$\lambda$ (nm)	323 K	296 K	270 K	250 K	230 K
192.5	$130.3 \pm 1.6$	$127.1 \pm 2.4$	$127.7\pm0.9$	$129.1 \pm 1.3$	$127.4 \pm 4.0$
195	$99.0 \pm 1.1$	$95.0 \pm 1.4$	$94.7 \pm 1.1$	$92.3 \pm 0.3$	$89.9\pm0.8$
200	$51.6\pm0.7$	$48.0\pm0.7$	$46.3 \pm 0.5$	$44.5 \pm 0.2$	$42.3 \pm 0.5$
205	$24.3\pm0.2$	$22.7 \pm 0.1$	$21.0 \pm 0.3$	$19.5\pm0.07$	$18.6\pm0.6$
210	$11.5 \pm 0.07$	$10.4 \pm 0.2$	$9.45\pm0.06$	$8.80\pm0.01$	$8.17\pm0.3$
215	$5.69\pm0.08$	$5.14\pm0.03$	$4.79\pm0.03$	$4.42 \pm 0.3$	$4.05\pm0.2$
220	$2.92\pm0.02$	$2.73\pm0.02$	$2.57\pm0.05$	$2.33 \pm 0.19$	
225	$1.72 \pm 0.03$	$1.62 \pm 0.02$	$1.46\pm0.01$	$1.42 \pm 0.09$	
230	$1.16 \pm 0.03$	$1.11 \pm 0.01$	$1.01 \pm 0.01$	$0.99\pm0.01$	
235	$0.80\pm0.01$	$0.77 \pm 0.01$	$0.68\pm0.01$	$0.70\pm0.03$	

**Table S1.** Raw  $CCl_2FCCl_2F$  (CFC-112) UV Absorption Cross Section Data (Uncorrected for CFC-112a impurity) ( $10^{-20}$  cm<sup>2</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup>, base e) Obtained in This Work.

\* Quoted uncertainties are  $2\sigma$  fit precision values (rounded off).



**Figure S1**.  $CCl_2FCCl_2F$  (CFC-112) UV absorption spectrum (base e) and parameterization obtained in this work. Left panel: Raw cross section data (symbols, Table S1) and the parameterization of the data using equation 2 (see text). The lower frame shows the overall quality of the parameterization. Right panel: Raw cross section data and the parameterization after accounting for the  $CCl_3CClF_2$  (CFC-112a) impurity (parameters given in Table 3). The lower frame shows the magnitude of the correction and its wavelength dependence.

$\lambda$ (nm)	323 K	296 K	270 K	250 K	230 K
192.5	$149.8\pm9$	$151.2 \pm 6$	151.6 ± 4	157.7 ± 3	$161.0 \pm 15$
195	$122.1 \pm 2.6$	$124.0 \pm 3.0$	$124.5 \pm 1.7$	$127.6\pm0.5$	$123.5 \pm 1.3$
200	$75.4 \pm 1.1$	$74.6 \pm 1.2$	$75.7 \pm 0.9$	$75.1 \pm 1.3$	73.1 ± 5.7
205	$43.6\pm0.2$	$42.2\pm0.5$	$50.0 \pm 0.4$	$40.0\pm0.2$	$38.8\pm0.6$
210	$22.4\pm0.8$	$20.8\pm0.9$	$19.8\pm0.8$	$18.6\pm0.6$	$17.5 \pm 0.3$
215	$10.7\pm0.05$	$9.57 \pm 0.03$	$8.64\pm0.04$	8.13 ± 0.2	$7.33 \pm 0.25$
220	$5.01 \pm 0.10$	$4.19\pm0.01$	$3.68\pm0.01$	$3.34 \pm 0.1$	$3.25\pm0.02$
225	$2.20\pm0.013$	$1.80 \pm 0.01$	$1.52 \pm 0.01$	$1.36 \pm 0.02$	
230	$0.950\pm0.017$	$0.767\pm0.012$	$0.617 \pm 0.01$	$0.568\pm0.012$	
235	$0.415\pm0.014$	$0.317\pm0.012$	$0.251 \pm 0.004$		

**Table S2.** Raw  $CCl_3CClF_2$  (CFC-112a) UV Absorption Cross Section Data (Uncorrected for CFC-112 impurity) ( $10^{-20}$  cm<sup>2</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup>, base e) Obtained in This Work.

\* Quoted uncertainties are  $2\sigma$  fit precision values (rounded off).



**Figure S2**.  $CCl_3CClF_2$  (CFC-112a) UV absorption spectrum (base e) and parameterization obtained in this work. Left panel: Raw cross section data (symbols, Table S2) and the parameterization of the data using equation 2 (see text). The lower frame shows the overall quality of the parameterization. Right panel: Raw cross section data and the parameterization after accounting for the  $CCl_2FCCl_2F$  (CFC-112) impurity (parameters given in Table 3). The lower frame shows the magnitude of the correction and its wavelength dependence.

		Integrated band strength $(10^{-17} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ molecule}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}, \text{ base e})$		cm <sup>-1</sup> , base e)
Molecule		Olliff and Fischer <sup>a</sup>	Etminan et al. <sup>b,c</sup>	This Work
CCl <sub>2</sub> FCCl <sub>2</sub> F	CFC-112	2.75 (1063–1225)		2.73 (1063-1225)
		0.84 (995–1063)		0.75 (995–1063)
		4.71 (810–973)		5.15 (810–973)
		2.35 (725–810)		2.48 (725–810)
		0.02 (612–645)		0.017 (612–645)
		0.04 (463–498)		-
		<b>10.7</b> (Total)		<b>11.2</b> (Total)
CCl <sub>3</sub> CClF <sub>2</sub>	CFC-112a	3.34 (1150-1215)		3.59 (1150-1215)
5 2		1.81 (985-1056)		1.92 (985-1056)
		2.92 (812-922)		2.99 (812-922)
		2.34 (723-812)		2.45 (723-812)
		0.15 (610-650)		0.18 (610-650)
		<b>10.6</b> (Total)		<b>11.4</b> (Total)
CCl <sub>3</sub> CF <sub>3</sub>	CFC-113a	0.158 (525–590)	0.497 (703–725)	0.19 (525–590)
5 - 5		0.512 (690–750)	4.3 (840–920)	0.59 (690–750)
		3.55 (825–880)	8.76 (1180–1290)	3.99 (825–880)
		0.544 (880–945)	<b>13.6</b> Total)	0.60 (880–945)
		5.19 (1200–1238)	,	5.68 (1200–1238)
		2.95 (1238–1290)		3.23 (1238–1290)
		<b>12.9</b> (Total)		<b>14.7</b> (Total)
CCl <sub>2</sub> FCF <sub>3</sub>	CFC-114a	1.85 (1266–1355)		2.00 (1266–1355)
2 0		5.50 (1206-1266)		6.23 (1206-1266)
		0.50 (1160-1206)		0.435 (1160-1206)
		1.96 (1070-1160)		2.08 (1070-1160)
		0.23 (1024-1070)		0.185 (1024-1070)
		4.47 (861-955)		4.85 (861-955)
		0.21 (822-861)		0.168 (822-861)
		0.52 (713-756)		0.582 (713-756)
		0.05 (575-603)		0.060 (575-603)
		0.07 (543-575)		0.086 (543-575)
		<b>15.4</b> (Total)		<b>16.9</b> (Total)

**Table S3.** Infrared integrated band strengths for CCl<sub>2</sub>FCCl<sub>2</sub>F (CFC-112), CCl<sub>3</sub>CClF<sub>2</sub> (CFC-112a), CCl<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>3</sub> (CFC-113a), and CCl<sub>2</sub>FCF<sub>3</sub> (CFC-114a) obtained in this work and comparison with literature values.

<sup>a</sup> Taken from Olliff and Fischer (1994). <sup>b</sup> Taken from Etminan et al. (2014). <sup>c</sup> Etminan et al. (2014) report band strengths for a CFC-112 and CFC-112a mixed sample, but do not report values for the individual isomers.

- Etminan, M., E.J. Highwood, J.C. Laube, R. McPheat, G. Marston, K.P. Shine, and K.M. Smith (2014), Infrared absorption spectra, radiative efficiencies, and global warming potentials of newly-detected halogenated compounds: CFC-113a, CFC-112 and HCFC-133a, *Atmosphere*, 5, 473-483, doi:10.3390/atmos5030473.
- Olliff, M.P., and G. Fischer (1994), Integrated absorption intensities of haloethanes and halopropanes, *Spectrochimica Acta Part a-Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy*, 50, 2223-2237, doi:10.1016/0584-8539(93)e0027-t.



**Figure S3.** Global annually averaged vertical profiles of the atmospheric loss processes, molecular loss rates, and mixing ratio for  $CCl_3CClF_2$  (CFC-112a) calculated using the GSFC 2-D atmospheric model for year 2000. The model calculations were performed using the CFC-112a UV absorption spectrum from this work and other model input parameters taken from the literature as described in the text. The global annually averaged lifetime for CFC-112a was calculated to be 51.5 (50.0–52.6) years.



**Figure S4.** Global annually averaged vertical profiles of the atmospheric loss processes, molecular loss rates, and mixing ratio for  $CCl_3CF_3$  (CFC-113a) calculated using the GSFC 2-D atmospheric model for year 2000. The model calculations were performed using the CFC-113a UV absorption spectrum from this work and other model input parameters taken from the literature as described in the text. The global annually averaged lifetime for CFC-113a was calculated to be 55.4 (54.3–56.3) years.



**Figure S5.** Global annually averaged vertical profiles of the atmospheric loss processes, molecular loss rates, and mixing ratio for  $CCl_2FCF_3$  (CFC-114a) calculated using the GSFC 2-D atmospheric model for year 2000. The model calculations were performed using the CFC-114a UV absorption spectrum from this work and other model input parameters taken from the literature as described in the text. The global annually averaged lifetime for CFC-114a was calculated to be 105.3 (102.9–107.4) years.