

Author's response on behalf of all co-authors

Petra Hausmann, 8 May 2017

Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany

We would like to thank both anonymous referees for their efforts and their constructive comments which helped us to improve our manuscript. Please find below our point by point response to each comment.

1 Response to Referee #1

SPECIFIC COMMENTS

Page 1, lines 11-12 and page 6, para 2 – Although the trend in IWV is described as statistically insignificant, it is still related to the positive trend in temperature. Is this valid? What is the correlation between IWV and temperature? Could add a third panel to Figure 2 showing the temperature time series.

We agree that – in general – no sound conclusion should be drawn from statistically insignificant trend estimates. Nevertheless, we would like to point out that the most probable IWV trend derived would be consistent with the temperature increase in consideration of its confidence interval. Furthermore, we would like to note that adding temperature data to Fig. 2 would not provide relevant information beyond the temperature trend communicated. However, the temperature time series for Zugspitze (source: Deutscher Wetterdienst; station 5792) is publicly available at ftp://ftp-cdc.dwd.de/pub/CDC/observations_germany/climate/hourly. Concerning the correlation between IWV and temperature, we argue as follows: The correlation of simultaneous in-situ measurements of temperature and water vapor mixing ratios is defined by the Clausius–Clapeyron equation. Compared with surface mixing ratios, IWV data will exhibit lower variability. In terms of long-term trend estimates, however, IWV and surface mixing ratios are assumed to show a comparable evolution as water vapor columns are dominated by the lowest layers of the water vapor vertical profile.

To take these considerations into account, we adapted the manuscript (page 1, lines 11-12) as follows: “The integrated water vapor (IWV) trend of 2.4 [-5.8, 10.6] % decade⁻¹ is statistically insignificant (95 % confidence interval). Under this caveat, the IWV trend estimate is conditionally consistent with the 2005–2015 temperature increase at Zugspitze (1.3 [0.5, 2.1] K decade⁻¹) assuming constant relative humidity”. Additionally,

the wording on page 6 (para 2) was changed: “Over the same time period, a significant positive temperature trend of 1.3 [0.5, 2.1] K per decade is observed at Zugspitze (derived from daily means of in situ temperature measurements coincident with FTIR spectra within a period of ± 30 min; source: Deutscher Wetterdienst, available at ftp://ftp-cdc.dwd.de/pub/CDC/observations_germany/climate/hourly). [...] This IWV trend deduced from the temperature increase in consideration of its confidence interval would be formally consistent with the most probable IWV trend observed.”

Page 5, line 6 – Define LMDZ and give some information about the model a priori profiles used – which constituents? Mean of profiles over some time period? Specifically for Mt. Zugspitze or mean over some region? etc.

We added more detail on the a priori profiles used: “... and a priori profiles from LMDZ-iso simulations (isotopic version of the model Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique-Zoom; Risi et al., 2012; globally and annually averaged H₂O and HDO profiles)”

Page 6, line 31 – It is not obvious from panel b of Figure 3a that the δD monthly frequency distributions are moderately left-skewed, which is used to conclude that there is episodic influence by strongly HDO-depleted air masses. Can this be better illustrated or justified?

We agree with the referee that the skewness of the δD distributions (lower panel of Fig. 3a) is not as obvious as the positive (right-) skewness of the H₂O data (upper panel of Fig. 3a). Nevertheless, several months show a clearly negative (left-) skewness, e.g. January, May and June. The other monthly distributions are either weakly left-skewed or symmetric. In order to take account of this context, we adjusted the wording in the respective sentence: “For free-tropospheric δD measurements, monthly frequency distributions show a tendency to being moderately left-skewed (e.g., January, May, and June), which might point to episodic influence by strongly HDO-depleted air masses (e.g., originating in the upper troposphere or lower stratosphere).”

Page 7, line 20 – Explain what “Based on positive experience” means.

This phrase was intended to state that the meteorological data chosen were successfully applied in previous transport studies. We reformulated the wording as follows: “We apply meteorological data from the NCEP reanalysis (global 2.5° grid), which have yielded excellent results in interpreting transport related features in lidar data for many years (e.g., Trickl et al., 2010).”

Page 10, lines 20-22 – Why is a climatological tropopause altitude used to identify STI events? Justify this choice.

In this paragraph, we discuss the relatively large scatter for the δD distribution of STI events and identified the choice of a climatological tropopause as one contributing factor – among other reasons. Details on the criteria defined for STI identification are given in the previous paragraph (page 9, lines 30-33). The choice of a dynamical

tropopause using potential vorticity would be more accurate, but potential vorticity data are not provided along HYSPLIT trajectories. Considering the uncertainty of HYSPLIT trajectories (approx. 10–20 % of the travel distance; Stohl, 1998), the choice of a climatological tropopause altitude occurs to be a reasonable first approximation.

Page 11, lines 3-6 – This paragraph repeats much of the last paragraph of Section 4.1 (page 8, lines 32-35). Revise to reduce repetition, or move both to the Conclusions section.

We revised this paragraph to reduce repetition. The new wording is as follows: “Overall, we find distinct {H₂O, δD} fingerprints in Zugspitze FTIR data for three categories of long-range transport patterns (TUS, TNA, and STI). The analysis presented shows that consistent {H₂O, δD} observations are applicable for studying atmospheric transport events to the Central European free troposphere.”

Page 12, lines 10-15 – Some additional information could be provided to explain and justify the tracer thresholds used to identify stratospheric intrusions.

We expanded this paragraph with additional information on the trace thresholds applied as follows: “In a study on deep stratospheric intrusions over Central Europe, Trickl et al. (2010) examined criteria for the detection of stratospheric air intrusions based on filtering Zugspitze in situ measurements. In the following, these filtering criteria are applied to identify deep stratospheric intrusions to the summit of Mt. Zugspitze. The first indicator (flag 1) combines the occurrence of dry air masses (RH < 60 %) with simultaneous high Be-7 concentrations (Be-7 larger than the 85th percentile of its annual distribution). The second indicator (flag 2) identifies DSTI events by means of the same relative humidity threshold (RH < 60 %) in combination with the occurrence of very dry air masses (RH < 30 %) within six hours before or after the respective measurement. As elaborated in Trickl et al. (2010), these filtering criteria rather reliably verify stratospheric air intrusions predicted by trajectory calculations based on operational ECMWF forecasts.”

Page 13, line 2 – R = -0.295 means R-squared = 0.09, although 99% is given as the confidence. Although statistical analysis allows a relationship to be weak but significant, R-squared = 0.09 indicates a very weak correlation between dD and Be-7. How useful is this?

We agree that the correlation presented is very weak. This analysis was shown in order to illustrate the negative correlation expected. From our perspective, the main reason why data do not clearly meet this expectation is the relatively low vertical resolution of FTIR measurements compared to in situ data. The lower-tropospheric partial column (3–5 km a.s.l.) considered for the correlation analysis does not cover exactly the same air mass as the in situ measurements, but typically includes layers or mixtures of air masses transported from different regions. This fact is especially relevant for the analysis of stratospheric intrusions which can be very thin atmospheric filaments. Taking these considerations into account, we adapted the wording in the respective paragraph: “Correlation analysis for all coincident measurements of lower-

tropospheric δD (FTIR) and Be-7 (in situ) yields a significant negative correlation (99 % confidence). Although this correlation is very weak (correlation coefficient of $R = -0.295$), it is in line with the negative correlation expected, as Be-7 concentrations increase with altitude towards the lower stratosphere, whereas δD values decrease with altitude. The low correlation coefficient can most plausibly be explained by the different vertical sensitivity of in situ data compared to the lower-tropospheric FTIR partial columns potentially influenced by various different air masses and mixing.”

In general – State clearly what the uncertainty bounds are. These seem to vary throughout the manuscript and are defined in some cases and not others, making it unclear what is meant in some cases. Consistency would be helpful. For example: - page 1, line 24 says “uncertainty of ± 2 standard errors” (can the standard error be asymmetric?), - page 4, line 8 says “uncertainty given as 95 % confidence interval”, - page 11, line 31 says “significance on 2- σ level”, - page 12, line 4 says “mean ± 1 SE” - page 12, line 24 says “(mean ± 2 SE)”

Throughout the manuscript, uncertainties of mean values are given as range of ± 2 SE (standard error of the mean). This uncertainty interval is symmetric around the mean, but rounding to few decimal places can lead to an asymmetric appearance. Trend estimates are generally given with a 95% confidence interval corresponding to ± 2 standard deviations. The only case deviating from this overall definition occurs in Sect. 4.3. Here, the uncertainty is additionally given as interval of ± 1 SE, as differences observed are not significant if considering uncertainty of ± 2 SE. For reasons of consistency, we replaced the phrase “significance on 2- σ level” by “considering uncertainty of ± 2 SE” and, analogously, “significance on 1- σ level” by “considering uncertainty of ± 1 SE”. In addition, we inserted an overall uncertainty definition (p. 6, line 1): “Throughout this manuscript, uncertainties of mean values are given as range of ± 2 SE, i.e., standard error of the mean $SE = SD/\sqrt{n}$ with sample size n (except for the discussion in Sect. 4.3, where also ranges of ± 1 SE are considered)”.

TECHNICAL CORRECTIONS

Page 1, lines 24-25 – Give the altitude represented by the VMR values.

We inserted the altitude represented by the data (5 km a.s.l.) in the description.

Page 2, line 1 – delete “above”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 2, line 2 – delete “potential” (if the H₂O, dD observations are being used as a proxy, then the transport has occurred).

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 2, line 2 – change to “database”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 2, line 12 – “regional climate AND air quality, as well as ...”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 2, line 19 – “THE hydrological cycle”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 2, line 23 – “Along these major transport pathways, other trace gas signatures and pollution plumes also can travel over ...”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 2, line 24 – why “– possibly even to other continents” when this is known to occur? Delete this phrase

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 3, line 1 – “such as [Mt.?] Zugspitze AND Jungfrauoch”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 3, line 3 – “... modeling HAVE PREVIOUSLY identified”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 3, line 8 – “typically after descending”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 3, line 21 – “... sets have become available”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 3, line 30 – “... sensing, a promising new”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 3, line 31 – delete “vast”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 3, line 32 – “associated WITH the”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 4, line 4 – “representative OF”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 4, line 6 – add comma after “dataset”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 4, line 7 – “and TO combine”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 4, line 19 – add comma after “NDACC”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 5, line 13 – “as THE sum”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 5, line 13 – Is “exemplary” the correct word here? Exemplary means perfect or the best. Perhaps “typical” is more appropriate?

As proposed, we replaced “exemplary” by “typical”.

Page 5, line 18 – “sensitivity AT 5 km”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 5, line 22 – “root-mean-square (RMS) residuals of the spectral fit, which”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 5, line 28 – Are these “quality-selected spectra” the result of applying the RMS residual threshold described in the previous paragraph? If so, for clarity, could say “10184 FTIR spectra selected after filtering by the RMS residual as described above, with ...”

Yes, “quality selected spectra” refers to the criteria of quality selection described in the previous paragraph, i.e., filtering by the RMS residual and the total DOFS value. For clarification of this context, we changed the wording as follows: “... derived from 10184 FTIR spectra after filtering by quality selection criteria described above, with...”.

Page 6, line 1 – “site).” delete extra period

The extra period and the bracket were deleted.

Page 6, line 3 – “at THE nearby”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 6, line 30 – add comma after “measurements”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 7, line 15 – “than THE 95th”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 7, line 18 – “measurements, 120-hour”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 7, line 21 – define NCEP

We added the following definition: “...NCEP (National Center for Environmental Prediction) reanalysis...”.

Page 7, line 21 – “estimated to BE 10-20 %”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 7, line 23 – Clarify whether the initial point is in time (i.e., the end point of the back-trajectory) or in space (the first point of the back-trajectory). Also, explain why the point of last condensation is chosen as the initial point.

We specified the meaning of “initial point” and added an explanation on choosing the point of last condensation as initial point. The revised version of this paragraph is formulated as follows: “The initial point of each trajectory (i.e., the location from which the air mass was transported to Mt. Zugspitze) is chosen as the point of last condensation (LC), defined as the region where the relative humidity along the trajectory exceeds 80 % over a three-hour period (see González et al., 2016). Conditions at the point of last condensation determine the air parcel’s water vapor mixing ratio and its isotopic composition, as these quantities are conserved in the absence of sources and sinks (Galewsky et al., 2005; Noone et al., 2012), i.e., if mixing during transport is negligible and no further condensation occurred. If no LC point exists along the trajectory, full 120-hour trajectories are depicted.”

Page 7, line 24 – “defined as THE region”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 7, line 25 – “120-hour”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 7, line 26 – “in THE absence”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 7, line 30 – “at THE nearby”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 8, line 2 – add comma after “observations”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 8, line 6 – “only if AN LC point”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 8, line 32 – “The dD-outlier analysis reveals the potential of ...”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 8, line 34 – “as a useful”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 9, lines 2-3 – “observations also provide information on long-range”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 9, line 17 – “reach THE Northern”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 9, lines 20 and 25– suggest breaking up this paragraph, starting new paragraphs at “The second category” and at “The third transport class”

Extra line breaks were included to split this paragraph.

Page 9, line 22 – add comma after “Each year”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 9, line 23 – add comma after “Europe”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 9, line 30 – here and elsewhere, Zugspitze or Mt. Zugspitze?

Following the suggestion by referee #2, we applied a consistent wording throughout the manuscript, i.e., Mt. Zugspitze for the geographical location and Zugspitze for the observatory site.

Page 9, line 31 – define ECMWF

The following definition was added and moved to a separate sentence: “Zonal mean tropopause altitudes were taken from ECMWF data (European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts) as given in Eckhardt et al. (2004)”.

Page 10, line 3 – change “above” to “at higher altitudes”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 10, line 32 – “In THE case”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 11, line 1 – “In THE case”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 11, line 4 – delete “valuable” – not necessary

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 11, line 16 – “at THE Garmisch site”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 11, line 25 – “4-day” “315-h”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 11, line 31 – add comma after “transport”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 12, line 11 – add comma after “unambiguous”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 12, line 31 – “implies that weakly depleted air masses are also found”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 13, line 12 – “Both IWV and dDcol exhibit”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 13, line 16 – delete “vast”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 13, line 22 – don’t need to redefine STI, TUS, and TNA

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 13, line 27 – change “from” to “using”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 13, line 28 – “nearby”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 13, line 29 – “on the 2-sigma”

This phrase was deleted from the manuscript in response to the Referee’s comment on a consistent definition of uncertainties.

Page 13, line 30 – change “of” to “using”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 14, line 1 – “confirm the importance”

We added “the” to this phrase.

Page 14, line 8 – delete “valuable”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 14, line 25 – change “on the coupling” to “of the coupling”

The manuscript was changed as suggested.

Page 14, line 31 – “We thank the D... and ... for support.”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 22, Table 1 caption – “and THE range”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 22, Table 1, last row – give the altitude (range) for the H₂O VMR

All data included in Table 1 reflect average conditions at the last condensation points identified for trajectories on δD outlier days. This also applies to the VMR values in the last row – the respective altitude range can be found in the second row.

Page 25, Figure 1 caption – Is “typical” really meant, rather than “exemplary” (i.e., best)?

We intended to say “typical” and applied the suggested correction.

Page 26, Figure 2 – could add a third panel with the temperature time series

As stated in the response to the first Referee’s comment, we would like to note that adding temperature data to Fig. 2 would not provide relevant additional information and the temperature time series for Zugspitze is publicly available (source: Deutscher Wetterdienst).

Page 27, Figure 3 caption – “data at 5 km a.s.l.”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 28, Figure 4 caption – “at 5 km a.s.l.”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 29, Figure 5 caption – More information is needed to describe the three curves each shown for the Rayleigh and mixing processes.

More detailed information was added in the caption as follows: “For comparison, simulated Rayleigh processes (initial evaporation at $T = 15\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, $\text{RH} = 80\%$, δD values of $-60\text{ }_{\text{‰}}$, $-130\text{ }_{\text{‰}}$, and $-160\text{ }_{\text{‰}}$) as well as mixing processes of upper-tropospheric air ($\text{VMR}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 200\text{ ppmv}$, $\delta D = -585\text{ }_{\text{‰}}$) with three lower-middle-tropospheric air masses (i. $\text{VMR}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 13500\text{ ppmv}$, $\delta D = -130\text{ }_{\text{‰}}$; ii. $\text{VMR}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 6100\text{ ppmv}$, $\delta D = -200\text{ }_{\text{‰}}$; iii. $\text{VMR}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 3000\text{ ppmv}$, $\delta D = -270\text{ }_{\text{‰}}$.) are shown.”

Figures 6-9 – No need to redefine STI, TUS, and TNA in all four captions. Already defined in the main text.

These redundant definitions have been removed from the captions.

Page 33, Figure 9 caption – add comma after “For comparison”

We applied the suggested correction.

Page 34, Figure 10 – This figure could be improved for clarity. The labelling and grouping of the legends could be improved, e.g., better contrast between blue and green lines in the legend, group RH terms together and dD terms together. What are the red bars going across the plot between RH at the top and dD at the bottom?

We improved this figure for clarity. In the new version, green and blue graphs can be better distinguished and the legend was simplified. The red bars mentioned by the referee indicated the DSTI flag as true/false info. We removed this graph from the revised figure as this information is redundant as already visualized by the red marks on the other graphs.

2 Response to Referee #2

Throughout the text, the authors use both “Mt. Zugspitze” and “Zugspitze” interchangeably to refer to the observatory site. I suggest remaining consistent, and to consider using the latter for the observatory site exclusively (or an alternative) because “Mt. Zugspitze” is needed in the manuscript as a reference to the geographic landform, e.g. page 12 line 9.

We thank the referee for pointing out this inconsistency and applied the suggested wording throughout the manuscript, i.e., Mt. Zugspitze for the geographical location and Zugspitze for the observatory site.

Page 5, line 13: The authors say they’ve selected an “exemplary” measurement to represent the mean state of the Zugspitze time series. What criteria were applied to justify “exemplary”?

As stated by Referee #1, “typical” would be the more appropriate wording. We selected a typical measurement representing the mean state of Zugspitze time series with respect to IWV and DOFS of the type 2 product.

Page 7, line 20: I suggest the authors clarify what is meant by “based on positive experience”. This is unclear.

As stated in response to a similar comment of Referee #1, this phrase was reformulated as follows: “We apply meteorological data from the NCEP reanalysis (global 2.5° grid), which have yielded excellent results in interpreting transport related features in lidar data for many years (e.g., Trickl et al., 2010).”

Page 7, line 25: The decision to use 120 hour trajectories if no condensation point is found aligns with other studies; however, I suggest adding a clarification about why this duration is appropriate.

As the referee states, 120-hour trajectories have been chosen in several previous transport studies (e.g., González et al., 2016; Škerlak et al., 2014; Trickl et al., 2014). The choice of five-day backward trajectories is considered to be appropriate in relation to typical transport time scales. North American emissions typically reach the free troposphere above Europe within 4–6 days (Stohl et al., 2002). Mineral dust from the Saharan region is usually transported to Europe within 2–5 days (Papayannis et al., 2008). Direct stratospheric intrusions to the Northern Alps typically travel 2–4 days from the lower stratosphere (Trickl et al., 2010; Škerlak et al., 2014). Furthermore, the increase in trajectory uncertainty with increasing trajectory duration (i.e., travel distance) has to be taken into account as well as increasing influence of mixing and exchange processes. Additionally, the choice of five-day trajectories is consistent with the lifetime of species most relevant for intercontinental transport (e.g., about one week for ozone or aerosols; Holloway et al., 2003). In this context, we added the following clarification to the manuscript: “The choice of five-day trajectories appears appropriate in consideration of typical transport time scales, lifetimes of transported species, and trajectory uncertainty.”

Page 8, line 2 and 3: I suggest giving the reader threshold values for what is considered “extraordinarily low” and “very high” δD_{col} in the text.

With these terms the authors refer to the outlier definition given on page 7, line 15-16. For reasons of clarity, we repeated the threshold values applied at this point.

Page 9, line 25 (and elsewhere): STI already defined. Re-check the manuscript and remove extra definitions.

Redundant definitions of STI, TNA, and TUS were removed from the manuscript.

Page 13, line 2: The reported R value of -0.295 indicates a very weak correlation. I feel it is necessary for the authors to discuss this result and justify the asserted relationship between δD and Be-7.

As stated in response to an analogous comment by Referee #1 related to this correlation analysis, we agree that the derived correlation is very weak. For discussion of this result, we adapted the paragraph as follows: “Correlation analysis for all coincident measurements of lower-tropospheric δD (FTIR) and Be-7 (in situ) yields a significant negative correlation (99 % confidence). Although this correlation is very weak (correlation coefficient of $R = -0.295$), it is in line with the negative correlation expected, as Be-7 concentrations increase with altitude towards the lower stratosphere, whereas δD values decrease with altitude. The low correlation coefficient can most plausibly be explained by the different vertical sensitivity of in situ data compared to the lower-tropospheric FTIR partial columns potentially influenced by various different air masses and mixing.”

Page 29, Figure 4: It is regrettable that the backward trajectories overwhelm the figure’s geographical information. Interpretation of the results would benefit if the figure could retain the outline of the continents. Most of the map is not visible.

We adapted the figure in order to retain the outline of the continents.

3 Further changes proposed by the authors

Page 15, line 4: Update reference with final revised version.

Page 35, Figure 11: Unfortunately, an old figure version was included in the discussion manuscript (compare newer version of Fig. 11 in the manuscript uploaded for registration). We inserted this newer version which is consistent with the existing text and figure caption.

A decadal time series of water vapor and D/H isotope ratios above Mt. Zugspitze: transport patterns to Central Europe

Petra Hausmann¹, Ralf Sussmann¹, Thomas Trickl¹, and Matthias Schneider²

¹Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, IMK-IFU, Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany

5 ²Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, IMK-ASF, Karlsruhe, Germany

Correspondence to: Ralf Sussmann (ralf.sussmann@kit.edu)

Abstract. We present vertical soundings (2005–2015) of tropospheric water vapor (H_2O) and its D/H isotope ratio (δD) ~~at Mt. Zugspitze (47° N, 11° E, 2964 m a.s.l.)~~ derived from ground-based solar Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) measurements at Zugspitze (47° N, 11° E, 2964 m a.s.l.). Beside water vapor profiles with optimized vertical resolution (degrees of freedom for signal DOFS = 2.8), the retrieval provides $\{\text{H}_2\text{O}, \delta\text{D}\}$ pairs with consistent vertical resolution (DOFS = 1.6 for H_2O and δD) applied in this study. The integrated water vapor (IWV) trend of 2.4 [-5.8, 10.6] % decade⁻¹ is (statistically insignificant; 95 % confidence interval). Under this caveat, the IWV trend estimate is conditionally consistent ~~can be reconciled~~ with the 2005–2015 temperature increase at ~~Mt.~~ Zugspitze (1.3 [0.5, 2.1] K decade⁻¹) assuming constant relative humidity. Seasonal variations in free-tropospheric H_2O and δD exhibit amplitudes of 140 % and 50 % of the respective overall means. The minima (maxima) in January (July) are in agreement with changing sea surface temperature of the Atlantic Ocean.

Using extensive backward trajectory analysis, distinct moisture pathways are identified depending on observed δD levels: low column-based δD values ($\delta\text{D}_{\text{col}} < 5^{\text{th}}$ percentile) are associated with air masses originating in higher latitudes (62° N on average) and altitudes (6.5 km) compared to high δD values ($\delta\text{D}_{\text{col}} > 95^{\text{th}}$ percentile: 46° N, 4.6 km). Backward trajectory classification indicates that $\{\text{H}_2\text{O}, \delta\text{D}\}$ observations are influenced by three long-range transport patterns towards Mt. Zugspitze assessed in previous studies: (i) intercontinental transport from North America (TUS; source region: 25–45° N, 70–110° W, 0–2 km altitude), (ii) intercontinental transport from Northern Africa (TNA; source region: 15–30° N, 15° W – 35° E, 0–2 km altitude), and (iii) stratospheric air intrusions (STI; source region: > 20° N, above zonal mean tropopause). The FTIR data exhibit significantly differing signatures in free-tropospheric $\{\text{H}_2\text{O}, \delta\text{D}\}$ pairs (5 km a.s.l.) ~~(~~ given as mean with uncertainty of ± 2 standard errors (SE) ~~–~~ for TUS ($\text{VMR}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 2.4 [2.3, 2.6] \times 10^3$ ppmv, $\delta\text{D} = -315 [-326, -303]$ ‰), TNA ($2.8 [2.6, 2.9] \times 10^3$ ppmv, $-251 [-257, -246]$ ‰), and STI ($1.2 [1.1, 1.3] \times 10^3$ ppmv, $-384 [-397, -372]$ ‰). For TUS events, $\{\text{H}_2\text{O}, \delta\text{D}\}$ observations depend on surface temperature in the source region and the degree of dehydration having occurred during updraft in warm conveyor belts. During TNA events (dry convection of boundary layer air) relatively moist and weakly HDO-depleted air masses are imported. In contrast, STI events are associated to import of predominantly dry and HDO-depleted air masses.

These long-range transport patterns potentially involve the import of various trace constituents to the Central European free troposphere, i.e., import of pollution from North America (e.g., aerosol, ozone, carbon monoxide), Saharan mineral dust, stratospheric ozone and other airborne species such as pollen. Our ~~above~~ results provide evidence that $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ observations are a valuable proxy for the ~~potential~~ transport of such tracers. To validate this finding, we consult a data-base of transport events (TNA and STI) covering 2013–2015 deduced by data filtering from in situ measurements at ~~Mt-~~ Zugspitze and lidar profiles at near-by Garmisch. Indeed, the FTIR data related to these verified TNA events (27 days) exhibit characteristic fingerprints in IWV (5.5 [4.9, 6.1] mm) and δD_{col} (-266 [-284, -247] ‰), which are significantly distinguishable from the rest of the time series (4.3 [4.1, 4.5] mm, -316 [-324, -308] ‰). This holds true ~~on 1- σ level~~ for 136 STI days considering uncertainties of (mean \pm 1 SE standard error: (4.2 [4.0, 4.3] mm, -322 [-327, -316] ‰) with respect to the remainder (4.6 [4.5, 4.8] mm, -302 [-307, -297] ‰). Furthermore, deep stratospheric intrusions to the Zugspitze summit (in situ humidity and beryllium-7 data filtering) show a significantly lower mean value (-334 [-337, -330] ‰) of lower-tropospheric δD (3–5 km a.s.l.) ~~on 2- σ level~~ than the rest of the 2005–2015 time series (-284 [-286, -282] ‰) considering uncertainty of \pm 2 SE. Our results show that consistent $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ observations at ~~Mt-~~Zugspitze can serve as an operational indicator for long-range transport events potentially affecting regional climate ~~and~~, air quality, as well as human health in Central Europe.

1 Introduction

Water vapor (H_2O) is of fundamental importance in the climate system of our Earth. As the dominant greenhouse gas it accounts for about 60 % of the natural greenhouse effect (Kiehl and Trenberth, 1997; Harries et al., 2008). The Earth's energy budget is closely linked to the global water cycle, which effectively redistributes energy by latent heat transport (Stephens et al., 2012; Wild et al., 2013). However, relevant processes determining the interaction between atmospheric humidity, circulation, and climate are still not completely understood (e.g., cloud feedback processes). A major challenge in climate modeling remains to accurately represent the response of the hydrological cycle and general circulation patterns to climate change (Bengtsson et al., 2014; Bony et al., 2015).

Large-scale circulation patterns in the northern midlatitudes are dominated by prevailing westerly winds. Extratropical cyclones and deep convective systems facilitate transport of water vapor from moisture sources to higher altitudes and latitudes. Along these major transport pathways, ~~also~~ other trace gas signatures and pollution plumes can travel over long distances from source to receptor regions ~~—possibly even to other continents—~~ (e.g., Stohl, 2001; Stohl et al., 2002). Transported species of predominantly natural origin include, among others, volcanic ash (e.g., Trickl et al., 2013), biomass burning aerosols (e.g., Forster et al., 2001; Wotawa et al., 2001; Damoah et al., 2004; Fromm et al., 2010; Trickl et al., 2015), pollen (e.g., Jochner et al., 2015), mineral dust (e.g., Husar, 2004; Papayannis et al., 2008; Trickl et al., 2011; Israelevich et al., 2012), and stratospheric ozone (e.g., Beekmann et al., 1997; Škerlak et al., 2014). Relevant anthropogenic tracers are, e.g., aerosols, carbon monoxide, ozone, and its precursors. Such transport events impact climate, air quality, and

human health in receptor regions and are highly relevant for agreements on emission regulations (Holloway et al., 2003; TF-HTAP, 2010).

Research on long-range transport to Central Europe has a long history at high-altitude observatories, such as Zugspitze ~~and~~ Jungfraujoch, which offer a unique opportunity to monitor free-tropospheric conditions. Transport studies using lidar and in situ measurements combined with transport modeling have previously identified three main long-range transport patterns to Central Europe. First, intercontinental transport from North America effectively carries anthropogenic emissions within 3–10 days to the European middle troposphere after lifting by warm conveyor belts (Stohl and Trickl, 1999; Trickl et al., 2003). Second, intercontinental transport from Northern Africa imports Saharan mineral dust into Europe in 5–15 events per year (Papayannis et al., 2008; Flentje et al., 2015). Third, stratosphere–troposphere transport injects dry, ozone-rich air into the European troposphere ~~after~~ typically after descending 3–15 days from the lowermost stratosphere (Stohl et al., 2003; Trickl et al., 2010, 2014, 2015, 2016).

Valuable information on tropospheric moisture pathways (and associated transport of other tracers) is provided by measurements of water vapor and its isotopes (Strong et al., 2007; González et al., 2016; Schneider et al., 2016). In this context, two stable water vapor isotopes are of particular interest: H₂O (¹H₂¹⁶O) and HDO (¹H²H¹⁶O) with an average abundance of 99.7 % and 0.03 %, respectively. Variations in the D/H ratio of water vapor are expressed in terms of δD , i.e., as relative deviation from a reference HDO-H₂O ratio standard (Craig, 1961; see Sect. 2). The isotopic composition of atmospheric water vapor is modified during phase transitions (evaporation, condensation, and sublimation) due to fractionation processes caused by isotopic mass differences (Dansgaard, 1964). After evaporation from the ocean surfaces, which represents the main source of water vapor, air masses are transported to regions of lower temperatures, where condensation or sublimation leads to dehydration and depletion in the heavier isotope HDO. Resulting large-scale isotope effects (Worden et al., 2007; Sutanto et al., 2015) include increasing depletion in HDO (decreasing δD) with higher latitudes (latitude effect), with higher altitudes (altitude effect), and with increasing distance from oceans (continental effect).

Only recently, tropospheric water vapor isotope data sets have become available from satellite remote sensing instruments (e.g., Worden et al., 2006; Schneider and Hase 2011; Lacour et al., 2012; Boesch et al., 2013; Frankenberg et al., 2013; Sutanto et al., 2015) and from ground-based Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometers (Barthlott et al., 2016; Schneider et al., 2016) operated within the Network for the Detection of Atmospheric Composition Change (NDACC; www.ndacc.org). Progress achieved within the project MUSICA (MUlti-platform remote Sensing of Isotopologues for investigating the Cycle of Atmospheric water; Schneider et al., 2012) now offers retrieval methods for tropospheric H₂O and δD profiles from mid-infrared FTIR measurements.

So far, research on long-range transport to Central Europe has mainly been based on investigations or field campaigns of special transport events combining observations of conventional tracers (such as ozone, aerosols, and humidity) at few sites. With the advance of water vapor isotope remote sensing, a ~~new~~ promising new transport tracer (i.e., consistent {H₂O, δD } pairs) has become available for globally distributed operational FTIR sites. This ~~vast~~ data set can be exploited to gain a more comprehensive picture of atmospheric moisture transport. These transport processes can be associated ~~with~~ the dispersion

of anthropogenic (or natural) emissions, which is of particular interest for emission regulation policies and human health issues (e.g., air pollution, pollen distribution). Additionally, analysis of $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ pairs will yield further insight into the coupling of the hydrological cycle with general circulation patterns, urgently needed to improve our understanding of climate change.

5 The goal of this study is to evaluate new possibilities in transport research provided by long-term observations of consistent $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ pairs at an FTIR site which is representative ~~for~~of typical midlatitude conditions. We present an update of the water vapor time series obtained at ~~Mt.~~Zugspitze (Sussmann et al., 2009) including water vapor isotope information. Based on this data set, transport pathways to the Central European free troposphere are identified using backward trajectory analysis. The main task is to identify distinct signatures in $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ pairs for long-range transport patterns and ~~to~~combine
10 the results with conventional transport tracer observations. This paper is structured as follows: Section 2 describes FTIR measurements and the retrieval of water vapor and its isotopes. The resulting long-term $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ time series at ~~Mt.~~Zugspitze is presented in Sect. 3 along with a discussion on trends and seasonal cycles. Section 4.1 gives results on moisture pathways to Central Europe related to δD outliers. $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ signatures of long-range transport events are identified using trajectory classification (Sect. 4.2) and analysis of lidar and in situ measurements (Sect. 4.3). Finally, Sect. 5 summarizes
15 results and draws final conclusions.

2 FTIR observations and retrieval strategy

The long-term time series of water vapor and its isotopes (2005–2015) presented in this study is retrieved from ground-based solar absorption FTIR measurements obtained at ~~Mt.~~Zugspitze (47.42° N, 10.98° E, 2964 m a.s.l.). This high-altitude observatory is mostly located above the moist boundary layer or just below its upper edge (Carnuth et al., 2002). Sampled air
20 masses are representative of free-tropospheric background conditions over Central Europe. Within the framework of NDACC, a Bruker IFS 125HR spectrometer is operated at ~~Mt.~~Zugspitze (Sussmann and Schäfer, 1997). Mid-infrared solar absorption spectra are recorded with a typical spectral resolution of 0.005 cm^{-1} (according to a maximum optical path difference of 175 cm) and an integration time of seven minutes (averaging six individual scans). These high-resolution spectra provide information on a large number of trace gases, including water vapor and its isotopes (Sussmann et al., 2009;
25 Schneider et al., 2013).

The isotopic composition of atmospheric water vapor with respect to HDO is typically expressed in terms of δD , defined as relative deviation of the HDO- H_2O ratio (volume mixing ratios VMR_{HDO} and $\text{VMR}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$) from the ratio in Standard Mean Ocean Water ($R_{\text{SMOW}} = 3.1152 \times 10^{-4}$; Craig, 1961), i.e.,

$$\delta D = \left(\frac{\text{VMR}_{\text{HDO}}/\text{VMR}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}}{R_{\text{SMOW}}} - 1 \right) \times 1000 \text{ ‰} . \quad (1)$$

30 Additionally, columnar water vapor and δD values are applied in the following: column-based δD (δD_{col}) is calculated using the total column ratio in Eq. (1) and integrated water vapor (IWV) is thereafter given in units of mm (i.e., a water column of

1 mm corresponds to an atmospheric column density of $3.345 \times 10^{+21}$ molecules cm^{-2} , which can be derived considering the Avogadro constant and the molar mass as well as the density of water).

The retrieval of water vapor isotopes from mid-infrared FTIR spectra is very demanding due to the high variability of atmospheric water vapor compared with relatively small δD variations that result from the strong correlation of HDO and H₂O abundances. Retrieval strategies for tropospheric {H₂O, δD } pairs from ground-based FTIR spectra were developed within the project MUSICA (Schneider et al., 2012, 2016; Barthlott et al., 2016). The latest retrieval version (v2015) is applied here, which comprises – briefly summarized – a logarithmic-scale retrieval with an interspecies constraint between HDO and H₂O, a non-Voigt line-shape model, and a priori profiles from [the LMDZ-iso simulations model \(isotopic version of the model Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique-Zoom; Risi et al., 2012; globally and annually averaged H₂O and HDO profiles\)](#). Two data products are available: (i) optimal estimation of water vapor for maximum vertical resolution of H₂O profiles (type 1) and (ii) quasi optimal estimation of {H₂O, δD } pairs derived by a posteriori data processing for consistent vertical sensitivity of H₂O and δD profiles (type 2). Error estimation for the type 2 product reveals a precision below 2 % for integrated water vapor and below 30 % for column-based δD (Schneider et al., 2012).

Applying this {H₂O, δD } pair retrieval to Zugspitze FTIR spectra results in characteristic averaging kernels depicted in Fig. 1 for the humidity-proxy state, i.e., $\frac{1}{3} \times (\ln[\text{H}_2^{16}\text{O}] + \ln[\text{H}_2^{18}\text{O}] + \ln[\text{HD}^{16}\text{O}])$. Furthermore, the vertical sensitivity is shown, which is defined as [the sum of the row elements of the averaging kernel matrix](#). [A typical exemplary](#) measurement on 29 October 2009 is chosen to represent the mean state of the Zugspitze time series (IWV = 3.8 mm, degrees of freedom for signal DOFS = 1.6 for the type 2 product). Optimally estimated H₂O profiles (type 1 product) derived from Zugspitze data provide an average DOFS of 2.8 (standard deviation SD = 0.2) and are sensitive up to 12 km altitude (vertical sensitivity larger than 0.75) as shown in Fig. 1a. In the following, we use the type 2 data product (Fig. 1b), which provides consistent {H₂O, δD } profiles with vertical sensitivity up to 9 km (SD = 1 km) and maximum sensitivity [at a](#) 5 km a.s.l. altitude (SD = 0.5 km). On average, a DOFS of 1.6 (SD = 0.2) is obtained for H₂O and δD data (type 2) derived from Zugspitze spectra. The vertical resolution amounts to 2–3 km in the lower troposphere and 4–5 km in the middle-upper troposphere, as derived from the full width at half maximum of the row kernels. Retrieval quality selection is implemented by means of a threshold in the root-mean-square [\(RMS\)](#) residuals of the spectral fit [\(RMS\)](#), which is chosen to eliminate 5 % of all spectra with the highest RMS (see Sussmann et al., 2009). The full Zugspitze time series yields a mean normalized RMS of 0.26 %. Additionally, the sum of DOFS for all retrieved isotopes (H₂O, HDO, and H₂¹⁸O) is required to exceed a value of 4.0. To compute daily means, only days with more than one measurement are considered.

3 Long-term {H₂O, δD } time series and its seasonality above Mt. Zugspitze

Time series of daily mean integrated water vapor and column-based δD above Mt. Zugspitze are presented in Fig. 2 for the period 2005–2015. This data set comprises 1154 daily means derived from 10184 [quality selected](#) FTIR spectra [after filtering by quality selection criteria described above](#), with, on average, nine spectra per measurement day and 105

measurement days per year. Seasonal cycles are determined by fitting a third-order Fourier series to the time series. This fitted intra-annual model is subtracted from the time series for the purpose of deseasonalization. The multiannual mean of the deseasonalized IWV time series amounts to 4.4 ± 0.1 mm (~~mean ± 2 SE, i.e., standard error of the mean $SE = SD/\sqrt{n}$ with sample size n~~), which reflects dry conditions at the high-altitude Zugspitze site. Throughout this manuscript, uncertainties of mean values are given as range of ± 2 SE, i.e., standard error of the mean $SE = SD/\sqrt{n}$ with sample size n (except for the discussion in Sect. 4.3, where also ranges of ± 1 SE are considered). The multiannual mean of deseasonalized column-based δD amounts to -311.3 ± 3.8 ‰. This relatively low value corresponds to strong HDO depletion at high altitudes according to the altitude effect (dehydration with decreasing temperature). The multiannual mean δD_{col} value at Zugspitze is slightly less depleted than observations at the nearby, but even higher Jungfraujoch station (46.5° N, 8.0° E, 3580 m a.s.l.) with a multiannual mean δD_{col} of -330 ‰ (Schneider et al., 2012).

A short discussion is given on long-term trends derived from the daily mean IWV and δD_{col} time series (2005–2015). For column-based δD , we infer a statistically insignificant trend of 0.8 [$-3.1, 4.7$] % per decade (relative to the overall mean, uncertainty given as 95 % confidence interval). For IWV, a weak positive, also insignificant trend of 2.4 [$-5.8, 10.6$] % per decade (relative to overall IWV mean) is derived. These linear trends are determined by fitting a linear trend model by least square fit to the deseasonalized daily mean time series and uncertainties are determined via bootstrap resampling of the residuals (Gardiner et al., 2008). Over the same time period, a significant positive temperature trend of 1.3 [$0.5, 2.1$] K per decade is observed at ~~Mt.~~ Zugspitze (derived from daily means of in situ temperature measurements coincident with FTIR spectra within a period of ± 30 min; source: Deutscher Wetterdienst, available at ftp://ftp-cdc.dwd.de/pub/CDC/observations_germany/climate/hourly). Assuming constant relative humidity (RH) and following the Clausius–Clapeyron equation (Schneider et al., 2010), the observed temperature increase translates to a positive IWV trend of 9.2 [$3.7, 14.7$] % per decade. This ~~calculated IWV~~ trend deduced from the temperature increase in consideration of its confidence interval agrees would be formally consistent with the ~~most probable observed~~ IWV trend observed within uncertainties. The assumption of constant RH is valid on large spatial scales, but RH slightly decreased over specific land regions (Hartmann et al., 2013), which would explain the relatively large calculated IWV trend compared to observations.

Strong seasonal cycles of free-tropospheric water vapor and δD are observed above Zugspitze (Fig. 3a). Multiannual monthly means are shown for data at 5 km a.s.l., where the maximum in vertical sensitivity of the $\{\text{H}_2\text{O}, \delta D\}$ pair product is located (see Fig. 1b). These data are representative for an altitude region of 3–7 km, which is the full width at half maximum of the corresponding averaging kernel row. The seasonal cycle amplitude (relative difference of maximum and minimum monthly mean) amounts to 140 % for H_2O and 50 % for δD relative to the overall mean. Both seasonal cycles show maxima in summer (July) and minima in winter (January). The δD maximum in summer results from more frequent ascending motions in summer with associated transport of less HDO-depleted air masses from lower altitudes and latitudes (Risi et al., 2012). Stronger HDO depletion in winter (δD minimum) is caused by a stronger continental temperature gradient in winter and associated stronger dehydration during air mass transport from the Atlantic Ocean. Additionally, transport from Eastern Europe occurs more frequently during anticyclonic conditions in winter importing strongly depleted continental air masses

(Christner, 2015). Monthly frequency distributions of single H₂O measurements are generally right-skewed and variability is larger in summer (June–August) than in winter. This might be explained by extreme moistening events due to more frequent convection in summer and mixing with boundary layer air. For free-tropospheric δD measurements, ~~the~~ monthly frequency distributions show a tendency to being ~~are~~ moderately left-skewed (e.g., January, May, and June), which might points to episodic influence by strongly HDO-depleted air masses (e.g., originating in the upper troposphere or lower stratosphere). In the {H₂O, δD } distribution plot (Fig. 3b), it becomes clear that the isotopic composition of atmospheric water vapor significantly changes over the course of a year: in spring it is less depleted in HDO than in autumn. This is mainly caused by seasonal variations in sea surface temperature of the Atlantic Ocean (i.e., the major moisture source) as derived from Rayleigh model simulations (see Fig. 5). An additional contribution is possibly due to increased mixing in spring compared to autumn. These seasonal variations in the water vapor isotopic composition above Zugspitze imply that δD observations provide information complementary to the H₂O data (e.g., Risi et al., 2012; Schneider et al., 2012).

In this section, we presented a unique decadal time series of water vapor and δD derived from FTIR measurements at ~~Mt.~~ Zugspitze representative of Central European background conditions. In the following, these δD observations are used as a tracer of atmospheric transport to study its behavior in relation to different transport pathways to Central Europe.

15 4 Transport patterns to the Central European free troposphere

4.1 Moisture pathways related to δD outliers

To explore the potential of water vapor isotope observations as a proxy for transport processes, moisture transport pathways are identified for cases of extreme water vapor isotopic composition. The Zugspitze data set of {H₂O, δD } pairs contains predominantly information on free-tropospheric moisture pathways reaching Central Europe in the altitude region around 5 km a.s.l., as shown in Sect. 2 (see Fig. 1b). With the help of backward trajectory analysis, these transport pathways are determined for outliers in column-based δD derived from the frequency distribution of the deseasonalized daily mean time series at ~~Mt.~~ Zugspitze (2005–2015). High outliers are defined as daily mean values larger than the 95th percentile of the distribution ($\delta D_{\text{col}} > -219$ ‰) and low outliers are days with δD_{col} below the 5th percentile ($\delta D_{\text{col}} < -430$ ‰). This yields 56 days of high and 57 days of low column-based δD outliers from a total of 1154 measurement days.

For all 2005–2015 FTIR measurements, 120-hour backward trajectories arriving in 5 km a.s.l. above ~~Mt.~~ Zugspitze are calculated using the Air Resources Laboratory’s HYbrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory model (HYSPLIT; available at <http://ready.arl.noaa.gov>; Stein et al., 2015). ~~Based on positive experience, w~~We apply meteorological data from the NCEP (National Center for Environmental Prediction) reanalysis (global 2.5° grid), which have yielded excellent results in interpreting transport related features in lidar data for many years (e.g., Trickl et al., 2010) ~~and model vertical velocity~~. Trajectory uncertainty is estimated to be 10–20 % of the travel distance (Stohl, 1998), which is fulfilled in the free troposphere according to our experience. Resulting backward trajectories are shown in Fig. 4 as horizontal and vertical projections. The initial point of ~~the~~each trajectoryies (i.e., the location from which the air mass was transported to Mt.

Zugspitze) is chosen as the point of last condensation (LC), defined as the region where the relative humidity along the trajectory exceeds 80 % over a three-hour period (see González et al., 2016). Conditions at the point of last condensation determine the air parcel's water vapor mixing ratio and its isotopic composition, as these quantities are conserved in the absence of sources and sinks (Galewsky et al., 2005; Noone et al., 2012), i.e., if mixing during transport is negligible and no further condensation occurred. If no ~~condensation occurred (i.e., no LC point found exists along the trajectory)~~, full 120-hour trajectories are depicted. The choice of five-day trajectories appears appropriate in consideration of typical transport time scales, lifetimes of transported species, and trajectory uncertainty. ~~As water vapor mixing ratio and isotopic composition are conserved in absence of sources and sinks (Galewsky et al., 2005; Noone et al., 2012), conditions at the LC point determine observed {H₂O, δD} pairs if mixing during transport is negligible.~~

According to the location of Mt. Zugspitze in the zone of prevailing westerlies and in agreement with results from long-term ozone lidar measurements at the nearby Garmisch site (see Sect. 4.3), the majority of trajectories point to Atlantic moisture sources distributed over a wide latitude range (from subtropics to polar regions). Several trajectories originate over the North American continent or even the Pacific region. Fewer trajectories arrive from Eastern Europe or Northern Africa. Figure 4 reveals clearly different transport patterns for low outliers of column-based δD observed at Zugspitze compared to high outliers. For extraordinarily low δD_{col} observations (δD_{col} < -430 ‰), air masses mostly descend from high latitudes and altitudes, often related to cold advection at the rear side of an upper level trough. In contrast, on days with very high δD_{col} observations (δD_{col} > -219 ‰), air masses predominately arrive from lower latitudes ascending from lower altitudes, often in connection with warm advection at the front side of an upper level trough. An overview of the conditions at the last condensation points and their positions is given in Table 1 for trajectories arriving on δD outlier days (only if an LC point is found along the trajectory). This analysis shows that air masses originate from significantly higher latitudes (about 60° N) and altitudes (about 6.5 km) on low-δD outlier days than on high-δD days. Last condensation occurred at significantly lower temperatures and under dryer conditions for low-δD days compared to high-δD days. Consequently, mostly dry air masses are transported to Mt. Zugspitze in connection with low δD.

A first order interpretation of the {H₂O, δD} data pairs can be obtained by their comparison to theoretical Rayleigh and mixing lines (Wiegele et al., 2014; Schneider et al., 2015; González et al., 2016). Figure 5 shows the {H₂O, δD} distribution plot for Zugspitze data at 5 km a.s.l. along with simulated Rayleigh and mixing processes. Rayleigh models simulate the idealized process of gradual dehydration of an air parcel during adiabatic cooling with immediate removal of the condensate. Simultaneously, the remaining water vapor becomes more and more depleted in HDO, as heavier isotopes preferentially condense. Rayleigh dehydration processes (black lines in Fig. 5) are simulated using a mean midlatitude profile of pressure and temperature (Christner, 2015, Table 13) with initial evaporation conditions characteristic of midlatitude oceanic or continental moisture sources (temperature of 15 °C, RH of 80 %, and different δD values: -60 ‰, -130 ‰, and -160 ‰). Furthermore, mixing processes of dry, HDO-depleted upper-tropospheric air (VMR_{H₂O} = 200 ppmv, δD = -585 ‰) with moist lower-middle-tropospheric air masses are simulated (dark red lines in Fig. 5). The δD value of the mixture is mainly determined by the δD value of the mixing partner with higher water vapor (Noone et al., 2011). Three moist mixing partners

are considered here: (i) boundary layer air with $\text{VMR}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 13500$ ppmv and $\delta\text{D} = -130$ ‰, (ii) moderately dehydrated and depleted air with $\text{VMR}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 6100$ ppmv and $\delta\text{D} = -200$ ‰, and (iii) even more dehydrated air with $\text{VMR}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}} = 3000$ ppmv and $\delta\text{D} = -270$ ‰. Comparing these simulated transport processes with $\{\text{H}_2\text{O}, \delta\text{D}\}$ pairs observed at Zugspitze (5 km a.s.l.) reveals underlying transport regimes on designated $\delta\text{D}_{\text{col}}$ outlier days: measurements on days of low- δD outliers group along
5 Rayleigh curves, while data on high- δD outlier days preferentially group along simulated mixing lines. We tentatively conclude that the first group (low $\delta\text{D}_{\text{col}}$) experienced slow ascent from mostly midlatitude moisture sources with associated gradual dehydration by condensation and rainout, which is followed by subsidence to the middle troposphere above Mt. Zugspitze with only minor mixing with moist and less HDO-depleted air masses. In contrast, the second group (high $\delta\text{D}_{\text{col}}$) reveals air masses ascending from lower altitudes and latitudes, which are influenced by co-existence or mixing of moist
10 lower-tropospheric and drier middle-upper-tropospheric air masses.

The presented δD -outlier analysis reveals the valuable-potential of water vapor isotope observations for investigating moisture transport pathways. As along these pathways also many other atmospheric species can be transported, $\{\text{H}_2\text{O}, \delta\text{D}\}$ data pairs might also serve as a useful tracer in long-range transport research.

4.2 $\{\text{H}_2\text{O}, \delta\text{D}\}$ signatures of long-range transport events

15 Moisture transport pathways to the Central European free troposphere were identified for days with extraordinary high or low δD observations at Mt. Zugspitze in Sect. 4.1. Going a step further, we examine to what extent $\{\text{H}_2\text{O}, \delta\text{D}\}$ observations also provide information also on long-range transport events of various other atmospheric tracers. In the following, $\{\text{H}_2\text{O}, \delta\text{D}\}$ signatures are analyzed for three FTIR measurement categories, each of which is influenced by a distinct long-range transport pattern to the Central European free troposphere: (i) intercontinental transport from North America (TUS), (ii)
20 intercontinental transport from Northern Africa (TNA), and (iii) stratospheric air intrusions (STI). Zugspitze FTIR measurements are assigned to these categories by classification of respective backward trajectories (see Sect. 4.1) using the criteria given in Table 2 and described in more detail in the following paragraphs.

Intercontinental transport from North America (~~TUS~~) may effectively carry anthropogenic pollution plumes (e.g., ozone, aerosols) to Central Europe within typically 3–10 days (Stohl and Trickl, 1999; Trickl et al., 2003; Huntrieser et al., 2005).
25 Consequently, North American emissions strongly contribute to the European total column tracer mass (i.e., 43 % for a tracer with 10 days lifetime; Stohl et al., 2002). The typical pathway of polluted boundary layer air from North America to Europe is uplift in a warm conveyor belt (WCB; i.e., an ascending air stream ahead of a surface cold front in an extratropical cyclone) and subsequent transport by strong westerly flows in the middle and upper troposphere. The North American tracer enters Europe typically at altitudes of 4–8 km and at high latitudes ($> 60^\circ$ N). Here, the circulation frequently turns
30 anticyclonic and tracers eventually reach the Northern Alps (Huntrieser and Schlager, 2004). WCB climatologies reveal a major inflow region at the southeastern coast of North America (Stohl et al., 2001; Eckhardt et al., 2004; Madonna et al., 2014). In the following, Zugspitze backward trajectories passing this source region ($25\text{--}45^\circ$ N, $70\text{--}110^\circ$ W, 0–2 km altitude) are assigned to the TUS category.

The second transport category considered is intercontinental transport from Northern Africa (~~TNA~~) to the European free troposphere. Associated transport of Saharan mineral dust influences air quality, soil fertility, radiative budget, and atmospheric oxidation capacity in the receptor regions (Ravishankara, 1997). Each year, 5–15 events of mineral dust import occur in Central Europe, each lasting 1–3 days (Papayannis et al., 2008; Flentje et al., 2015). The TNA transport category includes backward trajectories passing the Saharan boundary layer region (15–30° N, 15° W – 35° E, 0–2 km altitude; Engelstaedter et al., 2006).

The third transport class accounts for extratropical stratospheric intrusions (~~STI~~), which occur mainly in synoptic-scale processes such as tropopause folds and cutoff lows near the polar or subtropical jet stream (Stohl et al., 2003). Filaments of ozone-rich stratospheric air descend from the lowermost stratosphere and proceed to the Central European free troposphere via several pathways (Trickl et al., 2010, 2011; Škerlak et al. 2014). Mixing with tropospheric air might exhibit relatively long time scales as little modification is reported even after several days of transport (Trickl et al., 2014). In the following, Zugspitze backward trajectories originating above the zonal mean tropopause (TP) ~~taken from ECMWF data (Eckhardt et al., 2004)~~ at latitudes above 20° N are assigned to the STI category (required minimum residence time of five hours above TP, which is penetrated at least once by more than 1 km). Zonal mean tropopause altitudes were taken from ECMWF data (European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts) as given in Eckhardt et al. (2004). This TP definition is chosen, as potential vorticity for a dynamical TP definition is not provided within HYSPLIT.

These long-range transport categories (TUS, TNA, and STI) are expected to have characteristic imprints on {H₂O, δD} pairs observed at ~~Mt.~~ Zugspitze. Stratospheric intrusions originate in the lowest few kilometers of the stratosphere (Trickl et al., 2014, 2016), where moisture content is extremely low and the mean δD profile exhibits a minimum before increasing at higher altitudes above due to growing influence of isotopically heavier water vapor from methane oxidation (Zahn et al., 2006; Steinwagner et al., 2010). Consequently, STI events potentially import relatively dry and HDO-depleted air masses to the Central European troposphere. By contrast, TUS and TNA air masses originate in the moist boundary layer and may transport relatively moist and less HDO-depleted air masses to Central Europe. However, strong WCB updraft during TUS events may cause air mass dehydration and HDO depletion through rainout (Rayleigh process).

The resulting Zugspitze backward trajectory categories obtained by the transport criteria described above are presented in Fig. 6. Distributions of deseasonalized VMR_{H₂O} and δD for the corresponding classes of free-tropospheric Zugspitze FTIR data (5 km a.s.l.) are depicted in Fig. 7. Considering uncertainty of two standard errors (± 2 SE), mean VMR_{H₂O} and δD values are significantly different for all three transport classes (see Table 2). As expected, STI is associated with the driest and most HDO-depleted air masses. TNA is connected to moister and less depleted air, while TUS exhibits intermediate VMR_{H₂O} and δD values. The standard deviation of VMR_{H₂O} distributions is similar for all transport classes (SD $\sim 10^3$ ppmv). In the case of δD distributions, TNA shows less scatter (SD = 34 ‰) compared to TUS (SD = 65 ‰) and STI (SD = 73 ‰). This indicates a quite homogeneous source region and transport regime for TNA. Larger scatter for TUS might result from variable strengths of WCB uplift causing various levels of dehydration and HDO depletion. Relatively large scatter in the δD distribution for STI events implies that also air masses weakly depleted in HDO are observed during STI events. This could

have several reasons: First, stratospheric intrusions with depths ranging from few hundreds to several thousands of meters are not necessarily resolved by the relatively coarse vertical resolution of the FTIR δD product. Second, as a climatological tropopause altitude is applied to identify STI events, local and seasonal TP variations are not accounted for, i.e., also (upper-) tropospheric trajectories might be included in the STI class. Third, mixing with tropospheric air might occur during transport from the stratosphere, which was found, however, to be very slow in the free troposphere (Trickl et al., 2014). Fourth, δD values in the lower stratosphere might be less depleted than predicted from Rayleigh processes, which is probably caused by extratropical troposphere-stratosphere transport, e.g., by convectively lofted ice (Hanisco et al., 2007; Steinwagner et al., 2010; Randel et al., 2012). All these mechanisms would yield higher δD observations at Zugspitze than expected for STI events from the δD minimum in the lower stratosphere.

10 In analogy to Fig. 5, $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ data pairs for these long-range transport patterns are interpreted by comparison to theoretical Rayleigh and mixing lines as depicted in Fig. 8. For TNA events, we derive from this analysis that moist boundary layer air is imported to the free troposphere by means of dry convection without significant condensation and cloud formation (González et al., 2016; Schneider et al., 2016). Therefore, TNA air masses are less depleted in HDO (higher δD values). In the case of TUS events, either dry or moist air masses can be imported to Central Europe. TUS transport is generally in line with simulated Rayleigh processes and suggests that moist TUS air masses originate over warmer surfaces while drier TUS air masses have their origin over colder regions. The STI transport category also largely agrees with Rayleigh models. In the case of dry STI events, air masses seem to be partially influenced by modeled mixing processes of dry upper-tropospheric or lower-stratospheric air with free-tropospheric air masses.

20 Overall, we find distinct $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ fingerprints in Zugspitze FTIR data for three categories of long-range transport patterns (TUS, TNA, and STI). ~~The analysis presented shows that~~ Consequently, consistent $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ observations are applicable for ~~can serve as a valuable new transport tracer to~~ studying atmospheric transport events to the Central European free troposphere, ~~especially if combined with measurements of conventional transport tracers.~~

4.3 Combination with lidar and in situ measurements of transport tracers

25 Transport categories analyzed in Sect. 4.2 identify backward trajectories and FTIR measurements which are potentially influenced by long-range transport of relevant atmospheric tracers to Central Europe. However, this analysis cannot determine whether tracers other than $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ were actually transported to a significant extent along the trajectory to the receptor region. Actual tracer transport would require substantial emissions in the respective source region during air mass overpass and depends on tracer reactivity as well as on meteorological conditions during transport to Central Europe. In the following, we use lidar and in situ observations of conventional transport tracers (i.e., ozone, aerosols, and humidity) to identify events of long-range tracer transport reaching the Northern Alps and to analyze the respective $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ signatures in Zugspitze FTIR measurements.

Ozone and aerosol lidar measurements obtained at the Garmisch site (47.48° N, 11.06° E, 743 m a.s.l.) close to Mt. Zugspitze offer the possibility to detect long-range tracer transport events reaching Northern Alps. We analyze Garmisch

lidar observations in the period 2013–2015 to identify mineral dust import from Northern Africa and stratospheric air intrusions. Mineral dust transport from Northern Africa (TNA) becomes apparent in lidar profiles as elevated aerosol layers, which are attributed to Northern African origin by HYSPLIT trajectory analysis. Stratospheric intrusions (STI) appear in lidar profiles as layers with a vertical extent from 200 meters up to several kilometers, which exhibit ozone enhancements of the order of 10 ppb or more relative to ozone concentrations observed in the layers above and below. Corresponding layers of low relative humidity ($RH < 10\%$) are found in radiosonde profiles recorded in Munich (48.25° N, 11.55° E, 492 m a.s.l.) or in water vapor profiles from differential absorption lidar measurements at Mt. Zugspitze (Vogelmann et al., 2015). These dry, ozone-rich layers are assigned to being of stratospheric origin either by 4-day stratospheric intrusion forecasts or by 315-h HYSPLIT trajectories (Trickl et al., 2010).

In the years 2013–2015, Garmisch lidar observations reveal 41 days influenced by TNA events and 242 days of STI events from a total of 360 observation days. Coincident FTIR measurements at Mt. Zugspitze are available on 27 (136) days of these TNA (STI) days, which corresponds to 9% (47%) of all FTIR measurement days in the 2013–2015 period. Figure 9 and Table 3 present distributions of deseasonalized daily mean IWV and δD_{col} for this compilation of FTIR measurements. For TNA days with mineral dust transport, we find significantly higher mean IWV and less HDO depletion ($IWV = 5.47$ [4.90, 6.05] mm, $\delta D_{col} = -266$ [-284, -247] ‰) compared to all FTIR data in 2013–2015 excluding the TNA events (considering uncertainties of ± 2 SE, significance on $2-\sigma$ level). This result can be related to transport of less depleted air masses from lower altitudes and latitudes during TNA events. Additionally, mineral dust transport requires that no condensation (i.e., dehydration and depletion) occurred along the pathway to avoid wet deposition of aerosols. In contrast, STI events show a tendency to lower IWV and stronger HDO depletion ($IWV = 4.18$ [4.01, 4.34] mm, $\delta D_{col} = -322$ [-327, -316] ‰) compared to all FTIR data excluding STI events (significant difference if considering uncertainties of ± 1 SE, i.e., mean ± 1 SE, see Table 3). The broad δD distribution for STI days is similar to results found in Sect. 4.2 and can probably be explained by similar arguments.

Frequently, stratospheric intrusions penetrate deep into the troposphere, eventually reaching mountain summit observatories or even surface stations (e.g., Eisele et al., 1999; Schuepbach et al., 1999; Stohl et al., 2000; Lefohn et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2012; Itoh and Narazaki, 2016; Ott et al., 2016). In the following, deep stratospheric intrusions (DSTI) are defined as STI events reaching the summit of Mt. Zugspitze. DSTI events can be detected by in situ measurements of stratospheric tracers, such as high beryllium-7 (Be-7) and low relative humidity (Trickl et al., 2010). The cosmogenic radionuclide beryllium-7 is a good, but not unambiguous, indicator for stratospheric air, as it is produced mainly (i.e., to 67%; Lal and Peters, 1967) in the stratosphere. In a study on deep stratospheric intrusions over Central Europe, Trickl et al. (2010) examined criteria for the detection of stratospheric air intrusions based on filtering Zugspitze in situ measurements. In the following, these filtering criteria are applied to identify deep stratospheric intrusions to the summit of Mt. Zugspitze. The first indicator (flag 1) combines the occurrence of dry air masses ($RH < 60\%$) with simultaneous high Be-7 concentrations (Be-7 larger than the 85th percentile of its annual distribution). The second indicator (flag 2) identifies DSTI events by means of the same relative humidity threshold ($RH < 60\%$) in combination with the occurrence of very dry air masses ($RH < 30\%$)

within six hours before or after the respective measurement. As elaborated in Trickl et al. (2010), these filtering criteria rather reliably verify stratospheric air intrusions predicted by trajectory calculations based on operational ECMWF forecasts. Following previous studies (e.g., Trickl et al., 2010), deep stratospheric intrusions to the Zugspitze summit can be identified by combinations of tracer thresholds: (i) $RH < 60\%$ and Be-7 larger than the 85th percentile of the annual Be-7 distribution (flag 1) and (ii) $RH < 60\%$ combined with $RH < 30\%$ for at least one data point within six hours before and after the respective measurement (flag 2). For the period 2005–2015, in situ measurements at Mt. Zugspitze provide 12h averages of Be-7 and hourly RH data (T. Steinkopff, pers. comm., 2016), which are displayed in Fig. 10. Deep stratospheric intrusion periods are marked as identified using flag 1. In addition, Fig. 10 shows coincident FTIR observations of lower-tropospheric δD (deseasonalized hourly means of partial columns from 3–5 km a.s.l.). From a total of 6560 coincident hourly means in 2005–2015, 24 % are identified as DSTI events using in situ flag 1 and 38 % if using flag 2. The tendency of flag 2 to cover more intrusion cases is expected from results in Trickl et al. (2010).

The distribution of lower-tropospheric δD for deep stratospheric intrusion events is depicted in Fig. 11. The mean value of lower-tropospheric δD is significantly lower for DSTI events than for the full time series without these events. It amounts to -334 [-337 , -330] ‰ (mean \pm 2 SE) for DSTI-flag 1 and, for flag 2, it amounts to -331 [-334 , -328] ‰. For the full time series excluding DSTI events from flag 1 (flag 2), the mean value is -284 [-286 , -282] ‰ (-274 [-276 , -273] ‰). The mean of the full time series amounts to -296 [-298 , -294] ‰. Scatter of lower-tropospheric δD distributions is about 70 ‰ for both DSTI flags. Overall, both flags for the identification of deep stratospheric intrusions from in situ observations provide consistent results with respect to the distribution of lower-tropospheric δD derived from coincident FTIR measurements. The significant shift of the lower-tropospheric δD distribution to lower δD values for DSTI events at Mt. Zugspitze agrees with the conception of intrusions originating in the strongly HDO-depleted lower stratosphere region. Nevertheless, the δD distribution for DSTI events exhibits a relatively large scatter, which implies that ~~also~~ weakly depleted air masses are also found for DSTI events. This result is in line with relatively broad δD distributions found for the STI transport category in Sect. 4.2 and for STI events identified from lidar measurements (see Fig. 9).

Correlation analysis for all coincident measurements of lower-tropospheric δD (FTIR) and Be-7 (in situ) yields a significant negative correlation (99 % confidence). ~~with a~~ Although this correlation is very weak (correlation coefficient of $R = -0.295$), ~~it~~ This negative correlation is in line with the negative correlation expectations, as Be-7 concentrations increase with altitude towards the lower stratosphere, whereas δD values decrease with altitude. The low correlation coefficient can most plausibly be explained by the different vertical sensitivity of in situ data compared to the lower-tropospheric FTIR partial columns potentially influenced by various different air masses and mixing.

5 Summary and conclusions

In this study, we presented a decadal time series of water vapor and δD above Mt. Zugspitze (2005–2015) derived from mid-infrared FTIR measurements within the NDACC framework, which are representative of Central European background

conditions. The Zugspitze $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ data product provides an average DOFS of 1.6 for consistent H_2O and δD profiles with a maximum vertical sensitivity in the free troposphere (around 5 km a.s.l.). For the time period 2005–2015, we found no statistically significant trend of integrated water vapor and column-based δD . However, the weakly positive (insignificant) IWV trend can be reconciled with the significant temperature increase at Zugspitze over this time period assuming constant relative humidity. Both IWV and δD_{col} exhibit strong seasonal cycles with summer maxima and winter minima. In the $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ distribution plot, it becomes obvious that water vapor isotope data provide additional information not contained in H_2O observations alone.

Consistent $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ observations are a valuable proxy for atmospheric transport pathways. We demonstrated the potential of this new transport tracer by analyzing a ~~vast~~ compilation of backward trajectories to the free troposphere above Mt. Zugspitze (5 km a.s.l.). Distinct moisture pathways to the Central European free troposphere were identified for days of extraordinarily high or low column-based δD observations at ~~Mt.~~ Zugspitze. While low- δD observations are predominantly associated with descending dry air masses from higher latitudes, high- δD data are mostly related to ascending moist air masses from lower latitudes.

Significantly different $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ signatures were found for three main long-range transport pathways to Central Europe, i.e., stratospheric intrusions (~~STI~~), intercontinental transport from North America (~~TUS~~), and from Northern Africa (~~TNA~~). We identified Zugspitze FTIR measurements influenced by these transport patterns by means of backward trajectory classification. The corresponding free-tropospheric VMR_{H_2O} distributions exhibit mean values (± 2 SE) of $1.2 [1.1, 1.3] \times 10^3$ ppmv (STI), $2.4 [2.2, 2.6] \times 10^3$ ppmv (TUS), and $2.8 [2.6, 2.9] \times 10^3$ ppmv (TNA). Mean free-tropospheric δD values are $-384 [-397, -372]$ ‰ (STI), $-315 [-326, -303]$ ‰ (TUS), and $-251 [-257, -246]$ ‰ (TNA). The finding of distinct $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ fingerprints was validated for verified STI and TNA events, deduced by data filtering ~~using from~~ 2013–2015 lidar and in situ measurements at ~~Mt.~~ Zugspitze and near-by Garmisch. Mean values of IWV and δD_{col} for these TNA (STI) events are significantly different ~~on 2- σ level (1- σ level)~~ with respect to the rest of the time series considering uncertainties of ± 2 SE (± 1 SE). Also deep stratospheric intrusions to ~~Mt. the~~ Zugspitze ~~summit~~ (data filtering ~~using of~~ 2005–2015 in situ humidity and beryllium-7 data) reveal a significantly lower mean value of lower-tropospheric δD (3–5 km a.s.l.) than the full time series considering uncertainties of ± 2 SE on 2- σ level.

These results confirm the import of dry and strongly HDO-depleted air masses expected for STI events, which originate in the extremely dry and HDO-depleted lowermost stratosphere. STI events generally agree with Rayleigh simulations, but may be influenced by mixing processes of dry lower-stratospheric air with free-tropospheric air masses. In contrast, TNA air masses originate in the moist boundary layer and are associated with dry convection and import of relatively moist and less HDO-depleted air masses to Central Europe. Also TUS air masses have their origin in the boundary layer and their humidity depends on the surface temperature of their oceanic or continental source region. However, WCB updrafts during TUS events may cause variable degrees of air mass dehydration and HDO depletion. Overall, $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ observations add ~~valuable~~ new information to previous long-range transport studies using lidar and in situ measurements of conventional

transport tracers (i.e., humidity, ozone, beryllium-7, and aerosols), which offer the possibility to identify transport events of relevant tracers actually reaching Northern Alpine stations.

In future work, backward trajectory classification to identify STI events could be improved, e.g., by application of a dynamical tropopause definition. $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ observations could also be combined with FTIR data of other relevant trace species, such as ozone or carbon monoxide, for more reliable detection of transport events. Furthermore, $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ profile information provided by FTIR measurements (approximately two tropospheric partial columns) could be combined with lidar or radiosonde humidity profiles with high vertical resolution, especially for studying thin filaments of stratospheric air intrusions. Regarding long-term trends, water vapor trends could be estimated for different transport categories to investigate to what extent changing transport patterns contribute to observed water vapor trends. Of particular importance would be research on the connection between lower-stratospheric humidity trends, trends in the frequency of stratosphere–troposphere transport events, and tropospheric water vapor trends.

Finally, combining $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ pair measurements from all globally distributed NDACC sites with observations from in situ and lidar networks and operational transport modeling could provide a unique new database to study the impact of atmospheric transport with respect to climate, air quality, and human health. $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ data could serve as an indicator for the presence of pronounced transport events at Mt. Zugspitze to initiate case studies using observations of the respectively relevant tracers (e.g., humidity, aerosols, ozone, pollen). On demand, further FTIR sites could be included, which are located along simulated transport trajectories. Particular focus has to be laid on deepening our knowledge of the coupling of changing transport patterns in the global circulation, changes in the intensity of the hydrological cycle, and climate change.

Acknowledgments

We thank H. P. Schmid (IMK-IFU) for his continual interest in this work. We gratefully acknowledge Frank Hase (IMK-ASF) for his support in using PROFFIT and the NOAA Air Resources Laboratory for the providing the HYSPLIT transport and dispersion model (www.ready.noaa.gov). Our work has been funded by the Bavarian State Ministry of the Environment and Consumer Protection via grant VAO-II TPI/01. We thank ~~for support by~~ the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and Open Access Publishing Fund of the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology for support. This study has benefit from progress achieved in the framework of the European Research Council project MUSICA (FP7/(2007-2013)/ERC grant agreement number 256961).

References

Barthlott, S., Schneider, M., Hase, F., Blumenstock, T., Kiel, M., Dubravica, D., García, O. E., Sepúlveda, E., Mengistu Tsidu, G., Takele Kenea, S., and et al.: Tropospheric water vapour isotopologue data ($H_2^{16}O$, $H_2^{18}O$ and $HD^{16}O$) as

- obtained from NDACC/FTIR solar absorption spectra, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data Discuss.*, [9, 15–29](#), doi:10.5194/essd-2016-9-15-2017, in review, 2017.
- 5 Beekmann, M., Ancellet, G., Blonsky, S., De Muer, D., Ebel, A., Elbern, H., Hendricks, J., Kowol, J., Mancier, C., Sladkovic, R., and Smit, H. G. J.: Regional and global tropopause fold occurrence and related ozone flux across the tropopause, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 28, 29–44, doi:10.1023/A:1005897314623, 1997.
- Bengtsson, L., Bonnet, R. M., Calisto, M., Destouni, G., Gurney, R., Johannessen, J., Kerr, Y., Lahoz, W. A., and Rast, M. (Eds.): *The Earth's Hydrological Cycle*, Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands, 2014.
- Boesch, H., Deutscher, N. M., Warneke, T., Byckling, K., Cogan, A. J., Griffith, D. W. T., Notholt, J., Parker, R. J., and Wang, Z.: HDO/H₂O ratio retrievals from GOSAT, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 6, 599–612, doi:10.5194/amt-6-599-2013, 2013.
- 10 Bony, S., Stevens, B., Frierson, D. M., Jakob, C., Kageyama, M., Pincus, R., Shepherd, T. G., Sherwood, S. C., Siebesma, A. P., Sobel, A. H., and Watanabe, M.: Clouds, circulation and climate sensitivity, *Nat. Geosci.*, 8, 261–268, doi:10.1038/ngeo2398, 2015.
- Carnuth, W., Kempfer, U., and Trickl, T.: Highlights of the tropospheric lidar studies at IFU within the TOR project, *Tellus B*, 54, 163–185, doi:10.1034/j.1600-0889.2002.00245.x, 2002.
- 15 Christner, E.: Messungen von Wasserisotopologien von der planetaren Grenzschicht bis zur oberen Troposphäre zur Untersuchung des hydrologischen Kreislaufs, Ph.D. thesis, Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Germany, 2015.
- Craig, H.: Standard for reporting concentrations of deuterium and oxygen-18 in natural waters, *Science*, 133, 1833–1834, 1961.
- Damoah, R., Spichtinger, N., Forster, C., James, P., Mattis, I., Wandinger, U., Beirle, S., Wagner, T., and Stohl, A.: Around 20 the world in 17 days – hemispheric-scale transport of forest fire smoke from Russia in May 2003, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4, 1311–1321, doi:10.5194/acp-4-1311-2004, 2004.
- Dansgaard, W.: Stable isotopes in precipitation, *Tellus*, 16, 436–468, 1964.
- Eckhardt, S., Stohl, A., Wernli, H., James, P., Forster, C. and Spichtinger, N.: A 15-year climatology of warm conveyor belts, *J. Clim.*, 17, 218–237, 2004.
- 25 Eisele, H., Scheel, H. E., Sladkovic, R., and Trickl, T.: High-Resolution Lidar Measurements of Stratosphere–Troposphere Exchange, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 56, 319–330, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1999)056<0319:HRLMOS>2.0.CO;2, 1999.
- Engelstaedter, S., Tegen, I., and Washington, R.: North African dust emissions and transport, *Earth-Sci. Rev.*, 79, 73–100, doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2006.06.004, 2006.
- Flentje, H., Briel, B., Beck, C., Coen, M. C., Fricke, M., Cyrus, J., Gu, J., Pitz, M., and Thomas, W.: Identification and 30 monitoring of Saharan dust: an inventory representative for south Germany since 1997, *Atmos. Environ.*, 109, 87–96, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.02.023, 2015.
- Forster, C., Wandinger, U., Wotawa, G., James, P., Mattis, I., Althausen, D., Simmonds, P., O'Doherty, S., Jennings, S. G., Kleefeld, C., and Schneider, J.: Transport of boreal forest fire emissions from Canada to Europe, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 22887–22906, doi:10.1029/2001JD900115, 2001.

- Frankenberg, C., Wunch, D., Toon, G., Risi, C., Scheepmaker, R., Lee, J.-E., Wennberg, P., and Worden, J.: Water vapor isotopologue retrievals from high-resolution GOSAT shortwave infrared spectra, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 6, 263–274, doi:10.5194/amt-6-263-2013, 2013.
- Fromm, M., Lindsey, D. T., Servranckx, R., Yue, G., Trickl, T., Sica, R., Doucet, P., and Godin-Beekmann, S.: The untold story of pyrocumulonimbus, *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 91, 1193–1209, doi:10.1175/2010BAMS3004.1, 2010.
- 5 Galewsky, J., Sobel, A., and Held, I.: Diagnosis of subtropical humidity dynamics using tracers of last saturation, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 62, 3353–3367, 2005.
- Gardiner, T., Forbes, A., de Mazière, M., Vigouroux, C., Mahieu, E., Demoulin, P., Velasco, V., Notholt, J., Blumenstock, T., Hase, F., and et al.: Trend analysis of greenhouse gases over Europe measured by a network of ground-based remote FTIR instruments, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 6719–6727, doi:10.5194/acp-8-6719-2008, 2008.
- 10 González, Y., Schneider, M., Dyroff, C., Rodríguez, S., Christner, E., García, O. E., Cuevas, E., Bustos, J. J., Ramos, R., Guirado-Fuentes, C., and et al.: Detecting moisture transport pathways to the subtropical North Atlantic free troposphere using paired H₂O- δ D in situ measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 4251–4269, doi:10.5194/acp-16-4251-2016, 2016.
- Hanisco, T. F., Moyer, E. J., Weinstock, E. M., St Clair, J. M., Sayres, D. S., Smith, J. B., Lockwood, R., Anderson, J. G., Dessler, A. E., Keutsch, F. N., and Spackman, J. R.: Observations of deep convective influence on stratospheric water vapor and its isotopic composition, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L04814, doi:10.1029/2006GL027899, 2007.
- 15 Harries, J., Carli, B., Rizzi, R., Serio, C., Mlynczak, M., Palchetti, L., Maestri, T., Brindley, H., and Masiello, G.: The far-infrared Earth, *Rev. Geophys.*, 46, RG4004, doi:10.1029/2007RG000233, 2008.
- Hartmann, D. L., Klein Tank, A. M. G., Rusticucci, M., Alexander, L. V., Bronnimann, S., Charabi, Y., Dentener, F. J., Dlugokencky, E. J., Easterling, D. R., Kaplan, A., and et al.: Observations: Atmosphere and Surface, in: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, [Stocker, T. F., Qin, D., Plattner, G.-K., Tignor, M., Allen, S. K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y., Bex, V., and Midgley, P. M. (Eds.)], Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, USA, 2013.
- 20 Holloway, T., Fiore, A., and Hastings, M. G.: Intercontinental transport of air pollution: will emerging science lead to a new hemispheric treaty?, *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 37, 4535–4542, 2003.
- Huntrieser, H. and Schlager, H.: Air pollution export from and import to Europe: Experimental evidence, in: *Intercontinental Transport of Air Pollution*, Stohl, A. (Ed.), Springer, Berlin, Germany, pp. 69–98, doi:10.1007/b10681, 2004.
- Huntrieser, H., Heland, J., Schlager, H., Forster, C., Stohl, A., Aufmhoff, H., Arnold, F., Scheel, H. E., Campana, M., Gilge, S., Eixmann, R., and Cooper, O.: Intercontinental air pollution transport from North America to Europe: Experimental evidence from airborne measurements and surface observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D01305, doi:10.1029/2004JD005045, 2005.
- 30 Husar, R. B.: Intercontinental Transport of Dust: Historical and Recent Observational Evidence, in: *Intercontinental Transport of Air Pollution*, Stohl, A. (Ed.), Springer, Berlin, Germany, pp. 277–294, doi:10.1007/b10681, 2004.

- Israelevich, P., Ganor, E., Alpert, P., Kishcha, P., and Stupp, A.: Predominant transport paths of Saharan dust over the Mediterranean Sea to Europe, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, D02205, doi:10.1029/2011JD016482, 2012.
- Itoh, H. and Narazaki, Y.: Fast descent routes from within or near the stratosphere to the surface at Fukuoka, Japan, studied using ^7Be measurements and trajectory calculations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 6241–6261, doi:10.5194/acp-16-6241-2016, 2016.
- Jochner, S., Lüpke, M., Laube, J., Weichenmeier, I., Pusch, G., Traidl-Hoffmann, C., Schmidt-Weber, C., Buters, J. T. M., and Menzel, A.: Seasonal variation of birch and grass pollen loads and allergen release at two sites in the German Alps, *Atmos. Environ.*, 122, 83–93, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2015.08.031, 2015.
- Kiehl, J. T. and Trenberth, K. E.: Earth's annual global mean energy budget, *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 78, 197–208, 1997.
- Lacour, J.-L., Risi, C., Clarisse, L., Bony, S., Hurtmans, D., Clerbaux, C., and Coheur, P.-F.: Mid-tropospheric δD observations from IASI/MetOp at high spatial and temporal resolution, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 10817–10832, doi:10.5194/acp-12-10817-2012, 2012.
- Lal, D. and Peters, B.: Cosmic ray produced radioactivity on the earth, in: *Kosmische Strahlung II / Cosmic Rays II*, Sitte, K. (Ed.), Springer, Berlin, Germany, pp. 551–612, doi:10.1007/978-3-642-46079-1_7, 1967.
- Lefohn, A. S., Wernli, H., Shadwick, D., Oltmans, S. J., and Shapiro, M.: Quantifying the importance of stratospheric-tropospheric transport on surface ozone concentrations at high- and low-elevation monitoring sites in the United States, *Atmos. Environ.*, 62, 646–656, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.09.004, 2012.
- Lin, M., Fiore, A. M., Cooper, O. R., Horowitz, L. W., Langford, A. O., Levy II, H., Johnson, B. J., Naik, V., Oltmans, S. J., and Senff, C. J.: Springtime high surface ozone events over the western United States: Quantifying the role of stratospheric intrusions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, D00V22, doi:10.1029/2012JD018151, 2012.
- Madonna, E., Wernli, H., Joos, H., and Martius, O.: Warm conveyor belts in the ERA-Interim dataset (1979–2010). Part I: Climatology and potential vorticity evolution, *J. Clim.*, 27, 3–26, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00720.1, 2014.
- Noone, D.: Pairing measurements of the water vapor isotope ratio with humidity to deduce atmospheric moistening and dehydration in the tropical midtroposphere, *J. Clim.*, 25, 4476–4494, doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-11-00582.1, 2012.
- Noone, D., Galewsky, J., Sharp, Z. D., Worden, J., Barnes, J., Baer, D., Bailey, A., Brown, D. P., Christensen, L., Crosson, E., and et al.: Properties of air mass mixing and humidity in the subtropics from measurements of the D/H isotope ratio of water vapor at the Mauna Loa Observatory, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D22113, doi:10.1029/2011JD015773, 2011.
- Ott, L. E., Duncan, B. N., Thompson, A. M., Diskin, G., Fasnacht, Z., Langford, A. O., Lin, M., Molod, A. M., Nielsen, J. E., Pusede, S. E., Wargan, K., Weinheimer, A. J., and Yoshida, Y.: Frequency and impact of summertime stratospheric intrusions over Maryland during DISCOVER-AQ (2011): New evidence from NASA's GEOS-5 simulations, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, 121, 3687–3706, doi:10.1002/2015JD024052, 2016.
- Papayannis, A., Amiridis, V., Mona, L., Tsaknakis, G., Balis, D., Bösenberg, J., Chaikovski, A., De Tomasi, F., Grigorov, I., Mattis, I., and et al.: Systematic lidar observations of Saharan dust over Europe in the frame of EARLINET (2000–2002), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D10204, doi:10.1029/2007JD009028, 2008.

- Randel, W. J., Moyer, E., Park, M., Jensen, E., Bernath, P., Walker, K., and Boone, C.: Global variations of HDO and HDO/H₂O ratios in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere derived from ACE-FTS satellite measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, D06303, doi:10.1029/2011JD016632, 2012.
- Ravishankara, A. R.: Heterogeneous and multiphase chemistry in the troposphere, *Science*, 276, 1058–1065, 1997.
- 5 Risi, C., Noone, D., Worden, J., Frankenberg, C., Stiller, G., Kiefer, M., Funke, B., Walker, K., Bernath, P., Schneider, M., and Wunch, D.: Process-evaluation of tropospheric humidity simulated by general circulation models using water vapor isotopologues: 1. Comparison between models and observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, D05303, doi:10.1029/2011JD016621, 2012.
- Schneider, M., Barthlott, S., Hase, F., González, Y., Yoshimura, K., García, O. E., Sepúlveda, E., Gomez-Pelaez, A., Gisi, 10 M., Kohlhepp, R., and et al.: Ground-based remote sensing of tropospheric water vapour isotopologues within the project MUSICA, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 5, 3007–3027, doi:10.5194/amt-5-3007-2012, 2012.
- Schneider, M., Demoulin, P., Sussmann, R., and Notholt, J.: Fourier Transform Infrared Spectrometry, in: *Monitoring Atmospheric Water Vapour*, ISSI Scientific Report Series 10, Kämpfer, N. (Ed.), Springer, New York, 95–111, doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-3909-7_6, 2013.
- 15 Schneider, M., González, Y., Dyroff, C., Christner, E., Wiegele, A., Barthlott, S., García, O. E., Sepúlveda, E., Hase, F., Andrey, J., and et al.: Empirical validation and proof of added value of MUSICA's tropospheric δD remote sensing products, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 483–503, doi:10.5194/amt-8-483-2015, 2015.
- Schneider, M. and Hase, F.: Optimal estimation of tropospheric H₂O and δD with IASI/METOP, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 11207–11220, doi:10.5194/acp-11-11207-2011, 2011.
- 20 Schneider, M., Wiegele, A., Barthlott, S., González, Y., Christner, E., Dyroff, C., García, O. E., Hase, F., Blumenstock, T., Sepúlveda, E., and et al.: Accomplishments of the MUSICA project to provide accurate, long-term, global and high-resolution observations of tropospheric {H₂O, δD } pairs – a review, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 9, 2845–2875, doi:10.5194/amt-9-2845-2016, 2016.
- Schneider, T., O’Gorman, P. A., and Levine, X. J.: Water vapor and the dynamics of climate changes, *Rev. Geophys.*, 48, 25 RG3001, doi:10.1029/2009RG000302, 2010.
- Schuepbach, E., Davies, T. D., Massacand, A. C., and Wernli, H.: Mesoscale modelling of vertical atmospheric transport in the Alps associated with the advection of a tropopause fold – a winter ozone episode, *Atmos. Environ.*, 33, 3613–3626, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00103-X, 1999.
- Škerlak, B., Sprenger, M., and Wernli, H.: A global climatology of stratosphere–troposphere exchange using the ERA- 30 Interim data set from 1979 to 2011, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 913–937, doi:10.5194/acp-14-913-2014, 2014.
- Stein, A. F., Draxler, R. R., Rolph, G. D., Stunder, B. J. B., Cohen, M. D., and Ngan, F.: NOAA's HYSPLIT atmospheric transport and dispersion modeling system, *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, 96, 2059–2077, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-14-00110.1, 2015.

- Steinwagner, J., Fueglistaler, S., Stiller, G., von Clarmann, T., Kiefer, M., Borsboom, P. P., van Delden, A., and Röckmann, T.: Tropical dehydration processes constrained by the seasonality of stratospheric deuterated water. *Nat. Geosci.*, 3, 262–266, doi:10.1038/ngeo822, 2010.
- Stephens, G. L., Li, J., Wild, M., Clayson, C. A., Loeb, N., Kato, S., L'Ecuyer, T., Stackhouse Jr, P. W., Lebsock, M., and Andrews, T.: An update on Earth's energy balance in light of the latest global observations, *Nat. Geosci.*, 5, 691–696, doi:10.1038/NGEO1580, 2012.
- Stohl, A.: Computation, accuracy and applications of trajectories—a review and bibliography, *Atmos. Environ.*, 32, 947–966, 1998.
- Stohl, A.: A 1-year Lagrangian “climatology” of airstreams in the Northern Hemisphere troposphere and lowermost stratosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 7263–7279, doi:10.1029/2000JD900570, 2001.
- Stohl, A. and Trickl, T.: A textbook example of long-range transport: Simultaneous observation of ozone maxima of stratospheric and North American origin in the free troposphere over Europe, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 104, 30445–30462, 1999.
- Stohl, A., Spichtinger-Rakowsky, N., Bonasoni, P., Feldmann, H., Memmesheimer, M., Scheel, H. E., Trickl, T., Hübener, S., Ringer, W., and Mandl, M.: The influence of stratospheric intrusions on alpine ozone concentrations, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 1323–1354, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(99)00320-9, 2000.
- Stohl, A., Eckhardt, S., Forster, C., James, P., and Spichtinger, N.: On the pathways and timescales of intercontinental air pollution transport, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 4684, doi:10.1029/2001JD001396, 2002.
- Stohl, A., Bonasoni, P., Cristofanelli, P., Collins, W., Feichter, J., Frank, A., Forster, C., Gerasopoulos, E., Gäggeler, H., James, P., and Kentarchos, T.: Stratosphere-troposphere exchange: A review, and what we have learned from STACCATO. *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8516, doi:10.1029/2002JD002490, 2003.
- Strong, M., Sharp, Z. D., and Gutzler, D. S.: Diagnosing moisture transport using D/H ratios of water vapor, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L03404, doi:10.1029/2006GL028307, 2007.
- Sussmann, R. and Schäfer, K.: Infrared spectroscopy of tropospheric trace gases: combined analysis of horizontal and vertical column abundances, *Appl. Opt.*, 36, 735–741, 1997.
- Sussmann, R., Borsdorff, T., Rettinger, M., Camy-Peyret, C., Demoulin, P., Duchatelet, P., Mahieu, E., and Servais, C.: Technical Note: Harmonized retrieval of column-integrated atmospheric water vapor from the FTIR network – first examples for long-term records and station trends, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 8987–8999, doi:10.5194/acp-9-8987-2009, 2009.
- Sutanto, S. J., Hoffmann, G., Scheepmaker, R. A., Worden, J., Houweling, S., Yoshimura, K., Aben, I., and Röckmann, T.: Global-scale remote sensing of water isotopologues in the troposphere: representation of first-order isotope effects, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 8, 999–1019, doi:10.5194/amt-8-999-2015, 2015.
- TF-HTAP (Task Force on Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution): Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution 2010, Part A: Ozone and Particulate Matter, Air Pollution Studies No. 17, edited by: Dentener, F., Keating, T., and Akimoto, H., United Nations, Geneva, Switzerland, 2010.

- Trickl, T., Cooper, O. R., Eisele, H., James, P., Mücke, R., and Stohl, A.: Intercontinental transport and its influence on the ozone concentrations over central Europe: Three case studies, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 8530, doi:10.1029/2002JD002735, 2003.
- Trickl, T., Feldmann, H., Kanter, H.-J., Scheel, H.-E., Sprenger, M., Stohl, A., and Wernli, H.: Forecasted deep stratospheric intrusions over Central Europe: case studies and climatologies, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 499–524, doi:10.5194/acp-10-499-2010, 2010.
- Trickl, T., Bärtsch-Ritter, N., Eisele, H., Furger, M., Mücke, R., Sprenger, M., and Stohl, A.: High-ozone layers in the middle and upper troposphere above Central Europe: potential import from the stratosphere along the subtropical jet stream, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 9343–9366, doi:10.5194/acp-11-9343-2011, 2011.
- 10 Trickl, T., Giehl, H., Jäger, H., and Vogelmann, H.: 35 yr of stratospheric aerosol measurements at Garmisch-Partenkirchen: from Fuego to Eyjafjallajökull, and beyond, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 5205–5225, doi:10.5194/acp-13-5205-2013, 2013.
- Trickl, T., Vogelmann, H., Giehl, H., Scheel, H.-E., Sprenger, M., and Stohl, A.: How stratospheric are deep stratospheric intrusions?, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 14, 9941–9961, doi:10.5194/acp-14-9941-2014, 2014.
- Trickl, T., Vogelmann, H., Flentje, H., and Ries, L.: Stratospheric ozone in boreal fire plumes – the 2013 smoke season over 15 central Europe, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 9631–9649, doi:10.5194/acp-15-9631-2015, 2015.
- Trickl, T., Vogelmann, H., Fix, A., Schäfler, A., Wirth, M., Calpini, B., Levrat, G., Romanens, G., Apituley, A., Wilson, K. M., and et al.: How stratospheric are deep stratospheric intrusions? LUAMI 2008, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 16, 8791–8815, doi:10.5194/acp-16-8791-2016, 2016.
- Vogelmann, H., Sussmann, R., Trickl, T., and Reichert, A.: Spatiotemporal variability of water vapor investigated using lidar 20 and FTIR vertical soundings above the Zugspitze, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 15, 3135–3148, doi:10.5194/acp-15-3135-2015, 2015.
- Wiegele, A., Schneider, M., Hase, F., Barthlott, S., García, O. E., Sepúlveda, E., González, Y., Blumenstock, T., Raffalski, U., Gisi, M., and Kohlhepp, R.: The MUSICA MetOp/IASI H₂O and δ D products: characterisation and long-term comparison to NDACC/FTIR data, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 7, 2719–2732, doi:10.5194/amt-7-2719-2014, 2014.
- 25 Wild, M., Folini, D., Schär, C., Loeb, N., Dutton, E. G., and König-Langlo, G.: The global energy balance from a surface perspective, *Clim. Dyn.*, 40, 3107–3134, doi:10.1007/s00382-012-1569-8, 2013.
- Worden, J., Bowman, K., Noone, D., Beer, R., Clough, S., Eldering, A., Fisher, B., Goldman, A., Gunson, M., Herman, R., Kulawik, S. S., Lampel, M., Luo, M., Osterman, G., Rinsland, C., Rodgers, C., Sander, S., Shephard, M., and Worden, H.: Tropospheric Emission Spectrometer observations of the tropospheric HDO/H₂O ratio: Estimation approach and 30 characterization, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D16309, doi:10.1029/2005JD006606, 2006.
- Worden, J., Noone, D., Bowman, K., Beer, R., Eldering, A., Fisher, B., Gunson, M., Goldman, A., Herman, R., Kulawik, S. S., and Lampel, M.: Importance of rain evaporation and continental convection in the tropical water cycle, *Nature*, 445, 528–532, doi:10.1038/nature05508, 2007.

Wotawa, G., Novelli, P.C., Trainer, M., and Granier, C.: Inter-annual variability of summertime CO concentrations in the Northern Hemisphere explained by boreal forest fires in North America and Russia, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28, 4575–4578, doi:10.1029/2001GL013686, 2001.

5 Zahn, A., Franz, P., Bechtel, C., Groß, J.-U., and Röckmann, T.: Modelling the budget of middle atmospheric water vapour isotopes, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 2073–2090, doi:10.5194/acp-6-2073-2006, 2006.

Table 1. Air mass origin and conditions at last condensation point for trajectories on δD outlier days (only if LC is found) given as mean values with uncertainties of two standard errors (SE) and [the](#) range of minimum and maximum values.

	High δD_{col} (> 95th perc.)		Low δD_{col} (< 5th perc.)	
	Mean (\pm 2 SE)	Min, Max	Mean (\pm 2 SE)	Min, Max
Latitude ($^{\circ}$ N)	46 [45, 47]	30, 55	62 [61, 63]	34, 75
Altitude (km a.s.l.)	4.6 [4.4, 4.9]	0.4, 8.1	6.5 [6.4, 6.6]	3.3, 8.7
Pressure (hPa)	579 [560, 599]	333, 963	438 [432, 445]	317, 678
Temperature (K)	260 [259, 262]	226, 289	242 [241, 243]	224, 274
VMR_{H₂O} (10^3 ppmv)	4.1 [3.7, 4.5]	0.3, 15.6	1.2 [1.1, 1.3]	0.2, 8.5

Table 2. Backward trajectory classification by source region and resulting distributions of deseasonalized $\text{VMR}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ and δD for the long-range transport patterns of stratospheric intrusions (STI), transport from North America (TUS), and Northern Africa (TNA).

	STI	TUS	TNA
Trajectory source region:			
Latitude	> 20° N	25–45° N	15–30° N
Longitude	–	70–110° W	15° W–35° E
Altitude	> zonal mean TP	0–2 km	0–2 km
{H₂O, δD} signature (mean \pm 2 SE):			
VMR_{H₂O} (10³ ppmv)	1.21 [1.07, 1.34]	2.42 [2.25, 2.59]	2.78 [2.63, 2.93]
δD (‰)	-384 [-397, -372]	-315 [-326, -303]	-251 [-257, -246]

Table 3. Distributions of deseasonalized daily mean IWV and δD_{col} data (FTIR Zugspitze) for STI and TNA events identified from Garmisch lidar measurements in 2013–2015 given as mean \pm 2 SE (for STI also mean \pm 1 SE is given).

	Mean \pm 2 SE		Mean \pm 1 SE	
	IWV (mm)	δD_{col} (‰)	IWV (mm)	δD_{col} (‰)
STI	4.18 [3.85, 4.51]	-322 [-333, -310]	4.18 [4.01, 4.34]	-322 [-327, -316]
All w/o STI	4.61 [4.29, 4.94]	-302 [-312, -292]	4.61 [4.45, 4.77]	-302 [-307, -297]
All	4.41 [4.18, 4.64]	-311 [-319, -304]	4.41 [4.29, 4.52]	-311 [-315, -307]
All w/o TNA	4.30 [4.05, 4.54]	-316 [-324, -308]		
TNA	5.47 [4.90, 6.05]	-266 [-284, -247]		




Figure 1. Averaging kernel rows of an ~~typical~~ ~~exemplary~~ H₂O measurement at Zugspitze (29 October 2009, 13:21 UTC) for two retrieval products: (a) optimally estimated humidity state (type 1) and (b) consistent {H₂O, δD} state (type 2). Thick black lines show the vertical column sensitivity (sum of row elements of the averaging kernel matrix).




Figure 2. Daily mean time series of (a) integrated water vapor and (b) column-based δD retrieved from Zugspitze FTIR measurements. Error bars indicate uncertainties of daily means (± 2 SE) and grey lines show corresponding seasonal cycles (fitted third-order Fourier series).




Figure 3. Seasonal cycles of water vapor and δD in the free troposphere above Mt. Zugspitze: (a) multiannual monthly means and frequency distributions (percentiles P specified in the legend) of FTIR data at 5 km a.s.l. and (b) $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ plot for multiannual monthly means with error bars indicating standard errors of monthly means (± 2 SE).




Figure 4. Backward trajectories of air masses arriving above Mt. Zugspitze (marked as black star) at 5 km a.s.l. altitude: map projection (upper panel) and vertical cross section (lower panel) of trajectories for all FTIR measurement times in 2005–2015 (grey lines) and for days identified as δD_{col} outliers (blue and red lines).




Figure 5. Free-tropospheric measurements of $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ pairs above Mt. Zugspitze (5 km a.s.l.) for all 2005–2015 data and for high and low δD outliers as determined from the deseasonalized column-based δD time series. Simulated Rayleigh and mixing processes are shown for comparison. For comparison, simulated Rayleigh processes (initial evaporation at $T = 15\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, $RH = 80\%$, δD values of $-60\text{ }‰$, $-130\text{ }‰$, and $-160\text{ }‰$) as well as mixing processes of upper-tropospheric air ($VMR_{H_2O} = 200\text{ ppmv}$, $\delta D = -585\text{ }‰$) with three lower-middle-tropospheric air masses (i. $VMR_{H_2O} = 13500\text{ ppmv}$, $\delta D = -130\text{ }‰$; ii. $VMR_{H_2O} = 6100\text{ ppmv}$, $\delta D = -200\text{ }‰$; iii. $VMR_{H_2O} = 3000\text{ ppmv}$, $\delta D = -270\text{ }‰$.) are shown.




Figure 6. Categorization of backward trajectories for long-range transport events: map projection (upper panel) and vertical cross section (lower panel) for stratospheric intrusions (*STI*), transport from North America (*TUS*), and from Northern Africa (*TNA*). Black boxes indicate source regions used to classify TUS and TNA (see Table 2) and black star marks Mt. Zugspitze.




Figure 7. $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ signatures of long-range transport events: distributions of deseasonalized (a) VMR_{H_2O} and (b) δD in the free troposphere above ML Zugspitze (5 km a.s.l.) for stratospheric intrusions (~~STI~~), transport from North America (~~TUS~~), and from Northern Africa (~~TNA~~) as identified in Fig. 6. Vertical lines show median, 25th and 75th percentiles of the distributions.




Figure 8. Free-tropospheric measurements of $\{H_2O, \delta D\}$ pairs above Mt. Zugspitze (5 km a.s.l.) for all 2005–2015 data and for long-range transport events of stratospheric intrusions (STI), transport from North America (TUS), and from Northern Africa (TNA). Simulated Rayleigh and mixing processes are shown for comparison (compare Fig. 5).




Figure 9. Distributions of deseasonalized daily mean IWW and column-based δD for days identified as (a, b) stratospheric intrusion events (STI) and as (c, d) dust transport events from North Africa (TNA). For comparison, distributions for all FTIR measurement days in 2013–2015 are shown as well as distributions excluding the respective transport events. Vertical lines indicate median and 25th–75th percentile range for each distribution (STI / all without STI and TNA / all without TNA).




Figure 10. Deep stratospheric intrusions at Mt. Zugspitze in 2005–2015 derived from in situ observations of stratospheric tracers (relative humidity and Be-7) using DSTI-flag 1 and coincident FTIR data of lower-tropospheric δD (deseasonalized hourly means of 3-5 km partial columns).




Figure 11. Distribution of lower-tropospheric δD (deseasonalized hourly means) for deep stratospheric intrusion events identified from Zugspitze in situ observations using two different flags (DSTI-flag 1: RH < 60 % and Be-7 > 85th percentile; DSTI-flag 2: RH < 60 % and $1 \times \text{RH} < 30 \%$ within $\pm 6\text{h}$). For comparison the distribution is shown for all FTIR measurements coincident with in situ data in 2005–2015 as well as all data excluding DSTI events. Vertical lines indicate median and 25th–75th percentile range of the distribution for DSTI-flag 1 and all data without DSTI-flag 1.