Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2016-1059-AC1, 2017 © Author(s) 2017. CC-BY 3.0 License.



ACPD

Interactive comment

Interactive comment on "Biophysical effects on the interannual variation in carbon dioxide exchange of an alpine meadow on the Tibetan Plateau" by Lei Wang et al.

Lei Wang et al.

huizhil@mail.iap.ac.cn

Received and published: 30 January 2017

General Comments This manuscript presents 4-year data set of CO2 exchange for a high elevation grassland on the southeast margin of Tibetan Plateau. The statistic model HOS is used to partition the inter-annual variability in net ecosystem exchange between climatic variability and functional change. The annual patterns and inter-annual variability of NEE were showed in this study too. Many studies have revealed the relationship between the climate variables and the CO2 exchange. This paper means to discuss the biophysical effects on inter-annual variation in CO2 exchange. It is supposed to give us some new understandings. However, the authors just partition the climatic and biotic effects and give more analysis on the climatic ef-

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



fects. The key point should be focus on the biophysical effects on the inter-annual variability in NEE. The authors should make more effort on revising this manuscript.

Response: We would like to thank anonymous Referee #1 for his more detail valuable comments on this manuscript. It is very helpful to improve this paper. The manuscript will be revised to focus on the biophysical effects on the inter-annual variability in NEE. Responses to all the points raised by the referee are in the following.

Specific Comments 1.Page 2 Introduction There are some flaws in the consistency in this section. The English writing should be improved attentively. Though the manuscript is understandable, it reads awkwardly in some sentences due to the structure or the chosen word. The authors should make more effort English writing for the entire paper.

Response: The English writing will be improved for the entire paper.

2.Page 3 The site is in Yunnan Province, locates on the southeast of the Tibetan Plateau. The climate condition, such as annual precipitation and mean air temperature, is quite different with Tibetan Plateau. This alpine meadow has limited similarity with the grasslands on Tibetan Plateau. Thus, the site location should be described more specific in the title.

Response: The site location will be described more specific in the title.

- 3.Page 2 line 48 The phrase (global warming) appears abruptly here. The author should explain unambiguously what they want to express. Response: The phrase (global warming) has been deleted.
- 4.Page 6 line170 There were many study on grasslands in Tibetan Plateau. The author can compare the study with other results of different alpine grasslands on Plateau. Line 174 I think the authors mean the ecosystem became a carbon sink when daily NEE was negative. The date when the ecosystem stared to absorb CO2 was much earlier than the date when the negative value of daily NEE appeared. The expression in this paper should be more precise.

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper



Response: More results of different alpine grasslands on the Plateau have been added in the revised manuscript. The expression in this paper will be revised to be more precise.

5.Page 7 The HOS model was interpreted in detail in Hui's paper which was published in 2003. However, I think the models and the abbreviation (SSf, SSi, SSs, SSe) should be briefly and clearly introduced in this paper. Otherwise, the readers must find out Hui's paper and figure out what the models and abbreviations mean. The variation of REdiff 2014-2012 was quite different with the other REdiff. How the authors explain this result?

Response: The introductions of the models and the abbreviation will be added in the revised manuscript. The RE in 2014 was the largest because both the soil temperature and Q10 in 2014 were larger than the other years.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2016-1059, 2016.

ACPD

Interactive comment

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

