Answers to Referee 1:

In the review one major point is discussed: A value for the mass mixing ratio of the nonvolatile particles and a discussion respectively comparison with literature data is missed.

The deduction of number distributions/mass mixing ratios from single particle data is linked with rather large uncertainties. Nevertheless, we have added the results of our calculation and the discussion of these values (see chapter 4 Discussion // end of this answer letter).

In this new part we compare our deduced concentrations with literature data. It is obvious, that a mass mixing ratio of 0.1 ppbm for the nonvolatile particles under these special conditions (late polar vortex) was not reported before and appears surprisingly high. We agree that neither volcanic emissions nor one fresh rocket plume can explain the result. We now also added a short comment to rocket launches, which took place during the campaign.

But as we have carefully checked our results (including sampling equipment, contamination risk, analysis procedure) and are convinced that there is no error in this data. Furthermore, there is no conflict of our data with the results of the other RECONCILE participants. In contrast, the results of Weigel et al. (2014)- published in the ACP special issue RECONCILE – strongly support our findings.

Weigel et al. (2014) had observed that the Arctic Vortex in Winter 2009/10 showed an unusually strong subsidence. He found up to 11 submicron particles per cm^3 and 8 of these 11 particles were found to contain thermo-stable (250°C) residuals with diameters of 10 nm to 1 µm. Particle mixing ratios (up to 150 of non-volatile particles per milligram air) and fractions of non-volatile particles (up to 75% of totally detected particles) exhibited highest values in air masses having the lowest content of nitrous oxide (70 nmolmol^{-1} N_2O). This indicates that refractory aerosol originates from the upper stratosphere or the mesosphere. He concludes that the impact of refractory aerosol material in the Arctic polar winter is a regular feature. Weigel also estimates the total mass of mesospheric particles deposited over the winter 2009/2010 in the entire Arctic vortex to range between 77×10^3 and 375×10^6 kg. This estimate yields an enhancement of the refractory aerosol mass by a factor of 4.5 at the lowermost vortex (100 – 67 hPa) toward the end of an Arctic winter. He also compares this value with the expected influx of meteoric material ($110 \pm 55 \times 10^3$ kg/day) and discusses the meaning of non-meteoric sources to the vortex refractory aerosol composition.

"In Figure 9 the total number of detected refractory particles for the size ranges 0.5 – 1 µm, 1 – 2 µm, 2 – 3 µm and larger than 3 µm are shown. In principle the number of detected particles can be used to assume an average number and mass concentration of the refractory particles during RECONCILE. As a variety of assumptions has to be made the uncertainty for the received mass and number concentrations is large. For particles smaller than 500 nm no stratospheric concentration ca be received on base of the electron microscopic samples as the large amount of volatile material prevents the determination of the total number of refractory particles on this stage. Considering the total sampled air volume the average mass mixing ratio of the refractory particles (larger than 500 nm) during RECONCILE will be in the range of 0.1 ppbm. The dN/dlogD$_P$ value for particle diameters of 0.75 µm is around 10^{-2} cm^{-3}. This number/mass loading can be compared with other studies under non-volcanic

conditions in the stratosphere. Deshler et al. (2003) published the results of 30 years of in situ stratospheric aerosol size distribution measurements from Wyoming using ballon-borne instruments. He found an average mass mixing ratio for the large mode of 0.02 and in this way 5 times lower as the values determined in this study in late polar winter. Wilson et al. (2008) reports an average mass mixing ratio of 0.4 to 0.8 ppbm for the aerosol sulfate for the non-volcanic influenced time period 1999-2004 and for measurements in the polar vortex only a very low volume of particles larger than 500 nm. Deshler (2008) reports in his review of global stratospheric aerosol measurements about observations of local stratospheric aerosol layers. He mentioned that in the polar regions NAT forms readily on a small fraction (10^{-4} – 10^{-5}) of stratospheric aerosol particles through heterogeneous nucleation. Following this values the fraction of nonvolatile stratospheric particles, which can act as heterogeneous nuclei, is at least 100 times lower as the total number of refractory particles, which we have observed during RECONCILE. He also reports from balloon-borne measurements above the tropopause in Australia, where aerosol layers with particle diameters above 1 µm and number concentrations as high as 10^{-2} cm^{-3} were observed. Size and number distribution of this layer seems comparable to our findings, whether the possible sources will be completely different (most probably thunderstorms) as in our study. In Weigel et al.(2014) a variety of different model size distributions of stratospheric aerosol are shown. It can be seen that the high number of large particles (>500 nm) is on the upper limit of most model distributions. But for such a comparison it has to be considered, that all presented size distributions do not refer in particular to the situation in the late polar winter vortex. In this way there is the need for further measurements of the stratospheric aerosol characteristics in late polar winter to confirm the observed findings.

During RECONCILE Weigel et al. (2014) had observed that the Arctic Vortex in Winter 2009/10 showed an unusually strong subsidence. He found up to 11 submicron particles per cm^3 and 8 of these 11 particles were found to contain thermo-stable (250°C) residuals with diameters of 10 nm to 1 µm. Particle mixing ratios (up to 150 of non-volatile particles per milligram air) and fractions of non-volatile particles (up to 75% of totally detected particles) exhibited highest values in air masses having the lowest content of nitrous oxide (70 nmolmol^{-1} N_2O). This indicates that refractory aerosol originates from the upper stratosphere or the mesosphere. He concludes that the impact of refractory aerosol material in the Arctic polar winter is a regular feature. Weigel also estimates the total mass of mesospheric particles deposited over the winter 2009/2010 in the entire Arctic vortex to range between 77 x 10^3 and 375 x 10^6 kg. This estimate yields an enhancement of the refractory aerosol mass by a factor of 4.5 at the lowermost vortex (100 – 67 hPa) toward the end of an Arctic winter. He also compares this value with the expected influx of meteoric material (110 ± 55 x 10^3 kg/day) and discusses the meaning of non-meteoric sources to the vortex refractory aerosol composition.

In the following subchapters we will present the different refractory particle groups, which were detected during the RECONCILE and ESSenCe campaigns by our electron microscopic investigations. For each particle group a discussion about the possible origin and the specific contamination potential is included."

Answers to Referee #2:

A general statement was that there are too many subjective terms (e.g. "very low") and that a careful editing is necessary. We have performed this editing process, in which we have tried to eliminate all subjective terms and to specify our statements.

Beside this general point, four detailed points has to be answered:

Point 1 (Introduction Page 2, Paragraph starting "One source" : Suggest that the work of Murphy et al., Science, 1998 and Murphy et al., Carbonaceous material in aerosol particles in the lower stratosphere and tropopause region, JGR, 2007 be referenced here. Murphy quantified many of the sources and their effect on the UTLS that are described here and that work predate that referenced here.).

We agree - We placed the references of Murphy et al. (1998 & 2007) in the opening part of the introduction.

"One major source region of stratospheric refractory particles is assumed to be the interplanetary space [Murphy et al., 1998 & 2007; Plane, 2012] from where cosmic dust is incorporated into the Earth's atmosphere."

Point 2 (Section 4.1.3 : It seems odd that the authors discuss large silicate particles as being non-chondritic in composition and use this to dismiss a meteoritic origin. High temperature processing is known to lead to loss of more volatile materials (e.g. Mg over Si) and that residual spherules are the remains of heated but not fully ablated material (the authors should see e.g. F. J. M. Reitmeijer, G. J. Flynn, Meteorit. Planet. Sci. 35, A136 (2000)). It is therefore unclear why this assumption has been made; it should be better quantified or reconsidered. The authors should go back and read the literature in this area.):

Reviewer 2 indicates the fact that meteoric composition within individual grains is known to change during high temperature processing and references the work of Reitmeijer (2000). The authors are aware of this fact and also know the overview paper of Reitmeijer.

Because of the fact that such volatility studies has shown that if the mass loss is not bigger than 80% , the Mg/Si ratio is not changing so much (Floss et al., 1996), we had used this ratio as marker for chondritic material. But indeed, this studies also show that if mass loss is bigger than 80% Mg

becomes strongly depleted within the residues and in this way also the Mg/Si ratio is no good marker anymore. Therefore we have completely rewritten this section.

"In stratospheric samples silicates are often described as main refractory component. As source for these silicates most often chondritic material from cosmic dust is discussed. The original chondritic material is characterized by specific elemental ratios, e.g. a high Mg/Si ratio (~1, Rietmeijer (1998)). Similar values (~ 0.85) were determined for the small (particle diameter < 500 nm) silicate spheres found during ESSenCe and RECONCILE. The larger silicate spheres (particle diameter > 500 nm), which were only found in the RECONCILE samples, show lower Mg/Si ratios (~ 0.4). It is known that high temperature processing leads to a loss of more volatile materials. Volatility studies have shown that within the residues up to a remaining fraction of 20% Mg and Si behaves very similar, but for even higher ablation rates Mg becomes depleted in contrast to Si (Floss et al., 1996). In this way the residual spheres as the remains of heated but not fully ablated material can show completely different elemental ratios. In this way Riemtmeijer (2000) states: "We may consider the possibility that nonchondritic element abundances are a norm rather than an exception." Twenty percent of the large silicate particles during RECONCILE are not spheres but show irregular morphologies (Figure 3f). Even when these fact does not allow an unambiguous assignment to a high temperature history, these particles can originate from cosmic dust. A different source for such particles is discussed by Zolensky et al. (1989). They suggested that such silicates with nonchondritic composition (which were the majority in the size range 1 – 5 µm in their study) may originate from aerospace activities. They listed as sources solid rocket fuel exhaust, solid rocket motor ablation, thermal reflective paint from the outer hull of spacecraft, and ablating hardware from satellites and discarded rocket sections in low-Earth orbit."

Point 3 (Section 4.1.8): Section 4.1.8 Aluminium oxide spheres: This section would be stronger if enhanced with some of the more common references in this field. The authors references the modeling study of Jackman et al., 1998 and the size distribution of . Suggest looking at (and referencing) the more comprehensive work of Danilin, M. Y., et al., Global stratospheric effects of the alumina emissions by solid fueled rocket motors, J. Geophys. Res., 2001 and the compositional work of Cziczo et al. Composition of individual particles in the wakes of an Athena II rocket and the space shuttle, GRL, 2002. Again, there is considerable literature in this area – indeed, NASA ran an entire program on the effects of these emissions on the upper atmosphere that is not referenced – that needs to be reviewed. Also, were there rocket launches that might have led to the

observations? Can the authors support their assumptions with any ancillary data e.g. back trajectories?

We have added a variety of citations with more comprehensive work. Also we now cite the CIAP program and we refer to rocket launches during the RECONCILE campaign.

"Solid rocket motors respectively the impact of launch vehicle activity are known to be a source for aluminum rich particles in the stratosphere (e.g. Cziczo et al., 2002). The impact of these particles on the heterogeneous chemistry of stratospheric ozone is studied since the early 70`s as part of the Climatic Impact Assessment Program (CIAP) (Hoshizaki, 1975). Detailed discussion can be found e.g. by Danilin et al. (2001), Jackman et al. (1998) and Denison et al. (1994). Cofer et al. (1991) measured a bimodal size distribution of AOS in the Space Shuttle plume with peaks at 2 µm and < 0.3 µm.

Small AOS spheres (diameter around 100 nm) were observed during RECONCILE and ESSenCe (see Figure 7a). While no externally mixed supermicron AOS particles were detected during both campaigns, supermicron AOS were part of the complex agglomerates within the mixed metal/ metal oxide group, observed during RECONCILE and described in chapter 4.1.5. In Kiruna rockets are launched within the framework of the ESRANGE program. A local plume from this activity cannot be expected as the last rocket launches from Kiruna prior to RECONCILE were conducted at 22th and 29th of November 2009 and in this way around 7 weeks before the sampling flights. But there is a constant entry of particles from space launch activities in stratosphere and mesosphere. For example, during the time period of RECONCILE at least 9 space launches took place, including Proton rockets, Soyuz-U, Delta rockets, and the Space Shuttle Endeavour (see www.spacelaunchreport.com/log2010.html)."

Point 4 Regarding nucleation in PSCs : the unsupported statement that ends the paper "Thus,the observed refractory particles seem to provide a surface for heterogeneous condensation during PSC events." is another example where there exists considerable literature (starting with the work of Biermann et al., GRL, 1996) that would make this case. Absence of citation of previous work should not occur.

For a long time there is the discussion in which way heterogeneous nucleation plays a role in PSC formation. It was not our goal in any way to present this as a new idea. Therefore, we have rephrased this point and we have added some citations.

"Refractory particles can provide a surface for heterogeneous condensation during PSC events. The occurrence and importance of this possible PSC nucleation pathway is controversial discussed and tested in laboratory experiments in the last years (e.g. Biermann et al., 1996; Engel et al., 2013). While the data base is still sparse, we have observed the general tendency that the number of large refractory particles, which were encountered during the special conditions of RECONCILE, decrease during PSC events. We explain this experimental observation by the activation of the large refractory particles into PSC elements under favorable conditions. In this situation sampling of these particles by the fast-flying Geophysica aircraft is prevented due to particle and subsequent inertia growth.

Changes in the manuscript:

- *Calculation of mass mixing ratio of refractory particles and discussion of the received values (comparison with literature data) added in chapter 4*

- *Revised version of chapter 4.1.3 New Discussion of chondritic composition of silicates*

- *Revised version of chapter 4.1.8 New Discussion of the Aluminum oxide group, including a reference of rocket launches during RECONCILE and new references*

- *New references in the introduction*

- *Revised version of the Conclusion: Point 3 was discarded, The heterogeneous nucleation part was revised*

- *Typing errors and subjective terms were eliminated*

- *9 new citations*

==Marked version of the script==

Chemical analysis of refractory stratospheric aerosol particles

collected within the arctic vortex

and inside polar stratospheric clouds

Martin Ebert[1], Ralf Weigel[2], Konrad Kandler[1], Gebhard Günther[3], Sergej Molleker[2], Jens-Uwe Grooß[3], Bärbel Vogel[3], Stephan Weinbruch[1], and Stephan Borrmann[2,4]

[1] Institut für Angewandte Geowissenschaften, Technische Universität Darmstadt, Germany

[2] Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität, Mainz, Germany

[3] Institute for Energy and Climate Research (IEK-7), Research Center Jülich, Germany

[4] Partikelchemie, Max-Planck-Institut für Chemie, Mainz, Germany

Correspondence to: M.Ebert (mebert@geo.tu-darmstadt.de)

Abstract: Stratospheric aerosol particles with diameters larger than about 10 nm were collected within the arctic vortex during two polar flight campaigns: RECONCILE in winter 2010 and ESSenCe in winter 2011. Impactors were installed on board of the aircraft M-55 Geophysica, which was operated from Kiruna, Sweden. Flights were performed in a height of up to 21 km and some of the particle samples were taken within distinct polar stratospheric clouds (PSC). The chemical composition, size and morphology of refractory particles were analyzed by scanning electron microscopy and energy-dispersive X-ray microanalysis. During ESSenCe no refractory particles with diameters above 500 nm were sampled. In total 116 small silicate-, Fe-rich-, Pb-rich and aluminum oxide spheres were found. In contrast to ESSenCe early winter, during the late winter RECONCILE mission the air

masses were subsiding inside the Arctic winter vortex from upper stratosphere and mesosphere, thus initializing a transport of refractory aerosol particles into the lower stratosphere. During RECONCILE 759 refractory particles with diameters above 500 nm were found consisting of silicates, silicate/carbon mixtures, Fe-rich particles, Ca-rich particles and complex metal mixtures. In the size range below 500 nm additionally the presence of soot was proven. While the data base is still sparse, the general tendency of a lower abundance of refractory particles during PSC events compared to non-PSC situations was observed. The detection of large refractory particles in the stratosphere, and the experimental finding that these particles were not observed in the particle samples (upper size limit ~ 5 µm) taken during PSC events, strengthen the hypothesis that such particles are present in the lower polar stratosphere in late winter and have provided a surface for heterogeneous nucleation during PSC formation.

Keywords: polar stratospheric clouds, SEM, stratospheric aerosol particles, chemical composition

1   Introduction

Refractory (low volatile) aerosol particles that are released into the atmosphere due to natural processes (e.g., desert dust storms, volcanism, pyro-cumuli and meteoric ablation) or stem from anthropogenic sources (e.g., space debris, aircraft/rocket exhaust, and fossil fuel burning) are generally assumed to have significant contribution to stratospheric aerosol composition [Carslaw and Kärcher, 2006]. The kind and strength of impact of refractory particles of specific sources to the overall stratospheric aerosol load varies with altitude and latitude. The respective contribution of the refractory species depends on their fluxes into the stratosphere which are to a large extent not yet quantifiable.

One major source region of stratospheric refractory particles is assumed to be the interplanetary space [Murphy et al., 1998 & 2007; Plane, 2012] from where cosmic dust is incorporated into the Earth's atmosphere. Additionally, when larger cosmic bodies enter the upper atmosphere, a trace of ablation smoke is left behind (also referred to as meteoritic smoke particles- MSPs), due to high temperature vaporization caused by the friction with air molecules. Estimates of the global influx of material from space are highly uncertain and vary within two orders of magnitude between ~3 and 300 t d^{-1} [Plane, 2012]. Apart from cosmic influx, also aerosol particles from the troposphere which are lifted into the stratosphere due to effective vertical transport mechanisms [cf. Gettelman et al., 2011] presumably contribute to the stratospheric aerosol composition. Some contribution to the stratospheric aerosol load may be provided by moderate tropical or subtropical volcanism (e.g. Soufiere Hills or Nabro) even though the volcano's vertical expulsion energy is too weak to directly inject material into the stratosphere, in contrast, e.g., to the Pinatubo 1991 eruption. The ejected particulate matter accumulates in the upper troposphere [Mattis et al., 2010] or lower stratosphere [Vernier et al., 2011; Borrmann et al., 2010] from where the Brewer-Dobson-Circulation likely lofts these particles to higher altitudes within the stratosphere [Vernier et al., 2011]. Of course, also aerosol material from other terrestrial sources (fine mode desert dust or biomass burning material from boreal fires) could follow this pathway into the stratosphere unless these species vanish due to in-cloud processes (solution in the liquid phase and chemical transformation) or precipitation [Fromm, 2000; Jost et al., 2004]

The present work focusses on analyses of particles sampled within or nearby the Arctic winter vortex. The transport pathways of material in the upper stratosphere and mesosphere converge towards the polar regions. The poleward transport at high atmospheric altitudes (i.e. in the upper stratosphere and mesosphere) is driven by the development of a polar vortex [Megner et al., 2008; Saunders et al., 2012; Bardeen et al., 2008; Hervig et al., 2009] occurring alternatingly twice per year, once in the Arctic and the Antarctic, respectively. The vertical transport inside the

vortices due to large-scale air mass subsidence provides a fast, and likely, the most effective removal pathway of mesospheric and stratospheric material into the lowermost stratosphere and upper troposphere which takes place over time scales of weeks to months during polar winter [Curtius et al., 2005; Weigel et al., 2014]. Once the vortex dissolves in late polar spring, the included aerosol material is released towards lower latitudes within the lowermost stratosphere. Indications for this process are provided by MSPs identified in the mid-latitude lowermost stratosphere by in-situ Laser Ablation Mass Spectrometry [Murphy et al., 1998; Cziczo et al., 2001; Murphy et al., 2013]. Though these studies do not unambiguously link the transport history of observed MSPs to previous vortex subsidence it is likely that these MSPs underwent the polar downward transport pathway prior to their effusion into the mid-latitude lowermost stratosphere. Due to the vertical transport in connection with subsidence of mesospheric air over the Arctic winter, the contribution of MSPs is expected to dominate the in-vortex refractory aerosol composition. However, if tropospheric material is lofted from the tropics lower stratosphere by the Brewer-Dobson circulation into the upper stratosphere a certain contribution of terrestrial material (e.g. silicates or soot, etc.) can be expected, but needs to be proven.

In the Arctic mesosphere the abundance of refractory particles, presumably mostly dominated by MSPs, is demonstrated by detection of charged ultrafine particles (< 100 nm diameter) at concentrations of 40-1000 of positive or negative charges per cm³ [Rapp et al., 2007; Rapp and Strelnikova, 2009]. Rocket-borne detection of charged particles with concentrations of the order of thousands per cm³ at an altitude between 80 and 90 km [Amyx et al., 2008; Rapp et al., 2012; Friedrich et al., 2012; Plane et al., 2014] confirm the previous remote sensing studies. At lower altitudes in the Arctic vortex stratosphere, the abundance of non-volatile aerosol material was observed by means of airborne in-situ investigations at up to 21 km [Curtius et al., 2005; Weigel et al., 2014], yielding about 100 particles per mg of air, providing a fractional contribution of up to 75 % to the total concentration of in-vortex particles. Further in-situ observations at 24-27 km altitude also indicate in the Antarctic stratosphere [Campbell and Deshler, 2014] that there is a relative increase of refractory aerosol contributing a fraction of up to 80 % to total concentrations.

Refractory aerosol particles have particular importance in the stratosphere as they generally may provide surfaces for heterogeneous chemical reactions (e.g., chlorine activation). Furthermore, the presence of surfaces promotes the condensation of saturated gaseous compounds. It can be assumed that the presence of refractory aerosols such as MSPs or volcanic material impacts the maintenance of the stratospheric aerosol layer (Junge-Layer). Bigg, 2012 even suggests MSPs to play a major role in controlling the stratospheric background aerosol. Whenever refractory particles in the atmosphere concur with saturated gaseous compounds (e.g., H_2SO_4, HNO_3,

or H_2O); the particles provide surfaces onto which vapors nucleate heterogeneously [cf. Saunders et al., 2010, Saunders et al., 2012, Bigg, 2012, Frankland et al., 2015]. Compounds of refractory aerosol may dissolve in acidic solutions which could impact the freezing properties of, e.g., binary HNO_3-H_2O or ternary HNO_3-H_2SO_4-H_2O solution droplets. Thus, solutes of an refractory particle in a HNO_3-H_2SO_4-H_2O droplet could have crucial impact on formation of polar stratospheric clouds (PSC) [Chang et al., 1999, Wise et al., 2003]. Due to condensation of vapours the refractory cores grow which has direct implication on the particles mass and sedimentation speed, thereby promoting a redistribution or removal of the condensed material.

The number of terrestrial supermicron refractory aerosol particles in the middle atmosphere is low as micrometer-sized particles are removed quickly due to sedimentation. The model study of Dhomse et al., 2013 concerning atmospheric redistribution of $^{238}PuO_2$ particles revealed that submicron particles reside about 4 years in the atmosphere before reaching the surface.

Some of the first scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of aerosol particles that were sampled at altitudes of 20-37 km were provided by Bigg et al., 1970 and Bigg et al., 1971. Presumably meteoritic ablation particles are shown here, as agglomerates of small spherical particles. Due to the gap of chemical analysis techniques at these times it can only be assumed that the collected spheroids were previously exposed to high (melting point) temperatures. Further SEM/EDX analysis of particles sampled in the stratosphere were shown by Mackinnon et al., 1982. These authors were able to attribute large amounts of collected particles to their cosmic origin.

The knowledge on the physico-chemical properties of refractory aerosol in the stratosphere is still sparse. Large uncertainties remain concerning the relative contribution of different sources as for example meteoritic ablation material, terrestrial crustal particles or ablation of space debris. During two Arctic airborne missions with the Russian high altitude research aircraft M-55 *Geophysica*, located at Kiruna, Sweden, particles samples were taken in the vortex stratosphere, exclusively above 410 K potential temperature. The major aim of the present study is gaining insight into the contribution of refractory particles of different origin to the overall stratospheric aerosol composition. Furthermore, several samples were collected when penetrating extended PSC fields. Thus, chemical analysis of these particles may help to better understand their role in PSC formation.

2   Methods

2.1   Sampling campaigns

Particles were collected during two polar stratospheric campaigns in arctic winter 2010 and 2011. Both campaigns were scheduled for flights within the polar vortex and carried out under potential PSC conditions. Flights were operated from Kiruna, Sweden, with the high-altitude aircraft M55-Geophysica. This research aircraft can reach a maximum altitude of 21 km, and has a total range of about 2500 km (Stefanutti et al., 1999). The RECONCILE (Reconciliation of essential process parameters for an enhanced predictability of Arctic stratospheric ozone loss and its climate interactions) campaign (von Hobe et al., 2013) in arctic winter 2010 (January – March) focused on central questions regarding polar ozone depletion, among others the role of cold binary aerosols in heterogeneous chlorine activation and polar stratospheric cloud processes including heterogeneous nucleation of nitric acid trihydrate (NAT) and ice on non-volatile background aerosol. The suite of instruments merged within Geophysica during the RECONCILE campaign was the most comprehensive one with respect to PSC processes flown on a single aircraft to date (von Hobe et al., 2013). While the main objective of the ESSenCe (ESa Sounder campaign) campaign in December 2011 was the in-flight testing of the Gloria (Gimballed Limb Observer for Radiance Imaging of the Atmosphere) instrument and thereby the retrieval of three-dimensional small-scale structures in upper-tropospheric/lower-stratospheric composition (Kaufmann et al., 2015), ESSenCe provided a second opportunity to collect stratospheric aerosol under partly comparable conditions to RECONCILE.

2.2   Sampling technique

All aerosol samples during the RECONCILE campaign were taken from the inlet line of COPAS (Condensation Particle counting System; Curtius et al., 2005; Weigel et al., 2009; Borrmann et al., 2010) with a Y-shape manifold.

According to Weigel et al., 2009 the inlet efficiency can be compared with the similarly designed and operated inlet system characterized by Hermann et al., 2001. For submicron particles, the transmission efficiency of the COPAS aerosol inlet is 90 % or higher. The inlet performance rapidly deteriorates for particles of micrometer size

(about 30 – 40 % for particles with 4μm diameter). The transmission efficiency of the COPAS inlet for particles with diameter larger than about 6μm is below 5%.

Particle collection was performed with a dual stage cascade impaction system (MINI; Micro Inertial Impactor, Kandler et al., 2007) with orifices of 0.75 mm and 0.25 mm and a jet-to-plate distance of 1.5 times the orifice diameter (Figure 1 left). Air velocity in the second nozzle is at speed of sound and, thus, controlling the impactor flow, which was calculated to be 7.7 cm^3/s at stratospheric conditions. Temperature in the COPAS system varied between 272 K and 290 K, pressure between 50 and 67 hPa. Fifty percent efficiency cut-offs for these values calculated according to Raabe et al. (1988) are 400 nm aerodynamic diameter for the first impactor stage and smaller than 10 nm for the second. In the present paper, particles on the first impactor stage are referred to as coarse fraction (> 400 nm), those on the second stage as fine fraction (10 - 400 nm). Note, that under stratospheric conditions the strictness of the impactor size discrimination is expected to be lower than under tropospheric ones.

During ESSenCe, a newly constructed cascade impaction system (Multi-MINI) was used (Figure 1 right). While the basic impaction geometry remains unchanged compared to the MINI, twelve dual stage impactor units were integrated into a single housing. Particle sampling of the single units is controlled by a set of valves. A twelve-fold symmetrical manifold delivers the aerosol to the separate units. In addition, a purge flow system was added to the Multi-MINI. The purge flow system fills the tubing and the interior of the manifold prior to sampling with the current outside aerosol to avoid any carryover of particles from previous measurements. The purge flow extends to the front of the first impaction nozzle, so the potential volume affected by carryover is minimal. The purge time (7 minutes) was chosen so that the tube and manifold volume could be filled at least ten times with the current aerosol. During RECONCILE and ESSenCe, the separate impactors were operated in the stratosphere for 20 minutes each. Particles were collected on self-constructed highly polished boron substrates (Choël et al., 2005), which are well suited for particle analysis by scanning electron microscopy and X-ray microanalysis. During RECONCILE, in the time period from January, 17th to March, 10th 2010, eleven samples were collected during eleven mission flights (Table 1). Twelve samples were collected during the only successful flight of the ESSenCe campaign on December, 16th 2011.

An overview of the stratospheric conditions during sampling is given in Figure 2. The main goal of the present study was the identification and characterization of refractory (non-volatile) particles. As these particles are supposed to be extremely rare in the stratosphere, long sampling times of 20 min were chosen, leading to overloading of the substrates with the more abundant typical Junge layer aerosol (mainly of volatile sulfuric compounds and nitrates). Therefore, the refractory particles are generally embedded in a matrix of sulfate/nitrate material, above all on the fine stage.

2.3   Sample analysis by electron microscopy

Individual particle analysis was performed in a FEI (Eindhoven, the Netherlands) Quanta 200 FEG Environmental Scanning Electron Microscope (ESEM) equipped with an energy-dispersive X-ray detector (EDX, EDAX, Tilburg, the Netherlands).

As a detailed analysis of the sulfates and nitrates was not intended, the presumable refractory particles were selectively analyzed using backscattered electron (BSE) images. The use of a boron substrate provides a high contrast between the substrate (Z = 5), the low-Z (Z = 7 to 10) and high-Z (Z > 10) particles in the BSE image. All particles with an average atomic number higher than for the Junge layer (Z < 10) can easily be identified as they appear brighter (white spots within the Junge layer particles). The elemental composition and morphology of all 759 detected high-Z particles larger than 500 nm projected area diameter (from eleven RECONCILE flights) were studied in detail.

In contrast to the dominating sulfates and/or nitrates in the Junge layer, the high-Z particles are non-volatile under electron bombardment and the high vacuum conditions in the ESEM. In the following, the term "refractory" is used for these particles. Low-Z refractory particles (e. g., soot) with diameters above 500 nm were not observed on the coarse fraction stage. It must be noted, however, that some of the refractory particles were stable under a short electron bombardment only, but showed slight signs of beam damage after considerably longer exposure times (e.g., the Ca-rich particles). The overloading of the fine fraction stage with sulfates and nitrates prevented a systematic quantitative analysis of smaller refractory particles. Thus, the abundance ratio of refractory to non-refractory particles could not be determined quantitatively for this size-range. Nevertheless, the fine stage was analyzed for refractory material in order to obtain at least a qualitative estimate of the composition.

3   Results

3.1   Volatile matrix

Refractory particles, which are the focus of the present study, are only a small fraction of the total collected stratospheric aerosol particles. In all samples, the volatile Junge aerosol particles are the dominant particle group (visible in Figure 5 and 7). These volatile particles are mainly composed of ammonium and sodium sulfates (Junge, 1963), which was also confirmed by the present study. These particles, however, are not in the scope of this work and are not regarded further.

3.2   Refractory particles

Based on morphology, chemistry and beam stability the following eight refractory particle groups were distinguished: Ca-rich particles, Fe-rich particles, silicates, C/Si mixed particles, mixed metal/metal oxides, Pb-rich particles, aluminum oxide spheres and soot.

All particles, with Ca as main peak in the EDX spectrum were classified as Ca-rich. A typical secondary electron image is given in Figure 3d. In most of these particles no elements besides calcium, carbon, nitrogen and oxygen are detectable. Under electron bombardment, some of the particles show slight beam damage (visible in the center of the particle, Figure 3d). The exact chemical compound (e.g., $CaCO_3$, $Ca(NO_3)_2$, $Ca(OH)_2$) cannot be determined by EDX. In total, 96 Ca-rich particles larger than 500 nm (13% of all analyzed large refractory particles) were detected with highly varying abundance in 8 of the eleven RECONCILE samples. In 6 samples (#1, #3, #8, #10, #13) only a low abundance of 1 – 14 % (equivalent to 1 - 8 particles/ sample) was observed, while in two samples (#2 and #5) almost 70% of all detected large refractory particles were Ca-rich. The high relative abundance in sample 2 and 5 results as well from a higher absolute abundance (50 respectively 24 Ca-rich particles) and a lower abundance of other refractory particle types in these samples.

Particles with iron and oxygen as major X-ray peaks were classified as Fe-rich. A secondary electron image of a typical iron-rich particle is shown in Figure 3b. More than 50% of these particles are single spheres or agglomerates of spheres, as tellingly described by Bigg et al. (1970) to be reminiscent of "a bunch of grapes", indicating an origin by high-temperature processes. In total 148 particles (20%) of all detected large refractory particles were classified as iron-rich.

Particles with silicon and oxygen as major element were classified as silicates. Approximately 80% of silicate particles are spheres or agglomerates of spheres (Figure 3a), while the rest shows irregular shapes (Figure 3f). In total 243 particles (32%) of all detected large refractory particles were classified as silicates. They were found in all eleven samples with an abundance between 13% and 55% (6 – 45 particles/sample).

Si-rich particles with additional high carbon contents were classified in a C/Si-mixed group. These particles are often agglomerates of smaller irregular and/or spherical particles. In total 44 of all detected large refractory particles were classified as C/Si-mixed particles (~6%). This particle type was only observed in 6 of the 11 RECONCILE samples.

Mixed metal/metal oxide particles are rich in the elements Fe, Al, Cr, Mn, Ni and/or Zn. Most particles of this group are dominated by Fe and Al, but often with variable abundance of the other metals. All agglomerates, which contain these metals, are classified into this mixed group, even when for example silicates were attached to the agglomerates. Within agglomerates of this group, Fe-rich particles, Al-rich particles, silicates, alloy-like particles (Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Zn) and internal mixtures of all these components are found. The morphology of these particles is highly variable (Figure 3c). These particles have a composition which shows similarities to certain anthropogenic metal alloys (steel). Around 75% of the mixed particles are spheres, contain spheres or show at least rounded structures (Figure 4), which indicates a high temperature origin. In thirty of the mixed particles K and F was detected. 228 of all detected large refractory particles during RECONCILE were classified as mixed metal/metal oxide particles (30%). The mixed metal/metal oxide particles were a major component in 7 of 11 RECONCILE samples (17 % – 62 %), but completely absent in the 4 other samples (#2, #4, #5, #9).

All 759 analyzed refractory particles larger than 500 nm belong to the five particle types presented so far. These five particle types were also found on the fine stage (particle diameters smaller than 500 nm), together with three further refractory particle types, which were not found on the coarse stage.

A small number of Pb-rich inclusions within volatile particles from the Junge layer aerosol (Figures 5 and 6) was observed in the RECONCILE and ESSenCe samples. Due to their small size (often around 50 nm) and the fact that these particles are often embedded in the secondary matrix, the chemical composition cannot be determined in more detail by SEM/EDX analysis.

Varying amounts of soot particles were observed in all RECONCILE samples (but not during ESSenCe). Soot can clearly be identified by its characteristic morphology as agglomerates of spherical primary particles. Most observed soot particles were internally mixed with sulphates (Figure 6d) and become visible during the evaporation of volatile material under electron bombardment.

Additionally some aluminum oxide spheres (AOS) were detected on the fine stages of the ESSenCe campaign.

3.3   Abundance during RECONCILE

The particle group relative number abundance (PGNA) determined for the eleven flights is given in Figure 8.

The PGNA is highly variable for the different particle groups without any clear visible time trend. However, the following patterns are obvious: Samples #2, #4, #5, and #9 show no mixed metal/metal oxide particles in contrast to all other samples. While sample #2 and #5 are dominated by Ca-rich particles with a relative number abundance of approximately 70 %, samples #4 and #9 are dominated by silicates plus C/Si mixed particles. Samples #3, #6, #8 and #10 have high relative number abundances of mixed metal/metal oxide particles (> 40 %). The other samples (#1, #12, #13) have comparable high relative abundances of several particle groups. In addition, the relative number abundance of C/Si mixed particles is always low (0 – 20 %).

If the PSC samples (#1 - #5) are compared to non-PSC samples it becomes obvious that the former have (in average) a clearly higher abundance of Ca-rich particles and a lower abundance of Fe-rich and mixed metal/metal oxide particles. This is not true for each individual "PSC" flight, but it has to be assumed that even if sample #1 -

#5 were sampled within a PSC (depending on the specific conditions during the 20 min sampling time) a varying amount of the deposited particles will be derived from "out of PSC" air masses.

The average diameter of the 759 detected refractive particles is 1.0 µm. A size distribution for all detected particle groups for the four particle diameter intervals 0.5-1 µm, 1-2 µm, 2-3 µm and > 3 µm (equivalent projected area diameter) is given in Figure 9. In chapter 4 also the calculated mass mixing ratio for the refractory particles will be discussed. As expected all particle groups show strongly decreasing particle numbers with increasing particle diameters, only the mixed metal/ metal oxide groups show highest values for the size interval 1- 2 µm, what will be discussed in chapter 4.1.5.

A systematic quantitative analysis of the refractory particles smaller than 500 nm on a flight-by-flight basis was not possible, because the fine fraction stage was overloaded with volatile particles, preventing analysis of individual particles. Nevertheless, some general findings can be reported. All refractory particle types for a certain flight, which were found in the coarse fraction, were also found in the fine fraction. Additionally, in the fine fraction, soot and Pb-rich particles were observed. The Pb-rich particles are rare in general, but seem to be present ubiquitously. In contrast, the soot abundance seems to be linked with the abundance of the mixed metal/metal oxide group. The samples without these particles show no soot at all or only few soot particles (<10), while all samples with mixed metal/metal oxide particles show a considerable soot abundance. The samples with highest mixed metal/metal oxide abundance (flights 6, 8, and 10) also show the highest soot abundance.

3.4   Abundance during ESSenCe

Within the single flight of 16th of December during the ESSenCe campaign, which was conducted in early winter (young vortex) within a PSC, no refractory particles larger than 500 nm were observed. Consequently, only the observations regarding the fine fraction refractory particles can be reported here. Due to the low numbers of observed refractory particles and the inherent statistical uncertainty, all samples received during this flight were merged. In total, 116 refractory particles were detected and analyzed on the fine stages of all ESSenCe samples. 41 spherical silicates, 30 aluminum oxide spheres (AOS), 27 spherical Fe-rich particles, and 18 Pb-rich particles. Ca-rich particles, mixed metal/metal oxide particles, C/Si-mixed particles and soot, which were observed during RECONCILE, were not detected within the ESSenCe samples.

4   Discussion

In Figure 9 the total number of detected refractory particles for the size ranges $0.5 - 1$ µm, $1 - 2$ µm, $2 - 3$ µm and larger than 3 µm are shown. In principle the number of detected particles can be used to assume an average number and mass concentration of the refractory particles during RECONCILE. As a variety of assumptions has to be made the uncertainty for the received mass and number concentrations is large. For particles smaller than 500 nm no stratospheric concentration can be received on base of the electron microscopic samples as the large amount of volatile material prevents the determination of the total number of refractory particles on this stage. Considering the total sampled air volume the average mass mixing ratio of the refractory particles (larger than 500 nm) during RECONCILE will be in the range of 0.1 ppbm. The $dN/dlogD_P$ value for particle diameters of 0.75 µm is around 10^{-2} cm^{-3}. This number/mass loading can be compared with other studies under non-volcanic conditions in the stratosphere. Deshler et al. (2003) published the results of 30 years of in situ stratospheric aerosol size distribution measurements from Wyoming using balloon-borne instruments. He found an average mass mixing ratio for the large mode of 0.02 and in this way 5 times lower as the values determined in this study in late polar winter. Wilson et al. (2008) reports an average mass mixing ratio of 0.4 to 0.8 ppbm for the aerosol sulfate for the non-volcanic influenced time period 1999-2004 and for measurements in the polar vortex only a very low volume (close to 0 / linear ordinate) of particles larger than 500 nm. Deshler (2008) reports in his review of global stratospheric aerosol measurements about observations of local stratospheric aerosol layers. He mentioned that in the polar regions NAT forms readily on a small fraction ($10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$) of stratospheric aerosol particles through heterogeneous nucleation. Following this values the fraction of nonvolatile stratospheric particles, which can act as heterogeneous nuclei, is at least 100 times lower as the total number of refractory particles, which we have observed during RECONCILE. He also reports from balloon-borne measurements above the tropopause in Australia, where aerosol layers with particle diameters above 1 µm and number concentrations as high as 10^{-2} cm^{-3} were observed. Size and number distribution of this layer seems comparable to our findings, whether the possible sources will be completely different (most probably thunderstorms) as in our study. In Weigel et al. (2014) a variety of different model size distributions of stratospheric aerosol are shown. It can be seen that the high number of large particles (> 500 nm) is on the upper limit of most model distributions. But for such a comparison it has to be considered, that all presented size distributions do not refer

in particular to the situation in the late polar winter vortex. In this way there is the need for further measurements of the stratospheric aerosol characteristics in late polar winter to confirm the observed findings.

During RECONCILE Weigel et al. (2014) had observed that the Arctic Vortex in Winter 2009/10 showed an unusually strong subsidence. He found up to 11 submicron particles per cm^3 and 8 of these 11 particles were found to contain thermo-stable (250°C) residuals with diameters of 10 nm to 1 µm. Particle mixing ratios (up to 150 of non-volatile particles per milligram air) and fractions of non-volatile particles (up to 75% of totally detected particles) exhibited highest values in air masses having the lowest content of nitrous oxide (70 $nmolmol^{-1}$ N_2O). This indicates that refractory aerosol originates from the upper stratosphere or the mesosphere. He concludes that the impact of refractory aerosol material in the Arctic polar winter is a regular feature. Weigel also estimates the total mass of mesospheric particles deposited over the winter 2009/2010 in the entire Arctic vortex to range between 77 x 10^3 and 375 x 10^6 kg. This estimate yields an enhancement of the refractory aerosol mass by a factor of 4.5 at the lowermost vortex (100 – 67 hPa) toward the end of an Arctic winter. He also compares this value with the expected influx of meteoric material (110 ± 55 x 10^3 kg/day) and discusses the meaning of non-meteoric sources to the vortex refractory aerosol composition.

In the following subchapters we will present the different refractory particle groups, which were detected during the RECONCILE and ESSenCe campaigns by our electron microscopic investigations. For each particle group a discussion about the possible origin and the specific contamination potential is included.

4.1 Origin of the observed particle types

4.1.1   Ca-rich

Ca-rich particles in the upper stratosphere were recently described by Della Corte et al. (2013). These authors specifies Ca-C-F particles, calcite/aragonite particles and a porous aggregate of Ca(O) nanograins, sampled in 38 km height. They also discovered a beam damage of these particles under SEM analysis, which was also observed in this study. They hypothesize meteoric dust smoke as source for these particles. While this cannot be proven

based on the available data, Ca-rich particles have a low risk to be an instrumental artifact, as Della Corte et al. (2013) used a totally different sampling setup.

4.1.2 Fe-rich particles

In many airborne Upper Troposphere/Lower Stratosphere (UTLS) measurements "iron-rich / metallic" particles were reported (e.g. Targino et al., 2006). However, most studies give no further details about these particles, not even which actual metals were detected. Zolensky et al. (1989) found two different Fe-rich particle types, one with high contents of sulfur and one type without sulfur. In the present study, this differentiation is not possible, because of the sample overloading and the subsequent strong agglomeration of the sulfur-containing volatile material with most of the refractory particles (e.g., visible in Figure 3b and f). Xu et al. (2001) also applied SEM-EDX for the characterization of individual stratospheric particles. They detected no particles larger than 500 nm particle diameter, but in the size-range 100 – 500 nm, iron rich particles were the main component of the refractory particles. Already in 1965, Mossop suggested that small Fe-containing particles may be of extraterrestrial origin. For example Hua and Buseck (1998) report on Fe_3O_4 spherules observed in carbonaceous chondrites. Another possible source for these particles is anthropogenic space debris or MDP (Zolensky et al., 1989).

Iron/iron oxide have some risk to be instrumental artifacts, as these particles could be produced by abrasion of iron-containing material, which is used for aircraft and inlet design. For example, Murphy et al. (2004) describe this effect. However, abrasion particles show in principle no spherical morphology. Furthermore, they show often other alloy components as Cr, Mn or Ni, being usually derived from stainless steel components. As consequence, we do not regard the Fe-rich particles as artifacts.

4.1.3 Silicates

In stratospheric samples silicates are often described as main refractory component. As source for these silicates most often chondritic material from cosmic dust is discussed. The original chondritic material is characterized by specific elemental ratios, e.g. a high Mg/Si ratio (~1, Rietmeijer (1998)). Similar values (~ 0.85) were determined

for the small (particle diameter < 500 nm) silicate spheres found during ESSenCe and RECONCILE. The larger silicate spheres (particle diameter > 500 nm), which were only found in the RECONCILE samples, show lower Mg/Si ratios (~ 0.4). It is known that high temperature processing leads to a loss of more volatile materials. Volatility studies have shown that within the residues up to a remaining fraction of 20% Mg and Si acts similar, but for even higher ablation rates Mg becomes depleted in contrast to Si (Floss et al., 1996). In this way the residual spheres as the remains of heated but not fully ablated material can show completely different elemental ratios. In this way Riemtmeijer (2000) states: "We may consider the possibility that nonchondritic element abundances are a norm rather than an exception." Twenty percent of the large silicate particles during RECONCILE are not spheres but show irregular morphologies (Figure 3f). Even when these fact does not allow an unambiguous assignment to a high temperature history, these particles can originate from cosmic dust. A different source for such particles is discussed by Zolensky et al. (1989). They suggested that such silicates with nonchondritic composition (which were the majority in the size range 1 – 5 μm in their study) may originate from aerospace activities. They listed as sources solid rocket fuel exhaust, solid rocket motor ablation, thermal reflective paint from the outer hull of spacecraft, and ablating hardware from satellites and discarded rocket sections in low-Earth orbit.

4.1.4   C/Si mixed particles

Detailed analysis of the C/Si-mixed agglomerates shows, that carbon and silicon are often distributed heterogeneously within the analyzed agglomerates (Figure 3e). At least half of the mixtures were agglomerates of carbon-rich grains and silicate particles. This is a hint for carbonaceous chondrites. In this way, a cosmic origin is the most likely source for the C/Si mixed particles.

4.1.5   Mixed metal/metal oxides

As mentioned above, these particles show a composition which is similar to certain anthropogenic metal alloys. In the NASA cosmic dust catalog (J.L. Warren et al., 2011), such "alloy-like" stratospheric particles are partly classified as cosmic and partly as artificial terrestrial contamination.

As metallic inlet abrasion particles are a well-known artifact of stratospheric particle collection (Murphy et al., 2004) this process cannot be excluded as possible source for at least some of these particles. This is supported by the fact that the average diameter of this mixed group is 1.65 µm, the largest one of all observed groups. Furthermore, 2/3 (62 of 93) of all observed refractory particles larger than 2 µm belong to this group (see also size distribution in Figure 9). Thus, on the one hand with regard to the high number of such large particles in such a small sample volume, contamination is not an unlikely source.

On the other hand, there is a variety of findings also supporting the particles' non-artifact origin. First, 75% of the mixed particles are spheres, contain spheres or other rounded structures, pointing to a high temperature history. This is a strong hint that the particles are not originating from the inlet or the sampling line of the sampling system, as abrasion particles would not show such spherical structures. Second, these particles were not observed in all RECONCILE samples and not in blind samples taken before and during RECONCILE. As sampling conditions inside the aircraft were the same for all RECONCILE flights, contamination should be thus expected to be found in all samples similarly.

Thus, we consider these particles in the present work not to be instrumental artifacts of the aircraft sampling.

The size, mixing-state and chemical composition of these particles fit to particles described by Mackinnon et al. (1982) in the Johnson Space Center Stratospheric Dust Collection and classified as "Al prime". Thirty one percent of all detected agglomerates in this study belong to this group. Mackinnon et al. describes variable abundance of Al, Fe and Si in these supermicron agglomerates, what is in accordance with the complex mixing state of Si-rich, Al-rich and Fe-rich primary particles, which we determined within the complex agglomerates. As the samples during the Johnson Space Center Stratospheric Dust Collection were collected on flat-plate dust collectors mounted on the wings of the aircrafts it is highly unlikely that the particles in these samples are instrumental artefacts. Mackinnon et al. assumes that the source of the majority of the Al prime particles is anthropogenic (partly solid rocket fuel exhaust) and that a minority has an extraterrestrial origin.

A possible origin of these particles might be, similarly to the case of the Fe-rich particles aerospace activities (rocket exhaust, space debris), according to Zolensky et al. (1989). Fe and Al, but also other metals are among the most abundant elements in such particles, which also have high chance to have undergone high temperature processes. A space debris source is also indicated by the findings of Weigel et al. (2014). During the RECONCILE campaign they observed a strong subsidence, i.e. most particles will have their origin at high altitudes (e. g.,

extraterrestrial, MDP). The contribution of meteoric particles is discussed controversely, but recent investigations suggest that meteoric material contributes a significant fraction of the total stratospheric aerosol mass (Carslaw and Kärcher (2006)).

For example, Jackman et al. (1996), Danilin et al. (2001), and Deshler (2008) have discussed the contribution of space debris and rocket exhaust particles for the abundance of refractory stratospheric particles. While they assume that the abundance of such particles may be in average low, Newman (2001) has found that the exhaust plume of a single rocket could have been observed over relatively large time and spatial scales. In this way such an individual event (either a rocket plume or a plume of space debris or meteoric material) could lead to an drastically higher abundance of such particles in individual stratospheric particle samples and could be one possible explanation for the large number of observed large refractory particles during the RECONCILE experiment. The Fe and Al containing particles are commonly observed in stratospheric aerosol particles. Cziczo et al. (2002) describes Fe and Al signatures in their mass spectrometry measurements, and also Kordesh (1983), Sheridan et al. (1994) and Chen et al. (1998) describe particles with high Fe and Al contents. In this way, we may hypothesize that their source must be widespread.

In some of the observed mixed metal particles also K and F was determined. These elements are often used as fingerprint for welding processes (Berlinger et al., (2011)) as potassium fluoride is used as flux melting agent. Thus, at least a fraction of the mixed metal/ metal oxide particles will have an anthropogenic (space debris, rockets) and not a cosmic origin.

4.1.6 Pb-rich

In both field campaigns small (~ 50 nm) Pb-rich particles were observed. Most of the small Pb-rich particles were embedded in volatile material. The Pb-rich inclusions becomes visible under electron bombardement in the ESEM, when the volatile material is evaporating (Figure 5). It has to be noted that because of the high average atomic number these particles are extremely bright in SE image in the electron microscope (see Figure 6a) and in this way may be overrepresented in the qualitatively analysis of the fine stage. The mass abundance of this component can be very low. Nevertheless, by in-situ mass spectrometry measurements Murphy et al. (2007b) found in 5 to 25 % of all analyzed particles in the upper troposphere lead. He discussed aviation fuel and coal combustion as source for these particles. A distinct internal contamination source for lead could not be

discovered, but because of the ubiquitary distribution of trace amounts of lead in the environment (Murphy et al. (2007b) it cannot completely be excluded.

4.1.7 Soot

Soot particles were also found in the stratosphere by other researchers (e.g. Renard et al. (2003); Cziczo et al. (2002); Pueschel et al. (1992 and 1997); Sheridan et al. (1994); and Strawa et al. (1999)). As most probable source aviation fuel, rocket launches and forest fires are discussed. Bigg (2011) also propose MDP`s as possible source for soot particles in the stratosphere. This would possibly explain the observation that during RECONCILE the abundance of small soot particles and of the larger mixed metal particles (also originating from upper stratosphere/mesosphere) in individual flight samples seem to be partly correlated. A self-match of the Geophysica aircraft can be excluded. During RECONCILE one self-match flight was performed (01/30/2010, see Suminska-Ebersoldt et al., 2012) and it was shown that such a self-match becomes directly visible in the data of diverse Geophysica measuring devices like the COPAS system (Weigel et al., 2009).

4.1.8 Aluminium oxide spheres (AOS)

Solid rocket motors respectively the impact of launch vehicle activity are known to be a source for aluminum rich particles in the stratosphere (e.g. Cziczo et al., 2002). The impact of these particles on the heterogeneous chemistry of stratospheric ozone is studied since the early 70`s as part of the Climatic Impact Assessment Program (CIAP) (Hoshizaki, 1975). Detailed discussion can be found e.g. by Danilin et al. (2001), Jackman et al. (1998) and Denison et al. (1994). Cofer et al. (1991) measured a bimodal size distribution of AOS in the Space Shuttle plume with peaks at 2 μm and < 0.3 μm.

Small AOS spheres (diameter around 100 nm) were observed during RECONCILE and ESSenCe (see Figure 7a). While no externally mixed supermicron AOS particles were detected during both campaigns, supermicron AOS were part of the complex agglomerates within the mixed metal/ metal oxide group, observed during RECONCILE and described in chapter 4.1.5. In Kiruna rockets are launched within the framework of the ESRANGE program. A local plume from this activity cannot be expected as the last rocket launches from Kiruna prior to RECONCILE were conducted at 22th and 29th of November 2009 and in this way around 7 weeks before the sampling flights.

But there is a constant entry of particles from space launch activities in stratosphere and mesosphere. For example, during the time period of RECONCILE at least 9 space launches took place, including Proton rockets, Soyuz-U, Delta rockets, and the Space Shuttle Endeavour (see www.spacelaunchreport.com/log2010.html).

4.2 Atmospheric situation during sampling

Within the samples taken during the one single sampling flight of the ESSenCe campaign, only a small number (106) of sub-µm and no super-µm refractory particles were detected. In contrast some hundred large refractory particles were observed within the eleven RECONCILE flight samples. Further on, for the eleven RECONCILE flights at least two different situations were found. Flights 2, 4 and 5 deliver samples with Ca-rich particles, silicates, carbon/silicate-mixtures and Fe-rich particles, while the samples 1, 3, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 13 show an additionally high abundance of mixed metal/metal oxide particles. A detailed discussion of the flight conditions with respect to PSC occurrence and polar vortex situation for all conducted flights can be found in Weigel et al. (2014) and Molleker et al. (2013). The most important data for the different sampling flights are summarized in Figure 2 and Table 1. According to the classification of Weigel et al. (2014), three sampling flights were performed outside of vortex, five in the central vortex and three under mixed vortex conditions (like vortex walls). An assessment of PSC occurrence during the specific sampling times is based on the data from Molleker et al. (2013). These data suggest a PSC occurrence for the samples 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 of RECONCILE and the single flight A of ESSenCe. It has to be noted however, that due to the long sampling time and the unknown density and exact location of the PSCs, the real impact of the PSCs on the single samples is difficult to estimate. For example, a sample from this subset might originate from anywhere between a PSC core to the region close to, but not within a PSC. Thus, it can neither be concluded that the PSC conditions during this 6 flights were completely comparable, nor that all sampled particles from these events originate from a dense PSC.

Nevertheless, it is a clear result that all samples without any mixed metal/metal oxide particles were taken within classified PSC events. An exception is the RECONCILE flight #9, where also no mixed metal/ metal oxide particles were observed. But this flight took place in outer vortex and at an (in contrast to the other flights) high potential temperature of ~ 500 K (see Table 1 and Figure 2), indicating a different air mass origin. Within the PSC samples also the highest abundance of Ca-rich particles were determined.

Further on, there is generally much variation in the potential temperature (Θ) of the different flights, ranging from Θ = 400 K up to values of Θ = 515 K, indicating potential different air masses as source for the analyzed refractory particles. In general, it is difficult to compare the ESSenCe situation in early winter with a young vortex with the RECONCILE situation in late winter with advanced subsidence.

In all ESSenCe and in 3 of 5 RECONCILE samples, which were taken within distinct PSC events, large non-volatile particles were almost completely absent. During ESSenCe inside the PSC no refractory particles larger than 500 nm could be detected at all and only a small number (116) of small (< 500 nm) refractory particles was determined. Beside around 35 % Mg-rich silicate spheres and 25 % Fe-rich spheres , which will have dominantly cosmic origin, also 25 % aluminum oxide spheres (AOS) presumably from rocket launches were detected and 15 % lead-rich particles from an unknown source.

Weigel et al. (2014) states that during RECONCILE air masses from upper stratosphere and mesosphere were subsiding inside the Arctic winter vortex, thus initializing a transport of refractory aerosol into the lower stratosphere (Θ<500 K). The strength and extent of this downward transport depends on the dynamical evolution of the vortex.

5   CONCLUSION

1 During the polar stratospheric flight campaign RECONCILE 759 refractory particles with diameters above 500 nm were detected in 11 flight samples. During this campaign the air mass subsidence inside the Arctic winter vortex from upper stratosphere and mesosphere was advanced, thus enabling transport of these refractory particles into the lower stratosphere (Θ<500 K). Fe-rich particles, Ca-rich particles, silicates, silicate/carbon mixed particles and mixed metal particles will most likely originate from different sources, as meteoric material, space debris and to lower extent also from terrestrial sources. For an unambiguous identification of distinct particle origin further research is necessary.

2 In the ESSenCe flight samples (single flight within a PSC) no large refractory particles were observed. Most likely, the transport of refractory particles from upper stratosphere and mesosphere into the lower stratosphere

did not take place in early winter situation (young vortex) present during ESSENCE. The difference in refractory particle abundance between the two campaigns clearly shows the necessity for further investigations of the stratospheric aerosol in the polar region, especially under advanced subsidence conditions.

3 Refractory particles can provide a surface for heterogeneous condensation during PSC events. The occurrence and importance of this possible PSC nucleation pathway is controversial discussed and tested in laboratory experiments in the last years (e.g. Biermann et al., 1996; Engel et al., 2013).

While the data base is still sparse, we have observed the general tendency that the number of large refractory particles, which were encountered during the special conditions of RECONCILE, decrease during PSC events. We explain this experimental observation by the activation of the large refractory particles into PSC elements under favorable conditions. In this situation sampling of these particles by the fast-flying Geophysica aircraft is prevented due to particle and subsequent inertia growth.

Acknowledgements:

This work was partly supported by the project ROMIC-SPITFIRE sponsored by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research (FKZ 01LG1205D) and partly by STRATOCLIM sponsored by the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7) –project reference 603557. The authors thank the M-55 Geophysica team and the MDB (Myasishev Design Bureau, Moscow, Russia) for planning and carrying out the flights and Enviscope GmbH for logistical support during the RECONCILE campaign. The RECONCILE campaign was funded under the European Commission Seventh Framework Programme (FP7) under the Grant number RECONCILE-226365-FP7-ENV-2008-1. ESSenCe was partly supported by the German Research Foundation (DFG) under contract HALO-SPP 1294/GR 3786.

REFERENCES

Amyx, K., Sternovsky, Z., Knappmiller, S., Robertson, S., Horanyi, M., and Gumbel, J.: In-situ measurement of smoke particles in the wintertime polar mesosphere between 80 and 85km altitude, Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, 70, 61-70, 10.1016/j.jastp.2007.09.013, 2008.

Bardeen, C. G., Toon, O. B., Jensen, E. J., Marsh, D. R., and Harvey, V. L.: Numerical simulations of the three-dimensional distribution of meteoric dust in the mesosphere and upper stratosphere, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113, D17202, 10.1029/2007jd009515, 2008.

Berlinger, B., Benker, N., Weinbruch, S., Vov, B. L., Ebert, M., Koch, W., Ellingsen, D.G., and Thomassen, Y.: Physicochemical characterisation of different welding aerosols, Anal.Bioanal.Chem., 399, DOI 10.1007/s00216-010-4185-7, 1773-1780, 2011.

Biermann, U. M., Presper, T., Koop, T., Mossinger, J., Crutzen, P. J., & Peter, T. : The unsuitability of meteoritic and other nuclei for polar stratospheric cloud freezing, Geophysical Research Letters, 23, 1693-1696, 1996.

Bigg, E. K., Ono, A., and Thompson, W. J.: Aerosols at altitudes between 20 and 37 km, Tellus, 22, 550-563, 10.1111/j.2153-3490.1970.tb00522.x, 1970.

Bigg, E. K., Kviz, Z., and Thompson, W. J.: Electron microscope photographs of extraterrestrial particles, Tellus, 23, 247-260, 10.1111/j.2153-3490.1971.tb00567.x, 1971.

Bigg, E.K.: Sources of particulates in the upper stratosphere, Journal of Cosmology, 16, 6677-6687, 2011.

Bigg, E. K.: Sources of insoluble inclusions in stratospheric sulfate particles, Meteoritics & Planetary Science, 47, 799-805, 10.1111/j.1945-5100.2012.01346.x, 2012.

Borrmann, S., Kunkel, D., Weigel, R., Minikin, A., Deshler, T., Wilson, J. C., Curtius, J., Volk, C. M., Homan, C. D., Ulanovsky, A., Ravegnani, F., Viciani, S., Shur, G. N., Belyaev, G. V., Law, K. S., and Cairo, F.: Aerosols in the tropical and subtropical UT/LS: in-situ measurements of submicron particle abundance and volatility, Atmos Chem Phys, 10, 5573-5592, DOI 10.5194/acp-10-5573-2010, 2010.

Campbell, P., and Deshler, T.: Condensation nuclei measurements in the midlatitude (1982–2012) and Antarctic (1986–2010) stratosphere between 20 and 35 km, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 119, 2013JD019710, 10.1002/2013jd019710, 2014.

Carslaw, K. C. and Kärcher, B.: Stratospheric aerosol processes, in Assessment of Stratospheric Aerosol Properties, edited by L. Thomason and T. Peter, WCRP 124, WMO/TD 1295, SPARC Rep. 4, World Meteorol. Organ., Geneva, Switzerland, 2006.

Chang, H.-Y. A., Koop, T., Molina, L. T., and Molina, M. J.: Phase Transitions in Emulsified HNO3/H2O and HNO3/H2SO4/H2O Solutions, The Journal of Physical Chemistry A, 103, 2673-2679, 10.1021/jp9841034, 1999.

Chen, Y., Kreidenweis, S. M., McInnes, L. M., Rogers, D. C., & DeMott, P. J.: Single particle analyses of ice nucleating aerosols in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere. *Geophysical research letters*, *25*(9), 1391-1394, 1998.

Choël, M., Deboudt, K., Osán, J., Flament, P., and Van Grieken, R.: Quantitative Determination of Low-Z Elements in Single Atmospheric Particles on Boron Substrates by Automated Scanning Electron Microscopy–Energy-Dispersive X-ray Spectrometry, Anal. Chem, 77, 5686-5692, 10.1021/ac050739x, 2005.

Cofer III, W.R., Purgold, G.C., Winstead, E.L., and Edahl, R.A.: Space Shuttle Exhausted Aluminum Oxide: A measured particle size distribution, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 96, 17371-17376, 1991.

Curtius, J., Weigel, R., Vössing, H. J., Wernli, H., Werner, A., Volk, C. M., Konopka, P., Krebsbach, M., Schiller, C., Roiger, A., Schlager, H., Dreiling, V., and Borrmann, S.: Observations of meteoric material and implications for aerosol nucleation in the winter Arctic lower stratosphere derived from in situ particle measurements, Atmos Chem Phys, 5, 3053-3069, 2005.

Cziczo, D. J., Thomson, D. S., and Murphy, D. M.: Ablation, flux, and atmospheric implications of meteors inferred from stratospheric aerosol, Science, 291, 1772-1775, 2001.

Danilin, M.Y., Ko, M.K.W., and Weisenstein, D.K.: Global implications of ozone loss in a space shuttle wake, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 106, 3591-3601, 2001.

DELLA CORTE, V., RIETMEIJER, F. J. M. , ROTUNDI, A., FERRARI, M., and PALUMBO, P.: Meteoric CaO and carbon smoke particles collected in the upper stratosphere from an unanticipated source, Tellus B 2013, 65, 20174, http://dx.doi.org/10.3402/tellusb.v65i0.20174.

Deshler, T., Larsen, N., Weissner, C., Schreiner, J., Mauersberger, K., Cairo, F., ... & Blum, U.: Large nitric acid particles at the top of an Arctic stratospheric cloud. Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 108(D16), doi:10.1029/2003JD003479, 2003.

Deshler, T.: A review of global stratospheric aerosol: Measurements, importance, life cycle, and local stratospheric aerosol. Atmospheric Research, 90(2), 223-232, 2008.

Denison, M., Lamb, J. J., Bjorndahl, W. D., Wong, E. Y., & Lohn, P. D. : Solid rocket exhaust in the stratosphere-Plume diffusion and chemical reactions, Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets, 31(3), 435-442, 1994.

Dhomse, S. S., Saunders, R. W., Tian, W., Chipperfield, M. P., and Plane, J. M. C.: Plutonium-238 observations as a test of modeled transport and surface deposition of meteoric smoke particles, Geophys Res Lett, 40, 4454-4458, 10.1002/grl.50840, 2013.

Engel, I., Luo, B. P., Pitts, M. C., Poole, L. R., Hoyle, C. R., Grooß, J. U., Dörnbrack, A., & Peter, T.: Heterogeneous formation of polar stratospheric clouds–Part 2: Nucleation of ice on synoptic scales. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13(21), 10769-10785, 2013.

Floss, C., El Goresy, A., Zinner, E., Kransel, G., Rammensee, W., & Palme, H.: Elemental and isotopic fractionations produced through evaporation of the Allende CV chondrilte: Implications for the origin of HAL-type hibonite inclusions. Geochimica et cosmochimica acta, 60(11), 1975-1997, 1996.

Frankland, V. L., James, A. D., Feng, W., and Plane, J. M. C.: The uptake of HNO3 on meteoric smoke analogues, Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics, http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2015.01.010, 2015.

Friedrich, M., Rapp, M., Blix, T., Hoppe, U. P., Torkar, K., Robertson, S., Dickson, S., and Lynch, K.: Electron loss and meteoric dust in the mesosphere, Ann. Geophys., 30, 1495-1501, 10.5194/angeo-30-1495-2012, 2012.

Fromm, M. D., Alfred, J., Hornstein, J., Bevilacqua, R., Shettle, E., Servranckx, R. Zhanqing, L., Brian, S.: Observations of boreal forest fire smoke in the stratosphere by POAM III, SAGE II, and lidar in 1998, Geophys. Res. Lett. 27(9), 1407–1410, 2000.

Gettelman, A., Hoor, P., Pan, L. L., Randel, W. J., Hegglin, M. I., and Birner, T.: THE EXTRATROPICAL UPPER TROPOSPHERE AND LOWER STRATOSPHERE, Rev Geophys, 49, RG3003, 10.1029/2011rg000355, 2011.

Hermann, M., Stratmann, F., Wilck, M., and Wiedensohler, A.: Sampling Characteristics of an Aircraft-Borne Aerosol Inlet System, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 18, 7-19, 10.1175/1520-0426(2001)018<0007:scoaab>2.0.co;2, 2001.

Hervig, M. E., Gordley, L. L., Deaver, L. E., Siskind, D. E., Stevens, M. H., Russell, J. M., Bailey, S. M., Megner, L., and Bardeen, C. G.: First Satellite Observations of Meteoric Smoke in the Middle Atmosphere, Geophys Res Lett, 36, Artn L18805 Doi 10.1029/2009gl039737, 2009.

Hua. X. and Buseck, P.R.: Unusual forms of magnetite in the Orgueil carbonaceous chondrite, Meteoritics & Planetary Science 33, A215-A220, 1998.

Jackman, C.H., Considine, D.B., and Fleming, E.L.: A global modeling study of solid rocket aluminum oxide emission effects on stratospheric ozone, Geophysical Research Letters, Vol.25, No.6, 907-910, 1998.

Jost, H. J., Drdla, K., Stohl, A., Pfister, L., Loewenstein, M., Lopez, J. P., ... & Bui, T. P.: In-situ observations of mid-latitude forest fire plumes deep in the stratosphere. Geophysical Research Letters, 31(11), L11101, doi:10.1029/2003GL019253, 2004.

Junge, C.E., Air Chemistry, Academic, New York, 1963.

Kandler, K., Benker, N., Bundke, U., Cuevas, E., Ebert, M., Knippertz, P., Rodríguez, S., Schütz, L., and Weinbruch, S.: Chemical composition and complex refractive index of Saharan Mineral Dust at Izaña, Tenerife

(Spain) derived by electron microscopy, Atmos. Environ., 41, 8058-8074, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.06.047, 2007.

Kaufmann, M., Blank, J., Guggenmoser, T., Ungermann, J., Engel, A., Ern, M., Friedl-Vallon, F., Gerber, D., Grooß, J. U., Guenther, G., Höpfner, M., Kleinert, A., Kretschmer, E., Latzko, T., Maucher, G., Neubert, T., Nordmeyer, H., Oelhaf, H., Olschewski, F., Orphal, J., Preusse, P., Schlager, H., Schneider, H., Schuettemeyer, D., Stroh, F., Suminska-Ebersoldt, O., Vogel, B., M. Volk, C., Woiwode, W., and Riese, M.: Retrieval of three-dimensional small-scale structures in upper-tropospheric/lower-stratospheric composition as measured by GLORIA, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 8, 81-95, 10.5194/amt-8-81-2015, 2015.

Kordesh, K. M., Mackinnon, I. D.R., & McKay, D. S.: A new classification and database for stratospheric dust particles. In *Lunar and Planetary Science Conference*, Lunar and Planetary Institute, Houston, TX, pp. 389-390, 1983.

Mackinnon, I. D. R., Mckay, D. S., Nace, G., and Isaacs, A. M.: Classification of the Johnson Space Center Stratospheric Dust Collection, J Geophys Res, 87, A413-A421, 1982.

M**attis, I., Siefert, P., Muller, D., Tesche, M., Hiebsch, A., Kanitz, T., Schmidt, J., Finger, F., Wandinger, U., and Ansmann, A.**: Volcanic aerosol layers observed with multiwavelength Raman lidar over central Europe in 2008-2009, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 115, Artn D00l04Doi 10.1029/2009jd013472, 2010.

M**egner, L., Siskind, D. E., Rapp, M., and Gumbel, J.**: Global and temporal distribution of meteoric smoke: A two-dimensional simulation study, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, 113, D03202, 10.1029/2007jd009054, 2008.

Mossop, S. C.: Stratospheric particles at 20 km altitude, Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta, 29, 201 – 207, 1965.

Murphy, D. M., Thomson, D. S., and Mahoney, M. J.: In Situ Measurements of Organics, Meteoritic Material, Mercury, and Other Elements in Aerosols at 5 to 19 Kilometers, Science, 282, 1664-1669, 10.1126/science.282.5394.1664, 1998.

Murphy, D. M., Cziczo, D. J., Hudson, P. K., & Thomson, D. S.: Carbonaceous material in aerosol particles in the lower stratosphere and tropopause region. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*, *112*, D04203, doi:10.1029/2006JD007297, 2007.

Murphy, D. M., Hudson, P. K., Cziczo, D. J., Gallavardin, S., Froyd, K. D., Johnston, M. V, Wexler, A. S. et al.: Distribution of lead in single atmospheric particles. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 7(12), 3195-3210, 2007b.

Murphy, D. M., Froyd, K. D., Schwarz, J. P., and Wilson, J. C.: Observations of the chemical composition of stratospheric aerosol particles, Q J Roy Meteor Soc, n/a-n/a, 10.1002/qj.2213, 2013.

Plane, J. M. C.: Cosmic dust in the earth's atmosphere, Chemical Society Reviews, 41, 6507-6518, 2012.

P**lane, J. M. C., Saunders, R. W., Hedin, J., Stegman, J., Khaplanov, M., Gumbel, J., Lynch, K. A., Bracikowski, P. J., Gelinas, L. J., Friedrich, M., Blindheim, S., Gausa, M., and Williams, B. P.**: A combined rocket-borne and ground-based study of the sodium layer and charged dust in the upper mesosphere, J. Atmos. Solar-Terr. Phys., 118, 151–160, 2014.

P**ueschel, R. F., Blake, D. F., Snetsinger, K. G., Hansen, A. D. A., Verma, S., andb Kato, K.**: Black carbon (soot) aerosol in the lower stratosphere and upper troposphere, Geophysical Research Letters, 19, 1659-1662, 1992.

P**ueschel, R. F., Boering, K. A., Verma, S., Howard, D., Ferry, G. V., Goodman, J., Allen, D. A., Hamill, P.:** Soot aerosol in the lower stratosphere: Pole-to-pole variability and contribution by aircrafts, J Geophys Res-Atmos, 102, 13113-13118, 1997.

Raabe, O. G., Braaten, D. A., Axelbaum, R. L., Teague, S. V., and Cahill, T. A.: Calibration studies of the DRUM impactor, J. Aerosol Sci., 19, 183-195, 1988.

Rapp, M., Plane, J. M. C., Strelnikov, B., Stober, G., Ernst, S., Hedin, J., Friedrich, M., and Hoppe, U. P.: In situ observations of meteor smoke particles (MSP) during the Geminids 2010: constraints on MSP size, work function and composition, Ann. Geophys., 30, 1661-1673, 10.5194/angeo-30-1661-2012, 2012.

Renard, J.-B., Brogniez, C., Berthet, G., Bourgeois, Q., Gaubicher, B., Chartier, M., Balois, J.-Y., Verwaerde, C., Auriol, F., Francois, P., Daugeron, D., and Engrand, C.: Vertical distribution of the different types of aerosols in

the stratosphere, Detection of solid particles and analysis of their spatial variability, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D21303, doi:10.1029/2008JD010150, 2008.

Rietmeijer, F. J. M.: Chapter 2 – Interplanetary dust particles in Reviews in Mineralogy, Vol.36 Planetary Materials, The Mineralogical Society of America, Washington DC, USA, 1998.

Rietmeijer, F. J. : Interrelationships among meteoric metals, meteors, interplanetary dust, micrometeorites, and meteorites. Meteoritics & Planetary Science, 35(5), 1025-1041, 2000.

Saunders, R. W., Möhler, O., Schnaiter, M., Benz, S., Wagner, R., Saathoff, H., Connolly, P. J., Burgess, R., Murray, B. J., Gallagher, M., Wills, R., and Plane, J. M. C.: An aerosol chamber investigation of the heterogeneous ice nucleating potential of refractory nanoparticles, Atmos Chem Phys, 10, 1227-1247, DOI 10.5194/acp-10-1227-2010, 2010.

S**aunders, R. W., Dhomse, S., Tian, W. S., Chipperfield, M. P., and Plane, J. M. C.**: Interactions of meteoric smoke particles with sulphuric acid in the Earth's stratosphere, Atmos Chem Phys, 12, 4387-4398, DOI 10.5194/acp-12-4387-2012, 2012.

Sheridan, P.J., Brock , C.A., and Wilson, J.C.: Aerosol particles in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere: Elemental composition and morphology of individual particles in northern midlatitudes, GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH LETTERS, VOL. 21, NO. 23, PAGES 2587-2590, NOVEMBER 15, 1994.

Stefanutti, L., Sokolov, L., MacKenzie, A.R., Balestri, S., and Khattatov, V.: The M-55 Geophysica as a platform for the Airborne Polar Experiment, J.Atmos.Oceanic Technol., 16, 1303-1312, 1999.

Strawa, A. W., Drdla, K., Ferry, G. V., Verma, S., Pueschel, R. F., Yasuda, M., ... & Cohen, R.: Carbonaceous aerosol (soot) measured in the lower stratosphere during POLARIS and its role in stratospheric photochemistry. *Journal of geophysical research*, *104*(26), 753-766, 1999.

Sumińska-Ebersoldt, O., Lehmann, R., Wegner, T., Grooß, J. U., Hösen, E., Weigel, R., . W. Frey, W., S. Griessbach, S., Mitev, V., Emde, C., Volk, C.M., Borrmann, S., Rex, M., Stroh, F., and M. von Hobe, M.:

ClOOCl photolysis at high solar zenith angles: analysis of the RECONCILE self-match flight. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12(3), 1353-1365, 2012.

Targino, A. C., Krejci, R., Noone, K. J., and Glantz, P.: Single particle analysis of ice crystal residuals observed in orographic wave clouds over Scandinavia during INTACC experiment, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 1977-1990, doi:10.5194/acp-6-1977-2006, 2006.

Vernier, J. P., Thomason, L. W., Pommereau, J. P., Bourassa, A., Pelon, J., Garnier, A., Hauchecorne, A., Blanot, L., Trepte, C., Degenstein, D., and Vargas, F.: Major influence of tropical volcanic eruptions on the stratospheric aerosol layer during the last decade, Geophys Res Lett, 38, Artn L12807Doi 10.1029/2011gl047563, 2011.

von Hobe, M., Bekki, S., Borrmann, S., Cairo, F., D'Amato, F., Di Donfrancesco, G., Dörnbrack, A., Ebersoldt, A., Ebert, M., Emde, C., Engel, I., Ern, M., Frey, W., Genco, S., Griessbach, S., Grooß, J. U., Gulde, T., Günther, G., Hösen, E., Hoffmann, L., Homonnai, V., Hoyle, C. R., Isaksen, I. S. A., Jackson, D. R., Jánosi, I. M., Jones, R. L., Kandler, K., Kalicinsky, C., Keil, A., Khaykin, S. M., Khosrawi, F., Kivi, R., Kuttippurath, J., Laube, J. C., Lefèvre, F., Lehmann, R., Ludmann, S., Luo, B. P., Marchand, M., Meyer, J., Mitev, V., Molleker, S., Müller, R., Oelhaf, H., Olschewski, F., Orsolini, Y., Peter, T., Pfeilsticker, K., Piesch, C., Pitts, M. C., Poole, L. R., Pope, F. D., Ravegnani, F., Rex, M., Riese, M., Röckmann, T., Rognerud, B., Roiger, A., Rolf, C., Santee, M. L., Scheibe, M., Schiller, C., Schlager, H., Siciliani de Cumis, M., Sitnikov, N., Søvde, O. A., Spang, R., Spelten, N., Stordal, F., Sumi, ska-Ebersoldt, O., Ulanovski, A., Ungermann, J., Viciani, S., Volk, C. M., vom Scheidt, M., von der Gathen, P., Walker, K., Wegner, T., Weigel, R., Weinbruch, S., Wetzel, G., Wienhold, F. G., Wohltmann, I., Woiwode, W., Young, I. A. K., Yushkov, V., Zobrist, B., and Stroh, F.: Reconciliation of essential process parameters for an enhanced predictability of Arctic stratospheric ozone loss and its climate interactions (RECONCILE): activities and results, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 9233-9268, 10.5194/acp-13-9233-2013, 2013.

Weigel, R., Hermann, M., Curtius, J., Voigt, C., Walter, S., Bottger, T., Lepukhov, B., Belyaev, G., and Borrmann, S.: Experimental characterization of the COndensation PArticle counting System for high altitude aircraft-borne application, Atmos Meas Tech, 2, 243-258, 2009.

Weigel, R., Volk, C. M., Kandler, K., Hosen, E., Gunther, G., Vogel, B., Grooss, J. U., Khaykin, S., Belyaev, G. V., and Borrmann, S.: Enhancements of the refractory submicron aerosol fraction in the Arctic polar vortex: feature or exception?, Atmos Chem Phys, 14, 12319-12342, DOI 10.5194/acp-14-12319-2014, 2014.

Wilson, J. C., Lee, S. H., Reeves, J. M., Brock, C. A., Jonsson, H. H., Lafleur, B. G., ... & Toon, G.: Steady-state aerosol distributions in the extra-tropical, lower stratosphere and the processes that maintain them. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 8(22), 6617-6626, 2008.

Wise, M. E., Brooks, S. D., Garland, R. M., Cziczo, D. J., Martin, S. T., and Tolbert, M. A.: Solubility and freezing effects of Fe2+ and Mg2+ in H2SO4 solutions representative of upper tropospheric and lower stratospheric sulfate particles, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4434, 10.1029/2003jd003420, 2003.

Xu, L., Okada, K., Iwasaka, Y., Hara, K., Okuhara, Y., Tsutsumi, Y., Shi, G.: The composition of individual aerosol particle in the troposphere and stratosphere over Xianghe (39.453N, 117.03E), China, Atmospheric Environment 35 (2001) 3145 – 3153.

Zolensky, M.E., McKay, D.S., Kaczor, L.A.: A tenfold increase in the abundance of large solid particles in the stratosphere, as measured over the period 1976–1984, Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres, Volume 94, Issue D1, pages 1047–1056, 20 January 1989

Table 1: **Aerosol samples with corresponding flight date, potential temperature [K] and the vortex indicator based on dynamic tracer measurements and CTM modelling for RECONCILE and ESSenCe (data from Weigel et al., 2014).**

aerosol sample	flight date	potential temperature [K]	vortex index	flight campaign
1	17 Jan 2010	410-425	0.6	
2	20 Jan 2010	410-420	0.2	R
3	22 Jan 2010	415-430	0.9	E
4	24 Jan 2010	425-430	0.3	C
5	25 Jan 2010	440-450	0.9	O
6	28 Jan 2010	440-450	0.2	N
8	02 Feb 2010	425-445	-	C
9	27 Feb 2010	480-515	0.9	I
10	02 Mar 2010	460-470	1.0	L
12	05 Mar 2010	480-490	0.9	E
13	10 Mar 2010	480-490	0.8	
A-F	16 Dec 2011	400-440	0.7 - 1	ESSenCe

Figure 1: Left: Single two stage impactor used during reconcile. Right: Multi impactor system (allows 12 samples per flight) with purging system used during ESSenCe.

Figure 2: Potential temperature range, vortex situation and presence of polar stratospheric clouds for the 11 aerosol samples for RECONCILE (2010) and the ESSenCe sample (2011).

a

b

c

d

e

f

Figure 3: Secondary electron images of refractory particles in the coarse fraction. a) Silicatic spheres; b) Fe-rich particle; c) complex metallic mixture (Al/Cr/Mn/Fe); d) Ca-rich particle; e) Carbon/silicate mixture; f) silicate particle.

Figure 4: Secondary electron images of an agglomerate found during RECONCILE containing a silicate sphere, an aluminium oxide particle and a complex metal mixture.

Figure 5: Left: Three secondary particles containing different amounts of sulphates/nitrates/organics at the beginning of electron microscopic investigation. Right: Under electron bombardment the material is evaporizing after a few seconds exhibiting a lead rich inclusion.

Figure 6: Secondary electron images of refractory particles in the fine fraction. a) Lead rich agglomerate (bright) within a secondary particle, b) soot particle, c) agglomerate of spherical silicate particles, and d) soot inclusions (marked by arrows) within volatile material.

Figure 7: Secondary electron images of refractory particles in the fine fraction of ESSenCe samples.
a) Aluminum oxide spheres (AOS); b) Fe-rich particles; c) Pb-rich particles; d) Mg-rich silicates.

Figure 8: Particle group number abundance (PGNA) within eleven samples collected during RECONCILE flights. PGNA refers to refractive particles larger than 500 nm (*the number of analyzed particles is shown above each column).

Figure 9: Size distribution of refractive particles during RECONCILE.