

Interactive comment on “Contributions of the troposphere and stratosphere to CH₄ model biases” by Zhiting Wang et al.

Zhiting Wang et al.

zhiting@iup.physik.uni-bremen.de

Received and published: 31 March 2017

Major comments: 1. The paper is focused on model evaluations. But no necessary detail on these models such as the surface CH₄ fluxes, meteorological fields, model resolution, and chemistry scheme are presented (although references are provided), or are used to explain their different performances (for example Figure 3).

All the three models optimize CH₄ field against in situ measurements at the surface through inversions of the CH₄ emissions. The chemical reactions considered in the models are the oxidation by OH in the troposphere, and by Cl, OH and O(1D) in the stratosphere. The fields of the radicals are prescribed monthly with no interannual changes. A description added in the first paragraph in page 5 to illustrate those. The meteorological fields, model resolution are included in Table 2.

[Printer-friendly version](#)

[Discussion paper](#)



2. Biases in GOSAT retrievals, and their implications on the model evaluations have not been discussed by the authors. GOSAT XCH4 has not been fully validated (particularly) over tropical regions, and could itself have latitude-dependent bias as well. I suggest the authors use more recent version of GOSAT XCH4 retrievals (such as OCPR v7) as well.

We follow the advise of the referee, and the version OCPR v7 of GOSAT data is used in the Figure 2.

Minor comments: 1. Line 37, Page 1: ‘... 6.2 \pm 11.2’ ppb in the stratosphere ... ’ The notation of \pm 11.2 ppb may be mis-leading, as in this case the ‘amplitude’ as defined by the authors could not be negative.

The symbol ‘+/-’ has been changed to ‘ \pm ’ in the text.

2. Figure 1: Caption and main text does not provide necessary information, for example, the information about IMECC, and aircore data etc.

The FTS data are averaged for the in situ measurement periods. The IMECC is an aircraft campaign over Europe (Geibel et al., 2012). The Lamont-AirCore measurements are from Greenhouse Gas Group Aircraft Program (<http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ccgg/aircraft/>). The AirCore data at Sodankylä is from the FTS group there. Following this advise, the information has been added to the caption of Fig. 1.

3. Line 6, Page 4: ‘... infers dry air columns from the CO2 columns retrieved from the same spectra as used in the CH4 retrieval’ The sentence is not clear, and no mention of model CO2 concentrations, which is one of the possible sources for biases in GOSAT proxy XCH4 data.

The sentence has been changed to ‘... spectra as used in the CH4 retrieval. This method assumes the CO2 concentrations are known and provided by model simulations.’ at line 16 in page 4.

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

4. Line 14, page 4: ‘... F07_10 data are applied and measurements with less than 1.4 DOFS are filtered out...’, More detailed information such as the observation coverage and errors will be helpful.

Validation of F07_10 data against to HIPPO measurements shows a bias of $-8 \sim 5$ ppb with standard deviations of $25 \sim 50$ ppb below 100 hPa (Herman and Osterman, 2014). According to the advise of the referee the information has been added to the line 24~25 in page 4.

5. Line 30, Page 5: ‘... Figure 3 shows yearly and seasonal median model biases scaled by the fraction of the air column in the troposphere and stratosphere ...’. I suggest adding the number of the TCCON observations at different months to the plot. Also it is interesting to know whether TCCON retrievals have biases depending on the solar zenith angles.

There are 10 sites used in that plot, for all seasons, except for measurements at ZEP is absent during the season DJF and SON. This is clearly seen in Fig. 3. The TCCON products has been corrected for solar zenith angle dependence. So this bias should be minor.

6. Line 5, Page 6: ‘... one can see that the latitudinal pattern of model biases in total column-averaged CH₄ results from both the stratosphere and troposphere for ...’ Some explanation of different performances of the three models shown in Figure 3 in terms of surface fluxes, transport or chemistry scheme will be helpful.

All the models are optimized with respect to surface measurements already. So the surface emission might not been the main reason to the different performances. The tropospheric oxidation also directly influence the surface CH₄ concentrations, and the optimization process should give emissions consistent with the prescribed OH field in each model. The only significant difference among the models could come from convection, the North-South transport, and the transport from the troposphere to the stratosphere. However, it is difficult to give some useful discussion since only column

[Printer-friendly version](#)[Discussion paper](#)

measurements are used to evaluate the models.

7. Line 20, Page 6: TCCON and in situ sites are selected to be located close to one another so that both instruments measure similar air masses :: The TCCON and in situ measurements have different measurement frequencies. For example, availability of TCCON data usually has strong seasonal variations. How will these differences affect the results presented in Figure 4?

Yes, the TCCON measurement has a different sampling frequency compared to the in situ measurement. In our analysis the measurement series of TCCON and in situ has been filtered to extract variations with temporal scale longer than 1.4 years and only multi-year (longer than 3 years) averaged results are used. Besides, the models are matched to TCCON and in situ measurements in time, respectively, and undergo the same analysis with the measurements. So the model performance against the measurements should not be affected.

8. Table 3: typo: The latitude of the Lauder TCCON site should be -45.038.

We follow the advice, and this error has been corrected.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., doi:10.5194/acp-2016-1041, 2016.

Printer-friendly version

Discussion paper

