

1 **Abstract.** Traditional land management (no tillage, no drainage, NTND) during the winter fallow season
2 results in substantial CH₄ and N₂O emissions from double-rice fields in China. A field experiment was
3 conducted to investigate the effects of drainage and tillage during the winter fallow season on CH₄ and
4 N₂O emissions and to develop mitigation options. The experiment had four treatments: NTND, NTD
5 (drainage but no-tillage), TND (tillage but no-drainage, and TD (both drainage and tillage). The study was
6 conducted from 2010 to 2014 in a Chinese double-rice field. During winter, total precipitation and mean
7 daily temperature significantly affected CH₄ emission. Compared to NTND, drainage and tillage decreased
8 annual CH₄ emissions in early- and late-rice seasons by 54 and 33 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, respectively. Drainage
9 and tillage increased N₂O emissions in the winter fallow season but reduced it in early- and late-rice
10 seasons resulting in no annual change in N₂O emission. Global Warming Potentials of CH₄ and N₂O
11 emissions were decreased by 1.49 and 0.92 t CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹, respectively, and were reduced more by
12 combining drainage with tillage, providing a mitigation potential of 1.96 t CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. A low total C
13 content and high C/N ratio in rice residues showed that tillage in the winter fallow season reduced CH₄
14 and N₂O emissions in both early- and late-rice seasons. Drainage and tillage significantly decreased the
15 abundance of methanogens in paddy soil and this may explain the decrease of CH₄ emissions. Greenhouse
16 gas intensity was significantly decreased by drainage and tillage separately, and the reduction was greater
17 by combining drainage with tillage resulting in a reduction of 0.17 t CO₂-eq t⁻¹. The results indicate that
18 drainage combined with tillage during the winter fallow season is an effective strategy for mitigating
19 greenhouse gas releases from double-rice fields.

20

21 **1 Introduction**

22 Methane (CH₄) and nitrous oxide (N₂O) are important greenhouse gases (GHGs). According to the
23 Greenhouse Gas Bulletin of World Meteorological Organization, the concentrations of atmospheric CH₄
24 and N₂O reached 1833 and 327 ppb in 2014, respectively (WMO, 2015). Rice paddy fields are major
25 sources of atmospheric CH₄ and N₂O. Effective options for mitigating CH₄ and N₂O emissions from rice
26 paddy fields worldwide have been studied over the last two decades (McCarl and Schneider, 2001; Yan et
27 al., 2005; Hussain et al., 2015). Ideas have included modifying irrigation and fertilization patterns (Cai et
28 al., 2003; Hussain et al., 2015; Linqvist et al., 2015), establishing integrated soil–crop system management
29 practices (Zhang et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2014), and selection of rice cultivars with high yields but low
30 GHGs emissions (Ma et al., 2010; Hussain et al., 2015; Su et al., 2015), etc. Nevertheless, other potential

31 mitigation methods might be useful due to the diversity of rice-based ecosystems and the variety of
32 agronomic management practices (Weller et al., 2016).

33 China is one of the largest rice producers in the world, and its harvested area contributes 18.9% of the
34 world rice total (FAOSTAT, 2014). In China, total CH₄ and N₂O emissions from paddy fields are estimated
35 to be 6.4 Tg yr⁻¹ and 180 Gg yr⁻¹, respectively (Zhang et al., 2014). Double rice is the major rice-cropping
36 system in China, accounting for over 40% of the total cultivation area (Yearbook, 2014) and emitting ca.
37 50% of the total paddy CH₄ in China (Zhang et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2013). Double-rice fields mainly
38 occur south of the Yangtze River where relatively high precipitation and warm temperatures occur during
39 the winter fallow season. Traditionally, the fields are fallow in winter season with the soil being neither
40 drained nor tilled after the late-rice harvest, which are often flooded after a heavy or prolonged rain. It is
41 very likely to bring about CH₄ emissions from these fields during the winter fallow season and further to
42 promote emissions during the following rice growth season. Modeling data shows that CH₄ emission levels
43 were significantly correlated with simulated soil moisture and mean precipitation during the preceding
44 non-rice growth season (Kang et al., 2002). Incubation and pot experiments also showed that high soil
45 water content in the non-rice growth season was associated with high CH₄ production rates and greater
46 CH₄ emissions in the subsequent rice season (Xu et al., 2003). An available mitigation option is proposed
47 for this region. Fields can be drained to decrease the accumulation of rainwater in the winter fallow season
48 and to reduce the effect of winter precipitation on CH₄ emission. However, drainage possibly stimulates
49 N₂O emission from paddy fields in winter because soil water content changes more rapidly. Soil moisture
50 regulates the processes of denitrification and nitrification and thus N₂O emission (Bateman and Baggs,
51 2005; Lan et al., 2013). Since the overall balance between the net exchange of CH₄ and N₂O emissions
52 constitutes the global warming potentials (GWPs) of the rice ecosystem, the effects of soil drainage in the
53 winter fallow season on mitigating the yearly GWPs from double-rice fields is unclear.

54 Soil tillage is a conventional practice in rice cultivation and tilling the soil prior to rice transplanting can
55 play a key role in CH₄ and N₂O emissions (Hussain et al., 2015; Zhao et al., 2016). Tillage after rice harvest
56 in the winter fallow season is also likely to have important effects on CH₄ and N₂O emissions. It is
57 beneficial for rainwater to penetrate into the subsoil because this minimizes rainwater accumulation in
58 winter. However, tillage makes it difficult to establish a strict anaerobic environment in the top soil, which
59 would directly reduce CH₄ emissions during the non-rice growing season and indirectly inhibit CH₄
60 emissions during the following rice season. On the contrary, tillage allows rice residues to contact the soil,

61 and soil microorganisms accelerate the decomposition of organic matter and facilitate CH₄ production and
62 emission in the fallow season (Pandey et al., 2012; Hussain et al., 2015). Tillage may also play a key role
63 in CH₄ emission during the following rice season owing to the incompletely decomposed rice residues
64 (Tang et al., 2016). In addition, tillage during the winter fallow season may increase N₂O emissions but
65 the extent of this is not clear. The evidence for the promotion or reduction in N₂O emissions from rice
66 fields by soil tillage is contradictory. For example, tillage changed the soil properties (soil porosity and
67 soil moisture, etc.) and then promoted N₂O emissions (Mutegi et al., 2010; Pandey et al., 2012) whereas
68 incorporation of rice residues by tillage reduced N₂O emissions as a result of N immobilization (Huang et
69 al., 2004; Ma et al., 2010). A possible mitigating strategy that includes crop residues plowed into the soil
70 along with drainage in the winter fallow season has been proposed for a double-rice field (Shang et al.,
71 2011). Nevertheless, the mitigation potential of drainage combined with tillage in the winter fallow season
72 on annual CH₄ and N₂O emissions from double-rice fields remains unclear.

73 An *in situ* field measurement was conducted continuously for 4 years (2010 to 2014) to study the CH₄
74 and N₂O emissions from a typical double-rice field in China. The objectives were to: (1) investigate the
75 effects of soil drainage and tillage during the winter fallow season on CH₄ and N₂O emissions, (2) estimate
76 the mitigation potential of drainage and tillage, and (3) suggest optimal land management strategies during
77 the winter fallow season for reducing GWPs of CH₄ and N₂O emissions.

78

79 **2 Methods and materials**

80 **2.1 Field site and experimental design**

81 The experimental field is located at Yujiang Town, Yingtan City, Jiangxi Province, China (28°15'N,
82 116°55'E). The region has a typical subtropical monsoon climate with an annual mean temperature of 18 °C
83 and an annual mean precipitation of 1800 mm. Prior to the experiment, the field was cultivated with early-
84 rice from April to July and late-rice from July to November, and then kept fallow until spring planting.
85 The soil type at the experimental field is classified as Typical Haplaquepts (Soil Survey Staff 1975). The
86 initial properties of the soil (at 0-15 cm) were pH (H₂O) 4.74, organic carbon (SOC) 17.0 g kg⁻¹, and total
87 N 1.66 g kg⁻¹. Daily air temperature (°C) and rainfall (mm) throughout the entire observational period was
88 provided by the Red Soil Ecological Experiment Station, Chinese Academy of Sciences (Appendix S1).

89 Four treatments, laid out in a randomized block design with three replicates, were conducted in the
90 experimental field from 2010 to 2014 after late-rice harvest: NTND plots were neither drained nor tilled

91 during the entire winter fallow season. This is the traditional winter land management in the region. NTD
92 plots had drainage but were not tilled. TND plots were tilled but not drained. TD plots were both drained
93 and tilled. Rice stubble in all treatments was 25–35 cm long and 3.0–4.0 t ha⁻¹ during the 4 winter fallow
94 seasons, respectively. After the entire winter fallow season in 2012 and 2013, a small sample of rice stubble
95 was collected before early-rice transplanting and the total C and N contents were measured using the wet
96 oxidation-redox titration method and the micro-Kjeldahl method, respectively (Lu, 2000). Soil water
97 content in the winter fallow season was determined gravimetrically after drying at 105 °C for 8 hr.

98 Local rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) cultivars, Zhongzao 33 and Nongxiang 98, were planted in the following
99 early-rice and late-rice seasons, respectively. Seeds were sown in the seedling nursery and then
100 transplanted to the experimental plots at the 3 to 4 leaf stage. Each season, nitrogen (N) and potassium (K)
101 fertilization in form of urea and potassium chloride (KCl) were split into three applications, namely, basal
102 fertilizers consisting of 90 kg N ha⁻¹ and 45 kg K ha⁻¹, tillering fertilizers consisting of 54 kg N ha⁻¹ and
103 60 kg K ha⁻¹, and panicle initiation fertilizers consisting of 36 kg N ha⁻¹ and 45 kg K ha⁻¹. Phosphorus (P)
104 fertilization in form of phosphorus pentoxide (P₂O₅) was applied to all treatments as a basal fertilizer at a
105 rate of 75 kg P ha⁻¹. After early-rice harvest, rice straw and stubble were removed from the plots. A more
106 detailed description of the water management and fertilization in early- and late-rice seasons is provided
107 in Appendix S2.

108

109 **2.2 CH₄ and N₂O fluxes sampling and measurements**

110 Both CH₄ and N₂O fluxes were measured once every 2–6 d and 7–10 d during the rice and non-rice seasons,
111 respectively, using the static chamber technique (Zhang et al., 2011). The flux chamber measured 0.5 ×
112 0.5 × 1 m, and a plastic base (0.5 × 0.5 m) for the chamber was installed before initiation of the experiment.
113 Four gas samples from each chamber were collected using 18-mL vacuum vials at 15-min intervals. Soil
114 temperature and soil redox potential (Eh) at 0.1 m depth were simultaneously measured during gas
115 collection. Rice grain yields were determined in each plot at early- and late-rice harvests.

116 The concentrations of CH₄ and N₂O were analyzed with a gas chromatograph equipped with a flame
117 ionization detector (Shimadzu GC-12A, Shimadzu Co., Japan) and with an electron capture detector
118 (Shimadzu GC-14B, Shimadzu Co., Japan), respectively. Both the emission fluxes were calculated from
119 the linear increase of gas concentration at each sampling time (0, 15, 30 and 45 min during the interval of
120 chamber closure) and adjusted for area and volume of the chamber. Sample sets were rejected unless they

121 yielded a linear regression value of $r^2 > 0.90$. The amounts of CH₄ and N₂O emissions were calculated by
122 successive linear interpolation of mean CH₄ and N₂O emissions on the sampling days. This assumed that
123 CH₄ and N₂O emissions followed a linear trend during the periods when no samples were taken.

124

125 **2.3 GWPs and GHGI estimates**

126 The 100-year GWPs (CH₄ and N₂O) in different treatments were calculated by using IPCC factors (100-
127 year GWPs (CH₄ + N₂O) = 28 × CH₄ + 265 × N₂O) (Myhre et al., 2013). The greenhouse gas intensity
128 (GHGI) represented the GWPs per unit rice grain yield (Li et al., 2006): GHGI = GWPs/grain yield.

129

130 **2.4 Soil sampling and DNA extraction**

131 During the 2013–2014 winter fallow and early- and late-rice seasons, soil samples were collected at the
132 beginning, middle and end of each season from the experimental plots and analyzed for levels of
133 methanogens and methanotrophs. In total, there were 108 soil samples (3 seasons × 3 stages in each season
134 × 4 treatments × 3 replicates). Each sample was a combined mixture of 3 subsamples collected at 0–5 cm
135 depth. All samples were stored at 4 °C for analyses of soil characteristics and subsamples were maintained
136 at –80 °C for DNA extraction.

137 For each soil sample, genomic DNA was extracted from 0.5 g soil using a FastDNA spin kit for soil
138 (MP Biomedicals LLC, Ohio, USA) according to the manufacturer instructions. The extracted soil DNA
139 was dissolved in 50 µl of elution buffer, checked by electrophoresis on 1% agarose, and then quantified
140 using a spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies, Wilmington, DE, USA) (Fan et al., 2016).

141

142 **2.5 Real-time PCR quantification of *mcrA* and *pmoA* genes**

143 The abundance of methanogenic *mcrA* gene copies and of methanotrophic *pmoA* gene copies was
144 determined by quantitative PCR (qPCR) (Fan et al., 2016). Fragments of the *mcrA* and *pmoA* genes,
145 encoding the methyl coenzyme-M reductase and the α subunit of the particulate methane monooxygenase,
146 respectively, were amplified using primers according to Hales et al. (1996) and Costello and Lidstrom
147 (1999), respectively. Real-time quantitative PCR was performed on a CFX96 Optical Real-Time Detection
148 System (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc. Hercules, USA). For detailed method descriptions please refer to Fan
149 et al., (2016).

150

151 **2.6 Statistical analyses**

152 Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 18.0 software for Windows (SPSS Inc., USA). Differences
153 in seasonal CH₄ and N₂O emissions, 100-year GWPs (CH₄ and N₂O), and grain yields among treatments
154 were analyzed with a repeated-measures one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and least significant
155 differences (LSD) test. The significance of the factors (land management and year) was examined by using
156 a two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Statistically significant differences and correlations were set at
157 $P < 0.05$.

158

159 **3 Results**

160 **3.1 CH₄ emission**

161 Significant CH₄ fluxes were observed over the 4 winter fallow seasons, particularly during the 2011–2012
162 season though a small net sink of CH₄ to the atmosphere was measured occasionally (Fig. 1). Total CH₄
163 emissions of the 4 treatments were significantly lower ($P < 0.05$) in the 2010–2011 winter fallow season
164 (~ 0.1 – 1 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹) than in the following three winter fallow seasons (~ 1 – 11 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹), and they
165 ranged from 1.73 to 4.91 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ on average (Table 1). Seasonal CH₄ emissions varied significantly
166 with year and land management (Table 2, $P < 0.01$). Tillage increased CH₄ emissions by 43–69% relative
167 to non-tillage over the 4 winter fallow seasons. In comparison to non-drainage, drainage reduced CH₄
168 emissions by 40–50%. Consequently, CH₄ emissions were decreased by 14.8% relative to Treatment
169 NTND with the combined effects of soil drainage and tillage (Table 1).

170 During the 4 early- and late-rice seasons, the CH₄ fluxes of all treatments dramatically increased under
171 continuous flooding, and the highest CH₄ fluxes were observed about 20–30 d after rice transplanting in
172 early-rice seasons and about 10–30 d after rice transplanting in late-rice seasons (Fig. 1). Subsequently,
173 they sharply decreased after midseason aeration. An obvious flux peak was observed again approximately
174 1–2 weeks after re-flooding, particularly in the early-rice season. CH₄ emissions always showed a higher
175 flux peak in Treatment NTND than in Treatment TD.

176 Seasonal CH₄ emissions in early-rice season varied significantly with land managements, but it was not
177 highly influenced by year or interactions (Table 2). In contrast, total CH₄ emission significantly varied
178 with land management and year in the late-rice season (Table 2). In comparison to Treatment NTND, CH₄
179 emissions were decreased by soil drainage and tillage and, on average, reduced by 22.2% and 17.8% in
180 early- and late-rice seasons, respectively (Table 1). Soil drainage combined with tillage further reduced

181 CH₄ emission by 35.0% and 29.4% in early- and late-rice seasons, respectively. Compared to the early-
182 rice season (68.3–105.1 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹), total CH₄ emission in the late-rice season was 8.0–17.9% greater.

183 Annually, total CH₄ emission ranged from 151 to 222 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹. An average of 46.1% and 52.1% of
184 this came from the early- and late-rice seasons, respectively (Tables 1 and 3). Soil drainage and tillage
185 played important roles in decreasing CH₄ emission. Relative to Treatment NTND, the mean CH₄ emission
186 was decreased by 24.3% and 14.9% by drainage and tillage, separately, and it was significantly reduced
187 by 32.0% when drainage and tillage were combined (Table 3).

188

189 **3.2 N₂O emission**

190 Substantial N₂O emission was measured in the non-rice growth season though the fields were fallowed
191 with no N-fertilization (Fig. 2 and Table 1). Total N₂O emissions over the 4 winter fallow seasons varied
192 significantly with land management and year but the interaction affect was not significant (Table 2).
193 Seasonal N₂O emissions were relatively lower in the 2010–2012 winter fallow seasons than the following
194 two winter fallow seasons. Compared with Treatment NTND, soil drainage and tillage generally increased
195 N₂O emissions, separately, and N₂O emissions were significantly stimulated when drainage and tillage
196 were combined. Over the 4 winter fallow seasons, seasonal N₂O emissions averaged 36.4–68.2 g N₂O–N
197 ha⁻¹, being 87.3%, 64.5% and 57.5% higher in Treatment TD than in Treatments NTND, TND, and NTD,
198 respectively (Table 1).

199 After rice transplanting, pronounced N₂O fluxes were observed with N-fertilization and midseason
200 aeration, particularly during the period of dry-wet alternation (Fig. 2). Two-way ANOVA analyses
201 indicated that seasonal N₂O emissions during the early- and late-rice seasons were not highly influenced
202 by land management, and the interactions of land management and year, except that N₂O emissions
203 depended significantly on year (Table 2). Compared with Treatments NTND and NTD, tillage increased
204 N₂O emission in 2011 early- and late-rice seasons whereas there were generally reduced N₂O emissions
205 during the following rice seasons (Table 1).

206 Over the 4 early-rice seasons, drainage increased seasonal N₂O emissions by 38.9–43.5% while tillage
207 decreased N₂O emissions by 10–12.9%, although the differences were not significant (Table 1). In contrast,
208 the effects of drainage and tillage seemed to be more important over the 4 late-rice seasons. For instance,
209 drainage increased seasonal N₂O emissions by 41.0–47.8% while tillage decreased N₂O emissions by
210 10.3–14.4%. Annually, total N₂O emissions ranged from 113 to 167 g N₂O–N ha⁻¹. An average of 34.4%

211 of this was derived from the winter fallow season (Tables 1 and 3). There was no significant difference in
212 total N₂O emission among the 4 treatments (Table 3).

213

214 **3.3 Global warming potential (GWP)**

215 Throughout the 4 winter fallow seasons, soil drainage and tillage had important effects on GWPs (CH₄
216 and N₂O) over the 100-year time, although it was, on average, very small, ranging from 0.07 to 0.16 t CO₂-
217 eq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Table 1). Compared with Treatment NTND, drainage significantly decreased GWPs while
218 tillage significantly increased it. Consequently, soil drainage combined with tillage played a rather slight
219 role in GWPs relative to Treatment NTND.

220 In contrast, both soil drainage and tillage decreased GWPs in compared to Treatment NTND over the 4
221 early-rice seasons, with 16.0–36.2% and 4.2–36.2% lower values in Treatment NTD and Treatment TND,
222 respectively (Table 1). GWPs were more decreased by drainage combined with tillage, being 26.6–42.4%
223 lower in Treatment TD, than in Treatment NTND. Drainage significantly reduced GWPs by 27.4% for
224 Treatment NTD, and 34.8% for Treatment TD that had the integrated effect of drainage and tillage relative
225 to Treatment NTND. Tillage also tended to decrease GWPs relative to Treatment NTND but this effect
226 was not statistically significant.

227 Similar effects of soil drainage and tillage on GWPs were observed over the 4 late-rice seasons (Table
228 1). Compared with Treatment NTND, GWPs was 7.5–35.4% and 11.7–20.4% lower in Treatments NTD
229 and TND, respectively. Soil drainage combined with tillage significantly decreased GWPs by 23.7–36.8%
230 for Treatment TD in comparison to Treatment NTND. On average, drainage and tillage reduced GWPs by
231 20.6% and 15%, separately, and GWPs was significantly reduced (29.1%) by combining drainage with
232 tillage simultaneously.

233 Annually, the GWPs average ranged from 4.29–6.25 t CO₂-eq ha⁻¹, 46% and 52% of which was derived
234 from the early-rice and late-rice seasons, respectively (Tables 1 and 3). Compared with Treatment NTND,
235 GWPs was significantly reduced by 0.92–1.49 t CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ in Treatments TND and NTD, respectively,
236 and it was decreased much more (1.96 t CO₂-eq ha⁻¹) in Treatment TD (Table 3).

237

238 **3.4 Rice grain yields**

239 Grain yields of Treatments TND and TD were generally higher than those of Treatments NTND and NTD
240 over the 4 annual cycles (Table 1) though the yields varied with land management and year as well as their

241 interaction (Table 2). The average yields in Treatments TND and TD were over 6.5 t ha⁻¹, which was
242 4.8%–7.3% and 3.1%–4.4% higher than yields of Treatments NTND and NTD during the early- and late-
243 rice seasons, respectively. Annually, there was no difference in total yields among the treatments over the
244 4 years (Table 3). Throughout the 4 late-rice seasons, a positive correlation was observed between grain
245 yields of the 4 treatments and the corresponding CH₄ emissions ($r= 0.733$, $P < 0.01$).

246

247 **3.5 Greenhouse gas intensity (GHGI)**

248 Annual GHGI ranged from 0.32 to 0.49 t CO₂-eq t⁻¹ yield, and it varied significantly among the treatments
249 owing to the GWPs strong control while annual rice yields were slightly influenced by soil drainage and
250 tillage (Table 3). Compared to Treatment NTND, drainage and tillage reduced GWPs by 23.8% and 14.7%,
251 thus causing GHGI to significantly decrease by 22.4% and 18.4%, separately. As expected, soil drainage
252 combined with tillage reduced GHGI much more, with a 34.7% reduction relative to Treatment NTND.

253

254 **3.6 Precipitation, temperature, soil Eh and soil water content in winter fallow season**

255 Over the 4 winter fallow seasons, total precipitation varied greatly and ranged from ~400 mm to ~750 mm
256 during 2010–2012. Subsequently, it was relatively stable at ~600 mm in 2012–2014 (Table 4). In contrast,
257 mean daily air temperature varied little, with values of ca. 9.0 °C to 10.0 °C. Soil Eh, on average, fluctuated
258 greatly from highest values (~150 mV) in 2010–2011 to the lowest values (~90 mV) in 2013–2014. Soil
259 water content in the 2010 winter fallow season was higher in Treatment NTND than in Treatments NTD
260 and TND, and lowest in Treatment TD (Fig. 3a), with mean values of 55%, 50%, 44% and 38%,
261 respectively. We found that the higher the precipitation and temperature, the lower the soil Eh, and thus
262 the greater the CH₄ emission in the winter fallow season (Table 4). Statistical analyses showed that a
263 significant exponential relationship existed between mean CH₄ emission and total precipitation (Fig. 3b, P
264 < 0.01), and mean CH₄ emission was positively correlated with mean temperature (Fig. 3c, $P < 0.05$) and
265 negatively correlated with soil Eh (Fig. 3d, $P < 0.01$).

266

267 **3.7 Abundance of methanogen and methanotroph populations**

268 The level of methanogens in paddy soil decreased significantly from the winter fallow season to the
269 following early-rice season, but it increased again during the late-rice season (Fig. 4a). Compared to non-
270 drainage (Treatments NTND and TND), the drainage (Treatments NTD and TD) generally decreased the

271 level of methanogens throughout the winter fallow (Fig. 4a, $P < 0.001$) and following early- and late-rice
272 seasons (Fig. 4a, $P < 0.05$). Relative to non-tillage treatments (NTND and NTD), tillage treatments (TND
273 and TD) also significantly decreased the abundance of methanogens throughout the winter fallow and
274 following early- and late-rice seasons (Fig. 4a, $P < 0.001$).

275 The abundance of methanotrophs was highest in the winter fallow season, and then it gradually
276 decreased (Fig. 4b). Drainage treatments (NTD and TD) relative to non-drainage treatments (NTND and
277 TND) significantly decreased the abundance of methanotrophs over the winter fallow and early-rice
278 seasons (Fig. 4b, $P < 0.05$) though this was not significant during the late-rice season. In addition, tillage
279 treatments (TND and TD) significantly decreased the abundance of methanogens during the previous
280 winter (Fig. 4b, $P < 0.001$) and following early-rice seasons (Fig. 4b, $P < 0.01$) in comparison to non-
281 tillage treatments (NTND and NTD), except in the late-rice season.

282

283 **4 Discussion**

284 **4.1 CH₄ emission from double-rice fields**

285 *In situ* measurements of CH₄ emissions in China were first made from 1987 to 1989 in a double-rice field
286 in Hangzhou City (Shangguan et al., 1993b). Subsequently, more CH₄ emissions from double-rice fields
287 were measured (Cai et al., 2001; Shang et al., 2011). However, few investigations made related
288 measurements during the non-rice growth season. Fortunately, Shang et al. (2011) found that the double-
289 rice fields in Hunan province, China usually acted as a small net sink of CH₄ emission (as low as -6 kg
290 CH₄ ha⁻¹) in the winter fallow season. Although an occasional negative CH₄ flux was also observed over
291 the 4 winter fallow seasons (Fig. 1), the double-rice field in this study was an entire source of CH₄ emission,
292 in particular during the 2011–2012 winter fallow season (Table 1). On average, around 2% of the annual
293 CH₄ emission occurred during the winter fallow season.

294 Because of the residues (mainly roots and stubble) of early rice as well as high temperatures resulting
295 in substantial CH₄ production in paddy fields (Shangguan et al., 1993a; Yan et al., 2005), the CH₄ emission
296 from the late-rice season was higher than that of early-rice season. More importantly, a very high CH₄ flux
297 peak was usually observed shortly (a few days) after late-rice transplanting (Cai et al., 2001; Shang et al.,
298 2011). In the present study, CH₄ emission in late-rice seasons was 80.1–113.5 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹, and 8.0–17.9%
299 greater than that of early-rice seasons (Table 1) though total CH₄ emission in the last two early-rice seasons
300 was slightly greater than emission in the late-rice seasons (Fig. 1). Mean annual CH₄ emission varied

301 between 151 and 222 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ over the 4 years (Table 3), which was much lower than previous results.
302 Differences in these CH₄ measurements were probably due to different water and rice straw management
303 practices.

304 Significant differences in CH₄ emission from the fields in winter fallow and late-rice seasons were
305 observed (Table 2), indicating large changes in interannual CH₄ emission. Climatic variability may be the
306 major factor leading to interannual variation of CH₄ emission at the macroscopic scale (Cai et al., 2009).
307 In this study we found that total winter rainfall had an important effect on CH₄ emission. The higher the
308 rainfall, the greater the CH₄ emission throughout the 4 winter fallow seasons (Table 4). An exponential
309 relationship was observed between mean CH₄ emission and total rainfall in the winter fallow season (Fig.
310 3b). The importance of rainfall in controlling CH₄ emission in the winter fallow season, to some extent,
311 was also demonstrated by the negative relationships between mean soil Eh and CH₄ emission (Fig. 3d). In
312 different rice fields from the 4 main rice growing regions in China, a similar correlation was found between
313 rainfall in the winter fallow season and CH₄ emission in the rice growth season (Kang et al., 2002).

314 However, we found no correlations between rainfall in the winter fallow season and CH₄ flux in early-
315 or late-rice seasons in this study. This suggests that rainfall in the winter fallow season significantly
316 regulated CH₄ flux on-season, but not off-season. In contrast, a significant linear relationship was found
317 ($P < 0.01$) between CH₄ emissions and corresponding yields over the 4 late-rice seasons, indicating that
318 good crop growth benefited rice yield and biomass and thus stimulated CH₄ emission. Seasonal CH₄
319 emission can depend greatly on the amount of rice biomass based on results from a long-term fertilizer
320 experiment (Shang et al., 2011). Furthermore, changes in temperature over the 4 winter fallow seasons
321 (Table 4) were expected to play a key role in CH₄ emission, and the positive correlation supported this
322 expectation (Fig. 3c). Many field measurements have demonstrated the importance of temperature to CH₄
323 emission (Parashar et al., 1993; Cai et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2011).

324

325 **4.2 Effect of soil drainage in winter fallow season on CH₄ emission**

326 Many measurements of CH₄ emission affected by soil drainage during the winter fallow season have been
327 made in single-rice fields. Most of these were taken from permanently flooded fields. Clearly, drainage
328 significantly decreases CH₄ emission (Table 5). Draining flooded fields inhibits CH₄ production and CH₄
329 emission in the winter fallow season directly, and it plays an important role in reducing CH₄ production
330 and its emission in the subsequent rice-growing season (Zhang et al., 2011). Compared with non-drainage,

331 drainage in this study significantly decreased CH₄ emission both in the previous winter fallow seasons and
332 the following early- and late-rice seasons (Table 1). Over the 4-year study, mean annual CH₄ emission was
333 reduced by 38–54 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ (Table 3). Such changes were very likely due to the decrease of
334 methanogens in paddy soils throughout the winter and early- and late-rice seasons by soil drainage (Fig.
335 4a). Drainage increases soil aeration and hence effectively reduces the survival rate and activity of
336 methane-producing bacteria. In microcosm experiments, Ma and Lu (2011) found that the total abundance
337 of methanogenic archaeal populations decreased by 40% after multiple drainages, and quantitative PCR
338 analysis showed that both *mcrA* gene copies and *mcrA* transcripts significantly decreased after dry-wet
339 alternation (Ma et al., 2012).

340

341 **4.3 Effect of soil tillage in the winter fallow season on CH₄ emission**

342 Although CH₄ emission in the winter fallow season was increased by soil tillage, it was significantly
343 reduced during the following early- and late-rice seasons (Table 1), and over the 4 years, on average, it
344 was reduced by 17–33 kg CH₄ ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Table 3). Compared to non-tillage, tillage promotes the
345 decomposition of rice residues, which stimulates CH₄ production and emission in the winter fallow season.
346 By contrast, as the readily decomposable portion of the residues has largely been decomposed after an
347 entire winter fallow season, the remaining less-decomposable part of organic matter has little effect on
348 promoting CH₄ emission the following year (Watanabe and Kimura, 1998). The total C content in rice
349 residues generally lower in Treatments TND and TD than in Treatments NTND and NTD (Table 6) has
350 well demonstrated that tillage decreased the carbon substrates necessary for methanogenesis. In a rice-
351 wheat rotation system, our field measurements also showed that the carbon content of rice straw
352 incorporated into the soil in the winter fallow season decreased sharply compared of straw applied to the
353 field just prior to rice transplanting (Zhang et al., 2015). In addition, tillage substantially reduced the
354 abundance of methanogens throughout the winter and early- and late-rice seasons (Fig. 4a) helps to explain
355 the decreased CH₄ emission.

356

357 **4.4 N₂O emission from double-rice paddy fields**

358 Direct N₂O emission from rice-based ecosystems mainly happens during midseason aeration and
359 subsequent dry-wet alternation in the rice-growing season and in the winter crop or winter fallow season
360 (Cai et al., 1997; Yan et al., 2003; Zheng et al., 2004; Ma et al., 2013). Most cropland N₂O emission comes

361 from uplands and just 20–25% of this is from rice fields in China (Zhang et al., 2014). In China, field
362 measurements of N₂O emission began in 1992 from a single-rice field in Liaoning province (Chen et al.,
363 1995). Considerable observations have since been made from double-rice fields (Xu et al., 1997; Shang et
364 al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2013). The total N₂O emission of early- and late-rice seasons in this study, on
365 average, ranged from 70.6 and 114.7 g N₂O-N ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ over the 4 years (Table 1), and these data were
366 significantly lower than values reported by Shang et al. (2011) and Zhang et al. (2013) but similar to our
367 previous measurements (Ma et al. 2013). Furthermore, over 33% of annual N₂O emission came from the
368 winter fallow season (Table 1), indicating that N₂O emission from paddy fields in the winter fallow season
369 is very important. Earlier field observations showed that as high as 60–90% of N₂O annual emission
370 occurred in the winter fallow season (Shang et al., 2011). On a national scale in China, 41 Gg N₂O-N yr⁻¹
371 is emitted in the non-rice growth period, and this constitutes 45% of the total N₂O emission from rice-
372 based ecosystems (Zheng et al., 2004). Although N₂O emission from rice fields was significantly affected
373 by year (Table 2), reasons for the between-year variation are poorly known. In order to understand yearly
374 changes in N₂O emission, it is essential to maintain year-round long-term stationary field observations of
375 N₂O emission from the double-rice fields.

376

377 **4.5 Effect of soil drainage in winter fallow season on N₂O emission**

378 The production of soil N₂O is mainly achieved by the microbial processes of nitrification and
379 denitrification while soil water content determines the general direction of soil nitrogen transformation.
380 Soil drainage can reduce the soil water content and accelerate soil dry-wet alternation, thus promoting N₂O
381 emission from paddy fields (Davidson, 1992; Cai et al., 1997). The soil dry-wet alternation stimulates the
382 transformation of C and N in the soil, in particular the microbial biomass C and N turnover (Potthoff et al.,
383 2001). Drainage typically decreased the soil water content in this study (Fig. 3a) and then increased N₂O
384 emission, on average, by 42% relative to non-drainage in the winter fallow season (Table 1). Drainage in
385 the previous winter fallow season also had a positive effect on N₂O emission from paddy fields during the
386 following early- and late-rice seasons (Table 1). It is possible that drainage in the winter fallow season
387 created soil moisture more beneficial to N₂O production in the subsequent rice-growing seasons. Early
388 reports demonstrated that the production and emission of soil N₂O was related to the soil moisture regime
389 at the time and also strongly affected by the previous soil moisture regime (Groffman and Tiedje, 1988).
390 Regardless of how the water conditions were at an earlier time, the previous soil moisture conditions

391 affected the concentration of reductase or synthetic ability of the enzymes, thus affecting denitrification
392 (Dendooven and Anderson, 1995; Dendooven et al., 1996). The annual total N₂O emission increased by
393 37–48% in drainage treatments compared to non-drainage treatments though there was no significant
394 difference among the 4 treatments (Table 3).

395

396 **4.6 Effect of soil tillage in winter fallow season on N₂O emission**

397 Compared to non-tillage, tillage treatments increased N₂O emission in the winter fallow season by an
398 average of 39% over the 4 years (Table 1). At least two factors help to explain this. First, tillage increases
399 soil aeration, which promotes the nitrification process. A soil column experiment demonstrated that
400 moderate O₂ concentration is conducive to N₂O production (Khdyer and Cho, 1983). Second, tillage
401 accelerates rainwater percolation from the plowed layer into the subsoil layer, stimulating the processes of
402 soil dry-wet alternation and thus promoting the transformation of N and production of N₂O in the soil (Cai
403 et al., 1997; Potthoff et al., 2001). Tillage usually decreased soil water content (Fig. 3a), and this supports
404 the second point. In contrast, tillage had negative effects on N₂O emission during the following early- and
405 late-rice seasons, and mean N₂O emission over the 4 years was reduced by 12% and 13%, respectively
406 (Table 1). Compared to non-tillage, tillage decreased the level of total N in rice residues, which probably
407 reduced the substrates needed for nitrification and denitrification. More importantly, the ratio of C/N in
408 rice residues was increased by tillage (Table 6). The decomposition of rice residues with a high C/N ratio
409 probably resulted in more N immobilization in the soil and less N available for nitrification and
410 denitrification for N₂O production (Huang et al., 2004; Zou et al., 2005). As a whole, however, soil tillage
411 played a relatively minor role in annual N₂O emission over the 4 years (Table 3).

412

413 **4.7 Effect of soil drainage and tillage on GWPs and GHGI**

414 Although drainage increased N₂O emission throughout the winter fallow and early- and late-rice seasons,
415 it significantly decreased CH₄ emission from paddy fields (Table 1). As a consequence, it greatly reduced
416 GWPs, with a decrease of 1.49 t CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ annually (Table 3). Many studies have demonstrated that
417 drainage results in a trade-off between CH₄ and N₂O emissions from rice fields (Table 5), but drainage is
418 widely considered to be an effective mitigation option. Annually, the mitigation potential of GWPs from
419 paddy fields using drainage in the winter fallow season is > 50%. However, these measurements are mostly
420 related to single-rice fields with continuous flooding (Table 5), and little information is available about the

421 effect on GWPs from double rice-cropping systems. In this study, we found that 21–30% of the GWPs
422 were reduced by drainage in the winter fallow season throughout the previous winter fallow and following
423 early- and late-rice seasons, and there is a 24% annual mitigation potential (Table 3).

424 In contrast, tillage clearly increased both CH₄ and N₂O emissions and highly increased GWPs in the
425 winter fallow season (Table 1). Indeed, in a single-rice field, Liang et al. (2007) found that it increased the
426 GWPs of CH₄, N₂O and CO₂ emissions in the winter fallow season (Table 5). Fortunately, tillage
427 significantly decreased CH₄ and N₂O emissions both in early- and late-rice seasons and, as a result, it
428 reduced GWPs by 17% and 15%, respectively (Table 1). Annually, GWPs were reduced by 0.92 t CO₂-eq
429 ha⁻¹, with 15% of mitigation potential (Table 3). As expected, the integrated effects of soil drainage and
430 tillage decreased GWPs much more, with a further reduction by 1.04 t CO₂-eq ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Moreover, the
431 annual mitigation potential (as high as 32%) of soil drainage combined with tillage in this study was in the
432 range of previous results reported by Zhang et al. (2012) and Zhang et al. (2015) in single-rice fields (Table
433 5). It is obvious that soil drainage together with tillage in the winter fallow season is an effective option
434 for mitigating the GWPs of CH₄ and N₂O emissions from double rice-cropping systems.

435 No significant differences in rice grain yields were observed among the 4 treatments over the 4 years
436 (Tables 1 and 3). This indicates a low risk of rice yield loss when the GWPs of CH₄ and N₂O emissions
437 are decreased by means of soil drainage or tillage in the winter fallow season. Soil drainage and tillage
438 significantly decreased GHGI by 22.4% and 18.4%, separately, and the GHGI was decreased much more
439 by combining drainage with tillage, with a reduction of 0.17 t CO₂-eq t⁻¹ yield (Table 3). Balanced fertilizer
440 management, in particular on P fertilizer supplement, was suggested as an available strategy for double
441 rice-cropping systems (Shang et al., 2011). In this study, the effective mitigation option in double-rice
442 fields we propose is soil drainage combined with tillage in the winter fallow season.

443 In conclusion, this study demonstrated that in the winter fallow season large differences in CH₄
444 emissions are probably due to variation in total precipitation and temperature. Soil drainage and tillage,
445 either separately or in combination, during the winter fallow season significantly decreased CH₄ emission
446 and the GWPs of CH₄ and N₂O emissions from the double-rice field. A possible explanation for this
447 phenomenon is that drainage and tillage decreased the abundance of methanogens in the paddy soil. Low
448 total C content in rice residues due to tillage and subsequent decomposition is a potential reason for
449 reduced CH₄ emission in the following early- and late-rice seasons. Finally, tillage reduced the total N
450 content but increased the C/N ratio in rice residues would help decrease N₂O emissions. For achieving

451 both high rice grain yield and low GWPs in double-rice fields, we propose that the fields be drained
452 immediately after late-rice harvest and tilled with rice residues incorporated into the soil. These practices
453 can aid in the development of optimal management strategies for double-rice systems.

454

455 **Acknowledgements**

456 This work was financially supported by the “Strategic Priority Research Program” of the Chinese
457 Academy of Sciences (XDB15020103), National Key Technology Research and Development Program
458 (2013BAD11B02), National Natural Sciences Foundation of China (41571232, 41271259), Foundation of
459 the State Key Laboratory of Soil and Sustainable Agriculture (Y412010003), and the Knowledge
460 Innovation Program of Institute of Soil Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences (ISSASIP1654). We thank
461 the Red Soil Ecological Experiment Station, Chinese Academy of Sciences for providing climate
462 information. Appreciation also goes to the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments. We also thank
463 LetPub (www.letpub.com) for its linguistic assistance during the preparation of this manuscript.

464

465 **Reference**

- 466 Bateman, E. J., and Baggs, E. M.: Contributions of nitrification and denitrification to N₂O emissions from
467 soils at different water-filled pore space, *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 41, 379-388, 2005.
- 468 Bayer, C., Zschornack, T., Pedroso, G. M., Rosa, C. M. D., Camargo, E. S., Boeni, M., Elio Marcolin b,
469 Reis, C. E. S. d., and Santos, D. C. d.: A seven-year study on the effects of fall soil tillage on yield-scaled
470 greenhouse gas emission from flood irrigated rice in a humid subtropical climate, *Soil Till. Res.*, 145, 118-
471 125, 2015.
- 472 Cai, Z. C., Xing, G. X., Yan, X. Y., Xu, H., Tsuruta, H., Yagi, K., and Minami, K.: Methane and nitrous
473 oxide emissions from rice paddy fields as affected by nitrogen fertilisers and water management, *Plant
474 Soil*, 196, 7-14, 1997.
- 475 Cai, Z. C., Xu, H., Lu, W. S., Liao, Z. W., Wei, C. F., and Xie, D. T.: Influence of water management in
476 winter crop season on CH₄ emission during the rice growing season, *Chinese Journal of Applied Ecology*,
477 9, 171-175 (In Chinese), 1998.
- 478 Cai, Z. C., Tsuruta, H., Rong, X. M., Xu, H., and Yuan, Z. P.: CH₄ emissions from rice paddies managed
479 according to farmer's practice in Hunan, China, *Biogeochemistry*, 56, 75-91, 2001.

480 Cai, Z. C., Tsuruta, H., Gao, M., Xu, H., and Wei, C. F.: Options for mitigating methane emission from a
481 permanently flooded rice field, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 9, 37-45, 2003.

482 Cai, Z. C., Xu, H., and Ma, J.: Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from rice-based ecosystems (In
483 Chinese), Science and Technology University of China Press, Hefei, China, 2009.

484 Chen, G. X., Huang, G. H., Huang, B., Wu, J., Yu, K. W., Xu, H., Xue, X. H., and Wang, Z. P.: CH₄ and
485 N₂O emission from a rice field and effect of *Azolla* and fertilization on them, *Chinese Journal of Applied*
486 *Ecology* (In Chinese), 6, 378-382, 1995.

487 Chen, H., Zhu, Q. A., Peng, C. H., Wu, N., Wang, Y. F., Fang, X. Q., Jiang, H., Xiang, W. H., Chang, J.,
488 Deng, X. W., and Yu, G. R.: Methane emissions from rice paddies natural wetlands, lakes in China:
489 synthesis new estimate, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 19, 19-32, 2013.

490 Chen, X., Cui, Z., Fan, M., Vitousek, P., Zhao, M., Ma, W., Wang, Z., Zhang, W., Yan, X., Yang, J., Deng,
491 X., Gao, Q., Zhang, Q., Guo, S., Ren, J., Li, S., Ye, Y., Huang, J., Tang, Q., Sun, Y., Peng, X., Zhang, J.,
492 He, M., Zhu, Y., Xue, J., Wang, G., Wu, L., An, N., Ma, L., and Zhang, F.: Producing more grain with
493 lower environmental costs, *Nature*, 514, 486-489, 2014.

494 Costello, A. M., and Lidstrom, M. E.: Molecular characterization of functional and phylogenetic genes
495 from natural populations of methanotrophs in lake sediments, *Appl. Environ. Microb.*, 65, 5066-5074,
496 1999.

497 Davidson, E. A.: Sources of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide following wetting of dry soil, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am.*
498 *J.*, 56, 95-102, 1992.

499 Dendooven, L., and Anderson, J. M.: Maintenance of denitrification potential in pasture soil following
500 anaerobic events, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 27, 1251-1260, 1995.

501 Dendooven, L., Duchateau, L., and Anderson, J. M.: Gaseous products of the denitrification process as
502 affected by the antecedent water regime of the soil, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 28, 239-245, 1996.

503 Fan, X., Yu, H., Wu, Q., Ma, J., Xu, H., Yang, J., and Zhuang, Y.: Effects of fertilization on microbial
504 abundance and emissions of greenhouse gases (CH₄ and N₂O) in rice paddy fields, *Ecol. Evol.*, 6, 1054-
505 1063, 2016.

506 Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Statistics Division (FAOSTAT):
507 <http://faostat3.fao.org/download/Q/QC/E>, 2014. (accessed on 19 July, 2016)

508 Groffman, P. M., and Tiedje, J. M.: Denitrification hysteresis during wetting and drying cycles in soil, *Soil*
509 *Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 52, 1626-1629, 1988.

510 Hales, B. A., Edwards, C., Ritchie, D. A., Hall, G., Pickup, R. W., and Saunders, J. R.: Isolation and
511 identification of methanogen-specific DNA from blanket bog peat by PCR amplification and sequence
512 analysis, *Appl. Environ. Microb.*, 62, 668-675, 1996.

513 Huang, Y., Zou, J. W., Zheng, X. H., Wang, Y. S., and Xu, X. K.: Nitrous oxide emissions as influenced
514 by amendment of plant residues with different C : N ratios, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 36, 973-981, 2004.

515 Hussain, S., Peng, S. B., Fahad, S., Khaliq, A., Huang, J. L., Cui, K. H., and Nie, L. X.: Rice management
516 interventions to mitigate greenhouse gas emissions: a review, *Environ. Sci. Pollut. R.*, 22, 3342-3360, 2015.

517 Jiang, C. S., Huang, Y. S., Zheng, X. H., Zhu, B., and Huang, Y.: Effects of tillage-cropping systems on
518 methane and nitrous oxide emissions from permanently flooded rice fields in a central Sichuan hilly area
519 of south west China, *Environmental Science*, 27, 207-213 (In Chinese), 2006.

520 Kang, G. D., Cai, Z. C., and Feng, Z. H.: Importance of water regime during the non-rice growing period
521 in winter in regional variation of CH₄ emissions from rice fields during following rice growing period in
522 China, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, 64, 95-100, 2002.

523 Khdyer, I. I., and Cho, C. M.: Nitrification and denitrification of nitrogen fertilizers in a soil column, *Soil*
524 *Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 47, 1134-1139, 1983.

525 Lan, T., Han, Y., Roelcke, M., Nieder, R., and Cai, Z. C.: Processes leading to N₂O and NO emissions from
526 two different Chinese soils under different soil moisture contents, *Plant Soil*, 371, 611-627, 2013.

527 Li, C. S., Salas, W., DeAngelo, B., and Rose, S.: Assessing alternatives for mitigating net greenhouse gas
528 emissions and increasing yields from rice production in china over the next twenty years, *J. Environ. Qual.*,
529 35, 1554-1565, 2006.

530 Liang, W., Shi, Y., Zhang, H., Yue, J., and Huang, G. H.: Greenhouse gas emissions from northeast China
531 rice fields in fallow season, *Pedosphere*, 17, 630-638, 2007.

532 Linquist, B. A., Anders, M. M., Adviento-Borbe, M. A. A., Chaney, R. L., Nalley, L. L., da Rosa, E. F. F.,
533 and van Kessel, C.: Reducing greenhouse gas emissions, water use, and grain arsenic levels in rice systems,
534 *Glob. Change Biol.*, 21, 407-417, 2015.

535 Lu, R.: *Soil agro-chemical analyses* (In Chinese), Agricultural Technical Press, Beijing, 2000.

536 Ma, E., Zhang, G., Ma, J., Xu, H., Cai, Z., and Yagi, K.: Effects of rice straw returning methods on N₂O
537 emission during wheat-growing season, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, 88, 463-469, 2010.

538 Ma, J., Ji, Y., Zhang, G. B., Xu, H., and Yagi, K.: Timing of midseason aeration to reduce CH₄ and N₂O
539 emissions from double rice cultivation in China, *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 59, 35-45, 2013.

540 Ma, K., Qiu, Q. F., and Lu, Y. H.: Microbial mechanism for rice variety control on methane emission from
541 rice field soil, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 16, 3085-3095, 2010.

542 Ma, K., and Lu, Y. H.: Regulation of microbial methane production and oxidation by intermittent drainage
543 in rice field soil, *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.*, 75, 446-456, 2011.

544 Ma, K., Conrad, R., and Lu, Y.: Responses of Methanogen *mcrA* Genes and Their Transcripts to an
545 Alternate Dry/Wet Cycle of Paddy Field Soil, *Appl. Environ. Microb.*, 78, 445-454, 2012.

546 McCarl, B. A., and Schneider, U. A.: Climate change-Greenhouse gas mitigation in US agriculture and
547 forestry, *Science*, 294, 2481-2482, 2001.

548 Mutegi, J. K., Munkholm, L. J., Petersen, B. M., Hansen, E. M., and Petersen, S. O.: Nitrous oxide
549 emissions and controls as influenced by tillage and crop residue management strategy, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*,
550 42, 1701-1711, 2010.

551 Myhre, G., D. Shindell, F. M. Br ón, W. Collins, J. Fuglestedt, J. Huang, D. Koch, J. F. Lamarque, D.
552 Lee, B. Mendoza, T. Nakajima, A. Robock, G. Stephens, and Zhang, T. T. a. H.: Anthropogenic and
553 Natural Radiative Forcing. In: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of*
554 *Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.*
555 *Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and*
556 *P.M. Midgley ed., edited by: Change, I. P. o. C., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom*
557 *and New York, NY, USA, 659-740 pp., 2013.*

558 Pandey, D., Agrawal, M., and Bohra, J. S.: Greenhouse gas emissions from rice crop with different tillage
559 permutations in rice-wheat system, *Agr. Ecosyst. Environ.*, 159, 133-144, 2012.

560 Parashar, D. C., Gupta, P. K., Rai, J., Sharma, R. C., and Singh, N.: Effect of soil temperature on methane
561 emission from paddy fields, *Chemosphere*, 26, 247-250, 1993.

562 Potthoff, M., Joergensen, R. G., and Wolters, V.: Short-term effects of earthworm activity and straw
563 amendment on the microbial C and N turnover in a remoistened arable soil after summer drought, *Soil*
564 *Biol. Biochem.*, 33, 583-591, 2001.

565 Shang, Q., Yang, X., Gao, C., Wu, P., Liu, J., Xu, Y., Shen, Q., Zou, J., and Guo, S.: Net annual global
566 warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in Chinese double rice-cropping systems: a 3-year field
567 measurement in long-term fertilizer experiments, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 17, 2196-2210, 2011.

568 Shanguan, X. J., Wang, M. X., Chen, D. Z., and Shen, R. X.: Methane production in rice paddy fields,
569 *Advance in Earth Sciences (In Chinese)*, 8, 1-12, 1993a.

570 Shangguan, X. J., Wang, M. X., and Shen, R. X.: Regularity of methane emission from rice paddy fields,
571 *Advance in Earth Sciences (In Chinese)*, 8, 23-36, 1993b.

572 Shiratori, Y., Watanabe, H., Furukawa, Y., Tsuruta, H., and Inubushi, K.: Effectiveness of a subsurface
573 drainage system in poorly drained paddy fields on reduction of methane emissions, *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*,
574 53, 387-400, 2007.

575 Soil Survey Staff: Soil taxonomy: A Basic System of Soil Classification for Making and Interpreting Soil
576 Surveys, in, U.S. Government Printing Office, Handbook No. 436. Washington, DC, 1975.

577 Su, J., Hu, C., Yan, X., Jin, Y., Chen, Z., Guan, Q., Wang, Y., Zhong, D., Jansson, C., Wang, F., Schnurer,
578 A., and Sun, C.: Expression of barley SUSIBA2 transcription factor yields high-starch low-methane rice,
579 *Nature*, 523, 602-606, 2015.

580 Tang, S., Cheng, W., Hu, R., Guigue, J., Kimani, S. M., Tawaraya, K., and Xu, X.: Simulating the effects
581 of soil temperature and moisture in the off-rice season on rice straw decomposition and subsequent CH₄
582 production during the growth season in a paddy soil, *Biol. Fertil. Soils*, 52, 739-748, 2016.

583 Watanabe, A., and Kimura, M.: Effect of rice straw application on CH₄ emission from paddy fields IV.
584 Influence of rice straw incorporated during the previous cropping period, *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 44, 507-
585 512, 1998.

586 Weller, S., Janz, B., Jörg, L., Kraus, D., Racela, H. S. U., Wassmann, R., Butterbach-Bahl, K., and Kiese,
587 R.: Greenhouse gas emissions and global warming potential of traditional and diversified tropical rice
588 rotation systems, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 22, 432-448, 2016.

589 World Meteorological Organization (WMO): The State of Greenhouse Gases in the Atmosphere Based on
590 Global Observations through 2014, *Greenhouse Gas Bulletin*, 1-4, 2015.

591 Xu, H., Guangxi, X., Cai, Z. C., and Tsuruta, H.: Nitrous oxide emissions from three rice paddy fields in
592 China, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*, 49, 23-28, 1997.

593 Xu, H., Cai, Z. C., and Tsuruta, H.: Soil moisture between rice-growing seasons affects methane emission,
594 production, and oxidation, *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.*, 67, 1147-1157, 2003.

595 Yan, X. Y., Akimoto, H., and Ohara, T.: Estimation of nitrous oxide, nitric oxide and ammonia emissions
596 from croplands in East, Southeast and South Asia, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 9, 1080-1096, 2003.

597 Yan, X. Y., Yagi, K., Akiyama, H., and Akimoto, H.: Statistical analysis of the major variables controlling
598 methane emission from rice fields, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 11, 1131-1141, 2005.

599 Yao, Z. S., Zheng, X. H., Wang, R., Xie, B. H., Butterbach-Bahl, K., and Zhu, J. G.: Nitrous oxide and

600 methane fluxes from a rice-wheat crop rotation under wheat residue incorporation and no-tillage practices,
601 *Atmos. Environ.*, 79, 641-649, 2013.

602 Yearbook, E. B. o. C. A.: China Agriculture Yearbook 2014 (In Chinese), China Agriculture Press, Beijing,
603 2014.

604 Zhang, G. B., Zhang, X. Y., Ma, J., Xu, H., and Cai, Z. C.: Effect of drainage in the fallow season on
605 reduction of CH₄ production and emission from permanently flooded rice fields, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosys.*,
606 89, 81-91, 2011.

607 Zhang, H. L., Bai, X. L., Xue, J. F., Chen, Z. D., Tang, H. M., and Chen, F.: Emissions of CH₄ and N₂O
608 under different tillage systems from double-cropped paddy fields in southern China, *Plos One*, 8, 2013.

609 Zhang, J. K., Jiang, C. S., Hao, Q. J., Tang, Q. W., Cheng, B. H., Li, H., and Chen, L. H.: Effects of tillage-
610 cropping systems on methane and nitrous oxide emissions from agro-ecosystems in a purple paddy soil,
611 *Environmental Science (In Chinese)*, 33, 1979-1986, 2012.

612 Zhang, W., Yu, Y. Q., Huang, Y., Li, T. T., and Wang, P.: Modeling methane emissions from irrigated rice
613 cultivation in China from 1960 to 2050, *Glob. Change Biol.*, 17, 3511-3523, 2011.

614 Zhang, W., Yu, Y., Li, T., Sun, W., and Huang, Y.: Net greenhouse gas balance in China's croplands over
615 the last three decades and its mitigation potential, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 48, 2589-2597, 2014.

616 Zhang, W. F., Dou, Z. X., He, P., Ju, X. T., Powlson, D., Chadwick, D., Norse, D., Lu, Y. L., Zhang, Y.,
617 and Wu, L.: New technologies reduce greenhouse gas emissions from nitrogenous fertilizer in China,
618 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 110, 8375-8380, 2013.

619 Zhang, Y. F., Sheng, J., Wang, Z. C., Chen, L. G., and Zheng, J. C.: Nitrous oxide and methane emissions
620 from a Chinese wheat-rice cropping system under different tillage practices during the wheat-growing
621 season, *Soil Till. Res.*, 146 261-269, 2015.

622 Zhao, X., Liu, S.-L., Pu, C., Zhang, X.-Q., Xue, J.-F., Zhang, R., Wang, Y.-Q., Lal, R., Zhang, H.-L., and
623 Chen, F.: Methane and nitrous oxide emissions under no-till farming in China: a meta-analysis, *Glob.*
624 *Change Biol.*, 22, 1372-1384, 2016.

625 Zheng, X. H., Han, S. H., Huang, Y., Wang, Y. S., and Wang, M. X.: Re-quantifying the emission factors
626 based on field measurements and estimating the direct N₂O emission from Chinese croplands, *Glob.*
627 *Biogeochem. Cycle*, 18, 2004.

628 Zou, J. W., Huang, Y., Jiang, J. Y., Zheng, X. H., and Sass, R. L.: A 3-year field measurement of methane
629 and nitrous oxide emissions from rice paddies in China: Effects of water regime, crop residue, and fertilizer

630 application, *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycle*, 19, 2005.

631

632

633

634 **Table 1** Seasonal CH₄ and N₂O emissions, global warming potentials (GWPs), and rice grain yields over the 4 years from 2010 to 2014.

Year	Treatment	Winter fallow season			Early-rice season			Late-rice season				
		CH ₄ emission (kg CH ₄ ha ⁻¹)	N ₂ O emission (g N ₂ O-N ha ⁻¹)	GWPs (t CO ₂ -eq ha ⁻¹)	CH ₄ emission (kg CH ₄ ha ⁻¹)	N ₂ O emission (g N ₂ O-N ha ⁻¹)	GWPs (t CO ₂ -eq ha ⁻¹)	Yield (t ha ⁻¹)	CH ₄ emission (kg CH ₄ ha ⁻¹)	N ₂ O emission (g N ₂ O-N ha ⁻¹)	GWPs (t CO ₂ -eq ha ⁻¹)	Yield (t ha ⁻¹)
2010–2011	TD	0.46 ± 0.02	46.4 ± 1.5	0.03 ± 0.01	61.3 ± 12.5	49.0 ± 7.2	1.74 ± 0.39	6.44 ± 0.82	133.9 ± 18.6	98.5 ± 4.3	3.79 ± 0.17	7.13 ± 0.07
	TND	1.05 ± 0.13	30.4 ± 3.1	0.04 ± 0.02	80.6 ± 2.4	46.6 ± 7.1	2.28 ± 0.06	6.29 ± 0.20	158.5 ± 28.3	67.4 ± 2.1	4.46 ± 0.40	7.33 ± 0.09
	NTD	0.11 ± 0.19	42.7 ± 5.3	0.02 ± 0.02	70.6 ± 6.1	45.3 ± 11.1	2.00 ± 0.16	6.08 ± 0.60	147.0 ± 15.6	62.8 ± 5.1	4.14 ± 0.02	6.72 ± 0.22
	NTND	0.38 ± 0.07	32.2 ± 5.1	0.02 ± 0.01	84.9 ± 14.3	38.9 ± 12.3	2.38 ± 0.29	5.82 ± 0.34	179.6 ± 26.2	44.5 ± 11.0	5.05 ± 0.15	6.83 ± 0.84
2011–2012	TD	5.06 ± 1.18	42.0 ± 1.8	0.16 ± 0.04	64.0 ± 12.5	17.7 ± 7.9	1.80 ± 0.35	6.67 ± 0.08	79.6 ± 8.8	45.2 ± 7.8	2.25 ± 0.24	6.63 ± 0.09
	TND	11.1 ± 2.51	35.1 ± 2.7	0.33 ± 0.07	90.6 ± 8.2	16.2 ± 7.2	2.54 ± 0.23	7.03 ± 0.50	103.1 ± 6.0	35.4 ± 8.0	2.90 ± 0.16	6.70 ± 0.21
	NTD	4.54 ± 0.32	27.3 ± 11.3	0.14 ± 0.04	68.1 ± 11.8	28.2 ± 6.1	1.92 ± 0.22	6.36 ± 0.36	81.0 ± 4.3	63.0 ± 9.6	2.30 ± 0.80	6.57 ± 0.35
	NTND	7.09 ± 1.08	14.1 ± 4.4	0.20 ± 0.05	107.1 ± 9.9	23.4 ± 4.8	3.01 ± 0.27	6.67 ± 0.47	126.4 ± 12.2	47.2 ± 11.0	3.56 ± 0.66	6.53 ± 0.14
2012–2013	TD	1.40 ± 0.21	88.2 ± 14.7	0.08 ± 0.02	79.7 ± 15.2	27.5 ± 4.1	2.24 ± 0.49	6.33 ± 0.50	44.3 ± 2.1	32.3 ± 3.7	1.25 ± 0.07	6.46 ± 0.41
	TND	3.75 ± 0.21	59.7 ± 18.0	0.13 ± 0.02	101.1 ± 14.8	17.7 ± 15.0	2.84 ± 0.42	6.48 ± 0.78	52.7 ± 11.1	15.3 ± 3.5	1.48 ± 0.31	6.30 ± 0.23
	NTD	0.73 ± 0.22	52.0 ± 9.1	0.04 ± 0.01	80.6 ± 9.6	36.4 ± 13.1	2.27 ± 0.27	6.05 ± 0.47	60.8 ± 11.8	38.1 ± 2.4	1.72 ± 0.34	6.27 ± 0.50
	NTND	2.11 ± 0.23	56.5 ± 13.0	0.08 ± 0.00	108.7 ± 5.8	24.1 ± 14.9	3.05 ± 0.15	6.38 ± 0.73	65.9 ± 12.9	32.3 ± 6.7	1.86 ± 0.36	6.08 ± 0.24
2013–2014	TD	2.94 ± 0.78	96.1 ± 22.9	0.12 ± 0.04	68.1 ± 7.0	76.0 ± 15.1	1.94 ± 0.29	7.07 ± 0.34	62.6 ± 4.7	49.5 ± 2.8	1.77 ± 0.14	6.64 ± 0.31
	TND	3.73 ± 0.85	44.7 ± 26.0	0.12 ± 0.08	76.2 ± 5.0	42.1 ± 8.0	2.15 ± 0.11	6.43 ± 0.60	72.1 ± 9.2	42.1 ± 12.9	2.04 ± 0.25	6.38 ± 0.47
	NTD	1.52 ± 0.48	52.0 ± 28.4	0.06 ± 0.02	88.4 ± 6.3	85.4 ± 10.9	2.51 ± 0.21	6.19 ± 0.23	70.6 ± 13.6	99.7 ± 7.5	2.02 ± 0.39	6.46 ± 0.61
	NTND	2.01 ± 0.09	42.9 ± 10.6	0.07 ± 0.04	119.7 ± 10.8	49.4 ± 13.6	3.37 ± 0.33	6.16 ± 0.36	82.2 ± 3.1	54.4 ± 9.5	2.32 ± 0.08	6.16 ± 0.12
Mean*	TD	2.47 ± 0.10 bc	68.2 ± 16.4 a	0.10 ± 0.02 b	68.3 ± 11.4 b	42.5 ± 11.2 a	1.93 ± 0.32 b	6.62 ± 0.25 a	80.1 ± 2.7 c	56.4 ± 17.4 ab	2.27 ± 0.08 c	6.71 ± 0.14 a
	TND	4.91 ± 0.43 a	42.5 ± 12.3 ab	0.16 ± 0.02 a	87.2 ± 13 ab	30.6 ± 15.0 a	2.45 ± 0.37 ab	6.56 ± 0.49 a	96.6 ± 8.3 b	40.0 ± 4.3 b	2.72 ± 0.23 b	6.68 ± 0.24 a
	NTD	1.73 ± 0.37 c	43.5 ± 18.4 ab	0.07 ± 0.00 c	76.2 ± 6.9 b	48.8 ± 18.1 a	2.15 ± 0.19 b	6.17 ± 0.27 a	89.9 ± 1.2 bc	65.9 ± 6.6 a	2.54 ± 0.03 bc	6.51 ± 0.39 a
	NTND	2.90 ± 0.21 b	36.4 ± 13.5 b	0.10 ± 0.02 b	105.1 ± 15.5 a	34.0 ± 6.9 a	2.96 ± 0.44 a	6.26 ± 0.33 a	113.5 ± 8.0 a	44.6 ± 8.0 b	3.20 ± 0.22 a	6.40 ± 0.20 a

635 Mean* ±SD, different letters within the same column indicate statistical differences in variables mean among treatments over the 4 years by LSD's multiple range test ($P < 0.05$).

636

637

638

639 **Table 2** Two-way ANOVA for the effects of land management (L) and year (Y) on CH₄,640 N₂O emissions, and rice grain yields.

Season	Factors	df	CH ₄ (kg CH ₄ ha ⁻¹)			N ₂ O (g N ₂ O-N ha ⁻¹)			Yield (t ha ⁻¹)		
			ss	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	ss	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>	ss	<i>F</i>	<i>P</i>
Early-rice	L	3	3052.7	5.196	0.005	820.1	1.007	0.403	0.603	2.361	0.090
	Y	3	692.3	1.178	0.333	4357.4	5.349	0.004	0.598	3.340	0.092
	L × Y	9	254.2	0.433	0.907	267.0	0.328	0.959	0.161	0.631	0.762
	Model	15	901.5	1.535	0.151	1195.7	1.468	0.176	0.337	1.319	0.248
	Error	32	587.5			814.7			0.256		
Late-rice	L	3	2379.4	4.700	0.008	1635.2	1.528	0.226	0.259	1.522	0.228
	Y	3	22545.7	44.534	0.000	3515.8	3.286	0.033	1.193	7.015	0.001
	L × Y	9	223.0	0.440	0.903	826.9	0.806	0.614	0.057	0.338	0.955
	Model	15	5118.8	10.111	0.000	1547.9	1.447	0.185	0.325	1.910	0.061
	Error	32	506.3			1070.0			0.170		
Winter	L	3	21.582	5.215	0.005	2367.6	4.537	0.009			
	Y	3	86.036	20.788	0.000	3265.9	6.259	0.002			
	L × Y	9	4.020	0.971	0.481	314.4	0.603	0.785			
	Model	15	23.935	5.783	0.000	1315.4	2.521	0.014			
	Error	32	4.139			521.8					

641

642

643

644

645 **Table 3** Mean annual CH₄ and N₂O emissions, global warming potentials (GWPs) of
646 CH₄ and N₂O emissions, rice grain yields, and greenhouse gas intensity (GHGI) over
647 the 4 years from 2010 to 2014.

Treatment	CH ₄ emission (kg CH ₄ ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	N ₂ O emission (g N ₂ O-N ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	GWPs (t CO ₂ -eq ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	Rice yields (t ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	GHGI (t CO ₂ -eq t ⁻¹ yield)
TD	151 ± 10 d	167 ± 28 a	4.29 ± 0.27 d	13.3 ± 0.3 a	0.32 ± 0.02 c
TND	189 ± 15 b	113 ± 13 a	5.33 ± 0.41 b	13.2 ± 0.6 a	0.40 ± 0.05 b
NTD	168 ± 6 cd	158 ± 27 a	4.76 ± 0.17 cd	12.7 ± 0.6 a	0.38 ± 0.02 b
NTND	222 ± 9 a	115 ± 38 a	6.25 ± 0.26 a	12.7 ± 0.1 a	0.49 ± 0.02 a

648 Note: different letters within the same column indicate statistical differences among
649 treatments at $P < 0.05$ level by LSD's test.

650

651

652

653

654 **Table 4** Total precipitation, mean daily temperature, ^a mean soil Eh, CH₄, and N₂O
655 fluxes over the 4 winter fallow seasons.

Winter fallow season	Precipitation (mm)	Temperature (°C)	Soil Eh (mV)	CH ₄ flux (mg CH ₄ m ⁻² h ⁻¹)	N ₂ O flux (µg N ₂ O-N m ⁻² h ⁻¹)
2010 (December 2, 2010 to April 15, 2011)	404	9.1	152 ± 11	0.02 ± 0.01	5.01 ± 0.26
2011 (November 3, 2011 to April 19, 2012)	754	10.0	102 ± 13	0.18 ± 0.08	3.11 ± 0.31
2012 (December 5, 2012 to April 15, 2013)	574	9.7	141 ± 34	0.07 ± 0.04	8.41 ± 0.54
2013 (November 11, 2013 to April 5, 2014)	661	9.4	92 ± 12	0.08 ± 0.03	7.06 ± 0.38

656 Note: ^a mean soil Eh, CH₄, and N₂O fluxes were the average of 4 treatments.

657

658

659

660

661 **Table 5** Relative mitigating GWPs of GHGs emissions from paddy fields with various
 662 land management practices as compared to traditional management in the winter crop
 663 season.

Type	Traditional management	Suggested practice	GHGs	^a Mitigation potential (%)				Reference
				WS	ES	LS	Annual	
Double rice	Winter fallow without drainage nor tillage	Drainage	CH ₄ and N ₂ O	30	27	21	24	This study
		Tillage	CH ₄ and N ₂ O	-60	17	15	15	
		Drainage combined with tillage	CH ₄ and N ₂ O	0	35	29	32	
Single rice	Winter wheat with drainage	Tillage	CH ₄ and N ₂ O	21	14		15	(Zhang et al., 2015)
Single rice	Winter ryegrass with drainage	Tillage	N ₂ O	^b N.m.	22		N.m.	(Bayer et al., 2015)
Single rice	Winter wheat with drainage	Tillage	CH ₄ and N ₂ O	38	N.m.		N.m.	(Yao et al., 2013)
Single rice	Winter fallow and continuous flooding	Oil-seed rape with drainage and tillage	CH ₄ and N ₂ O	4	57		43	(Zhang et al., 2012)
Single rice	Winter fallow without drainage nor tillage	Drainage	CH ₄	N.m.	71		>71	(Shiratori et al., 2007)
Single rice	Winter fallow with drainage but non-tillage	tillage	CH ₄ , N ₂ O, and CO ₂	-21	N.m.		N.m.	(Liang et al., 2007)
Single rice	Winter fallow and continuous flooding	Wheat drainage with	CH ₄ and N ₂ O	59	55		56	(Jiang et al., 2006)
		Oil-seed rape with drainage	CH ₄ and N ₂ O	53	57		56	
Single rice	Winter fallow and continuous flooding	Wheat drainage with	CH ₄	100	30		59	(Cai et al., 2003)
Single rice	Winter fallow and continuous flooding	Wheat drainage with	CH ₄	N.m.	68		>68	(Cai et al., 1998)

664 Note: WS, ES, and LS means winter fallow season, early-rice season and late-rice season,
 665 respectively; annual is the total of winter and rice seasons; ^a Mitigation potential of combined gases
 666 was calculated on the basis of CO₂ equivalents by assuming GWPs for CH₄ and N₂O as 28 and 265
 667 times the equivalent mass of CO₂ over a 100-year period (Myhre et al., 2013): GWPs (CH₄ + N₂O
 668 + CO₂) = (CH₄ × 28) + (N₂O × 265) + (CO₂ × 1); ^b N.m. indicates no measurements.

669

670

671

672

673 **Table 6** Total C (g kg^{-1}) and total N (g kg^{-1}) contents in rice stubble before early-rice
674 transplanting in 2012 and 2013.

Year	Treatment	Total C	Total N	C/N
2012	TD	338	6.9	49
	TND	314	7.8	40
	NTD	356	12.7	28
	NTND	374	10.4	36
2013	TD	368	8.7	42
	TND	364	7.1	51
	NTD	404	12.8	32
	NTND	397	13.4	30

675

676

677

678

679

680

681

682

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707




Figure 1 Seasonal variation of CH₄ emission from 2010 to 2014.

708
709
710




Figure 2 Seasonal variation of N_2O emission from 2010 to 2014.

711

712




Figure 3 Soil water content in 2010 winter fallow season (a) and the relationships between mean CH₄ emission and total winter precipitation (b), and mean daily air temperature (c) and soil Eh (d) over the 4 winter fallow seasons (Data from Table 4).

713

714




Figure 4 The abundance of methanogens and methanotrophs populations in paddy soil from 2013 to 2014, WS, ES, and LS means winter fallow season, early-rice season, and late-rice season, respectively.