We thank the reviewers for their very helpful comments and insightful suggestions. Following these, we improved the treatment of the tracer-tracer-relationship analysis and separation of air parcels into the tropospheric and stratospheric branches and improved the paper overall. In the following we repeat the comments of the reviewers together with our reply and often also excerpts of the modified paper, where appropriate. We do not repeat minor technical suggestions, e.g. for wording, unless we did *not* apply them straightforwardly. Attached in the end is the revised paper with additions/deletions marked in red and blue colours.

1 Reply to Referee #1

1.1 Major Comments

1. The vertical range of air masses represented in Figure 9: follow your statement, the air masses you selected for this analysis are within a 10 km layer following the tropopause (± 5 km around the tropopause). What is the horizontal range of the selection? Are they all from the region shown in Fig 9b? What is the altitude range represented in Fig 9b? i.e., the vertical distribution of the parcels in the O3>120 ppbv and PAN>80 ppbv quadrant? Does it justify the use of the 380K level tropopause isoline and PV contours?

    The horizontal range for the parcels of Fig. 9 and both panels is given in the caption and should be restricted to 10 to 80 degree N and 20 to 210 degree E. That some mixed parcels are found outside this domain in Fig. 9b is caused by the synoptic interpolation. However, due to a coding error, the lower boundary was actually set to -10 degree latitude, which has been corrected with updated figures (see also next item).

    Within the region of the ASM, most parcels are located between 350 and 400 K (12 and 18 km) of potential temperature with a slight maximum between 360 and 380 K. Thus, the contour lines should have some relation to the depicted structures. However, another reason for plotting them is that they facilitate comparison of these structures with Fig. 8.

    We added some clarifying sentences to the main text and modified the caption.

2. Representation of the tropospheric branch: Based on Fig 5, sampling of the extra-tropical UT is very limited. That might be the main contributor to the ambiguity in identifying the tropospheric branch. It is important to look at the distribution from the specific dataset when selecting the critical values. Based on the tracer space distribution in Fig. 9a, there is no obvious reason for choosing 120 ppbv of ozone as a cut-off value for the tropospheric air. I am curious: what is the ozone distribution for parcels below the tropopause and equatorward of the subtropical jet core?

1

Intuitively, the ozone cut-off seems to be more appropriate to be near 250-300 ppbv. The spatial resolution of the data here are significantly different from the aircraft in situ measurements. This factor needs to be considered when choosing the cut-off values.

We revisited the determination of the cut-off values according to your suggestions and use a more complicated but better matching approach based on linear regression.

We added an appropriate description for the determination of the thresholds and updated the figures in the paper:

Analysing the position of the measured air parcels in the tracer-tracer space shows no apparent mixing lines for air parcels with an O_3 VMR of more than 1.0 ppmv. This indicates that no STE occurs for these air parcels. To identify the thresholds for separating the tropospheric/stratospheric branches from the "mixing region", we performed a linear regression. To analyse the stratospheric branch, we first selected all measured air parcels in the region of the ASM (from 10° N to 80° N and from 20° E to 210° E, located between the thermal tropopause and 5 km above, and with an O_3 VMR of less than 1 ppmv to exclude air parcels from the overworld). Using a linear regression, we identified a linear relationship for the middleworld between PAN and O_3 VMRs of: $PAN\,[mol\,mol^{-1}] = 1.11 \cdot 10^{-10}\,mol\,mol^{-1} - 0.7 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot O_3\,[mol\,mol^{-1}]$. The residuals show a 1-sigma uncertainty of $\approx$34 pptv, which we added to the linear fit to determine the threshold as shown in Fig. 9a. A similar analysis of the tropospheric branch gave a relationship of $O_3\,[mol\,mol^{-1}] = 1.24 \cdot 10^{-7}\,mol\,mol^{-1} - 9.0 \cdot 10^{7} \cdot PAN[mol\,mol^{-1}]$ with a sigma of $\approx$0.06 ppmv.

3. Be careful and consider the difference between "the tropospheric (stratospheric) air" and "the air parcels of tropospheric (stratospheric) origin". They mean very different things. The former classifies the air mass and the branch. The latter says which layer the air is coming from but usually implies it has left that original layer. In this case (P7L32-32) I think you meant to say that the tropospheric branch is formed by tropospheric air, not by air parcels of tropospheric origin. Some clarification in wording is necessary.

We agree that the wording is incorrect and changed the noted line. We also verified the correct use of stratospheric/tropospheric in the remainder of the document.

4. Be aware of the difference between "where mixing occurred/was taking place" and "where mixed air was observed". You don't have enough information to prove the former so it would be more accurate to stay with the latter.

We agree that the wording is highly imprecise and improved upon it along your suggestion at the noted place and also at other places in the document.

A similar idea but a different strategy is shown in Park et al., 2007 (Fig 9), which should be referenced here.

Park et al indeed uses a similar concept, with the major difference being that they do not try to distinguish the actual mixing from the overlap of the tropospheric and stratospheric branch. We added another reference to that paper in the introduction of the branches.

1.2 Minor Comments

1. You used "back trajectory falling to below 5 km" as the criterion to identify the source region. Please clarify how the terrain is treated in the trajectory calculation, since the Tibetan plateau is about 5 km in altitude. The criterion would have excluded the plateau as a source region. It would be better to use a terrain following criterion.

This is indeed unfortunate. We experimented with several different threshold to determine the sensitivity of the results to the cutoff altitude, but didn't notice this. To remove any potential influence of the Tibetan mountain range, we increased the threshold to 10 km. This increases the size of the most intense source region, but all results stay qualitatively the same.

2. It would be useful to include a discussion of the surface wind field (south-westerly flow), which will give a perspective of the larger region that contributes to the entry point of the vertical lifting, not leaving the impression that only the southern slope of the Himalaya where the emission matters (a very small source region).

We added a sentence to the discussion of the up-drafts on the southern slope:

Most air parcels left the boundary layer between the 20th of June and the 14th of July. Inspecting, for example, the synoptic situation for the peak of transition events visible for the 10th of July shows that the updraught captured in ERA-Interim reanalysis data seems to be caused by south-westerly winds blowing against the slope of the Himalaya. This implies that, even though the entry point into the upper ASM is well confined, most air originates from the boundary layer located southwards.

3. The paragraph starting P6L17 is somewhat troublesome. You intend to use the concept of tracer gradient to define the underlying dynamical barrier. You are struggling because the PAN-PV gradients do not seam to provide a clean result. Later you say it appears that the thermal tropopause works better than the PV contour selected from d(tracer)/d(PV) gradients. The fundamental problem is that PV itself is also a tracer and it goes through strong gradients at the barrier you are seeking, just like the chemical tracer.

You will not find a strong gradient of one versus the other because they are co-varying. Please consider again the relevant information in Kunz et al. 2011a,b: 1) The tracer gradient should be calculated with respective to the latitude or equivalent latitude, and 2) at 380 K level, the separation of stratospheric and tropospheric air is better represented by 6pvu, not 2-4 pvu. If you look at Figure 4, a contour of 6 pvu may appear to be reasonably close to the contour of the thermal tropopause.

The paragraph was indeed troublesome. Your notion that PV is effectively a dynamic tracer was a very helpful minder. In effect, one should be able to perform a similar analysis between PV and PAN as has been done now between PAN and O3. One expects some kind of L-shape between the two. However, the strong dependence of PV on potential temperature requires basically a separate treatment for each theta level, even when using modified PV. While the given analysis is not perfect, it performs this job quite well. The difficult point is now to properly define the threshold between the "branches", as the previously used maximum gradient does not make sense. Fitting a higher polynomial through the branches should, ideally, give the highest curvature on the transition from one branch to the other. And The first derivative should change from one constant value to another one. As such the noted criteria of maximum in second derivative (curvature) should be a better one than the one chosen in the first draft. As we could not compute the second derivative satisfactorily due to numerical reasons, we choose now a threshold in between the "linear" regime outside the ASM and the steepest gradient. Under certain assumptions, this should align with the maximum of the second derivative. We also played around with actually fitting the branches with a linear regression on each level, but the amount of measurements in the tropospheric branch on each single level is too small for a sensible fit.

As can be seen in the updated graphics, this value functions quite well. A value of 6 PVU, which according to (Kunz et al., 2011) might be sensible at this potential temperature, covers a much too large area, especially on the southern boundary of the ASM.

Due to the change of the threshold value, much of the section has been (re)-written. Please refer to the revised paper, where the changes are highlight by colour codes.

1.3 Specific Comments

1. P7L11: I think this paragraph is better to be following the Fig 5. The tracer cross sections show nicely that the tracer (PAN) is poleward bound by the westerly jet and equator-ward bound by the easterly jet, although the easterly jet is weaker.

We moved this and the following paragraphs to the beginning of the section to have the discussion of the vertical cross-sections at one place:

Figure 5 shows two vertical cross-sections through the potential vorticity

4

field, one through the main anticyclone (Fig. 5a) and one through the eddy (Fig. 5c). For both PV and PAN anomalies, the northern boundary is formed by the jet-stream with strong winds of more than $30\,ms^{-1}$ followed by a sharp increase in PV and lower PAN VMRs north of the subtropical westerly jet.

In Fig. 5b at 90° E, the thermal tropopause seems to provide a good transport barrier, or proxy thereof, for the positive PAN anomaly at tropical latitudes. While the isentropic levels crossing the thermal tropopause would principally allow for the transport of PAN into the stratosphere, the westerly and easterly jets inhibit this transport. Still, on the extratropical side northward of 40° N, PAN VMRs are found to be elevated by ≈20 to 40 pptv for ≈3 km above the thermal tropopause indicating transport of polluted tropospheric air into the extratropical lowermost stratosphere, which may have entered this extra-tropical transition layer (exTL) during breaking Rossby waves or an eddy-shedding event.

In the given situation, isentropic surfaces below 370 K do not cross the thermal tropopause on the southern side of the ASM and remain at low PV values down to the equator. The tropical easterly jet also poses a horizontal transport barrier, but at a more southern position and not discernible by the PV criterion, which only works at higher latitudes. Measurements of PAN at 360 K show a strong contrast of clean air south of the tropical easterly jet and polluted air north of it within the ASM.

A different situation is given in the eddy in Fig. 5d, where elevated PAN VMRs can be found for ≈1 km above the tropical thermal tropopause; however the altitudes and potential temperatures at which the PAN anomaly is found are still similar to the main anticyclone. This may indicate horizontal transport of tropospheric air into the lowermost stratosphere across the thermal tropopause upon leaving the area of elevated pressure over India (see geopotential altitude in Fig. 2). Alternatively, the descending thermal tropopause may become more permeable and start forming a transition layer like in the extra-tropics.

2.   P7L21: the elevated PAN in the 150°E cross-section looks very similar to the 90°E cross-section in its vertical extent.

Indeed, the major difference is in the thermal structure, where the thermal tropopause is located lower and where also the isentropes are shifted.

3.   Figures: it will make it easier for the readers if the lat-lon great circles and the continents are draw with darker lines. Alternatively, change to using a different type of map projection. Current projection and the faint gray lines for the latitude circle made it very hard for the readers to compare the locations on vertical cross section (figure 5) with the maps, because

the vertical cross sections extend to 60°N latitude, the maps only show latitude labels up to 30°N.

We reworked the figures. To ensure better visibility of the meridians and parallels, we employed darker shades of grey. We also added country-borders to give better association of airmasses with known landmarks and used also darker shade of grey for theses as well as for the coastlines. We further ensured that the meridians of 90°E and 150°E are labelled and drawn in the figures. We hope that these changes also allow the reader to more readily find the position of the cross-sections in the horizontal plots and to identify the parallel for 50°N even though it is not labelled.

2 Reply to Referee #2

2.1 Major points

1. I have concerns about the benefits of Figs. 6 and 7 and the related discussion. On the one hand the authors want to point at different strengths of confinement in the ASM and in the eddy, but in Fig. 6 they obviously plot all PAN data versus PV and potential temperature. By means of Figs. 6c and 7 they try to derive criteria to visualise "which air masses are entrapped within" the ASM by inspection of dPAN/dPV. As shown in Figs. 4 and 5, both PV and PAN act as dynamical tracers, both having strong gradients at the boundaries of the ASM and of the eddy. Thus, I doubt if the derivative dPAN/dPV is a quantity, which is well suited to determine the boundary of the anticyclones. These doubts are confirmed in the discussion of Fig. 8, where the authors concede, that the curves of maximum gradient dPAN/dPV do not enclose the areas of enhanced PAN or of the anticyclones, but considerably smaller regions instead. Afterwards they resume the discussion of Fig. 5, giving "a better picture of the horizontal transport barriers."

We reorganised the discussion slightly and updated the section discussing Figs. 6 and 7. Thinking of PV as dynamic tracer allows to interpret the graphics along similar ways of the tracer-tracer space and justifies a different threshold value to be chosen from the given data, which fits much better to the extent of the ASM.

Please see also our reply to the third Minor Comment of Reviewer #1.

2. I also have concerns about Fig. 9 and the related discussion. While the vertical red line in Fig. 9a indicating the boundary of the stratospheric branch seems to be appropriate, the threshold for the tropospheric branch at 0.12 ppmv ozone seems to be much too low for the actual data set. The data points in Fig. 9a suggest an at least two times higher value instead. Further, Fig. 9b shows that the major part of mixed air parcels is northward of the thermal tropopause at mid-latitudes. Where do these air parcels

6

come from? From prior eddy shedding, from previous isentropic transport through the ASM boundary or is it tropospheric pollution originating at mid-latitudes?

We revamped the analysis of the chemical assignment to tropospheric and stratospheric branch from a rather vague qualitatively analysis to a more robust quantitative one. Please note that we previously experimented with different thresholds and always got similar results for the location of the mixed parcels, so we chose in the end sensible values from an a priori expectation point of view.

We now derive linear relationships between PAN and O3 for the uppermost troposphere and the middleworld and derive the threshold by using these relationships in combination with the 1-sigma value derived from the fit. We also reduced the shown range of ozone values, enabling a better view on the relevant region. The newly derived relationships give obviously visually much more pleasing results. The location of identified "mixed" air parcels stays the same, even though the number of such categorised parcels is greatly diminished.

The "mixed" air parcels northwards of the subtropical jet-stream are found in nearly the whole northern hemisphere, not just over Asia. We thus assume that these form the extra-tropical mixing layer, which usually has a vertical extent of several kilometres and is thus much more visible from space than STE in the tropics. We extended the discussion in the paper:

> Having thus identified chemically tropospheric and stratospheric air masses, one may associate air parcels with both elevated PAN and O_3 VMRs with the mixing layer of the UTLS. Figure 9b shows the number of the mixed air parcels projected by trajectories to 12:00 UTC on the 11th August of 2011. The number of such parcels (which effectively relates to the thickness of the exTL) is largest in the extratropical UTLS that is located roughly northward of the westerly jet-stream on 380 K. Most of these air parcels are located between 10 and 14 km. The anticyclone has very few such air parcels, whereas the shed eddy and especially the small remaining connection in between the anticyclone and the eddy exhibit a larger number as expected from the previous results. This is further evidence that the anti-cyclone confines its air masses quite well and that mixing occurs during the eddy-shedding process or within the newly shed eddy.

2.2   General remarks

1. The authors speak of "isentropes" throughout the manuscript. I think, when discussing horizontal distributions, they should rather speak of "isentropic levels" or "isentropic surfaces" instead.

We adjusted the paper accordingly.

2. 2. Measurement and model data: The authors emphasise the unprece-
dented spatial resolution of their measurements as compared to other space
borne observations. To substantiate this statement they should specify the
along and across track sampling the PAN distribution is actually basing
upon.

We added the sentence

*The typical along-track sampling for the three measurement tracks and the
discussed measurements is ≈250 km while the typical across-track sampling
is ≈600 km.*

3. 3.1 Synoptic situation: The authors might give some additional information
on previous observations of eddy shedding from the ASM.

We added the following:

*Such smaller anticyclones typically break off several times from the main
anticyclone during summer, even though westward propagating ones are
more common (e.g. Dethof et al., 1999; Hsu and Plumb, 2000; Popovic
and Plumb, 2001; Garny and Randel, 2013; Vogel et al., 2014).*

4. P5, L12-15: I do not completely understand how the authors manage to
"... improve the measurement density by synoptically interpolating the
measurements of multiple days to a single point of time ...". This procedure
should be described more clearly.

We elaborated as

*For all air parcels measured by the CRISTA-2 instrument from the 9th to
13th August 1997, forward and backward trajectories were computed using
the CLaMS trajectory model from the time of measurement to 12:00 UTC of
each day. Using these trajectories, one can project all measured air parcels
to the noon of any given day and thereby it is possible to obtain a coherent
and more complete picture of the synoptic situation. Fig. 4 shows PV and
synoptically calculated PAN data on 380 K potential temperature combined
from all measurements.*

5. P5, L25-27: I have also difficulties in understanding this sentence. Please
describe the procedure more clearly.

We elaborated as

*Using trajectories for the synoptic interpolation introduces an additional
uncertainty to the data due to the uncertainties of available wind data.
Even though this uncertainty is small for the analysed altitude range and*

time-scale of about one week, we minimise its impact by choosing the mid-point of the measurement period, 12:00 UTC on the 11th August 1997, for further analysis to reduce the time over which trajectories need to be computed to less than 36 hours on average.

6. P5, L29f: "The 380 K isentrope is well suited in the given meteorological situation to describe the confinement of the polluted air masses of the Asian monsoon." I do not understand the motivation for this sentence as introduction of Fig. 5, which is a latitude-height cross section.

Indeed. We moved the sentence to a later, more fitting, place.

7. P6, L12: The authors should add a description of the features visible in Fig. 6b (in case they want to maintain this Figure).

We added

One can discern the general decrease of PAN VMRs with potential temperature. Horizontally, two features are notable. For low PV values at $350\,K$ a negative PAN anomaly can be seen, which is caused by the inclusion of clean tropical air southwards of the easterly jet. More important is the decrease of PAN VMRs from about $370\,K$ to $390\,K$, which is indicative of the uplift and confinement of PAN-rich air masses within the ASM anticyclone.

8. P6, L29: Does CO really have a longer lifetime than PAN in the UT?

Close to the tropopause, the lifetime may indeed be comparable but in the boundary layer, at higher temperatures, the statement is true. However, the statement got removed during the reorganisation of the pot. temp./PV/PAN relationship discussion.

9. P7, L11-16: This paragraph is somewhat contradictory. First the authors state that "... the thermal tropopause seems to provide a good transport barrier" and afterwards they note that "on the extra tropical side beyond 40°N, one can see elevated PAN VMRs for ≈3 km above the thermal tropopause."

We reformulated more exactly as

In Fig. 5b at 90° E, the thermal tropopause seems to provide a good transport barrier, or proxy thereof, for the positive PAN anomaly at tropical latitudes.

10. P8, L24f: I do not quite understand, what exactly is depicted in Fig. 10. I assume the authors want to show the origin of the air parcels observed on 11 August by use of backward trajectories. They should describe the procedure more thoroughly to enhance clarity.

The intent of the figure is to determine the pathway/source region, from where the polluted air entered the upper ASM circulation - as far as this is feasible using trajectories.

We elaborated the description including a direct reference to the panel b:

> *Using the CLaMS model, we calculated backward trajectories for all parcels using ERA-Interim wind data. As criteria for air originating from the core of the anticyclone, we selected only air parcels with a PV value less than 3.7 PVU, a PAN VMR of greater than 150 pptv, and a potential temperature greater than 360 K. The latter restriction is necessary to exclude polluted air from the subtropics. Figure 10a shows the horizontal location of selected air parcels, which aligns well with the area of high PAN VMRs on 380 K shown in Fig. 8.*
>
> *Following the trajectories of the selected air parcels backwards in time, we stopped the calculation as soon as the altitude of the parcel fell below 10 km altitude — the results are however qualitatively similar for different thresholds such as 5 km altitude. Almost all air parcels fell below the threshold altitude in the preceding two month. Figure 10b shows the locations, where the back-tracked air parcels crossed the 10 km threshold. The majority of parcels can be thus traced back to the southern slopes of the Himalaya. Obviously, this defines only the entry point into the upper circulation of the ASM, not necessarily the point of origin on ground level. There are some parcels stemming from the Pacific, which are likely mixed into the anticyclone on its southern side.*

11. P9, L11-14: The information in this paragraph is somewhat redundant.

We streamlined the paragraph:

> *This study presented a highly resolved snapshot of PAN and O_3 VMRs in the ASM of the second week of August 1997. The CRISTA-2 dataset represents the first high-resolution global coverage of PAN at the UTLS level and perhaps the currently best available snapshot of the ASM.*

12. P10, L3-8: This paragraph contains redundant information on the origin of the polluted and unpolluted air masses. Please replace by a more concise phrasing.

We reduced the paragraph to:

> *The sources of the PAN anomaly were identified by means of backward-trajectory simulations. Most of the polluted air enters the anticyclone above the southern slopes of the Himalaya, being uplifted when south-westerly winds blow against the mountains. In contrast, clean air parcels could largely be traced back towards the Pacific warm pool.*

2.3 Specific comments

P11, eq. A1 and L5: I can not decipher the index of the measurement error covariance matrix.

The index is an epsilon "ϵ".

2.4 Wording

1. P1, L7ff: "... we also computed the location of the thermal tropopause ... and find that its location agrees well with the limits of the area of increased PAN VMRs both hori- zontally on isentropes and vertically within the anticyclone." I do not quite understand this sentence. Do the authors want to state that the thermal tropopause confines the area of enhanced PAN towards the stratosphere and towards mid-latitudes? Please clarify.

We clarified as

> *[. . .], we also computed the location of the thermal tropopause according to the WMO criterion and find that it confines the PAN anomaly vertically within the main ASM anticyclone.*

This implies also a confinement towards the southern edge on horizontal levels, where the thermal tropopause is continuous. Towards the subtropics, the situation is more complicated due to the possibility of multiple tropopauses and the break in tropopause altitude at the subtropical jetstream.

2. P5, L32f: "The southern boundary (latitude) coincides with the thermal tropopause on the isentrope (altitude) close to the equatorial jet". I do not understand this sentence.

We first clarified as

> *The southern boundary on 380 K and above coincides well with the location of the thermal tropopause on the same isentrope close to the tropical easterly jet suggesting a relationship between the two.*

but then got rid of the (redundant) statement during the reorganisation of this section.

3. P6, L20f: I do not quite understand, how "the southern transport barrier" (latitude) can coincide "with the thermal tropopause", which is more or less horizontal at these latitudes.

We refer to the uppermost part of the ASM anticyclone above 370 K, where the isentropic surfaces and the surface defined by the thermal tropopause cross on the southern edge of the ASM.

However, we removed the misleading statement during the reorganisation of this section due to other comments.

4.   P7, L6f: "This suggests that both the vertical and the horizontal transport barrier is related to the temperature structure of the anticyclone." In which way? I do not quite understand this sentence.

We removed the confusing sentence.

2.5 Spelling

1.   P3, L28: "RApid" instead of "Rapid"?

P3, L30: "JUelich" instead of "Juelich"?

The letters used in the acronym are highlighted in upper case.

References

Dethof, A., O'Neill, A., Slingo, J. M., and Smit, H. G. J.: A mechanism for moistening the lower stratosphere involving the Asian summer monsoon, Quart. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 125, 1079–1106, doi:10.1002/qj.1999.49712555602, 1999.

Garny, H. and Randel, W. J.: Dynamic variability of the Asian monsoon anticyclone observed in potential vorticity and correlations with tracer distributions, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 13,421–13,433, doi:10.1002/2013JD020908, 2013.

Hsu, C. J. and Plumb, R. A.: Nonaxisymmetric Thermally Driven Circulations and Upper-Tropospheric Monsoon Dynamics, J. Atmos. Sci., 57, 1255–1276, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(2000)057⟨1255:NTDCAU⟩2.0.CO;2, 2000.

Kunz, A., Konopka, P., Müller, R., and Pan, L. L.: Dynamical tropopause based on isentropic potential vorticity gradients, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D01 110, doi:10.1029/2010JD014343, 2011.

Popovic, J. M. and Plumb, R. A.: Eddy shedding from the upper tropospheric Asian monsoon anticyclone, J. Atmos. Sci., 58, 93–104, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(2001)058⟨0093:ESFTUT⟩2.0.CO;2, 2001.

Vogel, B., Günther, G., Müller, R., Grooß, J.-U., Hoor, P., Krämer, M., Müller, S., Zahn, A., and Riese, M.: Fast transport from Southeast Asia boundary layer sources to northern Europe: rapid uplift in typhoons and eastward eddy shedding of the Asian monsoon anticyclone, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 12 745–12 762, doi:10.5194/acp-14-12745-2014, 2014.

Observations of PAN and its confinement in the Asian Summer Monsoon Anticyclone in high spatial resolution

J. Ungermann[1], M. Ern[1], M. Kaufmann[1], R. Müller[1], R. Spang[1], F. Ploeger[1], B. Vogel[1], and M. Riese[1]

[1]Institut für Energie- und Klimaforschung – Stratosphäre (IEK-7), Forschungszentrum Jülich GmbH, Jülich, Germany

Correspondence to: J. Ungermann (j.ungermann@fz-juelich.de)

Abstract.

This paper presents ~~a set~~ an analysis of trace gases in the Asian Summer Monsoon (ASM) region on basis of observations by the CRISTA infrared limb sounder taken in low-earth orbit ~~taken in August 1997 and analyses of trace-gases in the Asian Summer Monsoon (ASM) region.~~ in August 1997. The spatially highly-resolved measurements of peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN)

5 and O_3 allow a detailed analysis of an eddy-shedding event of the ASM anticyclone. We identify enhanced PAN volume mixing ratios (VMRs) within the main anticyclone and within the eddy, which are suitable as a tracer for polluted air ~~within the ASM~~ originating in India and China. ~~Comparing~~ Plotting the retrieved PAN VMRs ~~with~~ against potential vorticity (PV) ~~on isentropes~~ and potential temperature reveals that the PV value, at which the PAN VMRs exhibit the strongest decrease ~~at each isentrope for an increasing value of PV~~ ~~which may~~ with respect to PV, increases with potential temperature. These PV

10 values might be used to identify the extent of the ASM. Using temperature values also derived from CRISTA measurements, we also computed the location of the thermal tropopause according to the WMO criterion and find that ~~its location agrees well with the limits of the area of increased PAN VMRs both horizontally on isentropes and~~ it confines the PAN anomaly vertically within the main ASM anticyclone. In contrast, the shed eddy exhibits enhanced PAN VMRs for 1 to 2 km above the thermal tropopause. Using the relationship between PAN as a tropospheric tracer and O_3 as a stratospheric tracer to identify mixed air

15 parcels, we further found the anticyclone to contain few such air parcels, whereas the region in between the anticyclone and the eddy as well as the eddy itself contains many mixed air parcels. In combination, this implies that while the anticyclone confines polluted air masses well, eddy shedding provides a very rapid horizontal transport pathway of Asian pollution into the extratropical lowermost stratosphere with a time scale of only a few days.

1 Introduction

The Asian Summer Monsoon (ASM) anticyclone is the dominant circulation pattern in the summertime Northern Hemisphere in the upper troposphere / lower stratosphere (UTLS)~~and~~. It has a major impact on ~~trace gas composition and~~ stratosphere-troposphere exchange (STE) and thus on the trace gas composition of the Northern lowermost stratosphere and tropical upper troposphere. It is caused by persistent thermal heating during summer with a thermal low at lower altitudes and a strong anticyclone in the upper troposphere (UT) (e.g. Krishnamurti and Bhalme, 1976). ~~It~~ The ASM can be found in ~~summer in~~ the larger vicinity of the Tibetan plateau and is enclosed by the subtropical westerly jet on its northern side and the ~~equatorial~~ tropical easterly jet on its southern side (e.g. Randel and Park, 2006). These jets act as a strong transport-barrier and prevent the air inside the anticyclone from mixing along ~~isentropes~~ isentropic levels into the extratropical lower stratosphere or the equatorial tropics (e.g. Park et al., 2008). Previous studies using satellite data have thus found an enhancement of carbon monoxide (e.g. Li et al., 2005; Park et al., 2007) and other trace gases in the ASM indicating that polluted air is trapped within the anticyclone below the thermal tropopause (Park et al., 2008). The ASM is largely responsible for the moistening of the lowermost stratosphere in summer (e.g. Gettelman et al., 2004; Ploeger et al., 2013). It is however not fully clear, how much of the STE occurs isentropically, by eddy-shedding, or vertically across the tropopause (e.g. Hsu and Plumb, 2000; Randel et al., 2010; Vogel et al., 2015; **?**).

The CRyogenic Infrared Spectrometers and Telescopes for the Atmosphere (CRISTA; e.g. Offermann et al., 1999) experiment was flown on two Space Shuttle missions (STS66 and STS85) in November 1994 and August 1997, respectively. During free flying periods of about 10 days, global observations of temperature and numerous trace gases were made with unprecedented and so far unmatched spatial resolution (Riese et al., 1997, 1999). It was designed to examine dynamical processes primarily in the stratosphere and mesosphere, but measured also the UTLS region.

By using three viewing directions simultaneously, a horizontal across track sampling of 600 km was achieved. The along track sampling was 200 to 400 km, depending on the measurement mode. The vertical sampling was 1.5 km in the first mission and 2 km in the second mission (Grossmann et al., 2002). The spectral resolution was sufficient to determine 25 trace gas species (Offermann et al., 1999). While more recent satellites offer marginally better vertical resolution in the UTLS (especially solar occultation instruments such as ACE-FTS; Bernath et al., 2005) or a higher spectral resolution (such as MIPAS; Fischer et al., 2008), no other instrument since has offered such a spatial measurement density.

Here, we will examine the confinement of polluted air within the ASM by means of trace gases retrieved from CRISTA-2 measurements (that is measurements taken during the second CRISTA mission) taken in August 1997. We focus on peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) measurements, which offer the highest contrast between tropospheric air within the anticyclone and clean air outside compared to other tracers available from CRISTA measurements.

PAN is a tracer of tropospheric pollution. It is a secondary pollutant that forms in the troposphere from precursors with their main sources being biomass burning and anthropogenic pollution (e.g. Stephens, 1969; Penketi et al., 1975; Singh et al., 1986; Wunderli and Gehrig, 1991; Singh et al., 2007). Its lifetime is highly temperature dependent and it may be only seconds in the boundary layer, but close to the cold-point tropopause, PAN can accumulate for weeks or months (Roberts, 1990). The

combination of the relatively long lifetime of PAN at tropopause altitudes together with the confinement of air in the ASM anticyclone is expected to lead to an accumulation of PAN in the ASM circulation. Further sources of PAN are thunderstorms in convective systems that produce NO_x by lightning. The typical sinks are thermal decomposition at lower altitudes and photolysis in the stratosphere. Due to the low temperatures prevalent in the ASM anticyclone, PAN is well suited to identify

5   polluted air masses that have been uplifted in the current season. For example, PAN was also used in model studies to determine the influence of regional emissions on the air within the ASM (e.g. Fadnavis et al., 2014). The first global dataset of PAN retrieved from satellites was provided by Glatthor et al. (2007); Wiegele et al. (2012) covering the time frame from 2002 until the end of the MIPAS experiment in 2012. The newly derived data presented here shows the global state 5 years earlier, even if only for one week, and thus extends our knowledge of the historical evolution of PAN in the UTLS~~considerably~~.

10 ## 2   Measurements and model data

The measurements presented in this paper were made aboard the Space Shuttle experiment CRISTA. The limb sounder CRISTA was integrated into the astronomical Shuttle Pallet System (ASTRO-SPAS; Wattenbach and Moritz, 1997) and launched into orbit by the NASA space shuttle for two missions; once in November 1994 (CRISTA-1) and once in August 1997 (CRISTA-2). The instrument employs three Herschel telescopes (pointing towards -18°, 0°, and 18° in reference to the viewing direction, which varied dependent on the latitude between 108° and 252° with respect to flight direction (Grossmann et al., 2002)), four

15   Ebert-Fastie spectrometers (Fastie, 1991) and 24 mid-infrared detectors ranging from 155 to $2390\,\mathrm{cm^{-1}}$ and covers an altitude range from ≈ 9 to $150\,\mathrm{km}$ altitude in the limb. Here, we ~~concentrate for practical matters~~ focus on the detector channels covering the spectral range from 777 to $862\,\mathrm{cm^{-1}}$ (with a spectral resolution of $\lambda/\Delta\lambda \approx 500$) more relevant to this work and the altitude range between 9 and $45\,\mathrm{km}$. The field-of-view has a size of $3\,\mathrm{arcmin} \times 30\,\mathrm{arcmin}$, which corresponds to about $1.7\,\mathrm{km} \times 17\,\mathrm{km}$ at $18\,\mathrm{km}$ tangent height, whereby spectra were usually measured in $2\,\mathrm{km}$ altitude steps. The typical along-track sampling

20   for the three measurement tracks and the discussed measurements is $\approx 250\,\mathrm{km}$, while the typical across track sampling is $\approx 600\,\mathrm{km}$. As the detectors and the optics were cooled by cryogenic helium, a high measurement speed could be achieved ~~with a simultaneous~~ in combination with a good signal-to-noise-ratio — recording one spectrum took only $1.2\,\mathrm{s}$ allowing the measurement of full profiles in less than one minute with four spectrometers operating in parallel. The vertical sampling is one

25   spectrum every $2\,\mathrm{km}$, which, taking field-of-view and processing into account, translates to a vertical resolution of the retrieved products of $\approx 2\,\mathrm{km}$ for temperature and O_3 and $\approx 3\,\mathrm{km}$ for PAN in the UTLS. As the retrieval grid is aligned with the tangent altitudes of the measured spectra, there is no loss of resolution due to interpolation. No limb-sounder since has achieved a comparable spatial measurement density at this vertical resolution.

Previous work on CRISTA concentrated mainly on the exploration of the middle atmosphere, with only few studies looking

30   on the UTLS region (e.g. Spang et al., 2015). Here, we examine the Asian summer monsoon (ASM), which ~~could be~~ was observed for 8 consecutive days from 8 August 1997 to 16 August 1997 during the CRISTA-2 mission.

The calibrated spectra were available from previous studies (Spang et al., 2015). The level 2 processing was redone based on previous work for the atmospheric successor instrument CRISTA-NF (e.g. Ungermann et al., 2012). The JUelich Rapid Spectral

SImulation Code V2 (JURASSIC2; e.g. Hoffmann et al., 2008; Ungermann, 2013) forward model is used to simulate radiances for given atmospheric states, while the Juelich Tomographic Inversion Library (JUTIL; e.g. Ungermann et al., 2015) performs the actual inversion to derive atmospheric quantities from measured infrared radiances. The setup was slightly modified from the one used for the CRISTA-NF retrievals to accommodate the fact that the CRISTA spectra are interpolated onto a fixed 0.35 wavenumber grid and that the spectral range of the detector was smaller. The resulting setup allows temperature, water vapour, ozone, nitric acid, peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN), carbon tetrachloride, CFC-11, CFC-113, and HCFC-22 to be derived, as well as ~~an aerosol underground~~the aerosol background level. Further quantities ~~could~~ may be derived by incorporating spectral data acquired by other CRISTA detectors. The retrieval process is briefly described in App. A. An exemplary profile of PAN and diagnostic data averaged over retrieved profiles in the ASM region is shown in Fig. 1.

For analysis of the meteorological setting (pressure, temperature, wind speeds) and also as initial guess (temperature) and a priori (temperature and pressure) for the retrieval, ERA-Interim data supplied by the European Centre for Medium-range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) were used (Dee et al., 2011). For August 1997, these data are available in six hour time steps in the T255/L60 resolution. Winds from this dataset were also used for calculation of air parcel trajectories with the Chemical Lagrangian Model of the Stratosphere (CLaMS; e.g. McKenna et al., 2002; Konopka et al., 2010).

3 Analysis

3.1 Synoptic Situation

The majority of the CRISTA-2 measurements in the altitude region of the ASM falls in the period between the 8th and the 13th of August due to the employed measurement mode. Figure 2 shows the approximate extent of the ASM on the $100\,\mathrm{hPa}$ surface with low potential vorticity (PV) as a marker for air from inside the anticyclone and contour lines of geopotential altitude showing the geostrophic wind and thus the jets forming the horizontal transport barrier. In the beginning of the considered period, the approximate location of the ASM is ~~located~~ over the Tibetan plateau; subsequently a large eddy is shed eastwards. Such smaller anticyclones typically break off several times from the main anticyclone during summer, even though westward propagating ones are more common (e.g. Dethof et al., 1999; Hsu and Plumb, 2000; Popovic and Plumb, 2001; Garny and Randel, 2013; **?**). On 11 August 1997, a clear separation ~~of air masses between~~ between air masses of the main anticyclone and of the smaller eastward propagating anticyclonic eddy can be observed, with a very faint connection in between. This eddy breaks off and continues moving towards the American coast while descending below the ~~shown~~ $100\,\mathrm{hPa}$ pressure surface.

3.2 Structures in Derived Trace Gases

We focus here on retrieved PAN VMRs, as this trace gas has the best quality of the derived trace gases with respect ~~of~~ to characterising the ASM anticyclone. The positive water-vapour anomaly within the anticyclone has a much smaller gradient to stratospheric air at the same altitude ~~resulting in a smaller signal-to-noise ratio~~making it difficult to discern the gradient against the retrieval noise; the same is true for the chlorofluorocarbons. Ozone would also work as a negative anomaly highlighting

tropospheric air, but it has sources in both the stratosphere and the polluted troposphere and has thus also a smaller gradient at the examined altitude levels. We revisit ozone at a later point, though (see below).

Figure 3 shows PAN measurements for the five consecutive days offering the best measurement density in the UTLS, which is possible with the configuration of the CRISTA instrument. The measurement gaps over India and the Pacific are caused by high altitude cloud systems that prevent the retrieval of trace gases from measured spectra at the shown altitudes. To remove cloud-affected spectra from the retrieval, the colour ratio between the radiances averaged between $791.00\,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ to $793.00\,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ and $832.30\,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ to $834.40\,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ is determined and spectra with a ratio of less than 4 are discarded (Spang et al., 2012). In Fig. 3, the $380\,\mathrm{K}$ surface is shown, which typically is located rather high in the anticyclone. The spatial extent of the anticyclone can be identified using the horizontal gradient in the trace gases at this level (Ploeger et al., 2015).

In the extratropical stratosphere, PAN mixing ratios larger than 50 to $80\,\mathrm{pptv}$ are not expected, but filaments with higher VMR may be found in the tropical troposphere and in the extratropical mixing layer. However, within the CRISTA-2 measurements over Asia, the air masses with highly enhanced VMRs compared to the stratospheric background are solely found within the anticyclone of the ASM and the spun-off eddy.

The PAN VMR measurements in Fig. 3 plotted at their tangent points sketchily show the eddy shedding event (compare to Fig. 2). Especially the weakening 'connection' at $120°\,\mathrm{E}$ is well covered by measurements on each day. On 10th August 1997, the main anticyclone and the forming eddy are still well connected. The connection progressively weakens until 13th August 1997, when the eddy is finally well separated by air masses with low PAN VMRs. Further note the long drawn PAN filament stretching towards Alaska on the 12th and 13th of August.

PAN VMRs are assumed to be stable for a couple of days at the given altitudes and temperatures. Thus, the measurement density can be improved by synoptically interpolating the measurements of multiple days to a single point of time using trajectory calculations. For all air parcels measured by the CRISTA-2 instrument from the 9th to 13th August 1997, forward and backward trajectories were computed using the CLaMS trajectory model from the time of measurement to $12:00\,\mathrm{UTC}$ of each day. Using these trajectories, one can project all measured air parcels to the noon of any given day and thereby it is possible to obtain a coherent and more complete picture of the synoptic situation. Fig. 4 shows PV and synoptically calculated PAN data on $380\,\mathrm{K}$ potential temperature combined from all measurements. Comparing Fig. 3 with Fig. 4 shows that the trajectory-based fill-in makes the prevalent structures more apparent. The negative anomalies in PV agree very well with the positive PAN anomalies and the highest PAN VMRs at this altitude clearly occur for PV values of $3\,\mathrm{PVU}$ or less. The sharpest PV gradient occurs towards the northern sub-tropics in agreement with a sharp drop of PAN VMRs towards the extratropical lowermost stratosphere to the north. The PV gradient in the southern part of the anticyclone is less pronounced and the structures in PAN VMRs are correspondingly less distinct. Only further south, clean tropical air streaming in from the Pacific exhibits PAN VMRs below $50\,\mathrm{pptv}$.

The eddy-shedding event can be seen well in both PV and PAN VMRs. Even the fine filamentary structure in between the anticyclone and the spun-off eddy can be distinguished in retrieved PAN VMRs. We thus conclude that PAN is an excellent tracer to identify anticyclone air in the given time frame (see also Fadnavis et al., 2014).

~~However to reduce potential artefacts introduced by the synoptic calculation, the PAN VMRs for the figures presented~~
~~from now on are calculated for the~~ Using trajectories for the synoptic interpolation introduces an additional uncertainty to the data due to the uncertainties of available wind data. Even though this uncertainty is small for the analysed altitude range and time-scale of about one week, we minimise its impact by choosing the mid-point of the measurement period, ~~thus reducing~~ 12:00 UTC on the 11th August 1997, for further analysis to reduce the time over which trajectories ~~are calculated~~ need to be computed to less than 36 hours on average.

3.3 Horizontal and Vertical Confinement

~~The 380K isentrope is well suited in the given meteorological situation to describe the confinement of the polluted air masses of the Asian monsoon.~~ Figure 5 shows two vertical cross-sections through the potential vorticity field, one through the main anticyclone (Fig. 5a) and one through the eddy (Fig. 5c). For both PV and PAN anomalies, the northern boundary is formed by the jet-stream with strong winds of more than $30\,\mathrm{ms}^{-1}$ ~~following~~ followed by a sharp increase in PV ~~. The southern boundary coincides with~~ and lower PAN VMRs north of the subtropical westerly jet.

In Fig. 5b at 90° E, the thermal tropopause seems to provide a good transport barrier, or proxy thereof, for the positive PAN anomaly at tropical latitudes. While the isentropic levels crossing the thermal tropopause ~~on the isentrope close to the equatorial jet~~ would principally allow for the transport of PAN into the stratosphere, the westerly and easterly jets inhibit this transport. Still, on the extratropical side northward of 40° N, PAN VMRs are found to be elevated by $\approx$20 to 40 pptv for $\approx$3 km above the thermal tropopause indicating transport of polluted tropospheric air into the extratropical lowermost stratosphere, which may have entered this extra-tropical transition layer (exTL) during breaking Rossby waves or an eddy-shedding event.

In the given situation, isentropic surfaces below 370 K do not cross the thermal tropopause on the southern side of the ASM and remain at low PV values down to the equator. The tropical easterly jet also poses a horizontal transport barrier, but at a more southern position and not discernible by the PV criterion, which only works at higher latitudes. Measurements of PAN at 360 K show a strong contrast of clean air south of the tropical easterly jet and polluted air north of it within the ASM.

A different situation is given in the eddy in Fig. 5d, where elevated PAN VMRs can be found for $\approx$1 km above the tropical thermal tropopause; however the altitudes and potential temperatures at which the PAN anomaly is found are still similar to the main anticyclone. This may indicate horizontal transport of tropospheric air into the lowermost stratosphere across the thermal tropopause upon leaving the area of elevated pressure over India (see geopotential altitude in Fig. 2). Alternatively, the descending thermal tropopause may become more permeable and start forming a transition layer like in the extra-tropics.

The relationship between PV and PAN VMRs can be further explored by comparing typical PAN VMRs for each value of PV. Figure 6a shows a scatter plot of ~~PAN VMR against PV~~ potential temperature of measured air parcels against PV with PAN VMRs overlayed as colour code. Deriving a criterion for the extent of the ASM based on PV is advantageous for analysing the ASM in, e.g., ECMWF model data. There is a general gradient from high PAN VMRs at low potential temperature values

towards low PAN VMRs at high potential temperature values as is expected for a tropospheric pollutant. However the area between 360 K and 400 K has an additional horizontal structure that is related to the ASM anticyclone. To ~~extract~~ derive further information from the noisy, unstructured data, a smooth 2-D surface on a regular grid is fitted against the data~~in Fig. 6b~~. A fine regular grid is defined in potential temperature and modified PV. Modified PV ($PV_{mod} = PV \cdot (\theta/380\,K)^{-4.5}$) is a scaled form of PV that partially reduces its dependency on potential temperature (Lait, 1994; Müller and Günther, 2003) and thereby transforms the covered ~~tracer-PV~~ potential temperature-PV space into a more rectangular region (the choice of the exponent is not very important for this purpose). The PAN VMR values on the grid are then determined by least-squares minimisation against the measured data with additional smoothness constraints on the first derivative with respect to potential temperature and the second derivative with respect to modified PV. The strength of the constraints somewhat influences the derived absolute values, but the shape and the position of the maxima are robust. ~~Figure~~

The result is shown in Fig. 6b. One can discern the general decrease of PAN VMRs with potential temperature. Horizontally, two features are notable. For low PV values at 350 K a negative PAN anomaly can be seen, which is caused by the inclusion of clean tropical air southwards of the easterly jet. More important is the decrease of PAN VMRs from about 370 K to 390 K, which is indicative of the uplift and confinement of PAN-rich air masses within the ASM anticyclone.

The derivative of PAN VMRs with respect to PV is then depicted in Fig. 6c~~shows that the~~. The derivative highlights the change in PAN VMRs across the dynamical tropopause. The PV value, ~~for which PAN decreases most strongly, increases smoothly and constantly~~ at which PAN has the strongest gradient, increases linearly with potential temperature ~~up to around 400~~from 360 K ~~, where the PAN anomaly slowly vanishes at~~ up to the thermal tropopause level. This plot enables the visualisation of the confinement of the ASM anticyclone and shows which air masses are entrapped within. The position of the transport barrier can be easily discerned by the negative anomaly in Fig. 6c. ~~The positive anomaly at lower potential temperatures is caused by a set of parcels of unpolluted air within the tropical jet.~~

Figure 7 shows that the decrease of PAN VMRs for increasing PV is strongest between 370 K and 375 K with a maximum decrease of ~~≈−~~≈−60 pptv PVU^{-1} at ≈2 PVU. On the 380 K ~~isentrope~~isentropic surface, the maximal gradient is given by ~~≈−~~≈ −44 pptv PVU^{-1} at ≈3.2 PVU. This is consistent with the study of Ploeger et al. (2015), where a similar comparison for modelled CO and PV exhibited peak gradients between 2.6 PVU and 4.6 PVU for the years of 2011 to 2013. ~~As the southern transport barrier coincides with the thermal tropopause, it~~ It is plausible that a value between 2 PVU and ~~4~~6 PVU is found for the ~~maximum~~ negative anomaly in gradient because PV values in this range are also commonly chosen for the dynamical tropopause (e.g. Kunz et al., 2011). Kunz et al. (2011) also found that a value of ≈4 PVU agrees quite well with the thermal tropopause in summer on the northern hemisphere.

~~In Fig. 8, we again consider the PAN distribution at the~~The 380 ~~surface . Comparing the PV value for the maximum gradient (~~K isentropic surface is well suited in the given meteorological situation to describe the confinement of the polluted air masses of the Asian monsoon. The gradient dPAN/dPV ~~) at~~ for 380 K shown in Fig. 7 can be used to choose a suitable value of PV to determine the boundary of the ASM. For values above 5 PVU at 380 K, the gradient is (effectively) zero, so it notifies air outside the ASM. The maximum gradient at this isentropic level is given at 3.2 PVU~~with the given PAN VMRs gives a mixed picture.It matches indeed very well the maximum gradient, where PAN VMRs fall from values of 200~~, which notifies

7

the largest change of VMR with PV, so it should be right within the mixing layer. One might look at the maximum of the second derivative to identify the point of strongest curvature, but we found the computation of this to be numerically unstable. However, this maximum of the second derivative must be located in between the maximum value of the first derivative and 5 ~~to below 130~~PVU, where the first derivative becomes constant. Instead we pick the value of 4.1 ~~, but both in the anticyclone and in the eddy shedding region, it seems too conservative, resulting in a too small area that would be attributed to the anticyclone. The PAN VMRs may be not well-mixed enough within the anticyclone such that the steepest decrease in VMR coincides with the ASM boundary. This suggests that the PV value for the *maximal* gradient may not be the best PV value for discerning the boundaries of the ASM for less well-mixed trace gases (the criterion was developed for , which has a longer life time than PAN). A slightly larger value such as 3.7~~PVU, the mid-point of 3.2 PVU ~~(incidentally the maximal gradient at 385~~and 5.0 PVU~~.

In Fig. 8, we thus again consider the PAN distribution on the 380 K ~~) shows a better agreement (not depicted). Such a value would coincide with a maximum of the second derivative of PAN over PVU, but further observations would be required to examine this more closely; also the second derivative is more difficult to compute due to numerical instability~~surface. The PV isoline for 4.1 PVU agrees quite well with the positive PAN VMR anomaly. Small changes of the chosen PV value (in the order of ± 0.2) do not change the agreement significantly.

Figure 8 ~~also shows~~ shows also the location of the primary thermal tropopause derived from CRISTA temperature data~~, which better encapsulates the area of the positive PAN VMR anomaly~~. The thermal tropopause is determined according to the World Meteorological Organization (WMO) criterion (WMO, 1957). We first compute the lapse rate in between derived temperature values and assign these lapse rates to the altitude of the mid-point of the interval. We then locate the altitude, where the lapse-rate falls below $2\,\mathrm{K\,km^{-1}}$, by linear interpolation, which gives a better result (also for model data) than simply assigning the tropopause altitude to the altitude of an available measurement point. Montgomery streamfunction isolines are also given in Fig. 8 and indicate the direction and speed of the geostrophic wind (the wind follows the isolines and is faster where they are closer together). The wind approximately follows the thermal tropopause isoline and reaches its maximum at the thermal tropopause location except for the region between the ASM anticyclone and the shed eddy. ~~This suggests that both the vertical and the horizontal transport barrier is related to the temperature structure of the anticyclone. The agreement is less good close to the cut-off point of the eddy and on the southern side of the anticyclone. The latter~~

For the ASM anticyclone, the 4.1 PVU isoline agrees well with the extent of the PAN anomaly. In contrast, the thermal tropopause seems to be shifted northward. On the northern side the thermal tropopause agrees well with the maximum in wind speed. Here, the air in the jetstream seems to consist mostly of non-ASM air brought along in he subtropical westerly jet. The discrepancy on the southern side may be caused by an insufficient amount of ~~data to properly determine the thermal tropopause. The region above India~~is very cloudy, preventing the retrieval of temperature and trace gases in most of the troposphere, which obviously complicates the determination of the tropopause altitudes~~measurement data due to the high convective clouds over India.

A ~~better picture of the horizontal barriers is obtained by vertical cross-sections at fixed longitudes as shown in Fig. 5. The two cross-sections pass through the anticyclone and the separated eddy . At 90, the thermal tropopause seems to provide a~~

good transport barrier, or proxy thereof, for the PAN anomaly at altitudes above 14. While the isentropes crossing different picture is given for the shed eddy in the east. Here, the thermal tropopause ~~would principally allow for the transport of PAN into the stratosphere, the strong jet inhibits this transport~~agrees better with the extent of the PAN anomaly compared to the ASM anticyclone. On the ~~extratropical side~~beyond 40, one can see elevated PAN VMRs for $\approx$3~~above~~ northern side, the thermal tropopause ~~, which indicates in-mixing of polluted tropospheric air into the extratropical lowermost stratosphere.~~

~~At least in the given situation, isentropes below 370do not cross the thermal tropopause on the southern side and remain at low PV values down to the equator. The equatorial jet also poses a barrier, but at a more southern position and not discernible by the PV criterion, which only works at higher latitudes. Measurements of PAN at 360K show a stark contrast of clean air south of the jet and polluted air north of it.~~

~~A different situation is given in the eddy, where elevated PAN VMRs can be found for $\approx$1~~and the 4.1 ~~above~~ PVU isoline coincide. The PAN anomaly extends into the subtropical jet, which results in a long drawn streamer pulled along the jetstream visible also in Fig. 4f. On its southern side, the thermal tropopause ~~, however the altitudes and isentropes at which~~seems to fit slightly better to the PAN anomaly ~~is found are still similar to the main anticyclone. This may indicate a horizontal intrusion of tropospheric air into the lowermost stratosphere across the thermal tropopause upon leaving the area of elevated pressure over India (see geopotential altitude in Fig. 2)~~than the 4.1 PVU isoline.

The small region in the centre connects the anticyclone with the eddy. Only the 4.1 PVU isoline closely follows the high PAN VMRs in this region.

Another way of identifying the extent of confinement is by transferring the geo-located measurement data into tracer-tracer space (e.g. Hintsa et al., 1998). As noted, PAN is a mostly tropospheric gas without stratospheric sources, and its stratospheric lifetime is too short to accumulate there to larger VMR values. It is therefore possible to identify tropospheric air masses by large VMRs of PAN. Using another trace gas such as ozone that exhibits large VMRs only in the stratosphere, one may identify the association of an air parcel with either the troposphere or the stratosphere solely by the ~~VMR~~VMRs of the two trace gases. Using CRISTA-2 derived O_3 values, one derives ideally an "L"-like shape in the UTLS region (e.g. Hoor et al., 2002; Pan et al., 2007; Park et al., 2007) such as shown in Fig. 9a. Depicted are measured air parcels from $10°$ N to $80°$ N, from $20°$ E to $210°$ E, and with a distance of less than 5 km from the thermal tropopause. One line of the "L" is thereby formed by air parcels of tropospheric ~~origin~~characteristics, while the other is formed by air parcels of stratospheric ~~origin. Parcels~~characteristics. Air parcels not falling on one of the branches are then assumed to be generated by mixing between the "pure" branches. The stratospheric branch is well visible in Fig. 9 with high O_3 VMRs and correspondingly low PAN VMRS. The tropospheric branch is less pronounced, probably because the highest PAN VMRs can be found close to the tropopause in contrast to O_3, where the highest VMRs can be found significantly above. Mixing between tropospheric and stratospheric air introduces so-called mixing lines; that are air parcels that lie on lines between the two branches. Analysing the position of the measured air parcels in the tracer-tracer space shows no apparent mixing lines for air parcels with an O_3 VMR of more than 1.0 ppmv. This indicates that no STE occurs for these air parcels.

~~Identifying thresholds from Fig. 9a and based on a priori knowledge of typical VMRs for both of these trace gases, we define parcels with PAN VMR of less than~~ To identify the thresholds for separating the tropospheric/stratospheric branches

from the "mixing region", we performed a linear regression. To analyse the stratospheric branch, we first selected all measured air parcels in the region of the ASM (from 10° N to 80° N and from 20° E to 210° E, located between the thermal tropopause and 5 ~~to be chemically of stratospheric nature and air parcels with~~ km above, and with an O_3 VMR of less than ~~0.12~~1 ppmv to ~~be chemically of tropospheric nature and use these to separate the measured air parcels into four quadrants~~exclude air

5 parcels from the overworld). Using a linear regression, we identified a linear relationship for the middleworld between PAN and O_3 VMRs of: $\mathrm{PAN}\,[\mathrm{mol\,mol^{-1}}] = 1.11 \cdot 10^{-10}\,\mathrm{mol\,mol^{-1}} - 0.7 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot O_3\,[\mathrm{mol\,mol^{-1}}]$. The residuals show a 1-sigma uncertainty of $\approx$34 pptv, which we added to the linear fit to determine the threshold as shown in Fig. 9a. A similar analysis of the tropospheric branch gave a relationship of $O_3\,[\mathrm{mol\,mol^{-1}}] = 1.24 \cdot 10^{-7}\,\mathrm{mol\,mol^{-1}} - 9.0 \cdot 10^{7} \cdot \mathrm{PAN}[\mathrm{mol\,mol^{-1}}]$ with a sigma of $\approx$0.06 ppmv. The threshold for O_3 is quite high, which may be caused by pollution in the Asian monsoon. The

10 air parcels in the lower left quadrant with lower O_3 VMRS are found in the tropical troposphere and were advected from the Pacific region and are thus likely devoid of Asian pollution. The presented results are not sensitive to small variations of the threshold.

Having thus identified chemically tropospheric and stratospheric air masses, one may ~~assign~~ associate air parcels with both elevated PAN and O_3 VMRs ~~to the mixing region~~ with the mixing layer of the UTLS. Figure 9b shows the number of ~~such~~ the

15 mixed air parcels projected by trajectories to 12:00 UTC on the 11th August of 2011. ~~One can see that the~~ The number of such parcels (which effectively relates to the thickness of the ~~extratropical mixing layer~~exTL) is largest in the extratropical UTLS that is located roughly northward of the ~~thermal tropopause~~ westerly jet-stream on 380 K. ~~The remaining~~ Most of these air parcels are located between 10 and 14 km. The anticyclone has very few such air parcels, whereas the shed eddy ~~exhibits~~ and especially the small remaining connection in between the anticyclone and the eddy exhibit a larger number as expected from

20 the previous results.

~~It is apparent that the majority of mixing does not occur within the anticyclone, but within the shed eddy and in the transition region in between the two structures~~This is further evidence that the anti-cyclone confines its air masses quite well and that mixing occurs during the eddy-shedding process or within the newly shed eddy.

3.4 Source regions

25 The question remains, where and when the measured PAN originates that is found below the thermal tropopause ~~originates~~ and if it truly functions as a tracer ~~for~~ of anticyclone air. To work as a tracer, it needs to originate from within the Asian monsoon region. Using the CLaMS model, we calculated backward trajectories for all air parcels using ERA-Interim wind data. As criteria for air originating from the core of the anticyclone, we selected only air parcels with a PV value less than 3.7 PVU, a PAN VMR of greater than 150 pptv, and a potential temperature greater than 360 K. The latter restriction is necessary to

30 exclude polluted air from the subtropics. Figure 10a shows the horizontal location of selected air parcels, which aligns well with the area of high PAN VMRs on 380 K shown in Fig. 8.

Following the trajectories of the selected air parcels backwards in time, we stopped the calculation as soon as the altitude of the air parcel fell below ~~5~~10 km altitude — the results are however qualitatively similar for different thresholds such as ~~3~~5 km ~~. The~~ altitude. Almost all air parcels fell below the threshold altitude in the preceding two months. Figure 10b shows

the locations, where the back-tracked air parcels crossed the 10 km threshold. We find that the majority of parcels can be traced back to the southern slopes of the Himalaya. ~~There are several~~ Obviously, this defines only the entry point into the upper circulation of the ASM, not necessarily the point of origin on ground level. There are some air parcels stemming from the Pacific, which are likely mixed into the anticyclone on its southern side. This is consistent with a more comprehensive

5   trajectory study by Bergman et al. (2013) and the CLaMS three-dimensional simulations using tracers of air mass origin by Vogel et al. (2015), who also found that ~~a majority of~~ most of the air within the anticyclone stems from ~~a very small region~~ within India and China. In contrast, about half the air parcels subject to the same criteria except that we require a PAN VMR of below 100 pptv, stem from the Pacific region (not depicted), i.e. apparently a region from where clean air ~~originates~~is mixed into the ASM.

10   The trajectories also allow an identification of the point in time, at which the air masses left the boundary layer to be drawn upwards into the anticyclone. Figure 11 shows the number of air parcels that passed the ~~5~~10 km threshold at the given day. Most air parcels left the boundary layer between the 20th of June and the 14th of July. Inspecting, for example, the ~~peak on~~ synoptic situation for the peak of transition events visible for the 10th of July shows that the updraught captured in ERA-Interim reanalysis data seems to be caused by ~~southerly~~ south-westerly winds blowing against the slope of the Himalaya. This implies

15   that, even though the entry point into the upper ASM is well confined, most air originates from the boundary layer located southwards. However, as convection is likely a major mechanism for transporting air into the UT, ~~which is~~ a mechanism that is not well captured in 6-hourly ECMWF reanalysis data, the question remains whether the air could origin from other sources over Asia apart from the ~~ASM~~ small identified source region.

However, the back-trajectories do not reveal significant sources outside the ASM region so that we ~~can safely~~ assume that

20   the majority of measured PAN stems from polluted air from the Indian subcontinent.

4   Discussion and Conclusions

This study presented a highly resolved snapshot of PAN and O_3 VMRs in the ASM ~~of~~ during the second week of August 1997. ~~It represents the eldest dataset of globally derived PAN and has at the same time an unprecedented spatial resolution. It thus provides the most resolved snapshot of the ASM anticyclone currently available. The presented data and analysis provide~~

25   ~~further observations and evidence for the mechanisms that affect STE in the region~~ The CRISTA-2 dataset represents the first high-resolution global coverage of PAN in the UTLS and perhaps the currently best available snapshot of the ASM.

The observations show the ASM anticyclone and the shedding of a large eddy to the east. We could demonstrate that the air confined within the anticyclone and the eddy was highly polluted as indicated by increased PAN VMRs measured by CRISTA. The PAN anomaly ~~was~~ matched very well ~~by~~ to PV values taken from ERA-Interim reanalysis data. We ~~could show~~

30   examined the relationship between PAN and PV as a function of ~~PV and~~ potential temperature and that there is a particular PV value for each ~~isentrope~~ potential temperature level, where the decrease in PAN VMR is maximal. These PV values of strong PAN gradients increase with potential temperature up to the highest potential temperature found in the measured data. This demonstrates that PAN is enhanced within the anticyclone and the eddy compared to the stratospheric background and

11

can therefore act well as a marker of anticyclone air. The distribution of measured PAN indicates that air masses are strongly confined within the ASM anticyclone consistent with previous model studies and less well-resolved satellite observations (e.g. Park et al., 2007). We demonstrated that for the given situation, the location of the thermal tropopause on an isentropic surface was a useful marker for the extent of anticyclone air, even though the area of the anticyclone was overestimated. This indicates that the thermal tropopause constitutes a strong transport barrier against vertical and also for isentropic transport within the uppermost part of the ASM anticyclone into the lowermost stratosphere. A potentially better marker was a PV value of 4.1 PVU that was computed from the first derivative of PAN with respect to PV. While the agreement with the extent of the PAN anomaly was better, the threshold value can only be determined with significant uncertainty and it is not clear how the results gained here may be generalised.

We further analysed the relationship between PAN and O_3 to identify the extent of mixing at the edges of the anticyclone and the eddy. As demonstrated, PAN can be used as a tracer for chemically tropospheric air, while O_3 functions as a tracer for chemically stratospheric air. Mapping all retrieved air parcels into tracer-tracer space allowed air parcels to be identified that are chemically a mixture of both stratospheric and tropospheric air. Transferring these results from tracer-tracer space back into the geo-spatial space allowed the identification of the regions, where most "mixed" air parcels were observed. While only very few of such air parcels could be observed in the core of the anticyclone, many such air parcels were present in the region in-between the eddy and the anticyclone. The presented vertical cross-sections (Fig. 5) show air masses with a mixture of chemically tropospheric and stratospheric air above the thermal tropopause of the eddy. This suggests that the mechanism of eddy shedding might be an exceptionally quick pathway for STE, where air previously confined of the ASM anticyclone may enter the UTLS mixing layer within days.

The sources of the PAN anomaly were identified by means of backward-trajectory simulations. Most of the polluted air enters the anticyclone above the southern slopes of the Himalaya, being uplifted when south-westerly winds blow against the mountains. In contrast, clean air parcels could largely be traced back towards the Pacific warm pool.

The presented data serve well as an example for the capabilities of highly-resolving limb-sounding instruments for the study of dynamic phenomena. Further insights could be gained by a better coverage in time (while retaining the same number of measurements within 24 hours) and with a better vertical sampling in the UTLS, which would be feasible with a next-generation infrared limb-imager (e.g. Riese et al., 2005).

Appendix A: Retrieval

This appendix describes the level 2 processing used to derive the trace gas data used in this paper. It is not based on previous CRISTA-2 processing, but derived from the level 2 processor used for the air borne successor instrument CRISTA-NF described by Ungermann et al. (2013) with some necessary changes to accommodate to the different measurement mode of the satellite mission and differences in the available level 1 data (calibrated spectra). For ~~completeness sake~~ the sake of completeness, we give here a full overview.

Deriving trace gases from measured radiances poses a so-called inverse problem. Knowing the radiative transfer equations and the state of the atmosphere, it is straightforward to compute emitted and absorbed infrared radiation with a spectral simulation code. It is however more difficult to discern the state of the atmosphere from measured radiances. This problem is an ill-posed problem, as no solution may exist due to measurement errors of the instrument, it may not be unique, and it may vary greatly with measurement noise. As such, it is typically not possible to identify the correct atmospheric state that generated the measured spectra.

Instead, one approximates the ill-posed exact problem by a well-posed approximate one that incorporates a priori knowledge about the solution, which — for limb-sounders — usually consists of smoothness criteria to prevent unnatural oscillations in the resulting trace gas profiles. Also, one does not require an exact reproduction of the measured spectra, but allows for deviations ~~in~~ of the order of the expected noise to compensate for the measurement errors. Mathematically, the problem is reformulated as a minimisation problem. Let $\boldsymbol{F} : \mathbb{R}^n \mapsto \mathbb{R}^m$ be the forward model that maps a discretised atmospheric state $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to the set of all evaluated radiance measurements of one profile $\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Then the solution $\boldsymbol{x}_\mathrm{f}$ is defined by the minimum of the cost function

$$J(\boldsymbol{x}) = (\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{y})^\mathrm{T} \mathbf{S}_\epsilon^{-1} (\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x}) - \boldsymbol{y}) + (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_\mathrm{a})^\mathrm{T} \mathbf{S}_\mathrm{a}^{-1} (\boldsymbol{x} - \boldsymbol{x}_\mathrm{a}). \tag{A1}$$

The matrix $\mathbf{S}_\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ approximates the true measurement error covariance matrix of the instrument, which here is constructed as a simple diagonal matrix with a relative error of 1%. The matrix $\mathbf{S}_\mathrm{a}^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ together with the vector $\boldsymbol{x_a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ defines the a priori knowledge of the atmosphere. The a priori values for pressure, temperature, and water vapour are taken from ECMWF ERA-Interim data, PAN assumes a zero-profile, while the remaining entities are taken from the Remedios et al. (2007) climatology. The matrix $\mathbf{S}_\mathrm{a}$ is assembled as the sum of a matrix with the variances of the atmospheric quantities on the diagonal and a first-order Tikhonov regularisation matrix (Tikhonov and Arsenin, 1977), which in effect computes the ~~L2-norm~~ L^2-norm of the first derivative ~~computed~~ approximated by finite differences, again scaled with the variance. To reduce the bias introduced by this regularisation we scale the zeroth-order matrix with a factor of 0.1. The correlation lengths employed for the regularisation are summarised in Tab. 1.

The simulation uses the microwindows of Tab. 2 and takes the trace gases C_2H_6, CCl_4, $ClONO_2$, CO_2, CFC-11, CFC-12, HCFC-22, CFC-113, CFC-114, H_2O, HNO_3, HNO_4, NH_3, N_2O_5, NO_2, O_3, OCS, and PAN into account. Target quantities are temperature, CCl_4, CFC-11, HCFC-22, CFC-113, $ClONO_2$, H_2O, O_3, PAN, and an aerosol background. We assume that the pressure is well enough modelled by ECMWF in the altitude region relevant for this paper, such that the error is negligible (for error estimates, we assume an error of 1%).

The inversion itself employs the JURASSIC2 model as the forward model and the JUTIL inversion library for the minimisation of the cost function. While the given problems are of much smaller size than tomographic ones usually treated with this code (e.g. Kaufmann et al., 2015), the employed algorithms are still efficient. JURASSIC2 and JUTIL use a combination of C++ and Python to combine optimal efficiency with a high adaptability. JUTIL minimises the cost function with a truncated conjugate-gradient trust-region method that leverages the inherent regularisation properties (Hanke, 1995) of the conjugate gradient method to improve the convergence speed. Retrieving one profile requires $\approx 60\,\mathrm{s}$ including the computation of diagnostic information such as errors due to various sources and resolution for typically six iterations of the minimiser on one core.

References

Bergman, J. W., Fierli, F., Jensen, E. J., Honomichl, S., and Pan, L. L.: Boundary layer sources for the Asian anticyclone: Regional contributions to a vertical conduit, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 2560–2575, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50142, 2013.

Bernath, P. F., McElroy, C. T., Abrams, M. C., Boone, C. D., Butler, M., Camy-Peyret, C., Carleer, M., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P.-F., Colin, R., DeCola, P., DeMazière, M., Drummond, J. R., Dufour, D., Evans, W. F. J., Fast, H., Fussen, D., Gilbert, K., Jennings, D. E., Llewellyn, E. J., Lowe, R. P., Mahieu, E., McConnell, J. C., McHugh, M., McLeod, S. D., Michaud, R., Midwinter, C., Nassar, R., Nichitiu, F., Nowlan, C., Rinsland, C. P., Rochon, Y. J., Rowlands, N., Semeniuk, K., Simon, P., Skelton, R., Sloan, J. J., Soucy, M.-A., Strong, K., Tremblay, P., Turnbull, D., Walker, K. A., Walkty, I., Wardle, D. A., Wehrle, V., Zander, R., and Zou, J.: Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE): Mission overview, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L15S01, doi:10.1029/2005GL022386, 2005.

Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B., Hersbach, H., Hòlm, E. V., Isaksen, L., Kållberg, P., Köhler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J.-J., Park, B.-K., Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thépaut, J.-N., and Vitart, F.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system, Quart. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 137, 553–597, doi:10.1002/qj.828, 2011.

Dethof, A., O'Neill, A., Slingo, J. M., and Smit, H. G. J.: A mechanism for moistening the lower stratosphere involving the Asian summer monsoon, Quart. J. Roy. Meteorol. Soc., 125, 1079–1106, doi:10.1002/qj.1999.49712555602, 1999.

Fadnavis, S., Schultz, M. G., Semeniuk, K., Mahajan, A. S., Pozzoli, L., Sonbawne, S., Ghude, S. D., Kiefer, M., and Eckert, E.: Trends in peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere over southern Asia during the summer monsoon season: regional impacts, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 12725–12743, doi:10.5194/acp-14-12725-2014, 2014.

Fastie, W.: Ebert Spectrometer Reflections, Phys. Today, 4(1), 37–43, 1991.

Fischer, H., Birk, M., Blom, C., Carli, B., Carlotti, M., von Clarmann, T., Delbouille, L., Dudhia, A., Ehhalt, D., Endemann, M., Flaud, J. M., Gessner, R., Kleinert, A., Koopman, R., Langen, J., López-Puertas, M., Mosner, P., Nett, H., Oelhaf, H., Perron, G., Remedios, J., Ridolfi, M., Stiller, G., and Zander, R.: MIPAS: an instrument for atmospheric and climate research, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 2151–2188, doi:10.5194/acp-8-2151-2008, 2008.

Garny, H. and Randel, W. J.: Dynamic variability of the Asian monsoon anticyclone observed in potential vorticity and correlations with tracer distributions, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 13,421–13,433, doi:10.1002/2013JD020908, 2013.

Garny, H. and Randel, W. J.: Transport pathways from the Asian monsoon anticyclone to the stratosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 15, 25981–26023, doi:10.5194/acpd-15-25981-2015, 2015.

Gettelman, A., Kinnison, D. E., Dunkerton, T. J., and Brasseur, G. P.: Impact of monsoon circulations on the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 109, n/a–n/a, doi:10.1029/2004JD004878, d22101, 2004.

Glatthor, N., von Clarmann, T., Fischer, H., Funke, B., Grabowski, U., Höpfner, M., Kellmann, S., Kiefer, M., Linden, A., Milz, M., Steck, T., and Stiller, G. P.: Global peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) retrieval in the upper troposphere from limb emission spectra of the Michelson Interferometer for Passive Atmospheric Sounding (MIPAS), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 2775–2787, doi:10.5194/acp-7-2775-2007, 2007.

Grossmann, K. U., Offermann, D., Gusev, O., Oberheide, J., Riese, M., and Spang, R.: The CRISTA-2 mission, J. Geophys. Res., 107, D23, doi:10.1029/2001JD000667, 2002.

Hanke, M.: Conjugate gradient type methods for ill-posed problems, John Wiley & Sons, 1995.

Hintsa, E. J., Boering, K. A., Weinstock, E. M., Anderson, J. G., Gary, B. L., Pfister, L., Daube, B. C., Wofsy, S. C., Loewenstein, M., Podolske, J. R., Margitan, J. J., and Bui, T. P.: Troposphere-to-stratosphere transport in the lowermost stratosphere from measurements of H_2O, CO_2, N_2O and O_3, Geophys. Res. Lett., 25, 2655–2658, doi:10.1029/98GL01797, 1998.

Hoffmann, L., Kaufmann, M., Spang, R., Müller, R., Remedios, J. J., Moore, D. P., Volk, C. M., von Clarmann, T., and Riese, M.: Envisat MI-PAS measurements of CFC-11: retrieval, validation, and climatology, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 3671–3688, doi:10.1016/j.asr.2005.03.112, 2008.

Hoor, P., Fischer, H., Lange, L., Lelieveld, J., and Brunner, D.: Seasonal variations of a mixing layer in the lowermost stratosphere as identified by the $CO-O_3$ correlation from in situ measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4004, doi:10.1029/2000JD000289, 2002.

Hsu, C. J. and Plumb, R. A.: Nonaxisymmetric Thermally Driven Circulations and Upper-Tropospheric Monsoon Dynamics, J. Atmos. Sci., 57, 1255–1276, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(2000)057<1255:NTDCAU>2.0.CO;2, 2000.

Kaufmann, M., Blank, J., Guggenmoser, T., Ungermann, J., Engel, A., Ern, M., Friedl-Vallon, F., Gerber, D., Grooß, J. U., Guenther, G., Höpfner, M., Kleinert, A., Kretschmer, E., Latzko, T., Maucher, G., Neubert, T., Nordmeyer, H., Oelhaf, H., Olschewski, F., Orphal, J., Preusse, P., Schlager, H., Schneider, H., Schuettemeyer, D., Stroh, F., Suminska-Ebersoldt, O., Vogel, B., M. Volk, C., Woiwode, W., and Riese, M.: Retrieval of three-dimensional small-scale structures in upper-tropospheric/lower-stratospheric composition as measured by GLORIA, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 81–95, doi:10.5194/amt-8-81-2015, 2015.

Konopka, P., Grooß, J.-U., Günther, G., Ploeger, F., Pommrich, R., Müller, R., and Livesey, N.: Annual cycle of ozone at and above the tropical tropopause: observations versus simulations with the Chemical Lagrangian Model of the Stratosphere (CLaMS), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 121–132, doi:10.5194/acp-10-121-2010, 2010.

Krishnamurti, T. N. and Bhalme, H. N.: Oscillations of a monsoon system. Part I. Observational aspects, J. Atmos. Sci., 33, 1937—-1954, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1976)033<1937:OOAMSP>2.0.CO;2, 1976.

Kunz, A., Konopka, P., Müller, R., and Pan, L. L.: Dynamical tropopause based on isentropic potential vorticity gradients, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D01 110, doi:10.1029/2010JD014343, 2011.

Lait, L. R.: An Alternative Form for Potential Vorticity, J. Atmos. Sci., 51, 1754–1759, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1994)051<1754:AAFFPV>2.0.CO;2, 1994.

Li, Q., Jiang, J. H., Wu, D. L., Read, W. G., Livesey, N. J., Waters, J. W., Zhang, Y., Wang, B., Filipiak, M. J., Davis, C. P., Turquety, S., Wu, S., Park, R. J., Yantosca, R. M., and Jacob, D. J.: Convective outflow of South Asian pollution: A global CTM simulation compared with EOS MLS observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, n/a–n/a, doi:10.1029/2005GL022762, l14826, 2005.

McKenna, D. S., Konopka, P., Grooß, J.-U., Günther, G., Müller, R., Spang, R., Offermann, D., and Orsolini, Y.: A new Chemical Lagrangian Model of the Stratosphere (CLaMS) 1. Formulation of advection and mixing, J. Geophys. Res., 107, doi:10.1029/2000JD000114, 2002.

Müller, R. and Günther, G.: A Generalized Form of Lait's Modified Potential Vorticity, J. Atmos. Sci., 60, 2229–2237, 2003.

Offermann, D., Grossmann, K.-U., Barthol, P., Knieling, P., Riese, M., and Trant, R.: Cryogenic Infrared Spectrometers and Telescopes for the Atmosphere (CRISTA) experiment and middle atmosphere variability, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 16 311–16 325, doi:10.1029/1998JD100047, 1999.

Pan, L. L., Bowman, K. P., Shaphiro, M., Randel, W. J., Gao, R.-S., Campos, T., Favis, C., Schauffler, S., Ridley, B. A., Wei, J. C., and Barnet, C.: Chemical behavior of the tropopause observed during the Stratosphere-Troposphere Analyses of Regional Transport (START) experiment, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D18 110, doi:10.1029/2007JD008645, 2007.

Park, M., Randel, W. J., Gettelman, A., Massie, S. T., and Jiang, J. H.: Transport above the Asian summer monsoon anticyclone inferred from Aura Microwave Limb Sounder tracers, J. Geophys. Res., 112, n/a–n/a, doi:10.1029/2006JD008294, d16309, 2007.

Park, M., Randel, W. J., Emmons, L. K., Bernath, P. F., Walker, K. A., and Boone, C. D.: Chemical isolation in the Asian monsoon anticyclone observed in Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment (ACE-FTS) data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 757–764, doi:10.5194/acp-8-757-2008, 2008.

Penketi, S. A., Sandalls, F. J., and Lovelock, J. F.: Observations of peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) in air in Southern England, Atmos. Environment, 9, 139–140, doi:10.1016/0004-6981(75)90063-3, 1975.

5 Ploeger, F., Günther, G., Konopka, P., Fueglistaler, S., Müller, R., Hoppe, C., Kunz, A., Spang, R., Grooß, J.-U., and Riese, M.: Horizontal water vapor transport in the lower stratosphere from subtropics to high latitudes during boreal summer, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 8111–8127, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50636, http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50636, 2013.

Ploeger, F., Gottschling, C., Griessbach, S., Grooß, J.-U., Guenther, G., Konopka, P., Müller, R., Riese, M., Stroh, F., Tao, M., Ungermann, J., Vogel, B., and von Hobe, M.: A potential vorticity-based determination of the transport barrier in the Asian summer monsoon anticyclone,

10 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 13 145–13 159, doi:10.5194/acp-15-13145-2015, 2015.

Popovic, J. M. and Plumb, R. A.: Eddy shedding from the upper tropospheric Asian monsoon anticyclone, J. Atmos. Sci., 58, 93–104, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(2001)058<0093:ESFTUT>2.0.CO;2, 2001.

Randel, W. J. and Park, M.: Deep convective influence on the Asian summer monsoon anticyclone and associated tracer variability observed with Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS), J. Geophys. Res., 111, n/a–n/a, doi:10.1029/2005JD006490, d12314, 2006.

15 Randel, W. J., Park, M., Emmons, L., Kinnison, D., Bernath, P., Walker, K. A., Boone, C., and Pumphrey, H.: Asian Monsoon Transport of Pollution to the Stratosphere, Science, 328, 611–613, doi:10.1126/science.1182274, 2010.

Remedios, J. J., Leigh, R. J., Waterfall, A. M., Moore, D. P., Sembhi, H., Parkes, I., Greenhough, J., Chipperfield, M., and Hauglustaine, D.: MIPAS reference atmospheres and comparisons to V4.61/V4.62 MIPAS level 2 geophysical data sets, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 7, 9973–10 017, doi:10.5194/acpd-7-9973-2007, 2007.

20 Riese, M., Preusse, P., Spang, R., Ern, M., Jarisch, M., Grossmann, U., and Offermann, D.: Measurements of trace gases by the cryogenic infrared spectrometers and telescopes for the atmosphere CRISTA experiment, Adv. Space Res., 19, 563–566, doi:10.1016/S0273-1177(97)00172-5, 1997.

Riese, M., Spang, R., Preusse, P., Ern, M., Jarisch, M., Offermann, D., and Grossmann, K. U.: Cryogenic Infrared Spectrometers and Telescopes for the Atmosphere (CRISTA) data processing and atmospheric temperature and trace gas retrieval, J. Geophys. Res., 104,

25 16 349–16 367, doi:10.1016/S0273-1177(97)00172-5, 1999.

Riese, M., Friedl-Vallon, F., Spang, R., Preusse, P., Schiller, C., Hoffmann, L., Konopka, P., Oelhaf, H., von Clarmann, T., and Höpfner, M.: GLObal limb Radiance Imager for the Atmosphere (GLORIA): Scientific objectives, Adv. Space Res., 36, 989–995, doi:10.1016/j.asr.2005.04.115, 2005.

Roberts, J. M.: The atmospheric chemistry of organic nitrates, Atmospheric Environment. Part A. General Topics, 24, 243 – 287,

30 doi:10.1016/0960-1686(90)90108-Y, 1990.

Singh, H. B., Salas, L. J., and Viezee, W.: Global distribution of peroxyacetyl nitrate, Nature, 321, 588 – 591, doi:10.1038/321588a0, 1986.

Singh, H. B., Salas, L., Herlth, D., Kolyer, R., Czech, E., Avery, M., Crawford, J. H., Pierce, R. B., Sachse, G. W., Blake, D. R., Cohen, R. C., Bertram, T. H., Perring, A., Wooldridge, P. J., Dibb, J., Huey, G., Hudman, R. C., Turquety, S., Emmons, L. K., Flocke, F., Tang, Y., Carmichael, G. R., and Horowitz, L. W.: Reactive nitrogen distribution and partitioning in the North American troposphere and lowermost

35 stratosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D12S04, doi:10.1029/2006JD007664, 2007.

Spang, R., Arndt, K., Dudhia, A., Höpfner, M., Hoffmann, L., Hurley, J., Grainger, R. G., Griessbach, S., Poulsen, C., Remedios, J. J., Riese, M., Sembhi, H., Siddans, R., Waterfall, A., and Zehner, C.: Fast cloud parameter retrievals of MIPAS/Envisat, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 7135–7164, doi:10.5194/acp-12-7135-2012, 2012.

Spang, R., Günther, G., Riese, M., Hoffmann, L., Müller, R., and Griessbach, S.: Satellite observations of cirrus clouds in the Northern Hemisphere lowermost stratosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 927–950, doi:10.5194/acp-15-927-2015, 2015.

Stephens, E. R.: The formation, reactions, and properties of peroxyacyl nitrates (PANS) in photochemical air pollution, Advances in Environmental Science, 1, 119–147, 1969.

5 Tikhonov, A. N. and Arsenin, V. Y.: Solutions of ill-posed problems, Winston, Washington D.C., USA, 1977.

Ungermann, J.: Improving retrieval quality for airborne limb-sounders by horizontal regularisation, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 15–32, doi:10.5194/amt-6-15-2013, 2013.

Ungermann, J., Kalicinsky, C., Olschewski, F., Knieling, P., Hoffmann, L., Blank, J., Woiwode, W., Oelhaf, H., Hösen, E., Volk, C. M., Ulanovsky, A., Ravegnani, F., Weigel, K., Stroh, F., and Riese, M.: CRISTA-NF measurements with unprecedented vertical resolution

10 during the RECONCILE aircraft campaign, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5, 1173–1191, doi:10.5194/amt-5-1173-2012, 2012.

Ungermann, J., Pan, L. L., Kalicinsky, C., Olschewski, F., Knieling, P., Blank, J., Weigel, K., Guggenmoser, T., Stroh, F., Hoffmann, L., and Riese, M.: Filamentary structure in chemical tracer distributions near the subtropical jet following a wave breaking event, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 10 517–10 534, doi:10.5194/acp-13-10517-2013, 2013.

Ungermann, J., Blank, J., Dick, M., Ebersoldt, A., Friedl-Vallon, F., Giez, A., Guggenmoser, T., Höpfner, M., Jurkat, T., Kaufmann, M.,

15 Kaufmann, S., Kleinert, A., Krämer, M., Latzko, T., Oelhaf, H., Olchewski, F., Preusse, P., Rolf, C., Schillings, J., Suminska-Ebersoldt, O., Tan, V., Thomas, N., Voigt, C., Zahn, A., Zöger, M., and Riese, M.: Level 2 processing for the imaging Fourier transform spectrometer GLORIA: derivation and validation of temperature and trace gas volume mixing ratios from calibrated dynamics mode spectra, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 2473–2489, doi:10.5194/amt-8-2473-2015, 2015.

Vogel, B., Günther, G., Müller, R., Grooß, J.-U., and Riese, M.: Impact of different Asian source regions on the composition of the Asian mon-

20 soon anticyclone and of the extratropical lowermost stratosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 13 699–13 716, doi:10.5194/acp-15-13699-2015, 2015.

Wattenbach, R. and Moritz, K.: Astronomical Shuttle Pallet Satellite (ASTRO-SPAS), Acta Astronautica, 40, 723–732, 1997.

Wiegele, A., Glatthor, N., Höpfner, M., Grabowski, U., Kellmann, S., Linden, A., Stiller, G., and von Clarmann, T.: Global distributions of C_2H_6, C_2H_2, HCN, and PAN retrieved from MIPAS reduced spectral resolution measurements, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5, 723–734,

25 doi:10.5194/amt-5-723-2012, 2012.

WMO: Meteorology — a three-dimensional science: Second session for the commission for aerology, WMO Bull., 6, 134–138, 1957.

Wunderli, S. and Gehrig, R.: Influence of temperature on formation and stability of surface PAN and ozone. A two year field study in Switzerland, Atmos. Environment, 25A, 1599–1608, doi:10.1016/0960-1686(91)90018-3, 1991.

target	correlation length (km)
temperature	2
CCl_4	2
$ClONO_2$	8
CFC-11	2
CFC-113	8
HCFC-22	8
H_2O	2
O_3	32
PAN	0.1

Table 1. A list of used correlation length for regularisation.

IMW	range (cm^{-1})	IMW	range (cm^{-1})
0	777.35 – 778.75	6	796.95 – 797.65
1	784.00 – 785.05	7	808.15 – 809.55
2	787.15 – 790.95	8	809.90 – 813.05
3	791.35 – 791.70	9	820.40 – 821.45
4	794.15 – 794.85	10	834.75 – 835.45
5	795.55 – 796.60	11	844.90 – 835.45

Table 2. A list of employed integrated microwindows (IMW) and their spectral range.

Figure 1. An ~~exemplary~~ exemplarily retrieved PAN profile and diagnostic information. Panel **(a)** shows PAN on the retrieval grid ~~of~~ with the precision ~~figures for this specific profile~~ given as error bars. Panel **(b)** shows average diagnostic values for precision (measurement noise induced error), accuracy (other, likely systematic error sources, the leading one being elevation angle uncertainty, here), and resolution (full-width-half-max of averaging kernel matrix row).


Figure 2. Potential vorticity (in PVU) derived from ECMWF ERA-Interim model data on 100 hPa. The contour lines show geopotential altitude (in km).

Figure 3. PAN derived from CRISTA-2 measurements on 380 K. Retrieved PAN VMRs are interpolated vertically to 380 K within each derived profile and located at the horizontal position of the closest tangent point. Shown are all values of the indicated day.

Figure 4. PAN derived from CRISTA-2 measurements and potential vorticity derived from ECMWF ERA-Interim model data on 380 K. The cyan contour lines indicate geopotential altitude. The PAN panels show PAN VMRs for all CRISTA-2 measurements taken from 9th to 13th August 1997. The horizontal location is determined by means of trajectory calculations to the position that the air parcels has at the time indicated by the title of the figure.

Figure 5. Vertical cross-sections along 90° E and 150° E. Panels **(a)** and **(c)** show potential vorticity with isentropes (red; K), winds (grey; ms^{-1}), and the primary thermal tropopause (blue dots) ~~base~~ based on ERA-Interim data. Panels **(b)** and **(d)** show CRISTA PAN VMRs with CRISTA isentropes (red; K), ERA-Interim winds (grey; ms^{-1}), and CRISTA primary thermal tropopause (blue dots). The CRISTA data were averaged over ±5° longitude and missing pixels were horizontally interpolated to compute the CRISTA isentropes.

**Figure 6.** ~~PAN VMRs~~ Potential temperature against PV with PAN VMR (gradients) overlayed as colour code. Panel **(a)** shows measured potential temperature against PV with colour-coded PAN VMR for all air parcels between $20°$ E and $240°$ E, between $10°$ N and $60°$ N, and below 460 K; that is all ~~pair~~ air parcels from the approximate location of the ASM and its surroundings. Panel **(b)** shows the fit of a smooth 2-D surface to the available data (the 5% most mis-fitting air parcels were removed in a first step; the surface is restricted to the area defined by measurements). Panel **(c)** shows the derivative of the smoothed PAN VMRs with respect to PV.

Figure 7. Derivative of smoothed PAN volume mixing ratios with respect to PV against PV, computed for all air parcels between 20° E and 240° E, between 10° N and 60° N, and below 460 K. This shows exemplary the derivative for selected ~~isentropes~~ isentropic levels of Fig. 6c.

**Figure 8.** CRISTA PAN VMRs on 380 K. The PAN VMRs are as in Fig. 3d. Additionally shown are Montgomery streamfunction isolines (dashed black), Potential vorticity isoline (based on ERA-Interim) for ~~3.2~~4.1 PVU (cyan), and the location of the CRISTA primary thermal tropopause (magenta).

Figure 9. Panel **(a)** shows the relationship between PAN and O_3 for measured air parcels from $10°$ N to $80°$ N, from $20°$ E to $210°$ E, and with a distance of less than $5\,km$ from the thermal tropopause. Two red lines have been drawn at ~~80~~$PAN\,mol\,mol^{-1} = 1.45 \cdot 10^{-10}\,mol\,mol^{-1} - 0.7 \cdot 10^{-5} \cdot O_3\,mol\,mol^{-1}$ and at ~~0.12~~$O_3\,mol\,mol^{-1} = 1.84 \cdot 10^{-7}\,mol\,mol^{-1} - 9.0 \cdot 10^{7} \cdot PAN\,mol\,mol^{-1}$ to roughly mark stratospheric (left) and tropospheric (bottom) branches. ~~The high value of 0.12 for may be caused by pollution in the Asian monsoon or be a systematic error of the CRISTA-2 retrieval; this is however not important for the qualitative analysis.~~ Panel **(b)** shows the total number of ~~total~~ air parcels ~~that have more than 80 PAN~~ in the same geographic region and ~~more~~ altitude range with O_3 less than ~~0.12~~1 ppmv and being located in the upper right "quadrant" of panel (a). The cyan and magenta isolines are the same as in Fig. 8 to facilitate the comparison of structures with Fig. 9.

Figure 10. Panel **(a)** shows the number and horizontal location of all 454 air parcels with PV less than 3.7, PAN VMR greater than $150\,\mathrm{pptv}$, and potential temperature greater than $360\,K$ on 11th August 2011. Panel **(b)** shows the number and horizontal location of the subset of ~~378~~448 air parcels, where the backward trajectories ~~went~~ fell below ~~5~~10 km altitude.

27

Figure 11. Histogram showing the point in time when the air parcels of Fig. 10 ~~went~~ fell below ~~5~~10 km ~~of~~ altitude.