Response to both reviewers

The authors thank the reviewers for both their time and comments. We have made some major changes to the manuscript to address comments regarding the significance of the trend analysis, the inclusion of other datasets from the CVO, the influence of biomass on the TGM measurements and the impact of southern hemispheric air on the site.

General comments

The data are valuable and I recommend a publication of their analysis. Unfortunately, the presented analysis is rather superficial and at times flawed. The used statistical methods are not always described and the statistical significance of averages, trends and their differences is frequently not given. Consequently, probably insignificant diferences are sometimes discussed at length. In addition, the discussion is at times muddled by using false references or misusing some. The major deficiency of the paper is, however, that a suite of other species is measured at Cabo Verde, such as O3, CO, nitrogen oxides, VOCs, and greenhouse gases, even BrO, but these data are completely ignored in the presented analysis. E.g. CO data could provide decisive information about the origin of high Hg concentrations from the African continent: whether it is biomass burning or small scale artisanal gold mining. The BrO data could provide important clues about bromine chemistry. The ancillary data could also help to identify air masses from the southern hemisphere. In addition, they could help (with backward trajectories) to explain the origin of events with extremely high and extremely low mercury concentrations. As it is, the mercury data are used far below their potential. I recommend the publication of the paper after substantial improvements, some of which are listed below.

Specifically we have focused on the potential influences on the TGM measurements in the AFR classified air, since this is the region subject to most variability and smallest decreasing trend; and we have considered the correlations of a number of species (O_3, CO, NOx, meteorological factors) with TGM and with each other. We have found that there is a lack of correlation in autumn and winter of CO with TGM which suggests different sources for these species at a time when both biomass burning and emissions from ASGM are likely at their seasonal highest. We note that for the most part TGM correlates with NOx inline with an anthropogenic source (coal burning, cement production, oil refining etc) in West Africa. We have included a figure (Figure 7) to illustrate the correlations along with some additional discussion (p.9, l.13- p.10, lns1-13, revised manuscript). We have focused on two time periods when there are elevated TGM concentrations (>1.7 ng m^{-3})) and used back trajectory analysis and ancillary data to determine the potential origins of the TGM (p.10, l.23-p12, revised manuscript). We do not see evidence for biomass burning at either of these times and suggest that the increased variability and smaller decreasing trend on top of the overall background concentrations (from anthropogenic city emissions) is due to an additional unregulated source from ASGM in this region. We have not used any BrO measurements in our revised analysis since we have no measurements available during the period of TGM measurements discussed within this paper.

Additional measurement detail has been included in the experimental section regarding other datasets (p.4, lns 19-32, revised manuscript).
More statistical information regarding the plots has been included into a supplementary information section.
Finally seven new references have been included, and one deleted.

Specific comments

Page 1, line 38: GEM has to be defined when introduced. GEM is Gaseous Elemental Mercury and as such not TGM. TGM is GEM + RGM.
Page 1, line 38: GEM has been defined.

Page 1, line 41-42: In the context of this paper, biomass burning is probably a very important Hg source. It may be initiated by man or nature and as such does not fit only the category of anthropogenic sources.
Page 1, line 41-42: A short description of the importance of biomass burning has been added.

Page 2, line 38: The paper by Slemr et al (2013) as cited in references is about 222Rn calibrated terrestrial fluxes in southern Africa and not about trends. A paper by Slemr et al about trends would be Atmos. Chem. Phys. 11, 4779-4787, 2011.
Page 2, line 38: This reference has been corrected.

Page 3, line 15: "Leipzig" instead of "Leibzig"
Page 3, line 15: Leipzig has been spelt correctly.

Page 3, line 30, to page 4, line 8: It should be mentioned that GEM is measured because RGM (or GOM) will be most likely captured by the salt deposited at the inlet tubing and the particle filter. The standard conditions of the reported mercury concenC2 ACPD Interactive comment Printer-friendly version Discussion paper trations have also to be clearly stated.
Page 3, line 30: These technical points have been stated.

Page 3, line 18-26: Information about diurnal circulation pattern (sea and land breeze) is needed because it is important for the interpretation of the diurnal cycle.
Page 3, line 18-26: Some comments about the diurnal circulation pattern at the site have been included into the Experimental section (page 3 new lines 29-32). The section on Short term variability (Section 3.3) has been removed.

Page 5, line 16-18: Chemistry is not the only possible explanation, an influence of air masses from the southern hemisphere without any pronounced seasonal variation may be another (Slemr et al., Atmos. Chem. Phys. 15, 3125-3133, 2015).
Page 5, line 16:18 Air mass back trajectory analyses shows that the CVO receives very little air representative of the southern hemisphere (~1.3% of all data, Fig. 1. Supplementary Information). Further, the highest frequency of southerly air masses arriving at the CVO occurs during August and September, which would serve to increase the mercury seasonal cycle amplitude rather than reduce it. Discussion has been added to the text (p.6, lns 1-6, revised manuscript).

Figure 3: What do the bars represent?

Figure 3: An explanation for the bars has been added and the plot scale improved.

Page 5, line 24-26: Duncan et al. (2007) do not say anything about seasonal variation of specifically emissions from coal burning - they calculate only emissions from fossil fuels. In fact, emissions from coal burning tend to have a flat seasonal variation because of residential heating in winter and air conditioning in summer (Rotty, Tellus 39B, 184-202, 1987). The sentence needs rewording.

Page 5: line 24-46: This sentence has been reworded (p.6, lns 10-13, revised manuscript).

Section 3.2 about trends: The method of trend calculation is not clearly stated and neither is the significance of the discussed trends. Non-significant trends should not be discussed at all. The significance of the presented trends is probably low because only 4 years of measurements with numerous gaps are available. In addition, the unevenly distributed data gaps and pronounced longer term events with high GEM concentration, such as after December 2013 and before December 2015 could produce wrong trends. A defensible statistical method of calculation of trends and their significance is sorely needed. When stating averages and medians, the number of measurements they represent has always to be stated. Without the number of measurements no statistical tests for significance of differences can be made.

Section 3.2: The box and whisker plots have been replaced with a Thiel-sen analysis for consistency with the later air mass analyses, and reference has been made to the significance of the trends. The number of measurements used to calculate the medians has been included into the Supplementary information, Table 1.

Page 6, line 8: The trend has to be given with 3 significant decimal numbers to be consistent with its standard deviation.

Page 6, line 8: The Thiel-sen calculated values are now quoted to 3 decimal places.

Page 7: For the regional classification of origin of air masses the reader has now to consult Carpenter et al. (2010). A reproduction of the figure from 5 from Carpenter et C3 ACPD Interactive comment Printer-friendly version Discussion paper al. (2010) or even better a similar figure of trajectories for 2011 – 2015 would make the reading more comfortable.

Page 7: We agree. We have added a new Figure 5a which shows the eight geographical regions used for the analysis and b) 10-day trajectory footprints for the measurement period as frequency maps for each of the air masses.

Figure 5: The colour differences are not very pronounced, please improve.

Figure 5: The colours on this plot have been improved (Figure 6 in revised manuscript).

Table 2: Number of measurements should be stated for each average.

Table 2: The number of measurements for each median has now been included in Table 2. Some errors in this table have also been remedied.

Page 7, line 12-23: This discussion would make sense only if the average concentration for AFR air masses were significantly different from the other air masses. Statistical test for differences have thus to be presented. As mentioned before, biomass burning has to be considered in addition to the AGSM activity and their relative importance could be assessed by using the CO data.

Page 7, lines 12-23: Paired t-tests have been performed on the air mass datasets and the AFR air was found to be statistically different from the other air masses (text added to pg 10 lns 4-8). Additional case study type analysis using trajectories and correlations with measurements of carbon monoxide and ozone have been performed with the inclusion of new Figures 7, 8, and 9. More discussion with respect to an alternative source from biomass burning has now been included into the text (p.10, l.23-p12, revised manuscript).

Page 8, line 9-12: The statement that the method is "resistant to outliers" is not quite true because monthly averages themselves can be strongly influenced by outliers. Using monthly medians instead of monthly averages would remove this objection. It could even provide more certain results – see below.

Page 8, line 9-12: The analysis has been improved by using medians because of the potential effect of outliers (and missing data) on the mean values and the data averaged by season rather than by month to improve the statistics. This is the new Figure 10. The 4-year decrease is still lower in the AFR classified air and the shape of the plot is very similar, which suggests the influence is not episodic but widespread. The levels are generally higher in that air mass compared to other air masses in the later years.

The number of points used to calculate the monthly medians has been included in Table 3 in the Supplementary information.

Figure 6: The slopes and their uncertainty in green have to be substantially enlarged in final version to become readable.

Figure 6: The text has been enlarged.

Page 8, line 16-24: I think that this discussion is flawed. All the calculated trends including the one for AFR are significant at some numerical level, the significance for AFR being the lowest but still existent. In other words: the AFR trend is more uncertain than the other ones but still existing. What matters for the discussion are the differences between the AFR trend and other trends (their slopes), not the absence of the signifi- cant AFR trend at a preselected discreet significance level of 95% (why not 90% or any other number?). Because of the larger uncertainty of the AFR trend there is probably no significant difference between the trend slopes. If so, there is no difference to be discussed. The discussion also neglects that the AFR trend is based on the smallest number of monthly averages (the number of monthly averages for each trend should be given in Figure 6) one of which is with 1.6 ng m-3 extremely high.

Page 8, line 16-24: The discussion has been rewritten to concentrate on the differences between air mass trends rather than on the individual significance of the air masses at a specific level (p.13, lns 3-13, revised manuscript). We have included a +/- value on each trend using the data from the 95% confidence intervals. In fact it is the AM trend, which shows the largest uncertainty, rather

than the AFR trend. Although there is some overlap in the confidence intervals, the AFR data shows the smallest trend and the highest (only positive) upper confidence interval. In the revised analysis we use seasonal medians rather than monthly averages, and the same number of points is used for the AM and AFR analysis whilst one less median is used for the EUR/AFR trend. These statistics have been included into the Supplementary information.

Page 8, line 26-34: The discussion in this paragraph is muddled. In the first sentence measurement at Mace Head (Weigelt et al., 2015) are compared with those at Cabo Verde which is questionable because of geographical and temporal difference. The trend of -0.016 ± 0.002 ng m-3 yr-1 for subtropical maritime air masses is implausibly precise for a suggestion originating from a model by Soerensen et al. (2012). In fact this trend originates from the analysis of Weigelt et al (2015) specifically for subtropical maritime air masses, not from Soerensen et al. According to Weigelt et al. (2015) this trend did not changed with time and can thus be directly compared with measurements at Cabo Verde in 2011-2015. No levelling off was observed for these air masses in contrast to the statement in the last sentence of this paragraph. In the third sentence the trend is erroneously compared with seasonal variation.

Page 8, line 26-34

The text in this section has been rewritten to make it clearer (p.13, lns 22-31, revised manuscript), the Sorensen model was not well referenced although it was correct for the model result that was quoted.

Section 3.3 Short term variability: With statistically insignificant diurnal variation it does not make much sense to discuss the difference between a maximum and a minimum. To reveal a more distinct diurnal variation one should try to get rid of the day-to-day variation by e.g. normalizing the hourly data to a daily average. Because Br is also produced photochemically the diurnal variation should not be discussed solely in terms of OH chemistry. I wonder why BrO measurements at the station are not used in the interpretation of the mercury chemistry. As a coastal site, the diurnal variation may also be influenced by sea and land breeze.

Section 3.3

This section has been removed, as it needs more explanation and analysis, which is beyond the scope of this paper.

Four years (2011-2015) of Total Gaseous Mercury ~~measurements~~Measurements from the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory

Katie A Read[1], Luis M Neves[2], Lucy J Carpenter[1], Alastair C Lewis[1], Zoe Fleming[3], and John Kentisbeer[4]

[1]National Centre for Atmospheric Science (NCAS), Department of Chemistry, University of York, York, YO10 5DD, UK
[2]Instituto Naçional de Meteorologia Geofisica (INMG), Delegãço de São Vicente, Monte, CP15, Mindelo, Rep of Cape Verde
[3]National Centre for Atmospheric Science (NCAS), University of Leicester, Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK
[4]Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (CEH), Bush Estate, Penicuik, Midlothian, EH26 0QB, UK

Correspondence to: Katie A. Read (katie.read@york.ac.uk)

Abstract. Mercury is a chemical with widespread anthropogenic emissions that is known to be highly toxic to humans, ecosystems and wildlife. Global anthropogenic emissions are around 20% higher than natural emissions and the amount of mercury released into the atmosphere has increased since the industrial revolution. In 2005 the European Union and United States adopted measures to reduce mercury use, in part to offset the impacts of increasing emissions in industrialising countries. The changing regional emissions of mercury have impacts on a range of spatial scales. Here we report four years (Dec 2011 – Dec 2015) of Total Gaseous Mercury (TGM) measurements at the Cape Verde Observatory (CVO), a global WMO-GAW station located in the sub-tropical remote marine boundary layer. Observed total gaseous mercury concentrations were between 1.03 and 1.33 ng m^{-3} (10^{th}, 90^{th} percentiles), close to expectations based on previous interhemispheric gradient measurements. We observe a decreasing trend in TGM ~~((~~-0.~~0405~~ ± 0.~~0304~~ ng m^{-3} yr^{-1}, -4.2% ± 3.~~4% ± 2.43~~% yr^{-1}) over the four years consistent with the reported decrease of mercury concentrations in North Atlantic surface waters and reductions in anthropogenic emissions. The ~~trend~~decrease was more visible in the summer (Jul-Sep) than in the winter (Dec-Feb), when measurements were impacted by air from the African continent and Sahara/Sahel regions. African air masses were also associated with the highest and most variable TGM concentrations. We suggest that the less pronounced downward trend inclination in African air may be attributed to poorly controlled anthropogenic sources such as artisanal and small-scale gold mining (ASGM~~),~~) in West Africa.

1 Introduction

Mercury is present in the atmosphere in three main forms; gaseous elemental mercury Hg^0, which is the most common form in the gas phase, oxidized mercury Hg^{II} (GOM or RGM), and Hg-bound to particulate matter (PBM). ~~The measurement of~~ Total Gaseous Mercury (TGM~~–~~) is the combined measurement of Hg^0 (or Gaseous Elemental Mercury (GEM~~) encompasses the measurement of all of these forms~~)) + RGM, with Hg^0 typically contributing ~~to~~ around 90-99% of the total Hg or TGM.

Anthropogenic sources of mercury account for around 30% of the total amount and include emissions from coal burning, mining, cement production, oil refining and waste incineration. ~~Hg^0 reacts slowly with atmospheric oxidants,~~One third of the anthropogenic emissions are thought to come from deliberate biomass burning with Africa as the single largest continental source; therefore in this region there could be an influence from Sahel African biomass burning during the months of November through to February (Roberts et al, 2009, De Simone et al.,2015). Hg^0 reacts slowly with atmospheric oxidants with a global lifetime of around 6-8 months (Selin et al., 2007;Holmes et al., 2010), and so can be transported to remote regions. When oxidized to less volatile Hg^{II}, it can be deposited either through wet deposition processes (precipitation-scavenging) or by surface uptake (Gustin et al., 2012;Schroeder and Munthe, 1998;Sather et al., 2013;Wright et al., 2014). Hg^0 also undergoes slow dry deposition ~~of mercury~~ through air-surface exchange with both terrestrial and aquatic surfaces (Zhang et al., 2009;Wang et al., 2016). Once deposited, transformation to highly toxic species such as the neurotoxic methylmercury allows bioaccumulation in food chains and ~~thus~~ poses a health risk to humans and a damaging effect to ecosystems (US EPA, 1997). Previously deposited mercury can also

be reduced back to Hg^0 through the natural weathering of mercury-containing rocks, geothermal activity, or from volcanic activity, and then re-emitted back to the atmosphere (~~Gustin~~Smith et al., ~~2012~~2008)(Qureshi., 2012).

Reactions of Hg^0 to Hg^{II} with the hydroxyl radical (OH) and ozone (O_3) were historically accepted as the dominant photochemical oxidation mechanisms (Bergan and Rodhe, 2001;Lin et al., 2006;Seigneur et al., 2006;Selin et al., 2007;Pongprueksa et al., 2008). Recent work has suggested that there may be significant other oxidants such as atomic halogens (Holmes et al., 2010;Wang et al., 2014) and more complex two-step oxidation schemes, which include further reactions with NO_2 and HO_2, however the kinetics are highly uncertain (Goodsite et al., 2004) (Goodsite et al., 2004;Holmes et al., 2010). Heterogeneous oxidation in clouds may also contribute but is not experimentally proven (Ariya et al., 2009;Calvert and Lindberg, 2005).

Strode et al. (2007) estimated that 36% of all mercury emissions in the northern hemisphere come from the ocean both through primary emission (ocean upwelling and mercury-containing rocks) and from re-emission of previously deposited mercury (as Hg^{II}), but this increases to 55% as you move into the southern hemisphere (Strode et al., 2007). The major anthropogenic source affecting the remote marine boundary layer is likely to be long-range transport of Hg^0 from ~~coal fired~~ combustion (smelting, waste incineration, chemical plants) rather than from Hg^{II}, which is more likely to deposit regionally due to its relatively short lifetime of 4.8 hours (Zhang et al., 2012). Other industrial sources for Hg include artisanal and small-scale gold mining (ASGM), which are known to occur in West Africa (Telmer and Velga, 2009; UNEP, 2013) and will likely regionally influence the measurements described here. For the 2013 UNEP global assessment, ASGM emission data were compiled from field and industry reports but with an uncertainty of ca. ± 43% due to the multitude and varying nature of ASGM sites. In recent years, global emissions from ASGM, and in particular the proportion of global emissions attributed to South America and Sub-Saharan Africa, appear to be increasing; however this assumption may be due to improved reporting (Muntean et al., 2014). The majority of global anthropogenic emissions of Hg to the atmosphere in 2010 are associated with ASGM (37%), with one third thought to be from sub-~~saharan~~Saharan Africa (UNEP, 2013).

A community strategy developed by the EU was adopted in 2005 and listed 20 actions to reduce mercury emissions, cut mercury supply and demand, and to protect people against exposure. This strategy had a strong focus on the need to take a global approach and included actions relating to multilateral negotiations for the conclusion of a legally binding convention on mercury (http://ec.europa.eu/environment/chemicals/mercury/strategy_en.htm). The UNEP Global Mercury partnership led by the US Environmental Protection Agency took a similar approach (http://www.unep.org/chemicalsandwaste/Metals/GlobalMercuryPartnership/tabid/1253/Default.aspx) and these initiatives formed the basis of the Minamata Convention on Mercury, which was agreed in 2013 and is a global treaty to protect human health and the environment from the adverse effects of mercury (http://www.mercuryconvention.org/).

It has been a source of contradiction that in the northern hemisphere, while both measured atmospheric Hg concentrations and wet deposition fluxes have been decreasing since 1990 (Soerensen et al., 2012) and 1996-2013 (Slemr et al., ~~2013~~2011;Weigelt et al., 2015); global Hg emissions during this period were calculated to be increasing (Pacyna et al., 2010;Streets et al., 2011). Very recently, however, Zhang et al., (2016), using a revised inventory and the global model GEOS-CHEM, have shown that global Hg emissions may also be decreasing. They suggest that a large discrepancy in the emissions data was from locally deposited mercury close to coal-fired utilities. It is thought that this source has declined more rapidly than was previously predicted due to shifts in mercury speciation from air pollution control technology targeted at SO_2 and NO_x (Zhang et al., 2016). Flue gas desulfurization (FGD) - which controls SO_2 emissions - washes out Hg^{II}, whilst selective catalytic reduction (SCR) to control NO_x emissions also oxidises Hg^0 to Hg^{II}. These effects of FGD, in addition to the recent phase-out of Hg from commercial products (UNEP Minamata Convention on Mercury) and lower global estimates from small-scale gold mining, serve to explain the globally decreasing atmospheric concentrations in the model. Zhang et al. (2016) also found that the larger emission decreases observed in North America and Europe globally offset the increases from other major polluted regions e.g. from coal-fired utilities in East Asia (Pacyna et al., 2010;Pirrone et al., 2013).

Using data from ship cruises, Soerensen et al. (2012) observed a significant decreasing trend of atmospheric mercury concentrations over the North Atlantic of -0.046 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1} (-2.5% yr^{-1}), with

smaller trends at more southern latitudes (Soerensen et al., 2012). They suggest that this decline is due to decreasing oceanic evasion driven by declining subsurface water Hg^0 concentrations (-5.7% yr^{-1} since 1999, (Mason et al., 2012)).

Here we report four years (Dec 2011 – Dec 2015) of TGM measurements at the Cape Verde Observatory (CVO), a clean marine background station located in the subtropical Atlantic. The measurements presented here are part of the EU Global Mercury Observation System (GMOS) network. The GMOS network of sites was established in 2011 with the aim of addressing known gaps in the spatial and temporal measurement of mercury, as well as improving knowledge of Hg speciation. The data is being used to validate regional and global scale atmospheric Hg models in order to improve understanding of global Hg transport, deposition and re-emission as well as providing a contribution to future international policy development and implementation (www.gmos.eu).

2 Experimental

The CVO was established in 2006 as a multilateral project between the UK, Germany and Republic of Cape Verde. Long-term atmospheric measurements include reactive trace gases including ozone, carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides and volatile organic compounds (National Centre for Atmospheric Sciences (NCAS), University of York, UK), long lived greenhouse gases (Max-Planck Institute (MPI), Jena, Germany), and physical and chemical characterisation of aerosol (Leibniz Institute for Tropospheric Research (TROPOS), ~~Leibzig~~Leipzig, Germany). Details of the measurements and characteristics of the station can be found in Carpenter et al., (2010).

The CVO is positioned on the northeast side of Sao Vicente (16.85°N, 24.87°W), one of ten islands in the Cape Verde archipelago (Fig. 1). The island is of volcanic origin and the CVO is situated 50m from the coastline. The climate is warm (mean annual air temperature is 24.0°C ± 2.0°C) and dry with extremely low annual rainfall (<200 mm), which occurs mostly during the rainy season of July-November. The site receives air masses from the northeasterly trade winds for 95% of the time, which have travelled typically for five days over the ocean. Research flights carried out over the CVO in summer 2007 established that the boundary layer is well mixed (Read et al., 2008). There is no coastal shelf to the island at this location point, and the CVO conditions are considered to be representative of the North Atlantic open ocean boundary layer. Radiosonde and ceilometer data show there is no diurnal pattern evident in boundary layer heights, which suggests no systematic difference between day-time and night-time entrainment rates (Carpenter et al., 2010).



Figure 1: Cape Verde site location. Top right, image from Google earth: V7.1.5.1557 (6th July 2016). São Vicente, Cape Verde, 16°51'59.60''N, 24° 52'03.60''W, Eye altitude 2.70 km. Wind rose for the measurement period is coloured by wind speed.

Air is sampled from the main laboratory glass manifold (10 m height of inlet, 2" diameter, residence time 4 seconds) and then through a 2 m length of ¼" Teflon tubing and a particulate filter which is changed every two months. The entire inlet is heated. A TEKRAN 2537B analyser (Tekran Inc., Toronto, Canada) was used for the TGM measurements and is described in detail elsewhere (Steffen et al., 2014) and so only a brief summary is presented here. The analytical principle collects the TGM onto gold traps with subsequent thermal desorption and detection by atomic fluorescence spectroscopy (λ= 253.7 nm, (Bloom and Fitzgerald, 1988)). It is however likely that the measurement at this site is of GEM rather than TGM since RGM is lost very easily to any salt deposits in the inlet lines and filters. Samples of 5 L volume are obtained every 5 minutes (1 L min^{-1} flow rate) with a detection limit of around 0.1 ng m^{-3}, using a dual trap set-up. Concentrations in ng m^{-3} are reported at a standard pressure of 1013 hPa and a standard temperature of 273.14K. Calibrations are performed every 72 hours using an internal mercury permeation source which injects a known amount of Hg^0 into mercury-free zero air (using a TEKRAN Zero Air filter, part no: 90-25360-00). The calibration consists of a zero and a span on each channel. The effective span was 19.08 ng m^{-3} for a sample volume of 5 L. The permeation rate was externally validated using manual injections of saturated mercury vapour taken from a Tekran 2505 mercury vapour calibration unit and after 5 years found to be within ~3.~~586~~% of the instrumental set-point. The detection limit of the instrument was 0.1 ng m^{-3}.
Instruments to make trace gas and meteorological measurements are provided by the Atmospheric Measurement Facility (AMF), which is part of the National Centre for Atmospheric Science (NCAS). Ozone measurements were made using a UV photometric analyser (Thermo Electron Corporation). The instrument had a detection limit of 0.05 ppb and a precision of <1 ppbV. Carbon monoxide data presented here was measured using a Vacuum UV fluorescence technique (Aerolaser 5001). It was sensitive to 1 ppbV and linear up to 100 ppm. The accuracy of the measurements was <2ppbV. In 2012 the Global Atmospheric Watch (GAW) audited these measurements and the report can be found at http://www.wmo.int/pages/prog/arep/gaw/documents/CVO_2012.pdf. Nitrogen oxide measurements were made using a low detection, high accuracy, (sensitivity 0.3 and 0.35 pptV and accuracy of 5.5% and 5.9% for NO and NO_2 respectively) chemiluminescence analyser (AQD Inc.). The meteorological measurements presented here were made using a Campbell Scientific Automatic Weather station. For more information about the present NCAS instrumentation at the CVAO refer to https://www.ncas.ac.uk/index.php/en/the-facility-amf/291-amf-main-category/cvao/cvao-amf-instrumnets/1557-cvao-amf-instrumnets.

Four years of data are presented here obtained between 5th December 2011 and 5th December 2015. In calculating annual ~~trends and averages~~statistics, we have used data from 1 Dec – 30 Nov. The data was quality controlled using the central GMOS-Data Quality Management (G-DQM) system (Cinnirella et al., 2014;D'Amore et al., 2015). The G-DQM allows harmonization of data across the network and is able to acquire and process data in near real time allowing immediate diagnosis of issues. It was developed using harmonized Standard Operating Procedures, which had been established over many years by European and Canadian monitoring networks, together with recent literature (Brown et al., 2010;Gay et al., 2013;Steffen et al., 2012). An additional filter has been applied to the data presented here to exclude periods when the relative humidity was higher than 90%, as the data was prone to increased uncertainties due to water condensing in the instrument. Instrument issues led to some significant data gaps; a lamp failure caused major data gaps between July-August 2012 and May-June 2014, whilst a pump failure caused downtime between October 2012-January 2013.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Statistics and seasonal cycles

The mean TGM concentration over 2011-2015 was 1.191 ± 0.128 ng m^{-3} and the four-year time-series is shown in Fig. 2. Sprovieri et al. (2016) showed that the CVO measurements (site referred to as CAL rather than CVO) fit well within the north-south gradient of TGM data. Other sites of reference, which receive background air similar in origin to CVO, include Mace Head, Ireland, Nieuw Nickerie, Suriname, and Cape Point, South Africa (Table 1). The remoteness of the CVO is reflected in the small variability of the TGM measurements, compared to other sites.



Figure 2: Time-series (December 2011-December 2015) of TGM data measured at the Cape Verde Observatory.

The data shown in Table 1 illustrate the dominating effect of emissions from the northern hemisphere compared to the southern hemisphere, with Mace Head (53°20'N, 9°54'W) TGM concentrations averaging 7-9% higher than those observed at Cape Point. The site at Niew Nickerie experiences 10% higher concentrations in the air arriving from the north compared to the south (Muller et al., 2012) and is additionally impacted by emissions from biomass burning and gold mining from South America (Sprovieri et al., 2010). Comparisons to ship-borne field campaigns in the Atlantic made between 1977 and 2001 (Sprovieri et al., 2010) show that the data from CVO are more comparable with southern Atlantic conditions than the northern Atlantic.

Site (Latitude, Longitude)	**~~Average~~Mean ± standard deviation for 2013 (ng m^{-3})**	**~~Average~~Mean ± standard deviation for 2014 (ng m^{-3})**
Mace Head, Ireland (53°20'N, 9°54'W)	1.46 ± 0.17	1.41 ± 0.14
Calhau, Rep of Cape Verde (16°51'N, 24°52'W)	1.22 ± 0.14	1.20 ± 0.09
Nieuw Nickerie, Suriname (5°56'N, 56° 59'W)	1.13 ± 0.42	1.28 ± 0.46
Cape Point, South Africa (33° 56'S, 18°28'E)	1.03 ± 0.11	1.09 ± 0.12

Table 1. Average TGM concentrations and standard deviation statistics from comparable sites in 2013 and 2014. Data from Sprovieri et al., (2016).

The CVO TGM monthly mean data shows a weak seasonal cycle (1.289 ± 0.134 ng m^{-3} December maximum, 1.130 ± 0.128 ng m^{-3} June minimum, Fig. 3) with generally higher concentrations in winter and lower in summer. This cycle is similar, both in shape and magnitude, to that observed within sub-tropical maritime air masses at Mace Head, which is shallower than for other air masses (Weigelt et al., 2015) and generally not so defined as that of other remote sites in the Northern Hemisphere (Temme et al., 2007;Holmes et al., 2010). Selin et al., (2007) show that the mean seasonal amplitude of 12 northern mid-latitude sites between the maximum in January (winter) and minimum August (summer) is 0.19 ng m^{-3}, compared to the CVO amplitude of 0.14 ng m^{-3} (December-June).
A smaller seasonal cycle may mean that O_3 plays a more dominant role in the oxidation of Hg^0 compared to other oxidants such as OH (Temme et al., 2007;Selin et al., 2007;Holmes et al., 2010). The equatorial nature of the CVO site means that solar irradiance and water vapour are high year-round (Carpenter et al., 2010;Whalley et al., 2010). This may lead to a less pronounced change in oxidation capacity between summer and winter, when compared to sites at higher latitudes.



An influence of air masses from the southern hemisphere without any pronounced seasonal variation (Slemr et al., 2015) may be another reason for the smaller amplitude in the seasonal cycle at the CVO. However, air mass back trajectory analyses show that the CVO receives very little air representative of the southern hemisphere (~1.3% of all data, Fig. 1, Supplementary Information). Further, the highest frequency of southerly air masses arriving at the CVO occurs during August and September, which would serve to increase the mercury seasonal cycle amplitude rather than reduce it.



Figure 3: Seasonal cycle of TGM at CVO. The bars represent the standard deviation of the monthly averages.

Anthropogenic emissions of mercury affecting the Atlantic region include emissions from coal combustion, which tend to have maximum impact in February-March due to a dominance of air from ~~North America when fossil fuel consumption is highest (Duncan et al., 2007). This is additionally observed in the seasonal distribution of anthropogenic tracers such as carbon monoxide (Selin et al., 2007;Weigelt et al., 2015;Read et al., 2009)~~continental regions such as North America. This is also observed in the seasonal distribution of anthropogenic combustion tracers such as carbon monoxide (Selin et al., 2007; Weigelt et al., 2015; Read et al., 2009). Ocean emissions of Hg^0 from the reduction of Hg_{aq}^{II} to Hg_{aq}^{0}, driven by increased biological production are at a maximum in June in the NH but December in the SH (Strode et al., 2007). The seasonal trend may also be affected by meteorological

differences in seasonal circulation patterns and cycles in boundary layer heights, clouds, precipitation and dry deposition characteristics (Dastoor and Larocque, 2004; Selin et al., 2007).

3.2 ~~Trends~~Four-year trends

~~Box and whisker plots of annual averages~~The Theil-Sen function (Theil 1950; Sen 1968) was used to evaluate the 4-year dataset inclination based on monthly TGM medians by season. The results are shown in Fig. ~~4.~~ 4. In this function the slopes between all x, y pairs are calculated and the Theil-Sen estimate is the median of all these slopes. This analysis was performed using the Openair package in R (Carslaw et al., 2012). The advantage of using this function is that it gives accurate confidence intervals and is resistant to outliers. Statistics used for this plot can be found in Table 1 in the Supplementary information.

Over four years the data shows a weak downward ~~trend~~inclination (0.~~04~~042 ± 0.~~03~~404 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1}~~).~~, p < 0.01 significance level). This ~~trend~~decrease is more ~~visible~~significant in the data collected during the Cape Verdean summer (-0.~~077~~079 ± 0.~~055~~054 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1}, p <0.001 in June-August~~, Fig. 4b~~) than in the winter (-0.~~003~~009 ± 0.~~192~~179 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1}, p < 0.1 in December-February~~, Fig. 4c~~). Previous studies have shown a stronger decreasing trend in air that has been influenced by anthropogenic emissions, for which there is more ready detection of the impact of regulation~~.~~ (Selin et al., 2007; Cole et al., 2014; Weigelt et al., 2015; Zhang et al., 2016).



Figure 4: TGM trends for the full year and then separated by season at the Cape Verde Observatory. The green text shows the slope estimate, with 99% confidence intervals in brackets.

The seasonal ~~findings~~trends calculated here imply that there are ~~additional~~ differences in the sources of mercury ~~influencing the measurements with greater effect in~~ that affect the winter months compared to the summer months~~. This~~, potentially with a smaller decline in emissions over this winter period. This may be because ~~the actual emissions of mercury (which themselves are decreasing more rapidly) are increased in winter e.g. those~~CVO measures Hg coming from the same source region throughout the year but that the emission from that source has not declined as much in winter as it has in summer, e.g. from residential burning~~ or it~~. Alternatively the difference could be explained by a difference in airmass between seasons bringing air from different sources that have experienced different trends in emissions over the years We consider this latter scenario to be the more likely explanation, since air

masses originating from continental Africa, which may be ~~because a mercury rich air mass (which is not decreasing or decreasing less) is a more dominant contributor to the CVO air composition at that time of the year. One explanation is an emission source such as~~ influenced by ASGM ~~impacting on continental African originated air that is predominantly measured by~~or biomass burning, frequently reach the CVO in winter (Carpenter et al., 2010)~~. Alternatively~~, but are more rare in summer. A further alternative explanation for the difference in trends between seasons would be a change in global oxidant concentrations (such as ~~that of OH) may be increasing which would affect summer concentrations more than winter~~OH) and that this effect had a seasonal dependence, but there is ~~little~~no evidence ~~of this.~~to support this from studies that estimate OH fields (Hartmann et al., 2013).



~~**Figure 4: Box and whisker plots of a) annual data and separated by season b) summer, c) winter. The edges and middle of the boxes are 25th, 50th and 75th percentiles, 10th and 90th percentiles are illustrated by the spread of the whiskers.**~~

In order to understand better the drivers of the TGM ~~trends, data was~~behaviour, observations were classified according to the origin and pathways of ~~airmasses~~air masses arriving at the CVO over a ten-day period using the UK Met Office NAME dispersion model in passive tracer mode (Ryall et al., 2001). The air mass classifications have been used previously for evaluating the source regions of reactive trace gases arriving at CVO (Carpenter et al., 2010). ~~Five~~For this study eight geographical regions were defined (Coastal African, polluted Marine, Saharan Africa, Sahel Africa, North America, Atlantic marine South America, and ~~Atlantic continental~~, Tropical Africa) and from these, 7 air mass types are classified based on the percentage time spent over each of the ~~5 regions (Carpenter et al., 2010).~~8 regions (Figures 5a and b). These are: Atlantic and African Coastal (AAC), Atlantic marine (AM), North American and Atlantic (NAA), North American and coastal African (NCA), European

(with minimal African influence) (EUR), African (with minimal European influence) (AFR) and European and African (EUR/AFR). The eight regions are shown in Figure 5a and a trajectory frequency footprint of the trajectories (using all of the data from the measurement period), for each of the 7 classifications is shown in Figure 5b.



~~Figure 5~~



Figure 5: a) Boundary definition of the eight geographical regions, Coastal African, polluted Marine, Saharan Africa, Sahel Africa, North America, Atlantic marine South America, and, Tropical Africa. b) Trajectory frequency maps for each of the seven air mass types using HYSPLIT trajectories and Openair, AAC - Atlantic and African Coastal, AM - Atlantic Marine, NAA - North American and Atlantic, NCA - North American and Coastal African, EUR - European (with minimal African influence), AFR - African (with minimal European influence) and EUR/AFR - European and African.

Figure 6 shows histograms representing the data in each of the 7 classifications and Table 2 details the associated statistics. The lowest variability in TGM was observed in air that had travelled the longest period since contact with continental sources even though these would have been subjected to greatest potential for ocean emissions (AM, NCA, NAA). The lowest concentrations (1.144 +/- 0.109 ng m^{-3}) were observed in Atlantic and African coastal air (AAC). ~~The~~ During late summer we occasionally (~2% of time) receive air that has been influenced by the Southern hemisphere (Figure 5b and Supplementary Information). At these times the ozone mixing ratios drop to ~15 ppbV and there can be a rare occurrence of rain leading to spikes in the mostly low TGM concentrations (Figure 7). Otherwise, the highest and most variable concentrations of total gaseous mercury (mean ~~was~~ of 1.23 ± 0.16 ng m^{-3}) ~~were~~are observed in ~~the~~ air originating from continental Africa (AFR).



Figure 6: Histograms of observed TGM classified by airmass for the full 2011-2015 dataset.

Air mass	Mean +/- 1 sigma standard deviation (25th-75th percentiles, number of points) ng m^{-3}	% Time the site receives air mass
AM	1.14 +/- 0.11 (1.08-1.22, 432)	6%
AAC	1.15 +/- 0.12 (1.08-1.24, 1633)	24%
NAA	1.21 +/- 0.08 (1.17-1.27, 449)	7%
NCA	1.20 +/- 0.10 (1.15-1.27, 975)	15%
EUR	1.18 +/- 0.13 (1.08-1.26, 1161)	17%
AFR	1.23 +/- 0.16 (1.14-1.29, 1448)	22%
EUR/AFR	1.22 +/- 0.11 (1.16 -1.30, 586)	9%

Table 2. Statistics for the individual air mass classified data.

Paired t-tests were performed (Wilcoxon signed rank test, R) using the air mass datasets and the AFR dataset showed a significant difference (significance level <95%) in the mean concentration when compared to AAC, NAA, NCA, EUR, EUR/AFR and AM. This suggests that the air classified as AFR may be influenced by sources with different longer-term emissions trends to those experienced when other air masses are detected (t-test results can be found in Table 2 in the Supplementary Information).

Biomass burning, of both anthropogenic and biogenic origins, is prevalent in Africa. In the Northern Hemisphere Africa burning occurs primarily in the Sahel, moving from the northern to the southern Sahel between November and February (Roberts et al., 2009). From Figure 5b it would appear that there are few trajectories which originate from this region, however an influence from biomass burning could be one explanation for the variable and sometimes higher, mercury concentrations within AFR air masses. Previous studies have found a relationship between TGM and carbon monoxide during such episodes (Slemr et al., 2006, Brunke et al, 2012). Figure 7 shows a correlation analysis using a matrix method between pairs of data at the CVO for AFR air, separated by season. O_3 and CO show a strong positive correlation particularly in spring, but also in summer and winter consistent with their shared pollution sources and of CO being a precursor of O_3 over long transport times. Higher wind speeds tend to be associated with air masses that have travelled further (from continental regions), and therefore have undergone greater photochemical production, which might explain the positive correlation of O_3 with wind speed. In spring a strong positive correlation of TGM with CO and with O_3 suggests a shared anthropogenic source when these concentrations are at their seasonal high in the northern hemisphere. The lack of correlation of O_3 with CO in autumn however suggests a more localised source for CO, perhaps from biomass burning, but this is not reflected in a positive

relationship with the TGM concentrations. Instead, TGM has a strong correlation with NOx (NO + NO_2), which suggests that the TGM concentrations are influenced by anthropogenic pollution sources within closer proximity, for example from pollution emitted from cities on the coast of West Africa. This may also explain the high variability in the TGM concentrations observed in the air classified as AAC, which has also travelled over the African coast; although the concentrations in that air mass are lower due to longer time spent over the ocean. Air classified as AFR and EUR/AFR shows the highest levels of TGM and these may be better explained by an additional source further in-land. If sources of mercury from small-scale artisanal gold mining (ASGM) from West Africa have any impact on these measurements and trends then this may be reflected in a weaker correlation with CO in autumn and winter. This is because we speculate that the activity might be more commonly carried out in the dry season (November to April) when crops can't be grown; however there is little evidence to support this. There is no data for TGM in AFR in summer.



Figure 7: A correlation matrix separated by season to show the correlation between pairs of data, using the corPlot function in Openair (Carslaw et al., 2012). The ellipses are visual representations of a scatter plot. The colour scale highlights the strength of the correlation (red being the strongest and blue the weakest), and the number is the r^2 of the data. The data was daily averaged before correlating to remove any bias from diurnal variability. The order the variables appear is due to their similarity with one another, through hierarchical cluster analysis

We next consider episodes when TGM concentrations were enhanced, to investigate the potential influence of biomass burning on the measurements. On two occasions TGM exceeded 1.7 ng m^{-3} (~0.5 ng m^{-3} higher than the mean levels detailed in Table 1). The first period was during December 2013; Figure 8a shows normalised CO and TGM concentrations during this month. The shaded periods correspond to AFR trajectories, shown in detail in Figure 8b. The figure shows that for this period of relatively elevated concentrations in AFR classified air, there is no significant correlation with CO, nor do the trajectories originate over the biomass-burning region of the Sahel. Thus, biomass burning appears not to be a contributor to the high TGM concentrations during this period.



Figure 8: (a) Time-series of TGM and carbon monoxide. The plot is normalised by dividing by the compounds' mean value and the grey dots indicate when the air was characterized as AFR. The shaded periods correspond to the 10-day back trajectories in (b). Clockwise from top left: 15th December 2013 03:00, 17th December 2013 18:00, 23rd December 2013 03:00, and 20th December 2013 00:00.

A similar analysis was performed for the period 19th September 2015 until the 19th October 2015 and the corresponding plots and trajectories are shown in Figure 9. In this case the period of elevated concentrations is shorter with the episode lasting around a week. From the trajectories the air may have been influenced by air from the biomass region in Sahel Africa, which is at its most northern location in the month of October (Roberts et al., 2009). However, CO was not elevated during the period of peak [TGM] suggesting that biomass burning was not the source.



Figure 9: a) Time-series of TGM and CO. The plot is normalised by dividing by the compounds mean value and the grey dots indicate when the air was characterized as AFR. The shaded periods correspond to the 10-day back trajectories in b), left, 3rd October 2015 9:00 and right, 3rd October 2015 21:00.

It has been previously established that West Africa is an important source region for ASGM activity (Telmer and Velga, 2009~~). It~~) but it is difficult to determine whether West Africa is a growing source of emissions since data ~~prior to these observations~~ has been limited and is subject to large uncertainty. It is likely however that the ASGM emissions are less regulated than ~~the~~ anthropogenic emissions from coal combustion, ferrous/non-ferrous metal and cement production from Europe and the US (UNEP, 2013~~) and so is not decreasing in source strength. Selin et al., (2007) suggest that the global emission rate of anthropogenic mercury has declined by 5.5% and there is evidence from Northern Hemispheric sites, which are more impacted by anthropogenic emissions from these sources, that atmospheric concentrations are decreasing in line with recent measures (Selin et al., 2007;Cole et al., 2014;Weigelt et al., 2015;Zhang et al., 2016).~~), and so is less likely to be decreasing in source strength.



~~Figure 5: Histograms of air mass classified data.~~

~~Air mass~~	~~Average +/- 1 sigma standard deviation (25th-75th percentiles) ng m-3~~	~~% Time spent in air mass~~
~~AAC~~	~~1.14 +/- 0.11 (1.08-1.22)~~	~~9%~~
~~AM~~	~~1.15 +/- 0.12 (1.08-1.24)~~	~~22%~~
~~NAA~~	~~1.21 +/- 0.08 (1.17-1.27)~~	~~17%~~
~~NCA~~	~~1.20 +/- 0.10 (1.15-1.27)~~	~~15%~~
~~EUR~~	~~1.18 +/- 0.13 (1.08-1.26)~~	~~7%~~
~~AFR~~	~~1.23 +/- 0.16 (1.14-1.29)~~	~~24%~~
~~EUR/AFR~~	~~1.22 +/- 0.11 (1.16-1.30)~~	~~6%~~

~~Table 2. Statistics for the individual air mass classified data.~~

~~The lowest variability in TGM was observed in air that had travelled the longest periods since contact with continental sources even though these would have been subjected to greatest potential for ocean emissions (AM, NCA, NAA). A~~The lowest concentrations (1.144 +/- 0.109 ng m-3) were observed in Atlantic and African coastal air (AAC).

~~The air was~~ further ~~separated by year to investigate whether the decreasing trend observed in the entire dataset could be seen within the individual air masses and if any further conclusions could be drawn.~~

~~The~~ analysis using the Theil-Sen function ~~(Theil 1950; Sen 1968)~~ was ~~used~~performed to evaluate trends in the 4-year ~~trend~~dataset within individual air masses, based on ~~monthly TGM averages and the results are shown in Fig. 6. In this function the slopes between all x, y pairs are calculated and the Theil-Sen estimate is then the median of all these slopes. The advantage of using this function is that it gives accurate confidence intervals and is resistant to outliers.~~seasonal TGM medians (Figure 10).



Figure ~~6:~~10: 4-year TGM trends using a Theil-Sen function based on seasonal TGM medians separated by air mass ~~at the Cape Verde Observatory. The green text shows the slope estimate and 95% confidence intervals in brackets.~~

. The data for all air masses is shown in the top left panel with the rest of the panels showing the data separated by the seven air mass classifications. The green text shows the slope estimate and the 95% confidence intervals are in brackets. In each case the solid red line shows the trend estimate and the dashed red lines show the 95% confidence intervals for the trend based on resampling methods.

The overall data (top left panel) show a ~~trend~~decrease of -0.~~04~~051 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1} (95% confidence interval of -0.~~07~~1 to -0.~~02~~022 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1}), as shown in the green text. ~~The symbol "***" indicates that the trend is significant to the 0.001 level.~~ Over the 4-year period the ~~trends~~concentration changes were (in ng m^{-3} yr^{-1}): -0.~~06~~046 ± 0.037, -0.~~02, -~~021 ± 0.~~06~~043, -0.~~06, -~~052 ± 0.~~05~~060, -0.~~07, -~~061 ± 0.~~06~~044, -0.058 ± 0.039, -0.051 ± 0.043, -0.077 ± 0.034, for AAC, AFR, AM, EUR, EUR/AFR, NAA and NCA respectively~~. Only~~ (See Table 3 in the ~~African (AFR) air is the trend not significant~~Supplementary information for the number of points used to derive monthly medians). The symbol "***" in green indicates that the decrease is significant to the 0.001 level, "**" to 0.01 and ~~suggests some additional input to~~"*" 0.05. Decreasing trends were observed in all the ~~background concentrations. This would also explain~~air masses with the ~~higher~~largest trends observed in NCA and ~~more variable concentrations~~EUR suggesting controls on anthropogenic emissions are having an effect. Although there is some overlap in the 95% confidence intervals, the African (AFR) air clearly shows the smallest decreasing trend and the only positive upper confidence interval over the 4 years compared to all of the other air masses.

From an analysis of trajectories it was found that ~~we observe in this air mass.~~ the AFR air was within the Sahel region outlined earlier for only around 1-2% of the time and mostly in October when the burning is at its most northern point (Figure 5b). In order to evaluate whether these air masses biased the decrease in AFR air, a sensitivity analysis was performed on the ThielSen analysis with the October data removed. The data flagged as southerly was also removed. The updated concentration change was -0.022 ± 0.036 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1}, thus the filtering had essentially no effect on the AFR trend.

Weigelt et al., (2015) observed an annual decrease in TGM concentrations at Mace Head (53°20'N, 9°54'W, 10 m asl) of between -0.021 and -0.023 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1} between 1996-2013, close to the upper confidence levels shown here (Weigelt et al., 2015). ~~A global calculation using the GEOS-CHEM model~~ Within sub-tropical maritime classified air masses (air from south of 28°N, west of 10°W) the decrease was -0.016 ± 0.002 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1}. Further south at Cape Point, the trend for 17 years (1996-2013) was reported as -0.018 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1} (95% significance interval of -0.035 to -0.013 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1}). These concentration decreases are lower than the global calculation using the GEOS-CHEM model for the Northern Atlantic of -0.04 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1}((Soerensen et al., 2012)~~ suggested that the TGM trend within sub-tropical maritime air masses (air from south of 28°N, west of 10°W) of -0.016 ± 0.002 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1} is lower than over the Northern Atlantic (model prediction of -0.04 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1}). In that evaluation an observed shallower decrease than the GEOS-CHEM prediction has been attributed to a shallower seasonal variation of oxidant concentrations in the region rather than to higher emissions (Weigelt et al., 2015). That research also suggests that the trend is leveling off in contrast to the findings presented ~~here~~, but may not take into account the very recent decreases in emissions (Weigelt et al., 2015; Soerensen et al., 2012). The overall concentration decrease (2011-2015) observed here of -0.05 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1} is more similar to the GEOS-CHEM study.

~~The overall trend (2011-2015) of -0.04 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1} is in line with that calculated by Soerensen et al., (2012) from their measurements over the North Atlantic, whilst further south at Cape Point the trend for 17 years (1996-2013) was reported as -0.018 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1} (95% significance interval of -0.035 to -0.013 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1}), lower than reported here but which may not take into account the very recent decreases in emissions (Weigelt et al., 2015;Soerensen et al., 2012).~~

~~**3.3 Short term variability**~~

~~The TGM data shows a very weak (statistically insignificant) diurnal profile in all air masses. Nevertheless, the maximum at night and minimum in early afternoon is consistent with the reaction with OH being the main loss term (Fig. 7), and similar to the diurnal profiles both in magnitude and shape that are presented in Wang et al., (2014) from measurements in the Pacific Ocean. The lowest concentrations and deepest diurnal amplitude (of 0.062 ng m^{-3}) observed at the CVO were in air that had spent more time over the ocean. The weakest diurnal cycle in TGM is observed in the AFR and EUR/AFR air masses (variation amplitudes of 0.028 ng m^{-3} and 0.036 ng m^{-3} respectively), consistent with fresh anthropogenic emissions influencing the concentrations (Wang et al., 2014).~~



~~**Figure 7: Diurnal variability of TGM separated by air mass. The error bars for data classified as from the North American and Atlantic (NAA) are included on the plot.**~~

~~Rural sites tend to show minimum concentrations before sunrise and then maximum concentrations around noon (Lan et al., 2012;Nair et al., 2012). This is assumed to be due to the presence of a strong nocturnal boundary layer, which is then diluted by the vertical mixing of the boundary layer in the morning. Increased oxidation throughout the day at those locations leads to a decreasing or leveling off of TGM concentrations after noon. The results shown here suggest that the boundary layer effect is minimised at this location consistent with previous findings (Read et al., 2008;Carpenter et al., 2010).~~

4~~.~~ Conclusions

We report a four-year decreasing trend in total gaseous mercury (TGM) concentrations over the sub-tropical north Atlantic of -0.~~04 ± 0.03 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1}, a rate of decline that is in agreement with a number of other studies.~~05 ± 0.04 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1}, a rate of decline that is in agreement with a GEOS-CHEM analysis for the Northern Atlantic (Soerensen et al., 2012). A downward trend in concentration was observed in 6 out of 7 different air mass types, all associated broadly with long-range transport of air from the US and Europe over the north Atlantic ocean to the measurement location. The smallest and least significant downward trend (-0.02 ± 0.03 ng m^{-3} yr^{-1}) was observed in air that was influenced by West Africa ~~and the Sahel and Sahara~~, where emissions are less understood and may well be static or possibly still increasing. The UNEP Global Mercury Assessment report in 2013 suggested that more work is needed to improve emissions estimates for West African sources including field measurements around artisanal and small-scale gold mining (ASGM) sites.

Data availability

The data presented here is freely available at the Centre for Environmental Data Analysis (CEDA) at http://catalogue.ceda.ac.uk/uuid/0ae5eb7ce3ad4885a7223dd7b69f4db6. Other levels of data are available within the GMOS central database upon request at http://sdi.iia.cnr.it/geoint/publicpage/GMOS/gmos_historical.zul (GMOS Database, 2014).

Author contribution

K. A. Read, L.J Carpenter, A. C. Lewis, J. Kentisbeer contributed to the preparation of the manuscript.
K. A. Read and L.M. Neves made the measurements
Z. Fleming ran the NAME trajectories and assigned the air mass classifications.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the Natural Environmental Research Council (NERC) and the Atmospheric Measurement Facility (AMF), National Centre for Atmospheric Science (NCAS) for their continued funding of the Cape Verde Observatory. The measurements of TGM were initiated due to financial support from the EU FP7-ENV-2010 project "Global Mercury observation System" (GMOS, Grant Agreement no 265113-).

References

Ariya, P. A., Sun, J., Eltouny, N. A., Hudson, E. D., Hayes, C. T., and Kos, G.: Physical and chemical characterization of bioaerosols - Implications for nucleation processes, International Reviews in Physical Chemistry, 28, 1-32, 10.1080/01442350802597438, 2009.

Bergan, T., and Rodhe, H.: Oxidation of elemental mercury in the atmosphere; Constraints imposed by global scale modelling, Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry, 40, 191-212, 10.1023/a:1011929927896, 2001.

Bloom, N., and Fitzgerald, W. F.: Determination of Volatile Mercury species at the Picogram level by Low-Temperature Gas Chromatography with Cold-vapour Atomic Fluorescence Detection, Analytica Chimica Acta, 208, 151-161, 10.1016/s0003-2670(00)80743-6, 1988.

Brown, R. J. C., Pirrone, N., van Hoek, C., Horvat, M., Kotnik, J., Wangberg, I., Corns, W. T., Bieber, E., and Sprovieri, F.: Standardisation of a European measurement method for the determination of mercury in deposition: results of the field trial campaign and determination of a measurement uncertainty and working range, Accreditation and Quality Assurance, 15, 359-366, 10.1007/s00769-010-0636-2, 2010.

Brunke, E.-G, Ebinghaus, R., Kock, H.H., Labuschagne, C., and Slemr, F.: Emissions of mercury in southern Africa derived from long-term observations at Cape Point, South Africa, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 7465-7474, 10.5194/acp-12-7465-2012, 2012.

Calvert, J. G., and Lindberg, S. E.: Mechanisms of mercury removal by O-3 and OH in the atmosphere, Atmospheric Environment, 39, 3355-3367, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.01.055, 2005.

Carpenter, L. J., Fleming, Z. L., Read, K. A., Lee, J. D., Moller, S. J., Hopkins, J. R., Purvis, R. M., Lewis, A. C., Muller, K., Heinold, B., Herrmann, H., Fomba, K. W., van Pinxteren, D., Muller, C., Tegen, I., Wiedensohler, A., Muller, T., Niedermeier, N., Achterberg, E. P., Patey, M. D., Kozlova, E. A., Heimann, M., Heard, D. E., Plane, J. M. C., Mahajan, A., Oetjen, H., Ingham, T., Stone, D., Whalley, L. K., Evans, M. J., Pilling, M. J., Leigh, R. J., Monks, P. S., Karunaharan, A., Vaughan, S., Arnold, S. R., Tschritter, J., Pohler, D., Friess, U., Holla, R., Mendes, L. M., Lopez, H., Faria, B., Manning, A. J., and Wallace, D. W. R.: Seasonal characteristics of tropical marine boundary layer air measured at the Cape Verde Atmospheric Observatory, Journal of Atmospheric Chemistry, 67, 87-140, 10.1007/s10874-011-9206-1, 2010.

Carslaw, D.C. and K. Ropkins, (2012). openair — an R package for air quality data analysis. *Environmental Modelling & Software*. Volume 27-28, 52-61.

Cinnirella, S., D'Amore, F., Bencardino, M., Sprovieri, F., and Pirrone, N.: The GMOS cyber(e)-infrastructure: advanced services for supporting science and policy, Environmental Science and Pollution Research, 21, 4193-4208, 10.1007/s11356-013-2308-3, 2014.

Cole, A. S., Steffen, A., Eckley, C. S., Narayan, J., Pilote, M., Tordon, R., Graydon, J. A., St Louis, V. L., Xu, X. H., and Branfireun, B. A.: A Survey of Mercury in Air and Precipitation across Canada: Patterns and Trends, Atmosphere, 5, 635-668, 10.3390/atmos5030635, 2014.

D'Amore, F., Bencardino, M., Cinnirella, S., Sprovieri, F., and Pirrone, N.: Data quality through a web-based QA/QC system: implementation for atmospheric mercury data from the global mercury observation system, Environmental Science-Processes & Impacts, 17, 1482-1491, 10.1039/c5em00205b, 2015.

Dastoor, A. P., and Larocque, Y.: Global circulation of atmospheric mercury: a modelling study, Atmospheric Environment, 38, 147-161, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2003.08.037, 2004.

De Simone, F., S. Cinnirella, S. Gencarelli, C. N., Yang, X., Hedgecock, I. M., and Pirrone, N.:Model Study of Global Mercury Deposition from Biomass Burning, Environmental Science and Technology, 49, 6712-6721, DOI 10.1021/acs.est.5b00969, 2015.

Duncan, B. N., Logan, J. A., Bey, I., Megretskaia, I. A., Yantosca, R. M., Novelli, P. C., Jones, N. B., and Rinsland, C. P.: Global budget of CO, 1988-1997: Source estimates and validation with a global model, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 112, 10.1029/2007jd008459, 2007.

Gay, D. A., Schmeltz, D., Prestbo, E., Olson, M., Sharac, T., and Tordon, R.: The Atmospheric Mercury Network: measurement and initial examination of an ongoing atmospheric mercury record across North America, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 13, 11339-11349, 10.5194/acp-13-11339-2013, 2013.

Goodsite, M. E., Plane, J. M. C., and Skov, H.: A theoretical study of the oxidation of Hg-0 to HgBr2 in the troposphere, Environmental Science & Technology, 38, 1772-1776, 10.1021/es034680s, 2004.

Gustin, M. S., Weiss-Penzias, P. S., and Peterson, C.: Investigating sources of gaseous oxidized mercury in dry deposition at three sites across Florida, USA, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 9201-9219, 10.5194/acp-12-9201-2012, 2012.

Hartmann, D.L., A.M.G. Klein Tank, M. Rusticucci, L.V. Alexander, S. Brönnimann, Y. Charabi, F.J. Dentener, E.J. Dlugokencky, D.R. Easterling, A. Kaplan, B.J. Soden, P.W. Thorne, M. Wild and P.M. Zhai, 2013: Observations: Atmosphere and Surface. In: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.

Holmes, C. D., Jacob, D. J., Corbitt, E. S., Mao, J., Yang, X., Talbot, R., and Slemr, F.: Global atmospheric model for mercury including oxidation by bromine atoms, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 12037-12057, 10.5194/acp-10-12037-2010, 2010.

Lan, X., Talbot, R., Castro, M., Perry, K., and Luke, W.: Seasonal and diurnal variations of atmospheric mercury across the US determined from AMNet monitoring data, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 10569-10582, 10.5194/acp-12-10569-2012, 2012.

Lin, C. J., Pongprueksa, P., Lindberg, S. E., Pehkonen, S. O., Byun, D., and Jang, C.: Scientific uncertainties in atmospheric mercury models I: Model science evaluation, Atmospheric Environment, 40, 2911-2928, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.01.009, 2006.

Mason, R. P., Choi, A. L., Fitzgerald, W. F., Hammerschmidt, C. R., Lamborg, C. H., Soerensen, A. L., and Sunderland, E. M.: Mercury biogeochemical cycling in the ocean and policy implications, Environmental Research, 119, 101-117, 10.1016/j.envres.2012.03.013, 2012.

Muller, D., Wip, D., Warneke, T., Holmes, C. D., Dastoor, A., and Notholt, J.: Sources of atmospheric mercury in the tropics: continuous observations at a coastal site in Suriname, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 7391-7397, 10.5194/acp-12-7391-2012, 2012.

Muntean, M., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Song, S. J., Selin, N. E., Olivier, J. G. J., Guizzardi, D., Maas, R., and Dentener, F.: Trend analysis from 1970 to 2008 and model evaluation of EDGARv4 global gridded anthropogenic mercury emissions, Science of the Total Environment, 494, 337-350, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2014.06.014, 2014.

Nair, U. S., Wu, Y. L., Walters, J., Jansen, J., and Edgerton, E. S.: Diurnal and seasonal variation of mercury species at coastal-suburban, urban, and rural sites in the southeastern United States, Atmospheric Environment, 47, 499-508, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.09.056, 2012.

Pacyna, E. G., Pacyna, J. M., Sundseth, K., Munthe, J., Kindbom, K., Wilson, S., Steenhuisen, F., and Maxson, P.: Global emission of mercury to the atmosphere from anthropogenic sources in 2005 and projections to 2020, Atmospheric Environment, 44, 2487-2499, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.06.009, 2010.

Pirrone, N., Aas, W., Cinnirella, S., Ebinghaus, R., Hedgecock, I. M., Pacyna, J., Sprovieri, F., and Sunderland, E. M.: Toward the next generation of air quality monitoring: Mercury, Atmospheric Environment, 80, 599-611, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2013.06.053, 2013.

Pongprueksa, P., Lin, C. J., Lindberg, S. E., Jang, C., Braverman, T., Bullock, O. R., Ho, T. C., and Chu, H. W.: Scientific uncertainties in atmospheric mercury models III: Boundary and initial conditions, model grid resolution, and Hg(II) reduction mechanism, Atmospheric Environment, 42, 1828-1845, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.11.020, 2008.

Qureshi, A., MacLeod, M., Sunderland, E. and Hungerbühler, K. (2011) Exchange of Elemental Mercury between the Oceans and the Atmosphere, in Environmental Chemistry and Toxicology of Mercury (eds G. Liu, Y. Cai and N. O'Driscoll), John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA. doi: 10.1002/9781118146644.ch12

Read, K. A., Mahajan, A. S., Carpenter, L. J., Evans, M. J., Faria, B. V. E., Heard, D. E., Hopkins, J. R., Lee, J. D., Moller, S. J., Lewis, A. C., Mendes, L., McQuaid, J. B., Oetjen, H., Saiz-Lopez, A., Pilling, M. J., and Plane, J. M. C.: Extensive halogen-mediated ozone destruction over the tropical Atlantic Ocean, Nature, 453, 1232-1235, 10.1038/nature07035, 2008.

Read, K. A., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., Moller, S. J., Mendes, L., and Carpenter, L. J.: Intra-annual cycles of NMVOC in the tropical marine boundary layer and their use for interpreting seasonal variability in CO, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 114, 10.1029/2009jd011879, 2009.

Roberts, G., Wooster, M.J. and Lagoudakis, E.: Annual and diurnal african biomass burning temporal dynamics, Biogeosciences, 6, 849-866, 2009.

Ryall, D. B., Derwent, R. G., Manning, A. J., Simmonds, P. G., and O'Doherty, S.: Estimating source regions of European emissions of trace gases from observations at Mace Head, Atmospheric Environment, 35, 2507-2523, 10.1016/s1352-2310(00)00433-7, 2001.

Sather, M. E., Mukerjee, S., Smith, L., Mathew, J., Jackson, C., Callison, R., Scrapper, L., Hathcoat, A., Adam, J., Keese, D., Ketcher, P., Brunette, R., Karlstrom, J., and Van der Jagt, G.: Gaseous oxidized mercury dry deposition measurements in the Four Corners area and Eastern Oklahoma, U.S.A, Atmospheric Pollution Research, 4, 168-180, 10.5094/apr.2013.017, 2013.

Schroeder, W. H., and Munthe, J.: Atmospheric mercury - An overview, Atmospheric Environment, 32, 809-822, 10.1016/s1352-2310(97)00293-8, 1998.

Seigneur, C., Vijayaraghavan, K., and Lohman, K.: Atmospheric mercury chemistry: Sensitivity of global model simulations to chemical reactions, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 111, 10.1029/2005jd006780, 2006.

Selin, N. E., Jacob, D. J., Park, R. J., Yantosca, R. M., Strode, S., Jaegle, L., and Jaffe, D.: Chemical cycling and deposition of atmospheric mercury: Global constraints from observations, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 112, 10.1029/2006jd007450, 2007.

Sen, Pranab Kumar: Estimates of the regression coefficient based on Kendall's tau, Journal of the American Statistical Association **63**: 1379–1389,doi:10.2307/2285891, JSTOR 2285891, MR 0258201, 1968.

Slemr, F., ~~Brunke, E. G., Whittlestone, S., Zahorowski, W.,~~ Ebinghaus, R., ~~Kock, H. H., and Labuschagne, C.: Rn 222 calibrated mercury fluxes~~Simmonds, P.G., Jennings, S.G.: European emissions of mercuryderived from ~~terrestrial surface of southern Africa~~long-term observations at mace

head, on the western Irish coast, Atmospheric ~~Chemistry and Physics, 13, 6421-6428,~~ Environment, 40, 6966-6974, doi:10.~~5194/acp-13-6421-2013, 2013~~1016/j.atmosenv.2006.06.013, 2006.

Slemr, F., Brunke, E.-G., Ebinghaus, R., and Kuss, J.: Worldwide trend of atmospheric mercury since 1995, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 4779-4787, doi:10.5194/acp-11-4779-2011, 2011.

Smith, C.N., Kesler, S.E., Blum, J.D., and Rytuba, J.J.: isotope geochemistry of mercury in source rocks, mineral deposits and spring deposits of the California Coast Ranges, USA, Earth and Planetary Science Letters, 269, 3-4, 399-407, doi:10.1016/j.epsl.2008.02.029, 2008.

Soerensen, A. L., Jacob, D. J., Streets, D. G., Witt, M. L. I., Ebinghaus, R., Mason, R. P., Andersson, M., and Sunderland, E. M.: Multi-decadal decline of mercury in the North Atlantic atmosphere explained by changing subsurface seawater concentrations, Geophysical Research Letters, 39, 10.1029/2012gl053736, 2012.

Sprovieri, F., Pirrone, N., Ebinghaus, R., Kock, H., and Dommergue, A.: A review of worldwide atmospheric mercury measurements, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 8245-8265, 10.5194/acp-10-8245-2010, 2010.

Sprovieri, F., Pirrone, N., Bencardino, M., D'Amore, F., Carbone, F., Cinnirella, S., Mannarino, V., Landis, M., Ebinghaus, R., Weigelt, A., Brunke, E. G., Labuschagne, C., Martin, L., Munthe, J., Wangberg, I., Artaxo, P., Morais, F., Barbosa, H. D. J., Brito, J., Cairns, W., Barbante, C., Dieguez, M. D., Garcia, P. E., Dommergue, A., Angot, H., Magand, O., Skov, H., Horvat, M., Kotnik, J., Read, K. A., Neves, L. M., Gawlik, B. M., Sena, F., Mashyanov, N., Obolkin, V., Wip, D., Bin Feng, X., Zhang, H., Fu, X. W., Ramachandran, R., Cossa, D., Knoery, J., Marusczak, N., Nerentorp, M., and Norstrom, C.: Atmospheric mercury concentrations observed at ground-based monitoring sites globally distributed in the framework of the GMOS network, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 16, 11915-11935, 10.5194/acp-16-11915-2016, 2016.

Steffen, A., Scherz, T., Olson, M., Gay, D., and Blanchard, P.: A comparison of data quality control protocols for atmospheric mercury speciation measurements, Journal of Environmental Monitoring, 14, 752-765, 10.1039/c2em10735j, 2012.

Steffen, A., Bottenheim, J., Cole, A., Ebinghaus, R., Lawson, G., and Leaitch, W. R.: Atmospheric mercury speciation and mercury in snow over time at Alert, Canada, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 2219-2231, 10.5194/acp-14-2219-2014, 2014.

Streets, D. G., Devane, M. K., Lu, Z. F., Bond, T. C., Sunderland, E. M., and Jacob, D. J.: All-Time Releases of Mercury to the Atmosphere from Human Activities, Environmental Science & Technology, 45, 10485-10491, 10.1021/es202765m, 2011.

Strode, S. A., Jaegle, L., Selin, N. E., Jacob, D. J., Park, R. J., Yantosca, R. M., Mason, R. P., and Slemr, F.: Air-sea exchange in the global mercury cycle, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 21, 10.1029/2006gb002766, 2007.

Temme, C., Blanchard, P., Steffen, A., Banic, C., Beauchamp, S., Poissant, L., Tordon, R., and Wiens, B.: Trend, seasonal and multivariate analysis study of total gaseous mercury data from the Canadian atmospheric mercury measurement network (CAMNet), Atmospheric Environment, 41, 5423-5441, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.02.021, 2007.

Theil, H. : A rank-invariant method of linear and polynomial regression analysis. I, II, III, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch., Proc. **53**: 386–392, 521–525, 1397–1412, MR 0036489, 1950.

UNEP: UNEP: Technical background report for the Global Mercury Assessment 2013. Arctic Monitoring and Assessment programme, Oslo, Norway/UNEP Chemicals Branch, Geneva, Switzerland, 2013.

US EPA: Mercury Study Report to Congress, Fate and transport or mercury in the Environment, vol 111, EPA-452/R-97-005, US environmental Protection Agency, US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1997

Wang, X., Lin, C. J., and Feng, X.: Sensitivity analysis of an updated bidirectional air-surface exchange model for elemental mercury vapor, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 14, 6273-6287, 10.5194/acp-14-6273-2014, 2014.

Wang, X., Lin, C. J., Lu, Z. Y., Zhang, H., Zhang, Y. P., and Feng, X. B.: Enhanced accumulation and storage of mercury on subtropical evergreen forest floor: Implications on mercury budget in global forest ecosystems, Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences, 121, 2096-2109, 10.1002/2016jg003446, 2016.

Weigelt, A., Ebinghaus, R., Manning, A. J., Derwent, R. G., Simmonds, P. G., Spain, T. G., Jennings, S. G., and Slemr, F.: Analysis and interpretation of 18 years of mercury observations since 1996 at Mace Head, Ireland, Atmospheric Environment, 100, 85-93, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.10.050, 2015.

Weinzierl, B., Sauer, D., Esselborn, M., Petzold, A., Veira, A., Rose, M., Mund, S., Wirth, M., Ansmann, A., Tesche, M., Gross, S., and Freudenthaler, V.: Microphysical and optical properties of dust and tropical biomass burning aerosol layers in the Cape Verde region-an overview of the airborne in situ and lidar measurements during SAMUM-2, Tellus, 63B, 589-618, doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0889.2011.00566, 2011.

Whalley, L. K., Furneaux, K. L., Goddard, A., Lee, J. D., Mahajan, A., Oetjen, H., Read, K. A., Kaaden, N., Carpenter, L. J., Lewis, A. C., Plane, J. M. C., Saltzman, E. S., Wiedensohler, A., and Heard, D. E.: The chemistry of OH and HO2 radicals in the boundary layer over the tropical Atlantic Ocean, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10, 1555-1576, 10.5194/acp-10-1555-2010, 2010.

Wright, G., Gustin, M. S., Weiss-Penzias, P., and Miller, M. B.: Investigation of mercury deposition and potential sources at six sites from the Pacific Coast to the Great Basin, USA, Science of the Total Environment, 470, 1099-1113, 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.10.071, 2014.

Zhang, L. M., Wright, L. P., and Blanchard, P.: A review of current knowledge concerning dry deposition of atmospheric mercury, Atmospheric Environment, 43, 5853-5864, 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.08.019, 2009.

Zhang, Y., Jaegle, L., van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R. V., Holmes, C. D., Amos, H. M., Wang, Q., Talbot, R., Artz, R., Brooks, S., Luke, W., Holsen, T. M., Felton, D., Miller, E. K., Perry, K. D., Schmeltz, D., Steffen, A., Tordon, R., Weiss-Penzias, P., and Zsolway, R.: Nested-grid simulation of mercury over North America, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 12, 6095-6111, 10.5194/acp-12-6095-2012, 2012.

Zhang, Y. X., Jacob, D. J., Horowitz, H. M., Chen, L., Amos, H. M., Krabbenhoft, D. P., Slemr, F., St Louis, V. L., and Sunderland, E. M.: Observed decrease in atmospheric mercury explained by global decline in anthropogenic emissions, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 113, 526-531, 10.1073/pnas.1516312113, 2016.