Response to Referee #1

Land use/cover change (LUCC) has been known as one of the most important anthropogenic forcings to local and regional climate change. LUCC, resulting in the changes of land surface parameters such as albedo, Bowen ratio, surface roughness, and aerodynamic resistance, could induce the variations of surface heat fluxes, and ultimately change land surface temperature. Most previous studies of LUCC focused on modeling land surface processes, but the uncertainties in climate models limit our understanding of its impacts. However, few researches have used the field measurements for different types of land use to investigate the biophysical mechanisms behind LUCC. Using in-situ data from three sites with different land cover types and the attribution method proposed by Lee (2011), this study quantified the contributions of different land surface parameters and background climate to the changes in land surface temperature over cropland, grassland and urban area. This paper is well organized, with some interesting results. However, some of the analyses seem not so solid and need further revisions. I would recommend it to be published with minor revisions as specified below.

Response: We would like to thank the referee for providing the insightful suggestions, which indeed help us reconsider and further explore the underlying problems in quantifying the relative contributions to local surface temperature change in the lower reaches of Yangtze River. In the revised manuscript, we have added more clear descriptions on the physical characteristics, in-depth discussion of different factors' effects on surface temperature change, and compared the results with previous studies.

Specific comments:

(1) It would be helpful if more quantitative information can be provided in the "Abstract".

Response： Accepted. We have added more quantitative information in the section of Abstract.

(2) It would be meaningful to show the site locations and the spatial distribution of land covers over the lower reaches of Yangtze River.

Response： Yes. The locations and spatial distribution of the sites and land covers have been shown on the other paper (Guo et al., 2016) which can be find in our manuscript. We restated it in P4L20.

Reference

Guo, W., Wang, X., Sun, J., Ding, A., and Zou, J.: Comparison of land-atmosphere interaction at different surface types in the mid- to lower Yangzi River Valley, Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics, 16, 9875-9890, 10.5194/acp-2016-49, 2016, 2016.

(3) Page 2, Line 24: should be "weakening related precipitation".

Response： Accepted.

(4) Figure 1: what do the lines of error bar indicate? How to calculate the uncertainty of temperature

change?

Response: The Error bars in Fig.1 represent 1 s.d. of the daily surface temperature change for each month. We have made an explanation in P8L3.

(5) As shown in Figure 2, the albedo in grassland is different from that in cropland, especially in June. This could lead to different energy distributions in these two sites, eventually the changes in surface temperature. However, Figure 3 shows that the contribution of surface albedo to the temperature changes over cropland is the least. Why?

Response: It is true that there is a large difference in albedo between cropland and grassland, especially in June. However, net radiation, the source of the energy distribution, is not just related to the shortwave radiation, but also the longwave radiation. And other surface characteristics also effect energy distribution. The surface temperature changes are more sensitive to the evaporation and surface roughness than albedo in the lower reaches of Yangtze River. Even the difference in albedo is large, its contribution is small. This is also the case for the differences in albedo between urban area and grassland.

(6) This study suggested that the effect of evaporation cooling dominates the change in surface temperature. However, some studies based on Lee's method reported different findings in other regions. It is worth comparing the results of this study with previous ones.

Response: Yes. We have added more descriptions about previous studies in other regions and compared them with ours in the section of Conclusions and Discussions.

(7) Eq (2): S used in the calculation is the difference of net shortwave radiation between managed site and grass site. Is this mismatched?

Response: We have corrected it.

(8) Page 5, Line 16: the authors only show the differences in surface temperature. No more information on other atmospheric variables (e.g., humidity, precipitation) is given. It could not indicate that "extremely warm and dry condition in April and July was more evident in urban area than at the grassland site".

Response: The difference of humidity between grass and urban area has been shown in our previous study (Guo et al., 2016). We have added the reference for this sentence。

Reference

Guo, W., Wang, X., Sun, J., Ding, A., and Zou, J.: Comparison of land-atmosphere interaction at different surface types in the mid- to lower Yangzi River Valley, Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics, 16, 9875-9890, 10.5194/acp-2016-49, 2016, 2016.

(9) Page 5, Line 4: It isn't reasonable to state that "Bowen ratio decrease when there is sufficient soil water content", since other factors also can change Bowen ratio.

Response: We have rephrased this sentence as "Sufficient soil water content can benefit the energy exchange in the way of higher LE and lower Bowen ratio”.

(10) Page 6, Line 15: The sentence “The largest differences in aerodynamic resistance between grassland and urban area and that between grassland and cropland…” seems to be contradictory to Figure 2.

Response： It has been rewritten as “ ...between urban area and grassland, and that between cropland and grassland...” **.**

(11) Page 6, Line 28: “observed Ts” and “calculated Ts” should be “observed Ts” and “calculated Ts”. Figure 3 shows the differences in Ts, not the original values.

Response： We have replaced the “observed Ts” and “calculated Ts” as “observed ΔT_s” and “calculated ΔT_s”

(12) It would be helpful to change Ts and Ta to T_s and T_a.

Response： It has been changed in our revised manuscript.

(13) Please change Lee or Lee (2011) to Lee et al. (2011).

Response： Accepted. We have replaced it.

(14) Figure 3: It would be better if the scale ranges of y-axis were the same.

Response： Thanks. We have used the same scale ranges in Fig. 3.

Response to Referee #2

As one of the most developed regions in China, the middle to lower reaches of Yangtze River is featured by intense human activities, especially large scale urbanization and agricultural processes in terms of land use and land cover change (LUCC). It goes without saying that such alternations will inevitably exert distinct influences on the exchange of water and energy fluxes between land surface and near surface atmosphere at local to regional scales. Therefore, it has significant scientific value to access the contribution of above mentioned influences quantitatively. At the same time, it is still a very challenging issue. A method proposed by Lee (2011) was introduced in the manuscript to quantitatively quantify the contribution of land surface parameters (albedo, aerodynamic roughness length, and Bowen Ratio) to the changes of surface temperature associated with LUCC under the similar background of micrometeorology based on field observations from 3 sites at lower reaches of Yangtze River. This work is important to further understand the effects of LUCC on regional climate over typical regions undergoing rapid economic developments.

Response: We would like to thank the referee for providing the insightful suggestions, which indeed help us reconsider and further explore the underlying problems in quantifying the relative contributions to local surface temperature change in the lower reaches of Yangtze River. In the revised manuscript, we have added more clear descriptions on data selection and mechanism analysis to better understand the effects of different land conversions on climate change. The revisions corresponding to each specific comment are tracked in the revised manuscript respectively.

Specific Comments:

Some questions that need to be further addressed are listed as follows:

1. To my understanding, terms in Eq. (2) are originally at the interval of every half hour, then averaged as the monthly mean. Please add more details to let us know how you select the data, especially the land surface turbulence data. Some descriptions on the details of monthly mean value in Fig.2 are also needed;

Response: We used the selected data at half-hour intervals to obtain the daily averages of albedo, Bowen ratio and aerodynamic resistance. Then we calculated their contributions to ΔT_s based on Eq. (2) and made a discussion on monthly scale.

Quality assessment/quality control of land surface turbulent data is crucial for the reliability of results achieved from observations of surface fluxes. In our manuscript, we adopted the ITC approach proposed by Foken et al. (2004) to select well-developed land surface turbulent data. We added the details of data selection and calculation in the section of Data and Method.

We averaged the daily values to discuss the monthly variations of different factors. Different land surface types have different surface color, permeable rate, heat content and surface roughness, which results in the different properties and impacts in the land-atmosphere interaction. Human modifications in the urban area make it more obviously different from that in grassland and cropland, especially in the surface roughness. Some human activities also make the special case in the cropland, like the extremely low albedo in June due to the straw burning. More details of monthly mean value in Fig.2 has been discussed in the part of section 3.2.

2. P4L9, "parent", should be "apparent";

Response: It has been corrected in P6L1.

3. P6L28,29,30, "Ts" at X axis of Fig.3 should be delta_Ts;

Response: Thanks. We have replaced it P9, Line18-21.

4. Is it suitable to regard "Bowen ratio" as a land surface parameter? Or it is more likely to be regarded as a kind of land surface characteristics? Considering the fact that changes of evaporation in cropland are mainly affected by human activities like irrigation, Bowen Ratio may reflect some characteristics of underlying surface rather than a single parameter. Attentions should be paid to this issue throughout the analyses section of the paper.

Response: Accepted. This study attributes surface temperature change to different factors. Albedo represents the effect of radiative forcing, Bowen ratio and aerodynamic roughness represent the effect of energy distribution together. It is true that Bowen ratio is not just a single parameter. It reflects the characteristics both associated with the water content and temperature difference between land and atmosphere. We have changed it as a "factor" that effects on ΔT_s in our revised manuscript.

1	P1, line5	Added " CMA-NJU Joint Laboratory for Climate Prediction Studies" in the authors' address
2	P1, line8-9	Added the correspondence address
3	P1, L17,22; P3, L4,19,21; P7, L25,26; P8L2, P9L7,9,15; P11,L9.	Replaced "parameters" by "factors"
4	P1, L20-27	Added more quantitative information in the "Abstract"
5	P1, L23; P2, L24; P2, L1, 5, 6, 8,21; P4, L23; P7, L7,10,15,20; P9, L9,10, 13,16,17,20; P10, L1,12,19,27.	Changed Ts, Ta to T_s, T_a
6	P4, L13-16	More details of data selection
7	P4, L19-21	Added the information about the sites location and spatial distribution
8	P4, L25	Made the ITC method more clear
9	P5, L5,7,15; P6, L2-3	Rephrased the description of Eq.2, we use the daily averages into calculation
10	P6, L9	Explained term2 and term3 in Eq.2
11	P7 , L18	Added the reference (Guo et al., 2016)
12	P8, L2-L3	The explanation of the error bars in Fig.1
13	P8, L3-L6	More details about the differences between different land types

14	P8, L12	Explanation of the cropland's low albedo in June
15	P8, L16-17	Rephrased the sentence about the relationship between Bowen ratio and soil water content
16	P9, L3	Changed "grassland and urban area" and "grassland and cropland " as " urban area and grassland " and "cropland and grassland"
17	P9, L18-21	Rewrote "Ts" as "ΔT_s"
18	P10, L19-21	Added more explanation of the contributions toΔT_s
19	P10,L27-P11, L8	Compared the results of this study and other studies based on Lee's method.

Quantifying the contribution of land use change to surface temperature in the lower reaches of Yangtze River

Xueqian Wang[1,2], Weidong Guo[1,2,*], Bo Qiu[1,2], Ye Liu[1,2], Jianning Sun[1,2], Aijun Ding[1,2]

[1]CMA-NJU Joint Laboratory for Climate Prediction Studies, Institute for Climate and Global Change Research, School of Atmospheric Sciences, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China.

[2]Joint International Research Laboratory of Atmospheric and Earth System Sciences, Nanjing, China.

[*]CMA-NJU Joint Laboratory for Climate Prediction Studies, Institute for Climate and Global Change Research, School of Atmospheric Sciences, Nanjing University, Nanjing, China.

Correspondence to: Weidong Guo (guowd@nju.edu.cn)

Abstract

Anthropogenic land use has significant impact on climate change. Located in the typical East Asian monsoon region, the land-atmosphere interaction in the lower reaches of Yangtze River is even more complicated due to intensive human activities and different types of land use in this region. To better understand these effects on microclimate change, we compare differences in land surface temperature (T_s) for three land types around Nanjing from March to August, 2013, and then quantify the contribution of land surface parameterfactors to these differences (ΔT_s) by considering the effects of surface albedo, roughness length, and evaporation respectively. The atmospheric background contribution to ΔT_s is also considered based on differences in air temperature (ΔT_a). It is found that the cropland cooling effect decreases T_s by -1.76℃ and urban heat island effect increases T_s by 1.25℃. They have opposite impacts but are both significant in this region. are induced by significant human activities in this region but they have opposite impacts on Ts. Various changes in surface parameterfactors affect radiation and energy distribution and eventually modify T_sTs. It is the evaporative cooling effect that plays the most important role in this region and accounts for -1.40℃ of the crop cooling and 2.29℃ of the urban warming. Besides, the background atmospheric circulation is also an indispensable part in land-atmosphere feedback induced by land use change and reinforces both these two cropland cooling and urban heat island effects.

1 Introduction

Land use/Land cover change (LULCC) has been widely investigated in the past few decades, and it has been found that more than half of the land surface on Earth has been exploited by human (Baldocchi, 2014). Robust evidences indicate that the impact of LULCC on temperature is obvious and this impact depends on different types of land surface transform. Deforestation usually has a warming effect at lower latitudes and a cooling effect at mid- to high latitudes (Lee et al., 2011). Global deforestation may result in cooling (Pitman et al., 2009;Davin and Noblet-Ducoudré, 2010;Betts et al., 2007) and amplify diurnal temperature variance (Alkama and Cescatti, 2016). The urban heat island (UHI) is one of the most significant human-induced phenomena and it usually results in apparent warming in urban area compared to the surrounding rural areas. The UHI effect depends on latitude, climate regime, urban area size, and time of the season (Kalnay and Cai, 2003;McCarthy et al., 2010;Zhao et al., 2014;Basara et al., 2008;Lin et al., 2016). Agriculture often leads to cooling temperature in different patterns, and the cooling effect can usually be magnified when it comes to irrigation (Campra et al., 2008;Kueppers et al., 2007;Lobell et al., 2006;Zhang et al., 2011). Thereby analyzing different types of land use plays an important role not only in evaluating the climate change on different spatial scale (Alkama and Cescatti, 2016;Baldocchi and Ma, 2013;Huang et al., 2008;Wang et al., 2010;Hari et al., 2015), but also in improving the predictive capacity of models (Huang et al., 2015;Niu et al., 2011;Zhang et al., 2015). Although there have been many studies concentrating on LULCC, they rarely compare the differences in the mechanisms behind the land-atmosphere interaction with different types of land use.

The effects of anthropogenic land use on local climate are complicated with a series of stabilizing and reinforcing feedbacks (Baldocchi, 2014). Although the surface albedo change has been widely analyzed as the strongest climate forcing (Campra et al., 2008)，IPCC (2013) emphasizes that it is not the only effect of LULCC because LULCC also causes other changes that don't affect the radiative process but can also significantly influence the surface temperature (T_s~~Ts~~). These changes such as surface roughness (Davin and Noblet-Ducoudré, 2010;Kanda, 2007) and evapotranspiration changes (Pitman et al., 2009) are more uncertain and difficult to quantify, whereas they exert essential influences on the radiative process and energy redistribution on the land surface (Baldocchi and Ma, 2013;Campra et al., 2008;Yang et al., 2014), and thereby cause obvious differences in T_s~~Ts~~ over various land surface types under different climate backgrounds (Biggs et al., 2008;Luyssaert et al., 2014).

To understand the influence of LULCC, it is important to quantify the contributions of different surface ~~parameter~~factors for each type of land use. Juang (2007) proposed the method to decompose the observed change in T_s~~Ts~~ based on surface energy balance, and this method was refined later by Luyssaert et al. (2014). Lee et al. (2011) presented a new metric and attributed the change in T_s~~Ts~~ to radiation, convection and evaporation. Chen and Dirmeyer (2016) added the atmospheric background effect to the metric proposed by Lee et al.. This method can be used to calculate each factor's contribution to T_s~~Ts~~ in areas with different vegetation cover (Bright et al., 2014;Li et al., 2015) as well as urban area (Zhao et al., 2014).

The lower reaches of Yangtze River Valley, which is located in the typical East Asian monsoon region, is one of the regions with the most intensive human activities around the world. Rapid urbanization, industrialization, expansion of farmland, animal husbandry, deforestation and afforestation are common features in this region. In monsoon region, LULCC affects climate not only by influencing local convection through radiation and surface heat fluxes, but also by influencing the monsoon onset and weakening~~ and~~ related precipitation (Hsu and Liu, 2003;Xue et al., 2004). However, both flux observations and characteristic analyses are very limited in the lower reaches of Yangtze River Valley, let alone quantitative analysis (Gao, 2003;Bi et al., 2007). In this study, the contributions of different surface land ~~parameter~~factors to surface temperature are calculated based on analysis of data collected at several sites, where the land use type includes crop, grass and urban area respectively (Guo et al., 2016). We first quantitatively compare the influences of several different surface ~~parameter~~factors on T_s~~Ts~~ over different types of managed land, and then demonstrate that the Bowen ratio effect dominates the feedback of land use change to surface temperature in this region, while other factors play a secondary role.

2. Data and methods

2.1 Observation Sites and data

The measurements used in this study were collected at three sites in the lower reaches of Yangtze River. The urban site, where the average building height is 19.7m, is located at Dangxiao, the central urban area of Nanjing (32°2′24″N，118°47′24″E). The other two sites are both located at around (31°43′08″N，118°58′51″E) in Lishui county and classified as a grassland site and a cropland site, respectively. The grass height is about 60cm. Rice grows in the summer (mid June to early November) and wheat grows in the winter (from mid- to late November to early June of next year) nearby the cropland site, with the largest plant height of 75cm.

In this study, sensible and latent heat fluxes are measured at 30-min intervals by the eddy covariance system (EC3000, Campbell) deployed at 3 m height over the grass site and crop site, and at 36.5 m height above the 22 m high building at the urban site. The sampling frequency is 10Hz for measurements by the Data acquisition (CR5000). We have applied s~~S~~trict corrections such as coordinate rotation correction(Wilczak et al., 2001), frequency response correction(Moore, 1986), WPL correction(Webb et al., 1980)~~(Moore, 1986),~~ ~~-~~and quality control~~ have been applied to all the flux measurements~~ (Foken et al., 2004) to all the flux measurements. ~~-~~The measurements contain micro-meteorological elements of air temperature (HMP45C-L, Vaisala), precipitation (TE525MM-L, Texas Electronics), and surface radiation fluxes including downward and upward short-wave (CM21, Kipp & Zonen) and long-wave (CG4, Kipp & Zonen) fluxes at half-hour intervals. Additional information about both the~~these~~ observations and sites such as the location and spatial distribution of sites can be found in the previous study~~ies~~ (Guo et al., 2016).

The analysis focuses on March to August in 2013. This is because the eddy covariance method is assumed to work well only when turbulence can fully develop. To quantify the different contributions to ΔT_s~~Ts~~ more accurately, we use Integrated Turbulence Characteristics (ITC) proposed by Foken (Foken and Wichura, 1996) to remove the data with low quality~~ select data for general use (ITC<100%)~~. Such standard was also adopted by FLUXNET program (Foken et al., 2004).

2.2 Methodology

In an ideal state, the surface energy balance can be expressed as:

$$R_n + AH = H + LE + G \qquad (1)$$

Where Rn is the net radiation calculated from $R_n = DSR + DLR - USR - ULR$, DSR, DLR, USR and ULR are the daily ~~–~~downward shortwave radiation, downward longwave radiation, upward shortwave radiation and upward longwave radiation, respectively. Anthropogenic heat (AH) flux is more obvious in urban areas than in rural areas but it is difficult to accurately measure. H and LE are~~–~~ the daily average sensible and latent heat flux. G includes the heat flux at the surface of soil or buildings and the thermal storage in the canopy and it's relatively small. In this paper, we only discuss the differences between Rn, LE and H on the basis of the observations at the urban area of Nanjing and the countryside.

Following the method proposed by Lee et al. (2011) and refined by Chen and Dirmeyer (2016), the biophysical mechanism can be expressed as a temperature change and decomposed into three direct factors, i.e. radiation balance, aerodynamic resistance and evaporation, and one indirect factor of air temperature on larger scale. Therefore, ignoring AH and G in urban area, the daily surface temperature change~~it~~ can be approximated by:

$$\Delta T_s \approx \frac{\lambda_0}{1+f}\Delta S + \frac{-\lambda_0}{(1+f)^2} R_n^* \Delta f_1 + \frac{-\lambda_0}{(1+f)^2} R_n^* \Delta f_2 + \Delta T_a \qquad (2)$$

with

$$f = \frac{\lambda_0 \rho C_p}{r_a}\left(1+\frac{1}{\beta}\right)$$

$$\Delta f_1 = \frac{-\lambda_0 \rho C_p}{r_a}\left(1+\frac{1}{\beta}\right)\frac{\Delta r_a}{r_a}$$

$$\Delta f_2 = \frac{-\lambda_0 \rho C_p}{r_a}\frac{\Delta \beta}{\beta^2}$$

Where~~Where~~ ΔT_s is the difference in the surface temperature between other managed sites and natural grass site~~, —~~. $\lambda_0 = 1/4\varepsilon\sigma T^3$ is the local climate sensitivity, f is the energy redistribution factor, $S = DSR - USR$ is net shortwave radiation, Δ S is the difference between managed site and grass site.

$R_n^* = (1-\alpha)DSR + DLR - (1-\varepsilon)DLR - \varepsilon\sigma T_a^4$ is the apparent ~~parent~~ net radiation, $\alpha = USR/DSR$ $\alpha = USR/DSR$ is ~~the~~ albedo, ε is the surface emissivity, σ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant. DSR and USR are the daily averages of these solar radiations at half-hour intervals during the period from 06:00 to 18:00 LST. T_a is the air temperature at reference height ~~and ΔT_a is the difference between managed sites and grass site~~.

We regard the grass site, with local native vegetation, as the base site. The terms on the right-hand side of Eq. (2) shows that the contributions to ΔT_s are from radiation change (term 1), aerodynamic resistance change (term 2) related to aerodynamic resistance (r_a) which represents the surface roughness effect, and evaporation change (term 3) related to Bowen ratio ($\beta = H/LE$). Term 2 and term 3 are the two components associated with the energy redistribution.

~~To avoid the adverse influence of some extreme values at half-hour interval on the calculated ΔT_s, Therefore, daily averages of these factorsall the independent are used toparameters of the land use type calculate their and the respective contribution of them to T_s can be calculated.~~

In the sites covered by vegetation, the aerodynamic resistance can be expressed as (Verhoef and De Bruin, 1997):

$$r_a = \frac{1}{\kappa u_*}[\ln\frac{z_m - d}{z_{0m}} + \ln\frac{z_m}{z_{0h}} - \Psi_h(\zeta)] \quad (3)$$

Where Z_{0m} is the aerodynamic roughness length, which can be given by the independent method (Chen et al., 1993); $\Psi_h(\zeta)$ is the stability correction function for temperature; and $\ln\frac{z_{0m}}{z_{0h}} = 0.13(\frac{z_{0m}u_*}{\nu})^{0.45}$ (Zeng and Dickinson, 1998), where ν is the viscosity coefficient with a value of 1.46 ×10-5m2s-1 . But in urban area, because the wind profile is not applicable well, we calculate the aerodynamic resistance from:

$$r_a = \frac{\rho C_p (T_s - T_a)}{H} \quad (4)$$

3. Results

3.1 Differences in surface temperature

Due to the East Asian monsoon anomaly and decreased moisture convergent, 2013 is an extremely drought year in southern China, where the summer precipitation decreased by more than 78% of the average amount and broke the historical record over the past 50 years (Yuan et al., 2016). The drought in 2013 was especially severe in the mid- to lower reaches of Yangtze River. Under the same dry condition, different land use types cause different feedbacks to surface temperature (T_s~~Ts~~) and other surface characteristics. To compare the influence of different land use type on microclimate, the surface temperature change (ΔT_s) from grassland to cropland and to urban area are quantified. Monthly variations of T_s~~Ts~~ differences (ΔT_s) between crop and grass sites and between urban and grass sites are presented in Figure 1. During the entire growing season, cropland had an obvious cooling effect, which was strengthened when it came to irrigation(Kueppers et al., 2007;Lobell et al., 2006). The extremely large differences between crop and grass sites were -1.75℃ in April and -2.46℃ in August (Figure 1) with less precipitation in these months (Guo et al., 2016). However, the cooling effect of only -0.34℃ in June was relatively small because wheat harvest and straw burning increased T_s~~Ts~~ in the cropland site. On the contrary, the urban heat island (UHI) effect resulted in at least 1℃ higher temperature at the urban site than at the rural sites in each month of the growing season. The extremely warm and dry condition in April and July was more evident in urban area than at the grassland site (Guo et al., 2016), with the maximum value of 1.95℃ higher temperature in April and 2.17℃ in July. Comparing different land types, it is clear that land use influences the local T_s~~Ts~~ to a large extent and makes it more complicated. Cropland cooling and UHI effects are both obvious in East Asian monsoon region.

3.2 Variations and differences in land surface factors

The characteristics of physical processes at different surface types can be represented by surface ~~parameter~~factors, including albedo, Bowen ratio, surface roughness and aerodynamic resistance. These ~~parameter~~factors reflect the momentum, heat and moisture exchanges between land and atmosphere (Baldocchi and Ma, 2013;Bright et al., 2015;IPCC, 2013).~~ To figure out the general variation during the~~

whole growing season, —FfFigure 2 shows the monthly variation and differences of these parameterfactors by averaging their daily values across the crop, urban and grass sites. Error bar is given as 1 s.d. for the monthly averages of daily T_s. Different land types with different surface color, permeable rate, heat content and surface roughness have different properties and functions in the land-atmosphere interactions. Human modifications in the urban area make it more obviously different from grassland and cropland. Except for the extremely low albedo in cropland from May to June, the differences in albedo, Bowen ratio and surface roughness between crop site and grass site are opposite to the differences between urban site and grass site.

Monthly variation of surface albedo shows that the albedo in grassland gradually decreased from March to June but slightly increased in July and August because of the drought. Due to a series of agricultural activities including wheat harvest, straw burning and rice irrigation from early May to mid June, the albedo at cropland decreased quickly and reached the minimum value in June due to the burning, and then increased when rice started growing. Thereby the difference in albedo ($\Delta\alpha$) between the crop and grass site was negative from May to July, with the extreme value of -0.06 in June. Monthly $\Delta\alpha$ between urban and grass site remained negative during the whole growing season (Figure 2b). Bowen ratio isAs a measurement of dry and wet condition of the surface to a certain degree. Sufficient soil water content benefit for the energy exchange in the way of higher LE and lower Bowen ratio., Bowen ratio decreases when there is sufficient soil water content. The largest differences occurred in March, with a value of 2.8 at the urban site and -1.24 at the crop site. With the lack of precipitation in August, the increase in β obviously occurred at the grassland site but not at the other two managed land sites (Figure 2c). The Bowen ratio at the crop site was always low in the growing season because of sufficient water supply.

Besides, Figure 2e and 2f present that the urban surface roughness (Z_{0m}) is much higher than that at the lands with vegetation cover. The average surface roughness length at the urban area is 2.82m higher than at the suburban area. When it comes to the sites with vegetation cover, it is shown that Z_{0m} at the grassland site was a little higher than that at the cropland site and the extreme difference was -0.05m in June due to the wheat harvest. Contrary to the differences in Z_{0m}, the aerodynamic resistance at the urban site was obviously lower than that at other sites during the entire growing season. The grass site and crop site had a similar trend of aerodynamic resistance in the spring but a relatively large difference in the summer.

Different to the Z_{0m} variation, the aerodynamic resistance in grassland was much higher than that in urban area but a little lower than that in cropland. The largest differences in aerodynamic resistance between ~~grassland and~~ urban area and grassland and that between ~~grassland and~~ cropland and grassland both occurred in August with values of -44.36 s/m and 29.08 s/m respectively.

3.3 Attribution of the differences in micrometeorological elements

In the land-atmosphere interaction process under the same climate background, different types of land use with different surface ~~parameter~~factors can affect the radiation budget and redistribution of surface sensible and latent heat flux, and eventually affect local surface temperature. Figure 3 shows the attribution of ΔT_s ~~Ts~~ to both direct surface ~~parameter~~factors and indirect atmospheric effect at the crop and urban sites. The ΔT_s ~~Ts~~ attributed to roughness was calculated by aerodynamic resistance. Thus negative value means high roughness and cooling effect. It is clear that the dominant modification was caused by the evaporation represented by Bowen ratio, the value of which was even comparable to the observed ΔT_s ~~Ts~~ in the lower reaches of Yangtze River. While the ΔT_s ~~Ts~~ driven by surface roughness and evaporation were of opposite sign at the crop site and the urban site, contributions of the two ~~parameter~~factors are both strengthened from the spring to summer. Even though the low vegetation height with low Z_{0m} at the crop site was favorable for higher ΔT_s ~~Ts~~, evaporation based on sufficient water supply reduced the Bowen ratio and cooled T_s ~~Ts~~ efficiently in the summer.

Averages of observed ΔT_s ~~Ts~~ in the growing season were -1.79℃ at the crop site and 2.01℃ at the urban site. At the crop site, the calculated ΔT_s ~~Ts~~ was -1.76℃, albedo and aerodynamic resistance contributions were 0.09℃ and 0.47℃, respectively, but Bowen ratio cooling effect decreased ΔT_s ~~Ts~~ by -1.40℃. At the urban site, the calculated ΔT_s ~~Ts~~ was 1.25℃ and the difference between the observed and calculated values, which was larger in the summer, was partly derived from the ignorance of heat storage and anthropogenic heating. Even if radiation and surface roughness cooling existed, the limited evaporation reduced the partitioning of Rn to latent turbulent heat flux and warmed the urban area by 2.29℃.

Atmospheric feedback is also important. It not only can change the cloud distribution due to water and heat differences or aerosol effects and impact solar radiation (Yang et al., 2012;Betts et al., 2007;Biggs et al., 2008), but also can affect circulations or the variation of vegetation physical properties such as albedo and evaporation (Niu et al., 2011;Yang et al., 2014) and subsequently affect T_s~~Ts~~. The atmospheric background effects of T_a were relatively stable and could not be neglected during the whole growing season. It had an average contribution of -0.93℃ to the cropland cooling effect and 0.54℃ to the urban heat island effect respectively and enlarged the difference in surface temperature induced by land use.

4 Conclusions and Discussions

Our study presented the first-handed observational evidences to verify the model results. Located in East Asian monsoon region, the lower reaches of Yangtze River has experienced the most intensive land use changes around the world, which has significant impacts on the local and regional climate. However, these impacts may not be easy to quantify due to the lack of observations in this region and uncertainties in modelling results. We used in-situ data to quantify the contributions of two main land use types here, the irrigated cropland and the rapid urbanization, to the microclimate change. It shows that the crop cooling and UHI were both obvious. ~~.~~The differences in T_s~~Ts~~ were larger in the months with low precipitation and the monthly maximum values at both sites are even larger than 2℃.

For the study of LULCC effects on regional climate, more attention should be paid to nonradiative forces and the feedbacks from the background circulation. Although the surface albedo change caused by LULCC has been considered to be the strongest climate forcing and its effect has been widely and quantitatively estimated, other non-radiative modifications induced by LULCC including the roughness and evaporation are also important. Our results shows that the alteration of radiation, aerodynamic resistance, evaporation and air temperature all contributed to ΔT_s~~Ts~~ (Figure 3). The contributions of aerodynamic roughness and Bowen ratio, which are related to energy redistribution, are largely more than that of the net solar radiation. Despite the negative contributions of net solar radiation and aerodynamic resistance, the positive contribution of Bowen ratio controlled both the cropland cooling effect and urban heat island effect which have been enlarged by the influence of background atmospheric circulation.

These results ~~clearly~~ demonstrate that evaporative cooling effect is the most important factor that modifies the surface temperature change in the lower reaches of Yangtze River valley, and the temperature change induced by this effect is even comparative to the total value of ΔT_s~~Ts~~. There has been some~~Recent~~ studies based on the field data of North America and western Europe ~~(Chen and Dirmeyer, 2016;Zhao et al., 2014)~~ They indicate that the effects of evaporation and convection~~surface roughness~~ usually dominates ~~dominates~~ the land-atmosphere feedback of deforestation and urbanization in the mid-lower latitudes (Chen and Dirmeyer, 2016;Zhao et al., 2014)~~North America~~. But in higher latitudes, the radiative forcing contributes more to the surface temperature change associated with the deforestation of Boreal region in North America (Lee et al. 2011) and Norway (Bright et al., 2014). Although the evaporative cooling and surface roughness both are important in land-atmosphere interaction, even more than albedo changes in some regions at lower latitudes, their effects usually cannot be revealed accurately by models (IPCC, 2013) and the studies of these surface ~~parameter~~factors effects are still insufficient, especially in some regions with scarce in-situ observations such as in the lower reaches of Yangtze River. To better understand the local and regional climate change and the possible large scale feedback, for example the feedback between land use change and the East Asian monsoon system, more observational data and accurate modelling studies of the physical mechanisms between the land surface and the atmosphere are needed for further theoretical analysis.

Acknowledgments

This research is jointly sponsored by Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 41475063, 91544231), the National Science and Technology Support Program (2014BAC22B04). This work is also supported by the Jiangsu Collaborative Innovation Center for Climate Change. For data used in our study, please contact the corresponding author: Weidong Guo (guowd@nju.edu.cn).

References

Alkama, R., and Cescatti, A.: Biophysical climate impacts of recent changes in global forest cover, Science, 351, 600-604, 2016.

Baldocchi, D., and Ma, S.: How will land use affect air temperature in the surface boundary layer? Lessons learned from a comparative study on the energy balance of an oak savanna and annual grassland in California, USA, Tellus B, 65, 10.3402/tellusb.v65i0.19994, 2013.

Baldocchi, D.: Biogeochemistry: Managing land and climate, Nature Climate Change, 4, 330-331, 10.1038/nclimate2221, 2014.

Basara, J. B., Hall, P. K., Schroeder, A. J., Illston, B. G., and Nemunaitis, K. L.: Diurnal cycle of the Oklahoma City urban heat island, Journal of Geophysical Research, 113, 10.1029/2008jd010311, 2008.

Betts, A. K., Desjardins, R. L., and Worth, D.: Impact of agriculture, forest and cloud feedback on the surface energy budget in BOREAS, Agricultural & Forest Meteorology, 142, 156-169, 2007.

Bi, X., Gao, Z., Deng, X., Wu, D., Liang, J., Zhang, H., Sparrow, M., Du, J., Li, F., and Tan, H.: Seasonal and diurnal variations in moisture, heat, and CO 2 fluxes over grassland in the tropical monsoon region of southern China, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 112, 185-194, 2007.

Biggs, T. W., Scott, C. A., Anju, G., Jean ‐ Philippe, V., Thomas, C., and Eungul, L.: Impacts of irrigation and anthropogenic aerosols on the water balance, heat fluxes, and surface temperature in a river basin, Water Resources Research, 44, 181-198, 2008.

Bright, R. M., Anton-Fernandez, C., Astrup, R., Cherubini, F., Kvalevag, M., and Stromman, A. H.: Climate change implications of shifting forest management strategy in a boreal forest ecosystem of Norway, Glob Chang Biol, 20, 607-621, 10.1111/gcb.12451, 2014.

Bright, R. M., Zhao, K., Jackson, R. B., and Cherubini, F.: Quantifying surface albedo and other direct biogeophysical climate forcings of forestry activities, Glob Chang Biol, 21, 3246-3266, 10.1111/gcb.12951, 2015.

Campra, P., Garcia, M., Canton, Y., and Palacios-Orueta, A.: Surface temperature cooling trends and negative radiative forcing due to land use change toward greenhouse farming in southeastern Spain, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 113, 1044-1044, 2008.

Chen, J., Wang, J., and Mitsuta, Y.: An Independent Method to Determine the Surface Roughness Length, Chinese Journal of Atmospheric Sciences, 1993.

Chen, L., and Dirmeyer, P. A.: Adapting observationally based metrics of biogeophysical feedbacks from land cover/land use change to climate modeling, Environmental Research Letters, 11, 034002, 10.1088/1748-9326/11/3/034002, 2016.

Davin, E. L., and Noblet-Ducoudré, N. D.: Climatic Impact of Global-Scale Deforestation: Radiative versus Nonradiative Processes, Journal of Climate, 23, 97, 2010.

Foken, T., and Wichura, B.: Tools for quality assessment of surface-based flux measurements, Agricultural & Forest Meteorology, 78, 83-105, 1996.

Foken, T., Göockede, M., Mauder, M., Mahrt, L., Amiro, B., and Munger, W.: Post-Field Data Quality Control, 181-208 pp., 2004.

Gao, Z.: Measurements of turbulent transfer in the near-surface layer over a rice paddy in China, Journal of Geophysical Research, 108, 10.1029/2002jd002779, 2003.

Guo, W., Wang, X., Sun, J., Ding, A., and Zou, J.: Comparison of land-atmosphere interaction at different surface types in the mid- to lower Yangzi River Valley, Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics, 16, 9875-9890, 10.5194/acp-2016-49, 2016, 2016.

Hari, P., Petäjä, T., Bäck, J., Kerminen, V. M., Lappalainen, H. K., Vihma, T., Laurila, T., Viisanen, Y., Vesala, T., and Kulmala, M.: Conceptual design of a measurement network of the global change, Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics, 15, 21063-21093, 2015.

Hsu, H. H., and Liu, X.: Relationship between the Tibetan Plateau heating and East Asian summer monsoon rainfall, Geophysical Research Letters, 30, 1182-1200, 2003.

Huang, J., Zhang, W., Zuo, J., Bi, J., Shi, J., Wang, X., Chang, Z., Huang, Z., Yang, S., Zhang, B., Wang, G., Feng, G., Yuan, J., Zhang, L., Zuo, H., Wang, S., Fu, C., and Jifan, C.: An overview of the Semi-arid Climate and Environment Research Observatory over the Loess Plateau, Advances in Atmospheric Sciences, 25, 906-921, 10.1007/s00376-008-0906-7, 2008.

Huang, J., Yu, H., Guan, X., Wang, G., and Guo, R.: Accelerated dryland expansion under climate change, Nature Climate Change, 10.1038/nclimate2837, 2015.

IPCC: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 1535 pp, Cambridge University Press, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 2013.

Juang, J.-Y., Katul, G., Siqueira, M., Stoy, P., and Novick, K.: Separating the effects of albedo from eco-physiological changes on surface temperature along a successional chronosequence in the southeastern United States, Geophysical Research Letters, 34, 10.1029/2007gl031296, 2007.

Kalnay, E., and Cai, M.: Impact of urbanization and land use on climate change, Nature, -1, 528-531, 2003.
Kanda, M.: Roughness Lengths for Momentum and Heat Derived from Outdoor Urban Scale Models, Journal of Applied Meteorology & Climatology, 46, 1067-1079, 2007.
Kueppers, L. M., Snyder, M. A., and Sloan, L. C.: Irrigation cooling effect: Regional climate forcing by land-use change, Geophysical Research Letters, 34, 407-423, 2007.
Lee, X., Goulden, M. L., Hollinger, D. Y., Barr, A., Black, T. A., Bohrer, G., Bracho, R., Drake, B., Goldstein, A., Gu, L., Katul, G., Kolb, T., Law, B. E., Margolis, H., Meyers, T., Monson, R., Munger, W., Oren, R., Paw, U. K., Richardson, A. D., Schmid, H. P., Staebler, R., Wofsy, S., and Zhao, L.: Observed increase in local cooling effect of deforestation at higher latitudes, Nature, 479, 384-387, 10.1038/nature10588, 2011.
Li, H. Y., Fu, C. B., Guo, W. D., and Ma, F.: Study of energy partitioning and its feedback on the microclimate over different surfaces in an arid zone, Acta Physica Sinica, 64, 59201-059201, 2015.
Lin, S., Feng, J., Wang, J., and Hu, Y.: Modeling the contribution of long - term urbanization to temperature increase in three extensive urban agglomerations in China, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 121, 1683-1697, 2016.
Lobell, D. B., Bala, G., and Duffy, P. B.: Biogeophysical impacts of cropland management changes on climate, Geophysical Research Letters, 33, 272-288, 2006.
Luyssaert, S., Jammet, M., Stoy, P. C., Estel, S., Pongratz, J., Ceschia, E., Churkina, G., Don, A., Erb, K., Ferlicoq, M., Gielen, B., Grünwald, T., Houghton, R. A., Klumpp, K., Knohl, A., Kolb, T., Kuemmerle, T., Laurila, T., Lohila, A., Loustau, D., McGrath, M. J., Meyfroidt, P., Moors, E. J., Naudts, K., Novick, K., Otto, J., Pilegaard, K., Pio, C. A., Rambal, S., Rebmann, C., Ryder, J., Suyker, A. E., Varlagin, A., Wattenbach, M., and Dolman, A. J.: Land management and land-cover change have impacts of similar magnitude on surface temperature, Nature Climate Change, 4, 389-393, 10.1038/nclimate2196, 2014.
McCarthy, M. P., Best, M. J., and Betts, R. A.: Climate Change in Cities Due to Global Warming and Urban Effects, Geophysical Research Letters, 37, 232-256, 2010.
Moore, C. J.: Frequency response corrections for eddy correlation systems, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 37, 17-35, 1986.
Niu, G.-Y., Yang, Z.-L., Mitchell, K. E., Chen, F., Ek, M. B., Barlage, M., Kumar, A., Manning, K., Niyogi, D., Rosero, E., Tewari, M., and Xia, Y.: The community Noah land surface model with multiparameterization options (Noah-MP): 1. Model description and evaluation with local-scale measurements, Journal of Geophysical Research, 116, 10.1029/2010jd015139, 2011.
Pitman, A. J., Noblet-Ducoudré, N. D., Cruz, F. T., Davin, E. L., Bonan, G. B., Brovkin, V., Claussen, M., Delire, C., Ganzeveld, L., and Gayler, V.: Uncertainties in climate responses to past land cover change: First results from the LUCID intercomparison study, Geophysical Research Letters, 36, 171-183, 2009.
Verhoef, A., and De Bruin, H. A. R.: Some Practical Notes on the Parameter kB −1 for Sparse Vegetation, Journal of Applied Meteorology, 36, 560-572, 1997.
Wang, G., Huang, J., Guo, W., Zuo, J., Wang, J., Bi, J., Huang, Z., and Shi, J.: Observation analysis of land-atmosphere interactions over the Loess Plateau of northwest China, Journal of Geophysical Research, 115, 10.1029/2009jd013372, 2010.
Webb, E. K., Pearman, G. I., and Leuning, R.: Correction of flux measurements for density effects due to heat and water vapour transfer, Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society, 106, 85-100, 1980.
Wilczak, J. M., Oncley, S. P., and Stage, S. A.: Sonic Anemometer Tilt Correction Algorithms, Boundary-Layer Meteorology, 99, 127-150, 2001.
Xue, Y., Juang, H. M. H., Li, W. P., Prince, S., Defries, R., Jiao, Y., and Vasic, R.: Role of land surface processes in monsoon development: East Asia and West Africa, Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 109, 215-229, 2004.
Yang, K., Ding, B., Qin, J., Tang, W., Lu, N., and Lin, C.: Can aerosol loading explain the solar dimming over the Tibetan Plateau?, Geophysical Research Letters, 39, 10.1029/2012GL053733, 2012.
Yang, K., Wu, H., Qin, J., Lin, C., Tang, W., and Chen, Y.: Recent climate changes over the Tibetan Plateau and their impacts on energy and water cycle: A review, Global and Planetary Change, 112, 79-91, 10.1016/j.gloplacha.2013.12.001, 2014.
Zeng, X., and Dickinson, R. E.: Effect of Surface Sublayer on Surface Skin Temperature and Fluxes, Journal of Climate, 11, 537-550, 1998.

Zhang, X., Xiong, Z., Zhang, X., Shi, Y., Liu, J., Shao, Q., and Yan, X.: Using multi-model ensembles to improve the simulated effects of land use/cover change on temperature: a case study over northeast China, Climate Dynamics, 46, 765-778, 10.1007/s00382-015-2611-4, 2015.
Zhang, Y., Liu, H., Foken, T., Williams, Q. L., Mauder, M., and Thomas, C.: Coherent structures and flux contribution over an inhomogeneously irrigated cotton field, Theoretical and Applied Climatology, 103, 119-131, 2011.
Zhao, L., Lee, X., Smith, R. B., and Oleson, K.: Strong contributions of local background climate to urban heat islands, Nature, 511, 216-219, 10.1038/nature13462, 2014.



Figure 1: Differences in surface temperature between different sites in Nanjing from March to August 2013. **Error bars, 1s.d. for each month.**



Figure 2: Monthly variations of different factors at the three sites and the differences between the other two sites and the grass site in Nanjing from March to August 2013: (a,b) albedo, (c,d) Bowen ratio, (e,f) surface roughness, and (g,h) aerodynamic resistance.


(a) Growing season
Crop–Grass
Urban–Grass
(b) Spring
(c) Summer
ΔT(°C)
Observed Ts
Calculated Ts
Albedo
Roughness
Bowen radio
Ta


(a) Growing season
Crop–Grass
Urban–Grass
(b) Spring
(c) Summer
ΔT(°C)
Observed Ts
Calculated Ts
Albedo
Roughness
Bowen ratio
Ta

Figure 3: Contributions to the differences in surface temperature between urban and cropland sites and the grassland site due to radiation, aerodynamic resistance, evaporation, and air temperature (Ta) in (a) growing season, (b) spring and (c) summer, 2013.