The response to the technical comments from Prof. Co-Editor Veli-Matti Kerminen (18 Aug 2016)

The authors have addressed the referee comments. There are a few technical issues that should be taken care of before uploding the final files for publication:

1) please replace "-" with "it is" in two places on line 101.

Done

New variant:

"According to our estimates, for example, at high solar elevation (h=60°) and for the variety of other parameters (total ozone, aerosol and surface albedo) the effective wavelength for erythemally-weighted irradiance (Q_{eye}) is ~317 nm, for cataract-weighted irradiance (Q_{eye}) it is ~313 nm, and for vitamin D-weighted irradiance (Q_{vitD}) it is ~308 nm."

2) strange character between 1.0 and 3.9 km on line 117

Done

New variant:

"In addition, the dataset of historical Moscow State University complex field campaigns over mountainous areas at Pamir (38 - 40.5° N, 73 - 74° E, H=1.0 - 3.9 km), and Tyan'Shan' (43° N, 77° E, H=3.47 km) was applied in the analysis (Belinski et al., 1968)."

3) what does ... in "UV doses ..., 2014)" on line 146 mean? This means the reference. We have significantly changed the reference list and the reference now is given in a right (understandable) way.

New variant:

"In this study according to the new guidelines a healthy level of vitamin D3 was increased from 400 IU recommended in Bouillon et al. (2006) to 1000 IU in McKenzie et al. (2014)."

4) there should be a space between a quantity and unit in the text, as well as between different components of units (like J m-2, not Jm-2)

We have made necessary changes throughout the text.

5) The format of citing papers in the text should be correct throughout the text.

We have changed the reference list and citing papers in the text according to the ACP guidelines.

6) The reference list contain full journal names as well as journal names the are abreviations. Please be consistent and follow ACP guidelines.

We have changed the reference list according to the ACP guidelines.