

Interactive comment on "Comparison of retrieved Noctilucent cloud particle properties from Odin tomography scans and model simulations" by L. Megner et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 27 May 2016

The authors have responded to my initial comments very well. The one change I would suggest from the original comments is that the authors should point out (as they did in the response to the reviewer) in the paper that they were able to reproduce the Rapp and Thomas work to ensure their use of the CARMA model is consistent with other users.

Since my original review, a comment has been submitted from H. Wilms. I agree with his suggestion that adding a figure showing background winds and temperature would facilitate comparisons to other works. I don't see that as essential, but concur that it would be an improvement. I suggest the authors consider it, but I would not require it.

Otherwise, I believe this paper is acceptable as is.

C1

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2016-22, 2016.