

1 **Relative humidity-dependent viscosity of secondary organic**
2 **material from toluene photo-oxidation and possible**
3 **implications for organic particulate matter over megacities**

4
5 M. Song^{1,2}, P. F. Liu³, S. J. Hanna¹, R. A. Zaveri⁴, K. Potter⁵, Y. You¹, S. T. Martin^{3,6}, A.
6 K. Bertram¹

7 [1] {Department of Chemistry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, V6T 1Z1, Canada}

8 [2] {Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, Chonbuk National University, Jeollabuk-
9 do, Republic of Korea}

10 [3]{John A. Paulson School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge,
11 Massachusetts 02138, USA}

12 [4]{Atmospheric Sciences and Global Change Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory,
13 Richland, WA, USA}

14 [5]{School of Chemistry, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK BS8 1TS, UK}

15 [6]{Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts
16 02138, USA}

17 Correspondence to: A. K. Bertram (bertram@chem.ubc.ca)

18

19 **Abstract**

20 To improve predictions of air quality, visibility, and climate change, knowledge of the viscosities
21 and diffusion rates within organic particulate matter consisting of secondary organic material
22 (SOM) is required. Most qualitative and quantitative measurements of viscosity and diffusion rates
23 within organic particulate matter have focused on SOM particles generated from biogenic VOCs
24 such as α -pinene and isoprene. In this study, we quantify the relative humidity (RH)-dependent
25 viscosities at 295 ± 1 K of SOM produced by photo-oxidation of toluene, an anthropogenic VOC.

1 The viscosities of toluene-derived SOM were 2×10^{-1} to $\sim 6 \times 10^6$ Pa·s from 30 to 90% RH, and
2 greater than $\sim 2 \times 10^8$ Pa·s (similar to or greater than the viscosity of tar pitch) for $\text{RH} \leq 17\%$. These
3 viscosities correspond to Stokes-Einstein-equivalent diffusion coefficients for large organic
4 molecules of $\sim 2 \times 10^{-15}$ $\text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ for 30% RH, and lower than $\sim 3 \times 10^{-17}$ $\text{cm}^2 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ for $\text{RH} \leq 17\%$.
5 Based on these estimated diffusion coefficients, the mixing time of large organic molecules within
6 200 nm toluene-derived SOM particles is 0.1 - 5 hr for 30% RH, and higher than ~ 100 hr for RH
7 $\leq 17\%$. **As a starting point for understanding the mixing times of large organic molecules in organic**
8 **particulate matter over cities, we applied the mixing times determined for toluene-derived SOM**
9 **particles to the world's top 15 most populous megacities.** If the organic particulate matter in these
10 megacities is similar to the toluene-derived SOM in this study, in **Istanbul, Tokyo, Shanghai, and**
11 **Sao Paulo**, mixing times in organic particulate matter during certain periods of the year **may** be
12 very short, and **the particles may be** well-mixed. On the other hand, the mixing times of large
13 organic molecules in organic particulate matter in **Beijing, Mexico City, Cairo, and Karachi** may
14 be long and the particles may not be well-mixed in the afternoon (3:00 – 5:00 local time) during
15 certain times of the year.

16

17 **1 Introduction**

18 Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are released into the atmosphere from both biogenic and
19 anthropogenic sources. In the atmosphere, VOCs can form secondary organic material (SOM)
20 through oxidation reactions with OH radicals, NO_3 radicals, and O_3 . SOM accounts for 20 – 80%
21 of the mass of organic atmospheric particulate matter at various locations (Zhang et al., 2007;
22 Jimenez et al., 2009). SOM typically consist of thousands of different compounds, and only 10 –
23 20% of the individual molecules that make up SOM particles have been identified (Decesari et al.,
24 2006; Hallquist et al., 2009). The lack of information on the chemical composition of SOM has
25 resulted in a poor understanding of their physical properties, including the viscosity and molecular
26 diffusion rates within SOM particles.

27 Knowledge of the viscosity and molecular diffusion rates within SOM particles is needed to predict
28 the properties of these particles and understand their role in the atmosphere. For example, the size
29 distribution and mode diameter depend on the diffusion rates of organic molecules within the

1 particles (Shiraiwa et al., 2013; Zaveri et al., 2014). Simulations show that total SOM mass
2 concentrations can be overestimated or underestimated depending on what diffusion rates are used
3 (Shiraiwa and Seinfeld, 2012). Chemical aging of atmospheric particles by heterogeneous
4 reactions can depend on diffusion rates within SOM (Shiraiwa et al., 2011; Kuwata and Martin,
5 2012; Zhou et al., 2013; Steimer et al., 2014; Houle et al., 2015) and heterogeneous ice nucleation
6 may be influenced by the viscosity of SOM particles (Murray et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2012;
7 Ladino et al., 2014; Schill et al., 2014; Wilson et al., 2012). Moreover, long-range transport of
8 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons can depend on diffusion rates in a particle (Zelenyuk et al., 2012;
9 Zhou et al., 2013) and the efflorescence of crystalline salts can be hindered for highly viscous
10 SOM (Murray, 2008; Murray and Bertram, 2008; Bodsworth et al., 2010; Song et al., 2013).

11 Most qualitative and quantitative measurements of viscosity and diffusion rates within organic
12 particulate matter have focused on SOM generated from biogenic VOCs such as α -pinene and
13 isoprene (Virtanen et al., 2010; Cappa et al., 2011; Perraud et al., 2012; Saukko et al., 2012;
14 Abramson et al., 2013; Robinson et al., 2013; Renbaum-Wolff et al., 2013a; Bateman et al., 2015;
15 Kidd et al., 2014; Pajunoja et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014; Grayson et al., 2015b, Song et al., 2015).
16 Recently, the viscosity and diffusion rates within SOM particles generated from anthropogenic
17 VOCs have also been investigated. **Using mass spectrometry, Loza et al. (2013) and Robinson et**
18 **al. (2013) investigated mixing of toluene-derived SOM particles and SOM particles from α -pinene**
19 **ozonolysis. Results from both studies were consistent with toluene-derived SOM being in a highly**
20 **viscous state.** From bounce experiments, Saukko et al. (2012) reported that SOM particles derived
21 from naphthalene and n-heptadecane are highly viscous upon increasing oxidation. Also from
22 bounce experiments, Bateman et al. (2015) showed SOM derived from photo-oxidation of toluene
23 had a viscosity > 100 Pa·s for relative humidity (RH) values $< 80\%$. Li et al. (2015) showed
24 through bounce experiments that SOM derived from *m*-xylene and 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene had a
25 viscosity of > 100 Pa·s at RH values less than 70%. Li et al. (2015) also used results of reactive
26 uptake studies to infer that for RH values of 35 - 45% the diffusion coefficient of carboxylic acids
27 within SOM generated from several anthropogenic VOCs (toluene, *m*-xylene and 1,3,5-
28 trimethylbenzene) was $\sim 10^{-13.5 \pm 0.5} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$. Although there has been recent progress in measuring
29 the viscosity and diffusion rates within SOM generated from anthropogenic VOCs, additional
30 studies are needed to quantify the viscosities and diffusion rates over the full range of RH found
31 in the atmosphere.

1 In the following, we measure the viscosities of toluene-derived SOM over the range of RH values
2 found in the atmosphere. As in previous studies, SOM from the photo-oxidation of toluene serves
3 as a proxy for organic particulate matter from anthropogenic sources in megacities (e.g. Pandis et
4 al., 1992; Robinson et al., 2013). After determining viscosities as a function of RH, the Stokes-
5 Einstein equation is used to convert the viscosities into equivalent diffusion rates of large organic
6 molecules within toluene-derive SOM. The Stokes-Einstein equation should give reasonable
7 values of diffusion rates when the viscosity is not near the viscosity of a glass ($\sim 10^{12}$ Pa s) and
8 when the molecules are roughly the same size or larger than the molecules in the SOM matrix
9 (Champion et al., 2000; Koop et al., 2011; Shiraiwa et al., 2011; Power et al., 2013). Finally, the
10 results are used to estimate the viscosities and diffusion rates in organic particulate matter over
11 megacities.

12

13 **2 Experimental**

14 The production and collection of SOM particles onto hydrophobic substrates (which are needed
15 for the beam mobility and poke-and-flow experiments) is discussed in Sect. 2.1. The viscosity of
16 toluene-derived SOM was determined using the bead-mobility technique and the poke-flow
17 technique together with simulations of fluid flow. These two techniques are discussed in Sects. 2.2
18 and 2.3.

19 **2.1 Production and collection of secondary organic material on hydrophobic** 20 **substrates**

21 SOM aerosol particles having diameters less than 1 μm were generated by toluene photo-oxidation
22 in an oxidation flow reactor (OFR) (Kang et al., 2007; Lambe et al., 2011). The procedure for
23 generating SOM from toluene photo-oxidation in the flow reactor has been given by Liu et al.
24 (2015). Only the details relevant to the current experiments are given here.

25 For this study, the volume of the OFR was 13.3 L and the reactor was operated at a flow rate of
26 $\sim 7 \text{ L m}^{-1}$ with a residence time in the range of ~ 110 s. The temperature used in the OFR
27 experiments was 293 ± 2 K and the concentrations of toluene and ozone used in the flow reactor
28 are listed in Table 1. Ozone was produced external to the flow reactor by irradiating pure air with

1 the ultraviolet emission from an Hg lamp ($\lambda = 185$ nm). The injected ozone concentration was ~30
2 ppm. Hydroxyl radicals were produced inside the OFR by the following photochemical reactions:



5 The RH inside the reactor was held constant at 13 ± 3 %. A recent study has shown that the
6 viscosity of α -pinene-derived SOM is dependent on the RH at which the SOM is generated (Kidd
7 et al., 2014). Additional studies are needed to explore this potential RH effect on the viscosity of
8 toluene-derived SOM.

9 Mass concentrations of SOM particles in the OFR were $60\text{-}100 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ and $600\text{-}1000 \mu\text{g m}^{-3}$ for
10 the two different experimental conditions (see Table 1). For the mass concentration of $60\text{-}100 \mu\text{g}$
11 m^{-3} , the oxygen-to-carbon (O:C) ratio was 1.08, calculated from the AMS mass spectra following
12 the approach of Chen et al. (2011). This value can be compared with the O:C values ranged from
13 0.9 to 1.3 measured for toluene-derived SOM generated in a similar OFR (Lambe et al., 2015). At
14 the outlet of the OFR, two different methods were used for the collection of SOM particles. In the
15 first method, SOM particles were collected on a hydrophobic slide using an electrostatic
16 precipitator (TSI 3089, USA). After collection, the SOM particles on the hydrophobic slides,
17 formed from coalescence during sampling, were smaller than $\sim 5 \mu\text{m}$ in diameter. For the bead-
18 mobility and poke-flow techniques, however, particle sizes between 20 - 60 μm in diameter are
19 needed. To generate these large sizes, the hydrophobic slides containing the SOM particles were
20 placed inside an RH- and temperature-controlled flow cell (Pant et al., 2006; Bertram et al., 2011;
21 Song et al., 2012) and the RH was increased to $> 100\%$. This procedure caused particle growth by
22 water uptake and eventual coagulation among particles. This growth and coagulation process
23 resulted in larger but fewer SOM particles on the hydrophobic slides. Details of this procedure are
24 given by Renbaum-Wolff et al. (2015) and Song et al. (2015). This procedure was used for samples
25 1, 2, 5, and 6 shown in Table 1.

26 In the second method, SOM particles were collected on hydrophobic surfaces using a single stage
27 impactor (Prenni et al., 2009; Poschl et al., 2010). During impaction, the collected submicron SOM
28 particles coagulated, resulting in particles with sizes between 10 and 100 μm in diameter. These
29 supermicron particles were used directly in the bead-mobility and poke-flow experiments. This
30 procedure was used to collect samples 3, 4, 7, and 8 shown in Table 1.

1 For all the bead-mobility experiments, a Teflon substrate was used. For all the poke-and-flow
2 experiments, hydrophobic glass slides coated with trichloro(1*H*,1*H*,2*H*,2*H*-perfluorooctyl)silane
3 (Sigma-Aldrich) were used. The coating procedure is described in Knopf (2003).

4 **2.2 Bead-mobility experiments**

5 The bead-mobility technique was previously described in Renbaum-Wolff et al. (2013a, b). Briefly,
6 a water suspension of ~1 μm insoluble melamine beads (Sigma Aldrich Cat. #86296) was
7 nebulized and incorporated into supermicron SOM particles deposited on a hydrophobic substrate
8 (toluene samples 1-4, Table 1). The hydrophobic substrate with the SOM particles and beads was
9 placed in a flow-cell with variable RH and a temperature of 295 ± 1 K. A continuous flow of
10 $\text{N}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}$ gas (flow rate ≈ 1200 sccm) was passed over the supermicron particles. The flow above
11 the particles resulted in a shear stress on the particle surface and internal circulations within the
12 particle, which could be visualized by observing the beads within the particles with a light-
13 transmitting microscope coupled to a CCD camera (Zeiss Axio Observer, magnification 40 \times).
14 Figure 1 shows images from a typical bead-mobility experiment for a toluene-derived SOM
15 particle at 80% RH. Typically, 1 to 7 beads were monitored within a particle over 50 - 100 frames.
16 The time between frames ranged from 0.2 s – 10 min depending on the velocity of the beads. From
17 the location of the beads as a function of time, the speed of individual beads was determined. These
18 individual speeds were then used to determine average bead speeds for a given sample and RH.
19 The measured speeds of 3 - 10 beads were used to determine a mean bead speed. Bead speeds were
20 not reported at $\text{RH} < 60\%$ since at these RH values the movements of the beads were too slow to
21 measure for typical observation times.

22 The average bead speed for a given sample and RH was converted to viscosity using a calibration
23 line. The calibration line was developed by Song et al. (2015) from measurements of bead speed
24 in sucrose-water particles over a range of RH values. The RH within the flow-cell was measured
25 using a hygrometer with a chilled mirror sensor (General Eastern, Canada), which was calibrated
26 by measuring the deliquescence RH for pure ammonium sulfate particles (80.0% RH at 293 K,
27 Martin (2000)). The uncertainty of the hygrometer was $\pm 0.5\%$ RH after calibration.

28 **2.3 Poke-flow technique in conjunction with fluid simulations**

1 The poke-and-flow technique in conjunction with fluid simulations was used to measure the
2 viscosities of SOM particles at RH values less than 50%. This technique was not used at RH values
3 > 50% since the flow rates of the SOM after poking were too fast to observe at these RH values.
4 The qualitative method of poking an inorganic particle to determine its phase (i.e., solid or liquid)
5 was introduced by Murray et al. (2012). Renbaum-Wolff et al. (2013a) and Grayson et al. (2015a)
6 expanded on this method by measuring the characteristic flow time of a material after poking and
7 extracting viscosity information from simulations of fluid flow. Briefly, supermicron toluene-
8 derived SOM particles deposited on a hydrophobic substrate (Toluene 5 to 8, Table 1) were placed
9 inside a flow-cell with RH control. The particles were conditioned for 30 min at > 70% RH, 60
10 min at 60 – 70% RH, 2 h at 30 – 60% RH, and 3 h at \leq 30% RH. **These times should be sufficient**
11 **for the particles to equilibrate with the surrounding water vapor based on recent measurements of**
12 **diffusion coefficients of water within the water-soluble component of α -pinene-derived SOM**
13 **(Price et al., 2015). For example, the time to equilibrate with the surrounding of water vapor was**
14 **calculated to be 25.3 min at 10 % RH based on diffusion coefficients of water within the water-**
15 **soluble component of α -pinene-derived SOM (Price et al., 2015). These diffusion coefficients**
16 **should be applicable to SOM derived from toluene studied here, since both SOM have similar**
17 **viscosities as a function of RH (compare Fig. 2 in Renbaum-Wolff et al. (2013a) with Fig. 5 below).**
18 After equilibration, particles were poked using a sharp needle (0.9 mm \times 40 mm) (Becton-Dickson,
19 USA) that was mounted to a micromanipulator (Narishige, model MO-202U, Japan) and inserted
20 through a small hole in the top of the flow-cell. The geometrical changes before, during, and after
21 poking a particle were recorded by a reflectance optical microscope (Zeiss Axio Observer, 40 \times
22 objective) equipped with a CCD camera. At 30 - 50% RH the action of poking the particles with
23 the needle resulted in the material forming a half torus geometry (see Fig. 2a). From the images
24 recorded after poking the particles, the experimental flow time, $\tau_{\text{exp, flow}}$, was determined. The
25 experimental flow time was defined as the time taken for the equivalent-area diameter of the inside
26 of the half torus geometry to reduce to 50% of the initial diameter. Here the equivalent-area
27 diameter, d , is calculated as $d = (4A/\pi)^{1/2}$ where A represents the hole area (Reist, 1992). For RH
28 < 20% the SOM particles shattered after poking, and no restorative flow was observed over ~5 hr
29 (See Fig. 2b). In this case $\tau_{\text{exp, flow}}$ was set to > 5 hr.
30 To determine viscosities from $\tau_{\text{exp, flow}}$, simulations of fluid flow were carried out with the finite-
31 element analysis software package, *COMSOL Multiphysics* (Renbaum-Wolff et al., 2013a;

1 Grayson et al., 2015a). The mesh size used in the simulations was 4.04 – 90.9 nm. The physical
2 parameters (i.e., slip length, surface tension, contact angle, and density) used in the simulation are
3 listed in Table 2.

4 For each particle for which flow was observed, simulations were run using a half torus geometry,
5 similar to the geometry observed in the experiments where flow was observed. The radius of the
6 tube, R , in the half torus geometry and the radius of the hole, r , in the half torus geometry used in
7 the simulations were based on the images recorded immediately after poking the particles with the
8 needle. To determine viscosity for each particle, viscosity in the simulations was adjusted until
9 $\tau_{\text{model, flow}}$ was within 1% of $\tau_{\text{exp, flow}}$.

10 In cases for which the particles cracked, simulations were run using a quarter-sphere model with
11 one of the flat faces of the quarter sphere in contact with the substrate, similar to what was observed
12 in the experiments (Renbaum-Wolff et al., 2013a). The diameter used for the quarter sphere was
13 20 μm . In this case we determined a lower limit to the viscosity by adjusting the viscosity in the
14 simulation until the sharp edge of the quarter sphere model moved by 0.5 μm within 5 hr. A value
15 of 0.5 μm was used since this amount of movement could be observed in the optical microscope
16 experiments.

17

18 **3 Results**

19 Shown in Fig. 3 are the mean bead speeds of individual SOM samples (toluene 1, 2, 3, and 4)
20 measured at different RH values between 60 and 90% RH (see Sect. 2.1). As the RH decreased
21 from 89.9 to 60.7%, the average bead speed decreased by a factor of 22 from 9.20×10^{-4} to $4.24 \times$
22 $10^{-7} \mu\text{m} \cdot \text{ms}^{-1}$. Sample-to-sample variation was less than the uncertainty in the measurements and,
23 within uncertainty, the results for 60 - 100 $\mu\text{g} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ concentration agreed with the results for 600 -
24 1000 $\mu\text{g} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$ concentration.

25 Figure 4 shows the result of $\tau_{\text{exp, flow}}$ as a function of RH for the different samples (toluene 5, 6, 7,
26 and 8). The $\tau_{\text{exp, flow}}$ increased from ~ 1 s to ~ 2000 s as RH decreased from 50 to 30% RH. The $\tau_{\text{exp,}}$
27 τ_{flow} variation from sample to sample was less than the variation within individual toluene samples
28 with mass concentrations over the range studied (600-1000 and 60-100 $\mu\text{g} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$), as shown in Fig.
29 4.

1 Shown in Fig. 5 are the viscosities as a function of RH for toluene-derived SOM particles
2 determined from the bead-mobility experiments (Sect. 2.2) and the poke-flow experiments in
3 conjunction with the fluid simulation (Sect. 2.3). For the bead-mobility experiments, the viscosities
4 were determined by the mean of bead speeds between 60 and 90% RH. The y-error bars indicate
5 the 95% prediction intervals from the calibration line (Song et al., 2015). The x-error bars represent
6 the uncertainty in the RH measurements. The viscosity of the SOM increases from ~0.2 to ~129
7 Pa·s as RH decreases from 89.9 to 60.7%. As shown in Fig. 5, difference between the results for
8 the 600-1000 and 60-100 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ samples are less than the uncertainties in the measurements.

9 Also shown in Fig. 5 are the calculated viscosities of the toluene-derived SOM for RH < 50 %
10 from the poke-flow experiments. The viscosity increases from approximately 7.8×10^3 to $6.3 \times$
11 10^6 Pa·s as RH decreases from 47.3 to 30.5%. The uncertainty in the viscosity of approximately
12 two orders of magnitude arises from the uncertainties in the physical parameters used in the
13 simulations (i.e. slip length, surface tension, density and contact angle). Of these parameters, the
14 slip length contributed the most to the uncertainty in the viscosity (Grayson et al., 2015a). For RH
15 < 20 %, restorative flow did not occur over ~5 hrs resulting in a lower limit to the viscosity of ~ 2
16 $\times 10^8$ Pa·s, similar to or greater than the viscosity of tar pitch ($\sim 10^8$ Pa·s, Koop et al., 2011).

17

18 4 Discussion

19 Bateman et al. (2015) previously estimated the viscosity of toluene-derived SOM from particle
20 rebound experiments. From their measurements they estimated a viscosity of 100 to 1 Pa·s for
21 RHs between 60 and 80% with SOM mass concentrations of 30 - 50 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$ (green box in Fig. 5)
22 in good agreement with our measurements.

23 Li et al. (2015) previously estimated the diffusion coefficient of carboxylic acids within toluene-
24 derived SOM from measurements of reactive uptake of NH_3 . They estimated a diffusion
25 coefficient for carboxylic acids of $10^{-13.5\pm 0.5} \text{cm}^2\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ for RHs between 35 and 45% using SOM mass
26 concentrations of 44 to 125 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$. If a hydrodynamic radius of 0.1 - 1.5 nm is assumed for the
27 carboxylic acids (Li et al., 2015), viscosity of $1 \times 10^4 - 2 \times 10^6$ Pa·s is calculated using the Stokes-
28 Einstein equation (blue box in Fig. 5), consistent with our measurements. The good agreement
29 between the current results and the results from Bateman et al. and Li et al. suggests that the

1 viscosity of the toluene-derived SOM is relatively insensitive to the particle mass concentrations
2 at which the SOM is produced over the range of 30 to 1000 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$.

3 The strong dependence of viscosity on RH shown in Fig. 5 can be understood by considering the
4 hygroscopic nature of the SOM. To illustrate this point in Fig. 5 viscosity is also plotted versus
5 $V_{\text{wet}}/V_{\text{dry}}$ of the SOM (secondary x -axis), where V_{dry} is the volume of SOM at 0% RH and V_{wet} is
6 the volume of the SOM after taking up water at a given RH. $V_{\text{wet}}/V_{\text{dry}}$ was calculated with the
7 following equation (Petters and Kreidenweis, 2008; Pajunoja et al., 2015):

$$8 \quad V_{\text{wet}}/V_{\text{dry}} = \frac{\kappa}{\frac{100}{\text{RH}} - 1} + 1 \quad (\text{Eq. 1})$$

9 where κ is the hygroscopic parameter. A hygroscopic parameter of 0.15 was assumed, consistent
10 with previous measurements for toluene-derived SOM (Ruiz et al., 2015). Equation (1) neglects
11 the Kelvin effect, which is a reasonable assumption for the large particles used in our studies. Fig.
12 5 illustrates that the water content (top x -axis) of the particles plays a key role in regulating the
13 viscosity.

14 A liquid is defined as a material with a viscosity less than 10^2 Pa·s; a semisolid is defined as a
15 material with a viscosity between 10^2 Pa·s and 10^{12} Pa·s; and a solid is defined as a material with
16 a viscosity greater than 10^{12} Pa·s (Koop et al., 2011; Shiraiwa et al., 2011). As shown in Fig. 5,
17 the viscosities of the SOM produced from toluene photo-oxidation correspond to liquid for $\text{RH} >$
18 60%, a semisolid for $60\% < \text{RH} < 30\%$, and a semisolid or a solid for $\text{RH} < 20\%$. Our results
19 suggest a semisolid-to-liquid phase transition at an RH between 60 and 70%, in good agreement
20 with Bateman et al. (2015) who suggested a semisolid-to-liquid phase transition of toluene-derived
21 SOM particles in the range of 60 - 80% RH.

22 From the viscosities determined at 295 ± 1 K and the Stokes-Einstein relationship (assuming a
23 hydrodynamic radius of 0.4 nm for organic molecules within the toluene-derived SOM, Renbaum-
24 Wolff et al., 2013a), we calculated the diffusion coefficients of large organic molecules, D_{org} ,
25 within toluene-derived SOM (see secondary y -axis in Fig. 5). D_{org} ranges from $\sim 3 \times 10^{-8}$ to $\sim 2 \times$
26 10^{-15} $\text{cm}^2\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ for RH from 90 to 30%. It is lower than $\sim 3 \times 10^{-17}$ $\text{cm}^2\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$ for $\text{RH} \leq 17\%$. The Stokes-
27 Einstein relation is not expected to predict with high accuracy the diffusion rates of small gas
28 molecules such as OH, O₃, NO_x, NH₃, and H₂O and may be inaccurate near the glass transition

1 **RH** (Koop et al., 2011; Shiraiwa et al., 2011). However, the Stokes-Einstein relationship should
2 give reasonable estimations of diffusion rates for large organic molecules for conditions not close
3 to the glass transition temperature of the matrix (Champion et al., 2000; Koop et al., 2011; Shiraiwa
4 et al., 2011; Power et al., 2013; **Marshall et al., 2016**).

5 Using the diffusion coefficients (D_{org}), the mixing time by diffusion, τ_{mixing} , of large organic
6 molecules within a 200 nm SOM particle was calculated with the following equation, where d is
7 the particle diameter (Shiraiwa et al., 2011; Bones et al., 2012; Renbaum-Wolff et al., 2013a):

$$8 \quad \tau_{mixing} = \frac{d^2}{4\pi^2 D_{org}} \quad (\text{Eq.2})$$

9 Here we are using 200 nm to represent a typical accumulation mode atmospheric particle, Shiraiwa
10 et al. (2011). The concentration of the diffusing molecules anywhere in the particles deviates by
11 less than e^{-1} from the homogeneously well-mixed case at times longer than τ_{mixing} . The τ_{mixing} values
12 calculated with this procedure are indicated in Fig. 5 (secondary y-axis). At an RH of 45% or
13 higher, the mixing times are short, approaching less than or equal to 0.1 h. At 30% RH the mixing
14 times are between 0.1 and 5 h. At $\text{RH} \leq 17\%$ the mixing time is longer than ~ 100 h (**lower limit of**
15 **the arrows in Fig. 5**).

16

17 **5 Atmospheric implications**

18 In the following, we use the mixing times calculated in the previous section to estimate the mixing
19 times of large organic molecules in organic particulate matter over megacities. **Several caveats**
20 **should be kept in mind when applying the mixing times discussed earlier to particles over**
21 **megacities. First, organic particulate matter over megacities are most likely more complicated than**
22 **toluene-derived SOM. Toluene and other aromatics can account for a large fraction of nonmethane**
23 **hydrocarbon emission in urban environments (Singh et al., 1985; Na et al., 2005; Suthawaree et**
24 **al., 2012) and toluene and aromatics are thought to be one of the main sources of SOM particles**
25 **in urban environments (Odum et al., 1997; Schauer et al., 2002a; 2002b; Vutukuru et al., 2006;**
26 **Velasco et al., 2007; de Gouw et al., 2008; Velasco et al., 2009; Gentner et al., 2012; Liu et al.,**
27 **2012; Hayes et al., 2015). Nevertheless, large alkanes and unspciated nonmethane organic gases**
28 **also likely play a role in SOM formation in urban environments. Second, the toluene-derived SOM**

1 was generated using relatively large mass concentrations of particles ($60 - 1000 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$). The good
2 agreement between our results and the results from Bateman et al. (2015) and Li et al. (2015),
3 which were carried out with a mass concentration of $30 - 1000 \mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$, suggests that for toluene-
4 derived SOM the viscosity is not strongly dependent on the mass concentration of organics used
5 to generate the SOM, but additional studies are needed to confirm this. Third, as mentioned above,
6 the Stokes-Einstein equation was used to estimate diffusion coefficients and hence mixing times,
7 and this equation can underestimate diffusion coefficients close to the glass transition temperature.
8 Due to these caveats, the analysis below should be considered as a starting point for understanding
9 the mixing times of large organic molecules in organic particulate matter over megacities.
10 Additional studies are needed to explore the implications of the caveats discussed above.

11 For this analysis we define megacity as a metropolitan area with a total population in excess of ten
12 million people. Based on the Population Division Data Query (2014) of the United Nations
13 (<http://esa.un.org/>), we selected the top 15 most populous cities (Tokyo, Delhi, Shanghai, Mexico
14 City, São Paulo, Mumbai, Osaka, Beijing, New York, Cairo, Dhaka, Karachi, Buenos Aires,
15 Kolkata, and Istanbul) which meet this criterion.

16 In order to determine τ_{mixing} for organic particulate matter in megacities, information on the RH
17 and temperature in the cities is needed. Fig. 6 gives information on RH and temperature in the 15
18 most populous megacities obtained from NOAA's National Climatic Data Center (NCDC)
19 (www.ncdc.noa.gov). The figure shows boxplots of average afternoon (3:00 – 5:00 local time) RH
20 and temperature from these cities for the years from 2004 – 2014. The afternoon (3:00 – 5:00 local
21 time) was chosen for this analysis since this is the time of day when RH is typically the lowest. In
22 the figure, the boxes represent the median, 25th and 75th percentiles and the whiskers show the 10th
23 and 90th percentiles. In addition to RH, viscosity can depend strongly on temperature (Champion
24 et al., 1997; Koop et al., 2011). For example, the viscosity of solutions of sucrose and water may
25 increase by two to three orders of magnitude for a 10 K decrease in temperature close to the glass
26 transition temperature (Champion et al., 1997). However, the effect of temperature on the
27 viscosity of toluene-derived SOM has not been quantified. As a result, we have limited the current
28 analysis to months when the median afternoon temperature is within 5 K of the temperatures used
29 in the viscosity measurements (i.e. 290 to 300 K). The fact that the median afternoon temperature
30 is often below 290 K, highlights the need for low-temperature viscosity measurements.

1 In the Fig. 6, we indicate with green shading cases when the afternoon RH (at the 10th percentile
2 level) does not go below 45% RH and the median afternoon temperature is 290-300 K. The cases
3 when the afternoon RH (at the 10th percentile level) does not go below 45 % RH are listed in Table
4 3 (second column). At 45% RH the mixing time within toluene-derived SOM is short (i.e., less
5 than or equal to 0.1 h). Figure 6 (green shading) and Table 3 suggest that, if the organic particulate
6 matter over megacities is similar to the toluene-derived SOM in this study, in Tokyo, Shanghai,
7 Sao Paulo, and Istanbul mixing times during certain periods of the year will be very short, and
8 homogeneously well-mixed particles can be assumed.

9 In the Fig. 6, we indicate with red shading cases where the afternoon RH (at the 10th percentile
10 level) is 17% or lower and the median afternoon temperature is 290-300K. The cases when the
11 afternoon RH (at the 10th percentile level) is 17 % or lower are listed in Table 3 (third column). As
12 mentioned above, at this RH, the mixing time within toluene-derived SOM is long (> 100 h), based
13 on the viscosity measurements and Stokes-Einstein calculations. Fig. 6 (red shading) and Table 3
14 (third column) suggests that if the organic particulate matter is similar to the toluene-derived SOM
15 in this study, in Mexico City, Beijing, Cairo, and Karachi, the particles may not be well-mixed in
16 the afternoon (3:00 – 5:00 local time) during certain times of the year.

17 Kleinman et al., (2009) studied the time evolution of aerosol size distributions and number
18 concentrations of ambient particulate matter over the Mexico City plateau during the MILAGRO
19 (Megacity Initiative: Local And Global Research Observations) field campaign conducted in
20 March 2006. The particulate matter over Mexico City was primarily organic and as photochemical
21 aging occurred, Kleinman and colleagues observed an increase in accumulation-mode volume due
22 to an increase in the accumulation mode particles, not because of an increase in the average size
23 of the accumulation mode. The condensing organic vapors from photooxidation of toluene and
24 other anthropogenic VOCs over Mexico City are expected to be semivolatile (Shrivastava et al.,
25 2013). However, Kleinman et al. showed that the observed evolution of aerosol size distribution
26 was not consistent with a volume growth mechanism in which the semivolatile organic vapors are
27 expected to readily diffuse within the accumulation mode substrate. This could indicate that the
28 accumulation mode particles over Mexico City were highly viscous and did not reach equilibrium
29 with large gas-phase organic molecules during the observation period. This observation is
30 consistent with our experimental results that toluene-derived SOM is highly viscous at RH < 20%

1 and Fig. 6, which shows that the median RH in Mexico City often falls below 20% in March.
2 However, it should be noted that the particulate matter over Mexico City is likely more chemically
3 complex than the SOM used in this study.

4

5 **6 Conclusions**

6 We investigated the RH-dependent viscosities at room temperature of SOM particles produced
7 from toluene photo-oxidation with the mass concentration of 60 – 1000 $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{m}^{-3}$. A bead-mobility
8 technique showed the viscosities of the toluene-derived SOM increased from ~ 0.2 to ~ 129 Pa·s as
9 RH decrease from 89.9 to 60.7%. This indicates that the toluene-derived SOM particles are a liquid
10 at $\text{RH} > 60\%$. The RH range for liquid-to-semisolid is in good agreement with Bateman et al.
11 (2015) who showed the liquid-to-semisolid phase transition of these particles in the range of 60-
12 80% RH. A poke-flow technique combined with fluid simulations showed the viscosities increased
13 from approximately 7.8×10^3 to 6.3×10^6 Pa·s as RH decreases from 47.3 to 30.5%. For $\text{RH} \leq$
14 17%, the viscosities of the SOM were greater than or equal to $\sim 2 \times 10^8$ Pa·s, similar to or greater
15 than the viscosity of tar pitch. This suggests that the toluene-derived SOM particles are a semisolid
16 at $20 < \text{RH} \leq 60\%$, and a semisolid or a solid at $\text{RH} \leq 17\%$. Using the viscosity data and the Stokes-
17 Einstein equation, the diffusion coefficients of large gas-phase organic molecules within the
18 toluene-derived SOM particles were calculated to be $\sim 3 \times 10^{-8}$ to $\sim 2 \times 10^{-15}$ $\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ for RHs from
19 89.9 to 30.5%, and is lower than $\sim 3 \times 10^{-17}$ $\text{cm}^2 \text{s}^{-1}$ for $\text{RH} \leq 17\%$. Mixing time by diffusion of
20 large organic molecules within 200 nm toluene-derived SOM particles was calculated to be less
21 than 0.1 h at $\text{RH} < 47.3\%$, 0.5 - 5 h at 30.5% RH, and greater than ~ 100 h at $\text{RH} \leq 17\%$.

22 To apply the results of the viscosities, diffusion coefficients, and mixing time of the toluene-
23 derived SOM, we selected the top 15 most populous megacities. Based on the RH in the cities, and
24 if the organic particulate matter in megacities is similar to the toluene-derived SOM in this study,
25 in cities such as **Tokyo, Shanghai, Sao Paulo and Istanbul, mixing times during certain** periods of
26 the year will be very short and homogeneously well-mixed particles can be assumed. On the other
27 hand, for certain times of the year in Beijing, Mexico City, Cairo, and Karachi, mixing times of
28 large organic molecules in organic particulate matter may be long (≥ 100 hr), and the particles may

1 not be well mixed in the afternoon (3:00 – 5:00 local time) during certain times of the year. These
2 results are summarized in Fig. 7.

3

4 **Acknowledgments**

5 This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.
6 Support from the USA National Science Foundation, the Atmospheric Science Research (ASR)
7 Program of the USA Department of Energy, and research funds for newly appointed professors of
8 Chonbuk National University in 2015 is also acknowledged.

9

10 **References**

11 Abramson, E., Imre, D., Beranek, J., Wilson, J., and Zelenyuk, A.: Experimental determination of
12 chemical diffusion within secondary organic aerosol particles, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 15,
13 2983-2991, Doi 10.1039/C2cp44013j, 2013.

14 Adamson, A. W., and Gast A. P.: *Physical chemistry of surfaces*, 6th edition, ISBN: 978-0-471-
15 14873-9, 1997.

16 Bateman, A. P., Bertram, A. K., and Martin, S. T.: Hygroscopic influence on the semisolid-to-
17 liquid transition of secondary organic materials, *J. Phys. Chem. A.*, 119 (19), pp 4386–4395,
18 Doi:10.1021/jp508521c, 2015.

19 Baudry, J., Charlaix, E., Tonck, A., and Mazuyer, D.: Experimental evidence for a large slip effect
20 at a nonwetting fluid-solid interface, *Langmuir*, 17, 5232-5236, Doi 10.1021/La0009994, 2001.

21 Bertram, A. K., Martin, S. T., Hanna, S. J., Smith, M. L., Bodsworth, A., Chen, Q., Kuwata, M.,
22 Liu, A., You, Y., and Zorn, S. R.: Predicting the relative humidities of liquid-liquid phase
23 separation, efflorescence, and deliquescence of mixed particles of ammonium sulfate, organic
24 material, and water using the organic-to-sulfate mass ratio of the particle and the oxygen-to-carbon
25 elemental ratio of the organic component, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 10995-11006, Doi
26 10.5194/acp-11-10995-2011, 2011.

- 1 Bodsworth, A., Zobrist, B., and Bertram, A. K.: Inhibition of efflorescence in mixed organic-
2 inorganic particles at temperatures less than 250K, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 15144-15144,
3 2010.
- 4 Bones, D. L., Reid, J. P., Lienhard, D. M., and Krieger, U. K.: Comparing the mechanism of
5 water condensation and evaporation in glassy aerosol, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 109, 11613–11618,
6 doi:10.1073/pnas.1200691109, 2012.
- 7 Cappa, C. D., and Wilson, K. R.: Evolution of organic aerosol mass spectra upon heating:
8 implications for OA phase and partitioning behavior, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 1895-1911, Doi
9 10.5194/acp-11-1895-2011, 2011.
- 10 **Champion, D., Hervet, H., Blond, G., LeMeste, M., and Simatos, D.: Translational diffusion in**
11 **sucrose solutions in the vicinity of their glass transition temperature, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 101, 10674-**
12 **10679, DOI 10.1021/jp971899i, 1997.**
- 13 Champion, D., Le Meste, M., and Simatos, D.: Towards an improved understanding of glass
14 transition and relaxations in foods: molecular mobility in the glass transition range, *Trends Food*
15 *Sci. Tech.*, 11, 41-55, Doi 10.1016/S0924-2244(00)00047-9, 2000.
- 16 **Chen, Q., Liu, Y. J., Donahue, N. M., Shilling, J. E., and Martin, S. T.: Particle-Phase Chemistry**
17 **of Secondary Organic Material: Modeled Compared to Measured O:C and H:C Elemental Ratios**
18 **Provide Constraints, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 45, 4763-4770, 10.1021/es104398s, 2011.**
- 19 Cheng, J. T., and Giordano, N.: Fluid flow through nanometer-scale channels, *Phys. Rev.E*, 65,
20 Artn 031206, Doi 10.1103/Physreve.65.031206, 2002.
- 21 Churaev, N. V., Sobolev, V. D., and Somov, A. N.: Slippage of liquids over lyophobic solid-
22 surfaces, *J. Colloid Interf. Sci.*, 97, 574-581, Doi 10.1016/0021-9797(84)90330-8, 1984.
- 23 Craig, V. S. J., Neto, C., and Williams, D. R. M.: Shear-dependent boundary slip in an aqueous
24 Newtonian liquid, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 87, Artn 054504, Doi 10.1103/Physrevlett.87.054504, 2001.
- 25 Decesari, S., Fuzzi, S., Facchini, M. C., Mircea, M., Emblico, L., Cavalli, F., Maenhaut, W., Chi,
26 X., Schkolnik, G., Falkovich, A., Rudich, Y., Claeys, M., Pashynska, V., Vas, G., Kourtchev, I.,
27 Vermeylen, R., Hoffer, A., Andreae, M. O., Tagliavini, E., Moretti, F., and Artaxo, P.:

1 Characterization of the organic composition of aerosols from Rondonia, Brazil, during the LBA-
2 SMOCC 2002 experiment and its representation through model compounds, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*,
3 6, 375-402, 2006.

4 de Gouw, J. A., Brock, C. A., Atlas, E. L., Bates, T. S., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Goldan, P. D., Holloway,
5 J. S., Kuster, W. C., Lerner, B. M., Matthew, B. M., Middlebrook, A. M., Onasch, T. B., Peltier,
6 R. E., Quinn, P. K., Senff, C. J., Stohl, A., Sullivan, A. P., Trainer, M., Warneke, C., Weber, R. J.,
7 and Williams, E. J.: Sources of particulate matter in the northeastern United States in summer: 1.
8 Direct emissions and secondary formation of organic matter in urban plumes, *J. Geophys. Res.-*
9 *Atmos.*, 113, Artn D08301, Doi 10.1029/2007jd009243, 2008.

10 Engelhart, G. J., Asa-Awuku, A., Nenes, A., and Pandis, S. N.: CCN activity and droplet growth
11 kinetics of fresh and aged monoterpene secondary organic aerosol, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 3937-
12 3949, 2008.

13 Gentner, D. R., Isaacman, G., Worton, D. R., Chan, A. W. H., Dallmann, T. R., Davis, L., Liu, S.,
14 Day, D. A., Russell, L. M., Wilson, K. R., Weber, R., Guha, A., Harley, R. A., and Goldstein, A.
15 H.: Elucidating secondary organic aerosol from diesel and gasoline vehicles through detailed
16 characterization of organic carbon emissions, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 109, 18318-18323,
17 10.1073/pnas.1212272109, 2012.

18 Grayson, J. W., Song, M., Sellier, M., and Bertram, A. K.: Validation of the poke-flow technique
19 combined with simulations of fluid flow for determining viscosities in samples with small volumes
20 and high viscosities, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 2463-2472, 2015a.

21 Grayson, J. W., Zhang, Y., Mutzel, A., Renbaum-Wolff, L., Böge, O., Kamal, S., Herrmann, H.,
22 Martin, S. T., and Bertram, A. K.: Effect of varying experimental conditions on the viscosity of α -
23 pinene derived secondary organic material, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 15, 32967-33002,
24 doi:10.5194/acpd-15-32967-2015, 2015b.

25 Hallquist, M., Wenger, J. C., Baltensperger, U., Rudich, Y., Simpson, D., Claeys, M., Dommen,
26 J., Donahue, N. M., George, C., Goldstein, A. H., Hamilton, J. F., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T.,
27 Iinuma, Y., Jang, M., Jenkin, M. E., Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Maenhaut, W., McFiggans,
28 G., Mentel, T. F., Monod, A., Prevot, A. S. H., Seinfeld, J. H., Surratt, J. D., Szmigielski, R., and

- 1 Wildt, J.: The formation, properties and impact of secondary organic aerosol: current and emerging
2 issues, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 5155-5236, 2009.
- 3 Hayes, P. L., Carlton, A. G., Baker, K. R., Ahmadov, R., Washenfelder, R. A., Alvarez, S.,
4 Rappengluck, B., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., de Gouw, J. A., Zotter, P., Prevot, A. S. H., Szidat,
5 S., Kleindienst, T. E., Offenberg, J. H., Ma, P. K., and Jimenez, J. L.: Modeling the formation and
6 aging of secondary organic aerosols in Los Angeles during CalNex 2010, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15,
7 5773-5801, [10.5194/acp-15-5773-2015](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-15-5773-2015), 2015.
- 8 Houle, F. A., Hinsberg, W. D., and Wilson, K. R.: Oxidation of a model alkane aerosol by OH
9 radical: the emergent nature of reactive uptake, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 17, 4412-4423, 2015.
- 10 Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Donahue, N. M., Prevot, A. S. H., Zhang, Q., Kroll, J. H.,
11 DeCarlo, P. F., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ng, N. L., Aiken, A. C., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M.,
12 Grieshop, A. P., Robinson, A. L., Duplissy, J., Smith, J. D., Wilson, K. R., Lanz, V. A., Hueglin,
13 C., Sun, Y. L., Tian, J., Laaksonen, A., Raatikainen, T., Rautiainen, J., Vaattovaara, P., Ehn, M.,
14 Kulmala, M., Tomlinson, J. M., Collins, D. R., Cubison, M. J., Dunlea, E. J., Huffman, J. A.,
15 Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Williams, P. I., Bower, K., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F.,
16 Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Salcedo, D., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R., Takami, A.,
17 Miyoshi, T., Hatakeyama, S., Shimono, A., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., Dzepina, K., Kimmel, J. R.,
18 Sueper, D., Jayne, J. T., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, A. M., Williams, L. R., Wood, E. C.,
19 Middlebrook, A. M., Kolb, C. E., Baltensperger, U., and Worsnop, D. R.: Evolution of organic
20 aerosols in the atmosphere, *Science*, 326, 1525-1529, [Doi 10.1126/science.1180353](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1180353), 2009.
- 21 Jin, S., Huang, P., Park, J., Yoo, J. Y., and Breuer, K. S.: Near-surface velocimetry using
22 evanescent wave illumination, *Exp. Fluids*, 37, 825-833, [Doi 10.1007/s00348-004-0870-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00348-004-0870-7), 2004.
- 23 Joly, L., Ybert, C., and Bocquet, L.: Probing the nanohydrodynamics at liquid-solid interfaces
24 using thermal motion, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 96, Artn 046101, [Doi 10.1103/Physrevlett.96.046101](https://doi.org/10.1103/Physrevlett.96.046101), 2006.
- 25 Joseph, P., and Tabeling, P.: Direct measurement of the apparent slip length, *Phys. Rev. E*, 71,
26 Artn 035303, [Doi 10.1103/Physreve.71.035303](https://doi.org/10.1103/Physreve.71.035303), 2005.
- 27 Kang, E., Root, M. J., Toohey, D. W., and Brune, W. H.: Introducing the concept of Potential
28 Aerosol Mass (PAM), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 5727-5744, [Doi:10.5194/acp-7-5727-2007](https://doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-5727-2007), 2007.

1 Kidd, C., Perraud, V., Wingen, L. M., and Finlayson-Pitts, B. J.: Integrating phase and composition
2 of secondary organic aerosol from the ozonolysis of alpha-pinene, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 111,
3 7552-7557, Doi 10.1073/pnas.1322558111, 2014.

4 Kleinman, L. I., Springston, S. R., Wang, J., Daum, P. H., Lee, Y. N., Nunnermacker, L. J., Senum,
5 G. I., Weinstein-Lloyd, J., Alexander, M. L., Hubbe, J., Ortega, J., Zaveri, R. A., Canagaratna, M.
6 R., and Jayne, J.: The time evolution of aerosol size distribution over the Mexico City plateau,
7 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 4261-4278, 2009.

8 Knopf, D. A.: Thermodynamic properties and nucleation processes of upper tropospheric and
9 lower stratospheric aerosol particles, Diss. ETH No. 15103, Zurich, Switzerland, 2003.

10 Koop, T., Bookhold, J., Shiraiwa, M., and Poschl, U.: Glass transition and phase state of organic
11 compounds: dependency on molecular properties and implications for secondary organic aerosols
12 in the atmosphere, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 19238-19255, Doi 10.1039/C1cp22617g, 2011.

13 Kuwata, M., and Martin, S. T.: Phase of atmospheric secondary organic material affects its
14 reactivity, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 109, 17354-17359, 10.1073/pnas.1209071109, 2012.

15 Ladino, L. A., Zhou, S., Yakobi-Hancock, J. D., Aljawhary, D., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Factors
16 controlling the ice nucleating abilities of alpha-pinene SOA particles, *J. Geophys. Res-Atmos.*,
17 119, 9041-9051, 2014.

18 Lambe, A. T., Ahern, A. T., Williams, L. R., Slowik, J. G., Wong, J. P. S., Abbatt, J. P. D., Brune,
19 W. H., Ng, N. L., Wright, J. P., Croasdale, D. R., Worsnop, D. R., Davidovits, P., and Onasch, T.
20 B.: Characterization of aerosol photooxidation flow reactors: heterogeneous oxidation, secondary
21 organic aerosol formation and cloud condensation nuclei activity measurements, *Atmos. Meas.
22 Tech.*, 4, 445-461, doi:10.5194/amt-4-445-2011, 2011.

23 Lambe, A. T., Chhabra, P. S., Onasch, T. B., Brune, W. H., Hunter, J. F., Kroll, J. H., Cummings,
24 M. J., Brogan, J. F., Parmar, Y., Worsnop, D. R., Kolb, C. E., and Davidovits, P.: Effect of oxidant
25 concentration, exposure time, and seed particles on secondary organic aerosol chemical
26 composition and yield, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 3063-3075, 10.5194/acp-15-3063-2015, 2015.

1 Li, Y. J., Liu, P. F. Gong, Z. Wang, Y., Bateman, A. P., Bergoend, C., Bertram, A. K., and Martin,
2 S. T.: Chemical reactivity and liquid/non-liquid states of secondary organic material, *Environ. Sci.*
3 *Technol.*, 49 (22), pp 13264–13274, Doi: 10.1021/acs.est.5b03392, 2015

4 Li, L., Mo, J. W., and Li, Z. L.: Flow and slip transition in nanochannels, *Phys. Rev. E*, 90, Artn
5 033003, Doi 10.1103/Physreve.90.033003, 2014.

6 Liu, S., Ahlm, L., Day, D. A., Russell, L. M., Zhao, Y. L., Gentner, D. R., Weber, R. J., Goldstein,
7 A. H., Jaoui, M., Offenberg, J. H., Kleindienst, T. E., Rubitschun, C., Surratt, J. D., Sheesley, R.
8 J., and Scheller, S.: Secondary organic aerosol formation from fossil fuel sources contribute
9 majority of summertime organic mass at Bakersfield, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 117, Artn D00v26
10 10.1029/2012jd018170, 2012.

11 Liu, P. F., Abdelmalki, N., Hung, H. M., Wang, Y., Brune, W. H., and Martin, S. T.: Ultraviolet
12 and visible complex refractive indices of secondary organic material produced by photooxidation
13 of the aromatic compounds toluene and m-xylene, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 1435-1446,
14 10.5194/acp-15-1435-2015, 2015.

15 Loza, C. L., Coggon, M. M., Nguyen, T. B., Zuend, A., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H., On the
16 mixing and evaporation of secondary organic aerosol components, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 47(12),
17 6173-6180, 2013.

18 Marshall, F. H., Miles, R. E. H., Song, Y-C., Ohm, P. B., Power, R. M., Reid, J. P., and Dutcher,
19 C. S.: Diffusion and reactivity in ultraviscous aerosol and the correlation with particle viscosity,
20 *Chem. Sci.*, 7, 1298-1308, DOI: 10.1039/C5SC03223G, 2016.

21 Martin, S. T.: Phase transitions of aqueous atmospheric particles, *Chem. Rev.*, 100, 3403-3453,
22 doi 10.1021/Cr990034t, 2000.

23 Murray, B. J.: Inhibition of ice crystallisation in highly viscous aqueous organic acid droplets,
24 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 5423-5433, 2008.

25 Murray, B. J., and Bertram, A. K.: Inhibition of solute crystallisation in aqueous H^+ - NH_4^+ - SO_4^{2-} -
26 H_2O droplets, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 3287-3301, 2008.

1 Murray, B. J., Haddrell, A. E., Peppe, S., Davies, J. F., Reid, J. P., O'Sullivan, D., Price, H. C.,
2 Kumar, R., Saunders, R. W., Plane, J. M. C., Umo, N. S., and Wilson, T. W.: Glass formation and
3 unusual hygroscopic growth of iodic acid solution droplets with relevance for iodine mediated
4 particle formation in the marine boundary layer, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 8575-8587, DOI
5 10.5194/acp-12-8575-2012, 2012.

6 Murray, B. J., Wilson, T. W., Dobbie, S., Cui, Z. Q., Al-Jumur, S. M. R. K., Mohler, O., Schnaiter,
7 M., Wagner, R., Benz, S., Niemand, M., Saathoff, H., Ebert, V., Wagner, S., and Karcher, B.:
8 Heterogeneous nucleation of ice particles on glassy aerosols under cirrus conditions, *Nat. Geosci.*,
9 3, 233-237, Doi 10.1038/Ngeo817, 2010.

10 Na, K., Moon, K. C., and Kim, Y. P.: Source contribution to aromatic VOC concentration and
11 ozone formation potential in the atmosphere of Seoul, *Atmos. Environ.*, 39, 5517-5524,
12 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.06.005, 2005.

13 Ng, N. L., Kroll, J. H., Chan, A. W. H., Chhabra, P. S., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Secondary
14 organic aerosol formation from m-xylene, toluene, and benzene, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 3909-
15 3922, 2007.

16 Odum, J. R., Jungkamp, T. P. W., Griffin, R. J., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: The atmospheric
17 aerosol-forming potential of whole gasoline vapor, *Science*, 276, 96-99, DOI
18 10.1126/science.276.5309.96, 1997.

19 Pajunoja, A., Lambe, A. T., Hakala, J., Rastak, N., Cummings, M. J., Brogan, J. F., Hao, L. Q.,
20 Paramonov, M., Hong, J., Prisle, N. L., Malila, J., Romakkaniemi, S., Lehtinen, K. E. J.,
21 Laaksonen, A., Kulmala, M., Massoli, P., Onasch, T. B., Donahue, N. M., Riipinen, I., Davidovits,
22 P., Worsnop, D. R., Petaja, T., and Virtanen, A.: Adsorptive uptake of water by semisolid
23 secondary organic aerosols, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 42, 3063-3068, 10.1002/2015gl063142, 2015.

24 Pajunoja, A., Malila, J., Hao, L. Q., Joutsensaari, J., Lehtinen, K. E. J., and Virtanen, A.:
25 Estimating the Viscosity Range of SOA Particles Based on Their Coalescence Time, *Aerosol Sci.*
26 *Tech.*, 48, I-Iv, Doi 10.1080/02786826.2013.870325, 2014.

27 Pandis, S. N., Harley, R. A., Cass, G. R., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Secondary organic aerosol formation
28 and transport, *Atmos. Environ. a-Gen.*, 26, 2269-2282, 1992.

1 Pant, A., Parsons, M. T., and Bertram, A. K.: Crystallization of aqueous ammonium sulfate
2 particles internally mixed with soot and kaolinite: Crystallization relative humidities and
3 nucleation rates, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 110, 8701-8709, Doi 10.1021/Jp060985s, 2006.

4 Perraud, V., Bruns, E. A., Ezell, M. J., Johnson, S. N., Yu, Y., Alexander, M. L., Zelenyuk, A.,
5 Imre, D., Chang, W. L., Dabdub, D., Pankow, J. F., and Finlayson-Pitts, B. J.: Nonequilibrium
6 atmospheric secondary organic aerosol formation and growth, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 109, 2836-
7 2841, Doi 10.1073/pnas.1119909109, 2012.

8 **Petters, M. D., and Kreidenweis, S. M.: A single parameter representation of hygroscopic growth
9 and cloud condensation nucleus activity - Part 2: Including solubility, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8,
10 6273-6279, 2008.**

11 Poschl, U., Martin, S. T., Sinha, B., Chen, Q., Gunthe, S. S., Huffman, J. A., Borrmann, S., Farmer,
12 D. K., Garland, R. M., Helas, G., Jimenez, J. L., King, S. M., Manzi, A., Mikhailov, E., Pauliquevis,
13 T., Petters, M. D., Prenni, A. J., Roldin, P., Rose, D., Schneider, J., Su, H., Zorn, S. R., Artaxo, P.,
14 and Andreae, M. O.: Rainforest aerosols as biogenic nuclei of clouds and precipitation in the
15 Amazon, *Science*, 329, 1513-1516, Doi 10.1126/science.1191056, 2010.

16 Power, R. M., Simpson, S. H., Reid, J. P., and Hudson, A. J.: The transition from liquid to solid-
17 like behaviour in ultrahigh viscosity aerosol particles, *Chem. Sci.*, 4, 2597-2604, Doi
18 10.1039/C3sc50682g, 2013.

19 Prenni, A. J., Petters, M. D., Kreidenweis, S. M., Heald, C. L., Martin, S. T., Artaxo, P., Garland,
20 R. M., Wollny, A. G., and Poschl, U.: Relative roles of biogenic emissions and Saharan dust as ice
21 nuclei in the Amazon basin, *Nat. Geosci.*, 2, 401-404, doi 10.1038/Ngeo517, 2009.

22 **Price, H. C., Mattsson, J., Zhang, Y., Bertram, A. K., Davies, J. F., Grayson, J. W., Martin, S. T.,
23 O'Sullivan, D., Reid, J. P., Rickards, A. M. J., and Murray, B. J.: Water diffusion in
24 atmospherically relevant alpha-pinene secondary organic material, *Chem. Sci.*, 6, 4876-4883,
25 10.1039/c5sc00685f, 2015.**

26 Renbaum-Wolff, L., Grayson, J. W., Bateman, A. P., Kuwata, M., Sellier, M., Murray, B. J.,
27 Shilling, J. E., Martin, S. T., and Bertram, A. K.: Viscosity of alpha-pinene secondary organic

1 material and implications for particle growth and reactivity, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 110, 8014-
2 8019, Doi 10.1073/pnas.1219548110, 2013a.

3 Renbaum-Wolff, L., Grayson, J. W., and Bertram, A. K.: Technical Note: New methodology for
4 measuring viscosities in small volumes characteristic of environmental chamber particle samples,
5 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 791-802, Doi 10.5194/acp-13-791-2013, 2013b.

6 Renbaum-Wolff, L., Song, M., Marcolli, C., Zhang, Y., Liu, P. F., Grayson, J. W., Geiger, F. M.,
7 Martin, S. T., and Bertram, A. K.: Observations and implications of liquid–liquid phase separation
8 at high relative humidities in secondary organic material produced by α -pinene ozonolysis without
9 inorganic salts, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 15, 33379-33405, doi:10.5194/acpd-15-33379-2015,
10 2015.

11 Robinson, E. S., Saleh, R., and Donahue, N. M.: Organic aerosol mixing observed by single-
12 particle mass spectrometry, J. Phys. Chem. A, 117, 13935-13945, Doi 10.1021/Jp405789t, 2013.

13 Ruiz, L. H., Paciga, A. L., Cerully, K. M., Nenes, A., Donahue, N. M., and Pandis, S. N.: Formation
14 and aging of secondary organic aerosol from toluene: changes in chemical composition, volatility,
15 and hygroscopicity, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 8301-8313, 10.5194/acp-15-8301-2015, 2015.

16 Saukko, E., Lambe, A. T., Massoli, P., Koop, T., Wright, J. P., Croasdale, D. R., Pedernera, D. A.,
17 Onasch, T. B., Laaksonen, A., Davidovits, P., Worsnop, D. R., and Virtanen, A.: Humidity-
18 dependent phase state of SOA particles from biogenic and anthropogenic precursors, Atmos. Chem.
19 Phys., 12, 7517-7529, Doi 10.5194/acp-12-7517-2012, 2012.

20 Schauer, J. J., Fraser, M. P., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Source reconciliation of
21 atmospheric gas-phase and particle-phase pollutants during a severe photochemical smog episode,
22 Environ Sci Technol, 36, 3806-3814, Doi 10.1021/Es011458j, 2002a.

23 Schauer, J. J., Kleeman, M. J., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Measurement of emissions
24 from air pollution sources. 5. C-1-C-32 organic compounds from gasoline-powered motor vehicles,
25 Environ Sci Technol, 36, 1169-1180, Doi 10.1021/Es0108077, 2002b.

26 Schill, G. P., De Haan, D. O., and Tolbert, M. A.: Heterogeneous ice nucleation on simulated
27 secondary organic aerosol, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 1675-1682, 2014.

- 1 Schnell, E.: Slippage of Water over Nonwetable Surfaces, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 27, 1149-1152, Doi
2 10.1063/1.1722220, 1956.
- 3 Shiraiwa, M., Ammann, M., Koop, T., and Poschl, U.: Gas uptake and chemical aging of semisolid
4 organic aerosol particles, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 108, 11003-11008, Doi
5 10.1073/pnas.1103045108, 2011.
- 6 Shiraiwa, M., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Equilibration timescale of atmospheric secondary organic
7 aerosol partitioning, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 39, Artn L24801, Doi 10.1029/2012gl054008, 2012.
- 8 Shiraiwa, M., Yee, L. D., Schilling, K. A., Loza, C. L., Craven, J. S., Zuend, A., Ziemann, P. J.,
9 and Seinfeld, J. H.: Size distribution dynamics reveal particle-phase chemistry in organic aerosol
10 formation, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 110(29): 11746-11750, 2013.
- 11 Shrivastava, M., Zelenyuk, A., Imre, D., Easter, R., Beranek, J., Zaveri, R. A., and Fast, J.:
12 Implications of low volatility SOA and gas-phase fragmentation reactions on SOA loadings and
13 their spatial and temporal evolution in the atmosphere, *J. Geophys. Res-Atmos.*, 118, 3328-3342,
14 2013.
- 15 Singh, H. B., Salas, L. J., Cantrell, B. K., and Redmond, R. M.: Distribution of Aromatic
16 Hydrocarbons in the Ambient Air, *Atmos. Environ.*, 19, 1911-1919, Doi 10.1016/0004-
17 6981(85)90017-4, 1985.
- 18 Song, M., Liu, P. F., Hanna, S. J., Li, Y. J., Martin, S. T., and Bertram, A. K.: Relative humidity-
19 dependent viscosities of isoprene-derived secondary organic material and atmospheric
20 implications for isoprene-dominant forests, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 5145-5159, 2015.
- 21 Song, M., Marcolli, C., Krieger, U. K., Zuend, A., and Peter, T.: Liquid-liquid phase separation
22 and morphology of internally mixed dicarboxylic acids/ammonium sulfate/water particles, *Atmos.*
23 *Chem. Phys.*, 12, 2691-2712, Doi 10.5194/acp-12-2691-2012, 2012.
- 24 Song, M. J., Marcolli, C., Krieger, U. K., Lienhard, D. M., and Peter, T.: Morphologies of mixed
25 organic/inorganic/aqueous aerosol droplets, *Faraday Discuss.*, 165, 289-316, Doi
26 10.1039/C3fd00049d, 2013.

1 Steimer, S. S., Lampimaki, M., Coz, E., Grzanic, G., and Ammann, M.: The influence of physical
2 state on shikimic acid ozonolysis: a case for in situ microspectroscopy, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14,
3 10761-10772, 2014.

4 Suthawaree, J., Tajima, Y., Khunchornyakong, A., Kato, S., Sharp, A., and Kajii, Y.: Identification
5 of volatile organic compounds in suburban Bangkok, Thailand and their potential for ozone
6 formation, *Atmos. Res.*, 104, 245-254, 10.1016/j.atmosres.2011.10.019, 2012.

7 Velasco, E., Lamb, B., Westberg, H., Allwine, E., Sosa, G., Arriaga-Colina, J. L., Jobson, B. T.,
8 Alexander, M. L., Prazeller, P., Knighton, W. B., Rogers, T. M., Grutter, M., Herndon, S. C., Kolb,
9 C. E., Zavala, M., de Foy, B., Volkamer, R., Molina, L. T., and Molina, M. J.: Distribution,
10 magnitudes, reactivities, ratios and diurnal patterns of volatile organic compounds in the Valley of
11 Mexico during the MCMA 2002 & 2003 field campaigns, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 329-353, 2007.

12 Velasco, E., Pressley, S., Grivicke, R., Allwine, E., Coons, T., Foster, W., Jobson, B. T., Westberg,
13 H., Ramos, R., Hernandez, F., Molina, L. T., and Lamb, B.: Eddy covariance flux measurements
14 of pollutant gases in urban Mexico City, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 7325-7342, 2009.

15 Virtanen, A., Joutsensaari, J., Koop, T., Kannosto, J., Yli-Pirila, P., Leskinen, J., Makela, J. M.,
16 Holopainen, J. K., Poschl, U., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., and Laaksonen, A.: An amorphous
17 solid state of biogenic secondary organic aerosol particles, *Nature*, 467, 824-827,
18 10.1038/nature09455, 2010.

19 Vutukuru, S., Griffin, R. J., and Dabdub, D.: Simulation and analysis of secondary organic aerosol
20 dynamics in the South Coast Air Basin of California, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 111, Artn D10s12
21 10.1029/2005jd006139, 2006.

22 Wang, B. B., Lambe, A. T., Massoli, P., Onasch, T. B., Davidovits, P., Worsnop, D. R., and Knopf,
23 D. A.: The deposition ice nucleation and immersion freezing potential of amorphous secondary
24 organic aerosol: Pathways for ice and mixed-phase cloud formation, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 117,
25 Artn D16209, Doi 10.1029/2012jd018063, 2012.

1 Watanabe, K., Yanuar, and Udagawa, H.: Drag reduction of Newtonian fluid in a circular pipe
2 with a highly water-repellent wall, *J. Fluid Mech.*, 381, 225-238, Doi
3 10.1017/S0022112098003747, 1999.

4 Wilson, T. W., Murray, B. J., Wagner, R., Mohler, O., Saathoff, H., Schnaiter, M., Skrotzki, J.,
5 Price, H. C., Malkin, T. L., Dobbie, S., and Al-Jumur, S. M. R. K.: Glassy aerosols with a range
6 of compositions nucleate ice heterogeneously at cirrus temperatures, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12,
7 8611-8632, 2012.

8 Zaveri, R. A., Easter, R. C., Shilling, J. E., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Modeling kinetic partitioning of
9 secondary organic aerosol and size distribution dynamics: representing effects of volatility, phase
10 state, and particle-phase reaction, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 5153-5181, Doi 10.5194/acp-14-5153-
11 2014, 2014.

12 Zelenyuk, A., Imre, D., Beranek, J., Abramson, E., Wilson, J., and Shrivastava, M.: Synergy
13 between secondary organic aerosols and long-range transport of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons,
14 *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 46, 12459-12466, Doi 10.1021/Es302743z, 2012.

15 Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ulbrich, I., Alfarra, M. R.,
16 Takami, A., Middlebrook, A. M., Sun, Y. L., Dzepina, K., Dunlea, E., Docherty, K., DeCarlo, P.
17 F., Salcedo, D., Onasch, T., Jayne, J. T., Miyoshi, T., Shimono, A., Hatakeyama, S., Takegawa,
18 N., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Williams,
19 P., Bower, K., Bahreini, R., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R. J., Rautiainen, J., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., and
20 Worsnop, D. R.: Ubiquity and dominance of oxygenated species in organic aerosols in
21 anthropogenically-influenced Northern Hemisphere midlatitudes, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, -, Artn
22 L13801, Doi 10.1029/2007gl029979, 2007.

23 Zhou, S. M., Shiraiwa, M., McWhinney, R. D., Poschl, U., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Kinetic limitations
24 in gas-particle reactions arising from slow diffusion in secondary organic aerosol, *Faraday*
25 *Discuss.*, 165, 391-406, Doi 10.1039/C3fd00030c, 2013.

26 Zhu, L. W., Neto, C., and Attard, P.: Reliable measurements of interfacial slip by colloid probe
27 atomic force microscopy. III. shear-rate-dependent slip, *Langmuir*, 28, 3465-3473, Doi
28 10.1021/La204566h, 2012.

1 Table 1. Experimental conditions for production and collection of toluene-derived SOM particles
 2 using the oxidation flow reactor. Particles were collected onto hydrophobic substrates using an
 3 electrostatic precipitator or a single stage impactor.

SOM sample name	Toluene conc. (ppm)	Ozone conc. (ppm)	SOM mass conc. during production ($\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$)	OFR flow rate (L m^{-1})	Collection time (hr)	Collection method
For bead-mobility experiments						
Toluene 1	1.0 ± 0.1	30 ± 3	600-1000	7.0 ± 0.5	48	Electrostatic precipitator
Toluene 2	1.0 ± 0.1	30 ± 3	600-1000	7.0 ± 0.5	48	Electrostatic precipitator
Toluene 3	0.1 ± 0.01	30 ± 3	60-100	7.0 ± 0.5	12	Impactor
Toluene 4	0.1 ± 0.01	30 ± 3	60-100	7.0 ± 0.5	19	Impactor
For poke-flow experiments						
Toluene 5	1.0 ± 0.1	30 ± 3	600-1000	7.0 ± 0.5	96	Electrostatic precipitator
Toluene 6	1.0 ± 0.1	30 ± 3	600-1000	7.0 ± 0.5	96	Electrostatic precipitator
Toluene 7	0.1 ± 0.01	30 ± 3	60-100	7.0 ± 0.5	12.5	Impactor
Toluene 8	0.1 ± 0.01	30 ± 3	60-100	7.0 ± 0.5	16	Impactor

4

5

1 Table 2. Physical parameters used to simulate material flow in the poke-flow experiments. R and
 2 r indicate the radius of a tube and the radius of an inner hole, respectively.

	Slip length (nm)	Surface tension (mN m ⁻¹)	Density (g cm ⁻³)	Contact angle (°)
Values used to calculate lower limit	5 ^a	28 ^b	1.4 ^c	80 (if $r < 2R$), 100 (if $r > 2R$)
Values used to calculate upper limit	10000 ^a	75 ^d	1.4 ^c	80 (if $r < 2R$), 100 (if $r > 2R$)

3 ^aThe range of slip length, which is the interactions between fluids and solid surfaces, is based on
 4 literature data (Schnell, 1956; Churaev et al., 1984; Watanabe et al., 1999; Baudry et al., 2001;
 5 Craig et al., 2001; Tretheway and Meinhart, 2002; Cheng and Giordano, 2002; Jin et al., 2004;
 6 Joseph and Tabeling, 2005; Neto et al., 2005; Choi and Kim et al., 2006; Joly et al., 2006; Zhu et
 7 al., 2012; Li et al., 2014).

8 ^bThe lower limits of the surface tension of toluene-derived SOM were determined as 28 mN m⁻¹,
 9 the surface tension of liquid toluene at 293 K (Adamson and Gast, 1997).

10 ^cNg et al., 2007

11 ^dThe upper limits of the surface tension of toluene-derived SOM were determined as 75 mN m⁻¹,
 12 the surface tension of pure water at 293 K (Engelhart et al., 2008).


13 ^eContact angle of the toluene-derived SOM on a substrate measured by 3-D fluorescence confocal
 14 microscope ranged from 80 - 100°. The relationship of viscosity and contact angle depends on the
 15 ratio of the radius of a tube, R , to the radius of an inner hole, r (Grayson et al., 2015a).

16

1 Table 3. Months when the afternoon RH in the 15 most populous megacities either does not go
 2 below 45 % (at the 10th percentile level) or is 17% or lower (at the 10th percentile level) and the
 3 median afternoon temperature is 290-300 K. “None” indicates that these criteria are not met for
 4 any month.

Megacity	Months when the afternoon RH (at the 10 th percentile level) does not go below 45 %	Months when the afternoon RH (at the 10 th percentile level) is 17 % or lower
Tokyo	Jun and Sep.	none
Delhi	none	none
Shanghai	Jun. and Sep.	none
Mexico City	none	Jan. – May, Dec.
Sao Paulo	Jan.	none
Mumbai	none.	none
Osaka	none	none
Beijing	none	Apr. and May.
New York	none	none
Cairo	none	Mar. – Apr.
Dhaka	none	none
Karachi	none	Jan. And Dec.
Buenos Aires	none	none
Kolkata	none	none
Istanbul	Oct.	none

1




2

3 **Fig. 1.** Optical images from typical bead-mobility experiments for a toluene-derived SOM particle
4 (Toluene sample 8 in Table 1) at 80% RH. Three different beads are labeled using colored arrows.
5 The x and y coordinates of these beads are also indicated. Scale bar: 20 μm .

6

7



1

2

3 **Fig. 2.** Optical images of pre-poking, poking, and post-poking from typical poke-flow experiments
4 on toluene-derived SOM particles (toluene sample 6) at (a) 39.5% RH and (b) 16.5% RH. Panel
5 a1 and b1; pre-poking, Panel a2 and b2: post-poking immediately after the needle has been
6 removed (time set = 0 s), Panel a3; the experimental flow time, $\tau_{exp,flow}$, where the diameter of hole
7 has decreased to 50% of its initial size, and Panel b3; particles shatter and do not flow over a period
8 of 6.5 h. Size bar: 20 μm .

9

10


11

12

13

14

15




1

2

3 **Fig. 3.** Measured average bead speeds as a function of RH for different SOM samples (Toluene 1,
 4 2, 3, and 4, see Table 1). The bead speeds of 3 - 10 beads were used to determine a mean bead
 5 speed. The *x*-error bars represent the uncertainty in the RH measurements and the range of RH
 6 values in a given experiment. The *y*-error bars represent the standard deviation of the measured
 7 bead speeds.

8



1


2

3 **Fig. 4.** Results from poke-flow experiments. $\tau_{\text{exp, flow}}$, where the diameter of hole has decreased to
 4 50% of its initial size, measured for the different samples (Toluene 5, 6, 7, and 8, see Table 1).

5 The arrows indicate particles shattered at the given RH.

6


7



1
2
3 **Fig. 5.** Viscosities of toluene-derived SOM particles as a function of RH. For RH > 60% the
4 viscosities were determined from the mean bead speeds (see Fig. 3) and a calibration line (Song et
5 al., 2015). The y-error bars for RH > 60% represent the 95% prediction intervals from the
6 calibration line. For RH < 60% the viscosities were calculated from the $\tau_{\text{exp, flow}}$ where y-error bars
7 represent the calculated lower and upper limits of viscosity using the simulations. The x-error bars
8 over the entire range of RH represent the range of RH values in a given experiments and the
9 uncertainty in the RH measurements. The right y-axes present calculated diffusion coefficients of
10 organic molecules in SOM using the Stoke-Einstein relation, and calculated mixing times within
11 200 nm particles due to bulk diffusion using Eq. (2). The black lines represent linear fits for the
12 RH vs. log(lower viscosities) ($R^2 = 0.958$) and log(upper viscosities) ($R^2 = 0.984$) from the entire
13 data set excluding the RH where particles cracked. Viscosity of toluene-derived SOM particles
14 from Bateman et al. (2015) (green box) and Li et al. (2015) (blue box) is also included. **The**

- 1 secondary x -axis shows $V_{\text{wet}}/V_{\text{dry}}$ of the SOM, where V_{dry} is the volume of SOM at 0% RH and
- 2 V_{wet} is the volume of the SOM after taking up water at a given RH.
- 3

1




2

3

4 **Fig. 6.** Monthly average RH and temperature for the megacities of Tokyo, Delhi, Shanghai,
5 Mexico City, São Paulo, Mumbai, Osaka, Beijing, New York, Cairo, Dhaka, Karachi, Buenos
6 Aires, Kolkata, and Istanbul. For the stations, afternoon averages RH values (3-5 pm local time)
7 were retrieved from NOAA's National Climate Data Center for the years from 2004 to 2014. Boxes
8 show the median, 25th and 75th percentiles of 3-hr averages and the whiskers show the 10th and

1 90th percentiles. Green shading indicates that the afternoon RH (at the 10th percentile level) does
2 not go below 45% RH and the median afternoon temperature is 290–300K. Red shading indicates
3 that the afternoon RH (at the 10th percentile level) is 17% or lower and the median afternoon
4 temperature is 290–300K.

5



1

2

3 **Fig. 7.** Summary of conclusions reached after applying the results of the viscosities, diffusion
 4 coefficients, and mixing time of the toluene-derived SOM to the top 15 most populous megacities.
 5 Green circles indicates megacities where the afternoon RH at 10th percentile does not go below
 6 45 % RH and the median afternoon temperature is 290-300 K for certain times of the year. In
 7 these cases, well-mixed particles can be assumed. Red crosses indicates megacities where the
 8 afternoon RH at 10th percentile is 17 % or lower for certain times of the year and the median
 9 afternoon temperature is 290-300 K. In these cases, the particles may not be well-mixed in the
 10 afternoon for certain times of the year.