

Dear Editor,

As I already replied to the Reviewer #2, I feel that the suggestion was really strange. I did not get the data from the CLOUD community for this study, but instead took it from a previously published paper. I could simply have read the vapor and cluster concentrations from Fig. 2 of Olenius et al. (2013) if I hadn't happened to have access to the data (which obviously I did, as I was a co-author of that paper). If I thank the CLOUD community, I feel I would similarly need to thank the authors of many other papers I cite in the article. I used an MCMC algorithm published by ter Braak and Vrugt (2008) and this algorithm was crucial for my study, so shouldn't I also thank ter Braak and Vrugt in the Acknowledgements if I thank the CLOUD community? And the VODE differential equation solver by Brown et al. (1989) was an actual piece of code that was very useful, so I would certainly also need to thank Brown et al. And if I thank the co-authors of one of my earlier articles, it would seem fair to also thank the co-authors of all my other papers. And so on, and so forth. So, to keep things simple, I would prefer not to add any new acknowledgements to the paper.

Sincerely,  
Oona Kupiainen-Määttä