# **1** Investigation of processes controlling summertime gaseous elemental mercury

# 2 oxidation at mid-latitudinal marine, coastal, and inland Sites

# 3 Z. Ye<sup>1</sup>, H. Mao<sup>1</sup>, C.-J. Lin<sup>2,3</sup>, and S. Y. Kim<sup>4</sup>

4 <sup>1</sup> Department of Chemistry, State University of New York College of Environmental Science and

- 5 Forestry, Syracuse, NY, 13210, USA
- <sup>6</sup> <sup>2</sup> Center for Advances in Water and Air Quality, Lamar University, Beaumont, TX, 77710, USA
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Lamar University, Beaumont, TX, 77710,
   USA
- 9 <sup>4</sup> R&D Program Evaluation Division Office of National Evaluation and Analysis Korea Institute
- 10 of S&T Evaluation and Planning (KISTEP), Seoul, South Korea
- 11 Received: 13 October 2015 Accepted: 23 October 2015 Published: 15 January 2016
- 12 Correspondence to: Z. Ye (<u>zye01@syr.edu</u>)

13

14

#### Abstract

15 A box model incorporating a state-of-the-art chemical mechanism for atmospheric mercury (Hg) cycling was developed to investigate oxidation of gaseous elemental mercury (GEM) at three 16 17 locations in the northeastern United States: Appledore Island (marine), Thompson Farm (coastal, 18 rural), and Pack Monadnock (inland, rural, elevated). The chemical mechanism in this box model 19 included the most up-to-date Hg and halogen chemistry. As a result, the box model was able to 20 simulate reasonably the observed diurnal cycles of gaseous oxidized mercury (GOM) and chemical 21 speciation bearing distinct differences between the three sites. In agreement with observations, 22 simulated GOM diurnal cycles at AI and TF showed significant daytime peaks in the afternoon 23 and nighttime minimums compared to flat GOM diurnal cycles at PM. Moreover, and significant 24 differences in magnitude of GOM diurnal amplitude (AI>TF>PM) were captured in modeled results. At the coastal and inland sites, GEM oxidation was predominated by O3 and OH, 25 26 contributing 80–99% of total GOM production during daytime. H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> initiated GEM oxidation was 27 significant (~33% of the total GOM) at the inland site during nighttime. In the marine boundary 28 layer (MBL) atmosphere, Br and BrO became dominant GEM oxidants with mixing ratios 29 reaching 0.1 and 1 pptv, respectively, contributing ~70% of the total GOM production during mid-30 day, while O<sub>3</sub> dominated GEM oxidation (50–90% of GOM production) over the remaining day 31 when Br and BrO mixing ratios were diminished. The majority of HgBr produced from GEM+Br 32 was oxidized by NO<sub>2</sub> and HO<sub>2</sub> to form brominated GOM species. Relative humidity and products 33 of the CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>+BrO reaction possibly affected significantly the mixing ratios of Br or BrO radicals 34 and subsequently GOM formation. Gas-particle partitioning could be potentially important in the 35 production of GOM as well as Br and BrO at the marine site.

### 36 1 Introduction

Mercury (Hg) is a toxic pollutant found globally in air, natural waters, and soils. The health concern of Hg arises from the neurotoxic organic form, methyl mercury (MeHg), in the aquatic environments (Mason et al., 2006; Miller et al., 2007; Rolfhus et al., 2003). The high bioaccumulation and biomagnification of MeHg lead to human exposure through the consumption of seafood (Clarkson, 1994). Deposition of atmospheric Hg is one of the most important sources of aquatic Hg.

43 In the atmosphere, Hg exists in three forms: gaseous elemental mercury (GEM), gaseous 44 oxidized mercury (GOM), and particulate bound mercury (PBM). The majority of atmospheric Hg 45 is GEM, comprising > 95% of total gaseous mercury (TGM=GEM+GOM). The 0.8–1.7 years 46 atmospheric lifetime of GEM is conducive to long range transport of Hg as a global pollutant 47 (Bergan et al., 1999; Bergan and Rodhe, 2001; Holmes et al., 2006; Lin and Pehkonen, 1999; 48 Schroeder and Munthe, 1998; Selin et al., 2007). In contrast, GOM and PBM are relatively short-49 lived and subject to wet deposition and stronger dry deposition than GEM due to their high 50 solubility in water and low vapor pressure. GOM in the atmosphere can be produced from 51 oxidation of GEM, released directly from anthropogenic emissions, and transformed from PBM. 52 In remote regions, in-situ GOM production may be the major source of GOM (Weiss-Penzias et 53 al., 2003; Poissant et al., 2004; Mao and Talbot, 2012) considering its short lifetime.

54 Chemical speciation of atmospheric Hg is essential to understand its geochemical cycle. 55 Theoretical and experimental studies suggested that the main oxidants of GEM in the atmosphere 56 are ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), hydroxyl radical (OH), atomic bromine (Br), bromine monoxide (BrO), hydrogen 57 peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>), and atomic chlorine (Cl), yielding GOM species of HgO, HgBrO, HgBr, 58 Hg(OH)<sub>2</sub>, HgCl, and through further reaction to other mercury halides (Ariya et al., 2015; Dibble

59 et al., 2012; Lin and Pehkonen, 1999). Although efforts have been made to investigate the relative 60 importance of these oxidants for GEM oxidation in the troposphere, it is still not well understood. 61 In the terrestrial environment, it was suggested that the oxidation of GEM was primarily by  $O_3$  and 62 OH radicals (Shon et al., 2005; Sillman et al., 2007). The speciation and quantification of GEM + 63 O<sub>3</sub> product(s) still remain unknown and debatable (Ariya et al., 2015; Gustin et al., 2013; Rutter 64 et al., 2012). An experimental study by Pal and Ariya (2004b) measured 1% of HgO produced by 65  $GEM + O_3$  on an aerosol filter. Snider et al. (2008) showed HgO(s) production in their kinetic and 66 product study. Schroeder et al. (1998) suggested HgO would not exist as an isolated molecule in 67 gas phase but could be deposited to and retained by manifold given a decomposition temperature 68 of +500 °C. However, the GEM + O<sub>3</sub> reaction and decomposition temperature (Schroeder et al., 69 1998) could also be impacted by the presence of other ambient gases (Snider et al., 2008; Gustin 70 et al., 2013; Seigneur et al., 1994). A recent study of Huang et al. (2013) observed gas-phase HgO 71 using nylon and cation exchange membranes. The reaction of GEM+OH has been subject to debate 72 between theoretical and experimental studies, as no mechanism consistent with thermochemistry 73 has been proposed (Ariya et al., 2015; Pal and Ariya, 2004a; Subir et al., 2011). Measurement 74 studies on GOM in polar regions (Simpson et al., 2007; Steffen et al., 2008) and sub-tropical MBL 75 (Laurier et al., 2003; Laurier and Mason, 2007; Obrist et al., 2011) as well as atmospheric modeling 76 studies on mercury cycling (Holmes et al., 2009, 2010; Kim et al., 2010; Lindberg et al., 2002; 77 Obrist et al., 2011; Soerensen et al., 2010; Toyota et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2014; Xie et al., 2008) have suggested Br as an important oxidant of GEM. The major source of atmospheric Br was 78 79 suggested to be produced photolytically from Br-containing compounds and through the Br/BrO 80 cycle involving tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> (Saiz-Lopez and Glasow, 2012; Simpson et al., 2015).

GOM concentrations and speciation could be impacted by meteorological conditions and chemical conditions in different environments. High solubility of GOM species, possible phase partitioning of HgO as discussed above could all be the reasons causing varying GOM speciation at different locations. For instance, the aerosol type, size distribution, and chemical composition varied largely between the MBL site and inland sites, which may lead to different gas-particle partitioning rates of GOM species.

87 Hg chemistry in the MBL, the lowest part of the troposphere in direct contact with the sea 88 surface, has global importance as approximately 70% of the earth's surface is covered by oceans 89 (Glasow et al., 2002). Hg in the MBL cycles differently from in coastal or inland areas. However, 90 contemporary models are not able to reproduce GOM observations temporally and spatially due 91 to knowledge gaps in Hg science, simplified model assumptions, and uncertainties of 92 measurements (Ariya et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2006). In the polar region, bromine radicals were 93 identified as the primary cause of the Arctic mercury depletion events (AMDE) (Kim et al., 2010; 94 Lindberg et al., 2002; Toyota et al., 2014; Xie et al., 2008). In the MBL outside Polar Regions, 95 due to lower mixing ratios of atmospheric halogen radicals, often lower than the detection limit, 96 mechanisms for GOM production were more controversial than in Polar Regions. Using a box model, Hedgecock et al. (Hedgecock et al., 2003; Hedgecock and Pirrone, 2004, 2005) suggested 97 98 that O<sub>3</sub> was a dominant GEM oxidant in the MBL at mid-latitudes in the Mediterranean region, 99 and that the GEM+O<sub>3</sub> reaction may form solid products. However, the reaction kinetics in their 100 model were out-of-date with limited halogen chemistry, and fixed emissions used in the model 101 oversimplified the source terms. Holmes et al. (2009) simulated that GEM oxidation by Br 102 comprised 35–60% of the GOM sources using BrO concentrations calculated at a photostationary 103 state from a prescribed distribution of Br mixing ratios. Additionally, a parameter was introduced

104 in the same study to account for entrainment of free tropospheric GOM into the MBL and the Br 105 mixing ratio was adjusted to capture the observed GOM diurnal trend, which could cause large 106 uncertainties in GOM simulations. Most recently, Wang et al. (2014) employed updated Hg 107 reactions together with bromine and iodine reactions, adopting the free tropospheric GOM 108 entrainment parameter from Holmes et al. (2009) for tropical MBL, and found Br to be a primary 109 GEM oxidant, but oxidation by Br or O<sub>3</sub>/OH alone was unable to reproduce observed GOM 110 concentration. However, different GEM oxidants could be dominant in different environments, as 111 a result of the unique composition and concentration levels of in-situ oxidants those environments 112 may be characterized with.

113 In this study, we employed a state-of-the-art chemical mechanism that incorporates gas and 114 aqueous phase chemistry of Hg, O<sub>3</sub>, and halogen to investigate the dynamics of GOM formation 115 under various atmospheric conditions in mid-latitude regions. The most up-to-date kinetics was 116 applied. Halogen radical mixing ratios (such as Br and BrO) were calculated using up-to-date 117 atmospheric halogen reactions. Clear sky days with calm wind conditions were selected, which are 118 mostly associated with strong atmospheric stability, to minimize the entrainment effect of free 119 tropospheric air and regional transport and hence no entrainment factor was included in this study. Moreover, the initial GEM mixing ratios along with a list of compounds (Table 2) in the model 120 121 were obtained from observations in three different environments and were set to be constant during 122 simulations. Fixing the input concentrations of GEM among a number of other compounds (Table 123 2) as constants using observational data enabled a modeled chemical environment close to the real 124 atmospheric environment that is being studied. Moreover, a box model simulates the 125 concentrations of short-lived compounds reaching an instantaneous chemical steady state, and for 126 the time scales of such instants, the chemicals such as GEM are long-lived enough to maintain a 127 constant level. In Section 2, the methods employed were laid out in detail. Section 3 presented 128 results of reasonably simulated differences between GOM diurnal cycles at the three locations that 129 were captured in measurement data, major GEM oxidants in the three environments, and a detailed 130 discussion of the sensitivity of physical parameters and important chemical reactions. Section 4 131 summarized the key findings and implications from this study.

132 **2 Methods** 

#### 133 **2.1 Box Model Description**

The Kinetic PreProcessor version 2.1 (Sandu and Sander, 2006) was utilized as the framework of the box model (Hedgecock et al., 2003; Hedgecock and Pirrone, 2004, 2005). A second order Rosenbrock method (Verwer et al., 1999) was applied to solve the coupled ordinary differential equations. The box model used in this study was initially set up by Kim et al. (2010). It was further improved in this study by incorporating the most up-to-date gas and aqueous phase chemical mechanisms (Atkinson et al., 2004, 2008; Dibble et al., 2012; Sander et al., 2011) to the model.

141 2.1.1 Reactions and kinetics

The box model has a total of 424 reactions: 276 gas-phase reactions (including Hg, halogen, O<sub>3</sub>, sulfate, and hydrocarbon reactions), 52 gas-water equilibriums, 28 aqueous equilibriums and 68 aqueous reactions. Most of these reactions and kinetic data were updated based on JPL Report No. 17 (Sander et al., 2011), the halogen chemistry reviews of Atkinson et al. (2004, 2008), and the references listed in Table 1. Photodissociation coefficients were calculated from the Tropospheric Ultraviolet and Visible (TUV) Radiation Model (Madronich, 1993).

148 The most important improvements in chemistry are gas and aerosol phase of Hg and 149 halogen reactions. Gas-phase Hg reactions included in the box model are (Table 1):

150	1. Oxidation of GEM by O <sub>3</sub> , OH, H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> , Br, BrO, Cl, Cl <sub>2</sub> , I (G1-8);
151	2. Reduction of HgBr and HgI to produce GEM (G9-11); and
152	3. Reactions of HgBr/HgCl with BrO, ClO, IO, NO <sub>2</sub> , HO <sub>2</sub> , and OH (G12–24) with kinetics
153	suggested by Dibble et al. (2012).
154	Aqueous Hg reactions include (Table S1):
155	1. Oxidations of Hg by $O_3$ , OH, HOCl, and ClO <sup>-</sup> , further oxidation of HgOH by $O_2$ ;

156 2. Reduction of  $Hg^{2+}$  by HO<sub>2</sub>, photolytic reduction of  $Hg(OH)_2$  and S(IV)-mediated 157 reduction; and

Aqueous equilibria involving HgSO<sub>3</sub>, Hg(SO<sub>3</sub>)2<sup>2-</sup>, HgOH<sup>+</sup> and Hg(OH)<sub>2</sub>. Gasphase
halogen reactions in the box model are mainly cycles of halogen radicals (Cl/Br/I and ClO/BrO/IO
radicals).

161 The Cl/Br/I radical cycles include photodissociation of Cl<sub>2</sub>/Br<sub>2</sub>/I<sub>2</sub>, organic halides, and 162 other inorganic halides as sources, and oxidation reactions as sinks. The ClO/BrO/IO radical cycles involve oxidation of Cl/Br/I radicals, photodissociation of ClNO<sub>2</sub>/ClONO<sub>2</sub>/BrNO<sub>2</sub>/BrONO<sub>2</sub>, 163 164 production from other halogen radicals, and sink reactions to calculate Cl/Br/I radicals or other 165 halides. Aqueous halogen reactions include reactions of Br<sup>-</sup>/Cl<sup>-</sup> and reactions of aqueous BrCl, 166 HCl, HBr, HOCl, HOBr, Cl<sub>2</sub>, and Br<sub>2</sub> species. The chemistry of halogen radicals, especially the 167 reaction cycles of Br and BrO radicals, could be important and should not be neglected or replaced 168 by simple approximation. Hence, the most up-to-date halogen chemistry from the literature was 169 included in our model.

170 2.1.2 Initial conditions and input data

Observations at three sites from the University of New Hampshire (UNH) AIRMAP
Observing Network (http://www.eos.unh.edu/observatories/data.shtml) were used: a marine site

173 located on Appledore Island (AI) at the Shoals Marine Lab, the Gulf of Maine (42.97°N, 70.62°W, 174 40 m a.s.l.), a coastal site located in Thompson Farm (TF) in Durham, NH (43.11°N, 70.95°W, 24 175 m a.s.l.) and 25 km away from the Gulf of Maine, and a forested 90 km inland site located on Pack 176 Monadnock (PM) in Miller State Park in Peterborough, NH (42.86°N, 71.88°W, 700 m a.s.l.) (Fig. 177 1). Hourly mean values of GEM, O<sub>3</sub>, CO, NO, meteorological observations (i.e., temperature, relative humidity, and solar radiation) at these three sites were used as initial input to the box model. 178 179 For species that were not measured, we set their initial concentrations as the values in similar 180 environments from the literature if available. Observations of GOM mixing ratios from the three 181 sites were utilized to evaluate the model performance. GEM and GOM data were collected using the Tekran<sup>®</sup> 2537/1130/1135 speciation unit (Tekran Inc., Canada). For these three sites, the 182 183 instruments were first run and calibrated in the laboratory and then operated at the sites in a 184 consistent manner. GEM was measured at 5-min intervals and with a limit of detection (LOD) of 185  $\sim$ 5-10 ppqv (Mao et al., 2008), GOM was measured over a 2-h sampling period with a LOD of 186  $\sim 0.1$  ppqv based on three times the standard deviation of the field blank values (Sigler et al., 2009; 187 Mao and Talbot, 2012). A custom-built refrigerator assembly and a canister of drierite was used 188 to cool and dry air streams before entering into the 1130 pump module, resulting in < 25% RH of 189 air streams (Sigler et al., 2009). Detailed information on these measurements can be found in Mao 190 and Talbot (2004; 2012), Talbot et al. (2005), Fischer et al. (2007), Mao et al. (2008), and Sigler 191 et al. (2009). Table 2 lists the input variables of the box model. The model's initial mixing ratios 192 of GEM, O<sub>3</sub>, CO, and NO were obtained from observations and were set to be constant during 193 each 1h simulation. Br/Cl/I concentrations were all calculated from the model given initial 194 concentrations of 1 pptv (Finley et al., 2008; except for AI) for Br<sub>2</sub>, Cl<sub>2</sub>, and I<sub>2</sub> species. At AI, the 195 Br<sub>2</sub> initial concentration was set to be constant during simulations and used Saiz-Lopez et al.

(2006)'s values to constrain [BrO]. Detailed information can be found in Section 3.3.1. Dry
deposition flux was calculated using dry deposition velocity data derived from Zhang et al. (2009,
2012) and boundary layer height estimated from Mao and Talbot (2004). Other physical
parameters (i.e. Henry's constants, liquid water content, and aerosol radius) were used to simulate
the gas-particle partitioning process in the box model.

201 2.1.3 Gas-particle partitioning

202 An empirical expression was utilized to calculate particle size growth relative to its dry 203 radius (r<sub>drv</sub>) (Lewis and Schwartz, 2006):

204 
$$r = r_{dry} \frac{4}{3.7} \left(\frac{2-RH}{1-RH}\right)^{1/3},$$
 (1)

where RH is the relative humidity, and r is the particle radius at RH.

Gas-particle partitioning was treated by mass transfer between droplets and air. The dynamic mass transfer coefficient across the gas-aqueous interface was calculated using the method developed by Schwartz (1986). The net mass flux (F, molecule cm<sup>-3</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) between the gas and aqueous phase is given by

where L is the liquid water content  $(m_{water}^3 m_{air}^{-3})$ ,  $k_{mt}$  is the mass transfer coefficient (s<sup>-1</sup>),  $c_g$  is the gas phase concentration of the species (molecules cm<sup>-3</sup>),  $c_{aq}$  is the aqueous phase concentration of species (molecules cm<sup>-3</sup>), H is the Henry's constant of the species (M atm<sup>-1</sup>), R is the universal gas constant (atm L K<sup>-1</sup> mol<sup>-1</sup>), and T is atmospheric temperature (K).  $k_{mt}$  is calculated as follow:

215 
$$k_{\rm mt} = \left(\frac{r^2}{3D_{\rm g}} + \frac{4r}{3\overline{v}\alpha}\right)^{-1},$$
 (3)

216 
$$\bar{\mathbf{v}} = (8RT/M\pi)^{1/2},$$
 (4)

where r is the particle radius ( $\mu$ m), D<sub>g</sub> is the diffusion coefficient (m<sup>2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>),  $\bar{v}$  is the mean thermal molecular velocity (m s<sup>-1</sup>),  $\alpha$  is the dimensionless accommodation coefficient, and M is the species molecular weight (g mol<sup>-1</sup>).

220 **2.2** Case Selection

221 A total of 83 cases were examined to investigate the role of chemistry in Hg cycling in the 222 MBL, coastal, and inland environments. At the study sites, significant warm season declines of 223 GEM were observed with annual maximums in spring and minimums in autumn resulting in 224 seasonal amplitudes up to 100 ppqv at TF (Mao et al., 2008). The lost GEM during the warm 225 season most likely entered the ecosystem. Chemical transformation of GEM in warm seasons was 226 suspected to be one of the factors causing the observed seasonal decline in GEM. As such, this 227 study selected the cases representing summer days when chemical processes were most likely 228 dominant. To exclude the influence of wet deposition, we selected clear-sky conditions based on 229 the observed photodissociation rate constant of NO<sub>2</sub> (jNO<sub>2</sub>) and solar radiation flux. To minimize 230 the influence of transport, cases with arithmetic daily mean wind speed higher than 75% percentile of all summer days in studied years (> 1.3 m s<sup>-1</sup> at TF, > 6 m s<sup>-1</sup> at PM, and > 7 m s<sup>-1</sup> at AI) were 231 232 excluded. As a result, 50, 12, and 21 clear-sky days at AI (marine), TF (coastal), and PM (inland, 233 elevated), respectively, were selected from summers of 2007, 2008, and 2010. Since there was no 234 temperature data available for summer 2009 at TF, 2009 was not considered.

235

### 2.3 Backward Trajectory Model

The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory (HYSPLIT) trajectory model was used to identify source regions of air masses at the three sites. The model runs were performed over twenty-four hours using the NOAA NAM (Eta) Data Assimilation System (EDAS) data with a 40km×40km horizontal
resolution as input. Backward trajectories and trajectory clusters were calculated.

241 **2.4 Model Evaluation** 

To evaluate the box model performance with observations, the following statistical performance measures (Chang and Hanna, 2004; Hanna, 1988; Hanna et al., 1991, 1993), which include the fractional bias (FB), the normalized mean square error (NMSE), the root mean square error (RMSE), and the partition of NMSE due to systematic errors (NMSE<sub>s</sub>) were used:

246 
$$FB = \left(\overline{C_0} - \overline{C_p}\right) / 0.5 \left(\overline{C_0} + \overline{C_p}\right), \tag{5}$$

247 
$$NMSE = \overline{\left(C_0 - C_p\right)^2} / \overline{C_0 C_p}, \qquad (6)$$

248 
$$RMSE = \sqrt{(C_0 - C_p)^2}$$
, (7)

249 
$$NMSE_s = 4FB^2/(4 - FB^2)$$
, (8)

250 where  $C_p$  is model predictions,  $C_0$  is observations, overbar ( $\overline{C}$ ) is average over the dataset.

### 251 **3 Results and Discussion**

#### 252 **3.1** General characteristics in measured GOM and GEM

253 In the selected 83 cases, atmospheric GOM and GEM mixing ratios varied greatly at the 254 three sites (Fig. 2). Mixing ratios of GOM varied over 0.03-87.79 ppqv at AI, 0.04-4.93 ppqv at 255 TF, and 0-0.65 ppqv at PM. At AI and TF, significant diurnal variation was observed with 256 afternoon maximums and nighttime minimums. At AI, GOM peaked at 10 ppqv over 14:00–16:00 257 EDT and was ~ 5 ppqv at night, well above the LOD (~ 0.1 ppqv, from Sigler et al., 2009, the 258 same LOD for the instruments at the three sites). At TF, GOM mixing ratios peaked at 0.75 ppqv 259 at 17:00 EDT and were below LOD at night, before 08:00 EDT. The GOM diurnal cycle at PM 260 was different from that at AI and TF. At PM, averaged GOM had higher mixing ratios at night and in the early morning than in the afternoon. However, the median values showed afternoon peaks and nighttime minimums. The difference between average and median GOM diurnal cycles was driven by 3 cases that had abnormally high GOM mixing ratios (> 0.6 ppqv) at night or in the early morning relative to the average GOM mixing ratio through the day (~ 0.1 ppqv).

Mixing ratios of GEM ranged over 65–231 ppqv at AI, 60–213 ppqv at TF, and 121–231 ppqv at PM (Fig. 2). On average, GEM mixing ratios at PM were 8% higher than that at TF and 12% higher than that at AI. Unlike GOM, GEM diurnal cycles showed nearly flat patterns at AI and PM, though slightly higher (~ 3 %) GEM mixing ratios at night than in the daytime were observed at PM. In contrast, the average GEM diurnal cycle at TF showed an early morning (07:00 EDT) minimum (112 ppqv) and a daytime (13:00 EDT) maximum (153 ppqv).

271 The site differences of GOM and GEM diurnal cycles could be attributed to different 272 chemical environments, land surface types, and meteorological conditions. For example, the GEM 273 daily minimum at night and in the early morning at TF was likely caused by a strong net loss 274 dominated by dry deposition under nocturnal inversion (Mao et al., 2008; Mao and Talbot, 2012). 275 Nocturnal inversion also influenced the GEM and GOM diurnal cycles at PM, albeit differently 276 from at TF. The elevation of PM site is 700ma.s.l., above the nocturnal inversion layer (< 200 m) 277 (e.g. Kutsher et al., 2012), and thus GEM and GOM at night were continuously replenished by 278 those produced from daytime and remaining in the residual layer, which likely caused higher 279 nighttime values at PM. Daytime peaks of GOM at TF and AI were most likely caused by 280 photochemical oxidation of GEM under strong solar radiation. The causes for such variation were 281 examined in Sect. 3.4.2.

282 **3.2** Simulated diurnal variation and speciation of GOM

283 Model simulated diurnal cycles of GOM averaged over the 50, 12, and 21 clear-sky days 284 at AI, TF, and PM, respectively, were shown in Fig. 2. The patterns of diurnal variation were 285 similar at the three sites with small discrepancy on the occurring time of daily peaks (~ 13:00 LT 286 at AI, and ~14:00 LT at TF and PM), but the magnitude varied large by site. AI had the largest 287 GOM diurnal amplitude (i.e., daily maximum – daily minimum) ranging from 0.73 to 13.29 ppqv, 288 TF from 0.05 to 0.57 ppqv, and PM showed a very small range from 0.05 to 0.14 ppqv. Similar 289 magnitude variation was also exhibited in GOM observations (Fig. 2). Overall, simulated GOM 290 mixing ratios at the three sites were in agreement with observations (detailed comparison in Sect. 291 3.3).

292 The simulations suggested that the dominant GOM species and GEM oxidants varied by 293 site (Fig. 3). At AI, brominated GOM species comprised 59–81% of the total GOM over 08:00– 294 18:00 EDT, whereas HgO was dominant (50–92% of the total GOM) during the remaining day. 295 At TF and PM, HgO was the predominant GOM species (62–88%). HgO was produced from 296 oxidation of GEM by O<sub>3</sub> and OH. The contribution to HgO from oxidation by O<sub>3</sub> was larger than 297 by OH except at noon when OH mixing ratios reach daily peaks resulting in comparable 298 contributions (48 and 52% by OH and O<sub>3</sub>, respectively). At AI, BrHgNO<sub>2</sub> and HgBrO were the 299 most abundant brominated GOM species, which constituted  $\sim 96\%$  of the total brominated GOM. 300 HgBrO was produced from the GEM + BrO reaction, while BrHgNO<sub>2</sub> were produced from GEM 301 oxidation by Br radicals followed by reactions of HgBr with NO<sub>2</sub>. Hg(OH)<sub>2</sub> from GEM oxidation 302 by H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> appeared to be an important nighttime GOM species at the inland site (PM), accounting 303 for 33% of the total GOM at night. Other GOM species were negligible in the studied cases.

**304 3.3 Model evaluation** 

305 For all cases at AI and TF, the average simulated and observed GOM diurnal cycles agreed 306 reasonably well in both magnitude and shape, whereas at PM the model appeared to have missed 307 both (Fig. 2). Three salient features were noted for the disagreement between the model and 308 observational results. First, the standard deviation of observed GOM mixing ratios was a factor of 309 2–7 larger than that of the simulated. This suggested that the model could capture the mean values 310 of GOM, but not the very low and very large mixing ratios. Second, observed nighttime GOM 311 mixing ratios were 12-200% larger than the simulated at AI, indicating that the model did not 312 capture certain nighttime processes producing GOM in the MBL. Third, the simulated diurnal 313 cycle was the opposite of the observed at PM, with the maximum during the day and minimum at 314 night. It was likely that the model simply simulated the dependence of GOM production on solar 315 radiation. At PM, more processes may have contributed to the diurnal variation. At night, the site 316 is above the nocturnal boundary layer and exposed to the GOM produced in the preceding 317 convective boundary layer, which could continually replenish surface GOM at the site that was 318 lost via dry deposition and perhaps reduction. The model-observation discrepancies of GOM at 319 the three sites were discussed as follows.

320 3.3.1 Appledore Island (marine)

Of the 50 cases at AI, 27 diurnal cycles of GOM were simulated with the average values and patterns close to the observed and  $NMSE_s = 1.88\%$ , denoted as *matching* cases hereafter, 8 were underestimated with  $NMSE_s = 121\%$ , and 15 were overestimated with  $NMSE_s = 171\%$ . The observed and simulated average GOM mixing ratios and the corresponding ranges were calculated for the matching, under-estimation, and over-estimation cases at AI (Fig. 4a ). For more than half of the time (27 matching cases out of 50 cases in total), the model captured the average GOM 327 diurnal cycle, the diurnal cycle pattern and overall GOM levels. Beyond that, Fig. 4a shows large 328 difference in the observed GOM levels among the matching, under-estimation, and over-329 estimation cases. On average, the observed daytime peak in the under-estimation cases was about 330 twice as large as that for the matching cases and 7 times larger than that for the over-estimation 331 cases. However, such difference was not captured by the model, suggesting that some GOM 332 producing processes in the MBL were not included or not realistically represented in the box model. 333 In addition, the GOM diurnal pattern in the over-estimation cases was different from those in the 334 under-estimation and matching cases. The average observed GOM diurnal cycles of the under-335 estimation and matching cases both exhibited a daily maximum at 13:00 EDT and a minimum over 336 04:00–08:00 EDT, whereas the over-estimation cases showed a daily maximum at around 20:00 337 EDT and a minimum at 07:00-08:00EDT.

338 Such differences were due possibly to the challenges of simulating Br and BrO in the MBL 339 at AI. No measurements of Br and BrO radicals as well as Br<sub>2</sub> were available at AI. To reasonably 340 simulate mixing ratios of Br and BrO, Br<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios were calculated based on the BrO 341 observations at a mid-latitude MBL site from Saiz-Lopez et al. (2006), which was ~5.6 ppqv during 342 the daytime (06:00–21:00 EDT). Saiz-Lopez et al. (2006) showed that daytime peak mixing ratios 343 of BrO in the MBL could vary by a factor of 2 over a time period of 3 days. Such variation was 344 not captured in our box model, potentially resulting in uncertainty of up to 100% in simulated Br 345 mixing ratios with subsequent effects on GOM simulation.

In the over-estimation cases, the simulated GOM daytime peaks were very low and appeared later during the day than in the under-estimation and matching cases. Considering the late afternoon peak (17:00 EDT) of  $O_3$  compared to the noontime peak of Br radicals,  $O_3$  possibly played a more important role in the over-estimation cases. To verify this hypothesis, a sensitivity simulation was conducted without the initial  $Br_2$  mixing ratio fixed for these cases, termed as the O<sub>3</sub>/OH scenario. In this sensitivity runs, the  $Br_2$  concentration rapidly diminished with time leading to very low concentrations of Br and BrO. The O<sub>3</sub>/OH scenario turned out to better represent these 15 overestimation cases with NMSE<sub>s</sub> = 34% (compared to 167% with Br<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio fixed).

354 These sensitivity simulations suggested that in the MBL, Br may be a dominant GEM 355 oxidation most of the time, but at times of low Br mixing ratios, O<sub>3</sub> could become dominant. To 356 identify the origin of the air masses at AI, backward trajectory analysis was conducted using the 357 HYSPLIT4 model (https://ready.arl.noaa.gov/HYSPLIT.php). All 24 h backward trajectories 358 started from the time of GOM daily peaks for the 50 cases. The trajectory results were clustered 359 for over-estimation, matching, and under-estimation cases (Fig. 5). Based on these trajectories, in 360 about half of the 15 over-estimation cases air masses originated from marine environments, while 361 in more than 80% of the 27 matching cases and 7 out of 8 under-estimation cases air masses came 362 from inland northwest of AI. Note that in those under-estimation cases GOM mixing ratios were 363 exceptionally large, exceeding 30 ppqv.

364 Different source areas of air masses reaching AI could be one of the reasons for the large 365 variation of GOM observations. The highest levels of GOM were observed in summer with RH 366 roughly < 50% at AI (Mao et al., 2012). A close examination of the 50 cases at AI revealed low 367 RH levels ( $\leq 45\%$ ) on 16 days. The time periods with RH  $\leq 45\%$  appeared mostly (78% of the 368 time) in the afternoon over 12:00–20:00 EDT and less so (22%) at night over 21:00–02:00 EDT. 369 During these time periods, increased GOM (15 out of 16, compared with periods with high RH on 370 the same day) and daily maximum GOM (10 out of 16) occurred simultaneously at low RH, 371 regardless of the time of the day.

372

Interestingly, the RH level of 45% corresponds to the crystallization point of NaCl (Cziczo

373 et al., 1997; Tang et al., 1997). The crystallization of sea-salt aerosols might be link to the very 374 high GOM peaks in certain ways. Rutter and Schauer (2007) found that particles of potassium and 375 sodium chlorides had high partitioning coefficients that could shift the GOM gas-particle 376 partitioning toward the aqueous phase, while ammonium sulfate, levoglucosan, and adipic acid 377 would shift the partitioning toward the gas phase. It was thus hypothesized that, when these inland 378 air masses reached the MBL mixed with the marine air, the processes discussed above might have 379 been activated involving the interaction between land and marine air, which potentially resulted in 380 those very high GOM mixing ratios.

381 Laskin et al. (2012) found effective reactivity of chloride (Cl<sup>-</sup>) components with organic 382 acid in sea salt aerosols (SSAs), possibly leading to depletion of Cl<sup>-</sup> and formation of organic salts 383 in aerosols. Biogenic compounds in air masses originating from inland forested areas could be 384 oxidized forming organic acids in transit. As inland air reached the MBL, these organic acids 385 would deposit onto SSAs and could subsequently change SSAs' chemical and physical properties, 386 such as lowering concentrations of  $Cl^{-}$  and forming a thick organic film on the outside of SSAs. 387 The lower concentrations of Cl<sup>-</sup> and higher concentrations of organic acid in aerosols might have 388 contributed to the shift in the gas-to-particle partitioning to the gas phase and resulted in higher 389 GOM mixing ratios in the atmosphere.

Another possible explanation could be air masses of inland origin encountering marine air rich in atmospheric Br and BrO radicals. The main source of atmospheric Br is thought to come from the release of  $Br_2$  and BrCl from SSA (Finlayson-Pitts, 2010; Sander et al., 2003). Experimental studies suggested  $Br^-$  enhancements of a factor of 40 to 140 on the surface of sufficiently dry artificial SSA (Ghosal et al., 2008; Hess et al., 2007). Therefore, when drier inland air masses were mixed with marine air in the MBL under relatively low RH conditions, SSA became drier, forcing more  $Br_2$  to be released from SSA, resulting in enhanced oxidation of GEM by Br and BrO radicals. These hypotheses need to be validated in future research. These mechanisms are presently missing in the box model, leading to the model's inability to capture very high GOM mixing ratios. Measurements of halogen species and a better gas-particle partitioning mechanism are needed to better the model's performance.

401 3.3.2 Thompson Farm (coastal)

402 Generally, the box model performed well at TF (Fig. 2) with overall NMSE<sub>s</sub> = 0.75% and 403 RMSE= 0.78 ppqv. Of the 12 cases at TF, 6diurnal cycles of GOM (50%) were simulated 404 reasonably well with NMSE<sub>s</sub> < 50 %, 2 were underestimated by ~ 70 %, and 4 cases were 405 overestimated by a factor of 2to 5. Overall, the observed average diurnal cycles of GOM for all 406 selected summer clear-sky days at TF had daily peaks during 14:00-20:00 EDT with very low 407 values at night between 0:00 and 8:00 EDT (Sigler et al., 2009) (Fig. 2). The peak observed at 408 17:00 EDT (Fig. 2) was largely affected by the abnormally high GOM peak in that one under-409 estimation case (Fig. 4b).

410 For the over-estimation and matching cases, the model reproduced very low GOM mixing 411 ratios at night (Fig. 4b). For the same reason substantially lowering GEM mixing ratios at night 412 and in the early morning at TF (Mao et al., 2008), the low nighttime GOM at TF was probably 413 caused by loss via dry deposition under nocturnal inversion. To capture these low values in model 414 simulations, realistic nocturnal boundary layer height data were needed beside solid representation 415 of dry deposition and chemistry in the model. The diurnal cycle of boundary layer height in the 416 box model was parameterized based on reanalysis data obtained from the Research Data Archive 417 at the National Center for Atmospheric Research (http://rda.ucar.edu/datasets/ds093.0/). Use of 418 these data helped to reproduce the low nighttime GOM levels in simulations for the TF site.

419 Another notable feature in Fig. 4b is the exceedingly high observed GOM mixing ratios in the 420 under-estimation cases and the low observed GOM mixing ratios throughout the day in all over-421 estimation cases. Observed GOM mixing ratios in the under-estimation cases showed a factor of 422 3-4 larger than those in the matching cases, and a factor of 3-31 larger than those in the over-423 estimation cases (Fig. 4b). Concurrently, larger fine particle concentrations, 7468 cm<sup>-3</sup> on average, 424 were observed for the under-estimation cases, which was 51 and 80% larger than those in the 425 matching cases and over-estimation cases, respectively. Lower RH, 66% on average, was observed 426 in the under-estimation cases, 5 and 11% lower than that in the matching and over-estimation cases, 427 respectively. Moreover, higher air pressure (1018, 8 and 12 hPa larger than the matching and overestimation cases, respectively), lower wind speed ( $0.8 \text{ m s}^{-1}$  on average, 35 and 68% lower than 428 429 matching and over-estimation cases respectively), and stronger solar radiation flux (8 and 13% 430 stronger than matching and over-estimation cases respectively) were found in the under-estimation 431 cases. An examination of the sea level pressure maps (Figure S1) in the under-estimation cases 432 suggested that these cases occurred under the strongest Bermuda High influence, with the calmest, 433 sunniest, and driest conditions of all cases, which is most conducive to photochemistry and 434 pollution build-up that may have ultimately contributed to the very large GOM mixing ratios in 435 those under-estimation cases. Our model appeared to fail to mimic the chemistry under such 436 conditions that produced the largest GOM mixing ratios.

437 3.3.3 Pack Monadnock (inland, rural, elevated site)

438 At PM, diurnal cycles of GOM were overestimated with  $NMSE_s = 70\%$  and overall 439 RMSE= 0.13 ppqv. However, considering the extremely low mixing ratios of GOM observed at 440 PM (Fig. 2), cases with RMSE < 0.1 ppqv (LOD) were considered as matching cases. Therefore, 441 the model reasonably simulated 11 out of 21 (52%) cases, underestimated in 1, and overestimated 442 in 9. Evaluation of simulated GOM diurnal cycles against observations (Fig. 2) showed reasonable
443 agreement with general overestimation ranging over 0.05–0.07 ppqv.

444 The observed GOM diurnal cycle (Fig. 2f) showed daily maximums at 08:00 and 23:00 445 EDT, which were mainly influenced by the underestimated case (Fig. 4c). In comparison, the 446 remaining (95%) cases showed a very flat GOM diurnal cycle at PM. The first and most important 447 reason for such observation-model discrepancy is that the PM site is a mountain site (700 m a.s.l.), 448 which is above the nocturnal inversion layer ( $\sim 200$ m at TF) but within the convective boundary 449 layer during the day. At night, a regional pool of GOM produced in the preceding convective 450 boundary layer remained in the residual layer, which kept the surface GOM levels from dropping 451 below the LOD at night at PM. The slight decline of GOM mixing ratios after sunrise was because 452 of mixing with the lower altitude air masses with depleted GOM from the night. The effect of the 453 PM's site characteristics was not represented in the box model, which could result in model's 454 inability to simulate diurnal variation associated with this aspect of the site. In addition, due to the 455 dominance of GEM oxidation by  $O_3$  in GOM production in the model, it was highly likely that the 456 flat diurnal cycles (slightly higher at night) of GEM (Fig. 2) and O<sub>3</sub> were mirrored in GOM mixing 457 ratios.

458

### 459 **3.4** Sensitivity analysis

460 3.4.1 Sensitivity of GOM to physical and chemical parameters

The base scenario (*Scenario* 0) of these sensitivity runs represented the real atmospheric conditions on the selected 50 days at AI. *Scenarios* 1–10 are sensitivity runs where one parameter in the base scenario was changed at the time (Table 3). *Scenario* 1 turned off photolysis reactions. *Scenarios* 2–4 tested the gas-particle partitioning scheme. The liquid water content range was derived from Hedgecock et al. (2003). *Scenarios* 5–9tested the sensitivity of GOM mixing ratios
to GEM oxidation reactions and their coefficients. *Scenarios* 10–11 tested the sensitivity of GOM
mixing ratios to temperature. The temperature range was based on the observed average
temperature diurnal cycle.

469 The importance of photochemical radicals in GEM oxidation was demonstrated clearly in 470 decreases of 3-92 and 2-100 % in daytime GOM and PBM, respectively with largest decreases at 471 noon as a result of turning off photochemistry (Scenario 1). Scenario 2 showed ~74% of oxidized 472 Hg transformed to PBM at AI with gas-particle partitioning switched on. In this scenario, HgO 473 and Hg(OH)<sub>2</sub> were more sensitive than halogenated GOM species (such as BrHgNO<sub>2</sub>). Turning 474 off gas-particle partitioning more than quadrupled the mixing ratios of HgO and Hg(OH)<sub>2</sub> 475 throughout the day compared to increases of more than 100 and 60% halogenated GOM species 476 during daytime and nighttime, respectively.

477 Decreasing liquid water content by 1 order of magnitude tripled GOM mixing ratios, 478 whereas increasing the same amount decreased GOM by 80% (Scenarios 3-4). Sensitivity of 479 GOM and PBM mixing ratios to dominant GEM oxidation reactions are shown in Scenarios 5-9. 480 Using the slowest rate coefficient of GEM + O<sub>3</sub> obtained from Hall (1995), as opposed to the one 481 from Snider et al. (2008) led to a decrease of 56.7% in HgO, and decreases of 15 and 85% in total 482 GOM during daytime and nighttime, respectively. Using an order of magnitude faster rate 483 coefficient of GEM + Br from Ariya et al. (2002) increased 250% of total GOM during daytime. 484 Turning off GEM oxidation by O<sub>3</sub>, OH, or Br resulted in decreases of 16, 10, and 48%, respectively, 485 in daytime GOM mixing ratios. Turning off the GEM + Br oxidation reaction also decreased 486 daytime PBM mixing ratios by 60%. However, for nighttime GOM and PBM mixing ratios,

487 turning off the GEM +  $O_3$  reaction caused decreases of 88 and 51%, respectively, since Br and OH 488 are both photochemical radicals and  $O_3$  was the predominant oxidant for GEM in the model.

494 In summary, the parameters used in gas-particle partitioning process, including solar 495 radiation values, temperature, and the rate coefficients of major GEM oxidation reaction, could all 496 affect the GOM simulation but with varying degree. Aerosol properties were suggested to play a 497 very important role in the partitioning of ambient GOM and PBM species and thus should be better 498 represented in future Hg model simulation studies. Using a slower rate coefficient of  $GEM + O_3$ 499 (Hall, 1995) had similar effects as not including the GEM + O<sub>3</sub> reaction, i.e. decreasing GOM 500 mixing ratios, especially at nighttime, and brominated GOM species becoming dominant. The 501 GEM + OH reaction was not as important as  $GEM + O_3$  or Br. The use of a higher GEM + Br rate 502 coefficient derived from the study by Ariya et al. (2002) caused more than a factor of 3 higher 503 GOM and PBM resulting in overestimated GOM for most cases. GOM and PBM production 504 appeared to favor lower temperature at daytime and higher temperature at night, and simulated 505 GOM concentrations were not as sensitive to temperature change as to solar radiation and gas-506 particle partitioning.

507 3.4.2 Influence of physical and chemical processes on GOM diurnal cycle

Large variations were exhibited in both observed and simulated GOM mixing ratios at AI,
TF, and PM (Fig. 2). Considering that all cases were under relatively calm, clear-sky conditions,

the simulated GOM mixing ratio and diurnal cycle were controlled primarily by chemical reactions, dry deposition, and gas-particle partitioning. To quantify the contribution of processes to the difference of GOM mixing ratios at the three sites, two sensitivity scenarios were conducted: use the same physical parameters as those of AI for TF (denoted as TF\_AIaerodry) and PM (denoted as PM AIaerodry).

515 Comparison of simulated GOM diurnal cycles from the AI, TF Alaerodry and 516 PM Alaerodry scenarios showed the influence of different chemical scenarios on GOM mixing 517 ratios at the three sites. At night, GOM mixing ratios at the three sites did not vary significantly 518 (0-2 ppqv), with higher values at PM than those at AI and TF (Fig. 6). However, the mid-day peak 519 at AI was more than a factor of two greater than those in the PM AIaerodry and TF AIaerodry 520 scenarios, indicating more chemical transformation of Hg occurring at AI. The daytime mixing 521 ratios of GOM at TF and PM were similar, while the nighttime GOM mixing ratios at PM were 522 30-52% higher than at AI and 20-200% higher than at TF. This probably resulted from larger 523 nighttime GEM and O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios, hence producing more GOM, at PM than at TF and AI. 524 Specifically, nighttime GEM mixing ratios at PM were 8-15% higher than at AI and 8-34% higher 525 than TF cases, while nighttime O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios at PM were 11-70% larger than at AI and 35-260% 526 larger than at TF. PM had higher nighttime GEM and O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios, because this site was 527 exposed in the residual boundary layer at night due to its high elevation, constantly replenished 528 with the regional pool of air from the preceding convective boundary layer. Overall, chemical 529 transformation contributed ~60% of the daytime difference in GOM between AI and the two sites 530 over land (TF and PM), 33% of the nighttime difference between AI and TF, and 26% of the 531 difference between PM and AI.

In summary, the sensitivity scenarios suggested that dry deposition and gas-particle partitioning contributed 4-37% and 30-96%, respectively, of the total GOM difference between AI and PM. Both processes had larger contributions at night that during daytime. Dry deposition contributed 6-24% of the GOM difference between AI and TF and gas-particle partitioning 18-536 78%.

537 3.4.3 Br chemistry in the MBL

538 Diurnal cycles of Br and BrO radicals (Fig. 7) were simulated using the Br chemical 539 mechanism described in Sect. 2. Photodissociation of Br<sub>2</sub> was the main source of Br and BrO 540 radicals during daytime. Our simulations suggested that reactive Br compounds were significant 541 gaseous oxidants of GEM in the MBL at a fixed initial mixing ratio of 5.6 ppqv for Br<sub>2</sub>. Increasing 542 initial mixing ratios of  $Br_2$  by 25% resulted in an increase of 0.01–2.15 ppqv in GOM mixing ratios. 543 In addition, the reaction of BrO with methyldioxy  $(CH_3O_2)$  radicals could have important 544 influence on the mixing ratios of Br, BrO, and GOM. Simulated daytime mixing ratios of CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was ~ 40 pptv, and the rate coefficient of  $(5.7\pm0.6) \times 10^{-12}$  cm<sup>3</sup> molecule<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> at 298 K for BrO + 545 CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Aranda et al., 1997) was used for our simulations. Pathways B1, B2, and B3 were 546 547 suggested by Aranda et al. (1997) based on an experimental study (Table 4). However, the 548 production of CH<sub>3</sub>O may be due to its self-reaction in B1. Guha and Francisco (2003) suggested 549 CH<sub>3</sub>OOOBr to be a likely intermediate of this reaction, and that CH<sub>3</sub>OOOBr could dissociate to 550 CH<sub>2</sub>O+HOOBr (B4, Table 4). Based on thermodynamics calculations, CH<sub>3</sub>OBr and O<sub>2</sub> (B3, Table 551 4) were possible products. BrOO and HOBr were both included in the Br chemical cycle and can 552 be transformed back to Br and BrO radicals in the model. However, it is unclear whether CH<sub>3</sub>OBr 553 (product of B3) or HOOBr (product of B4) could be transformed back to Br and BrO radicals in

the atmosphere. In this case, using the B3 or B4 pathway did not appear to make a difference in our box model results.

556 In this study, the B1 and B2 pathways were used for the  $CH_3O_2$  +BrO reaction as part of 557 the base scenario (denoted as Sim-avg BrOO). The sensitivity run Sim-avg CH<sub>3</sub>OBr used the B3 558 pathway in lieu of B1 and B2. The simulated average and the range of GOM diurnal cycles in the 559 base and sensitivity scenarios were evaluated against observed mean and median GOM diurnal 560 cycles of the 50 study cases at AI (Fig. 8). If the CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> +BrO reaction followed the B1 and B2 561 pathways, this reaction had a negligible effect on reactive Br radicals. However, if B3 or B4 was 562 applied, the simulated total GOM mixing ratio was lowered by 50% during daytime. Moreover, 563 the simulated GOM diurnal cycle in the base scenario agreed favorably with the observed average 564 GOM diurnal cycle (NMSE= 15 %), while the results of the Sim-avg CH<sub>3</sub>OBr scenario were in 565 better agreement with the observed median GOM diurnal cycle (NMSE= 14%). These agreements 566 indicated that, if the BrO+CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> reaction was a net sink of BrO radicals, the model was able to 567 simulate most cases better, whereas if the product of BrO+CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was transformed back to Br or 568 BrO radicals, the model appeared to capture those cases with large GOM mixing ratios (> 6 ppqv). 569 Due to the scarcity of kinetic research on the B3 and B4 pathways, we used B1 and B2 pathways 570 for CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> +BrO reaction in this study.

571 In short, the pathways of  $BrO+CH_3O_2$  could play an important role in atmospheric Br 572 chemistry and Hg speciation in Br-rich environments. Research on the reaction pathways and rate 573 coefficients of the  $BrO+CH_3O_2$  reaction is warranted to better assess the role of this reaction.

### 574 **4 Summary**

575 This study provided a state-of-the-art chemical mechanism with most up-to-date Hg and 576 halogen chemistry and tested the mechanism for three different environments using a mercury box

model. Eighty-three summer clear-sky days were selected at marine, coastal, and inland elevated 577 578 sites in southern New Hampshire to evaluate the model. As a result, for each of the three 579 environments, GOM diurnal cycles of over half selected cases were reasonably represented by the 580 box model. It was hypothesized, based on the key results and discussion presented in Section 3, 581 that dry air masses with organic compounds transported from inland may result in very large GOM 582 mixing ratios in the MBL possibly due to changing physical and chemical properties of sea salt 583 aerosols. The low nighttime and morning GOM mixing ratios at coastal site were likely a result of 584 a net loss due to dry deposition in the nocturnal inversion layer. The GOM mixing ratios above the 585 LOD at the inland site at night were probably caused by constant replenishment from a regional 586 pool, in the residual boundary layer, of GOM that was produced in the preceding daytime 587 convective boundary layer. The updated chemical mechanism largely improved the simulation of 588 the magnitude and pattern of GOM diurnal variation at the coastal and inland sites. HgO produced 589 from oxidation of GEM by O<sub>3</sub> and OH dominated GOM species at the coastal and inland sites, 590 while bromine-induced mercury species (mainly BrHgOOH, BrHgOBr, and HgBrO) were 591 important at the marine site. In Br chemistry, the products of the  $CH_3O_2$  +BrO reaction strongly 592 influenced the simulated Br and Hg concentrations. In this study, GEM oxidation by O<sub>3</sub> and OH 593 was represented in ways similar to those in regional and global models, which is limited by the 594 current nebulous understanding of potential surface chemistry.

It should be noted that without measurements of speciated GOM, modeling results cannot be used to conclusively identify the dominant oxidants of Hg, as well as dominant GOM species in that matter, in the atmosphere. Indeed, the potential uncertainty in ambient Hg measurements especially GOM is a major concern in the community. That being said, it is unlikely to have a quantitative understanding of the bias of our GOM concentrations. Recent laboratory experiments 600 and reviews (Lyman et al., 2010; Jaffe et al., 2014; McClure et al., 2014; Huang and Gustin, 2015; 601 Gustin et al., 2015) reported  $O_3$  and relative humidity (RH) interferences on mercury halides for 602 KCl-coated denuder, the part of Tekran 1130 unit commonly used for GOM field measurements. 603 As stated in Section 2, in our GOM measurement the RH effect was minimized by adding 604 refrigeration to remove excess of water in the airsteam. O<sub>3</sub> interference and bias low GOM 605 collection efficiency of KCl-coated denuders were limited to a handful of GOM species in 606 laboratory experiments and remain untested in field measurements. If the measured GOM 607 concentrations were indeed biased low by a factor of 2 or 3 under certain conditions as previous 608 studies speculated, the matching cases at AI and TF would be reduced from 50% of the total cases 609 to 30%, and the model would potentially underestimate GOM concentrations in the remaining 610 cases (70%) by a factor of 3 to 4. It is however hard to speculate the effect at PM since most GOM 611 observations there were below the LOD. This suggested even greater unknowns in our 612 understanding of Hg chemistry. Therefore, more experimental or theoretical studies on Hg 613 reactions and better GOM measurement data are warranted to improve our understanding and 614 subsequently model simulations of atmospheric Hg cycling, which can ultimately serve policy-615 making in an effective manner.

### 616 Acknowledgements

This work is funded by NSF AGS grant # 1141713. We thank T. Dibble, Y. Zhou, Y. Zhang, and
C. B. Hall for valuable suggestions and help. We are grateful to the three anonymous reviewers
for their thoughtful, detailed, constructive comments, which helped to improve the clarity of the
paper.

621

### 622 **References**

- Aranda, A., Le Bras, G., La Verdet, G., and Poulet, G.: The BrO + CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> reaction: kinetics and role in the atmospheric ozone budget, Geophys. Res. Lett., 24, 2745–2748, 1997.
- Ariya, P. A., Khalizov, A., and Gidas, A.: Reactions of gaseous mercury with atomic and molecular
  halogens: kinetics, product studies, and atmospheric implications, J. Phys. Chem. A, 106, 7310–
  7320, 2002.
- Ariya, P. A., Amyot, M., Dastoor, A., Deeds, D., Feinberg, A., Kos, G., Poulain, A., Ryjkov, A.,
  Semeniuk, K., Subir, M., and Toyota, K.: Mercury physicochemical and biogeochemical
  transformation in the atmosphere and at atmospheric interfaces: a review and future directions,
- 631 Chem. Rev., 115, 3760–3802, doi:10.1021/cr500667e, 2015.
- 632 Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M.
- 633 E., Rossi, M. J., and Troe, J.: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry:
- 634 Volume I gas phase reactions of Ox, HOx, NOx and SOx species, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 1461–
- 635 1738, doi:10.5194/acp-4-1461-2004, 2004.
- 636 Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M.
- E., Rossi, M. J., Troe, J., and Wallington, T. J.: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for
  atmospheric chemistry: Volume IV gas phase reactions of organic halogen species, Atmos. Chem.
  Phys. 8, 4141, 4406, driv10, 5104/acr, 8, 4141, 2008, 2008.
- 639 Phys., 8, 4141–4496, doi:10.5194/acp-8-4141-2008, 2008.
- Balabanov, N. B., Shepler, B. C., and Peterson, K. A.: Accurate global potential energy surface
  and reaction dynamics for the ground state of HgBr<sub>2</sub>, J. Phys. Chem. A, 109, 8765–8773, 2005.
- Baumgardner, D., Raga, G. B., Kok, G., Ogren, J., Rosas, I., Báez, A., and Novakov, T.: On the
  evolution of aerosol properties at a mountain site above Mexico City, J. Geophys. Res. -Atmos.,
  105, 22243–22253, doi: 10.1029/2000JD900299, 2000.
- 645 Bergan, T. and Rodhe, H.: Oxidation of elemental mercury in the atmosphere; constraints imposed 646 by global scale modelling, J. Atmos. Chem., 40, 191–212, 2001.
- Bergan, T., Gallardo, L., and Rodhe, H.: Mercury in the global troposphere: a three-dimensional
  model study, Atmos. Environ., 33, 1575–1585, 1999.
- Chang, J. C. and Hanna, S. R.: Air quality model performance evaluation, Meteorol. Atmos. Phys.,
  87, 167–196, doi: 10.1007/s00703-003-0070-7, 2004.
- 651 Clarkson, T. W.: The toxicity of mercury and its compounds, in: Mercury Pollution: Integration 652 and Synthesis, edited by: Watras, C. J. and Huckabee, J.W., Boca Raton, FL, USA, 631–641, 1994.
- 653 Cziczo, D. J., Nowak, J. B., Hu, J. H., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Infrared spectroscopy of model 654 tropospheric aerosols as a function of relative humidity: observation of deliquescence and 655 crystallization, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 102, 18843–18850, 1997.

- Dibble, T. S., Zelie, M. J., and Mao, H.: Thermodynamics of reactions of ClHg and BrHg radicals
- 657 with atmospherically abundant free radicals, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 10271–10279, 658 doi:10.5194/acp-12-10271-2012, 2012.
- Donohoue, D. L., Bauer, D., and Hynes, A. J.: Temperature and pressure dependent rate coefficients for the reaction of Hg with Cl and the reaction of Cl with Cl: a pulsed laser photolysispulsed laser induced fluorescence Study, J. Phys. Chem. A, 109, 7732–7741, 2005.
- Finlayson-Pitts, B. J.: Halogens in the troposphere, Anal. Chem., 82, 770–776, doi:
  10.1021/ac901478p, 2010.
- 664 Finley, B. D. and Saltzman, E. S.: Observations of  $Cl_2$ ,  $Br_2$ , and  $I_2$  in coastal marine air, J. Geophys. 665 Res., 113(D21), D21301, doi:10.1029/2008JD010269, 2008.
- Fischer, E. V., Ziemba, L. D., Talbot, R.W., Dibb, J. E., Griffin, R. J., Husain, L., and Grant, A.
  N.: Aerosol major ion record at Mount Washington, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D02303, doi: 10.1029/2006JD007253, 2007.
- 669 Ghosal, S., Brown, M. A., Bluhm, H., Krisch, M. J., Salmeron, M., Jungwirth, P., and Hemminger,
- 670 J. C.: Ion partitioning at the liquid/vapor interface of a multicomponent alkali halide solution: a
- model for aqueous sea salt aerosols, J. Phys. Chem. A, 112, 12378–12384, 2008.
- Glasow, R. V., Sander, R., Bott, A., and Crutzen, P. J.: Modeling halogen chemistry in the marine
  boundary layer 1. Cloud-free MBL, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 107, 9-1–9-16, 2002.
- 674 Goodsite, M. E., Plane, J. M. C., and Skov, H.: A theoretical study of the oxidation of HgO to 675 HgBr<sub>2</sub> in the troposphere, Environ. Sci. Technol., 38, 1772–1776, 2004.
- 676 Goodsite, M. E., Plane, J. M. C., and Skov, H.: Erratum: A theoretical study of the oxidation of 677 HgO to HgBr<sub>2</sub> in the troposphere, (Environ. Sci. Technol. (2004) 38:6 (1772–1776) doi: 678 10.1021/es034680s), Environ. Sci. Technol., 46, 5262, doi: 10.1021/es301201c, 2012.
- Guha, S. and Francisco, J. S.: An ab initio study of the pathways for the reaction between CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>
  and BrO radicals, J. Chem. Phys., 118, 1779–1793, doi:10.1063/1.1531099, 2003.
- Gustin, M. S., Huang, J., Miller, M. B., Peterson, C., Jaffe, D. A., Ambrose, J., Finley, B. D.,
  Lyman, S. N., Call, K., Talbot, R., Feddersen, D., Mao, H. and Lindberg, S. E.: Do we understand
  what the mercury speciation instruments are actually measuring? Results of RAMIX,
  Environmental Science and Technology, 47(13), 7295–7306, 2013.
- Gustin, M. S., Amos, H. M., Huang, J., Miller, M. B., and Heidecorn, K.: Measuring and modeling
  mercury in the atmosphere: a critical review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 5697–5713, doi:
  10.5194/acp-15-5697-2015, 2015.
- Hall, B.: The gas phase oxidation of elemental mercury by ozone, Water Air Soil Poll., 80, 301–
  315, 1995.

- Hanna, S. R.: Air quality model evaluation and uncertainty, JAPCA J. Air Waste Ma., 38, 406–
  412, doi: 10.1080/08940630.1988.10466390, 1988.
- Hanna, S. R., Strimaitis, D. G., and Chang, J. C.: Hazard response modeling uncertainty (a
  quantitative method), vol. I: User's guide for software for evaluating hazardous gas dispersion
  models; vol. II: Evaluation of commonly-used hazardous gas dispersion models; vol. III:
  Components of uncertainty in hazardous gas dispersion models, Sigma Research Corporation,
  Westford, USA, 1991.
- Hanna, S. R., Chang, J. C., and Strimaitis, D. G.: Hazardous gas model evaluation with field
  observations, Atmos. Environ. A-Gen., 27, 2265–2285, doi: 10.1016/0960-1686(93)90397-H,
  1993.
- Hedgecock, I. M. and Pirrone, N.: Chasing quicksilver: modeling the atmospheric lifetime of  $Hg^0$ (g) in the marine boundary layer at various latitudes, Environ. Sci. Technol., 38, 69–76, 2004.
- 702 Hedgecock, I. M. and Pirrone, N.: Modelling chemical and physical processes of Hg compounds
- in the marine boundary layer, Dyn. Mercury Pollut. Reg. Glob. Scales Atmospheric Process. Hum.
- 704 Expo. World, 2005.
- Hedgecock, I. M., Pirrone, N., Sprovieri, F., and Pesenti, E.: Reactive gaseous mercury in the marine boundary layer: modelling and experimental evidence of its formation in the Mediterranean
- 707 region, Atmos. Environ., 37, S41–S49, 2003.
- Hess, M., Krieger, U. K., Marcolli, C., Huthwelker, T., Ammann, M., Lanford, W. A., and Peter,
   T.: Bromine enrichment in the near-surface region of Br-doped NaCl single crystals diagnosed by
- rutherford backscattering spectrometry, J. Phys. Chem. A, 111, 4312–4321, 2007.
- Holmes, C. D., Jacob, D. J., and Yang, X.: Global lifetime of elemental mercury against oxidation
  by atomic bromine in the free troposphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L20808, doi:
  10.1029/2006GL027176, 2006.
- Holmes, C. D., Jacob, D. J., Mason, R. P., and Jaffe, D. A.: Sources and deposition of reactive
  gaseous mercury in the marine atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 43, 2278–2285, 2009.
- Holmes, C. D., Jacob, D. J., Corbitt, E. S., Mao, J., Yang, X., Talbot, R., and Slemr, F.: Global
  atmospheric model for mercury including oxidation by bromine atoms, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10,
  12037–12057, doi:10.5194/acp-10-12037-2010, 2010.
- Huang, J., Miller, M. B., Weiss-Penzias, P. and Gustin, M. S.: Comparison of gaseous oxidized
  Hg measured by KCl-coated denuders, and nylon and cation exchange membranes, Environmental
- 721 Science and Technology, 47(13), 7307–7316, 2013.
- Jaffe, D. A., Lyman, S., Amos, H. M., Gustin, M. S., Huang, J., Selin, N. E., Levin, L., ter Schure,
- A., Mason, R. P., Talbot, R., Rutter, A., Finley, B., Jaeglé, L., Shah, V., McClure, C., Ambrose,
- J., Gratz, L., Lindberg, S., Weiss-Penzias, P., Sheu, G.-R., Feddersen, D., Horvat, M., Dastoor, A.,
- Hynes, A. J., Mao, H., Sonke, J. E., Slemr, F., Fisher, J. A., Ebinghaus, R., Zhang, Y., and Edwards,

- G.: Progress on understanding atmospheric mercury hampered by uncertain measurements,
  Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 7204–7206, doi: 10.1021/es5026432, 2014.
- Kim, P.-R., Han, Y.-J., Holsen, T. M., and Yi, S.-M.: Atmospheric particulate mercury: concentrations and size distributions, Atmos. Environ., 61, 94–102, 2012.
- Kim, S. Y., Talbot, R., Mao, H., Blake, D. R., Huey, G., and Weinheimer, A. J.: Chemical
   transformations of Hg during Arctic mercury depletion events sampled from the NASA DC-8,
- 732 Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 10, 10077–10112, doi: 10.5194/acpd-10-10077-2010, 2010.
- Kutsher, J., Haikin, N., Sharon, A., and Heifetz, E.: On the formation of an elevated nocturnal
  inversion layer in the presence of a low-level jet: a case study, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 144, 441–
  440 doi:10.1007/s1054(.012.0720 to 2012)
- 735 449, doi:10.1007/s10546-012-9720-y, 2012.
- Laskin, A., Moffet, R. C., Gilles, M. K., Fast, J. D., Zaveri, R. A., Wang, B., Nigge, P., and
  Shutthanandan, J.: Tropospheric chemistry of internally mixed sea salt and organic particles:
- surprising reactivity of NaCl with weak organic acids, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 117, D15302, doi:
- 739 10.1029/2012JD017743, 2012.
- Laurier, F. and Mason, R.: Mercury concentration and speciation in the coastal and open ocean
  boundary layer, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D06302, doi: 10.1029/2006JD007320, 2007.
- 742 Laurier, F. J. G., Mason, R. P., Whalin, L., and Kato, S.: Reactive gaseous mercury formation in
- the North Pacific Ocean's marine boundary layer: a potential role of halogen chemistry, J. Geophys.
  Res.-Atmos., 108, ACH3-1—ACH3-12, doi: 10.1029/2003JD003625, 2003.
- Lewis, E. R. and Schwartz, S. E.: Comment on "Size distribution of sea-salt emissions as a function of relative humidity," Atmos. Environ., 40, 588–590, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.08.043, 2006.
- Lin, C.-J. and Pehkonen, S. O.: Aqueous phase reactions of mercury with free radicals and chlorine:
  implications for atmospheric mercury chemistry, Chemosphere, 38, 1253–1263, 1999.
- Lin, C.-J., Pongprueksa, P., Lindberg, S. E., Pehkonen, S. O., Byun, D., and Jang, C.: Scientific
  uncertainties in atmospheric mercury models I: Model science evaluation, Atmos. Environ., 40,
  2911–2928, 2006.
- 752 Lindberg, S. E., Brooks, S., Lin, C.-J., Scott, K. J., Landis, M. S., Stevens, R. K., Goodsite, M.,
- and Richter, A.: Dynamic oxidation of gaseous mercury in the arctic troposphere at polar sunrise,
- 754 Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 1245–1256, 2002.
- 755 Madronich, S.: UV radiation in the natural and perturbed atmosphere, in: Environmental Effects 756 of UV. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, USA, available at: 757 http://opensky.library.ucar.edu/collections/OSGC-000-000-020-698 (last access: 16 April 2015), 758 1993.
- Mao, H. and Talbot, R.: O<sub>3</sub> and CO in New England: temporal variations and relationships, J.
  Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D21304, doi: 10.1029/2004JD004913, 2004.

- 761 Mao, H., Talbot, R. W., Sigler, J. M., Sive, B. C., and Hegarty, J. D.: Seasonal and diurnal
- variations of Hg over New England, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 1403–1421, doi: 10.5194/acp-8-14032008, 2008.
- Mao, H., Talbot, R., Hegarty, J., and Koermer, J.: Speciated mercury at marine, coastal, and inland
  sites in New England Part 2: Relationships with atmospheric physical parameters, Atmos. Chem.
  Phys., 12, 4181–4206, doi: 10.5194/acp-12-4181-2012, 2012.
- Mason, R. P., Kim, E.-H., Cornwell, J., and Heyes, D.: An examination of the factors influencing
  the flux of mercury, methylmercury and other constituents from estuarine sediment, Mar. Chem.,
  102, 96–110, 2006.
- Miller, C. L., Mason, R. P., Gilmour, C. C., and Heyes, A.: Influence of dissolved organic matter
  on the complexation of mercury under sulfidic conditions, Environ. Toxicol. Chem., 26, 624–633,
  2007.
- Moldanová, J. and Ljungström, E.: Sea-salt aerosol chemistry in coastal areas: a model study, J.
  Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 106, 1271–1296, doi: 10.1029/2000JD900462, 2001.
- Obrist, D., Tas, E., Peleg, M., Matveev, V., Faïn, X., Asaf, D., and Luria, M.: Bromine-induced
  oxidation of mercury in the mid-latitude atmosphere, Nat. Geosci., 4, 22–26, 2011.
- Pal, B. and Ariya, P. A.: Gas-phase HO-initiated reactions of elemental mercury: kinetics, product
   studies, and atmospheric implications, Environ. Sci. Technol., 38, 5555–5566, 2004a.
- Pal, B. and Ariya, P. A.: Studies of ozone initiated reactions of gaseous mercury: kinetics, product
  studies, and atmospheric implications, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 6, 572–579, 2004b.
- Pillai, P. S. and Moorthy, K. K.: Aerosol mass-size distributions at a tropical coastal environment:
  response to mesoscale and synoptic processes, Atmos. Environ., 35, 4099–4112, doi:
  10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00211-4, 2001.
- Poissant, L., Pilote, M., Beauvais, C., Constant, P. and Zhang, H. H.: A year of continuous
  measurements of three atmospheric mercury species (GEM, RGM and Hgp) in southern Québec,
  Canada, Atmospheric Environment, 39(7), 1275–1287, 2005.
- 787Raofie, F. and Ariya, P. A.: Product study of the gas-phase BrO-initiated oxidation of  $Hg^0$ :788Evidence for stable  $Hg^{1+}$  compounds, Environ. Sci. Technol., 38, 4319–4326, 2004.
- Rolfhus, K. R., Sakamoto, H. E., Cleckner, L. B., Stoor, R. W., Babiarz, C. L., Back, R. C.,
  Manolopoulos, H., and Hurley, J. P.: Distribution and fluxes of total and methylmercury in Lake
  Superior, Environ. Sci. Technol., 37, 865–872, 2003.
- Rutter, A. P. and Schauer, J. J.: The impact of aerosol composition on the particle to gas
  partitioning of reactive mercury, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 3934–3939, doi: 10.1021/es062439i,
  2007.

- Rutter, A. P., Shakya, K. M., Lehr, R., Schauer, J. J., and Griffin, R. J.: Oxidation of gaseous elemental mercury in the presence of secondary organic aerosols, Atmos. Environ., 59, 86–92,
- 796 clemental mercury in the presence of secondary organic acrosofs, Atmos. Environ., 59, 80–92, 797 2012.

Saiz-Lopez, A. and von Glasow, R.: Reactive halogen chemistry in the troposphere, Chem. Soc.
Rev., 41, 6448–6472, doi: 10.1039/C2CS35208G, 2012.

- Saiz-Lopez, A., Shillito, J. A., Coe, H., and Plane, J. M. C.: Measurements and modelling of I<sub>2</sub>,
  IO, OIO, BrO and NO<sub>3</sub> in the mid-latitude marine boundary layer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 1513–
  1528, doi:10.5194/acp-6-1513-2006, 2006.
- Sander, R., Keene, W. C., Pszenny, A. A. P., Arimoto, R., Ayers, G. P., Baboukas, E., Cainey, J.
  M., Crutzen, P. J., Duce, R. A., Hönninger, G., Huebert, B. J., Maenhaut, W., Mihalopoulos, N.,
  Turekian, V. C., and Van Dingenen, R.: Inorganic bromine in the marine boundary layer: a critical
  review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 1301–1336, doi:10.5194/acp-3-1301-2003, 2003.
- 807 Sander, S. P., Abbatt, J., Barker, J. R., Burkholder, J. B., Friedl, R. R., Golden, D. M., Huie, R. E.,
- 808 Kolb, C. E., Kurylo, M. J., Moortgat, G. K., Orkin, V. L., and Wine, P. H.: Chemical Kinetics and
- 809 Photochemical Data for Use in Atmospheric Studies, Evaluation No. 17, JPL Publication 10-6, Jet
- 810 Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, available at: http://jpldataeval.jpl.nasa.gov, 2011.
- 811 Sandu, A. and Sander, R.: Technical note: Simulating chemical systems in Fortran90 and Matlab
- with the Kinetic PreProcessor KPP-2.1, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 187–195, doi: 10.5194/acp-6-187-
- 813 2006, 2006.
- Schroeder, W. H. and Munthe, J.: Atmospheric mercury an overview, Atmos. Environ., 32, 809–
  822, 1998.
- 816 Schwartz, S. E.: Mass-transport considerations pertinent to aqueous phase reactions of gases in
- 817 liquid-water clouds, in: Chemistry of Multiphase Atmospheric Systems, edited by: Jaeschke, D.
  818 W., Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 415–471, available at: <u>http://link.springer.com/</u>
  819 chapter/10.1007/978-3-642-70627-1\_16 (last access: 17 April 2015), 1986.
- Selin, N. E., Javob, D. J., Park, R. J., Yantosca, R. M., Strode, S., Jaeglé, L., and Jaffe, D.:
  Chemical cycling and deposition of atmospheric mercury: global constraints from observations, J.
  Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D02308, doi: 10.1029/2006JD007450, 2007.
- 823 Seigneur, C., Wrobel, J. and Constantinou, E.: A chemical kinetic mechanism for atmospheric 824 inorganic mercury, Environmental Science and Technology, 28(9), 1589–1597, 1994.
- Shon, Z.-H., Kim, K.-H., Kim, M.-Y., and Lee, M.: Modeling study of reactive gaseous mercury
  in the urban air, Atmos. Environ., 39, 749–761, 2005.
- Sigler, J. M., Mao, H., and Talbot, R.: Gaseous elemental and reactive mercury in Southern New
  Hampshire, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1929–1942, doi:10.5194/acp-9-1929-2009, 2009.

- 829 Sillman, S., Marsik, F. J., Al-Wali, K. I., Keeler, G. J., and Landis, M. S.: Reactive mercury in the
- troposphere: model formation and results for Florida, the northeastern United States, and the
- 831 Atlantic Ocean, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D23305, doi: 10.1029/2006JD008227, 2007.
- 832 Simpson, W. R., von Glasow, R., Riedel, K., Anderson, P., Ariya, P., Bottenheim, J., Burrows, J.,
- 833 Carpenter, L. J., Frieß, U., Goodsite, M. E., Heard, D., Hutterli, M., Jacobi, H.-W., Kaleschke, L.,
- 834 Neff, B., Plane, J., Platt, U., Richter, A., Roscoe, H., Sander, R., Shepson, P., Sodeau, J., Steffen,
- A., Wagner, T., and Wolff, E.: Halogens and their role in polar boundary layer ozone depletion,
- 836 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 4375–4418, doi:10.5194/acp-7-4375-2007, 2007.
- 837 Simpson, W. R., Brown, S. S., Saiz-Lopez, A., Thornton, J. A., and von Glasow, R.: Tropospheric 838 halogen chemistry: sources, cycling, and impacts, Chem. Rev., 115, 4035–4062, doi:
- 839 10.1021/cr5006638, 2015.
- 840 Snider, G., Raofie, F., and Ariya, P. A.: Effects of relative humidity and CO (g) on the O<sub>3</sub>-initiated 841 oxidation reaction of HgO (g): kinetic and product studies, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 10, 5616–
- 842 5623, 2008.
- 843 Soerensen, A. L., Sunderland, E. M., Holmes, C. D., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Skov, H.,
- Christensen, J. H., Strode, S. A., and Mason, R. P.: An improved global model for air–sea exchange
  of mercury: high concentrations over the North Atlantic, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 8574–8580,
  2010.
- 847 Steffen, A., Douglas, T., Amyot, M., Ariya, P., Aspmo, K., Berg, T., Bottenheim, J., Brooks, S.,
- 848 Cobbett, F., Dastoor, A., Dommergue, A., Ebinghaus, R., Ferrari, C., Gardfeldt, K., Goodsite, M.
- 849 E., Lean, D., Poulain, A. J., Scherz, C., Skov, H., Sommar, J., and Temme, C.: A synthesis of
- atmospheric mercury depletion event chemistry in the atmosphere and snow, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 851 8, 1445–1482, doi:10.5194/acp-8-1445-2008, 2008.
- Subir, M., Ariya, P. A., and Dastoor, A. P.: A review of uncertainties in atmospheric modeling of
  mercury chemistry I. Uncertainties in existing kinetic parameters fundamental limitations and
  the importance of heterogeneous chemistry, Atmos. Environ., 45, 5664–5676, 2011.
- Talbot, R., Mao, H., and Sive, B.: Diumal characteristics of surface level O<sub>3</sub> and other important trace gases in New England, J. Geophys. Res., 1 10, D09307, doi: 10.1029/2004JD005449, 2005.
- Tang, I. N., Tridico, A. C., and Fung, K. H.: Thermodynamic and optical properties of sea salt
  aerosols, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 102, 23269–23275, 1997.
- Tokos, J. J. S., Hall, B., Calhoun, J. A., and Prestbo, E. M.: Homogeneous gas-phase reaction of
  HgO with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>I, and (CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>S: implications for atmospheric Hg cycling, Atmos. Environ.,
  32, 823–827, 1998.
- Toyota, K., McConnell, J. C., Staebler, R. M., and Dastoor, A. P.: Air–snowpack exchange of bromine, ozone and mercury in the springtime Arctic simulated by the 1-D model PHANTAS–
- Part 1: In-snow bromine activation and its impact on ozone, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 4101–4133,
- 865 doi:10.5194/acp-14-4101-2014, 2014.

Verwer, J. G., Spee, E. J., Blom, J. G., and Hundsdorfer, W.: Second-order Rosenbrock method
applied to photochemical dispersion problems, SIAM J. Sci. Comput., 20, 1456–1480, 1999.

Wang, F., Saiz-Lopez, A., Mahajan, A. S., Gómez Martín, J. C., Armstrong, D., Lemes, M., Hay,
T., and Prados-Roman, C.: Enhanced production of oxidized mercury over the tropical Pacific
Ocean: a key missing oxidation pathway, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 1323–1335, doi:10.5194/acp14-1323-2014, 2014.

- Weiss-Penzias, P., Jaffe, D. A., McClintick, A., Prestbo, E. M. and Landis, M. S.: Gaseous elemental mercury in the marine boundary layer: evidence for rapid removal in anthropogenic pollution, Environ. Sci. Technol., 37(17), 3755–3763, 2003.
- Xie, Z.-Q., Sander, R., Pöschl, U., and Slemr, F.: Simulation of atmospheric mercury depletion
  events (AMDEs) during polar springtime using the MECCA box model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8,
  7165–7180, doi: 10.5194/acp-8-7165-2008, 2008.
- Zhang, L., Wright, L. P., and Blanchard, P.: A review of current knowledge concerning dry
  deposition of atmospheric mercury, Atmos. Environ., 43, 5853–5864, 2009.
- Zhang, L., Blanchard, P., Gay, D. A., Prestbo, E. M., Risch, M. R., Johnson, D., Narayan, J.,
- 881 Zsolway, R., Holsen, T. M., Miller, E. K., Castro, M. S., Graydon, J. A., Louis, V. L. S., and
- Balziel, J.: Estimation of speciated and total mercury dry deposition at monitoring locations in
- eastern and central North America, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 4327–4340, 2012.

No.	REACTIONS	KINETIC (cm <sup>3</sup> molecule <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	REFERENCE
G1	$Hg + O_3 \rightarrow HgO + O_2$	$8.43 \times 10^{-17} e^{-1407/T}$	Snider et al., 2008
G2	$Hg + OH \{+O_2\} \rightarrow HgO + HO_2$	$3.55 \times 10^{-14} e^{294/T}$	Pal and Ariya, 2004
G3	$Hg + H_2O_2 \rightarrow Hg(OH)_2$	$8.5 \times 10^{-19}$	Tokos et al., 1998
G4	$Hg + Cl \rightarrow HgCl$	$6.4 \times 10^{-13} e^{(680 \times (1/T - 1/298))}$	Donohoue et al., 2005
G5	$Hg + Cl_2 \rightarrow HgCl_2$	$2.6 \times 10^{-18}$	Ariya et al., 2002
G6	$Hg + Br \rightarrow HgBr$	$3.7 \times 10^{-13} (T/298)^{-2.76}$	Goodsite et al., 2004, 2012
G7	Hg + BrO $\rightarrow$ HgBrO	$1.8 \times 10^{-14}$	Raofie and Ariya, 2004
G8	Hg +I $\rightarrow$ HgI	$4.0 \times 10^{-13} (T/298)^{-2.38}$	Goodsite et al., 2004
G9	HgI $\rightarrow$ Hg + I	$3.0 \times 10^9 e^{-3742/T}$	Goodsite et al., 2004
G10	$HgBr \rightarrow Hg + Br$	$1.6 \times 10^{-9} e^{-7801/T} \times [M]$	Dibble et al., 2012
G11	$\mathrm{HgBr} \ +\mathrm{Br} \ \textbf{\rightarrow} \mathrm{Hg} \ +\mathrm{Br}_2$	$3.89 \times 10^{-11}$	Balabanov et al., 2005
G12	$HgBr + Br \rightarrow HgBr + Br$	$3.97 \times 10^{-11}$	Balabanov et al., 2005
G13	$\mathrm{HgBr}\ +\mathrm{Br}\ \textbf{\rightarrow}\mathrm{HgBr}_{2}$	$2.98 \times 10^{-11}$	Balabanov et al., 2005
G14	ClO + HgCl $\rightarrow$ ClHgOCl	$5.0 \times 10^{-11}$	Dibble et al., 2012
G15	ClO + HgBr $\rightarrow$ BrHgOCl	$5.0 \times 10^{-11}$	Dibble et al., 2012
G16	BrO + HgCl $\rightarrow$ BrHgOCl	$1.09 \times 10^{-10}$	Dibble et al., 2012 <sup>1</sup>
G17	BrO + HgBr $\rightarrow$ BrHgOBr	$1.09 \times 10^{-10}$	Dibble et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014
G18	NO <sub>2</sub> + HgCl $\rightarrow$ ClHgNO <sub>2</sub>	$8.6 \times 10^{-11}$	Dibble et al., 2012 <sup>1</sup>
G19	$NO_2 + HgBr \rightarrow BrHgNO_2$	$8.6 \times 10^{-11}$	Dibble et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014
G20	$HO_2 + HgCl \rightarrow ClHgOOH$	$8.2 \times 10^{-11}$	Dibble et al., 2012 <sup>1</sup>
G21	$HO_2 + HgBr \rightarrow BrHgOOH$	$8.2 \times 10^{-11}$	Dibble et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014
G22	$OH + HgCl \rightarrow ClHgOH$	$6.33 \times 10^{-11}$	Dibble et al., 2012 <sup>1</sup>
G23	$OH + HgBr \rightarrow BrHgOH$	$6.33 \times 10^{-11}$	Dibble et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2014
G24	IO + HgBr → BrHgOI	$4.9 \times 10^{-11}$	Wang et al., 2014

884 Table 1. Gas phase Hg reactions in the box model

885 886 <sup>1</sup> The kinetic data of these HgCl reactions were not included in Dibble et al., 2012, they were assumed as the same kinetic as the HgBr reactions, which were calculated by Wang et al. (2014).

887

Parameter	Appledore Island (AI)	Thompson Farm (TF)	Pack Monadnock (PM)		
Observed <sup>1</sup>					
RH, relative humidity 76.9±5.4		69.9±19.5	69.0±13.1		
Temperature, °C 19.1±1.7		21.3±4.3	18.5±3.3		
[GEM], ppqv	133.9±3.3	138.4±12.8	149.6±3.2		
[O <sub>3</sub> ], ppbv	37.4±8.8	32.7±15.7	45.0±4.2		
[NO], pptv	154.5 <sup>2</sup>	232.4±364.1	85.3±35.8		
[CO], ppbv	169.6±13.9	156.2±10.8	120.2±7.2		
Simulated <sup>3</sup>					
[Br], ppqv	28.50	0.20	0.18		
[OH], ppqv	100.7	75.8	73.5		
Other <sup>4</sup>					
v <sub>d</sub> , cm s <sup>-1</sup> , dry denosition velocity	GEM – 0.0045	GEM – 0.07	GEM – 0.08		
deposition verocity	GOM – 0.5	GOM – 1.2	GOM – 2.0		
	PBM – 0.5	PBM – 0.15	PBM – 0.25		
H, M atm <sup>-1</sup> , Henry's	$HgO - 3.2 \times 10^{9}$	$HgO - 3.2 \times 10^{9}$	$HgO - 3.2 \times 10^{9}$		
constants	$Hg(OH)_2 - 1.2 \times 10^7$	$Hg(OH)_2 - 1.2 \times 10^7$	$Hg(OH)_2 - 1.2 \times 10^7$		
	Other GOM $- 2.7 \times 10^9$	Other GOM $- 2.7 \times 10^9$	Other GOM $- 2.7 \times 10^9$		
L, $m_{water}^3 m_{air}^{-3}$ , liquid water content	$5 \times 10^{-11}$	$2.0 \times 10^{-11}$	$1.25 \times 10^{-11}$		
D <sub>g</sub> , m <sup>2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> , diffusion	$1 \times 10^{-5}$	$1 \times 10^{-5}$	$1 \times 10^{-5}$		
Z, m, boundary layer height	500	$200 - 1120^5$	100		
<i>r<sub>dry</sub></i> , μm, dry aerosol radius	3.5	0.3	0.07		

888 Table 2. Box model input and simulated variables.

889 <sup>1</sup> Observed 24-h mean values for all studied cases at these sites.

<sup>2</sup> Missing NO measurements at AI, use 154.5 ppqv for initial values.

<sup>3</sup> Simulated 24-h mean values for all studied days at these sites.

<sup>4</sup> Reference: Baumgardner et al., 2000; Kim et al., 2012; Mao and Talbot, 2004; Moldanová and Ljungström, 2001;
<sup>9</sup> Pillai and Moorthy, 2001; Shon et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2009, 2012.

<sup>5</sup> TF boundary layer height changed at each hour, the averaged diurnal cycle was obtained from Research Data Archive

at the National Center for Atmospheric Research, http://rda.ucar.edu/.

896

897

Scena		Gas-droplet partitioning		Rate Coefficients (cm <sup>3</sup> molec <sup>-1 s-1</sup> )			Results		
rio photolysis No.	Include	Liquid water content $(m_{water}^3 m_{air}^{-3})$	GEM+O <sub>3</sub> (298K)	GEM+OH (298K)	GEM+Br (298K)	Temp.	GOM	PBM	
Base Sc	Base Scenario								
0	Yes	Yes	5.0E-11	7.5E-19 <sup>1</sup>	9.5E-14 <sup>2</sup>	3.7E-13 <sup>3</sup>	Т		
Photoch	emistry								
1	No	Yes	5.0E-11	7.5E-19	9.5E-14	3.7E-13	Т	↓ 3%-92% <sup>D</sup>	↓ 2-100% <sup>D</sup>
Gas-par	ticle partitionii	ng							
2	Yes	No		7.5E-19	9.5E-14	3.7E-13	Т	↑~280%	↓ 100%
Liquid v	water content								
3	Yes	Yes	3.0E-12	7.5E-19	9.5E-14	3.7E-13	Т	↑~200%	↓ 80%
4	Yes	Yes	3.0E-10	7.5E-19	9.5E-14	3.7E-13	Т	↓ 80%	↑ 50%
Reaction	ns								
5	Yes	Yes	5.0E-11	3.0E-20 <sup>4</sup>	9.5E-14	3.7E-13	Т	↓ 15% <sup>D</sup> ↓ 85% <sup>N</sup>	↓49% <sup>N</sup>
6	Yes	Yes	5.0E-11		9.5E-14	3.7E-13	Т	↓ 16% <sup>D</sup> ↓88% <sup>N</sup>	↓ 51% <sup>N</sup>
7	Yes	Yes	5.0E-11	7.5E-19		3.7E-13	Т	↓ 10% <sup>D</sup>	Negligible
8	Yes	Yes	5.0E-11	7.5E-19	9.5E-14		Т	↓48% <sup>D</sup>	↓60% <sup>D</sup>
9	Yes	Yes	5.0E-11	7.5E-19	9.5E-14	3.2E-12 <sup>5</sup>	Т	↑ 250% <sup>D</sup>	1 300%
Temperature									
10	Yes	Yes	5.0E-11	7.5E-19	9.5E-14	3.7E-13	T+10K	↓ 9% <sup>D</sup> ↑ 13% <sup>N</sup>	↓ 9% <sup>D</sup> ↑ 54% <sup>N</sup>
11	Yes	Yes	5.0E-11	7.5E-19	9.5E-14	3.7E-13	T-10K	↑ 9% <sup>D</sup> ↓ 11% <sup>N</sup>	↑ 8% <sup>D</sup> ↓ 28% <sup>N</sup>

Table 3. Sensitivity scenarios with varying physical and chemical parameters. The superscript D represents daytime and N nighttime. Downward arrows stand for decreases and upward arrows increases. T stands for the temperature diurnal cycle in the base scenario, and T+10K or T-10K represents 10K higher temperature or 10K lower temperature throughout the day respectively.

<sup>1</sup> Snider et al., 2008; <sup>2</sup> Pal and Ariya, 2004; <sup>3</sup> Goodsite et al., 2004, 2012; <sup>4</sup> Hall, 1995; <sup>5</sup> Ariya et al., 2002.

NO.	Reactions	Kinetics (cm <sup>3</sup> molecule <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	Reference
B1	$BrO(g) + CH_3O_2(g) \rightarrow CH_3O(g) + BrOO(g)$ BrOO(g) $\rightarrow$ Br(g) + O <sub>2</sub> (g)	$1.4 \times 10^{-12}$ Fast	Aranda et al., 1997; Atkinson et al., 2008
B2	$BrO(g) + CH_3O_2(g) \rightarrow CH_2O_2(g) + HOBr(g)$	$4.3 \times 10^{-12}$	Aranda et al., 1997; Atkinson et al., 2008
B3	$BrO(g) + CH_3O_2(g) \rightarrow CH_3OBr(g) + O_2(g)$	?	Aranda et al., 1997
B4	$BrO(g) + CH_3O_2(g) \rightarrow CH_3OOOBr(g) \rightarrow CH_2O(g) + HOOBr(g)$	?	Guha and Francisco, 2003

Table 4. Possible pathways of  $BrO + CH_3O_2$  reaction



Figure 1. New Hampshire site map: Appledore Island (marine), Thompson Farm (coastal), and Pack Monadnock (inland elevated).



Figure 2. Average diurnal cycles of observed GEM (top panel) and simulated and observed GOM (bottom panel) averaged over the selected 50 days at Appledore Island (AI), 12 days at Thompson Farm (TF), and 21 days at Pack Monadnock (PM) from summers of 2007, 2008, and 2010. The error bars represent standard deviation.



Figure 3. Simulated average diurnal cycles of GOM speciation at AI (a), TF (b), and PM (c).



Figure 4. Observed (dash line with scatters) and simulated (solid line) average diurnal cycles of GOM for the matching (black, "Sim\_M" and "Obs\_M"), under-estimation (red, "Sim\_U" and "Obs\_U"), and over-estimation cases (blue, "Sim\_O" and "Obs\_O") at AI (a), TF (b), and PM (c). The bars represent the standard deviations at each hour for those specific days.



Figure 5. Clustered 24-hours back trajectories of air masses in (a) over-estimation cases, (b) matching cases, and (c) under-estimation cases at AI.



Figure 6. Simulated averaged diurnal cycles of GOM at AI (red), at TF (black) using AI dry deposition and gas-aerosol partitioning parameters ("TF\_AIaerodry"), and at PM (blue) using AI dry deposition and gas-to-particle partitioning parameters ("PM\_AIaerodry").



Figure 7. Simulated diurnal cycles of Br (red) and BrO (blue) of the base case.



Figure8. Simulated average diurnal cycles of GOM for the base scenario ("Sim-avg BrOO", black circle) and for the "CH<sub>3</sub>OBr" scenario ("Sim-avg CH<sub>3</sub>OBr", red, circle), observed average GOM diurnal cycle ("Obs-avg", blue, triangle scatter), and observed median GOM diurnal cycle ("Obs-median", green, triangle scatter) of the 50 cases at AI.

# Supplement:

Table S1. Aqueous phase reactions and equilibriums of Hg in the box model.

No.	REACTIONS	KINETIC (L mol <sup>-1</sup> s <sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup> ) or EQUILIBRIUM CONSTANT	REFERENCE
A1	$\mathrm{Hg}^{0}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{O}_{3}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{HgO}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq})$	$2.4 \times 10^{9}$	Munthe et al., 1992
A2	$\mathrm{Hg}^{0}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{HgOH}(\mathrm{aq})$	$2.4 \times 10^{9}$	Gardfeldt et al., 2001
A3	HgOH (aq) + OH (aq) $\rightarrow$ Hg(OH) <sub>2</sub> (aq)	$1.0 \times 10^{10}$	Nazhat and Asmus, 1973
A4	HgOH (aq) + $O_2$ (aq) + $H_2O$ (aq) → Hg(OH) <sub>2</sub> (aq) + $H^+$ + $O_2^-$	$1.0 \times 10^{9}$	Nazhat and Asmus, 1973
A5	$\mathrm{Hg}^{0}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{OH}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hg}^{+} + \mathrm{OH}^{-}$	$2.0 \times 10^{9}$	Lin and Pehkonen, 1997
A6	HgO (aq) + H <sup>+</sup> $\rightarrow$ Hg <sup>2+</sup> + OH <sup>-</sup>	$1.0 \times 10^{10}$	Pleijel and Munthe, 1995
A7	HOCl (aq) + Hg <sup>0</sup> (aq) $\rightarrow$ Hg <sup>2+</sup> + Cl <sup>-</sup> + OH <sup>-</sup>	$2.09 \times 10^{6}$	Lin and Pehkonen, 1997
A8	$\text{ClO}^{-} + \text{Hg}^{0} (\text{aq}) \rightarrow \text{Hg}^{2+} + \text{Cl}^{-} + \text{OH}^{-}$	$1.99 \times 10^{6}$	Lin and Pehkonen, 1997
A9	$HgSO_3(aq) \rightarrow Hg^0(aq) + S(VI)$	0.6	Munthe et al., 1991
A10	$Hg(OH)_2 (aq) \rightarrow Hg^0 (aq) + products$	$3.0 \times 10^{7}$	Pleijel and Munthe, 1995
A11	$\mathrm{Hg}^{+} + \mathrm{HO}_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hg}^{0}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{H}^{+}$	$1.0 \times 10^{10}$	Xie et al., 2008
A12	$\mathrm{Hg}^{2+} + \mathrm{HO}_2(\mathrm{aq}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hg}^+ + \mathrm{O}_2(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{H}^+$	$1.7 \times 10^{4}$	Pehkonen and Lin, 1998
AE1	$\mathrm{Hg}^{2+} + \mathrm{SO}_3^{2-} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Hg}\mathrm{SO}_3$ (aq)	$2.0\times10^{13}$	van Loon et al., 2001
AE2	$\mathrm{HgSO}_3(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{SO}_3^{2-} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Hg}(\mathrm{SO}_3)_2^{2-}$	$1.0 \times 10^{10}$	van Loon et al., 2001
AE3	$\mathrm{Hg}^{2+} + \mathrm{OH}^{-} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{HgOH}^{+}$	$3.98 \times 10^{10}$	Smith and Martell, 2004
AE4	$\mathrm{HgOH^{+}} + \mathrm{OH^{-}} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Hg(OH)_{2}}(\mathrm{aq})$	$1.58 \times 10^{11}$	Smith and Martell, 2004
AE5	$HgOH^+ + Cl^- \leftrightarrow HgOHCl (aq)$	$2.7 \times 10^{7}$	Xiao, 1994
AE6	$\mathrm{Hg}^{2^+} + \mathrm{Cl}^- \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Hg}\mathrm{Cl}^+$	$2.0 \times 10^{7}$	Smith and Martell, 2004
AE7	$HgCl^{+} + Cl^{-} \leftrightarrow HgCl_{2} (aq)$	$5.0 \times 10^{6}$	Smith and Martell, 2004
AE8	$\mathrm{HgCl}_{2}(\mathrm{aq}) + \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{HgCl}_{3}^{-}$	6.7	Smith and Martell, 2004
AE9	$\mathrm{HgCl}_{3^{-}} + \mathrm{Cl}^{-} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{HgCl}_{4^{2^{-}}}$	13.0	Smith and Martell, 2004
AE10	$\mathrm{Hg}^{2+} + \mathrm{Br}^{-} \leftrightarrow \mathrm{Hg}\mathrm{Br}^{+}$	$1.10 \times 10^{9}$	Smith and Martell, 2004
AE11	$HgBr^{+} + Br^{-} \leftrightarrow HgBr_{2}$ (aq)	$2.50 \times 10^{8}$	Smith and Martell, 2004
AE12	$HgBr_2(aq) + Br \leftrightarrow HgBr_3^-$	$1.50 \times 10^{2}$	Smith and Martell, 2004



Figure S1. Geopotential height for a) 06/13/2008 08:00 EDT, b) 06/13/2008 14:00 EDT, c) 08/22/2007 14:00 EDT, and d) 08/22/2007 20:00 EDT at 850 hPa, the green star shows the location of TF site.