

Interactive comment on “Options for mitigating global warming potential of a double-rice field in China” by Guangbin Zhang et al.

Guangbin Zhang et al.

gbzhang@issas.ac.cn

Received and published: 25 July 2016

Anonymous Referee #2: This paper reported 4 years fields experiment results to show how both CH₄ and N₂O emissions from double-rice paddies affect by drainage and tillage managements in winter fallow season in a typical subtropical climate zoon in Southern China. The global warming potentials (GWPs) from CH₄ and N₂O, greenhouse gas intensity per yield (GHGI) were also estimated in this paper. The data shown in the paper was reliable and calculation and statistical analyses were suitable. Please consider the minor points shown below for improving this manuscript.

1. The title should be clear, it can be changed as “Options of drainage and tillage managements in winter fallow season for mitigating global warming potential of a double-rice field in China”. It is a good idea, thanks. For more clear and concise however, the

C1

title is supposed to be changed as “Drainage and tillage in winter fallow season mitigate global warming potential of a double-rice field in China” (Line 1~2 in the revised manuscript).

2. Line 35, (WMO, 2014) can be renewed to (WMO, 2015). Also (WMO, 2015) should be listed in References. Thanks for your suggestions. The data and reference have been updated in the text (Line 34~35 in the revised manuscript). In addition, the Reference has been changed in the list (Line 623~624 in the revised manuscript).

3. Line 45, (FAOSTAT, 2013), same as above. Thanks! The data and reference have been changed (Line 44~45 in the revised manuscript). Also, the Reference is revised in the list (Line 539~540 in the revised manuscript).

4. Line 47, (Yearbook, 2013), same as above. Thanks so much! The Reference is revised both in the text (Line 47 in the revised manuscript) and the list (Line 636~637 in the revised manuscript).

5. Line 78, before “In addition”, one recent paper (Biol. Fertil. Soils (2016) 52:739–748) can be referred here. Thanks. It is very useful. The reference is cited in the text (Line 78 in the revised manuscript) and it is supplemented in the list (Line 614~616 in the revised manuscript).

6. Line 103, (Soil Survey Staff, 1975) was not found in References. Sorry for our carelessness, the reference is supplemented (Line 609~610 in the revised manuscript).

7. Line 117-125, the management of rice straw from early rice season was not explained here. Thanks for your suggestion. A sentence “After early-rice harvest, rice straw and stubble were all moved out of the plots” is supplemented (Line 125~126 in the revised manuscript) to describe the rice straw management in the early-rice season.

8. Line 146, (Myhre, 2013) as not found in References. It should be (Myhre et al., 2013) or (IPCC, 2013). Sorry for our carelessness. It has been revised in the text (Line 148

C2

in the revised manuscript) and supplemented in the list (Line 585~591 in the revised manuscript).

9. Line 347, "Parashar et al., 1993" should be before "Cai et al., 2003". Thanks. It has been revised (Line 350 in the revised manuscript). Additionally, similar problems in the text are all corrected.

10. Line 467, delete "yr-1" before (Table 3). Sorry for our carelessness. It has been deleted (Line 470 in the revised manuscript). Moreover, similar problems in Table 3 and Abstract are all revised.

11. Line 496, please check the subscript of N₂O and CH₄ in this manuscript. 12. Line 497, it should be "Biol. Fertil. Soils," not Biol. Fert. Soils.,". The style of with or without DOI number should be consistent, for example, in line 525. Sorry for our carelessness. There (11 and 12) are all changed (Line 499~500 in the revised manuscript). In addition, the DOI numbers in the References are all deleted, please carefully refer to the list, thanks.

13. Table 3, delete "yr-1" from the unit of GHGI. It has been revised (Table 3 in the revised manuscript).

14. Table 5, (Myhre, 2013) as not found in References. It should be (Myhre et al., 2013) or (IPCC, 2013). Thanks. It has been revised (Table 5, Line 815 in the revised manuscript).

15. Table 6, The C/N ratios of rice stubble increased after winter fallow season was easily understood, but it was confused readers why there were no data for NTD and NTND after late-rice harvest, and for TD and TND before early-rice transplanting. Thanks for your valuable suggestion. It should be noted that, firstly, there were two different times of tillage, i.e. tilling the field immediately after late-rice harvest in previous winter fallow season (Treatments TD and TND) and prior to early-rice transplanting during the following rice-growing season (Treatments NTD and NTND). Secondly, the contents of

C3

Total C and Total N in rice stubble were sampled and measured before early-rice transplanting. That is to say, rice stubble in Treatments TD and TND were buried under the soil while in Treatments NTD and NTND rice stubble were exposed to the air throughout the whole winter fallow season. Thereby, we can estimate the effect of tillage in winter fallow season on the degradation of rice straw by sampling rice stubble before early-rice transplanting and measuring the Total C and Total N contents. It is thus clear that, the phrases "after late-rice harvest" and "before early-rice transplanting" were just the times of soil tillage, not indicating the times of measurement (or times of data obtained). In addition, the data in Table 6 were from the measurements of rice stubble sampled before early-rice transplanting (Line 113~115 in the original manuscript). Nevertheless, Table 6 and its caption are changed for more understandable (Table 6, Line 832~833 in the revised manuscript). Please see below.

16. Figure 4, putting NTD and NTND, and TD and TND in same bar graph were not suitable, there are independent treatments. Thanks! Certainly, it is more reasonable for showing the four of them apart, and in deed it was done before. Nevertheless, the Figure 4 is presented like this, and it is still supposed to be kept in the revised manuscript if the figure won't result in any misunderstandings. There are at least two reasons. Firstly, we put the measurements of NTD and NTND, and TD and TND in the same bar graph here mainly for emphasizing the importance of tillage to the abundance of methanogens and methanotrophs populations. Because the effect of drainage on the abundance of methanogens and methanotrophs populations in paddy soil is well known, however, the effect of tillage, particularly the impact of tillage in winter fallow season on the abundance of methanogens and methanotrophs populations in paddy soil during the previous winter fallow and following early- and late-rice seasons are scarcely reported. Secondly, it is more clear and better comparative by putting Treatment tillage (TD and TND) and Treatment non-tillage (NTD and NTND) together.

Table 6 Measurements of total C (g kg⁻¹) and total N (g kg⁻¹) contents in rice stubble before early-rice transplanting in 2012 and 2013. Year Treatment Total C Total N C/N

C4

2012 TD 338 6.9 49 TND 314 7.8 40 NTD 356 12.7 28 NTND 374 10.4 36 2013 TD
368 8.7 42 TND 364 7.1 51 NTD 404 12.8 32 NTND 397 13.4 30

Please also note the supplement to this comment:
<http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/acp-2016-227/acp-2016-227-AC2-supplement.pdf>

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2016-227, 2016.