Atmospheric
Chemistry
and Physics
Discussions

Open Access

EGU

Interactive comment on "Understanding the Recent Trend of Haze Pollution in Eastern China: Roles of Climate Change" *by* H. J. Wang and H. P. Chen

H. J. Wang and H. P. Chen

wanghj@mail.iap.ac.cn

Responses to Referee #2: The impact of climate change on air quality is an important cross-disciplinary topic. Previous studies were mainly conducted by using numerical models and there is limited number of studies based on analysis of observational data, especially in China. This study presented a very interested analysis on the trend of number of haze days and investigated the influences from Artic sea ice extent, precipitation and surface wind speed for different decades based on measurements at 756 ground station during 1960-2012. This paper is generally well-written and provides a different but unique angle of view from climatologist on the trend of air pollution in eastern China. I would like to recommend its publication in Atmospheric Chemistry

C1

and Physics after the following minor/technical points addressed appropriately. Reply: Thanks for your suggestions, which have been addressed point by point in the following and the corresponding corrections have been presented in the manuscript.

Main comments: 1) If I understand it correctly, the "haze day data" (i.e. the term defined as "monthly haze day data" at L1, Page 4.) used in the trend analysis is the "total number of haze day in a month". In the text, this term is not very clear defined. It will be better to give some details of the data in Sect. 2 and clarified this term because it is not widely used in countries other than China. Also, it will be better to include some previous works e.g. Chen et al., 2015, which used the same dataset, as a reference in this section. Reply: (1) Yes, the "monthly haze day" referred in this study actually is the "total number of haze in a month", which has been clarified in the paper. (2) The previous works (e.g. Chen et al., 2015) as you referred is the paper by Chen and Wang, 2015, JGR? If yes, we should clarify that the haze day in that work is defined using visibility, relative humidity, and wind speed, which is different from the data that used in this study. However, similar results can be found between these two datasets. The haze days that used in this study are generally determined according to the immediately weather phenomenon by the sophisticated observers, which have been used in another work (Wang et al., 2015). These corrections have been clarified in the current version of the manuscript.

2) The discussions in the last paragraph of Sect. 3 (L3-L19, Page 7): The authors tried to discuss the reasons of the contradict trends between haze pollution and emission control. One point worthwhile to be mentioned here is that the trend of haze pollution based on "haze day data" actually is the trend of frequency (of haze day) but not the averaged pollution concentrations. The former might link more with the change in occurrence frequency of extremely stagnant weather, which was influenced by natural climate variability (Zhang et al., 2016), but the latter will be more related to the emission and control measures. Here a comparison with the two variables should consider the differences, at least mention the possible influence. In additional, this part probably can

C2

be moved into the Section 4 as the last discussion point highlighted for policy makers. Reference: Zhang, Y. et al., Impact of synoptic weather patterns and inter-decadal climate variability on air quality in the North China Plain during 1980-2013, Atmos. Environ.,124, 119-128, 2016. Reply: As your suggestion, more discussions have been added and this part has been also moved to Section 4.

Minor comments: 1) Line 21-22, page 2: "particulate matter 2.5", Please change it to "fine particulate matter (PM2.5)" or "particulate matter with mean aerodynanmic diameter less than 2.5 micrometers". Reply: Corrected. 2) Line 20-21, Page 3: "a recent study further reveals that", should you have a reference here? For example, "a recent study by Wang (2015) further revealed that". Reply: Corrected. 3) Figure 6: What does "Jiangnan (JN)" in the figure notes mean? Maybe change it to "South China". Reply: Corrected. "Jiangnan (JN)" has been changed to R2 and "Huang-Huai (HH)" to R1, which have been labeled in Figure 1.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:
http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/acp-2015-1009/acp-2015-1009-AC2-supplement.pdf

_____