Response to Reviewer 2

The paper describes the trends in ozone levels in North China. As pointed out by the authors there are very few studies of ozone trends in the rapidly developing region of China. The paper uses both new and previously reported data to look at ozone trends over ten years. There are several issues that need to be addressed/answered.

Response: we thank the reviewer for the helpful comments. Below we address the specific comments and will revise the manuscript accordingly. For clarity, the reviewer's comments are listed below in black italics, whilst our responses and changes in manuscript are shown in blue and red respectively.

1. The seasonal variation shows a typical spring maximum in ozone. This is a result of maximum stratospheric-tropospheric exchange and whilst photochemical of ozone is maximised in the summer other loss process are enhanced and thus a typical dip in ozone is observed. There is no mention of start-trop exchange and the impact on ozone and this needs to be addressed.

Response: in the revised manuscript, we have addressed the impact of stratosphere-troposphere exchange on the springtime O_3 levels. The discussion of O_3 seasonal variation has been revised as follows.

"Overall, O_3 shows higher levels in the warm season, i.e. April–October, compared to the cold season, i.e. November–March, with two peaks in June and October. <u>The elevated O_3 levels in</u> <u>April and May should be affected by the stratosphere-troposphere exchange process which</u> <u>usually occurs at its maximum in the spring season (Yamaji et al., 2006).</u> In addition to the high temperatures and intense solar radiation (especially in June), biomass burning is believed to be another factor shaping the O_3 maximums in June and October, both of which are major harvest seasons of wheat and corn in northern China. The significant impacts of biomass burning on air quality over the North China Plain during June have been evaluated by a number of studies (Lin et al., 2009; Yamaji et al., 2010; Suthawaree et al., 2010). It is also noticeable that the O_3 concentrations in July and August at Mt. Tai are substantially lower than those in June. This is attributed in part to the more humid weather and greater precipitation in July and August in this region (see Table 2 for the RH condition)."</u>

2. More details are required on how the air mass types for the impact of long range transport, i.e.

what is the statistical significance of the 5, why not, for example 7, a few more details on the cluster analysis need to be provided.

Response: the cluster analysis was performed with the HYSPLIT built-in cluster analysis module. Specifically, total spatial variance (TSV) and the variance between each component trajectory (SPVAR, Spatial Variance) are calculated to choose the optimum number of clusters (Draxler et al., 2009). The most appropriate solution could be identified just before the large increase in TSV according to the relationship between TSV and the number of clusters. We have added some details in the revised manuscript, and the revised descriptions are as follows.

"To elucidate the history of air masses sampled at Mt. Tai, we analyzed the summertime climatological air mass transport pattern during 2003-2015 with the aid of cluster analysis of back trajectories. The NCEP reanalysis GDAS data and archive data (http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/archives.php) were used to compute trajectories during 2003-2004 and 2005-2015. The detailed methodology has been documented by Wang et al. (2009) and Xue et al. (2011). Briefly, three-dimensional 72-hour back trajectories were computed four times a day (i.e., 2:00, 8:00, 14:00 and 20:00 LT) for June-August with the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory model (HYSPLIT, v4.9; Draxler et al. 2009), with an endpoint of 300 m above ground level exactly over Mt. Tai. All the trajectories were then categorized into a small number of major groups with the HYSPLIT built-in cluster analysis approach. Total spatial variance (TSV) and the variance between each trajectory component were calculated to determine the optimum number of clusters (Draxler et al., 2009)."

Draxler, R. R., Stunder, B., Rolph, G., and Taylor, A.: HYSPLIT4 user's guide, http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT_info.php, 2009.

3. The authors suggest that because the site is above the PBL O_3 levels before dawn (e.g., 2:00–5:00 LT) are representative of the regional background O_3 . This could be the case, however all that can be said is that the site is dynamically disconnected from the PBL, how can they be sure that it is representative of the background?

Response: we are sorry that the terminology "regional background O_3 " may be confusing. Here we want to use the nighttime O_3 levels to **reflect** the regional O_3 without impact of local photochemical production, because Mt. Tai is above the PBL and no photochemistry occurs at night. As pointed out by the reviewer, the nighttime O_3 levels at Mt. Tai may be affected by the residual PBL air (and long-range transport of processed air masses), so they are a bit higher than the 'ideal' regional background O_3 levels. For clarity, we have changed in the revised manuscript "regional background O_3 " to "regional baseline O_3 ", which is defined as the regional O_3 without impact of local photochemical formation. Please see below for the revised definition.

"As the summit of Mt. Tai is well above the planetary boundary layer at nighttime, the O_3 concentrations during the latter part of the night (e.g., 2:00–5:00 LT) are usually considered to reflect the regional baseline O_3 (defined hereafter as regional O_3 without impact of local photochemical formation)."

4. There is work by Clapp and Jenkin Atmospheric Environment 35 (2001) 6391–6405 that has shown that it is possible to quantify the regional contribution to oxidant (i.e. NOx independent) from plots of Ox vs. NOx. This work also looked at the contribution as a function of season too. This analysis should also be carried out for data presented in this present study and compare to the data presented in figure 7.

Response: we have conducted the same analysis to *Clapp and Jenkin* (2001) with our data, and also found increasing trend for the regional O_3 that was derived from the scatter plots of O_X vs. NO_X (see the plot below). This result is consistent with our results as shown in Figure 7. As we only have a few NO_X measurements at Mt. Tai after 2006, it is somewhat hard to establish a statistical significant trend analysis with this method. So we don't show this analysis in the present study.



Figure R1. Regional background O_3 mixing ratios at Mt. Tai in June and July-August over 2006-2015. The regional background O_3 was determined from the O_X vs. NO_X plot according to *Clapp and Jenkin* (2001).

Clapp, L. J., and Jenkin, M. E. Analysis of the relationship between ambient levels of O_3 , NO_2 and NO as a function of NOx in the UK. Atmos. Environ., 35, 6391-6405, 2001.

5. The authors use HCHO as a marker for anthropogenic VOCs. There are not only anthropogenic sources of HCHO, there are also secondary in situ production routes, such as from CH₄. Given that there are not only anthropogenic sources of HCHO, who will this impact on their analysis?

Response: we agree with the reviewer that there are not only primary sources but also secondary sources of HCHO. HCHO is a major oxidation product of a variety of VOC species, and secondary formation indeed presents a significant contribution to the total HCHO burden in the atmosphere. Therefore, increasing HCHO levels should imply the increase of VOC abundances (as HCHO precursors). For clarity, the following statement has been provided in the revised manuscript.

"Considering that HCHO is a major oxidation product of a variety of VOC species and due to the availability of the satellite-retrieved products, HCHO was selected as an indicator of the VOC abundances."

6. They are using satellite retrievals to infer PBL HCHO. Satellite retrievals in the PBL are notoriously difficult. What a priori assumptions have been made for their retrievals? More importantly what are the errors and what impact will it have on the results?

Response: we realize the large uncertainty of the HCHO satellite retrievals. In the present study, we primarily focus on the "trend", rather than the "absolute value" of HCHO column. We should also note that all data we have are only these satellite HCHO products to infer the regional VOC trends, given lack of in-situ VOC measurements. Moreover, the results derived from the satellite measurements agree well with those determined from the bottom-up VOC emission inventories, and are also consistent with the fact that the nationwide VOC controls have not been enforced so far in China. Despite the large uncertainty of satellite data, the major conclusion that the VOC emissions have been increasing in China should still be sound. We have added the following discussion in the revised manuscript.

"This result agrees very well with the emission inventory estimates which showed significant increases of anthropogenic VOC emissions in China in the past decades (Bo et al., 2008; Wang et

al., 2014), and is consistent with the lack of nationwide VOC controls. All of these results evidence the increase of atmospheric VOC abundances over the North China Plain."