

1 **Global O₃-CO Correlations in a Chemistry and Transport**
2 **Model during July–August: Evaluation with TES Satellite**
3 **Observations and Sensitivity to Input Meteorological Data**
4 **and Emissions**

5
6 Hyun-Deok Choi¹, Hongyu Liu¹, James H. Crawford², David B. Considine^{2,3}, Dale J.
7 Allen⁴, Bryan N. Duncan⁵, Larry W. Horowitz⁶, Jose M. Rodriguez⁵, Susan E. Strahan^{5,7},
8 Lin Zhang^{8,9}, Xiong Liu⁸, Megan R. Damon^{5,10}, and Stephen D. Steenrod^{5,7}

9
10 ¹National Institute of Aerospace, Hampton, VA

11 ²NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA

12 ³Now at NASA Headquarters, Washington, D.C.

13 ⁴University of Maryland, College Park, MD

14 ⁵NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD

15 ⁶NOAA Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory, Princeton, NJ

16 ⁷Universities Space Research Association, Columbia, MD

17 ⁸Harvard University, Cambridge, MA

18 ⁹Now at Peking University, Beijing, China

19 ¹⁰Science Systems and Applications, Inc., Lanham, MD

20
21 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, revised, May 2017

22
23 **Correspondence:**

24
25 Hongyu Liu

26 Chemistry and Dynamics Branch, Mail Stop 401B

27 NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23681

28 Tel: 757-864-3191; Email: Hongyu.Liu-1@nasa.gov

29

1 **Abstract.** We examine the capability of the Global Modeling Initiative (GMI) chemistry and
2 transport model to reproduce global mid-tropospheric (618hPa) O₃-CO correlations determined by
3 the measurements from Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer (TES) aboard NASA's Aura satellite
4 during boreal summer (July–August). The model is driven by three meteorological data sets
5 (fvGCM with sea surface temperature for 1995, GEOS4-DAS for 2005, and MERRA for 2005),
6 allowing us to examine the sensitivity of model O₃-CO correlations to input meteorological data.
7 Model simulations of radionuclide tracers (²²²Rn, ²¹⁰Pb, and ⁷Be) are used to illustrate the
8 differences in transport-related processes among the meteorological data sets. Simulated O₃ values
9 are evaluated with climatological ozone profiles from ozonesonde measurements and satellite
10 tropospheric O₃ columns. Despite the fact that the three simulations show significantly different
11 global and regional distributions of O₃ and CO concentrations, they show similar patterns of O₃-
12 CO correlations on a global scale. All model simulations sampled along the TES orbit track capture
13 the observed positive O₃-CO correlations in the Northern Hemisphere mid-latitude continental
14 outflow and the Southern Hemisphere subtropics. While all simulations show strong negative
15 correlations over the Tibetan Plateau, northern Africa, northern subtropical eastern Pacific, and
16 Caribbean, TES O₃ and CO concentrations at 618 hPa only show weak negative correlations over
17 much narrower areas (i.e., the Tibetan Plateau and northern Africa). Discrepancies in regional O₃-
18 CO correlation patterns in the three simulations may be attributed to differences in convective
19 transport, stratospheric influence, and subsidence, among other processes. To understand how
20 various emissions drive global O₃-CO correlation patterns, we examine the sensitivity of
21 GMI/MERRA model-calculated O₃ and CO concentrations and their correlations to emission types
22 (fossil fuel, biomass burning, biogenic, and lightning NO_x emissions). Fossil fuel and biomass
23 burning emissions are mainly responsible for the strong positive O₃-CO correlations over

1 continental outflow regions in both hemispheres. Biogenic emissions have a relatively smaller
2 impact on O₃-CO correlations than other emissions, but are largely responsible for the negative
3 correlations over the tropical eastern Pacific, reflecting the fact that O₃ is consumed and CO
4 generated during the atmospheric oxidation process of isoprene under low NO_x conditions. We
5 find that lightning NO_x emissions degrade both positive correlations at mid-/high- latitudes and
6 negative correlations in the tropics because ozone production downwind of lightning NO_x
7 emissions is not directly related to the emission and transport of CO. Our study concludes that O₃-
8 CO correlations may be used effectively to constrain the sources of regional tropospheric O₃ in
9 global 3-D models, especially for those regions where convective transport of pollution plays an
10 important role.

11

12 **1. Introduction**

13 Ozone (O₃) is an important greenhouse gas in the troposphere and a pollutant at the surface.
14 It is a primary source of the hydroxyl radical (OH), which controls the oxidizing power of the
15 troposphere. Ozone in the troposphere is produced by photochemical oxidation of carbon
16 monoxide (CO), methane, and volatile organic hydrocarbons (VOCs) in the presence of nitrogen
17 oxides (NO_x ≡ NO + NO₂). Its precursors are emitted by human activity (e.g., fossil fuel
18 combustion and industrial processes), biomass burning, vegetation, soils, and lightning. Ozone is
19 also transported down from the stratosphere by the Brewer Dobson circulation. Carbon monoxide
20 is a product of incomplete combustion. Its sources include fossil fuel and biofuel combustion,
21 biomass burning, and chemical production from atmospheric oxidation of methane, isoprene, and
22 other VOCs. Its primary sink is reaction with OH.

1 Since CO is a precursor of tropospheric O₃ and an excellent tracer for long-range transport
2 of pollution owing to its tropospheric lifetime of a few months, correlations between O₃ and CO
3 are useful indicators of the efficiency of O₃ production and export (e.g., Parrish et al., 1993; Mao
4 and Talbot, 2004). Generally, a positive correlation in summer indicates strong photochemical
5 production of O₃ downwind of polluted regions (Chin et al., 1994; Tsutsumi and Matsueda, 2000).
6 A negative correlation indicates stratospheric influence (Parrish et al., 1998; Hsu et al., 2004),
7 photochemical O₃ destruction (Fishman and Seiler, 1983; Parrish et al., 1998; Mao and Talbot,
8 2004), or chemical production of CO (Chin et al., 1994; Real et al., 2008). Small correlation
9 coefficients and small linear regression slopes are indications of fresh pollution plumes that have
10 not yet realized their O₃ production potential due to, for example, incomplete photochemical
11 processes (Naja et al., 2003).

12 Many studies have used surface and/or aircraft observed O₃-CO correlations to understand
13 anthropogenic influences on O₃, especially in the Northern Hemisphere (NH) continental outflow
14 regions such as the northeastern US/northern Atlantic (Fishman and Seiler, 1983; Anderson et al.,
15 1993; Parrish et al., 1993; Chin et al., 1994; Fehsenfeld et al., 1996; Parrish et al., 1998; Li et al.,
16 2002; Honrath et al., 2004; Mao and Talbot, 2004) and the Asian Pacific Rim (Tsutsumi and
17 Matsueda, 2000; Mauzerall et al., 2000). The studies found strong O₃-CO correlations in outflow
18 regions and concluded that the export of pollution from the NH major continents makes a
19 significant contribution to total tropospheric O₃ over the NH during summer.

20 The observed O₃-CO correlation coefficients and linear regression slopes have been used
21 to evaluate the capability of models of chemistry and transport to produce proper O₃ levels from
22 its precursors for the right reasons (e.g., Chin et al., 1994; Mauzerall et al., 2000; Zhang et al.,
23 2006; Voulgarakis et al., 2011). Shim et al. (2009) examined the Mexico City pollution outflow

1 using O₃, CO, and their correlations from TES as well as aircraft measurements obtained during
2 the Megacity Initiative: Local and Global Research Observations (MILAGRO) / Intercontinental
3 Chemical Transport Experiment (INTEX-B) campaigns. These investigations found that TES data
4 is characterized by smaller O₃-CO correlation coefficients but larger linear regression slopes than
5 *in situ* observations at 618 hPa partly due to the lack of variability in TES CO. Two previous
6 studies also examined TES global O₃-CO correlations. Zhang et al. (2006) compared mid-
7 tropospheric TES O₃-CO correlations in July 2005 over the eastern United States with those from
8 the GEOS-Chem model and International Consortium for Atmospheric Research on Transport and
9 Transformation (ICARTT) aircraft observations (July 2004), finding that TES can provide good
10 information on global mid-tropospheric O₃-CO correlations. Voulgarakis et al. (2011) expanded
11 the scope of Zhang et al. (2006), evaluating O₃-CO correlations simulated by two independent
12 models against five years of TES observations. They suggested that in addition to O₃
13 photochemical processes, transport may also play an important role in the O₃-CO correlations.
14 However, Voulgarakis et al. (2011) could not isolate the effect of transport because the two models
15 in their study used different input meteorological data sets as well as different chemical and
16 transport mechanisms.

17 In this paper, we present O₃ and CO simulations using the Global Modeling Initiative
18 (GMI) chemistry and transport model (CTM) driven by three meteorological data sets. The model
19 can incorporate different inputs and components (e.g., meteorological fields, emission inventories,
20 and chemical mechanisms), allowing us to test the sensitivity of model simulations to input
21 meteorological data sets (e.g., Douglass et al., 1999; Considine et al., 2005; Liu et al., 2016). Model
22 simulations are evaluated using ozonesonde and satellite observations. We then test the model's
23 capability to reproduce the mid-tropospheric O₃-CO correlations determined from TES

1 measurements. We present the differences in the simulated O₃-CO correlations due to the use of
2 different meteorological input data, and interpret those differences in terms of transport using
3 radionuclide species (²²²Rn, ²¹⁰Pb, and ⁷Be) as tracers of atmospheric transport (see Section 2.1.3).
4 We also investigate the effect of emission types on O₃ and CO concentrations and their correlations
5 in the model.

6 This paper is organized as follows. Section 2: Descriptions of the GMI model,
7 meteorological data sets, and observational data sets. Section 3: Presentation of the model
8 simulations of radionuclides, O₃ and CO. Section 4: Evaluation of GMI O₃ and CO simulations
9 with observations. Section 5: Evaluation of GMI O₃-CO correlations with satellite observations
10 from TES. Section 6: Analysis of the effects of various emission types on the model simulated O₃-
11 CO correlations. Section 7: Summary and conclusions.

12

13 **2. Model and Data**

14 **2.1. GMI**

15 **2.1.1. The CTM**

16 The GMI CTM is a global 3-D composition model that combines both tropospheric and
17 stratospheric chemical mechanisms, including 124 species, 322 chemical reactions, and 81
18 photolysis reactions (Ziemke et al, 2006; Duncan et al., 2007a; Considine et al., 2008; Allen et al.,
19 2010). The tropospheric mechanism includes a detailed description of tropospheric O₃-NO_x-
20 hydrocarbon chemistry (Bey et al., 2001) with recent updates (e.g., see Allen et al., 2010). The
21 stratospheric mechanism is described in Kinnison et al. (2001) and Douglass et al. (2004). The

1 details of the GMI model are described in Duncan et al. (2007a) and Strahan et al. (2007). The
2 basic structure of the model is described in Rotman et al. (2001).

3 The GMI model uses a flux-form semi-Lagrangian (FFSL) advection scheme (Lin and
4 Rood, 1996) and also includes parameterizations of convection, wet scavenging, dry deposition,
5 and planetary boundary layer mixing. The anthropogenic emission (e.g., fossil fuel emissions)
6 scheme is from Bey et al. (2001), Benkovitz et al. (1996), and Duncan et al. (2007b). We use the
7 anthropogenic emission inputs for 2005 for all simulations in this study. The biogenic emission
8 scheme is calculated online based on Guenther et al. (2006) and biofuel emissions are estimated
9 from the inventory and emission factors of Yevich and Logan (2003). Biomass burning emissions
10 are from Duncan et al. (2003) climatology, where the spatial and seasonal variability are derived
11 from satellite observations of monthly total fire counts. Lightning NO_x emissions are calculated
12 locally in deep convection events with the scheme of Allen et al. (2010) where flash rates are
13 assumed to be proportional to the square of upward convective mass flux but constrained by
14 monthly average climatological flash rates from V2.2 of the Optical Transient Detector and the
15 Lightning Imaging Sensor (OTD/LIS) climatology. GMI uses modules developed at Harvard
16 University to calculate wet scavenging (Mari et al., 2000; Liu et al., 2001) and dry deposition rates
17 (Jacob and Wofsy, 1990).

18 Several studies have previously evaluated the GMI CTM simulations of tropospheric O₃
19 and CO. Ziemke et al. (2006) compared the tropospheric ozone columns (TOC) in an earlier
20 version of the GMI CTM, which was driven by the fvGCM meteorological fields (details in Section
21 2.1.2), with those determined from Ozone Monitoring Instrument/Microwave Limb Sounder
22 (OMI/MLS) measurements from the NASA Aura satellite. The comparison showed similarities
23 with respect to zonal and seasonal variations of TOC, but the model overestimated TOC over

1 northern Africa by as much as 10 DU, likely due to desert dust effects while underestimating TOC
2 over the western Pacific warm pool by up to 10 DU. Chandra et al. (2009) evaluated GMI TOC
3 when driven by the GEOS4 meteorological fields (see Section 2.1.2) with OMI/MLS TOC and
4 found the model overestimated TOC by 5-10 DU for the latitude band 30°N - 35°N all the year
5 and over east China in winter and spring when stratosphere-troposphere exchange (STE) is greatest.
6 Duncan et al. (2008) showed that the annual average surface O₃ concentrations in the GMI/GEOS4
7 simulation had a high bias of about 11%, with higher biases in summer when photochemical
8 production is the dominant source of O₃. Considine et al. (2008) examined the ability of
9 GMI/fvGCM (4° × 5°) to represent the observed near-tropopause O₃ distributions and found that
10 annual mean O₃ concentrations were biased high by 45% at the model thermal tropopause likely
11 due to insufficient vertical resolution near the tropopause (~ 1.1 km) and/or too high vertical
12 diffusivity.

13 For CO, Duncan et al. (2007a) compared GMI/fvGCM simulated tropospheric CO
14 concentrations with NOAA Global Monitoring Division (GMD) surface observations. They
15 showed that the model was biased low at most sites in local winter/spring likely due to
16 overestimation of OH in the simulation when the CO burden is typically at an annual maximum.
17 Schoeberl et al. (2006) showed that GMI/fvGCM was able to reproduce the upper
18 troposphere/lower stratosphere (UT/LS) CO tape recorder caused by seasonal changes in biomass
19 burning, as identified with the MLS data.

20 **2.1.2. fvGCM, GEOS4, and MERRA Meteorological Data Sets**

21 We drive the GMI CTM with three meteorological datasets from: the free-running NASA
22 Global Modeling and Assimilation Office (GMAO) finite-volume General Circulation Model

1 (fvGCM with sea surface temperature for 1995), the Goddard Earth Observing System Data
2 Assimilation System Version 4 (GEOS4-DAS) for 2005, and the Modern-Era Retrospective
3 Analysis for Research and Applications (MERRA) for 2005. Note that the fvGCM is the general
4 circulation model in the assimilation system used to generate GEOS4-DAS (Bloom et al., 2005).
5 The native vertical coordinate of fvGCM and GEOS4-DAS models is a generalized hybrid sigma-
6 pressure coordinate system with 55 vertical layers and a smooth transition between sigma in the
7 troposphere (pressure > 200 hPa) and pure pressure in the stratosphere (top pressure 0.01 hPa).
8 MERRA is a NASA atmospheric reanalysis data set from a new version of GEOS-DAS Version 5
9 (GEOS-5.2.0). GEOS-5 is a system of models integrated using the Earth System Modeling
10 Framework (ESMF). Compared to GEOS-4, GEOS-5 adopts an analysis system developed jointly
11 with the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP) and a different set of physics
12 packages for the atmospheric GCM. MERRA has 72 vertical levels with a lid at 0.01 hPa (sigma-
13 pressure coordinate interface at ~177 hPa). The native horizontal resolution of all meteorological
14 data sets is $1^\circ \times 1.25^\circ$. To improve computational efficiency, we drive GMI CTM with the
15 meteorological data sets at a degraded resolution (2° latitude by 2.5° longitude). All standard and
16 perturbation full-chemistry simulations for July-August as presented in this paper were conducted
17 with a 6-month spinup.

18 The different convective parameterizations used to generate the meteorological data sets
19 alters the characteristics of convective transport of chemical species. Both fvGCM and GEOS4
20 use the deep convection scheme of Zhang and McFarlane (1995) and the shallow convection
21 scheme of Hack (1994) whereas MERRA uses a modified version of the Relaxed Arakawa-
22 Schubert scheme for convection (Moorthi and Suarez, 1992). Figure 1 shows the latitude-pressure
23 cross sections of zonal mean convective mass fluxes averaged over three meteorological data fields

1 and the differences from the average during July – August. fvGCM shows the strongest shallow
2 convection in the Southern Hemisphere (SH) mid- and low-latitudes among the models. GEOS4
3 shows the strongest convection in the tropical middle troposphere. MERRA is characterized by
4 the weakest shallow convection in both hemispheres. MERRA has the strongest tropical
5 convection in the lower free troposphere, but its tropical convection is not as deep as in the others.
6 Shallow convection in fvGCM and GEOS4 extends to higher latitudes compared to MERRA.

7 **2.1.3. Radionuclide Tracers**

8 We conduct GMI model simulations of radionuclides (^{222}Rn , ^{210}Pb , and ^7Be) to examine
9 the relative effects of convection, stratospheric influence, and large-scale subsidence on the
10 transport of trace species and their sensitivity to input meteorological data sets. ^{222}Rn has a half-
11 life of 3.8 days and is emitted primarily from continental crust. It is useful as a tracer of convective
12 transport in global models (e.g., Jacob et al., 1997). ^{210}Pb , a decay daughter of ^{222}Rn , has a
13 radioactive half-life of 22.3 years, and ^7Be , which is produced by cosmic ray spallation reactions
14 in the stratosphere and UT, has a radioactive half-life of 53.3 days. Because ^{210}Pb and ^7Be attach
15 to submicron aerosols after production and are therefore scavenged by precipitation or deposited
16 to the surface, they have been used as a pair to test wet deposition schemes in global models (e.g.,
17 Liu et al., 2001). ^7Be is also used as a tracer for STE (Dibb et al., 1994; Liu et al., 2001; Liu et al.,
18 2016). The ratio $^7\text{Be}/^{210}\text{Pb}$ is useful as an indicator of vertical transport because the ratio is
19 insensitive to precipitation scavenging (Koch et al., 1996). All simulations of radionuclide tracers
20 were conducted with a 5-year spinup in order for ^{210}Pb to reach an equilibrium in the stratosphere.

21 **2.2. Data Sets**

22 **2.2.1. Ozonesonde O₃**

1 We use climatological ozone profiles from 23 ozonesonde stations averaged over July –
2 August from 1985 to 2000, originally constructed by Considine et al. (2008) based on Logan (1999)
3 and Thompson et al. (2003). The number of soundings at each station is adequate for defining
4 monthly means used to evaluate the accuracy of the model results (Considine et al., 2008; Liu et
5 al., 2016).

6 **2.2.2. Satellite Tropospheric Ozone Column (TOC)**

7 Three TOC products are used in this study: Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS)
8 – Solar Backscatter Ultraviolet (SBUV), OMI-MLS, and directly retrieved TOC from TES. The
9 TOMS-SBUV TOC and OMI-MLS TOC are determined using the tropospheric ozone residual
10 (TOR) method, which involves subtracting measurements of SBUV and MLS stratospheric
11 column ozone (SCO) from TOMS and OMI total column ozone, respectively (Fishman et al., 2003;
12 Ziemke et al., 2006). The TES TOCs are integrated from directly retrieved volume mixing ratios.
13 We did not consider different instrument sensitivities because integrating retrievals significantly
14 reduces the error due to averaging over pressure ranges larger than TES vertical resolution
15 (Osterman et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2012). The tropopause pressure is taken from the GEOS4
16 meteorological data ($2^\circ \times 2.5^\circ$). A description of TES retrievals is given in Section 2.2.3.

17 **2.2.3. TES O₃ and CO.**

18 The TES instrument on EOS-Aura routinely provides observations of tropospheric O₃ and
19 CO across the globe (Beer et al., 2001; Beer, 2006). The Aura satellite is on a polar sun-
20 synchronous orbit with equator crossing at 01:45 (descending) and 13:45 (ascending) local time.
21 TES is a Fourier transform infrared emission spectrometer with high spectral resolution (0.1 cm^{-1})
22 and wide spectral range ($650 - 3050 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) (Beer et al., 2001). The nadir footprint of TES is 5×8

1 km. TES observations consist of two modes: global survey and special observations (Beer et al.,
2 2001). We use TES level 2, version 4 global survey nadir observations
3 (<http://eosweb.larc.nasa.gov/>) and only O₃ and CO retrievals with the “Master” quality flag are
4 used in this analysis. The retrievals of O₃ have 1 – 1.5 degrees of freedom (DOF) in the profile at
5 mid-latitudes in summer, with peak sensitivities near 700 hPa and 300-400 hPa, respectively
6 (Parrington et al., 2008). TES CO profiles generally have 1 – 1.5 DOFs in the troposphere (Luo et
7 al., 2007ab). Detailed descriptions of the TES instrument and the O₃ and CO retrieval algorithms
8 are described in Beer et al. (2001, 2006), Worden et al. (2004), and Bowman et al. (2002, 2006).

9 In this study, we use O₃ and CO retrievals at 618 hPa level, where TES has good sensitivity
10 for both O₃ and CO centered in the MT, and exclude latitudes > 60° where TES measurements are
11 less reliable due to low brightness temperatures (Zhang et al., 2006). Due to limitation of TES
12 vertical resolution (1 – 1.5 DOFs in the troposphere for both O₃ and CO), TES averaging kernels
13 are applied to the simulations to take into account the different sensitivities of the instruments.
14 TES uses MOZART model output binned by month and in blocks of 10° latitude by 60° longitude
15 as a priori profiles (Worden et al., 2004). Validation of TES O₃ against ozonesondes showed that
16 TES ozone typically has a high bias of about 10% in the UT (Worden et al., 2007) or 3-10 ppbv in
17 the MT/UT (Nassar et al., 2008). TES CO has a negative bias (<10%) compared to aircraft
18 measurements in the NH mid-latitude LT/MT during the INTEX-B mission (spring 2006) (Luo et
19 al., 2007a).

20

21 **3. Model Simulations of Radionuclides, O₃, and CO**

22 **3.1. GMI Simulations of Radionuclides**

1 Figures 2 and 3 show the latitude-pressure cross sections of zonal mean concentrations of
2 ^{222}Rn and stratospheric fraction (%) of tropospheric ^7Be concentrations during July – August for
3 the values averaged over three meteorological data sets and the differences from the mean.
4 Differences in zonal mean ^{222}Rn concentrations at SH mid-latitudes among the three simulations
5 are small despite much stronger shallow convection in fvGCM (Figure 1). This reflects the fact
6 that most convection at SH mid-latitudes occurs over the ocean. However, GMI/fvGCM ^{222}Rn
7 concentrations in the UT at NH subtropics and mid-latitudes are $\sim 20 - 70\%$ higher than those in
8 other simulations due to the deeper convection in fvGCM (Figure 1). In the tropical UT/MT,
9 GMI/MERRA produced the lowest ^{222}Rn concentrations, consistent with the lower cutoff of
10 convection in GMI/MERRA (Figure 2). This is not inconsistent with the largest stratospheric
11 influence in the tropical UT/MT in GMI/MERRA among the three meteorological data sets (Figure
12 3). Previously, Liu et al. (2010) and Zhang et al. (2011) used GEOS-Chem simulations of CO and
13 ^{222}Rn (driven by GEOS4 DAS and GEOS5 DAS meteorological data) to show that the tropical
14 convection in GEOS4 is deeper than in GEOS5. Because the MERRA reanalysis utilizes the same
15 GCM as the GEOS5 DAS, it also utilizes the Relaxed Arakawa-Schubert (RAS) convection.

16 The stratospheric contribution to the lower-tropospheric ^7Be concentrations in
17 GMI/fvGCM peaks near $30 - 75^\circ\text{N}$ ($20 - 25\%$), in contrast to the GMI/GEOS4 and GMI/MERRA
18 simulations (Figure 3). The GMI/GEOS4 and GMI/MERRA simulations show a similar pattern of
19 stratospheric influence on the troposphere with maxima near $0 - 30^\circ\text{S}$ and $>30^\circ\text{S}$ ($20 - 30\%$),
20 respectively, in the LT. However, GMI/GEOS4 suggests more stratospheric influence than
21 GMI/MERRA in the MT near 30°S ($30 - 35\%$) and near $30 - 45^\circ\text{N}$ ($\sim 25\%$). The stratospheric
22 impacts on the tropical MT/UT are weakest in GMI/fvGCM and strongest in GMI/MERRA. At
23 NH mid-latitudes, stratospheric influences on the LT are largest and most extensive in

1 GMI/fvGCM and smallest in GMI/MERRA. These differences in stratospheric influence that
2 characterize these meteorological data sets will be used to interpret GMI O₃ and CO simulations
3 driven by these meteorological fields (Sections 3.2 and 4).

4 **3.2. GMI Simulations of O₃ and CO**

5 Figures 4-5 show the latitude-pressure cross sections of zonal mean mixing ratios of O₃
6 and CO during July – August averaged over three simulations and the differences from the mean.
7 The latitudinal distributions of O₃ from all simulations show lowest O₃ concentrations near the
8 surface at high latitudes and in the tropical LT (Figure 4). Relatively low O₃ in the tropical free
9 troposphere results from transport of ozone-poor air from the LT to UT/MT via deep convection.
10 High O₃ concentrations are seen in the (subtropical) descending branches of the Hadley circulation
11 partly due to the influence of STE. Compared with GMI GEOS4 and GMI/MERRA, GMI/fvGCM
12 simulates higher O₃ in the NH mid-latitude MT and lower O₃ in the SH LT/MT. This is likely due
13 to higher STE in the NH and weaker STE in the SH, respectively, as suggested by the higher (lower)
14 fraction of stratospheric ⁷Be seen in the GMI/fvGCM simulation compared to the other two
15 simulations (Figure 3). On the other hand, GMI/MERRA simulates the highest O₃ in the tropical
16 MT/UT as a result of “stronger but shallower” deep convection in the tropics (Figure 1). All
17 simulations show the largest CO concentrations in the tropical LT/MT and NH mid-latitude
18 boundary layer (Figure 5). The former reflects convective lifting of tropical biomass burning CO
19 emissions and the latter anthropogenic CO emissions, respectively. Among the three simulations,
20 GMI/fvGCM simulates the lowest CO concentrations in the tropical MT/UT as well as both
21 hemispheres. In the NH MT/UT in GMI/fvGCM, the low CO concentrations result from high OH
22 concentrations associated with high O₃ concentrations due to higher STE, which will be discussed
23 in Section 4.1. In the SH GMI/fvGCM, the low CO concentrations are due to high OH

1 concentrations as a result of too low NO_x emissions from lightning (see Section 4.1). Tropical
2 MT/UT CO concentrations in GMI/MERRA are not as high as those in GMI/GEOS4, again
3 reflecting the “shallower” tropical deep convection in MERRA.

4

5 **4. Evaluation of GMI O_3 and CO Simulations with Observations**

6 In this section, we evaluate GMI O_3 and CO simulations driven by the fvGCM, GEOS4,
7 and MERRA meteorological data sets with ozonesonde O_3 vertical profiles, satellite TOC, and
8 TES O_3 and CO retrievals.

9 **4.1. Ozone Vertical Profiles and Tropospheric Ozone Column**

10 Figure 6 compares GMI simulated tropospheric O_3 profiles with ozonesonde observations
11 averaged over July-August for a range of latitudes. These results are typical of other stations at
12 similar latitudes. The mean differences between simulated O_3 and ozonesonde observations at 500
13 hPa (MT) and 200 hPa (UT), respectively, are listed in Table 2. GMI/fvGCM overestimates O_3 in
14 the NH high-/mid-latitude UT/MT (e.g., Churchill, Hohenpeissenberg, and Sapporo). This may be
15 due to excessive STE given the relatively high fractions of ^7Be from the stratosphere (Figure 3).
16 The overestimate may also be partly attributed to strong convective mass fluxes in the NH mid-
17 latitude that lift more O_3 and/or its precursors from the surface (Figures 1-2). Figure 6 also shows
18 that GMI/fvGCM underestimates O_3 in the SH (e.g., Reunion Island). Since stratospheric ^7Be
19 fractions are relatively low in this simulation, the O_3 underestimate may be due to overly weak
20 STE (cf. Figure 3). Low emissions of lightning NO_x , an important precursor of tropospheric O_3
21 could also play a role. It is noted that all simulations show hot spots of LNO_x emissions over the central
22 and eastern US, central Africa, and west Tibetan plateau (not shown). Lightning NO_x emissions between

1 10°S and 70°S in GMI/fvGCM during July-August are similar to those in GMI/GEOS4 and
2 GMI/MERRA, but the emissions during May-June are a factor of ~ 2.5 lower than those in
3 GMI/GEOS4 and GMI/MERRA (Table 1). Since O₃ has a lifetime of weeks to months in the
4 UT/MT, a low-O₃ bias during May-June will lead to lower O₃ during July-August in GMI/fvGCM.
5 GMI/GEOS4 simulates O₃ in both hemispheres reasonably well but underestimates O₃ in the
6 tropical UT/MT, as seen at Paramaribo and Nairobi in Figure 6. GMI/MERRA underestimates O₃
7 in the NH high-latitude UT (e.g., Resolute) likely due to weak STE compared to GMI/GEOS4 as
8 suggested by ⁷Be tracer simulations (Figure 3), while it overestimates O₃ with a high bias in the
9 SH subtropics (e.g., Samoa and Reunion Island) because of a combination of excessive influences
10 from lightning NO_x emissions in May (Table 1) and STE (or subsidence from UT) (Figure 3). In
11 addition, the shallower tropical convection (Figure 1) accompanied by larger STE contribution in
12 the southern tropical MT/UT (Figure 3) results in less clean air being lifted from the LT to MT/UT.

13 Figure 7 shows GMI simulated zonal mean TOCs averaged over July – August in
14 comparison with TORs determined from TOMS/SBUV (Fishman et al., 2003), OMI/MLS
15 (Ziemke et al., 2006), and TOCs directly retrieved from TES measurements. The World
16 Meteorological Organization (WMO) definition of thermal tropopause is used to calculate the
17 model TOC, following Liu et al. (2016). The latitudinal distribution of TOCs shows a trough in
18 the tropics and polar regions, and a peak at mid-latitudes in both the models and the observations.
19 The TORs determined from TOMS/SBUV and OMI/MLS agree well with each other in the NH,
20 but those from OMI/MLS are lower at ~10°N and higher at south of 50°S. The TOCs determined
21 from TES are more similar to the OMI/MLS TORs, but biased high in the northern subtropics, and
22 biased low at south of 40°S. A comparison of Figure 7 with Figure 6 indicates that the TOCs from
23 three model simulations coincide with the above results from model evaluations with ozonesonde

1 O₃ profiles. For example, both evaluations suggest negative biases in the SH and positive biases
2 in the NH high-/mid-latitudes in GMI/fvGCM, and positive biases in the southern subtropics in
3 GMI/MERRA.

4 **4.2. O₃ and CO Concentrations at 618 hPa**

5 Figure 8 shows the July-August mean concentrations of O₃ and CO at 618 hPa in the GMI
6 simulations. Figure 9 shows the corresponding global distributions of ²²²Rn concentrations,
7 stratospheric fractions (%) of mean tropospheric ⁷Be concentrations, and ratios ⁷Be/²¹⁰Pb. All
8 simulations show highest O₃ concentrations at NH mid-latitudes and lowest O₃ concentrations in
9 the tropical western Pacific. They also simulate a narrow band of relatively high O₃ concentrations
10 in the southern tropics and subtropics. GMI/fvGCM simulates highest O₃ concentrations at NH
11 mid/high latitudes (Figure 8, left panel) likely due to STE, as indicated by a large fraction of ⁷Be
12 transported down from the stratosphere (Figure 9, middle top panel). By contrast, it simulates the
13 lowest O₃ concentrations in the southern tropics and subtropics, especially over southern Africa
14 and South Atlantic Ocean. In this region, GMI/MERRA simulates the highest O₃ concentrations
15 attributed to high lightning NO_x emissions (Table 1), large STE (Figure 9, middle bottom panel),
16 and biomass burning emissions lifted by shallow but strong convection (Section 3; Figure 9, left
17 bottom panel). Thompson et al. (1996) previously suggested that the O₃ maximum observed in
18 southern Africa and the adjacent Atlantic during September - October 1992 is caused by the
19 coincidence of O₃ precursors from biomass burning with long residence time, and deep convection
20 with additional lightning NO_x and biogenic sources. As we will show in Section 5, the emission
21 types contributing to the O₃ enhancements over this region in July – August mainly include
22 lightning NO_x and, to a lesser extent, biomass burning.

1 All simulations show a similar pattern of CO concentrations at 618 hPa, e.g., CO
2 enhancements due to biomass burning emissions lifted by convection (e.g., South America, Africa,
3 Indonesia, and Alaska) and anthropogenic emissions (e.g., East Asia, South Asia, and eastern
4 North America) (Figure 8, right column). This pattern also reflects the geographic distribution of
5 these emissions. GMI/fvGCM simulates lowest CO concentrations at 618 hPa in most of the
6 polluted regions due to stronger STE of O₃, as discussed in Section 4.1. GMI/GEOS4 simulates
7 slightly lower CO concentrations in East and South Asia, North America and their outflow regions,
8 and Indonesia than GMI/MERRA does. GMI/GEOS4 also simulates lower CO concentrations over
9 subtropical South American and African westerly outflow regions.

10 To evaluate GMI O₃ and CO simulations with satellite observations, we use TES retrievals
11 at 618 hPa where TES has good sensitivity for both O₃ and CO in the MT (Zhang et al., 2006).
12 GMI model output was sampled along the TES orbit track at the observation time and then
13 interpolated onto the 67 vertical pressure levels of TES retrievals. Since the model output was
14 saved every 3 hours, the temporal offset with TES is up to 1.5 hours. To compare the model output
15 with the TES retrieved profiles, TES averaging kernels and *a priori* were applied to the model
16 output. Both the model output and TES data were gridded onto grids of 10° latitude by 10°
17 longitude by averaging all values within each grid box. Figures 10-11 show the mean
18 concentrations of O₃ and CO at 618 hPa observed by TES during July - August 2005 and
19 corresponding GMI CTM results.

20 TES observed enhanced O₃ concentrations over the Middle East, northern Africa, southern
21 Africa, North America, and East Asia (Figure 10). Increased levels of O₃ were also observed in
22 continental outflow regions, especially the northwestern Pacific, North Atlantic, tropical south
23 Atlantic, and southern subtropical Indian Ocean. All simulations capture the spatial distributions

1 of O₃ well but underestimate the enhancements over southern Africa and adjacent oceans.
2 GMI/fvGCM simulates reasonably well the TES-observed O₃ enhancements at NH mid/high
3 latitudes but slightly underestimates the low O₃ concentrations in the tropical western Pacific and
4 Indian Ocean. GMI/GEOS4 and GMI/MERRA simulations show lower O₃ concentrations at NH
5 mid/high latitudes compared to TES observations. However, considering that TES O₃ has a
6 positive bias of 3-10 ppbv in the MT (Nassar et al., 2008), GMI/fvGCM may very well
7 overestimate O₃ at NH mid-latitudes while GMI/GEOS4 and GMI/MERRA simulations are closer
8 to reality. This conclusion is consistent with that from the comparison of GMI simulations with
9 ozonesonde observations (Figure 6).

10 Enhanced CO concentrations were observed by TES over Africa, South America, North
11 America, and Eurasia (Figure 11). All simulations underestimated CO concentrations in most of
12 those CO hot spots in the NH. GMI/GEOS4 captured fairly well high CO concentrations over
13 biomass burning regions in South America and Africa. However, considering TES CO biases, i.e.,
14 a negative bias at NH mid-latitudes and a positive bias in the tropics (Luo et al., 2007a; Lopez et
15 al., 2008), all simulations significantly underestimate CO enhancements at NH mid-latitudes but
16 simulate better CO enhancements over the tropical biomass burning regions. This is consistent
17 with a previous study by Shindell et al. (2006) who found a multi-model underestimate of NH
18 extratropical CO likely due to current inventories underestimating fossil fuel emissions in East
19 Asia and biomass burning emissions in south-central Africa.

20

21 **5. O₃ and CO Relationships**

1 In this section, we examine O₃ and CO relationships at 618hPa in GMI CTM. We interpret
2 GMI simulated O₃-CO correlations and their slopes in the context of emissions, photochemical
3 transformation, and transport (e.g., convection, STE, and large-scale subsidence), using model
4 meteorological data and radionuclide simulations. We then evaluate them with those derived from
5 TES satellite observations. Note that the model underestimate of CO concentrations does not significantly
6 affect the calculated O₃-CO correlations although it may cause biases in the regression slopes due to the
7 association of the latter with ozone production efficiency.

8 **5.1. GMI O₃-CO Correlations**

9 Figure 12 shows the O₃-CO correlation coefficients (R) and linear regression slopes
10 (dO₃/dCO) at 618 hPa for July – August, as calculated using the reduced major axis method with
11 3-hourly output from the GMI/fvGCM, GMI/GEOS4, and GMI/MERRA simulations. We discuss
12 the common features in the correlation patterns in all simulations, followed by their discrepancies.
13 All simulations show strong positive O₃-CO correlations and large dO₃/dCO enhancement ratios
14 in the NH major continental outflow regions, e.g., Atlantic Seaboard, northern Atlantic, and
15 northern Pacific, consistent with previous modeling studies (Zhang et al., 2006; Voulgarakis et al.,
16 2011) and in situ observations (e.g., Anderson et al. 1993; Chin et al., 1994; Jaffe et al., 1996;
17 Parrish et al., 1998; Tsutsumi and Matsueda, 2000; Mao and Talbot, 2004). Our simulations also
18 suggest a much larger area with high correlations that extends from the NW to NE Pacific. We
19 found that strong positive correlation regions are not co-located with maximum O₃ and CO
20 concentrations in all simulations. Instead, they are located between most polluted and clean areas,
21 reflecting the intrusion of high O₃ (and CO) air from mid-latitudes and low O₃ (and CO) air from
22 the tropics. Fishman and Seiler (1983) and Mauzerall et al. (2000) previously suggested that strong

1 positive O₃-CO correlations in low CO regions may be caused by the depletion of both O₃ and CO
2 in tropical air.

3 All simulations show positive O₃-CO correlations in the SH marine regions, but
4 GMI/MERRA simulates much stronger negative correlations over the equatorial Atlantic. The
5 latter reflects the stronger convection in the LT/MT in MERRA, which will be discussed below.
6 Positive O₃-CO correlations were previously observed over the tropical South Atlantic during the
7 TRACE-A aircraft mission (September–October, 1992) (e.g., Collins et al., 1996). Collins et al.
8 concluded that the O₃-CO correlations over the tropical South Atlantic are more affected by in situ
9 photochemical production from aged biomass burning plumes (positive O₃-CO correlation) than
10 transport from the stratosphere (negative O₃-CO and O₃ - dew point correlations).

11 Strong positive O₃-CO correlations are present in all simulations at 618 hPa over western
12 and central Indonesia (Figure 12), reflecting convective transport of biomass burning CO (Figure
13 8) and photochemical production of O₃ from its precursors. The dO₃/dCO enhancement ratios over
14 Indonesia are not as large as those over the NH mid-latitude continental outflow regions due to the
15 fact that biomass burning emits NO_x less efficiently than fossil fuel does.

16 Positive O₃-CO correlations over the westerly African biomass burning outflow region
17 (southern Indian Ocean, ~ 45°S) are seen in all simulations (Figure 12). The positive O₃-CO
18 correlations over both the NH mid-latitude continental outflow regions and the westerly African
19 biomass burning outflow regions mainly reflect O₃ and CO signatures from different sources: 1)
20 anthropogenic emissions of CO and other O₃ precursors in the former and biomass burning
21 emissions in the latter (Figure 8), and 2) significant influences from the stratosphere and
22 subsidence from UT/LS (Figure 9, middle and right columns, respectively). In the case of 1), the

1 dO_3/dCO slopes in the westerly African biomass burning outflow are smaller than those in the NH
2 mid-latitude continental outflow, again reflecting the lower efficiency of biomass burning NO_x
3 emissions than that of fossil fuel NO_x emissions. In the case of 2), mixing of stratospheric air (high
4 O_3) with polluted air masses (high CO) has previously been found associated with positive O_3 -CO
5 correlations downwind from outflow regions (Cooper et al., 2002; Kim et al., 2013).

6 Strong negative O_3 -CO correlations are seen in all simulations over the northern tropical
7 eastern Pacific, Caribbean, northern tropical Atlantic, and equatorial Africa. These negative
8 correlations are primarily a result of convective transport of low- O_3 air masses impacted by
9 biogenic emissions. As will be discussed in Section 6, significant decreases in O_3 and increases in
10 CO occur near the above regions due to atmospheric oxidation of biogenic VOCs (e.g., isoprene)
11 over tropical America and Africa. In addition, our results show weak positive (in GMI/fvGCM
12 and GMI/GEOS4) or strong negative (in GMI/MERRA) O_3 -CO correlations over much of the
13 southern tropics and subtropics, especially near the biomass burning outflow regions. Negative
14 O_3 -CO correlations in the southern tropics during July-August were previously reported by
15 Fishman and Seiler (1983). Based on aircraft measurements, they concluded that O_3 destruction in
16 the southern tropical LT, where the major CO sources (biomass burning emissions) are located,
17 may lead to strong negative correlations (see their Figure 3).

18 All GMI simulations show strong negative O_3 -CO correlations over the Asian continent
19 including the Middle East (Figure 12). Over Southwest China (e.g., Sichuan Basin), monsoonal
20 convective lifting of air masses with high-CO and low- O_3 leads to negative O_3 -CO correlations.
21 For most of other regions, high O_3 and low CO associated with stratospherically influenced air
22 (Figure 9, middle column) result in negative O_3 -CO correlations with large (negative) dO_3/dCO
23 ratios. As will be discussed in Section 6, lightning NO_x emissions also contribute to these negative

1 correlations over the Asian continent. Our simulations over the Tibetan Plateau are consistent with
2 the study of Wang et al., (2006), who inferred negative O₃-CO correlations from in situ
3 measurements at Mount Waliguan located at the northeastern edge of the Tibetan Plateau during
4 summer due to downward transport from the UT/LS.

5 While the O₃-CO correlations in the three simulations show similarities, they also show
6 differences. The global O₃-CO correlation patterns in GMI/fvGCM and GMI/GEOS4 are more
7 similar, presumably because fvGCM is the GCM in the GEOS4 assimilation and they use the same
8 convection scheme. Even so, significantly different O₃-CO correlation coefficients between
9 GMI/fvGCM and GMI/GEOS4 are seen in northern Africa, where the former simulates strong
10 negative but the latter shows weak positive correlations. As indicated by radionuclide tracers (²¹⁰Pb
11 and ⁷Be), fvGCM has relatively stronger large-scale subsidence over northern Africa at 618 hPa
12 than GEOS4, resulting in strong correlations with a large negative slope. In addition, the O₃-CO
13 correlations in GMI/MERRA are strongly negative over northern South America, tropical western
14 South Atlantic Ocean, Indian Ocean, and tropical western Pacific Ocean. By contrast, the
15 correlations in these regions in GMI/fvGCM and GMI/GEOS4 are either weak or positive. The
16 convection in fvGCM is much weaker than in GEOS4 or MERRA except at SH mid-latitudes and
17 over Tibetan Plateau (not shown). MERRA has the strongest convection in Central America,
18 tropical western Pacific Ocean, tropical eastern Pacific Ocean, tropical western Atlantic Ocean,
19 tropical eastern Indian Ocean, and Bay of Bengal. These differences of convective mass fluxes
20 result in broader regions with negative O₃-CO correlations in the tropics in GMI/MERRA than
21 those in GMI/fvGCM and GMI/GEOS4. Kim et al. (2013) also simulated different O₃-CO
22 correlations in some tropical regions with GEOS-Chem driven by GEOS4 and GEOS5
23 meteorological data sets because of the model transport error associated with deep convection.

1 **5.2. Evaluation of GMI O₃-CO Correlations with TES Observations**

2 Figures 13 and 14 show the O₃-CO correlation coefficients (R) and linear regression slopes
3 (dO₃/dCO), respectively, at 618 hPa as determined by TES observations for July - August 2005,
4 and corresponding GMI CTM results with 3-hourly output sampled along the TES orbit tracks.
5 Values are calculated in 10°x10° grid cells. The regions of > 60°S and > 60°N are excluded in this
6 study because O₃ and CO concentrations over these regions are low (Figure 8) and absolute co-
7 variances of O₃ and CO over these regions are also low (not shown). Therefore, as suggested by
8 Voulgarakis et al. (2011), discrepancies in these regions are not scientifically important in terms
9 of the O₃-CO correlation. Since only two months of TES O₃ and CO observations were used, the
10 correlation patterns are somewhat patchy and correlations are weak ($|R| < 0.2$) over more than half
11 of the globe. Using TES data for July – August over 5 years improves the consistency of the
12 correlation patterns (Figure 15), as discussed later. TES-observed O₃ and CO concentrations show
13 highest correlations (R up to 0.6) with large slopes over the western Pacific, and relatively high
14 correlations (R = 0.2-0.4) with relatively large slopes over North America, the Middle East,
15 northern South America, central and southern Africa, as well as continental outflow regions, e.g.,
16 northwestern Pacific Ocean, western Indian Ocean, subtropical South Atlantic Ocean, tropical
17 eastern Pacific, and northwestern Atlantic (Figures 13 and 14). Negative correlations were
18 observed over the Tibetan Plateau (R < -0.6), northern Africa, and SH mid-latitudes (R < -0.4)
19 (Figure 13). Global TES O₃-CO correlation patterns and magnitudes are similar to those reported
20 by Zhang et al. (2006) and Voulgarakis et al. (2011). The slope patterns (Figure 14) follow the
21 correlation ones (Figure 13), suggesting that the slopes of the regression lines are useful indicators
22 of the correlation strength.

1 The GMI simulated O₃-CO correlation coefficients and linear regression slopes (dO₃/dCO)
2 calculated from each of the three model outputs sampled along the TES orbit tracks show similar
3 global patterns but overall weaker correlations (Figure 13) and smaller slopes (Figure 14) than
4 non-sampled raw model results (Figure 12) due to spatiotemporal sampling and application of TES
5 averaging kernels. All simulations capture the TES-observed positive O₃-CO correlations in
6 various regions. On the other hand, all simulations indicate strong negative correlations over the
7 Tibetan Plateau and tropical convective regions where TES misses such correlations or only shows
8 much weaker negative correlations in much narrower areas.

9 To get a more statistically robust view of TES O₃-CO correlations, we conduct a similar
10 analysis using multi-year observations. Figure 15 shows the O₃-CO correlation coefficients (R)
11 and linear regression slopes (dO₃/dCO) at 618 hPa as determined by TES O₃ and CO retrievals for
12 July - August over 5 years (2005 – 2009). Values are calculated in 4°x5° grid cells. The global
13 distributions provide more details and are consistent with the coarse patterns for July – August
14 2005 shown in Figures 13 and 14. The negative correlations over the Tibetan Plateau and northern
15 Africa are more apparent than those using the TES data only for July – August 2005 (Figure 13).
16 Overall our results of multi-year (2005 – 2009) O₃-CO correlation coefficients at 618 hPa for July
17 – August are similar to those inferred from the mean mid-tropospheric (400 – 800 hPa) TES O₃
18 and CO concentrations averaged over July – August 2005 – 2008 (Voulgarakis et al., 2011).

19

20 **6. Sensitivity of O₃-CO Correlations to Emissions**

21 In order to understand how O₃-CO correlation patterns are driven by emissions, we
22 examine the sensitivity of O₃-CO correlations to emission types in the GMI model driven by the

1 MERRA meteorological fields, which represent the state-of-the-art of GEOS-DAS at the time of
2 this study. Figures 16 - 19 show the mean changes in O₃ and CO concentrations (ppbv) and their
3 correlation coefficients, as well as the areas where correlation signs change relative to the standard
4 simulation at 618 hPa when each emission type (fossil fuel, biomass burning, biogenic, and
5 lightning NO_x emissions) is excluded in the model for July – August 2005. Figure 20 shows the
6 O₃-CO correlation coefficients (R) at 618 hPa in the standard simulation and when each emission
7 type is excluded. Results are calculated using 3-hourly model output. These figures provide the
8 context for discussions in this section.

9 Fossil fuel emissions substantially increase O₃ (by ~5-20 ppbv) and CO (by ~10-30 ppbv)
10 in the NH, notably over the Asian and North American continental outflow regions (Figure 16ab).
11 Fossil fuel emissions lead to strengthened O₃-CO correlations with correlation signs changing from
12 negative to positive over the Asian and North American outflow regions (Figures 16cd and 20b).
13 Such effects are also seen over Europe, the Arabian Sea, the northern Bay of Bengal, and the
14 northeastern Pacific (Figure 16cd). Fossil fuel emissions result in stronger negative O₃-CO
15 correlations over part of the Asian continent (Figure 16c). This is especially true over the Tibetan
16 Plateau where low-level convergence transports air masses with low-O₃ and high-CO to the middle
17 troposphere.

18 Biomass burning emissions increase O₃ (by ~2-10 ppbv) and CO (by > 25ppbv) in the
19 easterly outflow in the tropical South America and Central Africa, in the westerly outflow in the
20 southern subtropics, and over Indonesia (Figure 17ab). They are responsible for the positive
21 correlations in the SH mid- and high- latitudes (Figures 17cd and 19c). Without biomass burning
22 emissions, O₃-CO correlations over the westerly outflow in the southern subtropics and most of
23 the SH mid- and high- latitudes would be negative or very weak (Figures 17c and 20c). By contrast,

1 biomass burning emissions degrade an already strong correlation from fossil fuel emissions in the
2 NH (e.g., over part of the tropical western Pacific, Bay of Bengal, NH subtropical Atlantic, and
3 especially NH high latitudes, Figure 17d). In the tropics, biomass burning emissions strengthen
4 the positive correlations in Indonesia and weaken the negative correlations over the tropical South
5 American outflow region. In the two models of Voulgarakis et al. (2011), biomass burning
6 emissions have the largest impact on the O₃-CO correlations in the tropics, especially downwind
7 of Central Africa and South America where biomass burning emissions changed the correlation
8 sign from negative to positive. Our results show no apparent changes in the O₃-CO correlation
9 signs (negative) in these downwind regions. This may reflect the differences in biomass burning
10 emissions and/or chemical mechanisms used in the two studies.

11 Biogenic emissions increase O₃ concentrations at 618 hPa by ~ 2-6 ppbv in the NH
12 subtropics and mid-latitudes, but decrease O₃ concentrations by up to ~10 ppbv in tropical South
13 America, tropical Africa, and Indonesia (Figure 18a). The latter mainly reflects the fact that O₃ is
14 consumed during the atmospheric oxidation process of isoprene under low NO_x conditions (Fan
15 and Zhang, 2004; Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998). Biogenic emissions have large positive impacts on
16 CO concentrations in the easterly and westerly outflow regions of South America and Africa, in
17 the North American outflow, over Southwest China and Indonesia, as well as in the SH background
18 (Figure 18b). The O₃-CO correlations in the model show smaller sensitivity to biogenic emissions
19 relative to other emission types (Figures 18c and 20d). Nevertheless, biogenic emissions lead to
20 strong negative O₃-CO correlations over the tropical eastern Pacific Ocean due to reduced O₃ and
21 enhanced CO concentrations associated with these emissions (Figures 18cd and 20d). Such effects
22 are also seen over central Africa, easterly South American outflow, westerly South American
23 outflow, Indonesia, and subtropical western Pacific.

1 Lightning NO_x emissions increase O₃ concentrations at 618 hPa by up to ~15-25 ppbv at
2 NH subtropics and mid-latitudes, and by up to ~15-30 ppbv at SH tropics and subtropics (Figure
3 19a). Such increases are relatively larger in those regions with subsiding air from the UT (cf.,
4 Figure 9, right bottom panel), where the largest effect of lightning NO_x emissions occurs. The
5 resulting increase in OH concentrations leads to a general decrease in CO concentrations with
6 maximum effects in the tropics and SH subtropics (Figure 19ab). Consequently, lightning NO_x
7 emissions weaken both the positive O₃-CO correlations at mid- and high- latitudes and the negative
8 correlations in the tropics (Figures 19cd and 20e). They alter the correlation signs from positive to
9 negative in various areas where the correlations are generally weak (Figure 19d). Our results are
10 in contrast with those of Voulgarakis et al. (2011) who showed that lightning NO_x emissions
11 appeared to increase the O₃-CO correlations (400-800 hPa) in various regions (e.g., tropical eastern
12 Pacific, NH continental outflow regions). These may partly reflect the differences in the altitude
13 and strength of lightning NO_x emissions.

14

15 **7. Summary and Conclusions**

16 We have examined the capability of the Global Modeling Initiative (GMI) chemistry and
17 transport model (CTM) to reproduce the global mid-tropospheric O₃-CO correlations from the TES
18 instrument onboard the NASA Aura satellite during boreal summer (July – August). The model
19 was driven by three meteorological data sets (fvGCM for 1995, GEOS4 for 2005, MERRA for
20 2005), allowing us to examine the sensitivity of model O₃-CO correlations to input meteorological
21 data. To understand how various emissions drive global O₃-CO correlation patterns, we also

1 investigated the sensitivity of GMI/MERRA model-calculated O₃ and CO concentrations and their
2 correlations to emission types.

3 We evaluated GMI-simulated tropospheric O₃ vertical profiles and tropospheric O₃
4 columns (TOCs) with those from ozonesonde and satellite observations, respectively. To aid in
5 the evaluation, model simulations of radionuclide tracers (²²²Rn, ²¹⁰Pb, and ⁷Be) were used to
6 illustrate the differences in convection, stratospheric influence, and large-scale subsidence among
7 three meteorological data sets. Among the three GMI simulations, GMI/GEOS4-simulated O₃
8 concentrations are in best agreement with the observations. GMI/MERRA underestimates O₃ in
9 the NH high-latitude UT due to weak STE, and overestimates O₃ in the SH subtropics. The latter
10 is due to a combination of excessive influences from lightning NO_x emissions and STE (or
11 subsidence), as well as the shallower convection resulting in less low-O₃ air lifted from the LT to
12 MT/UT. The latitudinal distribution of model biases in TOCs relative to satellite observations is
13 consistent with the results from model evaluations with ozonesonde O₃ profiles.

14 We evaluated GMI simulated O₃ and CO concentrations with TES observations at 618 hPa
15 where TES has most sensitivity. TES observed O₃ enhancements over the NH mid-latitudes
16 (including continental outflow regions), the Middle East, and the subtropical southern Africa and
17 Atlantic. All simulations well capture the global spatial distribution of O₃ at 618 hPa, but appear
18 to underestimate TES O₃ observations over southern Africa and its outflow region. GMI/fvGCM
19 simulates the highest O₃ concentrations at NH mid-/high-latitudes, especially the Asian continent
20 due to strong STE whereas it simulates the lowest O₃ concentrations in the southern tropics and
21 subtropics due to weak STE and low lightning NO_x emissions. GMI/MERRA simulates the highest
22 O₃ concentrations in the southern subtropics, especially southern Africa due to high lightning NO_x
23 emissions and, to a lesser extent, strong convection. GMI/fvGCM underestimates the O₃ minimum

1 in the tropical western Pacific and eastern Indian Ocean. Considering the positive bias in TES O₃
2 at NH mid-latitudes, GMI/fvGCM appears to overestimate O₃ over the East Asian outflow region
3 due to too fast STE whereas GMI/GEOS4 and GMI/MERRA simulate O₃ enhancements
4 reasonably well in East Asia and its downwind regions. All three simulations significantly
5 underestimate TES-observed CO enhancements at NH mid-latitudes, but simulate better CO
6 enhancements over the tropical biomass burning regions.

7 The three GMI simulations all show strong positive O₃-CO correlations at 618 hPa over
8 the NH mid-latitude continental outflow regions and the SH biomass burning outflow regions, as
9 shown by TES observations. Generally, positive O₃-CO correlations are simulated downwind of
10 polluted regions due to photochemical production of O₃ from its precursors. However, owing to
11 significant influences from the stratosphere and subsidence from UT/LS over these regions, mixing
12 of stratospheric air with polluted (anthropogenic or biomass burning) air masses is associated with
13 strong positive O₃-CO correlations with large dO₃/dCO enhancement ratios. Strong positive O₃-
14 CO correlations are also simulated over the Indonesian biomass burning region where deep
15 convection occurs, but the dO₃/dCO enhancement ratios are smaller than those in the NH mid-
16 latitude continental outflow regions. The latter reflects the lower efficiency of NO_x emissions from
17 biomass burning. Strong negative O₃-CO correlations over northern and central Africa, tropical
18 Atlantic, and tropical eastern and western Pacific in all simulations result from convective
19 transport of biomass burning air masses with low-O₃, and consumption of O₃ along with
20 production of CO due to oxidation of biogenic hydrocarbons (e.g., isoprene under low NO_x
21 conditions). The simulated negative O₃-CO correlations over the Asian continent, including the
22 Middle East, are partly attributed to stratospheric influence and/or subsidence from UT/LS. High-
23 O₃ and low-CO associated with stratospherically influenced air lead to strong negative correlations

1 with large dO_3/dCO ratios. On the other hand, over Southwest China, monsoonal convective lifting
2 of air masses with high-CO and low- O_3 results in negative O_3 -CO correlations. By contrast, TES
3 O_3 and CO concentrations at 618 hPa either miss such negative correlations (i.e., tropical
4 convective regions) or only show weak negative correlations over much narrower areas (i.e., the
5 Tibetan Plateau and northern Africa).

6 TES-observed O_3 and CO concentrations at 618 hPa show highest positive correlations
7 with large regression slopes over the western Pacific, and relatively high correlations over North
8 America, the Middle East, northern South America, central and southern Africa, and continental
9 outflow regions. Negative correlations are observed in parts of the Asian continent (Tibetan
10 Plateau), northern Africa, and SH mid-latitudes. All model output sampled along the TES orbit
11 track capture the observed positive O_3 -CO correlations over the NH mid-latitude continental
12 outflow regions, southern Africa, western Indian Ocean, subtropical South Atlantic, northern
13 South America, and tropical eastern Pacific. While all simulations show strong negative
14 correlations over the Tibetan Plateau, northern Africa, northern subtropical eastern Pacific, and
15 Caribbean, TES O_3 and CO concentrations at 618 hPa only show weak negative correlations over
16 much narrower areas (i.e., the Tibetan Plateau and northern Africa).

17 We performed sensitivity simulations with GMI/MERRA to investigate the effect of
18 individual emission types on model-calculated O_3 -CO correlations at 618 hPa. Results show that
19 fossil fuel emissions increase global O_3 and CO concentrations and are responsible for the strong
20 positive correlations over the NH continental outflow regions. Both biomass burning and biogenic
21 emissions significantly increase global CO concentrations. Biomass burning emissions increase
22 O_3 concentrations in the easterly outflow in the tropical South America and Central Africa, in the
23 westerly outflow in the southern subtropics, and over Indonesia. Biogenic emissions increase O_3

1 concentrations in the NH subtropics and mid-latitudes, but decrease O₃ concentrations in tropical
2 South America, tropical Africa, and Indonesia. The decreases mainly reflect the fact that O₃ is
3 consumed during the atmospheric oxidation process of isoprene under low NO_x conditions.
4 Biomass burning emissions are responsible for the positive correlations in the SH mid- and high-
5 latitudes and negative correlations over part of the tropical western Pacific, Bay of Bengal, NH
6 subtropical Atlantic, and NH high latitudes. Biogenic emissions have relatively smaller impact on
7 the correlations than other emissions do, but are largely responsible for the negative O₃-CO
8 correlations over the tropical eastern Pacific. Lightning NO_x emissions lead to large increases in
9 O₃ concentrations at NH subtropics and mid-latitudes, and at SH tropics and subtropics, especially
10 in the regions of subsidence. We find that lightning NO_x emissions weaken both positive O₃-CO
11 correlations at mid- and high-latitudes and negative correlations in the tropics, and change weak
12 positive correlations to negative in various areas. This result contrasts with that of previous studies.

13 This study demonstrates the utility of O₃-CO correlations to constrain the sources of
14 tropospheric O₃ in global 3-D models. Our model simulations driven by three input meteorological
15 data sets show significantly different global and regional distributions of O₃ and CO concentrations
16 during boreal summer. For instance, GMI/fvGCM simulations show higher O₃ concentrations in
17 the NH and lower CO concentrations than other simulations. Despite such differences, all
18 simulations show similar patterns of O₃-CO correlations on a global scale. The regional features
19 of the correlations, however, are often different due to the discrepancies in various meteorological
20 processes (e.g., convection, STE, subsidence). In particular, GMI/MERRA simulates broader areas
21 of strong negative O₃-CO correlations at 618 hPa in the tropics than GMI/fvGCM and
22 GMI/GEOS4 do due to stronger tropical convection in the LT/MT. In this sense, O₃-CO
23 correlations can be used to constrain better the sources of regional tropospheric O₃ in global models,

1 especially for convective regions than O₃ and CO observations individually. Future work, where
2 additional meteorological archives (e.g., GFDL AM3, ECMWF ERA-Interim) may also be
3 incorporated, should examine the driving factors for O₃-CO correlations in other seasons.

4 5 **Data availability**

6 A description of the model output and observational data used in this paper can be found in Sect.
7 2 and they are available upon request by contacting Hongyu Liu (hongyu.liu-1@nasa.gov).

8
9 **Acknowledgements.** This work was supported by the NASA Modeling, Analysis, and Prediction
10 (MAP) program and NASA Atmospheric Composition Modeling and Analysis Program
11 (ACMAP). NASA Center for Computational Sciences (NCCS) provided supercomputing
12 resources. TES data products are distributed by NASA Langley Atmospheric Science Data Center.

13 14 **References**

- 15
16 Allen, D., Pickering, K., Duncan, B., and Damon, M.: Impact of lightning NO emissions on North
17 American photochemistry as determined using the Global Modeling Initiative (GMI) model,
18 *J. Geophys. Res.*, *115*, D22301, doi:10.1029/2010JD014062, 2010.
- 19 Anderson, B. E., Gregory, G. L., Barrick, J. D. W., Collins, J. E. Jr., Sachse, G. W., Bagwell, D.,
20 Shipham, M. C., Bradshaw, J. D., and Sandholm, S. T.: The impact of U.S. continental
21 outflow on ozone and aerosol distributions over the western Atlantic, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
22 *98*(D12), 23,477–23,489, doi:10.1029/93JD01208, 1993.

1 Beer, R., Glavich, T. A., and Rider, D. M.: Tropospheric emission spectrometer for the Earth
2 Observing System's Aura Satellite, *Appl. Optics*, 40, 2356–2367, 2001.

3 Beer, R.: TES on the Aura mission: Scientific objectives, measurements, and analysis overview,
4 *IEEE T. Geosci. Remote*, 44, 1102–1105, doi:10.1109/tgrs.2005.863716, 2006.

5 Bowman, K. W., Steck, T., Worden, H. M., Worden, J., Clough, S., and Rodgers, C.: Capturing
6 time and vertical variability of tropospheric ozone: A study using TES nadir retrievals, *J.*
7 *Geophys. Res.*, 107(D23), 4723, doi:10.1029/2002JD002150, 2002.

8 Bowman, K. W., et al.: Tropospheric emission spectrometer: Retrieval method and error analysis,
9 *IEEE T. Geosci. Remote*, 44, 1297–1307, doi:10.1109/tgrs.2006871234, 2006.

10 Benkovitz, C. M., Scholtz, M. T., Pacyna, J., Tarrasón, L., Dignon, J., Voldner, E. C., Spiro, P.
11 A., Logan, J. A., and Graedel, T. E.: Global gridded inventories of anthropogenic emissions
12 of sulfur and nitrogen, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101(D22), 29239–29253, doi:10.1029/96JD00126,
13 1996.

14 Bey, I., Jacob, D.J., Yantosca, R.M., Logan, J.A., Field, B., Fiore, A.M., Li, Q., Liu, H., Mickley,
15 L.J., and Schultz, M.: Global modeling of tropospheric chemistry with assimilated
16 meteorology: Model description and evaluation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 23,073–23,096, 2001.

17 Bloom, S., Silva, A. da, Dee, D., Bosilovich, M., Chern, J.-D., Pawson, S., Schubert, S.,
18 Sienkiewicz, M., Stajner, I., Tan, W.-W., and Wu, M.-L.: Documentation and Validation of
19 the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) Data Assimilation System - Version 4.
20 *Technical Report Series on Global Modeling and Data Assimilation* (Editor Max J. Suarez),
21 NASA/TM-2005-104606, Vol. 26, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt,
22 Maryland, April 2005, 2005.

1 Chin, M., Jacob, D. J., Munger, J. W., Parrish, D. D., and Doddridge, B. G.: Relationship of ozone
2 and carbon monoxide over North America, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *99*(D7), 14,565–14,573,
3 doi:10.1029/94JD00907, 1994.

4 Chandra, S., Ziemke, J. R., Duncan, B. N., Diehl, T. L., Livesey, N. J., and Froidevaux, L.: Effects
5 of the 2006 El Niño on tropospheric ozone and carbon monoxide: implications for dynamics
6 and biomass burning, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *9*, 4239-4249, doi:10.5194/acp-9-4239-2009,
7 2009.

8 Collins Jr., J. E., Anderson, B. E., Sachse, G. W., J. Barrick, D. W., Wade, L. O., Burney, L. G.,
9 and Hill, G. F.: Atmospheric fine structure during GTE TRACE A: Relationships among
10 ozone, carbon monoxide, and water vapor, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *101*(D19), 24307–24316,
11 doi:10.1029/96JD02180, 1996.

12 Considine, D. B., Bergmann, D. J., and Liu, H.: Sensitivity of Global Modeling Initiative
13 chemistry and transport model simulations of radon-222 and lead-210 to input
14 meteorological data, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *5*, 3389-3406, doi:10.5194/acp-5-3389-2005,
15 2005.

16 Considine, D. B., Logan, J. A., and Olsen, M. A.: Evaluation of near-tropopause ozone
17 distributions in the Global Modeling Initiative combined stratosphere/troposphere model
18 with ozonesonde data, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *8*, 2365-2385, doi:10.5194/acp-8-2365-2008,
19 2008.

20 Cooper, O. R., Moody, J. L., Parrish, D. D., Trainer, M., Holloway, J. S., Hübler, G., Fehsenfeld,
21 F. C., and Stohl, A.: Trace gas composition of midlatitude cyclones over the western North
22 Atlantic Ocean: A seasonal comparison of O₃ and CO, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *107*(D7),
23 doi:10.1029/2001JD000902, 2002.

1 Dobb, J. E., Meeker, L. D., Finkel, R. C., Southon, J. R., Caffee, M. W., and Barrie, L. A.:
2 Estimation of stratospheric input to the Arctic troposphere: ^7Be and ^{10}Be in aerosols at Alert,
3 Canada, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *99*, 12,855-12,864, 1994.

4 Douglass, A.R., Prather, M.J., Hall, T.M., Strahan, S.E., Rasch, P.J., Sparling, L.C., Coy, L., and
5 Rodriguez, J.M.: Choosing meteorological input for the global modeling initiative
6 assessment of high-speed aircraft, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *104*(D22), 27,545-27,564, 1999.

7 Douglass, A. R., Stolarski, R. S., Strahan, S. E., and Connell, P. S.: Radicals and reservoirs in the
8 GMI chemistry and transport model: Comparison to measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *109*,
9 D16302, doi:10.1029/2004JD004632, 2004.

10 Duncan, B. N., Martin, R., Staudt, A., Yevich, R., and Logan, J.: Interannual and Seasonal
11 Variability of Biomass Burning Emissions Constrained by Satellite Observations, *J.*
12 *Geophys. Res.*, *108*(D2), 4100, doi:10.1029/2002JD002378, 2003.

13 Duncan, B. N., Strahan, S. E., Yoshida, Y., Steenrod, S. D., and Livesey, N.: Model study of the
14 cross-tropopause transport of biomass burning pollution, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *7*, 3713-3736,
15 doi:10.5194/acp-7-3713-2007, 2007a.

16 Duncan, B. N., Logan, J. A., Bey, I., Megretskaia, I. A., Yantosca, R. M., Novelli, P. C., Jones, N.
17 B., and Rinsland, C. P.: Global budget of CO, 1988–1997: Source estimates and validation
18 with a global model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *112*, D22301, doi:10.1029/2007JD008459, 2007b.

19 Duncan, B. N., West, J. J., Yoshida, Y., Fiore, A. M., and Ziemke, J. R.: The influence of
20 European pollution on ozone in the Near East and northern Africa, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *8*,
21 2267-2283, doi:10.5194/acp-8-2267-2008, 2008.

22 Fan, J. and Zhang, R.: Atmospheric oxidation mechanism of Isoprene, *Environ. Chem.*, *1*, 140-
23 149, doi: 10.1071/EV04045, 2004.

1 Fehsenfeld, F. C., Daum, P., Leaitch, W. R., Trainer, M., Parrish, D. D., and Hübler, G.: Transport
2 and processing of O₃ and O₃ precursors over the North Atlantic: An overview of the 1993
3 North Atlantic Regional Experiment (NARE) summer intensive, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101(D22),
4 28,877–28,891, doi:10.1029/96JD01113, 1996.

5 Fishman, J., and Seiler, W.: Correlative nature of ozone and carbon monoxide in the troposphere:
6 Implications for the tropospheric ozone budget, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 88, 3662–3670, 1983.

7 Fishman, J., Wozniak, A. E., and Creilson, J. K.: Global distribution of tropospheric ozone from
8 satellite measurements using the empirically corrected tropospheric ozone residual
9 technique: Identification of the regional aspects of air pollution, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 893-
10 907, 2003.

11 Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P.I., and Geron, C.: Estimates of global
12 terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols
13 from Nature), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 3181-3210, 2006.

14 Hack, J. J.: Parameterization of moist convection in the National Center for Atmospheric Research
15 Community Climate Model (CCM2), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 5551–5568, 1994.

16 Honrath, R. E., Owen, R. C., Val Martín, M., Reid, J. S., Lapina, K., Fialho, P., Dziobak, M. P.,
17 Kleissl, J., and Westphal, D. L.: Regional and hemispheric impacts of anthropogenic and
18 biomass burning emissions on summertime CO and O₃ in the North Atlantic lower free
19 troposphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D24310, doi:10.1029/2004JD005147, 2004.

20 Hsu, J., Prather, M. J., Wild, O., Sundet, J. K., Isaksen, I. S. A., Browell, E. V., Avery, M. A., and
21 Sachse, G. W.: Are the TRACE-P measurements representative of the western Pacific during
22 March 2001?, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109(D2), D02314, doi:10.1029/2003JD004002, 2004.

- 1 Jacob D. J., and Wofsy, S. C.: Budgets of Reactive Nitrogen, Hydrocarbons, and Ozone Over the
2 Amazon Forest During the Wet Season, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *95*, 16,737-16,754, 1990.
- 3 Jacob, D. J., et al.: Evaluation and inter-comparison of global atmospheric transport models using
4 ^{222}Rn and other short-lived tracers, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *102*, 5953-5970, 1997.
- 5 Jaffe, D. A., Honrath, R. E., Zhang, L., Akimoto, H., Shimizu, A., Mukai, H., Murano, K.,
6 Hatakeyama, S., and Merrill, J.: Measurements of NO, NO_y, CO and O₃ and estimation of
7 the ozone production rate at Oki Island, Japan, during PEM-West, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *101(D1)*,
8 2037–2048, doi:10.1029/95JD01699, 1996.
- 9 Kim, P. S., Jacob, D. J., Liu, X., Warner, J. X., Yang, K., Chance, K., Thouret, V., and
10 Nedelec, P.: Global ozone–CO correlations from OMI and AIRS: constraints on tropospheric
11 ozone sources, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *13*, 9321-9335, doi:10.5194/acp-13-9321-2013, 2013.
- 12 Kinnison, D. E., et al.: The Global Modeling Initiative assessment model: Application to high-
13 speed civil transport perturbation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *106(D2)*, 1693–1711,
14 doi:10.1029/2000JD900406, 2001.
- 15 Koch, D. M., and Mann, M. E.: Spatial and temporal variability of ^{7}Be surface concentration,
16 *Tellus, Ser. B*, *48*, 387-396, 1996.
- 17 Li, Q., Jacob, D. J., Bey, I., Palmer, P. I., Duncan, B. N., Field, B. D., Martin, R. V., Fiore, A.
18 M., Yantosca, R. M., Parrish, D. D., Simmonds, P. G., and Oltmans, S. J.: Transatlantic
19 transport of pollution and its effects on surface ozone in Europe and North America, *J.*
20 *Geophys. Res.*, *107(D13)*, doi:10.1029/2001JD001422, 2002.
- 21 Lin, S.-J., and Rood, R. B.: Multidimensional flux-form semi-Lagrangian transport schemes, *Mon.*
22 *Weather Rev.*, *124*, 2046–2070, 1996.

1 Liu, H., Jacob, D. J., Bey, I., and Yantosca, R. M.: Constraints from ^{210}Pb and ^7Be on wet
2 deposition and transport in a global three-dimensional chemical tracer model driven by
3 assimilated meteorological fields, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *106*(D11), 12109–12128,
4 doi:10.1029/2000JD900839, 2001.

5 Liu, H., Considine, D. B., Horowitz, L. W., Crawford, J. H., Rodriguez, J. M., Strahan, S. E.,
6 Damon, M. R., Steenrod, S. D., Xu, X., Kouatchou, J., Carouge, C., and Yantosca, R. M.:
7 Using beryllium-7 to assess cross-tropopause transport in global models, *Atmos. Chem.*
8 *Phys.*, *16*, 4641-4659, doi:10.5194/acp-16-4641-2016, 2016.

9 Liu, J., Logan, J. A., Jones, D. B. A., Livesey, N. J., Megretskaja, I., Carouge, C., and Nedelec, P.:
10 Analysis of CO in the tropical troposphere using Aura satellite data and the GEOS-Chem
11 model: insights into transport characteristics of the GEOS meteorological products, *Atmos.*
12 *Chem. Phys.*, *10*, 12207-12232, doi:10.5194/acp-10-12207-2010, 2010.

13 Logan, J. A. (1999), An analysis of ozonesonde data for the troposphere: Recommendations for
14 testing 3-D models and development of a gridded climatology for tropospheric ozone, *J.*
15 *Geophys. Res.*, *104*, 16 115–16 149.

16 Lopez, J. P., Luo, M., Christensen, L. E., Loewenstein, M., Jost, H., Webster, C. R., and Osterman,
17 G.: TES carbon monoxide validation during two AVE campaigns using the Argus and
18 ALIAS instruments on NASA's WB-57F, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *113*, D16S47,
19 doi:10.1029/2007JD008811, 2008.

20 Luo, M., et al.: TES carbon monoxide validation with DACOM aircraft measurements during
21 INTEX-B 2006, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *112*, D24S48, doi:10.1029/2007JD008803, 2007a.

1 Luo, M., et al.: Comparison of carbon monoxide measurements by TES and MOPITT: Influence
2 of a priori data and instrument characteristics on nadir atmospheric species retrievals, *J.*
3 *Geophys. Res.*, 112, D09303, doi:10.1029/2006JD007663, 2007b.

4 Mao, H., and Talbot, R.: O₃ and CO in New England: Temporal variations and relationships, *J.*
5 *Geophys. Res.*, 109, D21304, doi:10.1029/2004JD004913, 2004.

6 Mari, C., Jacob, D. J., and Bechtold, P.: Transport and scavenging of soluble gases in a deep
7 convective cloud, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 105, 22,255-22,267, 2000.

8 Mauzerall, D. L., Narita, D., Akimoto, H., Horowitz, L., Walters, S., Hauglustaine, D. A., and
9 Brasseur, G.: Seasonal characteristics of tropospheric ozone production and mixing ratios
10 over East Asia: A global three-dimensional chemical transport model analysis, *J. Geophys.*
11 *Res.*, 105(D14), 17,895–17,910, doi:10.1029/2000JD900087, 2000.

12 Moorthi S. and Suarez, M. J.: Relaxed Arakawa-Shubert. A Parameterization of moist convection
13 for general circulation models, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 120, 978-1002, 1992.

14 Naja, M., Lal, S., and Chand, D.: Diurnal and seasonal variabilities in surface ozone at a high
15 altitude site Mt Abu (24.6 degrees N, 72.7 degrees E, 1680 m asl) in India, *Atmos. Environ.*,
16 37, 4205–4215, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(03)00565-X, 2003.

17 Nassar, R., et al.: Validation of Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer (TES) nadir ozone profiles
18 using ozonesonde measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D15S17,
19 doi:10.1029/2007JD008819, 2008.

20 Osterman, G. B., Kulawik, S. S., Worden, H., Richards, N. A., Fisher, B. M., Eldering, A.,
21 Shephard, M. W., Froidevaux, L., Labow, G., Luo, M., Herman, R. L., Bowman, K. W., and
22 Thompson, A. M.: Validation of Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer (TES) measurements

1 of the total, stratospheric, and tropospheric column abundance of ozone, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
2 113, D15S16, doi:10.1029/2007JD008801, 2008.

3 Parrington, M., Jones, D. B. A., Bowman, K. W., Horowitz, L. W., Thompson, A. M., Tarasick,
4 D. W., and Witte, J. C.: Estimating the summertime tropospheric ozone distribution over
5 North America through assimilation of observations from the Tropospheric Emission
6 Spectrometer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D18307, doi:10.1029/2007JD009341, 2008.

7 Parrish, D. D., Holloway, J. S., Trainer, M., Murphy, P. C., Forbes, G. L., and Fehsenfeld, F. C.:
8 Export of North American Ozone Pollution to the North Atlantic Ocean, *Science*, 259, 1436–
9 1439, 1993.

10 Parrish, D. D., Trainer, M., Holloway, J. S., Yee, J. E., Warshawsky, M. S., and Fehsenfeld, F.
11 C.: Relationships between ozone and carbon monoxide at surface sites in the North Atlantic
12 region, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103(D11), 13357–13376, 1998.

13 Real, E., Law, K. S., Schlager, H., Roiger, A., Huntrieser, H., Methven, J., Cain, M., Holloway, J.,
14 Neuman, J. A., Ryerson, T., Flocke, F., Gouw, J. de, Atlas, E., Donnelly, S., and Parrish, D.:
15 Lagrangian analysis of low altitude anthropogenic plume processing across the North
16 Atlantic, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 7737–7754, doi:10.5194/acp-8-7737-2008, 2008.

17 Rotman, D. A., et al.: Global Modeling Initiative assessment model: Model description, integration,
18 and testing of the transport shell, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 1669–1691, 2001.

19 Schoeberl, M. R., Duncan, B. N., Douglass, A. R., Waters, J., Livesey, N., Read, W., and Filipiak,
20 M.: The carbon monoxide tape recorder, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L12811,
21 doi:10.1029/2006GL026178, 2006.

22 Seinfeld, J. H., and Pandis, S. N.: Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, *Wiley*, New York, 1998.

1 Shim, C., Li, Q., Luo, M., Kulawik, S., Worden, H., Worden, J., Eldering, A., Diskin, G., Sachse,
2 G., Weinheimer, A., Knapp, D., Montzca, D., and Campos, T.: Satellite observations of
3 Mexico City pollution outflow from the Tropospheric Emissions Spectrometer (TES),
4 *Atmos. Environ.*, *43*, 1540–1547, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.11.026, 2009.

5 Shindell, D. T., et al.: Multimodel simulations of carbon monoxide: Comparison with observations
6 and projected near-future changes, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *111*, D19306,
7 doi:10.1029/2006JD007100, 2006.

8 Strahan, S. E., Duncan, B. N., and Hoor, P.: Observationally derived transport diagnostics for the
9 lowermost stratosphere and their application to the GMI chemistry and transport model,
10 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *7*, 2435-2445, doi:10.5194/acp-7-2435-2007, 2007.

11 Thompson, A. M., Pickering, K. E., McNamara, D. P., Schoeberl, M. R., Hudson, R. D., Kim, J.
12 H., Browell, E. V., Kirchhoff, V. W. J. H., and Nganga, D.: Where did tropospheric ozone
13 over southern Africa and the tropical Atlantic come from in October 1992? Insights from
14 TOMS, GTE TRACE A, and SAFARI 1992, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *101*(D19), 24,251–24,278,
15 doi:10.1029/96JD01463, 1996.

16 Thompson, A. M., Witte, J. C., McPeters, R. D., et al.: Southern Hemisphere Additional
17 Ozonesondes (SHADOZ) 1998–2000 tropical ozone climatology – 1. Comparison with
18 Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) and ground-based measurements, *J. Geophys.*
19 *Res.*, *108*(D2), 8238, doi:10.1029/2001JD000967, 2003.

20 Tsutsumi, Y., and Matsueda, H.: Relationship of ozone and CO at the summit of Mt. Fuji (35.35
21 degrees N, 138.73 degrees E, 3776 m above sea level) in summer 1997, *Atmos. Environ.*, *34*,
22 553– 561, 2000.

1 Voulgarakis, A., Telford, P. J., Aghedo, A. M., Braesicke, P., Faluvegi, G., Abraham, N. L.,
2 Bowman, K. W., Pyle, J. A., and Shindell, D. T.: Global multi-year O₃-CO correlation
3 patterns from models and TES satellite observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *11*, 5819-5838,
4 doi:10.5194/acp-11-5819-2011, 2011.

5 Wang, T., Wong, H. L. A., Tang, J., Ding, A., Wu, W. S., and Zhang, X. C.: On the origin of
6 surface ozone and reactive nitrogen observed at a remote mountain site in the northeastern
7 Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, western China, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *111*, D08303,
8 doi:10.1029/2005JD006527, 2006.

9 Worden, J., Kulawik, S. S., Shephard, M. W., Clough, S. A., Worden, H., Bowman, K., and
10 Goldman, A.: Predicted errors of tropospheric emission spectrometer nadir retrievals from
11 spectral window selection, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *109*, D09308, doi:10.1029/2004JD004522,
12 2004.

13 Worden, H. M., et al.: Comparisons of Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer (TES) ozone profiles
14 to ozonesondes: Methods and initial results, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *112*, D03309,
15 doi:10.1029/2006JD007258, 2007.

16 Yevich, R., and Logan, J. A.: An assesment of biofuel use and burning of agricultural waste in the
17 developing world, *Global Biogeochem. Cycles*, *17*, (4), 1095, doi:10.1029/2002GB001952,
18 2003.

19 Zhang, G. J., and McFarlane, N. A.: Sensitivity of climate simulations to the parameterization of
20 cumulus convection in the Canadian Climate Centre general circulation model, *Atmos.*
21 *Ocean.*, *33*, 407– 446, 1995.

22 Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Bowman, K. W., Logan, J. A., Turquety, S., Hudman, R. C., Li, Q., Beer,
23 R., Worden, H. M., Rinsland, C. P., Kulawik, S. S., Lampel, M. C., Shephard, M. W., Fisher,

1 B. M., Eldering, A., and Avery, M. A.: Ozone-CO correlations determined by the TES
2 satellite instrument in continental outflow regions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *33*, L18804,
3 doi:10.1029/2006GL026399, 2006.

4 Zhang, L., Li, Q. B., Jin, J., Liu, H., Livesey, N., Jiang, J. H., Mao, Y., Chen, D., Luo, M. , and
5 Chen, Y.: Impacts of 2006 Indonesian fires and dynamics on tropical upper tropospheric
6 carbon monoxide and ozone, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *11*, 10929-10946, doi:10.5194/acp-11-
7 10929-2011, 2011 .

8 Zhang, L., Li, Q. B., Murray, L. T., Luo, M., Liu, H., Jiang, J. H., Mao, Y., Chen, D., Gao, M., and
9 Livesey, N.: A tropospheric ozone maximum over the equatorial Southern Indian Ocean,
10 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, *12*, 4279-4296, doi:10.5194/acp-12-4279-2012, 2012.

11 Ziemke, J.R., Chandra, S., Duncan, B. N., Froidevaux, L., Bhartia, P. K., Levelt, P. F., and Waters,
12 J. W.: Tropospheric ozone determined from Aura OMI and MLS: Evaluation of
13 measurements and comparison with the Global Modeling Initiative's Chemical Transport
14 Model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, *111*, D19303, doi:10.1029/2006JD007089, 2006.

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

Table 1. Global lightning NO_x emissions (Tg N/mon) during May – August in GMI CTM driven by three meteorological data sets (fvGCM, GEOS4, and MERRA)

	May	June	July	August
fvGCM	0.57 (0.03 ^a)	0.65 (0.02)	0.80 (0.03)	0.78 (0.05)
GEOS4	0.64 (0.05)	0.73 (0.07)	0.82 (0.03)	0.72 (0.05)
MERRA	0.49 (0.07)	0.69 (0.07)	0.81 (0.03)	0.78(0.04)


^a Values in parenthesis denote lightning NO_x emissions between 10°S and 70°S.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

Table 2. Mean differences (ppbv) between GMI-simulated O₃ and ozonesonde observations at 500 hPa and 200 hPa, respectively.

Ozonesonde Station	GMI/fvGCM - sonde		GMI/GEOS4 - sonde		GMI/MERRA – sonde	
	500 hPa	200 hPa	500 hPa	200 hPa	500 hPa	200 hPa
Resolute (75 ⁰ N, 95 ⁰ W)	8.53	48.37	1.72	-65.79	-7.33	-85.56
Churchill (59 ⁰ N, 147 ⁰ W)	10.52	129.41	-0.30	-8.82	-8.98	-38.87
Hohenpeissenberg (48 ⁰ N, 11 ⁰ E)	9.53	43.85	4.81	33.60	-3.15	2.20
Sapporo (43 ⁰ N, 141 ⁰ E)	14.07	41.76	5.58	39.03	-2.95	34.90
Wallops Island (38 ⁰ N, 76 ⁰ W)	9.21	-11.07	13.14	-14.83	6.41	-6.91
Paramaribo (6 ⁰ N, 55 ⁰ W)	-9.46	-8.11	-10.74	-19.83	-12.84	7.38
Nairobi (1 ⁰ S, 37 ⁰ E)	-10.94	-15.53	-4.35	-15.91	-1.62	-8.20
Samoa (14 ⁰ S, 170 ⁰ W)	-5.33	-7.27	-2.45	-4.01	17.22	27.85
Reunion Island (21 ⁰ S, 55 ⁰ E)	-11.49	-17.30	0.51	-2.50	8.38	16.91


1
2



3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12

Fig. 1. Latitude-height cross sections of zonal mean convective mass fluxes during July-August. The plot shows the values averaged over the fvGCM (1995), GEOS4 (2005), and MERRA (2005) meteorological data sets, as well as differences from the average.

1



2
3

4 **Fig. 2.** Latitude-height cross sections of zonal mean ^{222}Rn concentrations (mBq SCM^{-1}) as
5 simulated by GMI for July-August. The plot shows the values averaged over three simulations
6 driven by the fvGCM (1995), GEOS4 (2005), and MERRA (2005) meteorological data sets, as
7 well as differences of each simulation from the average.

8

9

10


11

12

13

14

1
2




3
4

5 **Fig. 3.** Same as Fig. 2, but for stratospheric fraction (%) of zonal mean tropospheric ^7Be
6 concentrations.

7
8
9
10
11
12
13


1
2



3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11

Fig. 4. Same as Fig. 2, but for zonal mean ozone mixing ratios (ppbv).

1



2

3

4

Fig. 5. Same as Fig. 2, but for zonal mean CO mixing ratios (ppbv).

5

6


7

8

9


10

11



1
2
3
4
5
6
7

Fig. 6. Comparisons of GMI simulated tropospheric ozone profiles (color lines) with ozonesonde observations (black line) for a range of latitudes. The model is driven by the fvGCM, GEOS4, and MERRA meteorological fields. Values are July–August average.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7

Fig. 7. GMI simulated zonal mean tropospheric ozone columns (TOCs) compared with tropospheric ozone residuals (TORs) determined from TOMS/SBUV (July–August 1979–2005 multi-year average) (Fishman et al., 2003), OMI/MLS (July–August 2005 average) (Ziemke et al., 2006), and TOCs determined from TES retrievals (July–August 2005 average).






Fig. 8. July-August mean mixing ratios of O₃ and CO (ppbv) at 618 hPa as simulated by GMI CTM driven by three meteorological datasets (1995 for fvGCM, 2005 for GEOS4 and MERRA).



1
2

3 **Fig. 9.** Mean ^{222}Rn concentrations (mBq SCM^{-1}) (left column), stratospheric fraction (%) of tropospheric ^7Be concentrations (middle
4 column), and ratios of ^7Be to ^{210}Pb volume mixing ratios (right column) at 618 hPa in the GMI model driven by the fvGCM (1995),
5 GEOS4 (2005), and MERRA (2005) meteorological data sets for the period of July - August.

1
2




3
4
5

6 **Fig. 10.** Mean mixing ratios of O₃ at 618 hPa observed by TES during July- August 2005 and
7 corresponding GMI CTM results with 3-hourly output sampled along the TES orbit tracks. TES
8 averaging kernels and *a priori* were applied to the model output. Results are averaged into 10°×10°
9 grid cells.


10
11
12
13

1
2
3




4
5
6
7
8

Fig. 11. Same as Fig. 10, but for CO.




1
2
3
4
5
6
7

Fig. 12. O₃-CO correlation coefficients (R) and linear regression slopes (dO₃/dCO) at 618 hPa in the GMI model driven by the fvGCM (1995), GEOS4 (2005), and MERRA (2005) meteorological fields. Results are calculated in 2°×2.5° grid cells using 3-hourly model output and the reduced major axis method. White areas denote absolute values of O₃-CO correlation coefficients less than 0.2.




1
2
3

4 **Fig. 13.** O₃-CO correlation coefficients (R) at 618 hPa as determined by O₃ and CO observations
 5 from TES during July – August 2005, and corresponding GMI CTM results with 3-hourly output
 6 sampled along the TES orbit tracks. TES averaging kernels, spectral errors, and a priori are applied.
 7 Results are calculated in 10°×10° grid cells. White areas denote absolute values of O₃-CO
 8 correlation coefficients less than 0.2.




1
2
3
4
5
6

Fig. 14. Same as Fig. 13, but for linear regression slopes dO_3/dCO .



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8

Fig. 15. O₃-CO correlation coefficients (R) and linear regression slopes (dO₃/dCO) at 618 hPa as determined by O₃ and CO observations from TES during July–August, 2005 – 2009. Results are calculated in 4°×5° grid cells. White areas denote absolute values of O₃-CO correlation coefficients less than 0.2.



1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9

Fig. 16. Sensitivity of O₃, CO, and their correlations to fossil fuel (FF) emissions during July – August 2005. The plots show the mean differences in (a) O₃, (b) CO mixing ratios (ppbv), and (c) O₃-CO correlation coefficients (R) at 618 hPa between the standard GMI/MERRA simulation and a simulation where fossil fuel emissions are suppressed (NoFF) in the model. Also shown in (d) are the areas with changed correlation signs. Results are calculated using 3-hourly model output.




Fig. 17. Same as **Fig. 16**, but for the sensitivity of O₃, CO, and their correlations at 618 hPa to biomass burning (BB) emissions.






Fig. 18. Same as **Fig. 16**, but for the sensitivity of O₃, CO, and their correlations at 618 hPa to biogenic (BG) emissions.



1
2
3
4
5
6

Fig. 19. Same as **Fig. 16**, but for the sensitivity of O₃, CO, and their correlations at 618 hPa to lightning NO_x (LNO_x) emissions.



1
2

3 **Fig. 20.** GMI/MERRA-simulated O_3 -CO correlations (R) at 618 hPa (a) in the standard simulation
 4 and (b) - (e) when fossil fuel (FF), biomass burning (BB), biogenic (BG), and lightning NO_x
 5 (LNO_x) emissions are individually suppressed (NoFF, NoBB, NoBG, and NoLNO_x, respectively)
 6 in the model during July-August 2005. Results are calculated using 3-hourly model output. White
 7 areas denote absolute values of O_3 -CO correlation coefficients less than 0.2.