

Interactive comment on “Decoupling peroxyacetyl nitrate from ozone in Chinese outflows observed at Gosan Climate Observatory” by Jihyun Han et al.

Jihyun Han et al.

meehye@korea.ac.kr

Received and published: 22 May 2017

Correspondence to Referee #2

Thank you very much for your constructive comments. The response for each comment is given below and manuscript was revised accordingly.

Major comments Lines 89 - 114: The experimental details are insufficient and should be greatly expanded to include appropriate references for every measurement used; details on calibration technique and frequency should also be reported. The detection limits and uncertainty should also be included. More detail is particularly important for the NO and NO₂ measurements, which are used in the PAN lifetime estimates. The

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



NO₂ measurement is likely to include other NO_y species. This should be noted and discussed. For PAN, please include additional information on how long PAN was in the instrument, what was the inlet length, etc. 100 pptv is a very high detection limit for an in situ measurement. The calibration technique is also infrequently used. Please explain why 850 m was chosen for the trajectory initialization height.

1) Experiment section was revised with more detailed information on measurement methods of PAN and NO_x. Please see Section 2. Experiment in the revised manuscript.

2) It is well known that molybdenum convertor gives positive artifact to NO₂ measurement due to the interference of NO_y species including HNO₃, PAN, and HONO. Unfortunately, NO_y measurements are not available at Gosan. However, the concentration of NO_x is generally low at Gosan climate observatory as a regional background site (e.g., Lee et al, 2007, Lee et al., 2014). Therefore, the influence of NO_y would be negligible.

M. Lee, et al., Origins and chemical characteristics of fine aerosols during the north-eastern Asia regional experiment (Atmospheric Brown Cloud–East Asia Regional Experiment 2005), JGR, 112, doi:10.1029/2006JD008210, 2007.

H.-J. Lee, et al., Transport of NO_x in East Asia identified by satellite and in situ measurements and Lagrangian particle dispersion model simulations, JGR, 119, doi:10.1002/2013JD021185, 2014.

3) Trajectories are given for 850 m altitude in the manuscript. However, we checked other altitudes including 500 m and 1000 m and found no tangible difference among the three altitudes. To represent the surface condition, altitudes between 500 m and 1500 m have been usually selected in this region depending on season.

Specific comments Line 129: Start a new paragraph. It is unclear what ‘haze’ means. I believe this is simply a period with elevated aerosol concentrations.

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

As one of the meteorological phenomena, Haze is reported by Korean Meteorological Administration (KMA). The definition is given below.

- Fog: visibility < 1 km & relative humidity > 75 % - Mist: visibility 1~10 km & relative humidity > 75 % - Haze: visibility 1~10 km & relative humidity < 75 %

For clarity, the following sentence was added to the text.

“Haze is reported by Korea Meteorological Administration (KMA) as a meteorological phenomenon when visibility is 1~ 10 km and relative humidity is less than 75 %.”

Section 4.1: I recommend removing this section and Figure 3. This section and that Figure are confusing, with low science content.

In urban air, O₃ and PAN are generally well correlated with a clear peak in the afternoon. The two species share precursors and produced from their reactions in the atmosphere. Therefore, their maximum values are sensitive to temperature and found to be well correlated (e.g., Lee et al., 2008; Zhang et al. 2009).

[Zhang et al., 2009] [Lee et al., 2008]

Zhang, J. M., Wang, T., Ding, A. J., Zhou, X. H., Xue, L. K., Poon, C. N., Wu, W. S., Gao, J., Zuo, H. C., Chen, J. M., Zhang, X. C., and Fan, S. J.: Continuous measurement of peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) in suburban and remote areas of western China, *Atmos. Environ.*, 43, 228-237, 2009.

Lee, G., Jang, Y., Lee, H., Han, J.-S., Kim, K.-R., and Lee, M.: Characteristic behavior of peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) in Seoul megacity, Korea, *Chemosphere*, 73, 619-628, 10.1016/j.chemosphere.2008.05.060, 2008.

Gosan is not an urban site and thus, the tight correlation between PAN and O₃ was not expected. Nonetheless, the nighttime maximum of O₃ and PAN observed in Chinese outflows was striking and we believe is worth being shown. As you pointed out, it gives confusion because the peaks of O₃ and PAN were separated into 4 groups according to

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



the time of their occurrence. However, the following discussion focused on the highest concentrations and thus, attention needs to be paid only for the two cases of nighttime max. and daytime max, which was clarified in the text by rephrasing the second last sentence in Section 4.1, where "This point" was changed to "These two cases".

Section 4.2: I recommend reordering this section to discuss clear similarities and differences between these episodes. Lines 192-193: It is odd to claim something is decoupled if it is correlated with something.

The following statement was added to the last of Section 4.2.

"These two urban plumes are well contrasted in terms of O₃ and NO_x levels (Table 1), depending on the degree of aging."

The part of line 192-193 was rewritten as follows:

"Therefore, the nighttime maximum of O₃ can be attributed to the export of O₃ from megacities in China. It causes PAN to be decoupled from O₃ because PAN levels remained low, even though there was good correlation between the two species."

Section 4.3: I recommend reordering this section to discuss clear similarities and differences between these episodes. I am skeptical of the PAN lifetime calculations given the unknown quality of the NO₂ measurement. More discussion is required. Line 240 – 241: I find these sentences quite confusing, and I do not understand the logic.

As you suggest, this section was rearranged. Please see Section 4.3. in the revised manuscript

As mention above, more detailed information on measurement method was given to the revised manuscript and the interference would not be substantial.

The statement in Line 240-241 is not necessary and thus, removed in the revised manuscript.

Lines 266 – 275: Model methods belong in the methods section. This section was

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

clearly written by a single coauthor and has a different quality of English than the rest of the paper.

As you recommended, the model description was moved to the experiment section.

Line 277-278: I think the main point of this section is to show that the model severely underestimates PAN, particularly when biomass burning is a source. Thus shorten to just make this point.

The sentence was rewritten as follows:

“The elevated PAN concentrations during the haze events were underestimated in the model (Oct 20–21 and Nov 4–5), especially when air was impacted by biomass combustion.”

Figure Comments: Figure 1: The ozone line is not visible when Figure 1 is printed. The wind barbs are too small.

Figure 1 was modified according to your suggestions.

Figure 2: It hard to actually get hour-to-hour differences from this figure. Ideas for improvement include making log y-axes. Or a 2x2 figure, rather than 1x4.

Figure 2 was modified according to your suggestions.

Figure 3: remove

Pease see the response for section 4.1 in page 2.

Figure 4: Remove redundant longitude line labels. “O3” and “NO2” overlap the bottom of the scale. All species need units on figure. No vertical information is shown for these trajectories. Did any intercept the ground – were they removed?

Figure 4 was modified according to your suggestions. The altitude information was added, too. Trajectories that intercept the ground were removed.

Figure 5: a/b) why is the fit only shown for Episode 4? Figure 6: It is probably not

necessary to show the correlation with all aerosol constituents. Again, why is only the Episode 4 fit shown.

In Figure 5 and 6, regression lines were added to the other episodes.

Figure 7: Add labels for events as in Figure 1.

Episode labels were added to Figure 7.

Other Minor Comments: Line 29: Extra E in lat/lon

Typo was corrected.

Line 61: Awkward sentence

The error was corrected as follows:

“Thus, PAN can be an indicator of NO_y concentration in the free troposphere and a guide for the long-range transport of NO_x in remote regions”

Throughout: exchange “concentration” for “mixing ratio” when referring to the abundance of gas phase species

It was corrected.

Line 68: A few pptv of PAN is observed in the most remote locations. Change to “In the most remote. . .”

It was corrected.

Line 71: NO_x has recently declined in China (e.g. Liu et al., 2016, Environmental Research Letters, Volume 11, Number 11)

The relevant part was rewritten as follows:

“Although NO_x concentration has recently declined in China (Gu et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2016a; Krotkov et al., 2016), NO_x and VOCs have gradually increased in East Asia, particularly China during the last couple of decades (Akimoto, 2003; Liu et al., 2010;

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Ohara et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2013). It led to an increase in the concentrations of photochemical byproducts such as PAN and O₃ not only in East Asia (Liu et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2014) but also in North America (Fischer et al., 2010; Fischer et al., 2011; Jaffe et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2008).”

Line 71- 81: It would be good to mention in this introduction that PAN is only sparingly soluble. Since there is an eventual discussion of biofuel/ biomass burning, more recent relevant references for elevated PAN plumes attributed to biomass burning downwind of Russia and East Asia include.

The statement regarding PAN solubility was added to the text as follows:

“Besides, PAN is less soluble compared to nitric acid and is more easily transported to the free troposphere after it is released from scavenge in lower temperature (e.g., Zhu et al., 2017).”

References were added and the following statement was added to the text.

“Recent satellite studies have also observed the increased PAN in plumes associated with anthropogenic emissions in eastern China and boreal fires in Siberia (Zhu et al., 2015; Zhu et al., 2017).”

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2016-1107, 2017.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Correspondence to Referee #2

Thank you very much for your constructive comments. The response for each comment is given below and manuscript was revised accordingly.

Major comments

Lines 89 - 114: The experimental details are insufficient and should be greatly expanded to include appropriate references for every measurement used; details on calibration technique and frequency should also be reported. The detection limits and uncertainty should also be included. More detail is particularly important for the NO and NO₂ measurements, which are used in the PAN lifetime estimates. The NO₂ measurement is likely to include other NO_y species. This should be noted and discussed. For PAN, please include additional information on how long PAN was in the instrument, what was the inlet length, etc. 100 pptv is a very high detection limit for an in situ measurement. The calibration technique is also infrequently used. Please explain why 850 m was chosen for the trajectory initialization height.

1) Experiment section was revised with more detailed information on measurement methods of PAN and NO_x. Please see Section 2. Experiment in the revised manuscript.

2) It is well known that molybdenum convertor gives positive artifact to NO₂ measurement due to the interference of NO_x species including HNO₃, PAN, and HONO. Unfortunately, NO_y measurements are not available at Gosan. However, the concentration of NO_x is generally low at Gosan climate observatory as a regional background site (e.g., Lee et al, 2007, Lee et al., 2014). Therefore, the influence of NO_x would be negligible.

M. Lee, et al., Origins and chemical characteristics of fine aerosols during the northeastern Asia regional experiment (Atmospheric Brown Cloud–East Asia Regional Experiment 2005), JGR, 112, doi:10.1029/2006JD008210, 2007.

H.-J. Lee, et al., Transport of NO_x in East Asia identified by satellite and in situ measurements and Lagrangian particle dispersion model simulations, JGR, 119, doi:10.1002/2013JD021185, 2014.

3) Trajectories are given for 850 m altitude in the manuscript. However, we checked other altitudes including 500 m and 1000 m and found no tangible difference among the three altitudes. To represent the surface condition, altitudes between 500 m and 1500 m have been usually selected in this region depending on season.

Specific comments

Line 129: Start a new paragraph. It is unclear what "haze" means. I believe this is simply a period with elevated aerosol concentrations.

1

Fig. 1.