

## ***Interactive comment on “Effects of aerosol-radiation interaction on precipitation during biomass-burning season in East China” by Xin Huang et al.***

### **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 1 June 2016

The authors provides an interesting and instructive work on the radiation effect of aerosols from biomass burning and the impacts on meteorological parameters including clouds, temperature, relative humidity, and rainfall in East China by conducting two parallel numerical simulations with the online coupled model WRF-Chem. The experiments are well designed and the presented results are generally convinced. Overall, I believe that it is a valuable study to highlight the importance of straw burning in weather modifications as well as air quality deterioration. It is worth to be published in ACP after adding more in-depth discussion of the simulated results. The specific comments are shown as follows.

Main issues:

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



As pointed out in the present work, BC was the most important factor that alters the radiation budget. However, in the ARI simulation, BC was emitted not only from crop straw burning, but also from residential combustion and transportation, especially in anthropogenic emission-intensive region like East China. It is hard to figure out the effects of straw burning through these two simulations. Thus, this work would be further improved by isolating the radiative forcing just caused by straw fires during this biomass burning case. Quantitative comparisons between radiative effects induced by agricultural fires and anthropogenic pollutants could make more sense.

Some of the detailed descriptions on the method part need to be clarified, for instance, how the estimated emissions were allocated using MODIS detections. It should be noted that detected fire spots could be caused by forest or grassland fires, rather than crop straw burning.

Another deficiency of this paper is that while discussing the precipitation redistribution in Section 3.3, there is a lack of an in-depth analysis of how or through which processes the fire plumes influence the temperature stratification and moisture conditions. It is can be further improved by adding some diagnoses like CAPE (convective available potential energy) or MSE (moist static energy), which may provide more direct evidence (Fan et al., 2015).

In addition to the main concerns above, additional minor comments are given below.

Minor comments:

1) Line 173 and 174: Define ARI and CCN when they first appeared. 2) Line 214: Change Air Pollution Index (API) to Air Quality Index (AQI) 3) Caption of Fig.10: the unit of cloud water mixing ratio should be “g kg<sup>-1</sup>”. 4) Line 360: the sentence “For the humidity perturbations” is better to be rephrased to “the perturbations in humidity”.

Reference: Fan, J. W., Rosenfeld, D., Yang, Y., Zhao, C., Leung, L. R., and Li, Z. Q.: Substantial contribution of anthropogenic air pollution to catastrophic floods in South-

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

west China, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 6066-6075, 10.1002/2015GL064479, 2015.

---

Interactive comment on *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, doi:10.5194/acp-2016-272, 2016.

ACPD

---

Interactive  
comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

