate the contribution of long-range transportation, since even-MIF was thought to be a conservative indicator of upper atmosphere chemistry (Chen et al., 2012; Cai and Chen, 2016). Since the locally emitted PM_{2.5}-Hg (having lower or close to zero Δ^{199} Hg and Δ^{200} Hg) mainly resided and accumulated in the atmosphere

boundary layer (generally < 1000 m), and thus could be largely scavenged by precipitation (Yuan et al., 2015), the higher Δ¹⁹⁹Hg values (from 0.06 <u>%</u> to 0.14 ‰, see Table S1) for PM_{2.5} sampled after precipitation event supported the contribution of long-range transport to PM_{2.5}-Hg. Finally, as the background <u>PM_{2.5}fine-particles with little input from anthropogenic activities are likely characterized by low contents of are generally depleted in trace metals (such as Zn) and organic matters (Song et al., 2007; Zhou et al., 2012), the long-range transport contribution could also explain PM_{2.5} samples with relatively low EC content and Zn/Al ratio in Fig. 7.
</u>

4.6 Possible processes effects on transported PM2.5-Hg

The atmospheric processes such as secondary aerosol production, adsorption (and desorption) and redox reactions may induce a redistribution of Hg among GEM, RGM and PBM, and 500 simultaneouslycause fractionate Hg isotopesic fractionation of Hg in PM_{2.5}, possibly inducresulting in a difference of Hg isotopic composition between PM2.5 and source materials. Up to now, no-Hg isotopic fractionation wais rarely reported for Hg redistribution and secondary aerosol formation in the atmosphere. However, the fact that the contents of secondary organic carbon (see detailed calculation in Supporting Information) had no correlation ($r^2 < 0.09$, p > 0.18) with either δ^{202} Hg or Δ^{199} Hg may imply a limited effect of such processes. Previous studies have 505 showed limited adsorption of Hg⁰ on atmospheric particles (Seigneur et al., 1998). More importantly, according to the experiments conductedin under aqueous conditions, the adsorption/desorption and precipitation of Hg2+ wouldmay nonlyt induce very smallignificant MIF of Hg isotopes (Jiskra et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2015), in contrast with our observation. Therefore, the effect of adsorption or precipitation was probably limited on MIF of isotopic 510 composition of PM_{2.5}-Hg. In this study, all 23 PM_{2.5} samples defined a straight line in Fig. 2 with a Δ^{199} Hg/ Δ^{201} Hg slope of about 1.1, consistent with the results of Hg²⁺ photoreduction experiment (Bergquist and Blum, 2007; Zheng and Hintelmann, 2009), suggesting a possible

effect of photochemical reduction during PM_{2.5}-Hg transport. EventuPotentially, the enrichment of odd isotopes in reactants (here particles) during these processes can explain the positive 515 Δ^{199} Hg in sSpring and Ssummer samples. However, most samples collected in aAutumn and We winter displayed significant negative Δ^{199} Hg values (down to -0.54 ‰, Figs. 1, 2, 4 and 5, and Table S1). Therefore, the photoreduction of divalent Hg species canno²t explain the total variation of Hg isotope ratios determined in all samples, especially the odd-MIF. Moreover, the inverse relationship ($r^2 = 0.45$, p < 0.01) between Δ^{199} Hg and δ^{202} Hg was inconsistent with the 520 experimental results of photoreduction that generally showed positive correlation for the residual Hg pool (here particles) (Bergquist and Blum, 2007; Zheng and Hintelmann, 2009). All these arguments suggest that these processes mayare not be the major mechanism to produce large even contrasting Hg isotope variation in Hg-enriched fine atmospheric particles. Up to nowA recent study reported Hg, no-isotopic fractionation during Hg⁰was reported for the oxidation 525 showed a positive relationship between Δ^{199} Hg and δ^{202} Hg for Cl-initiated oxidation but a negative relationship for Br-initiated oxidation. Though our PM2.5 samples displayed also a decrease of Δ^{199} Hg with δ^{202} Hg (Fig. 2), similar to the Br-initiated oxidation, they had a Δ^{199} Hg/ Δ^{201} Hg ratio (1.1) lower than that (1.6 ± 0.3) of Br-initiated oxidation, and much lower 530 than that (1.9 ± 0.2) of Cl-initiated oxidation (Sun et al., 2016). Though we cannot exclude the contribution of Hg⁰ oxidation to PM_{2.5}-Hg, given the fact that halogen-initiated oxidation would not largely occur in in-land atmosphere boundary layer, oxidation would not be a dominated controlling factor causing the seasonal variation of Hg isotopes in PM_{2.5} particles.of gaseous elemental Hg in the atmosphere. According to the above discussion and considering the lank of direct evidence and/or experiments on Hg isotope fractionation during atmospheric Hg 535 transformation, Wwe thus suggest that the contributions from different sources may be is the be<u>tter</u>st scenario to explain the seasonal variation of Hg isotopic compositions we measured for the $PM_{2.5}$ in our fine particle samples.

5 Conclusions

540 In summary, our study reported, for the first time, large range and seasonal variations of both MDF and MIF of Hg isotopes in haze particulate (PM_{2.5}) samples collected infrom Beijing. The strong anthropogenic input to PM_{2.5}-Hg was evidenced by the high enrichment of Hg (and other "anthropophile" elements) and Hg isotopic compositions. Our data showed that mixing of variable contributing sources rather than atmospheric processes likely triggered the seasonal 545 variation of Hg isotopic ratio. Major potential contributing sources were identified by coupling Hg isotope data with other geochemical parameters (e.g. PM_{2.5}, EC, element concentrations) and meteorological data, and showed variable contribution in four seasons, with continuous industrial input (e.g. smelting, cement production and coal combustion) over the year and predominanted contributions from coal combustion and biomass burning in Wwinter and Aautumn, respectively. In addition to the local and/or regional emissions, the-long-range transported Hg was probably 550 also a contributor to PM2.5-Hg, accounting for the relatively higher odd-MIF particularly found in Spring and early Summer. This work-study demonstrated the potential usefulness of isotopes for directly tracing the sources of heavy metals and their vectors in the atmosphere, and stressed the importance of studying toxic metals such as Hg (and other heavy metals) in atmospheric particles while accessing the potential threat of hazes on human health. This work stimulates also 555 further studies on Hg isotopic composition of the specie-specific Hg that help to characterize the effect of Hg transformation processes on isotopic signature of PM_{2.5}-Hg in a local and global scales.

- Acknowledgments. We thank Dr. Wiederhold of University of Vienna and two other anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and suggestions. This study was financially supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 41273023, U1301231, 41561134017), National "973" Program (No. 2013CB430001), "Strategic Priority Research Program" (No. XDB05030302 and XDB05030304), Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 41273023, VIII)
- 565 <u>U1301231, 41561134017, 41173024)</u> and "Hundred Talents" project of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, <u>Q. Huang also thanks theand</u> China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (No. 2014M550472).

References

570

Bergquist, B. A., and Blum, J. D.: Mass-dependent and -independent fractionation of Hg isotopes by photoreduction in aquatic systems, Science, 318, 417-420, 2007.

Biswas, A., Blum, J. D., Bergquist, B. A., Keeler, G. J., and Xie, Z. Q.: Natural mercury isotope variation in coal deposits and organic soils, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 8303-8309, 2008.

Blum, J. D., and Bergquist, B. A.: Reporting of variations in the natural isotopic composition of mercury, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 388, 353-359, 2007.

575 Blum, J. D., Sherman, L. S., and Johnson, M. W.: Mercury isotopes in earth and environmental sciences, Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 42, 249-269, 2014.

Buchachenko, A. L.: Mercury isotope effects in the environmental chemistry and biochemistry of mercury-containing compounds, Russian Chemical Reviews, 78, 319-328, 2009.

Cai, H., and Chen, J.: Mass-independent fractionation of even mercury isotopes, Science Bulletin,

- 580 61, 116-124, 10.1007/s11434-015-0968-8, 2016.
 - Carignan, J., Estrade, N., Sonke, J. E., and Donard, O. F. X.: Odd isotope deficits in atmospheric Hg measured in Lichens, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 5660-5664, doi: 10.1021/Es900578v, 2009.

Chen, J. B., Hintelmann, H., and Dimock, B.: Chromatographic pre-concentration of Hg from

dilute aqueous solutions for isotopic measurement by MC-ICP-MS, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 25, 1402-1409, 2010.

- Chen, J. B., Hintelmann, H., Feng, X. B., and Dimock, B.: Unusual fractionation of both odd and even mercury isotopes in precipitation from Peterborough, ON, Canada, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 90, 33-46, 2012.
- 590 Chen, J. B., Gaillardet, J., Bouchez, J., Louvat, P., and Wang, Y. N.: Anthropophile elements in river sediments: Overview from the Seine River, France, Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., 15, 4526-4546, 2014.
 - Das, R., Wang, X., Khezri, B., Webster, R. D., Sikdar, P. K., and Datta, S.: Mercury isotopes of atmospheric particle bound mercury for source apportionment study in urban Kolkata, India,
- Elementa: Science of the Anthropocene, 4, 000098, doi: 10.12952/journal.elementa.000098, 2016.

Demers, J. D., Blum, J. D., and Zak, D. R.: Mercury isotopes in a forested ecosystem: Implications for air-surface exchange dynamics and the global mercury cycle, Global Biogeochem. Cycles, 27, 222-238, 2013.

- 600 Demers, J. D., Sherman, L. S., Blum, J. D., Marsik, F. J., and Dvonch, J. T.: Coupling atmospheric mercury isotope ratios and meteorology to identify sources of mercury impacting a coastal urban - industrial region near Pensacola, Florida, USA, Global Biogeochem Cy, 29, 1689-1705, 2015a.
 - Demers, J. D., Sherman, L. S., Blum, J. D., Marsik, F. J., and Dvonch, J. T.: Coupling
- atmospheric mercury isotope ratios and meteorology to identify sources of mercury impacting
 a coastal urban-industrial region near Pensacola, Florida, USA, Global Biogeochem. Cycles,
 29, 1689-1705, 10.1002/2015GB005146, 2015b.
 - Eiler, J. M., Bergquist, B., Bourg, I., Cartigny, P., Farquhar, J., Gagnon, A., Guo, W., Halevy, I.,Hofmann, A., Larson, T. E., Levin, N., Schauble, E. A., and Stolper, D.: Frontiers of stableisotopegeoscience,Chem.Geol.,372,119-143,
 - <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2014.02.006</u>, 2014.
 Enrico, M., Roux, G. L., Marusczak, N., Heimbürger, L.-E., Claustres, A., Fu, X., Sun, R., and Sonke, J. E.: Atmospheric Mercury Transfer to Peat Bogs Dominated by Gaseous Elemental

610

615

Mercury Dry Deposition, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 2405-2412, 10.1021/acs.est.5b06058, 2016.
- Estrade, N., Carignan, J., and Donard, O. F. X.: Tracing and quantifying anthropogenic mercury sources in soils of northern France using isotopic signatures, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 1235-1242, 2011.
- Feng, X. B., Foucher, D., Hintelmann, H., Yan, H. Y., He, T. R., and Qiu, G. L.: Tracing mercury
- 620 contamination sources in sediments using mercury isotope compositions, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 3363-3368, 2010.
 - Fu, X., Marusczak, N., Wang, X., Gheusi, F., and Sonke, J. E.: Isotopic Composition of Gaseous Elemental Mercury in the Free Troposphere of the Pic du Midi Observatory, France, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 5641-5650, 10.1021/acs.est.6b00033, 2016.
- Fu, X. W., Feng, X. B., Zhu, W. Z., Zheng, W., Wang, S. F., and Lu, J. Y.: Total particulate and reactive gaseous mercury in ambient air on the eastern slope of the Mt. Gongga area, China, Appl. Geochem., 23, 408-418, 2008.
 - Fu, X. W., Feng, X. B., Qiu, G. L., Shang, L. H., and Zhang, H.: Speciated atmospheric mercury and its potential source in Guiyang, China, Atmos. Environ., 45, 4205-4212, 2011.
- Fu, X. W., Feng, X. B., Sommar, J., and Wang, S. F.: A review of studies on atmospheric mercury in China, Sci. Total Environ., 421, 73-81, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.09.089, 2012.
 - Fu, X. W., Heimburger, L. E., and Sonke, J. E.: Collection of atmospheric gaseous mercury for stable isotope analysis using iodine- and chlorine-impregnated activated carbon traps, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 29, 841-852, 2014.
- Gao, J., Tian, H., Cheng, K., Lu, L., Zheng, M., Wang, S., Hao, J., Wang, K., Hua, S., Zhu, C., and Wang, Y.: The variation of chemical characteristics of PM2.5 and PM10 and formation causes during two haze pollution events in urban Beijing, China, Atmos. Environ., 107, 1-8, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.02.022, 2015.
 - Gao, Y., Nelson, E. D., Field, M. P., Ding, Q., Li, H., Sherrell, R. M., Gigliotti, C. L., Van Ry, D.
- A., Glenn, T. R., and Eisenreich, S. J.: Characterization of atmospheric trace elements on PM2.5 particulate matter over the New York–New Jersey harbor estuary, Atmos. Environ., 36, 1077-1086, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00381-8</u>, 2002.

Ghosh, S., Schauble, E. A., Lacrampe Couloume, G., Blum, J. D., and Bergquist, B. A.: Estimation of nuclear volume dependent fractionation of mercury isotopes in equilibrium

645 liquid-vapor evaporation experiments, Chem. Geol., 336, 5-12, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.chemgeo.2012.01.008, 2013.

- Gratz, L. E., Keeler, G. J., Blum, J. D., and Sherman, L. S.: Isotopic composition and fractionation of mercury in Great Lakes precipitation and ambient air, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 7764-7770, 2010.
- 650 Han, L., Cheng, S., Zhuang, G., Ning, H., Wang, H., Wei, W., and Zhao, X.: The changes and long-range transport of PM2.5 in Beijing in the past decade, Atmos. Environ., 110, 186-195, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.03.013, 2015.
 - Hintelmann, H., and Lu, S. Y.: High precision isotope ratio measurements of mercury isotopes in cinnabar ores using multi-collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry, Analyst, 128, 625, 620, 2002
- **655 128**, **635-639**, **2003**.
 - Hintelmann, H., and Zheng, W.: Tracking geochemical transformations and transport of mercury through isotope fractionation, in: Environmental Chemistry and Toxicology of Mercury, John Wiley & Sons New York, 293-327, 2012.
 - Huang, M. J., Wang, W., Leung, H. M., Chan, C. Y., Liu, W. K., Wong, M. H., and Cheung, K.
- 660 C.: Mercury levels in road dust and household TSP/PM2.5 related to concentrations in hair in Guangzhou, China, Ecotoxicol. Environ. Saf., 81, 27-35, 2012.
 - Huang, Q., Liu, Y. L., Chen, J. B., Feng, X. B., Huang, W. L., Yuan, S. L., Cai, H. M., and Fu, X.W.: An improved dual-stage protocol to pre-concentrate mercury from airborne particles for precise isotopic measurement, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 30, 957-966, 2015.
- Huang, R.-J., Zhang, Y., Bozzetti, C., Ho, K.-F., Cao, J.-J., Han, Y., Daellenbach, K. R., Slowik, J. G., Platt, S. M., and Canonaco, F.: High secondary aerosol contribution to particulate pollution during haze events in China, Nature, 514, 218-222, 2014.
 - Jackson, T. A., Muir, D. C. G., and Vincent, W. F.: Historical variations in the stable isotope composition of mercury in Arctic lake sediments, Environ. Sci. Technol., 38, 2813-2821, 2004.
- 670 Jackson, T. A., Whittle, D. M., Evans, M. S., and Muir, D. C. G.: Evidence for mass-independent and mass-dependent fractionation of the stable isotopes of mercury by natural processes in aquatic ecosystems, Appl. Geochem., 23, 547-571, 2008.
 - Jackson, T. A., Telmer, K. H., and Muir, D. C. G.: Mass-dependent and mass-independent variations in the isotope composition of mercury in cores from lakes polluted by a smelter:
- Effects of smelter emissions, natural processes, and their interactions, Chem. Geol., 352, 27-46, 2013.

- Jackson, T. A.: Evidence for mass-independent fractionation of mercury isotopes by microbial activities linked to geographically and temporally varying climatic conditions in Arctic and subarctic Lakes, Geomicrobiol. J., 32, 799-826, 2015.
- 680 Jiskra, M., Wiederhold, J. G., Bourdon, B., and Kretzschmar, R.: Solution speciation controls mercury isotope fractionation of Hg(II) sorption to goethite, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46, 6654-6662, 2012.
 - Jiskra, M., Wiederhold, J. G., Skyllberg, U., Kronberg, R.-M., Hajdas, I., and Kretzschmar, R.: Mercury Deposition and Re-emission Pathways in Boreal Forest Soils Investigated with Hg
- Isotope Signatures, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 7188-7196, 10.1021/acs.est.5b00742, 2015. Kim, P. R., Han, Y. J., Holsen, T. M., and Yi, S. M.: Atmospheric particulate mercury: Concentrations and size distributions, Atmos. Environ., 61, 94-102, 2012.
 - Kim, S. H., Han, Y. J., Holsen, T. M., and Yi, S. M.: Characteristics of atmospheric speciated mercury concentrations (TGM, Hg(II) and Hg(p)) in Seoul, Korea, Atmos. Environ., 43, 3267-

690 3274, 2009.

- Li, J., Sommar, J., Wangberg, I., Lindqvist, O., and Wei, S. Q.: Short-time variation of mercury speciation in the urban of Goteborg during GOTE-2005, Atmos. Environ., 42, 8382-8388, 2008.
 - Lin, C. Y., Wang, Z., Chen, W. N., Chang, S. Y., Chou, C. C. K., Sugimoto, N., and Zhao, X.:
- 695 Long-range transport of Asian dust and air pollutants to Taiwan: Observed evidence and model simulation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 423-434, doi: 10.5194/acp-7-423-2007, 2007.
 - Lin, H., Yuan, D., Lu, B., Huang, S., Sun, L., Zhang, F., and Gao, Y.: Isotopic composition analysis of dissolved mercury in seawater with purge and trap preconcentration and a modified Hg introduction device for MC-ICP-MS, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 30, 353-359, doi:
- 700 10.1039/C4JA00242C, 2015a.
 - Lin, Y.-C., Hsu, S.-C., Chou, C. C. K., Zhang, R., Wu, Y., Kao, S.-J., Luo, L., Huang, C.-H., Lin, S.-H., and Huang, Y.-T.: Wintertime haze deterioration in Beijing by industrial pollution deduced from trace metal fingerprints and enhanced health risk by heavy metals, Environ. Pollut., doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2015.07.044, 2015b.
- Liu, B., Keeler, G. J., Dvonch, J. T., Barres, J. A., Lynam, M. M., Marsik, F. J., and Morgan, J. 705 T.: Temporal variability of mercury speciation in urban air, Atmos. Environ., 41, 1911-1923, 2007.

Ma, J., Hintelmann, H., Kirk, J. L., and Muir, D. C. G.: Mercury concentrations and mercury isotope composition in lake sediment cores from the vicinity of a metal smelting facility in Flin

Flon, Manitoba, Chem. Geol., 336, 96-102, 2013.

730

- Malinovsky, D., Latruwe, K., Moens, L., and Vanhaecke, F.: Experimental study of massindependence of Hg isotope fractionation during photodecomposition of dissolved methylmercury, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 25, 950-956, 2010.
- Masbou, J., Point, D., Sonke, J. E., Frappart, F., Perrot, V., Amouroux, D., Richard, P., and
- 715 Becker, P. R.: Hg stable isotope time trend in ringed seals registers decreasing sea ice cover in the Alaskan Arctic, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 8977-8985, 2015.
 - Mbengue, S., Alleman, L. Y., and Flament, P.: Size-distributed metallic elements in submicronic and ultrafine atmospheric particles from urban and industrial areas in northern France, Atmos. Res., 135, 35-47, 10.1016/j.atmosres.2013.08.010, 2014.
- Nzihou, A., and Stanmore, B.: The fate of heavy metals during combustion and gasification of contaminated biomass: A brief review, J. Hazard. Mater., 256–257, 56-66, doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2013.02.050, 2013.
 - Rolison, J. M., Landing, W. M., Luke, W., Cohen, M., and Salters, V. J. M.: Isotopic composition of species-specific atmospheric Hg in a coastal environment, Chem. Geol., 336, 37-49, 2013.
- Rudnick, R., and Gao, S.: Composition of the continental crust, Treatise on Geochemistry, 3, 1-64, 2003.
 - Saleh, R., Robinson, E. S., Tkacik, D. S., Ahern, A. T., Liu, S., Aiken, A. C., Sullivan, R. C., Presto, A. A., Dubey, M. K., Yokelson, R. J., Donahue, N. M., and Robinson, A. L.: Brownness of organics in aerosols from biomass burning linked to their black carbon content, Nature Geosci., 7, 647-650, doi: 10.1038/ngeo2220, 2014.
- Schauble, E. A.: Role of nuclear volume in driving equilibrium stable isotope fractionation of mercury, thallium, and other very heavy elements, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 71, 2170-2189, 2007.

Schleicher, N. J., Schäfer, J., Blanc, G., Chen, Y., Chai, F., Cen, K., and Norra, S.: Atmospheric

particulate mercury in the megacity Beijing: Spatio-temporal variations and source apportionment, Atmos. Environ., 109, 251-261, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.03.018, 2015.

- Seigneur, C., Abeck, H., Chia, G., Reinhard, M., Bloom, N. S., Prestbo, E., and Saxena, P.: Mercury adsorption to elemental carbon (soot) particles and atmospheric particulate matter, Atmos. Environ., 32, 2649-2657, 1998.
- Selin, N. E.: Global biogeochemical cycling of mercury: A review, Annu. Rev. Env. Resour., 34, 43-63, 2009.
 - Sherman, L. S., Blum, J. D., Johnson, K. P., Keeler, G. J., Barres, J. A., and Douglas, T. A.: Mass-independent fractionation of mercury isotopes in Arctic snow driven by sunlight, Nature Geosci., 3, 173-177, 2010.
- 745 Sherman, L. S., Blum, J. D., Keeler, G. J., Demers, J. D., and Dvonch, J. T.: Investigation of local mercury deposition from a coal-fired power plant using mercury isotopes, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46, 382-390, 2012.
 - Sherman, L. S., Blum, J. D., Franzblau, A., and Basu, N.: New insight into biomarkers of human mercury exposure using naturally occurring mercury stable isotopes, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 3403-3409, 2013.
 - Sherman, L. S., Blum, J. D., Dvonch, J. T., Gratz, L. E., and Landis, M. S.: The use of Pb, Sr, and Hg isotopes in Great Lakes precipitation as a tool for pollution source attribution, Sci. Total Environ., 502, 362-374, doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.09.034, 2015.

Smith, C. N., Kesler, S. E., Blum, J. D., and Rytuba, J. J.: Isotope geochemistry of mercury in

- source rocks, mineral deposits and spring deposits of the California coast ranges, USA, Earth.Planet. Sci. Lett., 269, 398-406, 2008.
 - Smith, R. S., Wiederhold, J. G., and Kretzschmar, R.: Mercury isotope fractionation during precipitation of metacinnabar (β-HgS) and montroydite (HgO), Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 4325-4334, doi: 10.1021/acs.est.5b00409, 2015.
- 50 Song, S., Wu, Y., Jiang, J., Yang, L., Cheng, Y., and Hao, J.: Chemical characteristics of sizeresolved PM2.5 at a roadside environment in Beijing, China, Environ. Pollut., 161, 215-221, doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2011.10.014, 2012.
 - Song, Y., Tang, X. Y., Xie, S. D., Zhang, Y. H., Wei, Y. J., Zhang, M. S., Zeng, L. M., and Lu, S. H.: Source apportionment of PM2.5 in Beijing in 2004, J. Hazard. Mater., 146, 124-130, 2007.
- 765 Sonke, J. E.: A global model of mass independent mercury stable isotope fractionation, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 75, 4577-4590, 2011.

Streets, D. G., Hao, J., Wu, Y., Jiang, J., Chan, M., Tian, H., and Feng, X.: Anthropogenic mercury emissions in China, Atmos. Environ., 39, 7789-7806, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.08.029, 2005.

- 570 Sun, G., Sommar, J., Feng, X., Lin, C.-J., Ge, M., Wang, W., Yin, R., Fu, X., and Shang, L.: Mass-Dependent and -Independent Fractionation of Mercury Isotope during Gas-Phase Oxidation of Elemental Mercury Vapor by Atomic Cl and Br, Environ. Sci. Technol., 50, 9232-9241, 10.1021/acs.est.6b01668, 2016.
 - Sun, R., Sonke, J. E., Heimbürger, L.-E., Belkin, H. E., Liu, G., Shome, D., Cukrowska, E.,
- Liousse, C., Pokrovsky, O. S., and Streets, D. G.: Mercury stable isotope signatures of world coal deposits and historical coal combustion emissions, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 7660-7668, doi: 10.1021/es501208a, 2014.
 - Sun, R. Y., Heimburger, L. E., Sonke, J. E., Liu, G. J., Amouroux, D., and Berail, S.: Mercury stable isotope fractionation in six utility boilers of two large coal-fired power plants, Chem. Geol., 336, 103-111, 2013.
 - Waheed, A., Li, X. L., Tan, M. G., Bao, L. M., Liu, J. F., Zhang, Y. X., Zhang, G. L., and Li, Y.: Size Distribution and Sources of Trace Metals in Ultrafine/Fine/Coarse Airborne Particles in the Atmosphere of Shanghai, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 45, 163-171, 2011.

Wang, H., Kawamura, K., and Shooter, D.: Carbonaceous and ionic components in wintertime
atmospheric aerosols from two New Zealand cities: Implications for solid fuel combustion,
Atmos. Environ., 39, 5865-5875, 2005.

Wang, L., Liu, Z., Sun, Y., Ji, D., and Wang, Y.: Long-range transport and regional sources of PM 2.5 in Beijing based on long-term observations from 2005 to 2010, Atmos. Res., 157, 37-48, 2015a.

- Wang, Z., Chen, J., Feng, X., Hintelmann, H., Yuan, S., Cai, H., Huang, Q., Wang, S., and Wang,
 F.: Mass-dependent and mass-independent fractionation of mercury isotopes in precipitation from Guiyang, SW China, C. R. Geosci., 347, 358-367, doi: 10.1016/j.crte.2015.02.006, 2015b.
 Wang, Z. W., Zhang, X. S., Chen, Z. S., and Zhang, Y.: Mercury concentrations in size-fractionated airborne particles at urban and suburban sites in Beijing, China, Atmos. Environ.,
- 795 40, 2194-2201, 2006.

- Wiederhold, J. G., Cramer, C. J., Daniel, K., Infante, I., Bourdon, B., and Kretzschmar, R.: Equilibrium mercury isotope fractionation between dissolved Hg(II) species and thiol-bound Hg, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 4191-4197, 2010.
- Won, J. H., Park, J. Y., and Lee, T. G.: Mercury emissions from automobiles using gasoline, diesel, and LPG, Atmos. Environ., 41, 7547-7552, 2007.
- Xiu, G. L., Cai, J., Zhang, W. Y., Zhang, D. N., Bueler, A., Lee, S. C., Shen, Y., Xu, L. H., Huang, X. J., and Zhang, P.: Speciated mercury in size-fractionated particles in Shanghai ambient air, Atmos. Environ., 43, 3145-3154, 2009.
- Xu, L. L., Chen, J. S., Niu, Z. C., Yin, L. Q., and Chen, Y. T.: Characterization of mercury in atmospheric particulate matter in the southeast coastal cities of China, Atmos. Pollut. Res., 4, 454-461, 2013.
 - Xu, M., Yan, R., Zheng, C., Qiao, Y., Han, J., and Sheng, C.: Status of trace element emission in a coal combustion process: A review, Fuel Process. Technol., 85, 215-237, doi: 10.1016/S0378-3820(03)00174-7, 2004.
- 810 Yin, R., Feng, X., and Shi, W.: Application of the stable-isotope system to the study of sources and fate of Hg in the environment: A review, Appl. Geochem., 25, 1467-1477, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeochem.2010.07.007, 2010.
 - Yin, R. S., Feng, X. B., and Meng, B.: Stable mercury isotope variation in rice plants (Oryza sativa L.) from the Wanshan mercury mining district, SW China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 2238-2245, 2013.

- Yin, R. S., Feng, X. B., and Chen, J. B.: Mercury stable isotopic compositions in coals from major coal producing fields in China and their geochemical and environmental implications, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 5565-5574, doi: 10.1021/Es500322n, 2014.
- Yuan, S., Zhang, Y., Chen, J., Kang, S., Zhang, J., Feng, X., Cai, H., Wang, Z., Wang, Z., and
 Huang, Q.: Large variation of mercury isotope composition during a single precipitation event at Lhasa city, Tibetan Plateau, China, Procedia Earth and Planetary Science, 13, 282-286, 2015.
 Zambardi, T., Sonke, J. E., Toutain, J. P., Sortino, F., and Shinohara, H.: Mercury emissions and stable isotopic compositions at Vulcano Island (Italy), Earth. Planet. Sci. Lett., 277, 236-243, 2009.

- 825 Zhang, H., Yin, R. S., Feng, X. B., Sommar, J., Anderson, C. W. N., Sapkota, A., Fu, X. W., and Larssen, T.: Atmospheric mercury inputs in montane soils increase with elevation: Evidence from mercury isotope signatures, Sci. Rep., 3, 2013a.
 - Zhang, L., Wang, S. X., Wang, L., and Hao, J. M.: Atmospheric mercury concentration and chemical speciation at a rural site in Beijing, China: Implications of mercury emission sources, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 10505-10516, 2013b.
 - Zhang, L., Wang, S. X., Wang, L., Wu, Y., Duan, L., Wu, Q. R., Wang, F. Y., Yang, M., Yang, H., Hao, J. M., and Liu, X.: Updated emission inventories for speciated atmospheric mercury from anthropogenic sources in China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 49, 3185-3194, 2015.

850

15, 2005b.

- Zhang, Y., Schauer, J. J., Zhang, Y., Zeng, L., Wei, Y., Liu, Y., and Shao, M.: Characteristics of
- particulate carbon emissions from real-world Chinese coal combustion, Environ. Sci. Technol.,
 42, 5068-5073, doi: 10.1021/es7022576, 2008.
 - Zheng, M., Salmon, L. G., Schauer, J. J., Zeng, L., Kiang, C. S., Zhang, Y., and Cass, G. R.: Seasonal trends in PM2.5 source contributions in Beijing, China, Atmos. Environ., 39, 3967-3976, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.03.036, 2005a.
- 840 Zheng, W., Foucher, D., and Hintelmann, H.: Mercury isotope fractionation during volatilization of Hg(0) from solution into the gas phase, J. Anal. At. Spectrom., 22, 1097-1104, 2007.
 - Zheng, W., and Hintelmann, H.: Mercury isotope fractionation during photoreduction in natural water is controlled by its Hg/DOC ratio, Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta, 73, 6704-6715, 2009.

Zheng, W., and Hintelmann, H.: Isotope fractionation of mercury during its photochemical

- reduction by low-molecular-weight organic compounds, J. Phys. Chem. A, 114, 4246-4253, 2010a.
 - Zheng, W., and Hintelmann, H.: Nuclear field shift effect in isotope fractionation of mercury during abiotic reduction in the absence of light, J. Phys. Chem. A, 114, 4238-4245, 2010b.

Zheng, X., Liu, X., Zhao, F., Duan, F., Yu, T., and H, C.: Seasonal characteristics of biomass burning contribution to Beijing aerosol, Sci. China Ser. B, 48, 481-488, doi: 10.1360/042005-

Zhou, J., Zhang, R., Cao, J., Chow, J. C., and Watson, J. G.: Carbonaceous and ionic components of atmospheric fine particles in Beijing and their impact on atmospheric visibility, Aerosol Air Qual. Res., 12, 492-502, 2012.

855 Zhu, J., Wang, T., Talbot, R., Mao, H., Yang, X., Fu, C., Sun, J., Zhuang, B., Li, S., Han, Y., and Xie, M.: Characteristics of atmospheric mercury deposition and size-fractionated particulate mercury in urban Nanjing, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 2233-2244, 2014. Figure 1. Seasonal variations of PM_{2.5} (a) and elemental carbon (b) contents, Hg concentrations
(c) and Hg isotopic ratios (d-f) of the PM_{2.5} samples. For each box plot, the median is the 50th percentile and error bars extend from 75th percentile to the maximum value (upper) and from the 25th percentile to the minimum value (lower). The tiny square in each box represents the seasonal mean value.





Figure 2. Shown are relationships between Δ^{199} Hg and δ^{202} Hg (a) and Δ^{201} Hg (b) for 23 PM_{2.5} samples. The gray areas are the ranges of Hg isotope compositions of potential source materials.



Figure 3. Comparison of isotopic compositions between the selected potential source materials and the PM_{2.5} samples. The δ^{202} Hg values of PM_{2.5}-Hg are within the ranges of the source materials, but the Δ^{199} Hg values are out of the range, suggesting contribution from other unknown sources or <u>MIF during</u> atmospheric processes.



Figure 4. Plots of the volumetric Hg concentration versus PM_{2.5} content (a), EC content (b), and
concentrations of Co (c) and Zn (d) for the PM_{2.5} samples. In both (c) and (d), only 14 PM_{2.5} samples were showed with Co and Zn datasets, as 9 other PM_{2.5} samples were used up during isotope and OC/EC analyses.



41 / 44

Figure 5. Correlations between Hg isotopic composition (δ^{202} Hg and Δ^{199} Hg) and Co/Al (a and b) and EC/Al (c and d) elemental mass ratios. In all cases, δ^{202} Hg values are positively correlated to, and Δ^{199} Hg values are negatively correlated to the Co/Al and EC/Al ratios.



Figure 6. Correlations between Hg isotopic composition (δ^{202} Hg and Δ^{199} Hg) and Hg enrichment factor EF(Hg) (a and b). δ^{202} Hg value is positively correlated to the EF(Hg), while Δ^{199} Hg value is negatively correlated to the EF(Hg).



Figure 7. Correlations between Zn/Al ratios and EC contents suggest seasonal characteristics of PM_{2.5} sources with seasonally unique Δ^{199} Hg signatures (in ‰).



1	Supporting Information
2	Isotopic Composition for Source Identification of Mercury in Atmospheric Fine Particles
3	
4	Qiang Huang ¹ , Jiubin Chen ^{1,*} , Weilin Huang ² , Pingqing Fu ³ , Benjamin Guinot ⁴ , Xinbin Feng ¹
5	Lihai Shang ¹ , Zhuhong Wang ¹ , Zhongwei Wang ¹ , Shengliu Yuan ¹ , Hongming Cai ¹ , Lianfang
6	Wei ³ and Ben Yu ¹
7	¹ SKLEG, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang 550081, China
8	² Department of Environmental Sciences, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, New
9	Brunswick, NJ 08901, USA
10	³ LAPC, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100029,
11	China
12	⁴ Laboratoire d'Aérologie UMR5560 CNRS-Université Toulouse 3, Toulouse, France
13	*Corresponding author.
14	E-mail: <u>chenjiubin@vip.gyig.ac.cn</u> Tel.: +86 851 85892669.
15	
16	Page S2 Calculation of Enrichment Factor and Principal Component Analysis
17	Page S3 Calculation of Secondary Organic Carbon
18	Page S5Table S1
19	Page S6Table S2
20	Page S7Table S3
21	Page S8Table S4
22	Page S9Table S5
23	Page S10 Figure S1
24	Page S11Figure S2
25	Page S12Figure S3
26	Page S13Figure S4
27	Page S14 References
28	

S1

29 Calculation of Enrichment Factor

The enrichment factor (EF) of a given element (e.g., Hg) in a sample is defined as the content of that element relative to its abundance in the upper continental crust (UCC) (Rudnick and Gao, 2003) in comparison with a process-insensitive element (e.g. Al, Fe, Ti, Si). In this study, we use Al as the process-insensitive element because Al is a main compo<u>nentsition</u> of UCC and it presumably has little or no contribution from anthropogenic sources (Chen et al., 2014). The EF of an element of interest (C_{xx}) is calculated using the following equation:

$$EF_{xx} = (C_{xx}/C_{Al})_{sample} / (C_{xx}/C_{Al})_{ucc}$$

The EF values calculated for the $PM_{2.5}$ samples are listed in Table S4. In general, the elements with EF values between 5 and 10 in geochemical samples are considered to have significant contribution from non-crustal sources, whereas the elements with high EF values (>10) are essentially from anthropogenic activities (Chen et al., 2014).

42 Principal Component Analysis

Four factors are extracted from the Varimax rotated Principal Component Analysis, which accounted for 93% of the Explained Variance (Expl. Var.) of the entire data set. This finding is consistent with a previous report (Schleicher et al., 2015). The factor loadings are listed in Table S5.

Factor **F-1** explains 39% of the total variance of the data, which is characterized by high loadings of the elements (Pb, Rb, Se, Zn, Tl, Cr, Cd, Fe and Ni) from mainly anthropogenic sources. After the phase-out of leaded gasoline in China since 1997, vehicle emission has not been the major emission source of Pb in airborne PM. Instead, coal combustion has since become the major source of Pb in PM (Zhang et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2012). Meanwhile, Se,

Cd and Zn with high contents were also considered mainly from coal combustion (Schleicher 52 et al., 2012). Although many coal-fired power plants have been closed or replaced by 53 gas-fired stations in Beijing, coal consumption is still huge in Beijing, particular in coal 54 combustion for winter healing mainly used outside the 5th ring road of Beijing (Lin et al., 55 2016). Previous study showed that the petroleum refining and pollutant associated with 56 petrochemical industry may be important sources of primary fine particles in Beijing, but they 57 are not the main sources of PM_{2.5} (Lin et al., 2016). In addition to coal combustion, industrial 58 activities (e.g. metallurgical processes) might also contribute to these element associations, as 59 evidence by the highest EFs of Se, Cd, Pb, Zn, Tl and Cr in two dust samples from the 60 smelting plant (Table S4). As a result, F-1 is labeled as "mixed anthropogenic factor" mainly 61 comprise coal combustion and nonferrous metal smelting. Factor F-2 is characterized by high 62 loading of Ca, Sr, Al and Mg which explains 24% of the total variance and indicated the 63 lithogenicpetrological source contribution. Possible anthropogenic sources might be from 64 65 construction activities as Ca, Sr, Al and Mg contents are high in cement materials, such as concrete mortar, lime or bricks (Schleicher et al., 2015). Additionally typical host minerals for 66 these elements with low EFs (Table S4) may be from windblown dust (Visser et al., 2015). 67 Collectively, Factor F-1 and F-2 can be labeled as a combination of coal combustion, 68 69 nonferrous metal smelting and building materials that have high loading of Hg. Factor **F-3** is characterized by high contents of Sb, Cu, PM_{2.5} and EC, accounting for 23% 70

of the total variance. Since Sb and Cu in urban aerosols are mainly from brake wear of
vehicles (Visser et al., 2015), this factor may be best referred to as "traffic emission factor".
Factor F-4 is dominated by K and Na, which has been reported mainly from the biomass
burning (Zheng et al., 2005a; Zheng et al., 2005b). Low loading of Hg in factors F-3 and F-4

suggest traffic emission and biomass burning sources may be not the major contributors for
PM_{2.5}-bound Hg.

77 Calculation of Secondary Organic Carbon

Secondary organic carbon (SOC) is frequently used to evaluate the efficiency of secondary aerosol production in the literature (Castro et al., 1999; Yu et al., 2004; Rengarajan et al., $2011)_{52}$ Here we use the EC-tracer method to estimate the SOC by employing the equation of SOC = OC – EC * (OC/EC)_{min} (Castro et al., 1999; Cao et al., 2004; Yu et al., 2004; Rengarajan et al., 2011), and by assuming the minimum OC/EC ratio as the primary ratio (Rengarajan et al., 2011). In this study, the (OC/EC)_{min} of 2.02 observed for PM_{2.5} is used in the calculation.

<u> </u>
Ц
g
JS
0
lti
13
nt
S
ŭ
8
~
ŝ
n
ŭ
Je
P
Ľ.
ō
ΞĘ.
ц
E
-fo
Ľ.
- <u>-</u> -
βü
Ţ.
dr
ıπ
Sa
5
.е
th
ŭ
а
ອ້
Ë.
P.
\mathbf{O}
f
0
ğ
.H
:E
ň
2
Ц
_
,Q
i fro
ns fro
ons fro
asons fro
seasons fro
r seasons fro
our seasons fro
four seasons fro
n four seasons fro
in four seasons fro
ed in four seasons fro
sted in four seasons fro
ected in four seasons fro
ollected in four seasons fro
collected in four seasons fro
e collected in four seasons fro
are collected in four seasons fro
care collected in four seasons fro
weare collected in four seasons fro
s weare collected in four seasons fro
les weare collected in four seasons fro
nples weare collected in four seasons fro
imples weare collected in four seasons fro
samples weare collected in four seasons fro
5 samples weare collected in four seasons fro
42.5 samples weare collected in four seasons fro
M2.5 samples weare collected in four seasons fro
PM2.5 samples weare collected in four seasons fro
23 PM _{2.5} samples weare collected in four seasons fro
23 PM _{2.5} samples weare collected in four seasons fro
al 23 PM2.5 samples weare collected in four seasons fro
otal 23 PM2.5 samples weare collected in four seasons fro
Total 23 PM _{2.5} samples weare collected in four seasons fro
1. Total 23 PM _{2.5} samples weare collected in four seasons fro
S1. Total 23 PM _{2.5} samples weare collected in four seasons fro
e S1. Total 23 PM2.5 samples weare collected in four seasons fro
ble S1. Total 23 PM _{2.5} samples weare collected in four seasons fro
able S1. Total 23 PM _{2.5} samples weare collected in four seasons fro
Table S1. Total 23 PM _{2.5} samples weare collected in four seasons fro
Table S1. Total 23 PM _{2.5} samples weare collected in four seasons fro
Table S1. Total 23 PM _{2.5} samples weare collected in four seasons fro
Table S1. Total 23 $PM_{2.5}$ samples weare collected in four seasons fro

isotope compositions are displayed and their seasonal average and total average values are also given below.

86

Season	No	Date	Kaln	Arnving	Sunshine	3	KΗ [″]	$PM_{2.5}$	gHT	IHg	20	EC	00	EC	δ ²⁰² Hg	Δ^{199} Hg	Δ^{200} Hg	Δ^{201} Hg	Δ^{204} Hg
100000		Auto a	(mm)	air mass	duration (hr)	(°C)	(0)	$(\mu g/m^3)$	(ng/g)	(pg/m^3)	$(\mu g/m^3)$	$(\mu g/m^3)$	(mg/g)	(mg/g)	(00)	(00)	(00)	(00)	(%0)
Autumn	PM-01	2013-9-30	\mathbf{n}^{c}	SE	0	19.2	78	205	505	103	13.8	5.9	67	29	0.51	-0.53	0.17	-0.31	-0.23
	PM-02	2013-10-1	12	MN	3.6	18.5	81	56	319	18	4.8	1.6	86	29	-0.77	-0.07	0.06	-0.02	-0.81
	PM-03	2013-10-2	u	MN	10.9	17.1	50	61	461	28	5.8	2.7	95	44	-1.23	0.11	0.09	0.10	-0.80
	PM-04	2013-10-3	u	S	9.4	15.4	70	108	1520	164	7.2	3.5	99	33	0.08	0.02	0.10	0.09	-0.22
	PM-05	2013-10-4	u	SW	4.7	16.6	74	237	888	211	18.4	6.6	LL	28	-0.31	-0.38	0.07	-0.48	-0.16
	PM-06	2013-10-5	u	S	5.3	18.3	74	308	680	210	33.2	9.2	108	30	-0.40	-0.29	0.06	-0.35	-0.25
	Average					17.5	71	163	728	122	13.9	4.9	83	32	-0.35	-0.19	0.09	-0.16	-0.41
	1SD Č					1.4	11	103	433	87	10.8	2.8	16	9	0.61	0.25	0.04	0.25	0.31
Winter	PM-07	2013-12-17	u	Z	1.1	-0.6	49	70	516	36	7.6	2.5	108	36	-1.08	0.04	0.08	0.05	0.11
	PM-08	2013-12-18	u	z	7.7	-4.4	37	83	2200	182	12.3	4.1	149	49	-0.67	-0.12	0.02	-0.16	0.04
	PM-09	2013-12-19	u	Z	8.3	-4.5	44	84	1350	113	15.7	5.1	186	61	-0.61	0.04	0.08	0.07	-0.19
	PM-10	2013-12-20	u	Z	8	-2.8	36	67	925	62	9.3	3.3	140	50	-1.22	-0.09	0.03	-0.09	-0.07
	PM-11	2013-12-21	u	Z	7	-2.4	36	120	1250	150	25.8	6.7	215	56	-0.25	-0.25	0.09	-0.19	-0.18
	PM-12	2013-12-22	u	MN	5.3	-3.3	52	174	1790	311	41.7	8.8	240	50	-0.46	-0.10	0.04	-0.12	-0.25
	Average					-3.0	42	100	1340	142	18.7	5.1	173	50	-0.72	-0.08	0.06	-0.07	-0.09
	1SD					1.4	7	41	599	66	13.0	2.3	49	8	0.37	0.11	0.03	0.11	0.14
Spring	PM-13	2014-4-22	n	SW	11.2	19.7	39	125	659	82	8.6	2.9	69	23	-0.51	0.40	0.10	0.36	-0.17
	PM-14	2014-4-23	u	S	8.8	20	60	140	505	71	8.0	2.3	57	16	-1.40	0.57	0.08	0.34	-0.18
	PM-15	2014-4-26	14	MN	8.8	15.6	63	91	252	23	5.3	1.5	58	17	-0.55	0.23	0.12	0.23	-0.43
	PM-16	2014-4-27	u	z	11.3	17.6	52	66	440	44	7.2	2.0	72	20	-1.13	0.14	0.09	0.07	-0.11
	PM-17	2014-4-28	u	z	11.3	18.8	42	111	485	54	8.6	2.7	LL	24	-1.45	0.50	0.08	0.32	-0.08
	PM-18	2014-4-29	u	MN	10.9	19.3	41	126	679	85	8.9	3.5	71	28	-0.37	0.33	0.11	0.32	-0.39
	Average					18.5	50	115	503	60	7.7	2.5	67	21	-0.90	0.36	0.10	0.27	-0.23
	1SD					1.7	10	18	157	24	1.4	0.7	8	5	0.48	0.16	0.02	0.11	0.15
Summer	PM-19	2014-6-29	n	S	10.8	28.8	46	78	221	17	7.6	2.2	98	28	-2.18	0.54	0.10	0.33	0.41
	PM-20	2014-6-30	u	S	0	29.8	52	88	289	25	6.8	2.1	LL	24	-0.73	0.11	0.12	0.03	-0.54
	PM-21	2014-7-1	49	S	0	28.8	85	72	150	11	2.8	1.2	40	16	-0.80	-0.05	0.16	-0.13	0.36
	PM-22	2014-7-2	n	SW	0.5	23.4	78	123	243	30	5.9	2.0	48	16	-0.84	0.06	0.08	0.06	-0.11
	PM-23	2014-7-3	u	SW	1.4	26.1	77	128	223	29	6.2	2.4	49	19	0.04	-0.04	0.17	-0.07	-0.77
	Average					27.4	68	98	225	22	5.9	2.0	53	18	-0.90	0.12	0.13	0.04	-0.13
	1SD					2.6	17	26	50	×	1.8	0.5	31	×	0.80	0.24	0.04	0.18	0.53
Total ave	rage					14.6	57	120	720	60	11.8	3.7	98	32	-0.71	0.05	0.09	0.02	-0.22
	ISD					4		9	551	08	96	(1 (54	4	0 58		0.04	0,0,0	0,00

^{*a*} the daily average temperature; ^{*b*} the daily average relative humidity; ^{*c*} n is not detectable.

S5

na.	
Chi	
n.	
es	
Juc	
SOI	
on	
ssi	
mi	
ale	
nti	
ote	
lt p	(
ren	
ffe	
ib	
om	
ĥ	
ials	
lter	
ma	
lid	
SO	
30	
he	
or t	
s fí	
ion	
sit	
odu	(
Son	
ં	
ido	(
sot	
i di	
s an	
Suc	
ati	
ntr	
nce	
COI	
ıry	,
rcı	
Me	
3	
e S	
abl	
Ë	

No.	Type	From	THg	δ ²⁰² Hg	Δ^{199} Hg	Δ^{200} Hg	Δ^{201} Hg	Δ^{204} Hg
e ce			(B/BII)	(00/)	(00/)	(00/)	(00/)	(00/)
TSP TSP	Total suspended particle	Air of Yanqing district, northwestern Beijing	68	-0.74	0.18	0.01	0.06 0.06	-0.15
10-21	I opsoil	Beijing, Olympic Park	408	-1.11	0.10	0.02	0.03	-0.09
TS-02	Topsoil	Beijing, Beihai Park	7747	-1.14	0.07	0.02	0.01	-0.10
TS-03	Topsoil	Beijing, the Winter Palace	40	-0.59	0.03	0.02	0.06	-0.24
TS-04	Topsoil	Beijing, Renmin University of China (RUC)	146	-0.77	0.04	0.04	0.08	-0.08
SM-D-01	Roof dust	Beijing, RUC	94	0.35	0.15	0.11	0.22	-0.44
SM-D-02	Road dust	Beijing, RUC	24	-0.79	0.18	0.10	0.27	-0.41
TS-05	Suburban tonsoil	Shiiiazhuano citv	23	-1 37	-0.02	0.03	-0.04	-0.01
SM-D-03	I Irban road dust	Shiijazhijano citv	119	-0.75	0.04	0.06	0.07	-0.03
	Utuan toan aust	Chiliozhuang Vity	30	0.15	20.0	0.06	0.07	0.02
	UIDAII IOAU UUSU					0.00	0.01	
co-d-MS	Suburban road dust	Shijiazhuang city		0. /8 0. /8	0.10	0.00	-0.03	0.10
910-LJ-U6	Suburban road dust	Shijiazhuang city	11/	-0./	0.0/	0.0/	0.03	0.03
CFPP-01	Feed coal	Coal-fired power plant -1^a	191	-1.26	0.02	0.03	0.04	-0.09
CFPP-02	Feed coal	Coal-fired power plant -2^{b}	54	-1.78	-0.27	-0.02	-0.25	0.11
CFPP-03	Bottom ash	Coal-fired power plant -1	2.1	-2.26	0.03	-0.01	-0.05	-0.09
CFPP-04	Bottom ash	Coal-fired hower blant -2	0.35	0.48	-0.23	-0.04	-0.19	0.03
CFPP-05	Desulfurization gypsum	Coal-fired power plant -1	142	-0.58	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.01
CFPP-06	Desulfurization gypsum	Coal-fired power plant -2	6.8	0.62	-0.17	-0.03	-0.16	0.06
CFPP-07	Flv ash	Coal-fired power plant -1	332	-2.67	-0.20	-0.04	-0.21	-0.06
CFPP-08	Fly ash	Coal-fired power plant -2	875	-1.37	0.03	0.03	0.01	-0.04
SP-01	Blast furnace dust	Smelting plant ^c	113	-2.48	-0.11	0.00	-0.07	-0.07
SP-02	Sintering dust	Smelting plant	10800	-0.84	-0.17	-0.01	-0.13	-0.09
SP-03	Coke	Smelting plant	30	-0.72	-0.09	00.00	-0.03	-0.07
SP-04	Return powder	Smelting plant	1280	-0.42	-0.14	0.02	-0.12	-0.01
SP-05	Dust of blast furnace slag	Smelting plant	27	-0.42	-0.08	0.01	-0.07	-0.07
SP-06	Agglomerate	Smelting plant	29	-0.32	-0.12	-0.01	-0.07	0.07
CP-01	Coal-1	Cement plant ^d	471	-1.74	-0.09	0.01	-0.11	0.04
CP-02	Coal-2	Cement plant ^e	38	-1.55	-0.07	0.05	-0.13	-0.13
CP-03	Raw meal	Cement plant	241	-1.99	-0.07	0.00	-0.13	-0.07
CP-04	Sandstone	Cement plant ^e	13	-1.02	0.04	0.06	-0.03	-0.03
CP-05	Clay	Cement plant ^e	17	-1.79	-0.22	0.02	-0.29	-0.05
CP-06	Limestone	Cement plant ^e	13	-1.43	-0.07	-0.02	-0.03	0.08
CP-07	Desulfurization gypsum	Cement plant	1180	-1.47	-0.02	0.06	-0.02	-0.20
CP-08	Steel slag	Cement plant ^e	111	-1.02	0.00	-0.01	0.03	-0.03
CP-09	Sulfuric acid residue	Cement plant	278	-0.97	0.02	0.00	0.10	-0.11
CP-10	Cement clinker	Cement plant ^e	0.94	-1.18	0.00	0.01	0.06	-0.08
^a a coal-fire _f	ower plant from Hubei province; b a	coal-fire power plant from Mongolia province; c a smelting plant fron	m Qinghai pı	rovince; ^d a c	ement plant fi	rom Sichuan	province; e da	ta have been

published in our previous work (Wang et al., 2015). 89 90

Na*	4.04	3.96	3.36	6.61	3.90	2.92	8.34	13.7	11.3	3.77	3.69	4.51	6.01	3.60	9.33	17.6	12.2	9.39	12.4	9.83	14.0	6.55	3.74	1.20	0.79	9.42	0.87	1.59	2.65	2.58
Mg^*	1.26	3.18	11.2	6.41	2.23	1.39	8.32	9.80	7.72	4.61	4.85	7.74	3.93	ı	17.7	8.74	14.3	20.0	8.95	9.36	14.8	3.89	5.95	4.05	6.87	5.65	6.33	0.57	0.40	7.12
K*	5.68	2.56	5.66	15.1	12.8	10.2	10.4	22.0	26.2	3.83	10.3	9.99	11.4	4.26	16.8	29.0	15.7	17.8	21.2	17.7	20.0	21.8	19.0	3.54	11.7	18.2	5.35	9.81	10.9	3.01
Ca*	5.64	15.5	32.1	18.4	7.09	5.78	34.8	42.1	31.0	19.1	14.5	25.1	13.7	2.92	44.5	27.5	71.3	71.7	24.7	61.8	34.5	10.3	32.2	302	39.8	6.71	446	1.12	0.30	43.3
Zn	2.02	0.75	1.10	4.28	3.50	2.32	1.39	2.25	2.22	2.39	1.80	1.41	2.53	2.01	0.45	0.05	0.32	0.26	0.13	0.11	0.10	0.24	0.16	0.06	0.05	0.10	0.13	76.4	4.23	41.1
>	32.2	20.0	25.1	50.8	27.9	28.8	33.2	46.5	29.7	27.6	26.1	39.4	47.8	18.7	82.3	48.9	102	71.6	72.9	64.2	74.6	237	348	275	54.4	175	637	0.47	0.10	13.8
ΤΙ	12.0	7.68	6.96	22.0	19.8	12.8	11.0	9.84	14.9	15.4	13.1	9.02	19.8	14.9	0.99	0.60	0.58	0.62	0.91	0.74	0.82	4.23	1.53	37.6	0.65	0.78	0.14	27.2	2100	61.3
Sr	36.3	64.0	108	72.7	44.7	39.0	172	264	152	165	63.2	93.2	52.6	19.5	249	515	325	278	315	423	318	369	1680	161	77.6	179	222	6.16	4.35	281
Se	29.0	29.5	20.2	85.9	62.5	42.8	30.6	21.5	48.2	48.1	55.0	33.3	60.0	34.7	2.46	0.69	3.45	1.65	1.80	2.48	2.44	6.04	26.6	1.41	2.95	2.02	1.39	260	401	92.0
\mathbf{Sb}	76.4	34.5	37.0	27.0	49.0	46.2	42.3	82.3	64.9	54.5	17.3	46.3	35.0	25.4	4.94	0.47	1.55	1.46	1.62	1.82	1.27	3.14	2.47	0.76	1.43	0.44	1.28	68.7	262	228
Rb	27.9	27.7	27.8	115	76.1	34.0	43.7	46.8	45.9	47.0	39.1	41.5	65.4	25.4	77.3	89.7	69.1	74.7	96.0	82.5	85.1	233	90.2	21.3	60.5	84.5	33.1	108	109	24.3
Ъb	832	316	449	2710	2130	942	709	561	1110	1050	873	574	1340	734	97.8	19.6	45.1	42.2	190	93.5	40.8	86.2	84.6	39.2	14.6	19.6	50.8	59000	25000	00000
		_	_									_		_	_	_		_			_		_					ē	9	4
Ż	28.6	23.0	37.9	72.7	38.6	24.8	62.5	89.1	48.1	45.3	25.6	30.5	32.2	18.0	57.0	9.50	27.2	22.0	26.7	22.7	24.9	76.5	62.0	30.7	18.1	40.6	54.8	1.33	1.67	90.1
Li	9.19	8.76	14.3	17.1	11.5	11.8	16.5	21.2	30.5	22.2	14.4	18.1	13.5	7.49	40.2	15.6	42.2	27.8	30.7	28.4	28.0	71.0	227	15.9	27.6	40.7	26.9	4.18	2.66	9.05
Fe*	5.64	8.81	11.8	16.8	8.90	5.82	14.0	21.1	11.4	10.8	7.18	12.3	9.60	3.10	34.4	15.5	35.8	31.4	25.0	22.2	27.2	55.0	64.4	16.6	20.8	55.9	28.8	1.61	1.03	99.1
Cu	367	201	225	251	294	332	217	298	341	269	182	188	316	412	73.4	7.72	33.3	56.3	28.4	50.8	21.6	138	141	21.7	14.3	29.4	38.3	132	269	2840
Cr	64.9	79.0	114	125	72.7	43.8	85.6	117	88.5	83.9	55.3	77.0	75.4	47.2	91.6	27.1	101	77.5	52.3	56.0	71.0	111	163	243	36.6	88.8	584	2.34	2.43	216
Co	7.86	7.10	10.1	10.0	6.25	4.45	13.4	16.7	11.6	12.4	4.77	9.29	6.19	2.72	13.6	5.11	12.4	10.0	11.1	10.0	12.4	39.8	27.5	17.9	7.99	23.1	23.3	1.43	0.80	21.7
Cd	13.4	8.03	10.6	24.9	21.5	17.7	14.9	12.0	18.0	19.2	25.9	13.0	19.5	21.2	2.50	0.57	1.52	1.09	11.2	0.96	2.63	3.18	3.85	6.31	1.16	1.21	3.43	68800	94100	11700
A1*	3.06	5.59	9.04	5.83	3.53	3.42	10.1	15.8	8.73	7.97	5.85	12.1	5.03	1.51	57.0	61.6	57.6	49.0	60.6	50.9	63.0	113	125	11.4	40.8	108	20.9	0.71	0.08	10.3
		•	~	_			~	0	1	7	4	7	6	2	-01	-02	-03	-05				-07	-08							

Table S3. Concentrations of metal elements of the 14 PM_{2.5} samples from Beijing and 16 samples of the potential source materials.

91

 $\frac{5F-04}{92} = \frac{10.5}{\text{ tunits of } \mu g/g}, \text{ others with units of } ng/g.$

S7

Hg	269	93	83	424	411	324	354	95	234	366	141	99	72	263	ŝ	1	1	4	11	248	1	5	11	34	1	0	0	258	226070
Na	4	0	1	4	4	ε	ε	ε	4	0	0	1	4	8	1	1	-	1	-	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	114
Mg	7	Э	2	9	Э	2	4	З	S	ς	S	4	4	I	0	-	-	2	-	-	-	0	0	2	-	0	2	4	28
К	٢	2	2	6	13	10	4	Ś	Π	2	9	e	×	10	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	48	490
Са	9	6	11	10	9	S	11	×	11	×	8	2	6	9	2	-	4	S	-	4	2	0	-	84	m	0	68	S	12
Zn	803	163	149	893	1209	826	167	173	309	365	375	142	611	1625	10	1	L	9	Э	ŝ	2	ŝ	2	9	1	-	8	130705	66158
>	6	ŝ	2	1	-	2	ŝ	2	ŝ	ŝ	4	ŝ	~	10	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	2	2	20	-	-	26	-	-
I	354	125	70	342	507	338	98	56	154	175	203	68	357	892	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	ςΩ	1	299	1	1	1	3467	2437629
Sr	3	Э	Э	Э	Э	Э	4	4	4	S	ε	0	Э	З	-	2	-	-	-	0	-	-	ε	4	0	0	З	Ч	14
Se	8597	4787	2027	13339	16060	11344	2733	1230	5003	5466	8525	2500	10799	20869	39	10	54	31	27	44	35	48	192	112	65	17	90	331752	4661642
Sb	5089	1260	835	944	2829	2754	852	1061	1515	1394	603	782	1417	3438	18	7	5	9	5	L	4	9	4	14	7	1	12	19694	686994
Rb	6	S	ю	19	21	10	4	e	S	9	9	ю	13	16	1	1	-	1	7	0	1	0	1	0	1	-	7	147	1361
ЧЧ	1305	271	238	2230	2897	1321	335	170	611	631	716	228	1277	2333	8	7	4	4	15	6	ξ	4	ε	16	7	-	12	2488020	38515102
ïz	16	2	٢	22	19	13	11	10	10	10	8	4	11	21	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	-	S	-	1	S	e	37
Li	10	Ś	S	10	11	12	9	Ś	12	6	8	S	6	17	0	-	0	2	2	0	0	0	9	Ś	0	-	4	20	116
ı Fe	4	ŝ	3	9	ŝ	4	3	ŝ	÷	ŝ	ŝ	2	4	4		-	-		-	-	-		-	ŝ	-	-	ŝ	S	28
Ũ	349	10;	5	12,	24	28	6	5,	11	6	6	4	18.	79(7	U						7		C				54	10070
Cr	19	13	11	19	18	11	7	7	6	6	8	9	13	28	1	0	0	1	-	1	1	1	1	19	1	1	25	e	28
Co	12	9	S	8	8	9	9	S	9	7	4	4	9	6	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	7	1	1	S	6	48
	5	~	6	4	S	~	C	×	~	~	C	2	4	~	C	×		C	~		×	S	×	~	5	C	6	2	Ċ
Ŭ	396	130	105	387-	553.	469	133(689	187.	218.	4010	970	350	1272	4		2	2(168	-	ñ	5	5	50:	2	1(14	87570410	1095077650
Sample	PM-01	PM-02	PM-03	PM-04	PM-05	PM-06	PM-08	PM-10	PM-11	PM-12	PM-14	PM-17	PM-19	PM-22	SM-D-01	SM-D-02	SM-D-03	SM-D-05	TS-01	TS-02	TS-03	CFPP-07	CFPP-08	CP-03	CP-04	CP-05	CP-10	SP-01	SP-02

S8

Table S4. The calculated enrichment factors (EFs) in PM2.5 samples and potential source materials.

	F 1	БЭ	F 3	E A
	Г-1	Γ-2	г-3	Г-4
Hg	0.59	0.62	0.38	-0.14
Pb	0.97	0.05	0.14	0.13
Rb	0.97	0.05	0.14	0.13
Se	0.89	0.03	0.35	0.20
Zn	0.86	0.03	0.46	0.18
Tl	0.85	0.03	0.49	0.17
Cr	0.79	0.31	0.45	0.04
Cd	0.78	0.06	0.48	0.21
Fe	0.77	0.53	0.23	0.12
Ni	0.75	0.46	0.34	0.11
V	0.66	0.02	0.63	0.22
Ca	-0.13	0.96	-0.14	0.22
Sr	0.05	0.93	0.23	-0.09
Al	0.10	0.85	0.21	0.13
Mg	0.03	0.84	-0.38	0.22
Co	0.38	0.67	0.58	-0.09
Li	0.42	0.62	0.49	0.29
Sb	0.34	0.13	0.89	0.16
Cu	0.50	-0.02	0.83	0.24
PM _{2.5}	0.60	0.04	0.74	0.19
EC	0.42	0.48	0.72	0.10
Κ	0.53	0.18	0.27	0.78
Na	0.26	0.47	0.34	0.66
% of Expl. Var.	39	24	23	7

95

area of China. Four factors account for 93% of the Explained Variance (Expl. Var.).

Table S5. Factor (F) loadings of the extracted factors for PM_{2.5} samples from Beijing urban

96 Figure S1. NOAA-HYSPLIT model (<u>http://ready.arl.noaa.gov.</u>) results illustrate air mass

97 back trajectories for PM_{2.5} samples collected in Winter.



Figure S2. NOAA-HYSPLIT model (<u>http://ready.arl.noaa.gov.</u>) results illustrated air mass
 back trajectories for PM_{2.5} samples collected in Autumn (from 30 Sep to 5 Oct 2013). Δ¹⁹⁹Hg

101 values were also land-marked on the corresponding trajectories.



103 Figure S3. NOAA-HYSPLIT model (<u>http://ready.arl.noaa.gov.</u>) results illustrate air mass





106 Figure S4. NOAA-HYSPLIT model (http://ready.arl.noaa.gov.) results illustrate air mass

107 back trajectories for PM_{2.5} samples collected in early Summer.



109 REFERENCES

- 110 Cao, J. J., Lee, S. C., Ho, K. F., Zou, S. C., Fung, K., Li, Y., Watson, J. G., and Chow, J. C.:
- 111 Spatial and seasonal variations of atmospheric organic carbon and elemental carbon in

Pearl River Delta Region, China, Atmos. Environ., 38, 4447-4456,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.05.016, 2004.

- 114 Castro, L. M., Pio, C. A., Harrison, R. M., and Smith, D. J. T.: Carbonaceous aerosol in urban
- and rural European atmospheres: Estimation of secondary organic carbon concentrations,
- 116 Atmos. Environ., 33, 2771-2781, <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1352-2310(98)00331-8</u>, 1999.
- 117 Chen, J. B., Gaillardet, J., Bouchez, J., Louvat, P., and Wang, Y. N.: Anthropophile elements
- in river sediments: Overview from the Seine River, France, Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.,
 15, 4526-4546, 2014.
- 120 Lin, Y.-C., Hsu, S.-C., Chou, C. C.-K., Zhang, R., Wu, Y., Kao, S.-J., Luo, L., Huang, C.-H.,

Lin, S.-H., and Huang, Y.-T.: Wintertime haze deterioration in Beijing by industrial pollution deduced from trace metal fingerprints and enhanced health risk by heavy metals,

123 Environ. Pollut., 208, 284-293, 2016.

- Rengarajan, R., Sudheer, A., and Sarin, M.: Aerosol acidity and secondary organic aerosol
 formation during wintertime over urban environment in western India, Atmos. Environ., 45,
 1940-1945, 2011.
- Rudnick, R., and Gao, S.: Composition of the continental crust, Treatise on Geochemistry, 3,
 1-64, 2003.
- Schleicher, N., Norra, S., Chen, Y., Chai, F., and Wang, S.: Efficiency of mitigation measures
 to reduce particulate air pollution: A case study during the Olympic Summer Games 2008
 in Beijing, China, Sci. Total Environ., 427–428, 146-158,
- 132 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2012.04.004</u>, 2012.
- 133 Schleicher, N. J., Schäfer, J., Blanc, G., Chen, Y., Chai, F., Cen, K., and Norra, S.:
- 134 Atmospheric particulate mercury in the megacity Beijing: Spatio-temporal variations and
- 135 source apportionment, Atmos. Environ., 109, 251-261, doi:
 136 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.03.018, 2015.
- 137 Visser, S., Slowik, J., Furger, M., Zotter, P., Bukowiecki, N., Dressler, R., Flechsig, U., Appel,

- 138 K., Green, D., and Tremper, A.: Kerb and urban increment of highly time-resolved trace
- elements in PM 10, PM 2.5 and PM 1.0 winter aerosol in London during ClearfLo 2012,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 2367-2386, 2015.
- 141 Wang, Z., Chen, J., Feng, X., Hintelmann, H., Yuan, S., Cai, H., Huang, Q., Wang, S., and
- 142 Wang, F.: Mass-dependent and mass-independent fractionation of mercury isotopes in
- precipitation from Guiyang, SW China, C. R. Geosci., 347, 358-367, doi:
 10.1016/j.crte.2015.02.006, 2015.
- Xu, H., Cao, J., Ho, K., Ding, H., Han, Y., Wang, G., Chow, J., Watson, J., Khol, S., and
 Qiang, J.: Lead concentrations in fine particulate matter after the phasing out of leaded
 gasoline in Xi'an, China, Atmos. Environ., 46, 217-224, 2012.
- 148 Yu, S., Dennis, R. L., Bhave, P. V., and Eder, B. K.: Primary and secondary organic aerosols
- 149 over the United States: Estimates on the basis of observed organic carbon (OC) and
- elemental carbon (EC), and air quality modeled primary OC/EC ratios, Atmos. Environ., 38,
 5257-5268, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.02.064, 2004.
- Zhang, Y., Wang, X., Chen, H., Yang, X., Chen, J., and Allen, J. O.: Source apportionment of
 lead-containing aerosol particles in Shanghai using single particle mass spectrometry,
 Chemosphere, 74, 501-507, 2009.
- 155 Zheng, M., Salmon, L. G., Schauer, J. J., Zeng, L., Kiang, C. S., Zhang, Y., and Cass, G. R.:
- 156 Seasonal trends in PM2.5 source contributions in Beijing, China, Atmos. Environ., 39,
- 157 3967-3976, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.03.036, 2005a.
- Zheng, X., Liu, X., Zhao, F., Duan, F., Yu, T., and H, C.: Seasonal characteristics of biomass
 burning contribution to Beijing aerosol, Sci. China Ser. B, 48, 481-488, doi:
- 160 10.1360/042005-15, 2005b.
- 161