

Response to Reviewer Comments, 3rd round of revisions

We thank the reviewers for having another careful look at our manuscript. In particular, we are grateful to reviewer 2 who pointed out an inconsistency between Figures 5 & 6 regarding the new HALOE results we had included in the previous revision. It turns out that we had accidentally plotted the wrong “Mote et al” curve (red line in the bottom right panel in Fig. 6) – this has been corrected and the two Figures are now consistent.

For the current revision we upload the minor revision of the manuscript and this response; the abstract and supplement are unchanged from our previous version.