

Interactive comment on “Analyzing cloud base at local and regional scales to understand tropical montane cloud forest vulnerability to climate change” by Ashley E. Van Beusekom et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 7 February 2017

Review of acp-2016-1166: “Analyzing cloud base at local and regional scales to understand tropical montane cloud forest vulnerability to climate change”

This paper describes results of an extensive cloud and meteorological monitoring campaign in the Caribbean in order to better understand the distribution of low boundary-layer marine clouds in time and space. Monitoring was uniquely carried out in mountain regions to gain insights about cloud immersion of tropical montane cloud forests and establish baseline conditions against which future changes may be measured. There are many results that I believe most readers (including me) will find cumbersome to wade through, but that is the nature of such a paper that reports on an extensive field campaign and important general conclusions were still clear. Low clouds are frequent

C1

in the year round, with the highest frequency occurring in the dry seasons. Spatial distributions of cloud frequency and base height were quite spatially heterogeneous, however, likely due to topographic effects. Although it is commonly believed that tropical montane cloud forests would be most sensitive to changes in the frequency and elevation of dry-season clouds, authors interpret their detection of a common presence of wet season clouds to indicate that changes to these clouds could also be ecologically impactful. This paper describes critical ground-work and initial results necessary for long-term monitoring of cloud variations and changes in a unique and ecologically important zone. The complicated nature of the results is just the nature of the beast for exploratory studies such as this one that include multiple sites, multiple metrics for measuring mean cloud height, and multiple monitoring tools test for corroboration. I therefore believe this paper is appropriate for publication in ACP.

Specific comments:

P1 L29: It is not explicitly clear what “higher” is relative to.

P2 L2: I would also imagine fog water input varies greatly throughout a forest depending on they types of surfaces available for collection and micro-climatic wind patterns.

P2 L20-22: It is worth mentioning a couple other relevant studies that have used long-term cloud-base observations to investigate spatiotemporal variations and trends in cloud frequency and cloud-base height. Williams et al. (2015, GRL) used records from 24 airfields in southern California to show that substantial warming-induced increases in cloud base have corresponded to local land-cover change via nighttime urban warming. Richardson et al. (2003, J Climate) analyzed cloud heights at 24 airfields in the Appalachian Mountain region of the eastern US and documented multi-decade (1973-1999) increases (decreases) in cloud-base height north (south) of 37.5°N. Causes of the changes are not rigorously investigated in that study but the ecological implications are stressed as important. Further, Rastogi et al. (2015, Earth Interactions) presented a relevant study that combined cloud-base observations with satellite and radiosonde

C2

observations to estimate how marine-layer stratus clouds intersect with topography.

Rastogi, B., A. P. Williams, D. T. Fischer, S. Iacobellis, K. McEachern, L. M. V. Carvalho, C. Jones, S. A. Baguskas, C. J. Still (2015), Spatial and temporal patterns of cloud cover and fog inundation in coastal California: ecological implications, *Earth Interactions*, In Review.

Richardson, A. D., E. G. Denny, T. G. Siccamo, X. Lee (2003), Evidence for a rising cloud ceiling in eastern North America, *Journal of Climate*, 16(12), 2093-2098, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2003)016<2093:EFARCC>2.0.CO;2.

Williams, A. P., R. E. Schwartz, S. Iacobellis, R. Seager, B. I. Cook, C. J. Still, G. Husak, J. Michaelsen (2015), Urbanization causes increased cloud-base height and decreased fog in coastal southern California, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 42(5), 1527-1536, doi:10.1002/2015GL063266.

There are many non-traditional abbreviations that I find distracting. For example: ERS, LRS, DS, MSD, TWI. By the half-way point of page 3 I'm fearing that at some point the entire paper may be abbreviated. I recommend unabbreviating some or all of the abbreviations that I list above and I believe doing so will enhance the interpretability and impact of the paper.

P4 L2-3: I think there is a word missing from this sentence.

P4 L28-30: It is not indicated what the time-step is for the values considered in this correlation analysis. Daily or annual cycles would cause correlation even if variability that is independent of the cycles is not correlated.

Interactive comment on *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, doi:10.5194/acp-2016-1166, 2017.